Secretory Activity Is Rapidly Induced in Stigmatic Papillae by Compatible Pollen, but Inhibited for Self-Incompatible Pollen in the Brassicaceae

Darya Safavian¹, Daphne R. Goring^{1,2}*

1 Department of Cell and Systems Biology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, 2 Centre for the Analysis of Genome Evolution and Function, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Abstract

[In the Brassicaceae, targeted exocytosis to the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane under the compatible pollen grain is hypothesized to be essential for pollen hydration and pollen tube penetration. In contrast, polarized secretion is proposed to be inhibited in the stigmatic papillae during the rejection of self-incompatible pollen. Using transmission electron microscopy (TEM), we performed a detailed time-course of post-pollination events to view the cytological responses of the stigmatic papillae to compatible and self-incompatible pollinations. For compatible pollinations in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Arabidopsis lyrata*, vesicle secretion was observed at the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane under the pollen grain while *Brassica napus* stigmatic papillae appeared to use multivesicular bodies (MVBs) for secretion. Exo70A1, a component of the exocyst complex, has been previously implicated in the compatible pollen responses, and disruption of Exo70A1 in both *A. thaliana* and *B. napus* resulted in a loss of secretory vesicles/MVBs at the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane. Similarly, for self-incompatible pollinations, secretory vesicles/MVBs were absent from the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane in *A. lyrata* and *B. napus*; and furthermore, autophagy appeared to be induced to direct vesicles/MVBs to the vacuole for degradation. Thus, these findings support a model where the basal pollen recognition pathway in the stigmatic papilla promotes exocytosis to accept compatible pollen, and the basal pollen recognition pathway is overridden by the selfincompatibility pathway to prevent exocytosis and reject self-pollen.

Citation: Safavian D, Goring DR (2013) Secretory Activity Is Rapidly Induced in Stigmatic Papillae by Compatible Pollen, but Inhibited for Self-Incompatible Pollen in the Brassicaceae. PLoS ONE 8(12): e84286. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084286

Editor: Diane Bassham, Iowa State University, United States of America

Received June 6, 2013; Accepted November 4, 2013; Published December 26, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Safavian, Goring. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: DS is supported by an Ontario Graduate Scholarship (OGS https://osap.gov.on.ca/OSAPPortal). This research was supported by grants from Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca) and a Canada Research Chair (CRC www.chairs-chaires.gc.ca) to DRG. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: d.goring@utoronto.ca

Introduction

During the initial stages of pollen-pistil interactions in the Brassicaceae, a basal pollen recognition pathway is activated to allow compatible pollen grains to adhere and germinate on the receptive stigmatic surface of the pistil. The stigma can also function as a barrier to prevent inappropriate pollen grains, such as foreign pollen or self-incompatible pollen, from germinating on the stigmatic surface (reviewed in [1,2,3]). The surface of the stigma is covered with stigmatic papillae that are coated with a continuous waxy cuticle layer overlaid by proteinaceous pellicle, and these layers are important for the initial pollen contact [4,5,6]. Following pollen capture by the stigmatic papilla, the exterior pollen coat is mobilized towards the contact site where a 'foot' is formed, by the mixing of lipids and proteins from the pollen coat with the stigmatic papillar surface [7,8]. For a compatible pollen grain, hydration follows, and the stigmatic papilla plays a fundamental role in this step by providing the pollen grain with water for hydration [9,10,11]. Following this, the pollen tube emerges and enters the stigma by growing through the expanded cell wall of the stigmatic papilla [2,5,6]. The pollen tube traverses down to the base of the stigma, enters the transmitting tract, and then continues to an ovule for fertilization. For self-incompatible pollen, rejection occurs swiftly and the initial stages of pollen hydration and pollen tube penetration into the stigma do not take place [12]. Thus, the pollen discrimination system found in the Brassicaceae rapidly takes place as a result of the reliance of pollen grains on the stigmatic papillae for these early events (reviewed in [1,2]).

With compatible pollinations, very little is known about the factors mediating the basal pollen recognition pathway in the stigmatic papilla. Two stigma-specific secreted glycoproteins in Brassica, the S-locus glycoprotein (SLG) and the S-locus Related-1 protein (SLR1) are proposed to mediate the process of pollen adhesion [13,14], potentially through interaction with the small pollen coat proteins, PCP-A1 and SLR1-BP, respectively [15,16]. Exo70A1, a subunit of the exocyst, is also required as part of the basal pollen recognition pathway in Arabidopsis and Brassica stigmas to promote pollen hydration and pollen tube penetration of the stigmatic surface [17]. The factors that initiate the rejection of selfincompatible pollen are well-defined and are encoded by two polymorphic genes: the S-locus Cysteine-Rich/S-locus Protein 11 (SCR/ SP11) gene and the S Receptor Kinase (SRK) gene. Self-incompatible pollen is rejected when S haplotypes match, and the allele-specific pollen SCR/SP11 ligand binds and activates the corresponding stigma SRK (reviewed in [18,19,20]). Two proteins have been identified as functioning downstream of SRK in the stigma: the *M* locus Protein Kinase (MLPK) in *B. rapa* [21,22] and the ARM-Repeat Containing 1 (ARC1) E3 ubiquitin ligase in *B. napus* and *A. lyrata* [23,24,25,26].

We have previously proposed that Exo70A1 functions in the stigma at the junction of the compatible and self-incompatible pollen responses where it is required for the basal pollen recognition pathway and inhibited by the self-incompatibility pathway [17,27]. Exo70A1 is essential for growth and development as A. thaliana exo70A1 mutants are stunted in growth with a number of defects in processes such as polar cell elongation [28]. pectin deposition in the seed coat [29], cytokinesis and cell plate maturation [30], recycling of auxin efflux carriers for polar auxin transport [31], and the development of tracheary elements [32]. Exo70A1 has also been shown to be localized to the tip of growing pollen tubes [33]. In our previous study [17], a specific role for Exo70A1 in the basal compatible response was established in *B*. napus when transgenic B. napus plants were generated expressing an Exo70A1 RNAi construct controlled by the SLR1 promoter (which drive strong stigma-specific expression in the late stigma stages approaching flowering [34,35,36]). The B. napus SLR1 promoter:-Exo70A1 RNAi transgenic plants were completely wild-type plants in appearance, including the stigmatic papillae, but the stigmaspecific suppression of Exo70A1 expression caused compatible pollinations to be unsuccessful [17]. The basal compatible response was absent in A. thaliana exo70A1 elongated stigmatic papillae as wild-type Col-0 pollen failed to hydrate on these mutant papillae, and this specific defect was rescued by the expression of an SLR1 promoter driven RFP-Exo70A1 construct [17].

In response to compatible pollen, the basal pollen recognition pathway is proposed to assemble the exocyst complex with Exo70A1 to tether post-Golgi secretory vesicles to the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane underneath the pollen contact site. The secretory vesicles are thought to discharge cargo to the apoplastic space to promote pollen hydration and pollen tube penetration of the stigma surface. Consistent with this model, vesicle-like structures have been observed in the *B. oleracea* stigmatic papillar cell wall following pollination or treatment with compatible pollen coating [2,37,38]. In addition, calcium spikes, actin polymerization, and microtubule depolymerization have been detected in stigmatic papillae as part of the basal pollen recognition pathway for compatible pollen [39,40,41]. Self-incompatible pollen has been proposed to be rejected by inhibiting the basal compatible pollen response [2,17,27,42]. This is thought to occur by the activation of the SRK signaling pathway in response to selfincompatible pollen, followed by the inhibition of Exo70A1 by ARC1, leading to a dysfunctional exocyst complex and disruption of vesicle secretion [17,27]. We have previously shown that Exo70A1 binds to and is ubiquitinated by ARC1 using in vitro assays, and the expression of the SLR1 promoter: RFP-Exo70A1 construct in transgenic B. napus plants caused a partial breakdown of self-incompatibility. Significantly, the rejection of compatible pollen by the B. napus SLR1:Exo70A1 RNAi transgenic stigmas mirrored the self-incompatible pollen rejection response [17]. In the present study, we have set out to further test these models by investigating the presence or absence of secretory vesicles in the stigmatic papilla, following compatible and self-incompatible pollinations in Arabidopsis thaliana, Arabidopsis lyrata and Brassica napus. Altogether, our results support our proposed model for the regulation of secretion leading to compatible pollen acceptance or self-incompatible pollen rejection in the Brassicaceae.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

The Arabidopsis species used in this study were wild-type selfcompatible A. thaliana Col-0, and wild-type self-incompatible A. lyrata ssp. petraea from Northern Sweden [43]. The Brassica napus cultivars used in this study were Westar which is self-compatible, and W1 which is self-incompatible (W1 carries the S_{910} haplotype introgressed into the Westar background; [44]). The A. thaliana Col-0 exo70A1-1 mutant, transgenic B. napus Westar Exo70A1 RNAi R2 plants, and transgenic B. napus W1 RFP:Exo70A1 S1 and S2 plants were also examined [17]. All plants were grown in growth chambers under long-day conditions consisting of a 16 hour light/8 hour dark photoperiod at 22°C. The A. lyrata plants required vernalization to induce flowering, and crosscompatible pollinations were carried out by crossing individuals from the P6 and P7 populations [43]. A. thaliana Col-0 seedlings were grown on plates to examine clathrin-coated endocytic vesicles in root tips, and roots were harvested at day 6 as described by Dhonukshe et al [45].

