# **Research** Article

# Seroprevalence and Risk Factors Associated with Hepatitis E Virus Infection in Three Species of Pet Birds in Northwest China

Wei Cong,<sup>1,2</sup> Qing-Feng Meng,<sup>3</sup> Xiao-Feng Shan,<sup>1</sup> Wu-Wen Sun,<sup>1</sup> Si-Yuan Qin,<sup>1,2</sup> Xiao-Xuan Zhang,<sup>1,2</sup> Si-Yang Huang,<sup>2</sup> and Ai-Dong Qian<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> College of Animal Science and Technology, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun, Jilin 130118, China

<sup>2</sup> State Key Laboratory of Veterinary Etiological Biology, Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute,

Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Lanzhou, Gansu 730046, China

<sup>3</sup> Jilin Entry-Exit Inspection and Quarantine Bureau, ChangChun, Jilin 130118, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Ai-Dong Qian; qianaidong0115@163.com

Received 19 May 2014; Accepted 3 September 2014; Published 30 October 2014

Academic Editor: Halis Oguz

Copyright © 2014 Wei Cong et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Hepatitis E virus (HEV), the causative agent of hepatitis E, has been reported in a wide variety of animals, including birds, but little is known of HEV infection in pet birds in northwest China. The objective of the present investigation was to examine HEV seroprevalence in three species of pet birds, namely, Eurasian siskin, Oriental skylark, and black-tailed grosbeak from Gansu. Serum samples collected from 685 pet birds from August 2011 to September 2012 were examined independently for the presence of antibodies against HEV. A total of 59 (8.31%) pet birds were tested positive for HEV antibodies by the commercially available enzyme immunoassay kits. Of these, the seroprevalence was diverse in different species pet birds; the most frequent level was 10.83% (39/360) in Eurasian siskin, followed by 6.57% (19/289) in Oriental skylark, and 2.29% (1/36) in black-tailed grosbeak. Age and collecting region of pet birds were the main risk factors associated with HEV infection. The present study firstly revealed the seroprevalence of HEV infection in three species of pet birds in northwest China, which provided the baseline data for taking comprehensive countermeasures and measures for effectively preventing and controlling HEV infection in birds.

#### 1. Introduction

Hepatitis E virus (HEV), a newfound pathogen belonging to a newly recognized family of RNA viruses (Hepeviridae) [1], is the pathogenic agent of hepatitis E. In many developing countries, HEV is epidemic and endemic and it is usually transmitted via contaminated food and drinking water [2]. HEV can lead to severe hepatic disease in humans, more often with higher case fatality rates than either hepatitis A or hepatitis B virus infection. The prevalence of HEV has been reported in a wide variety of animals, including birds [3, 4].

Avian hepatitis E virus was first isolated from chickens with big liver and spleen disease or hepatitis-splenomegaly syndrome [5, 6]. Avian HEV is likely not a zoonotic virus compared to swine HEV, since it failed to infect rhesus monkeys with avian HEV [7]. Nevertheless, avian HEV alone or coinfection with other avian pathogens is clinically important in poultry industry, which can cause death and reduce egg production of chicken, resulting in significant economic losses in the poultry industry [8].

Avian HEV infection in domestic birds has been reported all over the world [4, 9, 10] as well as in China, but avian HEV infection in wild birds and pet birds has been limitedly reported [3, 11]. The objective of the present investigation was to estimate the seroprevalence of avian HEV infection in three species of pet birds, namely, Eurasian siskin (*Carduelis spinus*), Oriental skylark (*Alauda gulgula*), and black-tailed grosbeak (*Coccothraustes migratorius*) from Gansu, for the first time, which would provide "baseline" information for assessing the effectiveness of strategies for control of avian HEV infection in pet birds in China.

Host	Site	Number of tested	Number of positive	Prevalence % (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)
Eurasian siskin	Lanzhou	120	18	15.00 (8.61-21.39)		Reference
	Tianshui	120	9	7.50 (2.79–12.21)	0.066	0.46 (0.20-1.07)
	Longnan	120	12	10.00 (4.63-15.37)	0.242	0.63 (0.29–1.37)
Oriental skylark	Lanzhou	169	13	7.69 (3.68–11.71)		Reference
	Tianshui	40	2	5.00 (0.00-11.75)	0.553	0.63 (0.14-2.92)
	Longnan	80	4	5.00 (0.22-9.78)	0.432	0.63 (0.20-2.00)
Black-tailed grosbeak	Tianshui	36	1	2.29 (0.00-8.15)	_	_

TABLE 1: Seroprevalence of HEV infection in pet birds in Gansu, northwest China.

