Mycobacterium Indicus Pranii (MIP) Vaccine: Pharmacology, Indication, Dosing Schedules, Administration, and Side Effects in Clinical Practice

Abstract

Mycobacterium indicus pranii (MIP), previously called Mw vaccine, is a one-of-a-kind immunomodulatory vaccine. It was indigenously developed in India for use in leprosy. MIP is heat-killed Mycobacterium w, which is a non-pathogenic atypical mycobacterium belonging to Class IV of Runyon classification. It shares epitopes with Mycobacterium leprae and Mycobacterium tuberculosis, which forms the rationale behind its use in leprosy and tuberculosis. MIP activates both innate and acquired immunity. It induces a Th1 and Th17 immune response along with downregulation of Th2 pathway and activates macrophages and dendritic cells. MIP vaccine is safe with adverse effects such as local site erythema, swelling, and rarely fever and other systemic reactions. Apart from leprosy, MIP has been used in dermatological diseases such as warts and psoriasis. Clinical trials have evaluated the efficacy of MIP in a plenitude of non-dermatological conditions such as category II tuberculosis, Gram-negative sepsis, non-small cell lung cancer, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), muscle-invasive bladder cancer, and very recently, coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19). In vitro and animal studies have also demonstrated its utility in leishmaniasis, melanoma, and as a vaccine for the prevention of pregnancy. The PubMed database was searched using "Mycobacterium indicus pranii, MIP, Mycobacterium w" as the keyword in title. This comprehensive review provides useful information for healthcare professionals about immunotherapeutic potential of MIP vaccine, its composition, dosing schedule, administration, and side effects besides its efficacy in various indications other than leprosy.

Keywords: Immunotherapy, Leprosy, Mycobacterium indicus pranii

Introduction

Mycobacterium w (Mw) or Mycobacterium indicus pranii (MIP) is a coveted, first vaccine that has been indigenously developed in India for use in leprosy.^[1] It is also particularly useful in advanced non-small cell lung cancer in combination paclitaxel and cisplatin, with and sepsis.^[2,3] MIP vaccine stimulates innate as well as adaptive immune responses. It induces a Th1 and Th17 immune response along with downregulation of Th2 pathway and activates macrophages and dendritic cells.^[4] MIP is a killed vaccine that contains heat-killed Mycobacterium w suspended in saline. Mycobacterium w is an atypical, cultivable, non-pathogenic, and rapidly growing mycobacterium classified under Runyon group IV of mycobacteria.^[5] non-tubercular MIP vaccine is fairly safe with few adverse effects such as local site erythema,

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

swelling, and rarely fever and other systemic reactions.^[6-8]

Although originally developed for leprosy prevention and management, it has an additional plethora of uses [Figure 1], some approved and many still under evaluation including the prevention of tuberculosis and the most recent, COVID-19 infection. The PubMed database was searched using "Mycobacterium indicus pranii, MIP, Mycobacterium w" as the keyword in title. Abstracts were screened to include studies in the English language and those pertaining to the use of MIP. This comprehensive review provides useful information for healthcare professionals about immunotherapeutic potential of MIP vaccine, its composition, dosing schedule, administration, and side effects besides its efficacy in various indications other than leprosy.

How to cite this article: Dogra S, Jain S, Sharma A, Chhabra S, Narang T. *Mycobacterium indicus pranii* (MIP) vaccine: Pharmacology, indication, dosing schedules, administration, and side effects in clinical practice. Indian Dermatol Online J 2023;14:753-61.

Received: 09-May-2023. Revised: 07-Aug-2023. Accepted: 19-Aug-2023. Published: 17-Oct-2023.

Sunil Dogra, Sejal Jain, Ayush Sharma¹, Seema Chhabra¹, Tarun Narang

Departments of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology, 'Immunopathology, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

Address for correspondence: Prof. Sunil Dogra, Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Sector 12, Chandigarh - 160 012, India. E-mail: sundogra@hotmail.com





Figure 1: Dermatological and non-dermatological uses of MIP apart from leprosy

History

Vaccines are a cornerstone in the prevention of disease and eventually eliminating it. The basis for the development of a vaccine for leprosy was due to a specific defect that was observed in a subpopulation of T lymphocytes in certain individuals making them susceptible to the infection, especially lepromatous leprosy.^[9] Use of killed or live attenuated *M. leprae* did not give the desired results in these individuals. Thus, in the quest for developing an effective leprosy vaccine, sixteen strains of atypical mycobacteria were tried of which five, including an unnamed strain, were found to be useful.^[10] This strain was then called the *Mycobacterium w*. While initially developed for leprosy, the utility of the vaccine was found in multiple other diseases as well.

M. tuberculosis-W (Beijing genotype) is a distinct drug-resistant sub-strain that has different genetic makeup and molecular profile than *Mycobacterium w*. To avoid confusion, the name *Mycobacterium Indicus Pranii* (MIP) was suggested.^[11] "Indicus" was derived from the place of origin, India, "Pran" from the family name of the discovering scientist (Gursaran Pran Talwar), and "NII"

stood for National Institute of Immunology (New Delhi, India) where it was developed.

