

Check for updates

Longitudinal Assessment of Left Ventricular Mass in Autosomal Dominant Polycystic Kidney Disease



¹Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ²Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA; ⁴Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA; ⁵Division of Renal Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ⁶Department of Medicine, University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, Denver, Colorado, USA; ⁷Department of Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ⁸Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ⁹Department of Physical Therapy, University of Pittsburgh, PA; ¹⁰Department of Medicine, Biological Sciences Department, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA; ¹¹Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA; ¹³Department of Nephrology, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; ¹⁴Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kansas University Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas, USA; ¹⁵Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA; ¹⁶Department of Medicine, Renal Division, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; and ¹⁷National Institutes of Health (NIDDK), Bethesda, Maryland, USA

Introduction: The high burden of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) is related to development of hypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy. Blood pressure reduction has been shown to reduce left ventricular mass in ADPKD; however, moderators and predictors of response to lower blood pressure are unknown.

Methods: This was a *post hoc* cohort analysis of HALT PKD study A, a randomized placebo controlled trial examining the effect of low blood pressure and single versus dual renin–angiotensin blockade in early ADPKD. Participants were hypertensive ADPKD patients 15 to 49 years of age with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) > 60 ml/min per 1.73 m² across 7 centers in the United States. Predictors included age, sex, baseline eGFR, systolic blood pressure, total kidney volume, serum potassium, and urine sodium, potassium, albumin, and aldosterone. Outcome was left ventricular mass index (LVMI) measured using 1.5-T magnetic resonance imaging at months 0, 24, 48, and 60.

Results: Reduction in LVMI was associated with higher baseline systolic blood pressure and larger kidney volume regardless of blood pressure control group assignment (P < 0.001 for both). Male sex and baseline eGFR were associated with a positive annual slope in LVMI (P < 0.001 and P = 0.07, respectively).

Conclusion: Characteristics associated with higher risk of progression in ADPKD, including higher systolic blood pressure, larger kidney volume, and lower eGFR are associated with improvement in LVMI with intensive blood pressure control, whereas male sex is associated with a smaller slope of reduction in LVMI.

Kidney Int Rep (2018) 3, 619-624; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2017.12.011

KEYWORDS: autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease; hypertension; left ventricular hypertrophy; left ventricular mass index

© 2018 International Society of Nephrology. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Correspondence: Ronald D. Perrone, Division of Nephrology, Tufts Medical Center, 800 Washington Street, Hospital Box 391, Boston, Massachusetts 02111, USA. E-mail: rperrone@ tuftsmedicalcenter.org

Received 20 October 2017; revised 18 December 2017; accepted 23 December 2017; published online 6 January 2018

Progressive growth of kidney cysts increases total kidney volume (TKV) in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD). The expansion of cysts is associated with angiotensin-dependent hypertension early in the disease course, before kidney function is substantially reduced. Hypertension results in left ventricular enlargement beginning in childhood, progressing to overt left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) in adulthood, which likely contributes to the substantial cardiovascular morbidity and mortality observed in ADPKD.¹⁻⁴ Intensive blood pressure (BP) reduction has been shown to reduce left ventricular mass in a small trial of hypertensive ADPKD patients.⁵

The HALT PKD study A was a 2 \times 2 factorial, randomized controlled trial that addressed the impact of intensive blockade of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone (lisinopril/placebo system [angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEi)] vs. lisinopril/telmisartan [ACEi/ angiotensin receptor blocker (ACEi/ARB)]) and intensive BP control [95-110/60-75 mm Hg vs. 120-130/70-80 mm Hg]) on TKV in 558 hypertensive subjects with preserved kidney function (estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] >60 ml/min per 1.73 m²) who were 15 to 49 years of age.⁶ The primary results of HALT PKD have been reported previously, and have demonstrated that intensive BP control but not combined ACEi/ARB slowed the growth of TKV. One of the notable secondary outcomes for this study was that left ventricular mass index (LVMI) measured by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was significantly reduced in the intensive BP group.