# 2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethics Statement. This study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences. Pet birds of various species (Eurasian siskin, Oriental skylark, and black-tailed grosbeak), from which serum samples were collected, were handled with good animal practices required by the Animal Ethics Procedures and Guidelines of the People's Republic of China. The market owners of pet birds had given permission for the collection of serum samples.

2.2. The Study Site. The present study was conducted in Gansu  $(35^{\circ}50'40.9''N \ 103^{\circ}27'7.5''E)$ , northwestern China, with an area of 454,000 square kilometres, and the overwhelming majority of its land is quite high (over 1,000 meters above sea level). Gansu usually has a semiarid to arid, continental climate, with warm to hot summers and cold to very cold winters. A large proportion of the precipitation is delivered during the summer months.

2.3. Serum Samples. Blood samples were collected from 685 pet birds from 3 pet markets in 3 representative administrative divisions (289 from Lanzhou, 200 from Longnan, and 196 from Tianshui) in Gansu from August 2011 to September 2012. The samples were collected aimlessly from pet markets. Blood samples were collected from the wing vein of pet birds by a veterinary practitioner, taken to the laboratory, kept at room temperature for 2 h, and centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 min, and then clear serum was separated and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until being further tested. The information of animal husbandry practices was obtained from the pet bird market owners by questioning.

2.4. Serological Examination. A commercially available indirect enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Nuoyuan Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) was used to examine serum circulating antibodies (CAbs) to avian HEV, according to the manufacturer's instructions [11]. Negative and positive control serum samples were supplied in the kit and included in each test. Those samples with doubtful results were retested.

2.5. *Statistical Analysis.* The variation in HEV seroprevalence (y) of pet birds of different gender (x1), age group (x2), species (x3), and geographical location (x4) was analysed by

 $\chi^2$  test using SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., USA). In the multivariable regression analysis, each of these variables was included in the binary Logit model as an independent variable. The best model was judged by Fisher's scoring algorithm. All tests were two-sided, and values of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were estimated to explore the strength of the association between HEV seropositivity and the conditions investigated.

#### 3. Results

In the present survey, CAbs against avian HEV were detected in 59 (8.61%, 95% confidence interval CI 6.51–10.71) of the 685 examined bird serum samples by ELISA (Table 1). Of these, the seroprevalence of avian HEV was diverse in different species pet birds; the most frequent level was 10.83% (39/360, 95% CI 7.62–14.04) in Eurasian siskin, followed by 6.57% (19/289, 95% CI 3.72–9.43) in Oriental skylark and 2.29% (1/36, 95% CI 0.00–8.15) in black-tailed grosbeak. Moreover, the seroprevalence in adult pet birds (10.37%, 56/540, 95% CI 7.80–12.94) was significantly higher than that in juvenile pet birds (2.07%, 3/145, 95% CI 0.00–4.39) (OR = 5.48, 95% CI 1.69–17.76, P = 0.002), but the difference between male (9.31%, 39/419, 95% CI 6.53–12.09) and female (7.52%, 20/226, 95% CI 4.35–10.69) groups was not statistically significant (OR = 0.79, 95% CI 0.45–1.39, P = 0.416) (Table 2).

Table 2 presents the exposure regarding species, gender, age, and collecting region associated with HEV seropositivity in pet birds based on the univariate analysis. Optimized through Fisher's scoring technique, the impacts of multiple variables on HEV were evaluated by the forward stepwise logistic regression analysis. In the final model, two variables had effects on the infectious disease, described by the following equation:

$$y = 0.079x2 + 0.046x4 + 1.749.$$
(1)

Statistical analysis suggested that the avian HEV seroprevalence in pet birds from Lanzhou (15.82%, 31/196, 95% CI 10.71–20.93) was significantly higher than that from Longnan (8.00%, 16/200, 95% CI 4.24–11.76) and Tianshui (4.15%, 12/289, 95% CI 1.85–6.45) (P < 0.001) (Table 2). Moreover, the seroprevalence in Eurasian siskin was significantly higher than that in black-tailed grosbeak (P < 0.05), but the difference between Eurasian siskin and Oriental skylark was