A. thaliana, A. lyrata and B. napus crosses for TEM analysis

For all pollinations, humidity levels were carefully monitored and were in the 20-40% relative humidity range as high humidity can cause pollen hydration, bypassing the basal compatible response or self-incompatibility [11,42,46,47,48,49,50,51]. When grown under increased humidity conditions, the exo70A1 mutant was recently found to have increased pollen tube growth and seed production following hand pollinations (CH Liu, personal communication [32]). For both A. thaliana Col-0, and the A. thaliana Col-0 exo70A1-1 mutant, pistils were emasculated at the final bud stage of flower development (stage 12 [52]), and covered in plastic wrap for 24 hours to allow the stigmatic papillae to mature and prevent dehydration prior to sampling. Subsequently, the plastic wrap was removed, and the pistils were hand-pollinated by removing anthers from freshly opened A. thaliana Col-0 flowers with a pair of fine forceps and gently brushing 1-4 anthers across the stigmatic surface. For the A. lyrata crosses, the optimal timing for pistil receptivity and pollen viability were different, and pistils from 1-2 day old open flowers were hand-pollinated with pollen from 2-3 day old open flowers [24]. For the E-64 inhibitor treatment, A. lyrata inflorescences were first incubated for one day in 2 ml of 1/2 Murashige and Skoog liquid culture media with 3% sucrose and 100 µM E-64 [53] to take up the inhibitor, and then open flowers were self-pollinated. For the B. napus Westar, W1, Westar Exo70A1 RNAi R2, and W1 RFP:Exo70A1 plants, flower buds that were just opening up were emasculated, covered in plastic wrap, and then pollinated 24 hours later using anthers from fully opened flowers.

For all crosses, pollinated pistils were harvested at the specific time-points between 5 and 20 min after pollination. For the compatible pollinations, each experiment involved five different stigmas, and five stigmatic papillae/stigma were examined (n = 25). For unpollinated stigmatic papillae, self-incompatible *A. lyrata* and *B. napus* pollinations, the E-64 treated *A. lyrata* pistils, *A. thaliana* Col-0 *exo70A1-1* mutant, transgenic *B. napus* Westar *Exo70A1* RNAi R2 line, and the transgenic *B. napus* W1 *RFP:Exo70A1* S1 and S2 plants, five different stigmas and two stigmatic papillae/stigma were examined (n = 10).

TEM Analysis

All harvested pistils were immediately fixed upon removal in 25% glutaraldehyde and 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) for 3 hours at the room temperature under

vacuum to allow thorough penetration of fixative, a method demonstrated to preserve pollen-papilla interface and avoid artifacts, equivalent to osmic vapour technique described by Elleman and Dickinson [54]. The pistils were then rinsed in three changes of phosphate buffer and post-fixed in buffered 1% OsO4 for one hour. Following fixation, dehydration was carried out through an ethanol series (30%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 90% and 100% EtOH), and then pistils were embedded in Spurr's resin (modified from [8]). To find the pollen-stigma interface, serial sections were taken from specific areas of the embedded pistil and examined by light microscopy observations of the thick sections. From the area of interest, identified by thick sectioning, ultrathin sections of 80-90 nm thickness were cut with a Reichert Ultracut E ultramicrotome using a diamond knife. The serial sections were gathered on copper grids, and post-stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate for 40 and 3 minutes, respectively. Longitudinal sections were examined and photographed with a Hitachi H-7000 TEM at 75 Kv. The same procedures were followed to fix and examine the A. thaliana seedling root tips.

Labeling of autophagosomes with monodansylcadaverine (MDC)

A. lyrata pistils were pollinated with either self-incompatible pollen or cross-compatible pollen, removed at 10 min post-pollination, and fixed in 3:1 Ethanol: Glacial acetic acid for 15 min to try to retain pollen attached to the stigmatic papillae. The pistils were then washed twice with 90% ethanol for 15 min each time and incubated in chloral hydrate in 30% glycerol for several hours to clear the tissue. Finally, the fixed and cleared stigmas were incubated with 0.05 mM MDC in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at room temperature for 15 min [55]. After incubation, stigmas were washed four times with PBS, mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, H-1000) to prevent photobleaching and viewed using a Zeiss Axioskop2 plus fluorescence microscope. A minimum of five pistils were stained, mounted and examined for the self-incompatible pollinations or the control cross-compatible pollinations.

Using GFP:ATG8a to detect autophagosomes in transgenic *A. lyrata*

The GFP:ATG8a construct [56] was used to transform *A. lyrata* plants through *Agrobacterium*-mediated floral dip transformation [57], and followed by hand pollinations with cross-compatible pollen [24]. The transformed T_0 seeds were selected for by spraying with 0.1% Basta, following by genotyping with Basta primers (5'AGGCCAATAACAGCAACCAC3' and 5'CGGA-GAGGAGACCAGTTGAG3'). For visualizing GFP fluorescence, freshly opened flowers from wild-type and transgenic GFP:ATG8a plants were emasculated and pollinated with wild-type *A. lyrata* pollen as described. At 10 min self-incompatible and cross-compatible pollinations, stigmas were removed, mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, H-1000), and observed using LSM510 confocal microscope.

Results

Basal pollen recognition responses in stigmatic papillae from *A. thaliana*, *A. lyrata* and *B. napus*

The surface of the stigma is covered with stigmatic papillae that come in contact with pollen grains, and pollen hydration is one of the earliest visible signs that a compatible pollen grain has been accepted on *Brassicaceae* dry stigmas. In our previous work, both *A. thaliana* and *B. napus* pollen grains were found to have hydrated in the first 10 minutes following pollen application [17]. Exo70A1 was also required in the stigmatic papillae for the basal pollen recognition pathway to promote compatible pollen hydration, and so the proposed vesicle secretion would be predicted to be visible during this time [17]. Thus, time points of 0, 5, 10, and 20 minutes were selected for analysis on pollinated A. thaliana, A. lyrata and B. napus stigmas. All pollinations were performed under low humidity conditions to ensure that the appropriate stigmatic responses to the pollen occurred [11,42,46,47,48,49,50,51]. Our goal was to view secretory activity at the plasma membrane, and using fluorescently-tagged markers with live imaging was problematic as the stigmatic papillae have considerable background fluorescence that interferes with fluorescent signals. We were previously able to capture some data showing RFP-tagged Exo70A1 localized to the plasma membrane in A. thaliana stigmatic papillae; however, we were not able to visualize RFP:Exo70A1 in B. napus stigmatic papillae [17]. Thus, TEM was selected as the approach to view secretory activity at the plasma membrane in a comparative study across these three Brassicaceae species. Detailed TEM examinations of the pollen-papilla contact area were conducted at the selected time points, and the number of stigmatic papillae with secretory activity at the plasma membrane were scored.

In A. thaliana, vesicle-like structures were observed at the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane under the pollen grain at 5 minutes following a self-compatible pollination (Figure 1C, D; Figure 2A, B). The vesicles appeared to fuse with the plasma membrane and often contained an electron-opaque material, suggestive of cargo. The papillar plasma membrane in this area was quite ruffled in appearance (Figure 1D) in comparison to the unpollinated papilla (Figure 1B). This secretory activity appeared to be polarized towards the pollen grain as few vesicle-like structures could be observed at the flanking sides of the stigmatic papilla, and the plasma membrane was smoother in appearance. At ten minutes post-pollination, vesicles were again observed at the papillar plasma membrane underneath the pollen grain (Figure 1E, F). As previously reported [6,39], the stigmatic papillar vacuolar network appeared to be oriented towards the pollen contact site, leading to a very thin layer of papillar cytoplasm at the pollenpapilla interface (Figure 1E, F). At 20 min, the pollen tube has emerged and is penetrating the stigmatic papillar outer wall [6,58]. In contrast to the secretory activity observed at 5 and 10 min postpollination, vesicle-like structures were not observed in the papillar cytoplasm under the pollen tube 20 min post pollination (Figure 1G, H). Pollen also has hydrolytic enzymes which may facilitate pollen tube penetration at the pollen-pistil interface [59,60,61,62], and perhaps by 20 min, the pollen tube tip provides the necessary hydrolytic enzymes. As Exo70A1 was also found to be critical for the initial penetration of the pollen tube into the papillar surface [17], the secretory activity in the stigmatic papilla, prior to 20 min, is likely initiating this process.