Immunomodulatory mechanism

MIP has a definite role in inducing both innate and adaptive immune responses [Figure 2]. Innate immunity is a potent inducer of pro-inflammatory responses in macrophages as well as in dendritic cells and mediates its effects through both TLR2 and NOD2 in a MyD88-dependent manner.^[12] MIP-induced pro-inflammatory responses include induction of tumor necrosis factor- α , interleukin-12p40 (IL-12p40), IL-6, nitric oxide, enhanced reactive oxygen species, and IFN-induced chemokines such as CXCL10.^[12-14] It causes significant upregulation of costimulatory molecules CD40, CD80, and CD86 in dendritic cells and also promotes classical as well as cross-presentation of antigens by them.^[4]

MIP preferentially induces a strong host-protective Th1 immune response. It helps in the generation of antigen-specific polyfunctional T cells, that is, IFN- γ + TNF- α + IL-2+ T cells or IFN- γ + TNF- α + T cells.^[15] A strong memory T-cell response including potent central memory (CD44+CD62L+cells) as well as a strong effector



Figure 2: Immunomodulatory mechanism of MIP vaccine. "Created with BioRender.com"

memory (CD44+ CD62L- cells) T-cell response in both CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell subsets has also been observed. It promotes Th17 polarization and abrogates Th2 and T-regulatory (T-reg) cell response.^[16] MIP vaccine also has the ability to reduce the cytokine storm, which renders it good immunomodulatory capability in sepsis and COVID-19. The same mechanism underlies its utility in the reduction of type 2 lepra reactions and tubercular pericarditis.^[8]

Composition and method of administration^[1]

The vaccine is a colorless suspension of killed *Mycobacterium w*. It is available as a 0.6-mL vial, with each dose of 0.1 mL containing 0.5×10^9 cells of bacilli, 0.9% w/v of sodium chloride, and 0.01% w/v of thiomersal. It is also available as a 0.2-ml prefilled syringe, with each 0.1 ml composed of 0.5×10^9 cells of bacilli, 0.9% w/v of sodium chloride, and 1.2% w/v of benzyl alcohol. The vaccine is currently manufactured and marketed by M/s Cadila Pharmaceuticals Ltd and is available in India as Immunovac and Sepsivac. Sepsivac is the brand name for the 0.6-ml vial, while Immunovac is the 0.2-ml prefilled syringe.

MIP vaccine should be stored at +2 to +8 degrees Celsius, away from direct light. Freezing should be avoided, and if frozen by mistake, the vial should be discarded. The injection is administered intradermally, and the preferred sites for administration include insertion of the deltoid muscle in the middle of the upper arm and the upper and lateral aspects of the thigh. The upper arm is placed at 45 degrees to the body by placing the hand on the hip with the abduction of the arm. The area should be cleaned with spirit and allowed to dry following which the skin should be stretched between thumb and forefinger of one hand. A 26 G or smaller needle then should be inserted with the bevel upward at 5–15 degrees and the vaccine injected intradermally raising a tensed blanched bleb. Resistance should be felt while injecting the vaccine, and a bleb of about 6–10 mm should be raised. The second dose should be administered at least 1 inch away from the initial site of injection.

In case of warts (genital and extragenital), the site is cleaned and the vaccine is injected in the larger warts at the level of the dermis.

Precautions: If a bleb does not form after an intradermal injection or there is a diffuse swelling, then the injection has been wrongly administered subcutaneously. The needle should be withdrawn till it is more superficial in the dermal layer and then injected. The local site should not be infected, inflamed, or ulcerated, and cleaned with spirit or alcohol before giving the intradermal injection. The site should not be massaged after injection.

Contraindications: MIP vaccine is absolutely contraindicated in patients with history of allergic reaction

to any of the components of the vaccine. It is not to be used in pregnant women due to lack of safety studies.

Use of MIP in different dermatological conditions and dosing schedule

MIP was first utilized in the early 1990s as an adjunct to WHO MDT-MBR (World Health Organisation Multidrug Therapy – Multibacillary regimen) in multibacillary (MB) leprosy patients.^[5] It produced conversion of lepromin test, quicker clinical improvement, faster drop in BI, and rapid clearance of granulomas histologically. MIP was also investigated for the prevention of leprosy along with other vaccines as an immunoprophylactic agent in an general population in an endemic region, but it was of lower efficacy in comparison with the other vaccines.^[17] MIP vaccine has been evaluated in household contacts of leprosy patients as an immunoprophylactic vaccination against the disease.^[18]

The scope of the vaccine was eventually broadened beyond leprosy, and a number of studies have been carried out on its use in warts, anogenital as well as extragenital.^[6,19-26] Few studies have also demonstrated the role of MIP vaccine in psoriasis.^[27,28]

There is no standard dosing schedule described for the MIP vaccine. As elucidated below, the dosing schedules vary widely, even among the studies on the same disease. The only rule of thumb to be followed is that a maximum of 0.1 ml injection should be administered intradermally at each site in one visit.

