Resource Article: Genomes Explored

Insights into the evolution of symbiosis gene copy number and distribution from a chromosome-scale *Lotus japonicus* Gifu genome sequence

Nadia Kamal¹, Terry Mun², Dugald Reid², Jie-Shun Lin², Turgut Yigit Akyol³, Niels Sandal², Torben Asp², Hideki Hirakawa⁴, Jens Stougaard², Klaus F. X. Mayer^{1,5}*, Shusei Sato³*, and Stig Uggerhøj Andersen ()²*

¹Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Plant Genome and Systems Biology, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany, ²Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Aarhus University, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark, ³Graduate School of Life Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan, ⁴Kazusa DNA Research Institute, Kisarazu, Chiba 292-0816, Japan, and ⁵School of Life Sciences, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: k.mayer@helmholtz-muenchen.de (K.F.X.M.); shuseis@ige.tohoku.ac.jp (S.S.); sua@mbg.au.dk (S.U.A.)

Received 23 April 2020; Editorial decision 13 July 2020; Accepted 7 July 2020

Abstract

Lotus japonicus is a herbaceous perennial legume that has been used extensively as a genetically tractable model system for deciphering the molecular genetics of symbiotic nitrogen fixation. Our aim is to improve the *L. japonicus* reference genome sequence, which has so far been based on Sanger and Illumina sequencing reads from the *L. japonicus* accession MG-20 and contained a large fraction of unanchored contigs. Here, we use long PacBio reads from *L. japonicus* Gifu combined with Hi-C data and new high-density genetic maps to generate a high-quality chromosome-scale reference genome assembly for *L. japonicus*. The assembly comprises 554 megabases of which 549 were assigned to six pseudomolecules that appear complete with telomeric repeats at their extremes and large centromeric regions with low gene density. The new *L. japonicus* Gifu reference genome and associated expression data represent valuable resources for legume functional and comparative genomics. Here, we provide a first example by showing that the symbiotic islands recently described in *Medicago truncatula* do not appear to be conserved in *L. japonicus*.

Key words: legume, symbiosis, rhizobium, comparative genomics, expression atlas

1. Introduction

The roots of most plants are colonized by mycorrhizal fungi. This symbiotic interaction is ancient, perhaps dating back to the origin of

land plants, and many of its genetic components have been co-opted to allow symbiotic nitrogen fixation in legumes.¹ Much of the overlapping genetic framework, as well as components specific to both

[©] The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Kazusa DNA Research Institute.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

types of symbioses, have been uncovered using the model legumes *Lotus japonicus* (Lotus) and *Medicago truncatula* (Medicago).² Lotus is a perennial legume that has a short generation time, abundant flowers, and a small diploid genome with an estimated size of \sim 500 Mb.³ In addition, Lotus is self-compatible and amenable to tissue culture and *Agrobacterium* transformation.⁴ It has been used very successfully for forward genetic studies, resulting in the first identification of a plant gene (*Nin*) required for nodulation, ⁵ and the discovery of receptors for rhizobium Nod factors (NFR1 and NFR5)⁶ and exopolysaccharides (EPR3).⁷

Lotus is also interesting from a legume phylogenetic point of view, as it is a member of the Robinoid clade, which lacks other species with comprehensive genetic and genomic resources. The Robinoids are part of the larger Hologalegina clade, which also includes the IRLC clade that comprises Medicago and important crops such as pea (Pisum sativum), chickpea (Cicer arietinum), alfalfa (Medicago sativa), and white clover (Trifolium repens).⁸ The Hologalegina clade is sister to the Indigoferoid/Milettioid clade that includes soybean (Glycine max), common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris), pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan), and cowpea (Vigna unguiculata).⁸ All these species engage in symbiotic nitrogen fixation, but their root nodule morphology differs. The Indigoferoid/Milettioid species soybean and common bean and the Robinoid species Lotus produce round, determinate nodules, while the IRLC legumes instead form elongated, indeterminate nodules with persistent meristems.⁹Highquality genetic and genomic Lotus resources will thus nicely complement those of other well-characterized legume species, facilitating functional, comparative, and phylo-genomic studies of symbiotic nitrogen fixation, arbuscular mycorrhization, and other legume traits of interest.

The genetic resources already available for Lotus include sequenced natural accessions¹⁰ and recombinant inbred lines (RILs),^{11,12} as well as extensive populations of TILLING lines¹³ and LORE1 insertion mutants.¹⁴ In addition, large volumes of Lotus expression and LORE1 data have been integrated in the online portal Lotus Base¹⁵ (https://lotus.au.dk). Two Lotus accessions, MG-20 and Gifu B-129 (Gifu), have been especially frequently used.¹⁶ So far, genome sequencing efforts have focused exclusively on MG-20, resulting in the release of version 1.0, 2.5, and 3.0 MG-20 assemblies¹⁷ (https://www.kazusa.or.jp/lotus/ and https://lotus.au.dk/). MG-20 version 3.0 is a hybrid assembly based on Sanger and Illumina data that comprises 132 scaffolds covering 232 Mb aligned to the six Lotus chromosomes and an additional 162 Mb of sequence in 23,572 unanchored contigs. This MG-20 assembly has proved very useful for genetic mapping and for genome-wide transcriptome, methylation, and insertion mutant analyses,^{7,14,18,19} but it remains incomplete. Gifu originates from central Japan and is closely related to most of the sequenced accessions,¹⁰ whereas MG-20 is an atypical Lotus accession that originates from Miyakojima Island in the far south of Japan close to Taiwan. Considering also that the LORE1 insertion mutant collection¹⁴ was generated in the Gifu background, a high-quality Lotus Gifu reference genome would not only facilitate comparative genomics studies, but also serve to underpin improvement of functional genomics and intraspecific diversity resources in Lotus.