The HALT study population constitutes the largest cardiac MRI cohort of hypertensive ADPKD patients (total N = 543) to date. Prospective, longitudinal data on the natural evolution of LVMI and factors affecting its response to antihypertensive therapy are lacking. Our primary objective was to evaluate the longitudinal impact of variables, both as moderators and predictors, related to improvement of LVMI with intensive BP control in the HALT PKD study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

The design and implementation of the HALT PKD study and the baseline characteristics of this population have been reported in detail.⁶⁻⁸ Briefly, the HALT PKD trials were prospective, randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, multicenter, intervention trials testing whether multilevel blockade of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system using ACEi plus ARB (lisinopril plus telmisartan) combination therapy would delay progression of renal disease compared to ACEi (lisinopril plus placebo) monotherapy in studies A and B, and whether intensive BP control (95-110/60-75 mm Hg) would delay progression as compared with standard control (120-130/70-80 mm Hg) in study A.

All HALT participants were hypertensive as defined by current use of antihypertensive medications for BP control or systolic BP of \geq 130 mm Hg and/or a diastolic BP of ≥80 mm Hg on 3 separate readings within the year before baseline. Study A participants were 15 to 49 years of age with eGFR >60 ml/min per 1.73 m² and underwent MRI assessment of LVM, renal blood flow, and total kidney volume at the baseline visit (before study intervention) with follow-up measurements performed at 24, 48, and 60 months. A window of ±2 months was allowed for each time period. The protocol for the HALT study was approved by the institutional review board at each study site. The present article reports on study A participants only, as MRI imaging was not performed in study B.

Cardiac MRI

A standardized cardiac MRI protocol using 1.5-T MRI scanner was implemented.³ De-identified images were stored in the central image analysis center for the HALT PKD study and evaluated centrally using Analyze software system (Mayo Foundation, Biomedical Imaging Resource, Rochester, MN). The myocardial area was defined as the difference between the left ventricular epicardial and endocardial borders during end diastole with the exclusion of papillary muscles. The myocardial area over the entire left ventricle was used to determine the left ventricular volume. Left ventricular mass (LVM) was calculated as the product of left ventricular volume and specific gravity of myocardium (1.05 g/ml). Indexing of LVM was performed using the Dubois formula (using body surface area), which was previously shown to be the most reliable method in this cohort.^{3,9} The upper limit of normal for this study was defined as $>84.6 \text{ g/m}^2$ for women and >106.2 g/m² for men using a previously defined 95th percentile of LVM.¹⁰

Statistical Methods

Covariates chosen a priori for analysis included age, sex, baseline eGFR, systolic BP, total kidney volume, serum potassium, and urine sodium, potassium, albumin, and aldosterone. Most of these covariates were significant in the univariate analysis conducted on the baseline measurements.³ We determined whether any baseline covariates moderated the effect of low BP control (vs. standard control) on the slope of LVMI using all available data. Linear mixed models were fit on LVMI as a function of the following predictors: month, month by BP arm interaction, the potential moderator variable, and all resulting 2- and 3-way interactions. If a significant 3-way interaction was found (month by BP arm by moderator), the covariate was classified as a moderator; otherwise the 3-way interaction was removed and the model was rerun to determine whether the 2-way interaction (month by

covariate) was significant, indicating the covariate was a nonspecific predictor.

We also assessed whether the effects of certain time-varying predictors of LVMI could be separated into cross-sectional and longitudinal effects. Using the models described above, we re-parameterized the time-varying covariate into a baseline component (cross-sectional) and a within-participant change from baseline (longitudinal). For example, time-varying systolic BP (SBP) would be further decomposed into baseline SBP and the within-subject difference from the baseline SBP. Linear mixed models were fit with LVMI as a function of the following predictors: month, month by BP arm interaction, the timevarying covariate, and the 2-way interactions between month and each of the cross-sectional and longitudinal components. Of interest is the significance of the month by longitudinal interaction, which, due to the re-parametrization, denotes whether there is a difference between cross-sectional and longitudinal effects. If this interaction is nonsignificant, it obviates the need to create this partitioning of the covariates. Due to the exploratory nature of the analyses, adjustments for multiplicity were not performed. All analyses were conducted using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the HALT study A participants have been published previously and were groups^{7,8} well balanced among intervention (Supplementary Table S1). Participants had an average age of 36 years, 50% were male, and more than 90% were white, with an average eGFR of approximately 91 ml/min per 1.73 m². Average BP values at baseline were similar in all groups (lisinopril/placebo $126.4 \pm 13.6/79.6 \pm 10.3$ mm Hg; lisinopril/telmisartan 127.0 \pm 14.1/80.7 \pm 11.8 mm Hg; standard BP goal 127.2 \pm 14.0/80.8 \pm 11.2 mm Hg; intensive BP goal $126.2 \pm 13.8/79.4 \pm 10.9$ mm Hg). There were no significant differences between baseline LVMI or TKV between study arms. The prevalence of LVH using nonindexed LVM was 3.9% (n = 21) and that of using LVMI was 0.93% (n = 5).³