Psoriasis: In the study by Rath *et al.*, 16.6% of patients showed marked and 62.5% showed moderate improvement in Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) score at the end of 16 weeks. About 0.1 ml injection was given intradermally on the shoulder on the first visit and was repeated after three weeks.^[27] In another study by Kumar *et al.*, 0.1 ml injection was given each on both shoulders' deltoid region on the first visit followed by two more doses of 0.1 ml injections at three weekly intervals.^[28] Both studies found that MIP can be used as an adjuvant in psoriasis.

Anogenital and extragenital warts: In about a third of the studies, sensitization dose of 0.1 ml in each shoulder was given intradermally. The sensitization response in the form of erythema or induration was examined two weeks later. In sensitized patients, 0.1 ml injection was given intralesionally, and divided and administered into 2–3 warts, preferably the largest ones. The injections were given for two weeks till there was complete resolution of the warts or a maximum of 10 doses, whichever was earlier.^[19-21] In few other studies, the sensitization dose was given similarly but 0.1 ml injection in 3–5 largest warts was repeated weekly till clearance of the lesions or a maximum of 10 injections, whichever was earlier.^[22-24] Lastly, in few studies the sensitization dose was not given and 0.1 ml of the vaccine was injected into the single largest wart every 2–4 weeks.^[6,25,26] MIP was found to be useful in the treatment of anogenital warts in all the studies, and the efficacy was comparable to purified protein derivative (PPD), measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine (MMR vaccine), cryotherapy, and imiquimod.

The studies on various dermatological uses of the MIP vaccine are summarized in Table 1.

Use of MIP in different non-dermatological conditions and dosing schedule

MIP has been studied in a vast number of diseases. Some studies have found it useful in non-advanced small cell lung cancer and severe sepsis.^[2,3,29,30] Few studies have also found it to be useful in HIV, muscle-invasive bladder cancer, category II tuberculosis, head and neck cancer, and most recently, COVID-19.^[7,31-37]

HIV: About 0.1 ml of MIP injection is given on both shoulders followed by four doses of 0.1 ml injection every month.^[31] The vaccine showed synergistic action with highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART).

Non-small cell lung cancer: About 0.2 ml of the injection is given initially in two divided doses of 0.1 ml each in both arms, one week before initiation of chemotherapy. Subsequently, 0.1 ml of the vaccine is administered on days 8 and 15 of each chemotherapy cycle. After the completion of the four cycles of chemotherapy, MIP is continued monthly for 12 months from the initiation of therapy.^[29] The study found significant improvement in quality of life and regression of tumor size and improvement in lung function.

Severe sepsis and COVID-19: About 0.3 ml of the vaccine is given every day for three days in the deltoid region divided into three aliquots of 0.1 ml each.^[2,30,32,33] The vaccine should be initiated within 48 hours of first organ dysfunction. Statistically significant and clinically relevant faster recovery, decrease in length of ICU stay, and mortality were found in the MIP vaccine group.

Category II pulmonary TB: About 0.1 ml of the vaccine is given intradermally in both shoulders followed by 0.1 ml intradermal injections every two weeks for two months.^[7] Sputum conversion rate and the cure rate in the MIP group were higher compared to the control.

The studies on the MIP vaccine's numerous non-dermatological applications are summarized in Table 2.

Adverse effects

Common side effects

Mild-to-moderate injection site erythema, induration, nodules, and ulceration are the most common adverse effects observed with the MIP vaccine [Figure 3a-d].^[6-8] Such reactions are most often conservatively managed with

Study	Year and design	Study population	Intervention	Outcome
Rath <i>et al</i> . ^[27]	2003, pilot study	36 patients with psoriasis	MIP/placebo	16.6% of patients showed marked and 62.5% showed moderate improvement in PASI score at the end of 16 weeks. In the control group, all 12 patients showed less than a 25% reduction in PASI score at the end of one and four months.
Kumar <i>et al</i> . ^[5]	2005, uncontrolled trial	45 patients with mild-to-moderate psoriasis	MIP	Mean reduction in PASI score by 33% at 12 weeks; Mw vaccine can be an adjuvant but not the sole therapy in psoriasis
Gupta et al. ^[23]	2008, pilot study	10 patients with external anogenital warts	MIP	88.9% of patients had complete clearance. No recurrence at mean follow-up of 5.1 months
Meena et al. ^[22]	2013, uncontrolled open label	40 patients with multiple cutaneous warts	MIP	Complete clearance in 83%, 50% clearance in one patient and 25–30% reduction in three patients; recurrence in three patients at 4.48 (1.32) months
Kumar <i>et al</i> . ^[20]	2014, RCT	89 patients with anogenital warts	MIP/ imiquimod	59% in the imiquimod group and 67% in the Mw group—complete resolution; significant decline in HPV-6 and HPV-11 viral load—Mw group, only in HPV-6 load in the imiquimod group
Gupta et al. ^[23]	2014, retrospective study	44 patients with extragenital warts	MIP	Complete clearance in 54.5%, >75% clearance in 84.1% of patients; response at distant sites in 86.3% of patients
Garg et al. ^[25]	2014, prospective cohort	30 patients with warts at difficult-to-treat places	MIP	Twenty-nine (93.33%) patients had complete resolution with meantime for clearance of 43.71 (32.82) days
Dhakar <i>et al</i> . ^[21]	2015, RCT	66 patients with refractory anogenital warts	MIP/ cryotherapy	Both therapies are equally efficacious, Mw has an added advantage of clearance of distant warts
Khullar <i>et al</i> . ^[51]	2017, case report	1 patient with giant condyloma acuminata	MIP and acitretin	Improvement after three weekly doses, complete clearance after six months of acitretin
Chandra et al.[26]	2019, RCT	64 patients with multiple warts	MIP/PPD	Significant improvement in both groups
Kaur <i>et al</i> . ^[6]	2021, RCT	60 patients with cutaneous warts	MIP/MMR	MIP demonstrated a faster and a significantly comprehensive remission as compared to MMR.