Here, we present a high-quality Lotus Gifu reference assembly constructed based on $\sim 100 \times$ PacBio read coverage and scaffolded using Hi-C and high-resolution genetic map data. We use this highquality assembly to explore the positional clustering of putative orthologs of Medicago lncRNAs and compare nodule-regulated gene clusters between Lotus and Medicago. Conserved gene regulation was found for root and nodule samples, but evidence supporting conservation of the symbiotic islands discovered in Medicago did not emerge.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. PacBio data generation and assembly

Lotus Gifu high-molecular weight DNA was extracted as described²⁰ and sent to Earlham Institute and Takara Bio Inc. for PacBio sequencing. A total of 11.8 million reads with an average length of 8 kb were generated. The PacBio reads were assembled using Canu (version 1.3)²¹ with the parameters: corOutCoverage = 100, errorRate = 0.015, corMhapSensitivity = normal, corMaxEvidenceErate = 0.15, oeaMemory = 15, cnsMemory = 40. The assembled contigs were then polished using PacificBiosciences' GenomicConsensus package using Quiver (https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/GenomicConsensus).

2.2. Constructing genetic maps based on data from two RIL populations

Paired-end reads from RILs of Gifu × Lotus burttii and Gifu × MG-20, as well as those from their respective parental lines (Lotus Gifu, Lotus MG-20, and L. burttii), were mapped to the polished assembly using BWA-MEM.²² Picard (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/) was used to dedupe the generated BAM files, followed by variant calling using mpileup provided by SAMtools.²³ The resulting VCF files were filtered based on the following criteria: (1) minimum quality of 30, (2) minimum depth of 50, (3) must be biallelic, and (4) cannot contain missing genotypes. To improve the quality of the genetic map, further filtering was performed using a Python script to select solely for single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that are homozygous in the Gifu parent and homozygous alternative in the second RIL parent (MG-20 or L. burttii). To generate a consensus genotype call pattern for each contig across each RIL population (Gifu $\times L$. *burttii* and Gifu \times MG-20), the most commonly occurring genotype across all positions was selected.

2.3. Assembly scaffolding based on genetic maps and Hi-C data

Gifu leaf tissue was sent to Phase Genomics (https://phasegenom ics.com), where Hi-C sequencing was carried out and a draft proximity-based (Proximo) scaffolding generated. Chromatin conformation capture data were generated using a Phase Genomics (Seattle, WA) Proximo Hi-C.²⁴ Intact cells from two samples were crosslinked using a formaldehyde solution, digested using the Sau3AI restriction enzyme, and proximity ligated with biotinylated nucleotides to create chimeric molecules composed of fragments from different regions of the genome that were physically proximal in vivo, but not necessarily genomically proximal. Molecules were pulled down with streptavidin beads and processed into an Illumina-compatible sequencing library. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina NextSeq 500, generating a total of 175,495,827 PE150 read pairs. Reads were aligned to the draft PacBio assembly scaffoldSeq.fasta using bwa mem with the -5 option.²² Alignments were then filtered with SAMtools²³ using the -F 2316 filtering flag.

Phase Genomics' Proximo Hi-C genome scaffolding platform was used to create chromosome-scale scaffolds from the draft assembly in a method similar to that described by Bickhart et al.²⁵ As in the LACHESIS method,²⁶ this process computes a contact frequency matrix from the aligned Hi-C read pairs, normalized by the number of *Sau*3AI restriction sites (GATC) on each contig, and constructs scaffolds in such a way as to optimize expected contact frequency and other statistical patterns in Hi-C data. Approximately 88,000 separate Proximo runs were performed to optimize the number of scaffolds and scaffold construction in order to make the scaffolds as concordant with the observed Hi-C data as possible. This process resulted in a set of six chromosome-scale scaffolds containing 549 Mb of sequence (>99% of the draft assembly). Chimeric contigs were identified based on genetic map, Hi-C, and PacBio coverage data and split. The initial scaffolding was then iteratively improved using genetic map data followed by re-running Proximo scaffolding until genetic map and proximity-based scaffolding results converged.

2.4. Genome annotation

The annotation of the Lotus Gifu genome was performed using evidence from transcriptome data as well as homology information from related species. For the homology-based annotation, available Arabidopsis thaliana (Araport11), Glycine max (version 2.1), and Medicago (MtrunA17r5.0-ANR) protein sequences were combined. These protein sequences were mapped to the Lotus Gifu reference genome sequence using the splice-aware alignment tool GenomeThreader²⁷ (version 1.6.6; with the arguments -startcodon -finalstopcodon -species rice -gcmincoverage 70 -prseedlength 7 -prhdist 4). In the expression data-based step, multiple RNA-seq datasets (SRP127678, SRP105404, DRP000629, PRJNA622801) were used as evidence for the genome-guided prediction of gene structures. Therefore, reads from RNA-seq datasets were mapped to the genome using Hisat2 (version 2.1, parameter -dta)²⁸ and subsequently assembled into transcript sequences with Stringtie (version 1.2.3, parameters -m 150 -t -f 0.3).²⁹ Next, Transdecoder (version 3.0.0) (https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder) was used to identify potential open reading frames and predict protein sequences. Using BLASTP (ncbi-blast-2.3.0+, parameters -max_target_seqs 1 -evalue 1e-05),³⁰ the predicted protein sequences were compared against a protein reference database (UniProt Magnoliophyta, reviewed/Swiss-Prot) and used hmmscan (version 3.1b2)³¹ to identify conserved protein family domains for all proteins. BLAST and hmmscan results were then used by Transdecoder-predict and the best translations per transcript sequence was selected. Finally, results from the two gene prediction approaches were combined and redundant protein sequences were removed. Additionally, some symbiosis genes were manually curated (Supplementary Table S6).