Category	Number of tested	Number of positive	Prevalence % (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)
Tianshui	289	12	4.15 (1.85-6.45)		Reference
Lanzhou	196	31	15.82 (10.71-20.93)	< 0.001	4.34 (2.17-8.68)
Longnan	200	16	8.00 (4.24-11.76)	0.072	2.01 (0.94-4.34)
Male	419	39	9.31 (6.53-12.09)		Reference
Female	266	20	7.52 (4.35-10.69)	0.416	0.79 (0.45–1.39)
Black-tailed grosbeak	36	1	2.29 (0.00-8.15)		Reference
Oriental skylark	289	19	6.57 (3.72-9.43)	0.371	2.38 (0.31-18.29)
Eurasian siskin	360	39	10.83 (7.62–14.04)	0.126	4.25 (0.57-31.91)
Juvenile	145	3	2.07 (0.00-4.39)		Reference
Adult	540	56	10.37 (7.80-12.94)	0.002	5.48 (1.69–17.76)
	685	59	8.61 (6.51-10.71)		
	Category Tianshui Lanzhou Longnan Male Female Black-tailed grosbeak Oriental skylark Eurasian siskin Juvenile Adult	CategoryNumber of testedTianshui289Lanzhou196Longnan200Male419Female266Black-tailed grosbeak36Oriental skylark289Eurasian siskin360Juvenile145Adult540685	CategoryNumber of testedNumber of positiveTianshui28912Lanzhou19631Longnan20016Male41939Female26620Black-tailed grosbeak361Oriental skylark28919Eurasian siskin36039Juvenile1453Adult54056	CategoryNumber of testedNumber of positivePrevalence % (95% CI)Tianshui289124.15 (1.85–6.45)Lanzhou1963115.82 (10.71–20.93)Longnan200168.00 (4.24–11.76)Male419399.31 (6.53–12.09)Female266207.52 (4.35–10.69)Black-tailed grosbeak3612.29 (0.00–8.15)Oriental skylark289196.57 (3.72–9.43)Eurasian siskin3603910.83 (7.62–14.04)Juvenile14532.07 (0.00–4.39)Adult5405610.37 (7.80–12.94)	CategoryNumber of testedNumber of positivePrevalence % (95% CI)P valueTianshui289124.15 (1.85-6.45)Lanzhou1963115.82 (10.71-20.93)<0.001

TABLE 2: Analysis of the variables associated with HEV seroprevalence in pet birds in northwest China.

not statistically significant (P = 0.059). The seroprevalence of different species from different locations ranged from 2.29% (1/36, 95% CI 0.00–8.15) (black-tailed grosbeak: Tianshui) to 15% (18/120, 95% CI 8.61–21.39) (Eurasian siskin: Lanzhou) (Table 1).

#### 4. Discussion

Avian HEV infection has been isolated from the United States [6, 12], Canada [13], several countries in Europe [4, 8], Australia [5, 14], Korea [9], and China [15, 16]. However, avian HEV infection has been limitedly reported in pet birds in the world [11]. This paper represents the first report describing the seroprevalence of avian HEV from three species of pet birds (Eurasian siskin, Oriental skylark, and black-tailed grosbeak) in northwest China, and the finding from this study has significant implications for our understanding of the global epidemiology and spread of avian HEV.

Pet birds and wild birds play an important role in the public health because they may serve as natural reservoirs for many causative agents, such as Newcastle disease, avian influenza, *Chlamydia psittaci*, and others [17–20], and have been turned out to be important infection sources for a number of etiologic agents. In China, the pet birds have been raised and kept over a long period of history for companionship and entertainment. In addition, many families in China breed pet birds for commercial purposes. Previous study showed that birds are susceptible to HEV [3], which is considered an important factor influencing the economic income of the pet bird industry.