Table 1: A brief summary of studies on various dermatological uses of the MIP vaccine besides leprosy

RCT=Randomized controlled trial, PPD=Purified protein derivative, MMR=Measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine



Figure 3: (a) Bleb formation at the time of injection, (b) erythema and induration after one week, (c) self-healing ulcer formed after three weeks, (d) scar at the injection site, and (e) abscess formation due to erroneous intramuscular administration of the vaccine

analgesics and observation. Dressing is usually avoided except if oozing occurs; then, a dry dressing can be applied. Low-grade fever in the first three days after injection has also been reported commonly.^[19] It does not warrant treatment usually. Pain and itching are common complaints at the site of intralesional injection of the vaccine, which resolve on their own without any specific treatment. Local

site edema and swelling can also be noted, especially in cases of warts.[20]

Uncommon side effects

Keloid formation at the site of vaccine administration can largely be attributed to injection of the vaccine higher than the insertion of the deltoid in the mid-arm,

Table 2: Studies on the utility of the MIP vaccine in non-dermatological conditions							
Study	Year and design	Study population	Intervention	Outcome			
Kharkar ^[31] single author so no <i>et al</i> .	2002, RCT	50 patients with HIV	MIP/MIP + 2 antiretroviral agents/ MIP + HAART	108.96% increase in CD4 counts in patients receiving MIP+HAART suggesting a synergistic action; 80.22% increase with MIP alone			
Chaudhary <i>et al</i> . ^[34]	2003	5 patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer	MIP with external beam radiotherapy	Complete remission for more than two years			
Sur <i>et al</i> . ^[3]	2003, RCT	Patients with non-small cell lung cancer	Cisplatin, etoposide, radiotherapy with or without Mw vaccine	Significant improvement in quality of life and regression of tumor size and improvement in lung function			
Patel et al. ^[35]	2003, RCT	Patients with category II pulmonary TB	Short-course chemotherapy with or without MIP	Sputum conversion rate in the MIP group was 75.51% compared to 51.85% in control, and the cure rate was 97.96% in Mw compared to 77.77% in control			
Pant et al. ^[36]	2005, RCT	91 patients with head and neck cancer	Chemo-radiotherapy with or without MIP	Better tumor response, significantly lesser therapy-related side effects, and higher improvement in quality of life in the MIP group.			
Belani et al. ^[29]	2011, open-label phase II clinical trial	221 patients with stage IIIB and IV non-small cell lung carcinoma	Paclitaxel and cisplatin with or without 0.1 ml MIP	Significant improvement in progression-free survival and overall survival with MIP			
Mayosi <i>et al</i> . ^[8]	2014, 2×2 RCT	1400 patients with definite or probable tuberculous pericarditis	Prednisolone or placebo MIP or placebo	No significant difference in the composite of death, cardiac tamponade requiring pericardiocentesis, or constrictive pericarditis			
Sehgal et al. ^[2]	2014, RCT	50 patients with sepsis	MIP/placebo	Statistically significant and clinically relevant faster recovery, length of ICU stay			
Sharma <i>et al</i> . ^[7]	2017, RCT	890 patients with category II TB	MIP/placebo	Significant conversion of sputum culture at four weeks in MIP arm compared to placebo			
Sehgal et al. ^[32]	2021, RCT	42 patients with severe COVID-19	MIP/placebo	Clinical status distribution was significantly better in the MIP group on days 14 and 21 but there was no difference in SOFA score or mortality			
Sehgal et al. ^[30]	2021, RCT	202 patients with presumed Gram-negative sepsis	MIP/placebo	Significant decrease in mortality after adjusting for culture-positive sepsis, baseline SOFA score, age, and sex			
Dixit et al. ^[33]	2022, retrospective study	448 critically ill COVID-19 patients	MIP	Early initiation of Mw (<3 days) resulted in significant decrease in mortality, intubation requirement, and lesser duration of stay in the ICU			