In order to classify gene models into complete and functional genes, non-coding transcripts, pseudogenes, and transposable elements, a confidence classification protocol was applied. Candidate protein sequences were compared against the following three databases using BLAST: PTREP, a manually curated database of hypothetical proteins that contains deduced protein sequences, from which frameshifts have mostly been removed (http://botserv2.uzh.ch/ kelldata/trep-db/index.html); Fab, a database with annotated proteins from the legumes Glycine max and Medicago; and UniMag, a database of validated proteins from the Magnoliophyta. UniMag protein sequences were downloaded from UniProt and further filtered for complete sequences with start and stop codons. Best hits were selected for each predicted protein to each of the three databases. Only hits with an E-value below 10e-10 were considered. Furthermore, only hits with subject coverage above 80% were considered significant and protein sequences were further classified into high and low confidence. High-confidence (HC) protein sequences

are complete and have a subject and query coverage above the threshold in the UniMag database (HC1) or no blast hit in UniMag but in Fab and not PTREP (HC2). While a low-confidence (LC) protein sequence is not complete and has a hit in the UniMag or Fab database but not in PTREP (LC1), or no hit in UniMag and Fab and PTREP but the protein sequence is complete. Functional annotation of transcripts as well as the assignment of GO terms was performed using the tool 'Automatic assignment of Human Readable Descriptions - AHRD'. AHRD performs BLASTP search against Swiss-Prot, The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR), and TrEMBL databases to perform functional annotation based on homology to other known proteins and integrates domain search results from InterProScan as well as gene ontology (GO) terms.³² Repeats were annotated using RepeatMasker³³ version 3.3 with a custom Fabaceae-library in sensitive mode. Non-coding RNAs were predicted using tRNAscan-SE (version 1.3.1),³⁴ RNAmmer (version 1.2),³⁵ and Infernal (version 1.1.2)³⁶ with default parameters. The results were merged subsequently.

2.5. Expression atlas

Raw Lotus Gifu RNA-seq reads were obtained from either the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) for the listed accessions or generated in this study (Supplementary Table S1). For data in this study, 3-day old Lotus Gifu seedlings were transferred to filter paper covered agar (1.4% agar noble) slants. Roots were treated with M. loti R7A, 6-Benzylaminopurine (BA) (1 µM) or mock and a 1-cm segment of root tissue corresponding to the zone of emerging root hairs at time of treatment was harvested. For nodule tissue, whole nodules were harvested. Libraries were constructed and sequenced by Novogene (Hong Kong) using PE-150bp reads on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument. A decoy-aware index was built for Gifu transcripts using default Salmon parameters and reads were quantified using the -validateMappings flag³⁷ (Salmon version 0.14.1). A normalised expression atlas across all conditions was constructed using the R-package DESeq2 version 1.20³⁸ after summarizing gene level abundance using the R-package tximport (version 1.8.0). Normalized count data obtained from DESeq2 are available in the Lotus Base expression atlas (https://lotus.au.dk/expat/).¹⁵

2.6. Analysis of symbiotic islands

Medicago A17 proteins associated with symbiotic islands as defined by Pecrix et al.,³⁹ were blasted against Lotus Gifu proteins annotated in the present assembly, and the best hit was extracted. It was then determined if there was microsynteny between the Medicago A17 genes in the symbiotic island and the best Lotus Gifu matches (Supplementary File S5). Medicago A17 RNA-seq data (Supplementary Table S2) was trimmed using trimmomatic (10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170), trimmed reads were mapped to the Medicago A17 version 5 reference sequence (MtrunA17r5.0) using the splice aware STAR aligner (version 2.5.1a).⁴⁰ A read was allowed to map in at most 10 locations (-outFilterMultimapNmax 10) with a maximum of 4% mismatches (-outFilterMismatchNoverLmax 0.04) and all non-canonical intron motifs were filtered out (-outFilterIntronMotifs RemoveNoncanonicalUnannotated). In order to obtain non-unique gene-level counts from the mapping files, HTSeq (version $(0.9.1)^{41}$ with the 'nonunique all'-method was used. Normalization of read counts was performed by library sequence depth using the R-package DESeq2 (version 1.23.3).³⁸

Log expression ratios of 10 days post inoculation (dpi) nodule samples versus non-inoculated root samples were calculated for

Table 1. Assembly and	d genetic map sta	tistics
-----------------------	-------------------	---------

Contig count	Total length (bp)	N50 (bp)	L50
1,686	554,078,227	807,552	187
1,538 (91.2%)	551,215,263 (99.5%)	823,414	185
105 (6.3%)	3,270,218 (0.6%)	35,963	27
124 (7.4%)	5,010,333 (0.9%)	51,370	29
1,309 (77.6%)	542,934,712 (97.9%)	835,713	180
148 (8.8%)	2,862,964 (0.5%)	23,531	46
	Contig count 1,686 1,538 (91.2%) 105 (6.3%) 124 (7.4%) 1,309 (77.6%) 148 (8.8%)	Contig countTotal length (bp)1,686554,078,2271,538 (91.2%)551,215,263 (99.5%)105 (6.3%)3,270,218 (0.6%)124 (7.4%)5,010,333 (0.9%)1,309 (77.6%)542,934,712 (97.9%)148 (8.8%)2,862,964 (0.5%)	Contig countTotal length (bp)N50 (bp)1,686554,078,227807,5521,538 (91.2%)551,215,263 (99.5%)823,414105 (6.3%)3,270,218 (0.6%)35,963124 (7.4%)5,010,333 (0.9%)51,3701,309 (77.6%)542,934,712 (97.9%)835,713148 (8.8%)2,862,964 (0.5%)23,531

N50: at least 50% of the total length is contained within contigs of size N50 or longer. L50: at least 50% of the total length is contained within L50 number of contigs.

Lotus Gifu and Medicago A17 and Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). For calculation of Pearson correlation coefficients, all Medicago A17 RNA-seq samples listed in Supplementary Table S2 were used, while only Lotus Gifu root and nodule samples were used (Supplementary Table S1). When analyzing the largest possible set of genes (Fig. 3B), all Medicago A17 genes with a match to a Lotus Gifu gene anywhere in the genome were included along with one Lotus Gifu match per Medicago A17 gene, allowing many copies of the same Lotus Gifu gene. For analysis of unique Lotus genes, only a single Medicago A17 gene was included per Lotus Gifu match within the microsyntenic region and islands with less than three Lotus Gifu microsyntenic hits were not considered (Fig. 3C). All statistical analyses were carried out using R version 3.4.3. The scripts used for analysis are freely available from GitHub (https://github.com/stiguandersen/ LotjaGifuGenome).

