

OPEN

Improving Staff Knowledge and Attitudes Toward Providing Psychosocial Support to NICU Parents Through an Online Education Course

Sue L. Hall, MD; Mobolaji E. Famuyide, MD; Sage N. Saxton, PsyD; Tiffany A. Moore, PhD, RN; Sara Mosher, RN, MHA; Keira Sorrells, BSFCS; Cheryl A. Milford, EdS; Jenene Craig, PhD, MBA, OTR/L, CNT

ABSTRACT

Background: Provider–parent communication is a critical determinant of how neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) parents cope, yet staff feel inadequately trained in communication techniques; many parents are not satisfied with the support they receive from hospital providers.

Purpose: This study evaluated whether NICU staff would demonstrate improved knowledge and attitudes about providing psychosocial support to parents after taking an online course.

Methods: After providing demographic information, staff at 2 NICUs took a 33-item survey both before and after taking a 7-module online course “Caring for Babies and Their Families,” and again at 6-month follow-up. Scores (means ± standard deviation) from all time periods were compared and effect sizes calculated for each of the course modules.

Results: NICU staff participants (n = 114) included nurses (88%), social workers (7%), physicians (4%), and occupational therapists (1%). NICU staff showed significant improvement in both knowledge and attitudes in all modules after taking the course, and improvements in all module subscores remained significant at the 6-month follow-up mark. Night staff and staff with less experience had lower pretest scores on several items, which improved on posttest.

Implications for Practice: This course, developed by an interprofessional group that included graduate NICU parents, was highly effective in improving staff knowledge and attitudes regarding the provision of psychosocial support to NICU parents, and in eliminating differences related to shift worked and duration of work experience in the NICU.

Implications for Research: Future research should evaluate course efficacy across NICU disciplines beyond nursing, impact on staff performance, and whether parent satisfaction with care is improved.

Key Words: maternal mental health, neonatal intensive care unit, online learning, parent support, psychosocial support, staff education

Author Affiliations: St John’s Regional Medical Center, Oxnard, California (Dr Hall); Division of Neonatology, University of Mississippi Medical School, Jackson (Dr Famuyide); Departments of Pediatrics and Neonatology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland (Dr Saxton); College of Nursing, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha (Dr Moore); Patient+ Family Care, Bend, Oregon (Ms Mosher); Preemie Parent Alliance, Jackson, Mississippi (Ms Sorrells); Cheryl Milford Consulting, Manhattan Beach, California (Ms Milford); and Brenau University School of Occupational Therapy, Gainesville, Georgia (Dr Craig).

This work was supported in part by unrestricted support from Medela, The Wellness Network, and Prolacta Bioscience.

Dr. Hall is a consultant for The Wellness Network.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal’s Web site (www.advancesinneonatalcare.org).

Correspondence: Sue L. Hall, MD, 145 N. Crimea Street, Ventura, CA 93001 (suehallmd@gmail.com).

Copyright © 2019 The Authors. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the National Association of Neonatal Nurses

DOI: 10.1097/ANC.0000000000000649

Parents with infants in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) have to cope with the stress of their infant’s hospitalization, the impact of this experience on their psychological and emotional health, and with the alteration of their parenting role.¹ Provider–parent communication is a critical determinant of how parents cope with their infant’s illness and their own experience, and of how satisfied they are with the care their infant receives.² Although parents desire and benefit from psychosocial support by NICU staff, they are not always satisfied with the delivery of that support.³ Many neonatologists and neonatal nurses do not feel they have adequate skills to communicate with distressed and anxious parents,⁴ and the need for communication training for those who work in this high-acuity environment has been endorsed by many researchers.^{2,5–10} A recent review of qualitative studies on NICU parents’ experiences recommended that NICU nurses develop intervention strategies and programs to help parents cope with the stresses they face.¹ This is especially important because parents identify nurses as their primary source of information in the NICU.¹¹

The lack of communication skills in sensitive situations increases staff's own stress and contributes to on-the-job burnout.¹² Communication shortfalls also present challenges in the provision of family-integrated care, in which NICU staff form more egalitarian partnerships with parents and encourage greater involvement at their infant's bedside. Making a paradigm shift, in which unit culture will "begin and continue to develop and evolve to become one in which families feel like valued and supported members of the care team,"¹³ calls for new skill development among multidisciplinary staff¹⁴ as well as interprofessional work.