ICU=Intensive care unit, HIV=Human immunodeficiency virus, HAART=Highly active antiretroviral therapy, SOFA=Sequential organ failure assessment, TB=Tuberculosis

especially at the tip of the shoulder. Improper injection technique leading to a deeper delivery of the vaccine into the subcutaneous or intramuscular plane can lead to ulceration and abscess formation [Figure 3e]. In the event of a severe local site reaction in the form of a large ulcer or abscess, the subsequent dose should be deferred till at least eight weeks after the previous dose. In one study, the ulcers were treated with chemotherapeutic regimen for atypical mycobacteria including doxycycline, azithromycin, and ofloxacin till the resolution of the ulcer.^[26] The studies on the use of MIP in psoriasis patients demonstrated occurrence of a psoriatic plaque at the site of injection in some patients.^[27,28] This is probably a result of koebnerization phenomenon. Paresthesias have been reported adjacent to the site of intralesional injection of MIP vaccine in warts. It resolves without treatment in 7-10 days.^[19] Submandibular lymph nodes draining the facial warts were found to be swollen and tender in two patients in one study. They were treated with oral amoxicillin or clavulanic acid and had complete resolution.^[22] Another study also noted regional lymphadenopathy after the first intralesional injection into the warts.^[21] Cellulitis was observed in two of 30 patients treated with intralesional heat-killed Mw vaccine for warts.^[21]

Rare side effects

The pilot study on the use of MIP vaccine in anogenital warts reported the occurrence of granulomatous balanitis in a seropositive patient with genital warts. The diagnosis was confirmed histopathologically, and the patient was treated with topical corticosteroids for three weeks.^[23] Herpes zoster was also noted in an immunosuppressed patient with anogenital warts after Mw vaccination.^[23] Three patients have been reported to have developed erythematous and tender nodules at all the injection sites about a week

	Table 3: Currently ongoing clinical trials on MIP for conditions other than leprosy			
Study	Primary objective	Number of participants		
ICMR TB	To compare the percentage of confirmed TB cases among healthy household contacts of	12000		
Consortium	newly diagnosed sputum-positive pulmonary TB patients in the vaccinated and placebo			
study et al.[46]	groups from two months after the first dose of vaccine till 38-month follow-up period.			
Patel et al.[47]	Number of participants (healthy subjects with recent history of close contact with	4000		
	COVID-19 patients) acquiring COVID-19 till eight weeks after the first dose			
Sudan et al. ^[48]	To compare the COVID-19 symptoms relief over the time, to compare the difference in	50		
	proportion of patients with improved clinical outcome, and to compare the duration for			
	conversion of COVID-19-positive status to negative in patients receiving MIP vs placebo			
Prabhash et al.[49]	To compare safety, assess tumor response rate, compare PFS, and compare EORTC QOL	834		
TB=Tuberculosis, I	PFS=Progression-free survival. EORTC OOL=European Organization for Research and Treatme	ent of Cancer Quality of Life		

TB=Tuberculosis, PFS=Progression-free survival, EORTC QOL=European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life

after the first injection. They were suffering from severe COVID-19 and had received three injections every day for three days. One of the patients had abscess followed by ulcer formation at those sites. All the lesions were managed conservatively with analgesics with or without topical steroids.^[38]

A persistent nodular swelling at the injection site of MIP for the treatment of warts should raise the suspicion of exaggerated granulomatous hypersensitivity reaction.^[39,40] Skin biopsy for histopathology shows evidence of granulomas, and the cultures for mycobacteria are sterile. The patients respond well to oral minocycline.

Animal and in vitro studies

Mouse models have shown MIP to have an effective anti-tumor action, especially on myeloma cells.^[41] *In vitro* studies have also demonstrated the cytotoxic action of heat-killed MIP fraction against multiple human cancer cell lines, which can pave the way for its future application in cancers other than non-small cell lung cancer and bladder cancer.^[42]

Leishmaniasis is a tropical infection caused by various species of *Leishmania*. While cutaneous leishmaniasis is self-resolving, visceral leishmaniasis and post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis are progressively becoming resistant to conventional treatment such as antimonial and amphotericin B. *In vitro* studies have shown promising results of treatment of leishmaniasis with the use of MIP vaccine.^[43]

During the development of an anti-human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) vaccine for prevention of pregnancy, MIP has been found to be a potent adjuvant.^[44] This can prove to be highly useful in prevention of pregnancy as well as treatment of carcinomas with high beta-hCG levels.