2.7. Data availability

Sequencing data are available from SRA. PacBio data used for genome assembly and Hi-C data from Phase Genomics used for construction of proximity map (PRJNA498060); Illumina paired-end data from RIL resequencing used for genetic map construction (PRJNA498068); *L. burttii* genomic DNA reads (PRJNA635235); RNA-seq data used for annotation (PRJNA622801); RNA-seq expression atlas data (PRJNA622396). Assembly pseudomolecules are available from the NCBI nucleotide repository with accession numbers AP022629–AP022637. Pseudomolecule sequences and genome annotation information are also found in Supplementary Files S2 and S3 and are available for browsing and download at *Lotus* Base (https://lotus.au.dk) and LegumeBase (https://www.legumebase.brc. miyazaki-u.ac.jp) and for synteny comparisons at CoGe (https:// genomevolution.org/coge/GenomeInfo.pl?gid=58121).

3. Results and data description

3.1 A chromosome-scale Lotus Gifu assembly including telo- and centromeric repeats

We generated a total of 11.8 million PacBio RSII reads, which we assembled using Canu²¹ into 1,686 contigs with an N50 of 807 kb and a total length of 554 Mb (Table 1). We first scaffolded the contigs using 175 million Proximo Hi-C reads (Phase genomics). To validate the scaffolding, we mapped whole genome re-sequencing data from two RIL populations¹² to the PacBio contigs. The vast majority of the assembly, 99.5%, was contained within contigs that had at least one polymorphic SNP marker, leaving only 2.5 Mb of sequence on markerless contigs (Table 1). We compared the Hi-C scaffolding results to the genetic maps generated based on the RIL data (Supplementary File S1) and moved contigs according to

genetic linkage. We then repeated the scaffolding until the Proximo Hi-C results were concordant with the genetic maps and the contigs were arranged in six pseudomolecules corresponding to the six Lotus chromosomes (Supplementary File S2). The total length of the assembly was close to the expected genome size of \sim 500 Mb (Table 1), and we found canonical telomeric repeats at the ends of all pseudomolecules, except for the bottom of chromosome 3, indicating a high completeness of the assembly. The 2.5 Mb of unanchored contigs placed on chr0 contained a substantial amount of pericentromeric repeats.

Regarding the highly repetitive sequences, three 45S rDNA clusters and a 5S rDNA gene cluster were anchored on chromosomes 2, 5, and 6, and on chromosome 2, respectively, consistent with FISH data (Fig. 1A).⁴² In addition to the regions with a high density of repetitive sequences, corresponding to the pericentromeric regions of each chromosome, small regions with high densities of repetitive sequences were identified within the gene rich regions at the bottom arm of chromosomes 2 and 4 (Fig. 1A). The location of these regions corresponded to the positions of chromosome knobs reported in the previous cytological analyses.^{42,43} These regions with highly dense repetitive sequences tend to be composed of contigs with short length, and thus a significant number of the sequence gaps (389 out of 1,555) were found in these regions. Despite the relatively high frequency of sequence gaps in these repetitive regions, the Hi-C reads provided sufficient physical linking information to allow scaffolding.

3.2. Genome annotation

Based on evidence from expression data as well as homology information from related species, 30,243 genes were annotated, 21,778 of which represent HC gene models (Table 2, Supplementary File S3). Using the embryophyta_odb10 lineage 1,584 out of 1,614 (98.2%) complete BUSCO v4 orthologs⁴⁴ were found in the genome assembly and 1,551 (96.1%), were identified within the annotated gene set (Fig. 1B). The HC gene set had a BUSCO score of 94%. Using AHRD,³² we could assign functional annotations to 29,429 genes (97%). Of these, 70.53% fulfilled all three AHRD quality criteria, 16.85% fulfilled two and 11.8% fulfilled one criterion. We then annotated non-coding RNAs, identifying 2,933 in total that comprised 128 micro RNAs, 851 snoRNAs, 88 tRNAs, 795 rRNAs, and others. In total, gene models covered 156,379,918 bases and coding exons covered 60,649,299 bases of the genome assembly.

Repetitive elements made up 260,312,827 bases (46.96%) of the genome. Of these, long-terminal repeat retrotransposons accounted for most of the repeat content of the genome (42.51%), followed by DNA transposons and low complexity regions (Fig. 1C). Chromosomes 1, 3, 4, 5, and 6 showed centrally located pericentromeric regions rich in repetitive elements flanked by gene-rich regions



Figure 1. (A) Circos diagram displaying heatmaps of the numbers of genes and ncRNAs (100 Mb bins) and bases covered by repetitive elements (10 Mb bins) in the Lotus Gifu genome. (B) BUSCO version 4 scores of the Lotus Gifu assembly (98.2%), the HC gene set (94%), the high- and LC gene set (96.1%) and of only the longest transcript of each gene (91.8%) from the joint HC and LC gene set. Lineage used: embryophyta_odb10. (C) Distribution of repetitive elements in the Lotus Gifu genome.

LiNin

Table 2. Genome annotation statistics

	Lotus Gifu version 1.2 HC + LC	Lotus Gifu version 1.2 HC	Medicago A17 version 4	Medicago A17 version 4 HC	Medicago A17 version 5	<i>Glycine max</i> Williams 82 version 2.1
Number of genes	30,243	21,778	50,444	31,451	51,316	52,872
Number of coding genes	29,554	21,778	50,444	31,451	44,623	52,872
Number of mRNAs	49,868	37,994	57,585	38,175	44,623	86,256
Number of exons	306,545	264,198	267,394	397,385	189,379	560,910
Number of CDSs	262,442	236,845	257,792	376,276	174,461	516,059
Average CDS lengths (bp)	1,216.2	1,385.4	1,038.4	1,272.4	1,017.7	1,350.7
Average exon lengths (bp)	417.54	373.77	282.58	261.92	360.25	312.48
Average intron lengths (bp)	527.12	513.71	444.41	438.41	476.57	519.19
Average transcripts per gene	1.65	1.74	1.14	1.54	1	1.63
Average exons per transcript	6.15	6.95	4.64	6.75	4.19	6.5
Average CDS exons per transcript	5.23	6.23	4.48	6.39	3.91	5.98

HC, high-confidence gene models; LC, low-confidence gene models.



Figure 2. Expression profiles of known symbiosis genes. Expression values from the Lotus Gifu RNA-seq expression atlas are shown for the indicated genes. A full description of the conditions included is shown in Supplementary Table S1. The heatmap was generated from https://lotus.au.dk/expat/ using the normalize by row function.