The timing of the appearance of vesicle-like structures in the stigmatic papilla in response to compatible pollen is consistent with these structures being secretory vesicles targeted to the compatible pollen contact site. The appearance of the ruffled plasma membrane is also consistent with active secretion occurring (and absent in the self-incompatible pollinations described below). While endocytosis typically accompanies secretion, it is unlikely to be the primary source of these vesicle-like structures. The major mechanism of endocytosis in plants is through clathrin-coated vesicles [63], and clathrin coats were not visible on these structures. To verify that this was not due to our experimental design, ultrastructural analysis was also performed on 6-day old Arabidopsis seedling root tips to visualize clathrin-coated vesicles [45]. On the basis of the typical morphology of clathrin-coated



Figure 1. TEM images of *A. thaliana* Col-0 stigmatic papillae in response to self-compatible pollen. (A, B) Unpollinated stigmatic papilla. Secretory activity was not observed at the papillar plasma membrane (PM) in 10/10 samples. (C, D) Stigmatic papilla at 5 min post-pollination. Vesicles (V) were observed to be fusing to the plasma membrane (PM) underneath the pollen contact site in 25/25 samples. (E, F) Stigmatic papilla at 10 min post-pollination. Vesicles (V) continue to fuse to the plasma membrane (PM) underneath the pollen contact site in 25/25 samples. (G, H) Pollen tube penetration into the stigmatic papilla at 20 min post-pollination. Vesicles were no longer observed at the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane (PM) in 25/25 samples. The white boxed areas in (A, C, E, G) are shown in the (B, D, F, H), respectively. Scale bars (A, C, E, G) 1.5 μ m; (B, D, F, H) 500 nm doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084286.g001

vesicles in root tips [45], we detected clathrin-coated vesicles (\sim 30 nm in diameter) at the internalization stage and before the loss of the clathrin coat in the root tip cells (Figure 3A-C). Such coated structures were not visible in the pollinated stigmatic papillae (Figure 1D, F).

The presence of vesicle secretion was also examined in another Arabidopsis species, self-incompatible A. lyrata, and compatible pollinations were conducted by using two cross-compatible plants (with different S-haplotypes; [24]). In general, secretory activity appeared to be delayed in A. lyrata by about 5 min when compared to A. thaliana (Figure 2A, C; Figure 4). Vesicle secretion appeared to be absent at 5 min post-pollination (Figure 4C, D); however, by 10 min after the cross-compatible pollination, vesicles appeared to fuse with the papillar plasma membrane under the pollen attachment site (Figure 4E, F). The papillar plasma membrane in this area was ruffled in appearance (Figure 4F) in contrast to the unpollinated papilla and at 5 min post-pollination (Figure 4B, D). The stigmatic papillar vacuolar network also appeared to be oriented towards the pollen contact site, resulting in a very thin layer of papillar cytoplasm (Figure 4E, F). Again in contrast to A. thaliana, secretory activity appeared to be continued in the papilla cell at the plasma membrane, 20 min post-pollination with the initial penetration of pollen tube (Figure 4G, H).

Finally, self-compatible pollinations in B. napus Westar cultivar were examined and interestingly, instead of individual secretory vesicles, multivesicular bodies (MVBs) appeared to be targeted to the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane under the pollen attachment (Figure 2A, D; Figure 5). The MVBs have multiple small vesicles surrounded by a membrane and were detected at the pollen contact site suggesting the existence of MVBs-mediated secretion pathway. In animals, MVBs have been found to secrete vesicles, termed exosomes, for intercellular communication [64], and MVBs have been proposed to secrete exosomes as part of defence responses to powdery mildew [65,66]. MVBs ranged in size from 200 to 500 nm in diameter, and their intralumenal vesicles carried electron-opaque materials. At both 5 min and 10 min following the self-compatible pollination, MVBs were present at the papillar plasma membrane beneath the pollen contact site (Figure 5C-F). By 20 min, the pollen tube is just emerging and there appears to be a gap between the papillar cell wall and the plasma membrane filled with these secreted exosomes (Figure 5G, H). While B. napus has a larger stigma in comparison to the Arabidopsis species, the stigmatic papillae are similar in size (Figure S1) and so the switch to MVBs is not necessarily related to stigmatic papillar size. The B. napus stigmatic papillae did appear to have thicker cell walls compared to the Arabidopsis species (Figures 1, 4), and this change from individual secretory vesicles to MVBs may be related to a need for increased secretory activity across the cell wall to promote pollen hydration and pollen tube penetration. Nevertheless, secretory vesicles also appeared to be produced as 2/25 B. napus Westar papillae were observed to have vesicles fusing to the plasma membrane at 10 minutes postpollination (Figure S2A, B).

Impaired basal pollen recognition responses in stigmatic papillae from *A. thaliana* and *B. napus exo70A1* mutants

In our previous work, while compatible *B. napus* and *A. thaliana* pollen grains were found to hydrate in the first 10 minutes following pollen application, wild-type pollen grains on either the *A. thaliana* Col-0 *exo70A1-1* mutant or the *B. napus* Westar *Exo70A1* RNAi stigmas showed very little increase in pollen diameter during this time indicating that pollen hydration was not occurring [17]. With this observation, we investigated whether vesicle/MVB secretion was impaired at 10 min following pollination with wild-type compatible pollen (Figure 2A, E, F; Figure 6). If disruption of Exo70A1 was correlated with a block in exocyst function, the typical phenotype that has been observed for exocyst mutants is an accumulation of secretory vesicles in the cytoplasm [30,67,68].



Figure 2. Summary of the stigmatic papillar responses to compatible and self-incompatible pollen. (A) Percentage of samples with the main ultrastructural features observed in the TEM images. Corresponding TEM images are shown in Figures 1, 4–7 and 9. Abbreviations: Un = unpollinated; MVBs = multivesicular bodies; ABs = autophagic bodies; PM = plasma membrane. (**B-D**) Models for compatible pollen responses (based on this study and cited references). Under the compatible pollen contact site, an unknown basal pollen recognition pathway is activated in the

stigmatic papilla. This leads to the assembly of the exocyst complex with Exo70A1 and plasma membrane docking of vesicles in *Arabidopsis* species (B, C) or MVBs in *B. napus* (D). Following vesicle/MVB fusion to the plasma membrane by SNAREs, unknown cargo are released to facilitate water release for pollen hydration and cell wall expansion for pollen tube entry (pollen is accepted). (**E**, **F**) Models for loss of Exo70A1 function in the stigmatic papilla (based on this study and cited references). Disrupting *Exo70A1* expression prevents plasma membrane docking of vesicles in *A. thaliana exo70A1-1* (E) or MVBs in *B. napus Exo70A1* RNAi (F). Consequently, vesicles/MVBs accumulate in the cytoplasm; cargo needed for accepting the compatible pollen are not delivered to the plasma membrane; and this leads to pollen rejection. (**G**, **H**) Models for self-incompatible pollen responses (based on this study and cited references). With self-incompatible pollen, the self-incompatibility pathway is activated in the stigmatic papilla and overrides the basal pollen recognition pathway by inhibiting Exo70A1 and vesicle/MVB docking. The vesicles in *A. lyrata* (G) or MVBs in *B. napus* (H) are redirected to the vacuole via autophagy for degradation. Consequently, pollen hydration and pollen tube penetration are prevented (self-pollen is rejected). (I) Model for the partial breakdown of self-incompatibility through the expression of *RFP:Exo70A1* in *B. napus* [17]. MVBs are able to dock at the plasma membrane despite the activation of the self-incompatibility pathway, and this leads to pollen acceptance (pollen hydration and pollen tube entry occur [17]. RFP:Exo70A1 may potentially cause this phenotype through increased Exo70A1 levels or by interference with the ARC1 interaction.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084286.g002

Pistils from the A. thaliana Col-0 exo70A1-1 mutant were handpollinated with wild-type Col-0 pollen (compatible pollen) and examined at 10 min post-pollination. As expected, the exo70A1-1 mutant stigmatic papilla had secretory vesicles accumulated in the cytoplasm (Figure 6C, D) which is comparable to the classical phenotype for yeast exocyst mutants [68]. In contrast to the pollinated wild-type stigmatic papilla, the vacuole in the stigmatic papilla did not appear to be oriented towards the pollen grain, the cytoplasm was not compressed, and vesicle fusion to the plasma membrane was not evident. Interestingly, the unpollinated exo70A1-1 mutant stigmatic papilla also revealed some vesicle accumulation in the cytoplasm (Figure 6A, B). Similar observations were seen when stigmas from the B. napus Westar Exo70A1 RNAi R2 line [17] were pollinated wild-type compatible B. napus Westar pollen. Some MVB accumulation in the cytoplasm was detected in both the unpollinated B. napus Westar Exo70A1 RNAi stigmatic papillae (Figure 6E, F) and at 10 min post-pollination (Figure 6G, H). Again, the vacuole in the pollinated stigmatic papilla did not appear to be oriented towards the pollen grain, the cytoplasm was not compressed, and MVB fusion to the plasma membrane was not evident in most of the samples. In 2/10 samples, some MVB fusion at the plasma membrane was observed (Figure S2C, D), and this is in keeping with the B. napus Westar Exo70A1 RNAi line being a knock-down line where some pollen adhesion and seed set were still observed [17]. Thus, the loss of Exo70A1 in both A. thaliana and B. napus inhibits the compatible pollen response and was correlated with the loss of vesicle/MVB fusion at the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane under the pollen contact site.