Of the 558 patients randomized, 539 underwent MRI at baseline, 476 at 24 months, 434 at 48 months, and 427 at 60 months. The prevalence of LVH decreased throughout the study, and no subject met criteria for LVH at 60 months. As shown previously, treatment with intensive BP control significantly decreased LVMI as compared to standard BP control (slope of LVMI in g/m^2 per year: intensive BP -1.17; standard BP = -0.57; mean difference = -0.60; confidence interval = -0.91, -0.29;

P < 0.001) (Supplementary Figure S1a). Treatment outcomes for LVMI with lisinopril/telmisartan versus lisinopril/placebo were not significantly different (Supplementary Figure S1b), and there was no interaction between drug therapy and blood pressure target (P = 0.30). The overall adverse event rate was very low and was similar across all 4 groups except for a slightly higher rate of gastrointestinal disorders and nephrolithiasis in the standard BP group.⁷

Using linear mixed models, none of our covariates were found to be significant moderators of the BP treatment group effect (Supplementary Table S2). Unit increases in baseline systolic BP and log-transformed TKV were associated with decreases of 0.028 and 0.68 g/m² per year, respectively, in annual slope of LVMI (P < 0.001 for both) (Table 1 and Figure 1a and b). Male sex and higher baseline eGFR were associated with a 0.79 g/m² per year increase in annual slope of LVMI (P < 0.001 and P = 0.07, respectively) (Table 1 and Figure 1c). No significant associations with change in LVMI were detected for age, serum potassium, urine sodium, urine potassium, urine albumin, and urine aldosterone.

We assessed whether there were associations between LVMI slope and baseline predictors that varied over time. A 1-unit increase in time-varying log-transformed TKV resulted in a 0.62 g/m² per year decrease in LVMI slope (P < 0.0001). However, there was no difference when we partitioned this into cross-sectional and longitudinal effects.

DISCUSSION

In the largest studied cohort of hypertensive ADPKD patients with preserved GFR randomized to 2 different BP targets and medication regimens, intensive BP treatment reduced LVMI on serial cardiac MRI. In addition, baseline characteristics suggestive of higher

 Table 1. Association between baseline covariates and left ventricular mass index

na	Baseline covariate	Estimate (95% CI) ^b	P value
557	Baseline eGFR, ml/min per 1.73 m ²	0.0096 (-0.0012, 0.02)	0.07
558	Age, yr	0.0072 (-0.014, 0.03)	0.50
554	Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	-0.028 (-0.040, -0.014)	< 0.001
551	LnTKV	-0.68 (-1.00, -0.36)	< 0.001
558	Male sex	0.79 (0.44, 1.15)	< 0.001
558	Serum potassium, mEq/I	-0.31 (-0.70, 0.088)	0.13
542	Urine sodium, mEq/24 h	0 (-0.0024, 0.0024)	0.81
536	Urine potassium, mEq/24 h	-0.0048 (-0.012, 0.0024)	0.16
542	Urine albumin, mg/24 h	-0.0012 (-0.0024, 0.0012)	0.33
534	Urine aldosterone, µg/24 h	0.0072 (-0.012, 0.026)	0.48

CI, confidence interval; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; LnTKV, natural log of total kidney volume; TKV, total kidney volume.

^aNumber of participants included in linear mixed model. ^bChange in annual slope of left ventricular mass index due to 1-unit change in the covariate.



Figure 1. (a) Predicted left ventricular mass index (LVMI) slope versus baseline systolic blood pressure (SBP) (mm Hg). (b) Predicted LVMI slope versus baseline total kidney volume (ml). (c) Predicted LVMI slope versus baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) (ml/min per 1.73 m²). BP, blood pressure; CKD EPI = Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration; TKV, total kidney volume.

risk of poorer outcomes, including higher BP, lower eGFR, and higher TKV, identified patients who had the largest decrease in LVMI, irrespective of the BP intervention.