In a novel study, aerosol immunization by alginate-coated mycobacterium (BCG/MIP) particles provided enhanced immune response and protective efficacy than aerosol of plain mycobacterium against MTB H37Rv infection in mice. This can pave the way for a more safe, effective, and economical vaccine for tuberculosis and leprosy.^[45]

Currently ongoing trials

Few of the clinical trials underway for application of MIP vaccine in conditions other than leprosy include prevention of COVID-19 and tuberculosis (TB) in contacts, effect on the course of COVID-19, and advanced non-small cell lung cancer [Table 3].^[46-50]

Conclusions

Several studies have demonstrated that the MIP vaccine exhibits strong immunomodulatory properties and has been effective in both infectious and non-infectious diseases. In the context of sepsis, TB, and warts, there is promising evidence suggesting its efficacy in mitigating the severity of these conditions. Additionally, 'MIP's potential extends beyond infectious diseases, offering favorable outcomes in malignancies as well. By potentially reducing mortality, morbidity, and healthcare costs, the MIP vaccine holds promise as a valuable intervention for improving overall patient outcomes across various medical conditions. This emphasizes the scope of this multipurpose vaccine and the vast arena of other uses that still need to be looked into closely. The safety profile and affordable cost are two conducive factors for further research and a widespread availability and application of this unique vaccine.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Government of India, for the financial support (5/8/3/7/2020/ECD-1) and for providing Senior Research Fellowship to Ayush Sharma. The authors acknowledge BioRender. com for providing cartoon components in the design of illustrations.

Financial support and sponsorship

Financial support by Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Government of India - (5/8/3/7/2020/ ECD-1).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Talwar GP, Gupta JC, Mustafa AS, Kar HK, Katoch K, Parida SK, *et al.* Development of a potent invigorator of immune responses endowed with both preventive and therapeutic properties. Biologics 2017;11:55-63.
- Sehgal IS, Agarwal R, Aggarwal AN, Jindal SK. A randomized trial of Mycobacterium w in severe sepsis. J Crit Care 2015;30:85-9.
- Sur PK, Dastidar AG. Role of mycobacterium w as adjuvant treatment of lung cancer (non-small cell lung cancer). J Indian Med Assoc 2003;101:118-20.
- Kumar P, John V, Marathe S, Das G, Bhaskar S. Mycobacterium indicus pranii induces dendritic cell activation, survival, and Th1/Th17 polarization potential in a TLR-dependent manner. J Leukoc Biol 2015;97:511-20.
- Talwar GP, Zaheer SA, Mukherjee R, Walia R, Misra RS, Sharma AK, *et al.* Immunotherapeutic effects of a vaccine based on a saprophytic cultivable mycobacterium, Mycobacterium w in multibacillary leprosy patients. Vaccine 1990;8:121-9.
- Kaur A, Brar BK, Kumar S, Brar SK, Boparai AS, Puri N. A randomized comparative study of MIP and MMR vaccine for the treatment of cutaneous warts. Indian J Dermatol 2021;66:151-8.
- Sharma SK, Katoch K, Sarin R, Balambal R, Kumar Jain N, Patel N, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of Mycobacterium indicus pranii as an adjunct therapy in Category II pulmonary tuberculosis in a randomized trial. Sci Rep 2017;7:3354.
- Mayosi BM, Ntsekhe M, Bosch J, Pandie S, Jung H, Gumedze F, *et al.* Prednisolone and Mycobacterium indicus pranii in tuberculous pericarditis. N Engl J Med 2014;371:1121-30.
- Nath I, Curtis J, Bhutani LK, Talwar GP. Reduction of a subpopulation of T lymphocytes in lepromatous leprosy. Clin Exp Immunol 1974;18:81-7.
- Mustafa AS, Talwar GP. Five cultivable mycobacterial strains giving blast transformation and leukocyte migration inhibition of leukocytes analogous to mycobacterium leprae. Lepr India 1978;50:498-508.
- Talwar GP, Ahmed N, Saini V. The use of the name Mycobacterium w for the leprosy immunotherapeutic bacillus creates confusion with M. tuberculosis-W (Beijing strain): A suggestion. Infect Genet Evol 2008;8:100-1.
- Kumar P, Das G, Bhaskar S. Mycobacterium indicus pranii therapy induces tumor regression in MyD88- and TLR2-dependent manner. BMC Res Notes 2019;12:648.
- Kumar P, Tyagi R, Das G, Bhaskar S. Mycobacterium indicus pranii and Mycobacterium bovis BCG lead to differential macrophage activation in Toll-like receptor-dependent manner. Immunology 2014;143:258-68.
- Rawat KD, Chahar M, Srivastava N, Gupta UD, Natrajan M, Katoch VM, *et al.* Expression profile of CXCL12 chemokine during M. tuberculosis infection with different therapeutic interventions in guinea pig. Indian J Tuberc 2018;65:152-8.
- Saqib M, Khatri R, Singh B, Gupta A, Bhaskar S. Cell wall fraction of Mycobacterium indicus pranii shows potential Th1 adjuvant activity. Int Immunopharmacol 2019;70:408-16.
- Gupta A, Saqib M, Singh B, Pal L, Nishikanta A, Bhaskar S. Mycobacterium indicus pranii Induced Memory T-Cells in Lung Airways Are Sentinels for Improved Protection Against M.tb Infection. Front Immunol 2019;10:2359.
- Gupte MD, Vallishayee RS, Anantharaman DS, Nagaraju B, Sreevatsa, Balasubramanyam S, *et al.* Comparative leprosy vaccine trial in south India. Indian J Lepr 1998;70:369-88.