Self-incompatible pollen responses in stigmatic papillae from *A. lyrata* and *B. napus*

With the model that the self-incompatibility response causes pollen rejection by inhibiting the basal pollen recognition responses in the stigmatic papillae, we predicted that there would also be a correlated loss of vesicle/MVB secretion at the plasma membrane. In line with this model, self-incompatible *B. napus* W1 pollen grains showed very little increase in pollen diameter at 10 min post-pollination indicating that pollen hydration was not occurring [17]. Thus, we investigated whether vesicle/MVB secretion was impaired at 10 min post-pollination for self-incompatible *A. lyrata* and the *B. napus* W1 cultivar (Figure 7).

At 10 min after a self-incompatible pollination in A. lyrata (Figure 7C, D), there was a complete absence of the features observed in the cross-compatible pollination (Figure 4E, F). Vesicles were absent from the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane underneath the self-incompatible pollen grain, the papillar plasma membrane was smooth in appearance (no ruffling), and the cytoplasm was not compressed by the vacuole (Figure 7C, D). This suggested that the vesicle secretion associated with the compatible pollen response was completely blocked in the self-incompatible pollen grain. Interestingly, in contrast to the exo70A1-1 mutant, there was also an absence of vesicle accumulation in the papillar cytoplasm which suggested that the self-incompatible response went beyond simply inhibiting Exo70A1. Correlated with this was the appearance of dense material in the vacuole of 23/25 samples, and one possible explanation was that this material represented autophagic bodies. That is, autophagy was induced to direct the secretory vesicles to the vacuole for degradation. If this was the case, it would be difficult to observe secretory vesicles as only remnants of these structures would be discernible in the vacuole. The dense material accumulating in the vacuole was not observed in unpollinated papillae (Figure 7A, B).

To search for the accumulation of vesicles in the vacuole following a self-incompatible pollination, *A. lyrata* inflorescences were first treated with an inhibitor, E-64, for one day prior to pollination. E-64 is a cysteine protease inhibitor that blocks proteolysis in autophagic bodies and was previously shown to lead to the accumulation of undegraded cytoplasmic material in the central vacuole of Arabidopsis root tip cells [53]. Thus, we used the E-64 inhibitor treatment to see if undegraded vesicles could be



Figure 3. TEM images of clathrin-coated vesicles in the *A. thaliana* root tip cells. (A-C) The root tips from 6-day-old *A. thaliana* seedlings were observed as a reference for clathrin-coated vesicles (CCV) in the plant endocytic pathway. Clathrin-coated vesicles were observed adjacent to the plasma membrane in the root tip cells in 25/25 samples. Scale bars (A-C) 100 nm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084286.q003





Figure 4. TEM images of *A. lyrata* **stigmatic papillae in response to cross-compatible pollen.** (**A**, **B**) Unpollinated stigmatic papilla. Secretory activity was not observed at the papillar plasma membrane (PM) in 10/10 samples. (**C**, **D**) Stigmatic papilla at 5 min postpollination. Secretory activity was not observed at the papillar plasma membrane (PM) in 10/10 samples. (**E**, **F**) Stigmatic papilla at 10 min post-pollination. Vesicles (V) were observed to be fusing to the plasma membrane (PM) underneath the pollen contact site in 25/25 samples. (**G-H**) Pollen tube penetration into the stigmatic papilla at 20 min post-pollination. Vesicles (V) were observed at the papillar plasma membrane (PM) beneath the pollen tube tip in 25/25 samples. The white boxed areas in (A, C, E, G) are shown in the (B, D, F, H), respectively. Scale bars (A, C, E, G) 1.5 µm; (B, D, F, H) 500 nm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084286.g004

observed in the stigmatic papillar vacuole. Unpollinated E-64 treated *A. lyrata* stigmatic papilla showed very little accumulation of cytoplasmic material in the vacuole (Figure 7E, F). However, at 10 min after a self-incompatible pollination, the *A. lyrata* stigmatic papillae accumulated cytoplasmic material in the vacuole, and



Figure 5. TEM images of *B. napus* Westar stigmatic papillae in response to self-compatible pollen. (A, B) Unpollinated stigmatic papilla. Secretory activity was not observed at the papillar plasma membrane (PM) in 10/10 samples. (C, D) Stigmatic papilla at 5 min post-pollination showing an MVB fusing to the plasma membrane (PM). MVBs at the plasma membrane were observed in 10/10 samples. (E, F) Stigmatic papilla at 10 min post-pollination showing several MVBs fusing to the plasma membrane (PM) underneath the pollen contact site. MVBs at the plasma membrane were observed in 23/25 samples. For 2/25 samples, vesicles were observed to be fusing to the plasma membrane (Figure S2A, B). (G, H) Pollen tube penetration into the stigmatic papilla at 20 min post-pollination. The material under the papillar cell wall appears to be the exosomes (E) released from the MVBs. This pattern was observed in 15/15 samples. The white boxed areas in (A, C, E, G) are shown in the (B, D, F, H), respectively. Scale bars (A, C, E, G) 1.5 µm; (B, D, F, H) 500 nm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084286.g005

within this material, vesicle-like structures could be seen (Figure 7G, H). Together, these results suggest that cellular components including vesicles are enclosed in autophagic bodies





Figure 6. TEM images of A. thaliana exo70A1-1 and B. napus Westar Exo70A1 RNAi stigmatic papillae in response to wildtype compatible pollen. (A, B) Unpollinated stigmatic papilla from the A. thaliana exo70A1-1 mutant. Some vesicle (V) accumulation was observed in the cytoplasm in 10/10 samples. (C, D) Stigmatic papilla from the A. thaliana exo70A1-1 mutant at 10 min following pollination with compatible A. thaliana Col-0 pollen. An accumulation of secretory vesicles (V) in the papillar cytoplasm was observed under the pollen contact site in 10/10 samples. (E, F) Unpollinated stigmatic papilla from the B. napus Westar Exo70A1 RNAi R2 line. Some accumulation of MVBs was observed in the cytoplasm in 10/10 samples. (G, H) Stigmatic papilla from the B. napus Westar Exo70A1 RNAi R2 line at 10 min following pollination with compatible B. napus Westar pollen. Some MVBs were observed in the papillar cytoplasm in 8/10 samples. For 2/10 samples, MVBs were observed in the cytoplasm and fusing to the plasma membrane (Figure S2C, D) which is consistent with these plants displaying an incomplete knockout phenotype [17]. The white boxed areas in (A, C, E, G) are shown in the (B, D, F, H), respectively. Scale bars (A, C, E, G) 1.5 µm; (B, D, F, H) 500 nm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084286.g006



Figure 7. TEM images of A. lyrata stigmatic papillae in response to self-incompatible pollen. (A, B) Unpollinated stigmatic papilla. Secretory activity was not observed at the papillar plasma membrane (PM), and the vacuole was largely clear (i.e no autophagic bodies were visible) in 10/10 samples. (C, D) Stigmatic papilla at 10 min postpollination with self-incompatible pollen. No secretory activity was observed at the papillar plasma membrane (PM). Structures that may represent autophagic bodies (AB) were observed in the vacuole in 23/ 25 samples. In 2/25 samples, these structures were not visible in the vacuole. (E, F) Unpollinated stigmatic papilla treated with the E-64 inhibitor. The vacuole was largely clear (i.e. no autophagic bodies or vesicles were visible) in 10/10 samples. (G, H) Stigmatic papillae treated with the E-64 inhibitor, at 10 min post-pollination with self-incompatible pollen. Un-degraded vesicles were observed in the vacuole in 10/10 samples. The white boxed areas in (A, C, E) are shown in the (B, D, F), respectively. The white boxed areas in (G) and (H) are shown in the insets in the bottom right hand corners. Scale bars (A, C, E, G, H) 1.5 $\mu\text{m};$ (B, D, F) 500 nm, Insets for (G, H) 300 nm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084286.g007



A. lyrata + self-incompatible pollen





Figure 8. Autophagosomes in *A. lyrata* **stigmatic papillae in response to self-incompatible pollen.** (**A**, **B**) Florescence microscopy images of MDC stained *A. lyrata* stigmatic papillae at 10 min post-pollination. Fluorescent signals that may represent autophagosomes were seen in the *A. lyrata* stigmatic papillae following a self-incompatible pollination (A) in 10/10 samples, but not observed after a cross-compatible pollination (B) in 10/10 samples. (**C-F**) Confocal microscopy images of transgenic *A. lyrata* GFP:ATG8a stigmatic papillae at 10 min post-pollination. GFP:ATG8a is a marker for autophagy induction, and GFP signals marking potential autophagosomes were observed in the stigmatic papillae following a self-incompatible pollination (C) in 10/10 samples (corresponding DIC image is shown in D). Punctate GFP signals were not detected within the stigmatic papillae following a cross-compatible pollination (E) in 10/10 samples (corresponding DIC image is shown in F). All samples, including wild-type untransformed *A. lyrata* stigmatic papillae showed background fluorescence from the cell wall. A = autophagosomes; P = pollen. Scale bars (A, B) 50 µm; (C-F) 10 µm.

upon self-incompatibility, where they are transported into vacuoles and eventually degraded (Figure 2A, G).