(Fig. 1A). In contrast, the centromere of chromosome 2 appeared to be distally located near the top of the chromosome, which also carried a large cluster of rRNA genes (Fig. 1A).

3.3. RNA-seq-based expression atlas

To produce a gene expression atlas, publicly available and new RNA-seq data from Lotus Gifu was obtained for 35 conditions

across different tissues, symbiotic, and pathogenic interactions (Supplementary Table S1). The conditions available include root hair, nodule primordia, and nodules obtained after inoculation with Mesorhizobium loti R7A and root interactions with microbes across a symbiont-pathogen spectrum;¹⁸ root and shoot tissues 3 days after roots were inoculated with M. loti⁴⁵; root symbiotic susceptible zone treated with cytokinin (1 µM BA) or M. loti R7A (this study); roots inoculated with the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (AMF), Glomus

Table 3. (Conservation	of symbiotic	islands	between	Lotus and	Medicago
------------	--------------	--------------	---------	---------	-----------	----------

NRU	NRD	NRN	NDA	NDD	NDN
270	89	84	49	211	57
2559	712	628	377	1680	429
1040	550	516	298	506	322
770	358	456	261	396	275
446	228	320	190	215	166
302	40	17	25	228	18
47	17	9	13	31	6
17	3	5	9	8	5
40.6%	77.2%	82.2%	79.0%	30.1%	75.1%
1.35	1.54	1.13	1.14	1.28	1.17
57.9%	63.7%	70.2%	72.8%	54.3%	60.4%
101 (37%)	80 (90%)	82 (98%)	44 (90%)	36 (17%)	49 (86%)
	NRU 270 2559 1040 770 446 302 47 17 40.6% 1.35 57.9% 101 (37%)	NRU NRD 270 89 2559 712 1040 550 770 358 446 228 302 40 47 17 17 3 40.6% 77.2% 1.35 1.54 57.9% 63.7% 101 (37%) 80 (90%)	NRU NRD NRN 270 89 84 2559 712 628 1040 550 516 770 358 456 446 228 320 302 40 17 47 17 9 17 3 5 40.6% 77.2% 82.2% 1.35 1.54 1.13 57.9% 63.7% 70.2% 101 (37%) 80 (90%) 82 (98%)	NRU NRD NRN NDA 270 89 84 49 2559 712 628 377 1040 550 516 298 770 358 456 261 446 228 320 190 302 40 17 25 47 17 9 13 17 3 5 9 40.6% 77.2% 82.2% 79.0% 1.35 1.54 1.13 1.14 57.9% 63.7% 70.2% 72.8% 101 (37%) 80 (90%) 82 (98%) 44 (90%)	NRU NRD NRN NDA NDD 270 89 84 49 211 2559 712 628 377 1680 1040 550 516 298 506 770 358 456 261 396 446 228 320 190 215 302 40 17 25 228 47 17 9 13 31 17 3 5 9 8 40.6% 77.2% 82.2% 79.0% 30.1% 1.35 1.54 1.13 1.14 1.28 57.9% 63.7% 70.2% 72.8% 54.3% 101 (37%) 80 (90%) 82 (98%) 44 (90%) 36 (17%)

Mt, Medicago A17; Lj, Lotus Gifu; NRU, nodule versus root upregulated; NRD, nodule versus root downregulated; NRN, nodule versus root not regulated; NDA, nodule development apical zone; NDD, nodule development differentiation zone; NDN, nodule development not regulated.

*intraradices*⁴⁶; root, leaf, immature flowers, mature flowers, pods, and seeds (SRA ID: PRJDB2436). Gene-level quantification of the data was normalized across conditions (Supplementary File S4) and is made available through *Lotus* Base (https://lotus.au.dk/expat/) to provide a readily accessible expression viewer. Well-described nodulation genes showed the expected expression patterns across the conditions represented in the expression atlas (Fig. 2).

3.4 Symbiotic islands are not generally conserved between Lotus and Medicago

Recently, 'symbiotic islands' representing clusters of genes that showed co-regulated, symbiosis-related expression profiles were identified in Medicago A17.39 Interestingly, these clusters were rich in long non-coding (lnc) RNAs, and it was proposed that the lncRNAs may be involved in regulating symbiosis-related gene expression. To investigate if the Medicago symbiotic islands were conserved in Lotus, we extracted the best Lotus Gifu BLAST hits against the Medicago A17 genes reported to reside within symbiotic islands (Supplementary File S5). Protein coding genes were generally well conserved and showed high levels of microsynteny, regardless of whether or not they were present in gene islands that showed symbiosis-related differential expression (Table 3). Out of 760 islands, 266 had at least three distinct Lotus Gifu hits in microsyntenic regions, and the region with the largest overlap comprised 12 hits. In contrast, most Medicago A17 lncRNAs had no putative orthologs in the Lotus Gifu genome, and, when identified, they were often not found within the designated microsyntenic region (Table 3). Across all 760 investigated islands, a total of six had two lncRNA hits to the Lotus Gifu microsyntenic region, and no island had more than two.

The limited conservation and lack of positional clustering make it unlikely that putative orthologs of Medicago lncRNAs are generally part of symbiotic islands in Lotus. Instead, we looked further into the protein coding genes to determine if their organization into symbiotic islands could be conserved. All 760 islands contain at least one protein coding gene. Out of these, we examined the 443 islands associated with nodule-regulated genes designated 'Nodule upregulated (NRU)', 'Nodule downregulated (NRD)', and 'Nodule non-regulated (NRN)'. First, we investigated the level of expression conservation by comparing the expression of Medicago A17 genes in symbiotic islands and their Lotus Gifu syntenic homologs in root and 10 dpi nodule samples (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2 and Supplementary Files S4 and S6). The genes associated with Medicago A17 NRU islands showed strongly correlated expression responses in Lotus Gifu and Medicago A17, NRDgenes showed a less pronounced correlation, while there was no correlation for the NRN genes (Fig. 3A).