Numerous interventions have been designed to improve communication skills of nurses¹⁵ and physicians^{3,16-21} with families of NICU infants. Topics include the delivery of bad news, end-of-life issues, and discussing options for infants to be born at the edge of viability. While some communication interventions include intensive simulation training,^{5,16-19} others offer specific, targeted protocols for how to improve parent-provider communication.^{8,21-29} Several have been developed for improving nurses' skills in communicating on the topics of palliative and bereavement care, some not specific to the NICU environment,^{30,31} while others are focused on the NICU.^{20,32-34} These programs include combinations of live lectures, interactive workshops using case studies, audiovisual examples, and videotaped role-plays. An educational program for neonatal fellows focused more on acquainting them with patient qualifications for comfort care and withdrawal of support.²⁰ To our knowledge, there is no comprehensive educational program for NICU staff to help them both understand and meet the emotional needs of NICU parents and families across all aspects of the NICU experience.

In 2015, an interdisciplinary group, convened by the National Perinatal Association (NPA), published the "Interdisciplinary Recommendations for Psychosocial Support of NICU Parents,"³⁵ which highlighted the need for NICU staff education on a variety of topics.⁴ The NPA has since partnered with Patient+Family Care and the Premie Parent Alliance, to form My NICU Network (www.mynicu.network.com), and to develop an education course for multidisciplinary NICU providers with course content mirroring the NPA recommendations. The goal of the course, "Caring for Babies and Their Families," is to improve staff confidence and skill in their ability to care for families in crisis, and in turn improve the patient and family experience.

SPECIFIC AIM

The aim of this pilot study was to determine whether NICU staff perceived improved knowledge and attitudes of their ability to provide comprehensive

What This Study Adds

- This study highlights a new online education course that was shown to be effective in improving knowledge and attitudes about providing psychosocial support to neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) parents among NICU nurses; significant improvement was sustained in follow-up 6 months later.
- The study confirmed that NICU staff understood that NICU parents need emotional support, but in general staff did not feel they had adequate communication skills, strategies, and confidence to provide this support.
- The study identified 2 groups, night shift staff and staff with fewer years of service, whose lower pretest scores in several areas demonstrated that they may have increased needs for educational opportunities.
- Education in palliative and bereavement care was identified as a high priority on pretest, posttest, and 6-month follow-up surveys.

psychosocial support to NICU parents, using a self-assessment measure, both before and after taking an online education course and at 6 months' follow-up.

DESIGN

After course content was created by the My NICU Network team, an informal review of the relevance and appropriateness of the course's content took place among 12 NICU staff who were recruited from the My NICU Network Facebook page. Modifications to the content were made in response to feedback. Next, a total of 350 staff at 2 hospital NICUs were invited to participate in the time series pre/posttest/6-month follow-up descriptive study outlined here.

SAMPLE

NICU 1, located on the West coast of the United States, is a 16-bed level III community NICU with 250 admissions annually, an average daily census of 10, and a professional staff of approximately 50. NICU 2, located in the South, is a level IV academic center. With 102 beds, it has an average of 930 (71% inborn) admissions annually and an average daily census of 80. In addition, NICU 2 has an on-site 15-bed, level II intermediate care nursery with an average daily census of 10, which receives admissions primarily from the hospital's obstetrical service or through in-house NICU transfers. There are 300 professional staff at NICU 2.

INTERVENTION

The Course

The course was developed by an interprofessional group, which included a neonatologist, a neonatal nurse, 2 NICU psychologists, an occupational

therapist, and a volunteer group of 12 NICU graduate parents. The 7 learning modules, each providing 1 hour of continuing education credit, are evidence-based, story-driven, clinically relevant, trauma-informed, and resource-rich. Content includes written narrative outlining the evidence base for recommended practice changes; audio clips and illustrative quotes provided by NICU parents; video clips, some demonstrating simulated conversations between NICU staff and parents (developed by staff at Children's Hospital of Philadelphia); links to other Web sites to encourage exploration of related resources; and numerous curated resources to download. The goal of having an entire NICU staff take the course concurrently is to accelerate systems change and provide collegial support, as the culture transforms to all staff understanding that psychosocial support of parents is an integral part of their professional role.