Two other approaches were used to assess whether autophagy was induced as part of the self-incompatibility response in *A. lyrata*: staining of pollinated stigmas with the fluorescent dye, monodansylcadaverine (MDC), and generating transgenic *A. lyrata* expressing a Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP)-ATG8a fusion protein. The MDC dye accumulates in the lipid-rich membranes that are highly concentrated in the autophagic compartments and thus, is used for *in vivo* labeling of autophagosomes in cells [55,69]. *Arabidopsis* ATG8a is an ubiquitin-like protein that is attached to the membrane during autophagosome formation, and thus, marks autophagosomes destined for the vacuole [56]. Cross-compatible pollinations were performed as a control for the absence of autophagy. At 10 min post self-incompatible pollination, MDClabeled structures appeared to accumulate in the stigmatic papillae (Figure 8A) when examined under the fluorescence microscopy. Fluorescent signals resembling MDC-labeled autophagosomes were not detected in cross-compatible pollinated stigmatic papillae (Figure 8B). The potential induction of autophagy in selfincompatible pollinated stigmatic papillae was further examined by transforming the autophagy marker, GFP:ATG8a, into *A. lyrata* plants, and performing both self-incompatible and cross-compatible pollinations. Using confocal microscopy, all stigma samples, including untransformed stigmatic papillae, gave some background fluorescence in the cell walls (Figure 8E). However, specific



B. napus RFP:Exo70A1 + wildtype self-incompatible pollen



Figure 9. TEM images of B. napus W1 stigmatic papillae in response to self-incompatible pollen. (A, B) Unpollinated B. napus W1 stigmatic papilla. Secretory activity was not observed at the papillar plasma membrane (PM), and the vacuole was largely clear (no MVBs were visible) in 10/10 samples. (C, D) B. napus W1 stigmatic papilla at 10 min post-pollination with self-incompatible pollen. Secretory activity was not observed at the papillar plasma membrane (PM). Instead, MVBs were observed in the vacuole in 7/10 samples. For 3/10 samples, MVBs were observed in the cytoplasm near the vacuole (Figure S2E, F). (E, F) Transgenic B. napus RFP:Exo70A1 W1 stigmatic papilla at 10 min postpollination with self-incompatible pollen. These plants were previously found to have a partial breakdown of the self-incompatibility response due to RFP:Exo70A1 expression [17]. Consistent with this partial phenotype, MVBs were observed to be fusing to the plasma membrane in 8/10 samples as shown in (F), and MVBs were also observed in the vacuole in 2/10 samples (Figure S2G, H). The white boxed areas in (A, C, E) are shown in the (B, D, F), respectively. Scale bars (A, C, E) 1.5 µm; (B, D, F) 500 nm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084286.g009

GFP fluorescence from GFP:ATG8a-labelled intracellular structures were observed in *A. lyrata* papillae following self-incompatible pollination (Figure 8C). These structures were not detected in the cross-compatible pollinated stigmatic papillae (Figure 8E). Thus, both the MDC staining and GFP:ATG8a marker suggest that autophagosomes are accumulating in the stigmatic papillae pollinated with self-incompatible pollen, and that autophagy may be responsible for clearing secretory vesicles from the papillae as part of the self-pollen rejection response.

At 10 min following a self-incompatible pollination with the *B. napus* W1 cultivar (Figure 9C, D), there was again a complete

absence of the features observed in the self-compatible pollination with the B. napus Westar cultivar (Figure 5E, F). Most notably, there was an absence of MVBs fusing with the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane underneath the self-incompatible pollen grain. Interestingly in 7/10 samples, MVBs were now present in the vacuole (Figure 9C, D), and this suggests that the self-pollen rejection response targets MVBs to the vacuole for degradation in the stigmatic papilla (Figure 2A, H). In 3/10 samples, MVBs were present in the cytoplasm, near the vacuole. The accumulation of MVBs in the vacuole was not observed in unpollinated papillae (Figure 9A, B). In our previous work, the expression of an RFP:Exo70A1 fusion in the stigmas of self-incompatible W1 plants was found to partially overcome self-incompatibility [17]. To see what effect RFP:Exo70A1 had on the MVB distribution, transgenic RFP:Exo70A1 W1 lines were examined at 10 min post-pollination. Mixed results were seen which would occur with the incomplete self-incompatibility phenotype observed in these lines (Figure 2A, I). For 8/10 samples, MVBs were observed to be fusing to the papillar plasma membrane under the pollen contact site following self-pollination (Figure 9E, F), though they were not as abundant as that observed for a fully compatible pollination (Figure 5E, F). For 2/10 samples, MVBs were found in the vacuole which would be representative of a self-incompatibility response. Thus, in response to pollen, MVBs in the stigmatic papilla may be sorted to distinct pathways based on whether the pollen is accepted or rejected (Figure 2A, D, H).

Discussion

Upon pollen landing on the Brassicaceae stigmatic papillae, cellcell communication events trigger distinct cascades in the early stages leading to successful compatible pollen acceptance or selfincompatible pollen rejection. This ensures that a plant's resources are reserved solely for the most appropriate pollen grains for fertilization and seed set [70]. Our previous work has implicated Exo70A1 as the protein that is regulated in the basal pollen recognition pathway and the self-incompatible pollen rejection pathway [17]. Exo70 is a subunit of the exocyst, a complex composed of the Sec3, Sec5, Sec6, Sec8, Sec10, Sec15, Exo70 and Exo84 subunits. In plants, the Exo70 gene has undergone a large gene expansion, and Exo70A1 represents one member of this family [28,71]. In yeast and animal systems, the function of the exocyst is to assemble and dock secretory vesicles at specific sites at the plasma membrane where polar secretion is required (reviewed in [72,73]). Yeast Exo70 subunit has been shown to present at the plasma membrane prior to exocyst assembly and vesicle tethering [74], and we have similarly seen Arabidopsis Exo70A1 localized to the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane prior to pollination [17]. Thus, in our working model, a compatible pollination leads to an unknown signal activating the basal pollen recognition pathway in the stigmatic papilla to assemble the exocyst complex at the plasma membrane underneath the pollen grain for polar secretion (see models in Figure 2B-D). With the interaction of Exo70A1 with the ARC1 self-incompatibility factor and the pollination phenotypes of exo70A1 mutant plants, we proposed that self-incompatible pollen rejection occurs by overriding the basal pollen recognition pathway through the inhibition Exo70A1 and blocking the exocyst mediated polar secretion [17] (see models in Figure 2G, H). In this study, we investigated one prediction of this model: whether vesicle secretion was detected with compatible pollinations and absent in self-incompatible pollinations.

Our results for compatible pollinations in *A. thaliana* and *A. lyrata* support that vesicular transport occurs at the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane beneath the compatible pollen grain. The

timing of the appearance of these vesicle-like structures was dynamic with vesicles detected at 5 and 10 min post-pollination for A. thaliana, and 10 and 20 min post-pollination for A. lyrata. This correlates well with the timing of pollen hydration, one of the processes regulated by the stigmatic papilla in the compatible pollen response [9,10,11]. These vesicle-like structures are specific to compatible pollinations as they were not detected at the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane with A. lyrata self-incompatible pollinations or when the Exo70A1 gene was disrupted (A. thaliana exo70A1-1 mutant). However, one question that arises is whether these vesicles are fusing to (exocytosis) or budding off (endocytosis) from the papillar plasma membrane under the pollen attachment site. While animal cells make use of multiple endocytic pathways, including clathrin-dependent, caveolin-dependent, or clathrin/caveolin-independent pathways [75], clathrin-mediated endocytosis is the main pathway of endocytosis in plant cells [63]. We were able to observe clathrin-coated endocytic vesicles in Arabidopsis seedling root tips as previously published [45]; however, clathrin-coated vesicles were not observed with compatible pollinations. Nevertheless, dynamic vesicle trafficking typically includes both exocytosis and endocytosis to maintain plasma membrane integrity and so one would expect some endocytosis to be simultaneously occurring. The stigmatic papillar membrane ruffling observed following compatible pollinations in A. thaliana and A. lyrata may indicate that endocytosis is occurring at a slower rate than the very active exocytosis towards the compatible pollen grain.