In the present study, we investigated the seroprevalence of avian HEV in three species of pet birds in Gansu. The overall avian HEV seroprevalence in pet birds was 8.61%, which was lower than that in chickens in Shandong (35.9%) [21] and Guangxi (13.35%) [22] by ELISA, in Shandong (72.2%) by RT-PCR [23], and in Korea (28%) by ELISA [9], but higher than that in parrots in Beijing and Weifang (6.43%) by the same method [11] and in pigeons in Shanghai (4.4%) [24]. The differences could be related to differences in ecological and geographical factors such as temperature, rainfall, or landscape differences. Moreover, the species of birds and the different sensitivity and veracity of the serological methods used for determining avian HEV prevalence may also be factors conducive to the observed differences.

Previous studies have indicated that age was not a significant factor during HEV spread [11]. However, in the present study, the seroprevalence in adult pet birds (10.37%) was significantly higher than that in juvenile pet birds (2.07%) (OR = 5.48, 95% CI 1.69–17.76, P = 0.002); this result is probably due to the fact that the adult pet birds had more chance to contact with avian HEV compared to juvenile pet birds and increased the risk of infection. The more epidemiological surveys should be conducted to reveal the relationship between age of pet birds and the HEV infection.

In China, a great number of pet birds are raised in a semifree range system, which enhances the opportunity of exposure to food or water contaminated with HEV when they gather together. No statistical difference in HEV sero-prevalence was found between male pet birds (9.31%, 39/419, 95% CI 6.53–12.09) and the females (7.52%, 20/226, 95% CI 4.35–10.69) (Table 2), probably because they live in the same environment.

The diagnosis of avian HEV infection in the field should be done minimally by detecting the specific virus RNA from the chicken samples [4]. Avian HEV could either cause HS syndrome alone or play an assistant role coupled with other agents in strengthening clinical symptoms. Despite the fact that the present study reported seropositivity in Eurasian siskin, Oriental skylark, and black-tailed grosbeak, we did not conduct the observation of clinical symptoms in these birds due to limited time. In future studies, it would be interesting to enlarge the variety and number of sampled birds. Furthermore, further studies should be conducted to detect the specific virus RNA in birds sample and examine whether or not avian HEV infection can aggravate clinical symptoms via coinfection experiments with other agents and illuminate the clinical significance of avian HEV infections in China.

# 5. Conclusion

In summary, the present study revealed for the first time the seroprevalence of HEV infection in three species of pet birds in northwest China, which offered the baseline data for taking comprehensive countermeasures and measures for effectively preventing and controlling HEV infection in birds and we believe that this information will be significant for researching the hepatitis E virus (HEV) infection in birds in China.

# **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# **Authors' Contribution**

Wei Cong and Qing-Feng Meng contributed equally to this work.

## Acknowledgments

Project support was provided, in part, by the National Support Program (no. 2010BAD04B01) and the Science Fund for Creative Research Groups of Gansu (Grant no. 1210RJIA006).

# References

- X. J. Meng, "Hepatitis E virus: animal reservoirs and zoonotic risk," *Veterinary Microbiology*, vol. 140, no. 3-4, pp. 256–265, 2010.
- [2] D. M. Yugo and X.-J. Meng, "Hepatitis E virus: foodborne, waterborne and zoonotic transmission," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 10, no. 10, pp. 4507–4533, 2013.
- [3] W. Zhang, Q. Shen, J. Mou et al., "Cross-species infection of hepatitis E virus in a zoo-like location, including birds," *Epidemiology and Infection*, vol. 136, no. 8, pp. 1020–1026, 2008.
- [4] B. Peralta, M. Biarnés, G. Ordóñez et al., "Evidence of widespread infection of avian hepatitis E virus (avian HEV) in chickens from Spain," *Veterinary Microbiology*, vol. 137, no. 1-2, pp. 31–36, 2009.
- [5] C. J. Payne, T. M. Ellis, S. L. Plant, A. R. Gregory, and G. E. Wilcox, "Sequence data suggests big liver and spleen disease virus (BLSV) is genetically related to hepatitis E virus," *Veterinary Microbiology*, vol. 68, no. 1-2, pp. 119–125, 1999.
- [6] G. Haqshenas, H. L. Shivaprasad, P. R. Woolcock, D. H. Read, and X. J. Meng, "Genetic identification and characterization of a novel virus related to human hepatitis E virus from chickens with hepatitis-splenomegaly syndrome in the United States," *The Journal of General Virology*, vol. 82, part 10, pp. 2449–2462, 2001.
- [7] F. F. Huang, Z. F. Sun, S. U. Emerson et al., "Determination and analysis of the complete genomic sequence of avian hepatitis E virus (avian HEV) and attempts to infect rhesus monkeys with avian HEV," *Journal of General Virology*, vol. 85, no. 6, pp. 1609– 1618, 2004.
- [8] C. J. Morrow, G. Samu, E. Mátrai et al., "Avian hepatitis E virus infection and possible associated clinical disease in broiler breeder flocks in Hungary," *Avian Pathology*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 527–535, 2008.
- [9] H. M. Kwon, H. W. Sung, and X.-J. Meng, "Serological prevalence, genetic identification, and characterization of the first