- Sharma P, Mukherjee R, Talwar GP, Sarathchandra KG, Walia R, Parida SK, *et al.* Immunoprophylactic effects of the anti-leprosy Mw vaccine in household contacts of leprosy patients: Clinical field trials with a follow up of 8-10 years. Lepr Rev 2005;76:127-43.
- Singh S, Chouhan K, Gupta S. Intralesional immunotherapy with killed Mycobacterium indicus pranii vaccine for the treatment of extensive cutaneous warts. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2014;80:509-14.
- Kumar P, Dar L, Saldiwal S, Varma S, Datt Upadhyay A, Talwar D, *et al.* Intralesional injection of Mycobacterium w vaccine vs imiquimod, 5%, cream in patients with anogenital warts: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Dermatol 2014;150:1072-8.
- Dhakar AK, Dogra S, Vinay K, Sarangal R, Kanwar AJ, Singh MP. Intralesional Mycobacterium w Vaccine Versus Cryotherapy in Treatment of Refractory Extragenital Warts: A Randomized, Open-Label, Comparative Study. J Cutan Med Surg 2016;20:123-9.
- Meena JK, Malhotra AK, Mathur DK, Mathur DC. Intralesional immunotherapy with Mycobacterium w vaccine in patients with multiple cutaneous warts: Uncontrolled open study. JAMA Dermatol 2013;149:237-9.
- Gupta S, Malhotra AK, Verma KK, Sharma VK. Intralesional immunotherapy with killed Mycobacterium w vaccine for the treatment of ano-genital warts: An open label pilot study. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2008;22:1089-93.
- 24. Khullar G, Narang T, De D, Nahar Saikia U, Dogra S, Handa S. Recalcitrant giant condyloma acuminatum treated successfully with a novel combination of Mycobacterium indicus pranii immunotherapy and acitretin. Int J STD AIDS 2017;28:1155-7.
- Garg S, Baveja S. Intralesional immunotherapy for difficult to treat warts with Mycobacterium w vaccine. J Cutan Aesthet Surg 2014;7:203-8.
- 26. Chandra S, Sil A, Datta A, Pal S, Das NK. A double-blind, randomized controlled trial to compare the effectiveness and safety of purified protein derivative of tuberculin antigen with Mycobacterium w vaccine in the treatment of multiple viral warts. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2019;85:355-66.
- Rath N, Kar HK. Efficacy of intradermal heat-killed Mycobacterium w in psoriasis: A pilot study. Int J Dermatol 2003;42:756-7.
- Kumar B, Sandhu K, Kaur I. Role of Mycobacterium w vaccine in the management of psoriasis. Br J Dermatol 2005;152:380-2.
- 29. Belani CP, Desai D, Khamar BM, Cadi-05 Investigators Study Group. Open-label, randomized multicenter phase II clinical trial of a toll-like receptor-2 (TLR2) agonist mycobacterium w (Cadi-05) in combination with paclitaxel plus cisplatin versus paclitaxel plus cisplatin in advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). J Clin Oncol 2011;29 (15_suppl):7501.
- Sehgal IS, Basumatary NM, Dhooria S, Prasad KT, Muthu V, Aggarwal AN, *et al.* A randomized trial of Mycobacterium w in severe presumed gram-negative sepsis. Chest 2021;160:1282-91.
- Kharkar R. Immune recovery in HIV with Mycobacterium W. J Indian Med Assoc 2002;100:578-9.
- 32. Sehgal IS, Guleria R, Singh S, Siddiqui MS, Agarwal R. A sernamed trial of Mycobacterium w in critically ill patients with COVID-19: ARMY-1. ERJ Open Res 2021;7:00059-2021.
- 33. Dixit S, Zirpe K, Suryawanshi P, Borawake K, Prasad S, Ambapkar S, *et al.* Retrospective cohort observational study to compare the effect of Mycobacterium w along with Standard of Care vs Standard of Care alone in critically ill COVID-19 patients. J Assoc Physicians India 2022;70:11-2.