A surprising finding in our study was the observation of putative MVBs fusing with the papillar plasma membrane at 5 and 10 min following compatible pollinations in B. napus Westar cultivar. Similar to the Arabidopsis secretory vesicles, MVBs at the papillar plasma membrane were specific to compatible pollinations, being absent with self-incompatible pollinated B. napus W1 papillae and in the B. napus Westar Exo70A1 RNAi papillae. They were also observed at the papillar plasma membrane of self-pollinated transgenic B. napus RFP:Exo70A1 W1 stigmas (these lines had been previously found to have a compromised self-incompatibility response; [17]). Although MVBs typically function in recycling and endocytosis, they have also been identified in cellular processes that rely on polarized secretion and exocytosis [64,76]. In plants, such processes include pathogen encasement and callose deposition during a defense response to powdery mildew fungal infection [65,66,76,77,78]. With B. napus compatible pollinations, the fusion of the MVBs with the papillar plasma membrane would result in the release of the internal vesicles (exosomes) into the apoplastic space. By 20 min post-compatible pollination, a buildup of exosome-like structures could be observed between the papillar plasma membrane and the cell wall under the pollen grain. Interestingly, the release of exosomes does offer an explanation for the previously published work by Elleman & Dickinson [37] where they treated B. oleracea stigmatic papillae for 20 min with coating extracted from compatible pollen, and observed vesicle-like structures within the expanded papillar cell wall. Elleman & Dickinson [37] also observed that these vesicle-like structures appeared to be fusing under the papillar cuticle to release their contents.

For both the self-incompatible pollinations using *A. lyrata* or *B. napus* W1, the vesicles/MVBs were absent from the stigmatic papillar membrane under the pollen grain. From our model, we had predicted that the self-incompatibility pathway would block the basal pollen recognition pathway through ARC1's inhibition of Exo70A1 would prevent vesicle/MVB secretion at the papillar plasma membrane (Figure 2G, H). One possible outcome from this would be that the self-incompatible pollinated stigmatic papilla

would appear like an exocyst mutant with the accumulation of vesicles/MVBs in the cytoplasm (Figure 2E, F). For example, this was observed for the pollinated A. thaliana exo70A1 and B. napus Westar Exo70A1 RNAi stigmatic papillae. However, the selfincompatibility response in both A. lyrata and B. napus W1 appeared to go one step further and target vesicles/MVBs to the vacuole for degradation (Figure 2G, H). This was clearly seen for the B. napus W1 stigmatic papillae where the MVBs were found inside the vacuole at 10 min post-self-incompatible pollination. For A. lyrata, vesicles could not be observed in the vacuole; however, dense material was found to accumulate in the vacuole at 10 min post-self-incompatible pollination. Pre-treatment with the E-64 cysteine protease inhibitor, which inhibits the proteolytic activity in autophagic bodies, did allow for the detection of vesiclelike structures in the vacuole, following a self-incompatible pollination. It has been previously shown that when a constitutive autophagy process is blocked in Arabidopsis root tip cells by E-64 treatment, there is an accumulation of undegraded cytoplasmic material in the central vacuole [53]. Since unpollinated stigmatic papillae pre-treated with E-64 did not show the same accumulation of cytoplasmic material and vesicle-like structures in the vacuole, autophagy appeared to be induced in A. lyrata stigmatic papillae following self-incompatible pollinations. This was verified using two different autophagosome markers, MDC and GFP-ATG8a.

As with other eukaryotic cells, autophagy is one of the major pathways for degradation of intracellular macromolecules in plant cells [55,56] Autophagy can be induced with environmental stress conditions or during certain stages of development, and upon induction, targeted cytoplasmic components are enclosed by membrane sacs to produce a double-membrane bound autophagosome. The autophagosomes are transported into the vacuole to degrade the sequestered materials (reviewed in [79,80]). The induction of autophagy following a self-incompatible pollination would help in pollen rejection by clearing vesicles/MVBs from the cytoplasm. It may also help to explain why we previously observed the down-regulation of a number of proteins from metabolic pathways and organelles following self-incompatible pollinations [41]. Perhaps autophagy also contributes to nutrient recycling so that the plant's nutrients are reserved solely for those pollen grains most likely to lead to fertilization and seed set. Interestingly, one of the exocyst subunits, Exo84, has been found in mammalian cells to play a role in autophagosome formation during nutrient starvation and pathogen responses [73,81]. The Arabidopsis Exo70B1 subunit has also been recently implicated in autophagy [82]. Whether a dual role in vesicle secretion and autophagy for specific exocyst subunits exists during pollen-pistil interactions is yet to be determined.

In conclusion, this study presents data that supports the model for the induction of exocytosis in stigmatic papillae as part of the basal pollen recognition pathway in response to compatible pollen and the requirement of Exo70A1 for this process (Figure 2B-D, E, F, I). While vesicles were observed in the Arabidopsis species, MVBs secreting exosomes were observed in B. napus. The switch from secretory vesicles in the Arabidopsis species to MVBs in B. napus may be related to the increased thickness of the papillar cell wall in B. napus (Figures 1, 4, 5) as all three species had similar sized stigmatic papillae (Figure S1). The cargo of the secretory vesicles is unknown, but possible candidates include aquaporins for water transport to the pollen grain. For example, aquaporins have been identified in secretory vesicle membranes, targeted to the plasma membrane via the exocyst complex, to increase water permeability in renal duct cells [83]. The vesicles may also contain hydrolytic enzymes to loosen the papillar cell surface for pollen tube

penetration. Furthermore, the data in this study supports the model for the inhibition of exocytosis in the stigmatic papilla in response to self-incompatible pollen (Figure 2G, H). The early stigmatic responses to compatible and self-incompatible pollen are very rapid, and self-incompatible pollen would initially activated both pathways. That is, the basal pollen recognition pathway leading to vesicle/MVB secretion is initiated, and then the selfincompatibility pathway must activate a mechanism to block secretion to reject the self-incompatible pollen (Figure 2G, H). Our data suggests that the inhibition of the exocyst through Exo70A1 degradation is one step to prevent vesicle/MVB from docking at the stigmatic papillar plasma membrane under the pollen contact site (this study, [17]). The second step is the targeting of vesicles/ MVBs to the vacuole for degradation possibly through autophagy. Iwano et al. [39] also observed that actin filaments were depolymerized, which would disrupt vesicle trafficking, and that the vacuolar network, a possible source of water for pollen hydration, appeared to be more fragmented. Future studies will need to address how all these different events are connected to the SRK-activated self-incompatibility response.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Flower and stigma sizes are diversified in the Brassicaceae. Images of (A) *B. napus* and *A. thaliana* flowers, (B) *A. lyrata* flower, (C) *A. lyrata* and *A. thaliana* stigmas, and (D) *B. napus* and *A. thaliana* stigmas. *B. napus* possesses a larger flower and stigma size compared to *A. lyrata* and *A. thaliana*. This increased size may result in different patterns of secretory activity to promote pollen acceptance (i.e. multivesicular bodies versus vesicles). However, there are no obvious differences in the sizes of the stigmatic papillae. Both *B. napus* and *A. lyrata* stigmas are densely packed with stigmatic papillae in comparison to the *A. thaliana* stigma. Scale bars (A, B) 1 mm; (C, D) 0.5 mm. (PDF)

References

- Chapman LA, Goring DR (2010) Pollen-pistil interactions regulating successful fertilization in the Brassicaceae. J Exp Bot 61: 1987–1999.
- Dickinson H (1995) Dry stigmas, water and self-incompatibility in *Brassica*. Sex Plant Reprod 8: 1–10.
- Hiscock SJ, Allen AM (2008) Diverse cell signalling pathways regulate pollenstigma interactions: the search for consensus. New Phytol 179: 286–317.
- Mattson O, Knox RB, Heslopha J, Heslopha Y (1974) Protein pellicle of stigmatic papillae as a probable recognition site in incompatible reactions. Nature 247: 298–300.
- Stead AD, Roberts IN, Dickinson HG (1980) Pollen-stigma interactions in Brassica oleracea - The role of stigmatic proteins in pollen grain adhesion. J Cell Sci 42: 417–423.
- Elleman CJ, Franklin-Tong V, Dickinson HG (1992) Pollination in species with dry stigmas - the nature of the early stigmatic response and the pathway taken by pollen tubes. New Phytol 121: 413–424.
- Elleman CJ, Dickinson HG (1990) The role of the exine coating in pollen-stigma interactions in *Brassica oleracea* New Phytol 114: 511–518.
- Kandasamy MK, Nasrallah JB, Nasrallah ME (1994) Pollen-pistil interactions and developmental regulation of pollen tube growth in *Arabidopsis*. Development 120: 3405–3418.
- Zuberi MI, Dickinson HG (1985) Pollen-stigma interaction in *Brassica* III. Hydration of the pollen grains. J Cell Sci 76: 321–336.
- Sarker RH, Elleman CJ, Dickinson HG (1988) Control of pollen hydration in Brassica requires continued protein synthesis, and glycosylation in necessary for intraspecific incompatibility. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85: 4340–4344.
- Preuss D, Lemieux B, Yen G, Davis RW (1993) A conditional sterile mutation eliminates surface components from *Arabidopsis* pollen and disrupts cell signaling during fertilization. Genes Dev 7: 974–985.
- Dickinson HG, Elleman CJ (1985) Structural changes in the pollen grain of Brassica oleracea during dehydration in the anther and development on the stigma as revealed by anhydrous fixation techniques. Micron Microscopica Acta 16: 255–270.
- Luu DT, Heizmann P, Dumas C, Trick M, Cappadocia M (1997) Involvement of SLR1 genes in pollen adhesion to the stigmatic surface in Brassicaceae. Sex Plant Reprod 10: 227–235.