strains of avian hepatitis E virus from chickens in Korea," *Virus Genes*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 237–245, 2012.

- [10] A. V. Sprygin, Z. B. Nikonova, and N. G. Zinyakov, "Avian hepatitis E virus identified in Russian chicken flocks exhibits high genetic divergence based on the ORF2 capsid gene," *Avian Pathology*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 459–463, 2012.
- [11] X.-X. Zhang, N.-Z. Zhang, D.-H. Zhou, W.-P. Tian, Y.-T. Xu, and X.-Q. Zhu, "Seroprevalence of hepatitis E virus in four species of parrots in China," *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 130–132, 2014.
- [12] F. F. Huang, G. Haqshenas, H. L. Shivaprasad et al., "Heterogeneity and seroprevalence of a newly identified avian hepatitis E virus from chickens in the United States," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 40, no. 11, pp. 4197–4202, 2002.
- [13] A. C. Agunos, D. Yoo, S. A. Youssef, D. Ran, B. Binnington, and D. B. Hunter, "Avian hepatitis E virus in an outbreak of hepatitissplenomegaly syndrome and fatty liver haemorrhage syndrome in two flaxseed-fed layer flocks in Ontario," *Avian Pathology*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 404–412, 2006.
- [14] A. Marek, I. Bilic, I. Prokofieva, and M. Hess, "Phylogenetic analysis of avian hepatitis E virus samples from European and Australian chicken flocks supports the existence of a different genus within the Hepeviridae comprising at least three different genotypes," *Veterinary Microbiology*, vol. 145, no. 1-2, pp. 54–61, 2010.
- [15] I. W.-Y. Hsu and H.-J. Tsai, "Avian hepatitis E virus in chickens, Taiwan, 2013," *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 149–151, 2014.
- [16] Q. Zhao, E. M. Zhou, S. W. Dong et al., "Analysis of avian hepatitis E virus from chickens, China," *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 1469–1472, 2010.
- [17] H. Liu, P. Zhang, P. Wu et al., "Phylogenetic characterization and virulence of two Newcastle disease viruses isolated from wild birds in China," *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, vol. 20, pp. 215–224, 2013.
- [18] W. Cong, S. Y. Huang, X. X. Zhang et al., "Chlamydia psittaci exposure in pet birds," *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 578–581, 2014.
- [19] J. C. Jones, S. Sonnberg, Z. A. Koçer et al., "Possible role of songbirds and parakeets in transmission of influenza A(H7N9) virus to humans," *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 380–385, 2014.
- [20] H. Chang, F. Dai, Z. Liu et al., "Seroprevalence survey of avian influenza A (H5) in wild migratory birds in Yunnan Province, Southwestern China," *Virology Journal*, vol. 11, no. 1, article 18, 2014.
- [21] S. T. Jing, H. X. Zhang, P. M. Sun et al., "Detection and characterization of human, swine, duck, and chicken serum antibodies against avain hepatitis E virus," *Journal of Shandong Agricultural University (Natural Science)*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 89–92, 2010 (Chinese).
- [22] Y. L. Liu, I. M. Lai, F. Liao et al., "Investigation on serological epidemiology of hepatitis E in swine, chicken, cattle and sheep in Guangxi," *Journal of Southern Agriculture*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 103–106, 2012 (Chinese).
- [23] Q. Zhao, E. M. Zhou, P. M. Sun et al., "Detection of avian hepatitis E virus RNA by RT-PCR," *Chinese Journal of Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 721–723, 2010 (Chinese).
- [24] J. P. Zhou, Q. Y. Sun, P. H. Liu et al., "Serological investigation on Hepatitis E of several animal species in Shanghai," *Progress in Veterinary Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 12, pp. 85–88, 2006 (Chinese).