The modules in the course are based on NPA's recommendations in the following topic areas: (1) communication skills,⁴ (2) providing emotional support to parents,³⁶ (3) peer-to-peer support,³⁷ (4) family-centered developmental care,³⁸ (5) palliative and bereavement care,³⁹ (6) discharge and follow-up support,⁴⁰ and (7) supporting staff as they support families.⁴

Instrument

The survey instrument was developed by a multidisciplinary team of NICU experts, including a neonatologist, 2 psychologists, a nurse, and an occupational therapist. The instrument measured participants' subjective assessments of their knowledge and attitudes toward supporting NICU parents by indicating their agreement with 33 statements using a Likert scale of 1 to 6 (1 = strongly disagree to 6 = strongly agree), see Supplemental Appendix 1 (available at: <http://links.lww.com/ANC/A46>).

As no similar surveys were available for comparison, validation of the survey instrument was derived from the opinions of experts who created it. Reliability tests were conducted on the survey and within each module. The Cronbach α for the pretest was 0.952, 0.813 for the posttest, and 0.94 for the 6-month follow-up. All modules had a Cronbach α above 0.7 except for all instances of peer-to-peer support; because of this, results relating to peer support will be displayed, but not emphasized in results or discussion.

The survey was administered before taking the course (pretest); this version also included basic participant demographic questions. Participants then had 3 months to take the course, and completed a module-specific posttest after finishing each. Posttests were identical to pretests, excluding the demographic questions. Brief, weekly e-mails were then sent to participants over the 6 months following course completion, reminding them of key points from course content. At the end of this period, they

were asked to take the same survey to assess retention. All responses were de-identified.

Free continuing education credits were awarded as an incentive for participation. Nurses who completed all modules received 8 credits, and physicians and social workers received 7; those completing less than 7 modules received the commensurate number of credits. The course has not been certified to provide credits to other ancillary professionals.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive and inferential statistics were completed using SPSS v25 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois). Categorical variables were compared using analysis of variance tests; continuous variables were compared using independent samples *t* tests. Identification of paired data sets for scores at all time points was not possible due to computer issues; thus, scores were pooled to compare as independent samples. Both pre-/post- and pre-/follow-up *P* values were calculated for each survey item, and *P* values as well as effect sizes (Cohen's *d* statistic⁴¹) were calculated for module subscores.

Procedures (Institutional Review Board)

Upon institutional review board review at both institutions, this project was granted exempt status, as it was determined not to be research involving human subjects.

RESULTS

Demographics

A total of 114 NICU staff registered for the course; 76% (87/114) started and 58% (66/114) completed the course. There were notable differences in the rates of course completion between the 2 hospitals (78%, *n* = 31 at NICU 1, vs 47%, *n* = 35 at NICU 2). The majority of participants (88%, 73/83) were nurses. Demographics of participants for whom demographic data were available are shown in Table 1.

Pre/Posttest and 6-Month Follow-up Data Comparisons

Pre/posttest and 6-month follow-up mean scores on all survey items, and standard deviations, are shown in Supplemental Table 1 (available at: <http://links.lww.com/ANC/A47>) with module subscores summarized. All survey items had higher posttest mean scores than pretest scores, and all module subscores were significantly improved from pre- to posttest at the *P* < .001 level, demonstrating participants' improvements in knowledge and attitudes immediately after completing a module. On the posttest, using Sawilowsky's criteria⁴² for categorization of effect size, the emotional support module showed a very large effect; palliative care, communication, staff support, family-centered developmental care

TABLE 1. Demographics of Participants

Demographics	n (%), n = 83
Profession	
Nurse	73 (88)
Social worker	6 (7)
Physician	3 (4)
Occupational therapist	1 (1)
Total experience of NICU service, y	
0-5	31 (37)
6-10	12 (15)
11-20	21 (25)
>20	19 (23)
Shift	
Day	53 (64)
Night	30 (36)
Age, y	
20-39	42 (51)
40-59	37 (44)
≥60	4 (5)

Abbreviation: NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.

showed large effects, and discharge showed a medium effect (see Supplemental Table 1, available at: <http://links.lww.com/ANC/A47>).