Figure S2 TEM images of B. napus stigmatic papillae showing alternate trafficking patterns. (A, B) B. napus Westar stigmatic papilla at 10 min post-pollination with selfcompatible pollen. Vesicles (V) appear to be fusing to the papillar plasma membrane (PM) underneath the pollen contact site in 2/ 25 samples. (C, D) B. napus Westar Exo70A1 RNAi stigmatic papilla at 10 min post-pollination with wild-type compatible pollen. MVBs appear to fuse with the plasma membrane (PM) in 2/10 samples. This observation is consistent with the incomplete knockout phenotype of the B. napus Westar Exo70A1 RNAi plants [17]. (E, F) B. napus W1 stigmatic papilla at 10 min post-pollination with self-incompatible pollen. MVBs appear to be targeted to the vacuole after self-incompatible pollinations. These images show a potential fusion of a MVB to the vacuole, and intact MVBs were not visible inside the vacuole as observed in 3/10 samples. (G, H) B. napus RFP:Exo70A1 W1 stigmatic papilla at 10 min post-pollination with self-incompatible pollen. MVBs were observed in the vacuole in 2/10 samples which is consistent with these plants displaying an incomplete self-incompatibility response [17]. The white boxed areas in (A, C, E, G) are shown in the (B, D, F, H), respectively. Scale bars (A, C, E, G) 1.5 µm; (B, D, F, H) 500 nm.

(PDF)

Acknowledgments

We thank John Greenwood for advice, Dr. Jon Agren for the *A. lyrata* seeds, and Dr. Vierstra for GFP:ATG8a construct. We also thank Henry Hong and Audrey Darabie for electron microscopy assistance and Wenzislava Ckurshumova for confocal microscopy assistance.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: DS DG. Performed the experiments: DS. Analyzed the data: DS DG. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: DS DG. Wrote the paper: DS DG.

- Luu DT, Marty-Mazars D, Trick M, Dumas C, Heizmann P (1999) Pollenstigma adhesion in *Brassica* spp involves SLG and SLR1 glycoproteins. Plant Cell 11: 251–262.
- Doughty J, Dixon S, Hiscock SJ, Willis AC, Parkin IA, et al. (1998) PCP-A1, a defensin-like *Brassica* pollen coat protein that binds the S locus glycoprotein, is the product of gametophytic gene expression. Plant Cell 10: 1333–1347.
- Takayama S, Shiba H, Iwano M, Asano K, Hara M, et al. (2000) Isolation and characterization of pollen coat proteins of *Brassica campestris* that interact with S locus-related glycoprotein 1 involved in pollen-stigma adhesion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 3765–3770.
- Samuel MA, Chong YT, Haasen KE, Aldea-Brydges MG, Stone SL, et al. (2009) Cellular pathways regulating responses to compatible and selfincompatible pollen in *Brassica* and *Arabidopsis* stigmas intersect at Exo70A1, a putative component of the exocyst complex. Plant Cell 21: 2655–2671.
- Tantikanjana T, Nasrallah ME, Nasrallah JB (2010) Complex networks of selfincompatibility signaling in the Brassicaceae. Curr Op Plant Biol 13: 520–526.
- Ivanov R, Fobis-Loisy I, Gaude T (2010) When no means no: guide to Brassicaceae self-incompatibility. Trends Plant Sci 15: 387–394.
- Iwano M, Takayama S (2012) Self/non-self discrimination in angiosperm selfincompatibility. Curr Op Plant Biol 15: 78–83.
- Kakita M, Murase K, Iwano M, Matsumoto T, Watanabe M, et al. (2007) Two distinct forms of M-locus protein kinase localize to the plasma membrane and interact directly with S-locus receptor kinase to transduce self-incompatibility signaling in *Brassica rapa*. Plant Cell 19: 3961–3973.
- Murase K, Shiba H, Iwano M, Che FS, Watanabe M, et al. (2004) A membrane-anchored protein kinase involved in *Brassica* self-incompatibility signaling. Science 303: 1516–1519.
- Gu T, Mazzurco M, Sulaman W, Matias DD, Goring DR (1998) Binding of an arm repeat protein to the kinase domain of the S-locus receptor kinase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95: 382–387.
- Indriolo E, Tharmapalan P, Wright SI, Goring DR (2012) The ARC1 E3 ligase gene is frequently deleted in self-compatible Brassicaceae species and has a conserved role in *Arabidopsis lyrata* self-pollen rejection. Plant Cell 24: 4607–4620.
- Stone SL, Arnoldo M, Goring DR (1999) A breakdown of Brassica selfincompatibility in ARC1 antisense transgenic plants. Science 286: 1729–1731.

- Stone SL, Anderson EM, Mullen RT, Goring DR (2003) ARC1 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase and promotes the ubiquitination of proteins during the rejection of self-incompatible *Brassica* pollen. Plant Cell 15: 885–898.
- 27. Samuel MA, Yee D, Haasen KE, Goring DR (2008) 'Self' Pollen Rejection Through the Intersection of Two Cellular Pathways in the Brassicaceae: Self-Incompatibility and the Compatible Pollen Response. In: Franklin-Tong VE, editor. Self-Incompatibility in Flowering Plants: Evolution, Diversity, and Mechanisms. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. pp. 314.
- Synek L, Schlager N, Elias M, Quentin M, Hauser MT, et al. (2006) *AtEXO70A1*, a member of a family of putative exocyst subunits specifically expanded in land plants, is important for polar growth and plant development. Plant J 48: 54–72.
- Kulich I, Cole R, Drdova E, Cvrckova F, Soukup A, et al. (2010) Arabidopsis exocyst subunits SEC8 and EXO70A1 and exocyst interactor ROH1 are involved in the localized deposition of seed coat pectin. New Phytol 188: 615– 625.
- Fendrych M, Synek L, Pecenkova T, Toupalova H, Cole R, et al. (2010) The Arabidopsis exocyst complex is involved in cytokinesis and cell plate maturation. Plant Cell 22: 3053–3065.
- Drdova EJ, Synek L, Pecenkova T, Hala M, Kulich I, et al. (2013) The exocyst complex contributes to PIN auxin efflux carrier recycling and polar auxin transport in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J 73: 709–719.
- Li S, Chen M, Yu D, Ren S, Sun S, et al. (2013) EXO70A1-Mediated Vesicle Trafficking Is Critical for Tracheary Element Development in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 25: 1774–1786.
- Hala M, Cole R, Synek L, Drdova E, Pecenkova T, et al. (2008) An exocyst complex functions in plant cell growth in *Arabidopsis* and tobacco. Plant Cell 20: 1330–1345.
- Franklin TM, Oldknow J, Trick M (1996) SLR1 function is dispensable for both self-incompatible rejection and self-compatible pollination processes in *Brassica*. Sex Plant Reprod 9: 203–208.
- Foster E, Levesque-Lemay M, Schneiderman D, Albani D, Schernthaner J, et al. (2005) Characterization of a gene highly expressed in the *Brassica napus* pistil that encodes a novel proline-rich protein. Sex Plant Reprod 17: 261–267.
- Fobis-Loisy I, Chambrier P, Gaude T (2007) Genetic transformation of Arabidopsis lyrata: specific expression of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) in pistil tissues. Plant Cell Reports 26: 745–753.
- Elleman CJ, Dickinson HG (1996) Identification of pollen components regulating pollination-specific responses in the stigmatic papillae of *Brassica* oleracea. New Phytol 133: 197–205.
- Elleman CJ, Dickinson HG (1990) The Role of the exine coating in pollenstigma interactions in *Brassica oleracea* L. New Phytol114: 511–518.
- Iwano M, Shiba H, Matoba K, Miwa T, Funato M, et al. (2007) Actin dynamics in papilla cells of *Brassica rapa* during self- and cross-pollination. Plant Physiol 144: 72–81.
- Iwano M, Shiba H, Miwa T, Che FS, Takayama S, et al. (2004) Ca²⁺ dynamics in a pollen grain and papilla cell during pollination of *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 136: 3562–3571.
- Samuel MA, Tang W, Jamshed M, Northey J, Patel D, et al. (2011) Proteomic analysis of *Brassica* stigmatic proteins following the self-incompatibility reaction reveals a role for microtubule dynamics during pollen responses. Mol Cell Proteomics 10: M111 011338.
- Roberts IN, Stead AD, Ockendon DJ, Dickinson HG (1980) Pollen stigma interactions in *Brassica oleracea*. Theor Appl Genet 58: 241–246.
- Kivimaki M, Karkkainen K, Gaudeul M, Loe G, Agren J (2007) Gene, phenotype and function: GLABROUS1 and resistance to herbivory in natural populations of *Arabidopsis lyrata*. Mol Ecol 16: 453–462.
- 44. Goring DR, Banks P, Beversdorf WD, Rothstein SJ (1992) Use of the polymerase chain reaction to isolate an S-locus glycoprotein cDNA introgressed from *Brassica campestris* into *B. napus* ssp. *oleifera*. Mol Gen Genet 234: 185–192.
- Dhonukshe P, Aniento F, Hwang I, Robinson DG, Mravec J, et al. (2007) Clathrin-mediated constitutive endocytosis of PIN auxin efflux carriers in *Arabidopsis*. Curr Biol 17: 520–527.
- Stead AD, Roberts IN, Dickinson HG (1979) Pollen-pistil interactions in *Brassica* oleracea - Events prior to pollen germination. Planta 146: 211–216.
- Ockendon DJ (1978) Effect of Hexane and Humidity on Self-Incompatibility in Brassica oleracea. Theor Appl Genet 52: 113–117.
- Zuberi MI, Dickinson HG (1985) Pollen stigma interactions in *Brassica*. 3. Hydration of pollen grains. J Cell Sci 76: 321–336.
- Carter AL, McNeilly T (1976) Increased atmospheric humidity post pollination -Possible aid to production of inbred line seed from mature flowers in Brussels sprout (*Brassica oleracea* var gemmifera). Euphytica 25: 531–538.
- Dearnaley JDW, Clark KM, Heath IB, Lew RR, Goring DR (1999) Neither compatible nor self-incompatible pollinations of *Brassica napus* involve reorganization of the papillar cytoskeleton. New Phytol 141: 199–207.
- Dearnaley JDW, Levina NN, Lew RR, Heath IB, Goring DR (1997) Interrelationships between cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ peaks, pollen hydration and plasma membrane conductances during compatible and incompatible pollinations of *Brassica napus* papillae. Plant and Cell Physiology 38: 985–999.
- Smyth DR, Bowman JL, Meyerowitz EM (1990) Early flower development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 2: 755–767.