- Chaudhuri P, Mukhopadhyay S. Bladder preserving approach for muscle invasive bladder cancer—role of Mycobacterium w. J Indian Med Assoc 2003;101:559-60.
- Patel N, Trapathi SB. Improved cure rates in pulmonary tuberculosis category II (retreatment) with Mycobacterium w. J Indian Med Assoc 2003;101:680, 682.
- 36. Pant MC, Hadi R, Prasad R, Dalela D, Pant R, Parmar D, et al. Role of 10 serna-therapy as a adjuvant treatment in advance head and neck cancer, patient receiving chemo radiotherapy. J Clin Oncol 2005;23 (16_suppl):2598.
- Sehgal IS, Bhalla A, Puri GD, Yaddanapudi LN, Singh M, Malhotra P, *et al.* Safety of an immunomodulator Mycobacterium w in COVID-19. Lung India 2020;37:279-81.
- Chawla RK, Chawla AK, Chaudhary G, Chopra K, Chawla MK. Mycobacterium W. — An unusual side effect. Indian J Tuberc 2022;69:250-2.
- 39. Vinay K, Narang T, Saikia UN, Kumaran MS, Dogra S. Minocycline successfully treats exaggerated granulomatous hypersensitivity reaction to Mw immunotherapy. Dermatol Ther 2017;30:e12452.
- Vinay K, Narang T, Dogra S, Saikia UN. Grotesque face secondary to immunotherapy: Cure circumvallating to curse. Skinmed 2017;15:157-9.
- 41. Ahmad F, Mani J, Kumar P, Haridas S, Upadhyay P, Bhaskar S. Activation of anti-tumor immune response and reduction of regulatory T cells with Mycobacterium indicus pranii (MIP) therapy in tumor bearing mice. PloS One 2011;6:e25424.
- Subramaniam M, In LL, Kumar A, Ahmed N, Nagoor NH. Cytotoxic and apoptotic effects of heat killed Mycobacterium indicus pranii (MIP) on various human cancer cell lines. Sci Rep 2016;6:19833.
- 43. Dey S, Mukherjee D, Sultana SS, Mallick S, Dutta A, Ghosh J, et al. Combination of Mycobacterium indicus pranii and heat-induced promastigotes cures drug-resistant Leishmania infection: Critical role of interleukin-6-producing classical dendritic cells. Infect Immun 2020;88:e00222-19.
- Purswani S, Talwar GP. Development of a highly immunogenic recombinant candidate vaccine against human chorionic gonadotropin. Vaccine 2011;29:2341-8.
- 45. Nagpal PS, Kesarwani A, Sahu P, Upadhyay P. Aerosol immunization by alginate coated Mycobacterium (BCG/MIP) particles provide enhanced immune response and protective

efficacy than aerosol of plain Mycobacterium against M.tb. H37Rv infection in mice. BMC Infect Dis 2019;19:568.

- 46. Clinical Trials Registry India. New Delhi: database publisher (India). 2019 Jan 10. Identifier CTRI/2019/01/017026, A Phase III, Randomized, Double-blind, three arm Placebo controlled Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of two vaccines VPM1002 and Immuvac in Preventing Tuberculosis (TB) in Healthy Household Contacts of Newly Diagnosed Sputum Positive Pulmonary TB Patients; 2021 Jan 28. Available from: http://ctri.nic.in/Clinicaltrials/pmaindet2.php?trialid=27411&EncH id=&userName=. [Last accessed on 2022 Oct 06].
- 47. Clinical Trials Registry India. New Delhi: database publisher (India). 2020 May 21. Identifier CTRI/2020/05/025277, A Randomized, Double-blind, Two arm, Placebo Controlled Clinical Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Mycobacterium w in preventing COVID-19 in subjects at risk of getting infected with COVID-19; 2021 Feb 03. Available from: http://ctri.nic.in/Clinicaltrials/pmaindet2.php?trialid=43176& EncHid=&userName=. [Last accessed on 2022 Oct 06].
- 48. Clinical Trials Registry India. New Delhi: database publisher (India). 2020 Sep 10. Identifier CTRI/2020/09/027741, A Randomized Double Blind Controlled study to assess the dose related effect of Mycobacterium w on clinical course of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19); 2020 Sep 29. Available from: http://ctri.nic.in/Clinicaltrials/pmaindet2.php?trialid=47197&Enc Hid=&userName=. [Last accessed on 2022 Oct 06].
- 49. Clinical Trials Registry India. New Delhi: database publisher (India). 2020 Sep 17. Identifier CTRI/2020/09/027853, An, open label, randomized, clinical trial of Mycobacterium w (Mw) as an Adjuvant to chemotherapy in Advanced Non-small Cell Lung Cancer; 2020 Sep 15. Available from: http://ctri.nic. in/Clinicaltrials/pmaindet2.php?trialid=46579&EncHid=&userNa me=. [Last accessed on 2022 Oct 06].
- Clinical Trials Registry India. New Delhi: database publisher (India). 2021 Aug 04. Identifier CTRI/2021/08/035408, Study of intravenous Inj Sepsivac (heat killed Mycobacterium w) in gram negative sepsis; 2021 Aug 03. Available from: http://ctri. nic.in/Clinicaltrials/pmaindet2.php?trialid=58471&EncHid=&use rName=. [Last accessed on 2022 Oct 06].
- 51. Khullar G, Narang T, Nahar Saikia U, Dogra S. Generalized granulomatous dermatitis following Mycobacterium w (Mw) immunotherapy in lepromatous leprosy. Dermatol Ther 2017;30:e12441.