To quantify the level of co-regulation within putative symbiotic islands, we calculated the average Pearson correlation coefficients for each island based on the gene expression data from root and nodule samples (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). First, we included all genes in Medicago symbiotic islands that had a Lotus BLAST match anywhere in the genome along with their best Lotus match. If a Lotus gene was the best match for multiple Medicago genes, it was included multiple times in the analysis. Especially for the NRU islands, this resulted in a very pronounced skew towards high correlation coefficients as compared to the NRN islands, and this was true both for Lotus Gifu and Medicago A17 (Fig. 3B).

We then repeated the analysis including only Medicago A17-Lotus Gifu syntenic homolog pairs from islands with at least three unique Lotus Gifu genes. That is, if multiple Medicago A17 genes matched the same Lotus Gifu gene, only a single Medicago A17 gene was retained and each unique Lotus Gifu gene was only included once per island. This resulted in a marked reduction in the number of islands and the large peak of near perfect correlation coefficients for NRU islands disappeared for both Lotus Gifu and Medicago A17 (Fig. 3C). Since there was no longer a major difference between the root/nodule-based correlation coefficients between the noduleregulated NRU and NRD islands and the NRN controls, it appears that local gene amplification in MedicagoA17 is a major cause of the symbiotic island signal. This is consistent with an overall high ratio of Medicago A17 to Lotus Gifu genes in symbiotic islands (Table 3). Symbiotic islands are thus not generally conserved between Lotus and Medicago and are not general features of legume genomes. However, we did find a few examples of gene clusters that showed conserved co-regulation for root and nodule samples (Supplementary Tables S3-S5). In Lotus Gifu, NRU island SRI NDD0105, which had the second highest Lotus correlation coefficient (Supplementary Table S3), had three very similar copies of a nodulin gene, suggesting that local gene amplification also plays a role here. In contrast, the NRU island with the highest Lotus correlation coefficient (SRI_NRU0026) comprised three very different genes, perhaps warranting further investigation (Supplementary Table S3).



Figure 3. Symbiotic island gene expression. (A) Log(nodule/root) expression ratios for genes in Medicago A17 symbiotic islands and their best Lotus Gifu BLAST matches. *n*: gene count. *r*. Pearson correlation coefficient for the Lotus Gifu and Medicago A17 log(nodule/root) ratios. (B–C) Histograms of Pearson correlation coefficients for symbiotic islands. The Pearson correlation coefficient for each island is an average of the coefficients resulting from pairwise comparisons of the gene expression profiles of all genes residing within that island. *n*: symbiotic island count. (B) All genes in Medicago A17 symbiotic islands with a putative Lotus Gifu homolog with expression data. Multiple copies of the same Lotus Gifu gene are allowed. (C) Only one Lotus Gifu copy and one corresponding Medicago A17 gene is included in the analysis and it is further required that each Lotus Gifu island contains at least three members. Lotus: expression data from Lotus Gifu. Medicago: expression data from Medicago A17.

By applying long PacBio reads, the contiguity of the assembly was improved compared to the Lotus MG-20 version 3.0 assembly that was a hybrid assembly based on Sanger and Illumina sequences. Using Hi-C paired-end reads and high-density SNP marker information generated by re-sequencing of Lotus Gifu x L. burttii RILs, 1,584 contigs were anchored onto 6 chromosomes with 42 scaffolds, providing a high-quality and well-validated assembly. The number of scaffolds was a bit larger than that of the latest Medicago A17 sequence (Mt5.0) due to manual correction of Hi-C scaffolding errors based on the SNP marker information. Typical Hi-C scaffolding errors were identified in the distal regions of each pseudomolecule and at the border regions of chromosome knobs located on chromosomes 2 and 4, presumably due to an atypical three-dimensional chromosome conformation in those regions. A total of 30,243 high and LC gene models were annotated, which corresponds approximately to the number of HC gene models in the Medicago version 4 assembly (Table 2). The total number of annotated genes is higher for Medicago versions 4 and 5 than for the current Lotus Gifu assembly. However, the number of exons per transcript is markedly lower for the full Medicago A17gene sets than for the Lotus Gifu gene and Medicago A17 version 4 HC gene sets, suggesting that the differences in gene numbers are due to different stringencies in including small genes with few exons. As expected, the paleopolyploid soybean (Glycine max)⁴⁷ has a higher number of annotated genes than Lotus but retains a similar exon per transcript ratio despite more than 50,000 annotated genes.

The availability of a high-quality Lotus Gifu assembly will facilitate further improvements of genetic and genomic Lotus resources. The LORE1 mutant collection, which includes more than 130,000 insertion mutant lines, is in the Lotus Gifu genetic background, but was annotated based on the Lotus MG-20 version 3.0 assembly.¹⁴ Using the new Lotus Gifu sequence, the LORE1 insertions can now be more accurately characterized. Likewise, Gifu is more closely related to the majority of the collection of natural Lotus accessions that was recently characterized,¹⁰ and the new reference assembly should allow an improved characterization of the genetic diversity. Here, we have mapped existing and new RNA-seq data to the Gifu assembly to provide a consistently normalized and updated Lotus gene expression atlas readily available through Lotus Base.¹⁵ The current atlas does not comprise as many samples as previously profiled using microarrays,^{48,49} but it is not limited by probe set selection and includes data on all annotated and expressed genes.

The new assembly and expression atlas also proved useful in interspecific comparisons, since the complete pseudomolecules allowed us to accurately assess synteny with Medicago to investigate the level of conservation of plant symbiotic islands. Interestingly, the recently identified Medicago symbiotic islands did not appear to be conserved in Lotus. This was most evident for the Medicago non-coding RNAs, for which we could find only very few matching sequences in Lotus despite the completeness of the assembly. It should be noted that many of the transcripts classified as lncRNAs in the Medicago study³⁹ in fact encode peptides, most notably the large family of nodule cysteine-rich (NCR) peptides. The NCR peptides are characteristic of the Inverted Repeat Lacking Clade (IRLC) legume lineage and thus not found in Lotus.⁵⁰ The same appears to be the case for the other transcripts in the non-coding class, indicating that non-coding and peptide-encoding genes have evolved rapidly and are not generally required for legume-rhizobium symbiosis across determinate and indeterminate nodulators. For the protein-coding genes in symbiotic

9

islands, we found much higher levels of conservation and microsynteny, but most of the local co-regulation appeared to be related to tandem gene duplications in Medicago. Generally, Medicago seems to have experienced not only a rapid expansion of NCR peptide genes and lncRNAs involved in symbiosis, but also of protein-coding genes with symbiosis-related expression patterns, and our results clearly indicate that symbiotic islands are not general features of legume genomes.