LVH has been reported to be a common finding in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD).^{11,12} A strong association between LVH and incident congestive heart failure (CHF) has been identified.¹³ As patients progress to end-stage renal disease (ESRD), the prevalence of LVH remains high and LVH has been shown to be an independent risk factor for mortality.¹⁴ Normotensive and hypertensive patients with ADPKD and advanced CKD tend to develop LVH, and cardiovascular disease remains one of the predominant causes of death in this population.^{2,15} In contrast, the prevalence of LVH in hypertensive AKPKD patients with eGFR > 60 ml/min per 1.73 m² was found to be low in the HALT PKD study.³

In this analysis, we sought to identify parameters associated with improvement in LVMI in HALT study A participants. To our knowledge, there is no previous literature looking at serial measurements of LVMI using cardiac MRI in relation to treatment in patients with ADPKD. The groups randomized to intensive BP treatment had a greater reduction in LVMI. The interaction between blood pressure target and drug therapy was not significant, suggesting that there was no effect modification by ACEi/ARB versus ACEi/ placebo on attaining target BP. Using linear mixed models, we found higher baseline systolic BP and higher TKV to be significantly associated with reduction in LVMI. Lower eGFR was also associated with a reduction in LVMI; however, this did not reach statistical significance. Male sex was associated with a significant increase in LVMI. The relationship between each variable and LVMI was similar when using timevarying analyses. The only exception was TKV; however, the difference was not clinically significant, and there was no difference comparing cross-sectional and longitudinal effects.

Our findings are significant and novel, and build upon previous work showing intensive BP control reducing LVMI.⁵ In the HALT study A population, intensive BP control was shown to significantly reduce the annual increase in TKV in hypertensive ADPKD patients with eGFR > 60 ml/min per $1.73 \text{ m}^{2.7}$ Although LVH was uncommon in this population with early CKD, intensive BP treatment (well below current European Renal Best Practice and Eighth Joint National Commission target levels^{16,17}) was shown to reduce LVMI. Intensive BP treatment also reversed LVH for the few participants who met LVH criteria at study enrollment. The subjects with the risk factors most suggestive of a poorer outcome (larger TKV, higher baseline systolic BP, and reduced eGFR) had the greatest reduction in LVMI. Male sex was associated with a positive LVMI slope resulting in men having an overall less steep LVMI decline compared to women. This is important, as male sex is a known risk factor for the development of cardiovascular disease.¹⁸

Given the limitations of therapeutic interventions for patients with ADPKD, these findings add to the evidence that clinicians should target these patients early and treat them aggressively. The low prevalence of LVH found in the HALT Study A population is likely a reflection of the current state of affairs, with earlier diagnosis of ADPKD and initiation of BP lowering interventions.¹⁹ In fact, about 17% of study patients were already on an ARB and 50% on an ACEi (in addition to other antihypertensive agents) at the screening visit for the HALT PKD study.^{3,7}

Strengths of this study include a rigorous study design with very well-characterized participants, achievement of BP targets, standardized MRI assessment of LVMI, and serial follow-up assessments in each patient, with few losses to follow-up. Limitations include lack of generalizability to patients with CKD not from ADPKD and the low prevalence of LVH in study participants. Longer-term cardiovascular outcomes with reduction of LVMI within the normal range remain to be determined.

DISCLOSURE

All the authors declared no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The investigators thank Gigi Flynn, Robin Woltman, and all of the clinical coordinators at each clinical site for their perseverance and hard work in implementing HALT-PKD. TD is supported by NIDDKT32-DK007777 from the National Institutes of Health National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney Diseases. The HALT-PKD study was supported by cooperative agreements from the National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (DK62408, DK62401, DK62410, DK62402, and DK62411). The HALT-PKD study and this publication were also supported by grants from the National Center for Research Resources (RR000039 Emory, RR000051 Colorado, RR00585 Mayo, RR000054 Tufts Medical Center, and RR23940 Kansas and UL1 RR025008 Emory, UL1 RR025780 Colorado, UL1 RR024150 Mayo, UL1 RR025752 Tufts, and UL1 RR024992 Washington University). Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NCRR. Study medication for both trials was provided by Boehringer-Ingelheim