- Inoue Y, Suzuki T, Hattori M, Yoshimoto K, Ohsumi Y, et al. (2006) AtATG genes, homologs of yeast autophagy genes, are involved in constitutive autophagy in Arabidopsis root tip cells. Plant Cell Physiol 47: 1641–1652.
- Elleman CJ, Dickinson HG (1986) Pollen stigma interactions in *Brassica*. IV. Structural reorganization in the pollen grains during hydration. J Cell Sci 80: 141–157.
- Contento AL, Xiong Y, Bassham DC (2005) Visualization of autophagy in Arabidopsis using the fluorescent dye monodansylcadaverine and a GFP-AtATG8e fusion protein. Plant J 42: 598–608.
- Thompson AR, Doelling JH, Suttangkakul A, Vierstra RD (2005) Autophagic nutrient recycling in *Arabidopsis* directed by the ATG8 and ATG12 conjugation pathways. Plant Physiol 138: 2097–2110.
- Lu C, Kang J (2008) Generation of transgenic plants of a potential oilseed crop Camelina sativa by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Plant Cell Rep 27: 273– 278.
- Elleman CJ, Willson CE, Sarker RH, Dickinson HG (1988) Interaction between the pollen-tube and stigmatic cell wall following pollination in *Brassica oleracea*. New Phytol 109: 111–117.
- Hiscock SJ, Bown D, Gurr SJ, Dickinson HG (2002) Serine esterases are required for pollen tube penetration of the stigma in *Brassica*. Sex Plant Reprod 15: 65–74.
- Hiscock SJ, Dewey FM, Coleman JOD, Dickinson HG (1994) Identification and localization of an active cutinase in the pollen of *Brassica napus* L. Planta 193: 377–384.
- Dearnaley JDW, Daggard GA (2001) Expression of a polygalacturonase enzyme in germinating pollen of *Brassica napus*. Sex Plant Reprod 13: 265–271.
- Jiang L, Yang SL, Xie LF, Puah CS, Zhang XQ, et al. (2005) VANGUARD1 encodes a pectin methylesterase that enhances pollen tube growth in the *Arabidopsis* style and transmitting tract. Plant Cell 17: 584–596.
- Chen X, Irani NG, Friml J (2011) Clathrin-mediated endocytosis: the gateway into plant cells. Curr Op Plant Biol 14: 674–682.
- Simons M, Raposo G (2009) Exosomes-vesicular carriers for intercellular communication. Curr Opin Cell Biol 21: 575–581.
- Meyer D, Pajonk S, Micali C, O'Connell R, Schulze-Lefert P (2009) Extracellular transport and integration of plant secretory proteins into pathogen-induced cell wall compartments. Plant J 57: 986–999.
- 66. An Q, Huckelhoven R, Kogel KH, van Bel AJ (2006) Multivesicular bodies participate in a cell wall-associated defence response in barley leaves attacked by the pathogenic powdery mildew fungus. Cell Microbiol 8: 1009–1019.
- Guo W, Grant A, Novick P (1999) Exo84p is an exocyst protein essential for secretion. J Biol Chem 274: 23558–23564.
- Novick P, Field C, Schekman R (1980) Identification of 23 complementation groups required for post-translational events in the yeast secretory pathway. Cell 21: 205–215.
- Biederbick A, Kern HF, Elsasser HP (1995) Monodansylcadaverine (MDC) is a specific in vivo marker for autophagic vacuoles. Eur J Cell Biol 66: 3–14.
- Śwanson R, Edlund AF, Preuss D (2004) Species specificity in pollen-pistil interactions. Annu Rev Genet 38: 793–818.
- Chong YT, Gidda SK, Sanford C, Parkinson J, Mullen RT, et al. (2010) Characterization of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* exocyst complex gene families by phylogenetic, expression profiling, and subcellular localization studies. New Phytol 185: 401–419.
- He B, Guo W (2009) The exocyst complex in polarized exocytosis. Curr Opin Cell Biol 21: 537–542.
- Heider MR, Munson M (2012) Exorcising the exocyst complex. Traffic 13: 898– 907.
- Boyd C, Hughes T, Pypaert M, Novick P (2004) Vesicles carry most exocyst subunits to exocytic sites marked by the remaining two subunits, Sec3p and Exo70p. J Cell Biol 167: 889–901.
- Soldati T, Schliwa M (2006) Powering membrane traffic in endocytosis and recycling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 7: 897–908.
- Ding Y, Wang J, Stierhof YD, Robinson DG, Jiang L (2012) Unconventional protein secretion. Trends Plant Sci 17: 606–615.
- Micali CO, Neumann U, Grunewald D, Panstruga R, O'Connell R (2011) Biogenesis of a specialized plant-fungal interface during host cell internalization of *Goloxinomyces orontii* haustoria. Cell Microbiol 13: 210–226.
- Bohlenius H, Morch SM, Godfrey D, Nielsen ME, Thordal-Christensen H (2010) The multivesicular body-localized GTPase ARFA1b/1c is important for callose deposition and ROR2 syntaxin-dependent preinvasive basal defense in barley. Plant Cell 22: 3831–3844.
- Liu Y, Bassham DC (2012) Autophagy: pathways for self-eating in plant cells. Annu Rev Plant Biol 63: 215–237.
- Li F, Vierstra RD (2012) Autophagy: a multifaceted intracellular system for bulk and selective recycling. Trends Plant Sci 17: 526–537.
- Bodemann BO, Orvedahl A, Cheng T, Ram RR, Ou YH, et al. (2011) RalB and the exocyst mediate the cellular starvation response by direct activation of autophagosome assembly. Cell 144: 253–267.
- Kulich I, Pecenkova T, Sekeres J, Smetana O, Fendrych M, et al. (2013) *Arabidopsis* exocyst subcomplex containing subunit EXO70B1 is involved in the autophagy-related transport to the vacuole. Traffic 14:1155–1165.
- Barile M, Pisitkun T, Yu MJ, Chou CL, Verbalis MJ, et al. (2005) Large scale protein identification in intracellular aquaporin-2 vesicles from renal inner medullary collecting duct. Mol Cell Proteomics 4: 1095–1106.