The analysis of symbiotic islands represents only a first use case for the new high-quality Lotus Gifu genomic data, and we anticipate that it will be broadly used in genomics studies. The data will be included in comparative genomics websites such as Phytozome⁵¹ and Legume Information System⁵² and it is already available at CoGe (https://genomevolution.org/coge/GenomeInfo.pl?gid=58121).⁵³ In addition, the high completeness of the assembly and the set of annotated genes makes the data well suited for phylogenomic studies that rely on precise genomic data for large-scale cross-species analyses.⁵⁴

Funding

The work was supported by the Danish National Research Foundation (grant DNRF79 to J.S.), the Genome Information Upgrading Program of the National BioResource Project in 2014 and 2015 (S.S.), a JST CREST (grant JPMJCR16O1 to S.S.), the CRISBAR grant of the German Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF) (K.F.X.M.) and grant 10-081677 from The Danish Council for Independent Research | Technology and Production Sciences (S.U.A.).

Accession numbers

PRJNA498060, PRJNA498068, PRJNA622801, PRJNA635235 and AP022629-AP022637.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at DNARES online.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, S.U.A., S.S. and K.F.X.M; validation, N.K., S.S., K.F.X.M and S.U.A.; formal analysis, N.K., T.M., D.R., T.Y.A., T.A., H.H., S.S. and SUA; investigation, N.S; resources, J.S., S.S., K.F.X.M., S.U.A.; data curation, N.K., S.S., K.F.X.M and S.U.A.; writing—original draft, S.U.A. and N.K.; writing—review and editing, S.U.A. and N.K. with input from all authors; visualization, N.K., S.U.A. and D.R.; supervision, S.U.A., S.S. and K.F.X.M.; project administration, J.S., S.S., K.F.X.M. and S.U.A.; funding acquisition, J.S., S.S., K.F.X.M. and S.U.A.

References

- Parniske, M. 2008, Arbuscular mycorrhiza: the mother of plant root endosymbioses, Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 6, 763–75.
- Roy, S., Liu, W., Nandety, R.S., et al. 2020, Celebrating 20 years of genetic discoveries in legume nodulation and symbiotic nitrogen fixation, *Plant Cell*, 32, 15–41.

- Ito, M., Miyamoto, J., Mori, Y., et al. 2000, Genome and chromosome dimensions of *Lotus japonicus*, J. Plant Res., 113, 435–42.
- Handberg, K. and Stougaard, J. 1992, Lotus japonicus, an autogamous, diploid legume species for classical and molecular genetics, *Plant J.*, 2, 487–96.
- Schauser, L., Roussis, A., Stiller, J. and Stougaard, J. 1999, A plant regulator controlling development of symbiotic root nodules, *Nature*, 402, 191–5.
- Radutoiu, S., Madsen, L.H., Madsen, E.B., et al. 2003, Plant recognition of symbiotic bacteria requires two LysM receptor-like kinases, *Nature*, 425, 585–92.
- Kawaharada, Y., Kelly, S., Nielsen, M.W., et al. 2015, Receptor-mediated exopolysaccharide perception controls bacterial infection, *Nature*, 523, 308–12.
- Bruneau, A., Doyle, J.J., Herendeen, P., et al. 2013, Legume phylogeny and classification in the 21st century: progress, prospects and lessons for other species–rich clades, *TAXON*, 62, 217–48.
- 9. Doyle, J.J. 2011, Phylogenetic perspectives on the origins of nodulation, *Mpmi*, 24, 1289–95.
- Shah, N., Wakabayashi, T., Kawamura, Y., et al. 2020, Extreme genetic signatures of local adaptation during *Lotus japonicus* colonization of Japan, Nat. Commun., 11, 253–15.
- Sandal, N., Petersen, T.R., Murray, J., et al. 2006, Genetics of symbiosis in *Lotus japonicus*: recombinant inbred lines, comparative genetic maps, and map position of 35 symbioticloci, *Mpmi*, 19, 80–91.
- Shah, N., Hirakawa, H., Kusakabe, S., et al. 2016, High-resolution genetic maps of *Lotus japonicus* and *L. burttii* based on re-sequencing of recombinant inbred lines, *DNA Res.*, 23, 487–94.
- Perry, J.A., Wang, T.L., Welham, T.J., et al. 2003, A TILLING reverse genetics tool and a web-accessible collection of mutants of the legume *Lotus japonicus*, *Plant Physiol.*, 131, 866–71.
- Małolepszy, A., Mun, T., Sandal, N., et al. 2016, The LORE1 insertion mutant resource, *Plant J.*, 88, 306–17.
- Mun, T., Bachmann, A., Gupta, V., Stougaard, J. and Andersen, S.U. 2016, Lotus Base: an integrated information portal for the model legume *Lotus japonicus*, *Sci. Rep.*, 6, 39447.
- Stougaard, J. 2014, Background and history of the *Lotus japonicus* model legume system. In: *The Lotus japonicus Genome*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 3–8.
- 17. Sato, S., Nakamura, Y., Kaneko, T., et al. 2008, Genome structure of the legume, *Lotus japonicus*, *DNA Res.*, **15**, 227–39.
- Kelly, S., Mun, T., Stougaard, J., Ben, C. and Andersen, S.U. 2018, Distinct *Lotus japonicus* transcriptomic responses to a spectrum of bacteria ranging from symbiotic to pathogenic, *Front. Plant Sci.*, 9, 1218.
- Malolepszy, A., Kelly, S., Sørensen, K.K., et al. 2018, A plant chitinase controls cortical infection thread progression and nitrogen-fixing symbiosis, *Elife*, 7, e00013.
- Zhang, H.-B., Zhao, X., Ding, X., Paterson, A.H. and Wing, R.A. 1995, Preparation of megabase-size DNA from plant nuclei, *Plant J.*, 7, 175–84.
- Koren, S., Walenz, B.P., Berlin, K., Miller, J.R., Bergman, N.H. and Phillippy, A.M. 2017, Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation, *Genome Res.*, 27, 722–36.
- 22. Li, H. and Durbin, R. 2009, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform, *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 1754–60.
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., et al. 2009, The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools, *Bioinformatics*, 25, 2078–9.
- Lieberman-Aiden, E., van Berkum, N.L., Williams, L., et al. 2009, Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals folding principles of the human genome, *Science*, 326, 289–93.
- Bickhart, D.M., Rosen, B.D., Koren, S., et al. 2017, Single-molecule sequencing and chromatin conformation capture enable de novo reference assembly of the domestic goat genome, *Nat. Genet.*, 49, 643–50.
- Burton, J.N., Adey, A., Patwardhan, R.P., Qiu, R., Kitzman, J.O. and Shendure, J. 2013, Chromosome-scale scaffolding of de novo genome assemblies based on chromatin interactions, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 31, 1119–25.