CLINICAL RESEARCH

Pharmaceuticals (telmisartan and matched placebo) and Merck & Co. (lisinopril). The Polycystic Kidney Disease Foundation provided financial support and recruitment assistance for the enrollment phase of HALTPKD. Results presented in this manuscript have not been published previously, except in abstract form.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Figure S1. (A) Mean left ventricular mass index (LVMI) over the study period between standard and low blood pressure groups. (B) Mean LVMI over the study period between the ACEi/ARB and ACEi/placebo groups. From *N Engl J Med.*, Schrier RW, Abebe KA, Perrone RD, et al. Blood pressure in early autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. Volume 371, pages 2255–2266, supplement pages 5 and 7. Copyright © 2014 Massachusetts Medical Society. Reprinted with permission.

 Table S1.
 Baseline
 characteristics
 of
 HALT
 Study
 A

 participants.

 </t

Table S2. Moderators of left ventricular mass index slope. Supplementary information is linked to the online version of the paper at www.kireports.org.

REFERENCES

- Schrier R. Renal volume, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, hypertension, and left ventricular hypertrophy in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2009;20:1888–1893.
- Fick GM, Johnson AM, Hammond WS, Gabow PA. Causes of death in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 1995;5:2048–2056.
- Perrone RD, Abebe KZ, Schrier RW, et al. Cardiac magnetic resonance assessment of left ventricular mass in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2011;6:2508–2515.
- Ecder T, Schrier RW. Cardiovascular abnormalities in autosomal-dominant polycystic kidney disease. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2009;5:221–228.
- Schrier R, McFann K, Johnson A, et al. Cardiac and renal effects of standard versus rigorous blood pressure control in autosomal-dominant polycystic kidney disease: results of a seven-year prospective randomized study. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2002;13:1733–1739.
- Chapman AB, Torres VE, Perrone RD, et al. The HALT polycystic kidney disease trials: design and implementation. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2010;5:102–109.

- Schrier RW, Abebe KZ, Perrone RD, et al. Blood pressure in early autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:2255–2266.
- Torres VE, Chapman AB, Perrone RD, et al. Analysis of baseline parameters in the HALT polycystic kidney disease trials. *Kidney Int.* 2012;81:577–585.
- Du Bois D, Du Bois EF. A formula to estimate the approximate surface area if height and weight be known. 1916. *Nutrition* [Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif]. 1989;5:303–311. discussion 12–13.
- Brumback L, Kronmal R, Heckbert S, et al. Body size adjustments for left ventricular mass by cardiovascular magnetic resonance and their impact on left ventricular hypertrophy classification. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2010;26:459–468.
- Levin A, Singer J, Thompson CR, et al. Prevalent left ventricular hypertrophy in the predialysis population: identifying opportunities for intervention. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 1996;27: 347–354.
- Paoletti E, Bellino D, Cassottana P, et al. Left ventricular hypertrophy in nondiabetic predialysis CKD. Am J Kidney Dis. 2005;46:320–327.
- Dubin RF, Deo R, Bansal N, et al. Associations of conventional echocardiographic measures with incident heart failure and mortality: the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2017;12:60–68.
- Foley RN, Parfrey PS, Harnett JD, et al. The prognostic importance of left ventricular geometry in uremic cardiomyopathy. J Am Soc Nephrol. 1995;5:2024–2031.
- Chapman AB, Johnson AM, Rainguet S, et al. Left ventricular hypertrophy in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 1997;8:1292–1297.
- 16. Verbeke F, Lindley E, Van Bortel L, et al. A European Renal Best Practice (ERBP) position statement on the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) clinical practice guideline for the management of blood pressure in nondialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease: an endorsement with some caveats for real-life application. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2014;29:490–496.
- James PA, Oparil S, Carter BL, et al. 2014 Evidence-based guideline for the management of high blood pressure in adults: report from the panel members appointed to the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8). JAMA. 2014;311:507–520.
- Goff DC Jr., Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63:2935–2959.
- Alam A, Perrone RD. Left ventricular hypertrophy in ADPKD: changing demographics. *Curr Hypertens Rev.* 2013;9:27–31.