- Gremme, G., Brendel, V., Sparks, M.E. and Kurtz, S. 2005, Engineering a software tool for gene structure prediction in higher organisms, *Inform. Softw. Technol.*, 47, 965–78.
- Kim, D., Paggi, J.M., Park, C., Bennett, C. and Salzberg, S.L. 2019, Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 37, 907–15.
- Pertea, M., Pertea, G.M., Antonescu, C.M., Chang, T.-C., Mendell, J.T. and Salzberg, S.L. 2015, StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 33, 290–5.
- Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W. and Lipman, D.J. 1990, Basic local alignment search tool, J. Mol. Biol., 215, 403–10.
- Eddy, S.R. 2011, Accelerated profile HMM searches. Pearson, W. R., (ed). PLoS Comput. Biol., 7, e1002195.
- Tomato Genome Consortium. 2012, The tomato genome sequence provides insights into fleshy fruit evolution, *Nature*, 485, 635–41.
- Smit, A., Hubley, R. and Green, P., RepeatMasker Open-4.0. 2013–2015, www.repeatmasker.org (22 July 2020, date last accessed).
- Lowe, T.M. and Eddy, S.R. 1997, tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 25, 955–64.
- Lagesen, K., Hallin, P., Rødland, E.A., Staerfeldt, H.-H., Rognes, T. and Ussery, D.W. 2007, RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 35, 3100–8.
- Nawrocki, E.P. and Eddy, S.R. 2013, Infernal 1.1: 100-fold faster RNA homology searches, *Bioinformatics*, 29, 2933–5.
- Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M.I., Irizarry, R.A. and Kingsford, C. 2017, Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression, *Nat. Methods*, 14, 417–9.
- Love, M.I., Huber, W. and Anders, S. 2014, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2, *Genome Biol.*, 15, 550–21.
- Pecrix, Y., Staton, S.E., Sallet, E., et al. 2018, Whole-genome landscape of Medicago truncatula symbiotic genes, *Nat Plants*, 356, eaad4501.
- Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., et al. 2013, STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner, *Bioinformatics*, 29, 15–21.
- Anders, S., Pyl, P.T. and Huber, W. 2015, HTSeq-a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data, *Bioinformatics*, 31, 166–9.
- Hayashi, M., Miyahara, A., Sato, S., et al. 2001, Construction of a genetic linkage map of the model legume *Lotus japonicus* using an intraspecific F 2 population, *DNA Res.*, 8, 301–10.
- 43. Ohmido, N., Ishimaru, A., Kato, S., Sato, S., Tabata, S. and Fukui, K. 2010, Integration of cytogenetic and genetic linkage maps of *Lotus japonicus*, a model plant for legumes, *Chromosome Res.*, 18, 287–99.
- 44. Simão, F.A., Waterhouse, R.M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E.V. and Zdobnov, E.M. 2015, BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs, *Bioinformatics*, 31, 3210–2.
- Munch, D., Gupta, V., Bachmann, A., et al. 2018, The brassicaceae family displays divergent, shoot-skewed NLR resistance gene expression, *Plant Physiol.*, 176, 1598–609.
- 46. Handa, Y., Nishide, H., Takeda, N., Suzuki, Y., Kawaguchi, M. and Saito, K. 2015, RNA-seq transcriptional profiling of an *Arbuscular mycorrhiza* provides insights into regulated and coordinated gene expression in *Lotus japonicus* and *Rhizophagus irregularis*, *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 56, 1490–511.
- Schmutz, J., Cannon, S.B., Schlueter, J., et al. 2010, Genome sequence of the palaeopolyploid soybean, *Nature*, 463, 178–83.
- Høgslund, N., Radutoiu, S., Krusell, L., et al. 2009, Dissection of symbiosis and organ development by integrated transcriptome analysis of *Lotus japonicus* mutant and wild-type plants. Provart, N. J., (ed). *PLoS One*, 4, e6556.
- Verdier, J., Torres-Jerez, I., Wang, M., et al. 2013, Establishment of the Lotus japonicus Gene Expression Atlas (LjGEA) and its use to explore legume seed maturation, *Plant J.*, 74, 351–62.
- Kereszt, A., Mergaert, P., Montiel, J., Endre, G. and Kondorosi, É. 2018, Impact of plant peptides on symbiotic nodule development and functioning, *Front. Plant Sci.*, 9, 1026.

- Goodstein, D.M., Shu, S., Howson, R., et al. 2012, Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 40, D1178-86.
- 52. Dash, S., Campbell, J.D., Cannon, E.K.S., et al. 2016, Legume information system (LegumeInfo.org): a key component of a set of federated data resources for the legume family, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 44, D1181–8.
- Lyons, E. and Freeling, M. 2008, How to usefully compare homologous plant genes and chromosomes as DNA sequences, *Plant J.*, 53, 661–73.
- 54. Griesmann, M., Chang, Y., Liu, X., et al. 2018, Phylogenomics reveals multiple losses of nitrogen-fixing root nodule symbiosis, *Science*, 361, eaat1743.