At 6-month follow-up, excluding the 3 questions on peer support, nearly all (28/30, 93%) mean scores on individual survey items continued to be greater than pretest means, although most (25/30, 83%) were lower than posttest means. Statistically significant improvement was maintained at the 6-month level on all module subscores compared with pretest values. Learning effect sizes at 6-month follow-up either stayed in the same range as on the posttest (communication) or dropped 1 level (all others), with staff support and discharge care dropping into the small effect size, although these still met the average effect size for learning interventions, which is 0.4.⁴³

Participants had the lowest pretest scores in the categories of peer support, and palliative and bereavement care. The significance of improvements in scores and in learning effect sizes demonstrated in peer support cannot be considered reliable due to the lack of internal consistency of the survey in this area. Posttest and 6-month follow-up scores in the palliative care module, although significantly improved, remained the lowest (excluding peer support) of all the learning modules.

The modules on communication, providing emotional support, and staff support displayed pretest means in the middle range. Both the communication and emotional support modules maintained statistically significant improvement at the $P < .001$ level at 6-month follow-up, as well as large effect sizes;

however, significance in staff support module was lower at $P < .05$ and effect size was small.

Pretest scores were highest in the family-centered developmental care module. Participants still demonstrated statistically significant improvements in this module at both periods, and continued positive effect sizes (large and medium, at posttest and 6-month follow-up, respectively). The next highest pretest means were found in the discharge and follow-up module; again, significant improvements were demonstrated at both periods, although effect sizes were medium and small, at posttest and 6-month follow-up.

In general, participants scored higher on pretest survey items indicating that they knew the importance of providing psychosocial support to parents and/or engaging in self-care, than they did on items indicating that they had strategies for such and/or were comfortable doing so (see Table 2).

Pretest mean scores on 4 of the survey items were significantly higher among participants on day shift compared with night shift (see Table 3). There were no differences in posttest or 6-month follow-up mean scores related to shift assignment. Four pretest survey items having to do with emotional support and palliative and bereavement care were significantly higher among participants with more years of experience in the NICU compared with those with less experience (see Table 4). While, in general, scores were higher on these items among staff with increasing years of experience, on 2 of the 4 items, scores were slightly lower for staff with more than 20 years of experience after peaking among those with 11 to 20 years of experience. There were no significant differences in posttest or 6-month follow-up mean scores depending on NICU experience.

Figure 1 shows responses to the immediate post-course evaluation questions in graphic form using data from all available participants.

A 2-question follow-up survey sent to the 48 non-completers found that the major barrier to course completion was lack of time (93.3%, 14/15). The average rate of weekly follow-up e-mail openings was 26%.

DISCUSSION

This pilot study evaluated an online NICU staff education course as an intervention to increase staff knowledge and attitudes toward providing comprehensive psychosocial support to parents. The majority of participants were nurses, reflecting their preponderance on staff. Approximately one-fourth of those who registered for the course never started the course. Some possible explanations include lack of incentives and/or perceived lack of time to take the course. However, once participants became engaged in the course, most finished. This finding emphasizes

TABLE 2. Differences in Pretest Scores Between Knowledge/Attitude and Strategies/Confidence

Knowledge/Attitude	Pretest Mean	Strategies/Confidence	Pretest Mean
Emotional support			
I am sensitive to the range of emotions that NICU parents may feel and that the NICU can be a very traumatic experience for some parents.	5.5	I have specific strategies of what I can do as a NICU staff person to lower NICU parents' risks for postpartum depression and posttraumatic stress disorder.	3.7
Providing emotional support of NICU parents is just as important as taking care of their infant.	5.4	I am confident about my ability to do a psychosocial assessment of the parents of infants in my care.	4.0
Peer support			
I know the importance of making peer-to-peer support available to NICU parents.	4.8	I make sure that parents get referred to a peer support program, either in our NICU, our community, or on the Internet.	2.8
Communication			
I am a good listener.	5.3	I know how to comfort distressed parents even when I am busy taking care of their sick child.	3.0
Supporting staff			
I know how important taking care of myself is as a NICU staff person.	5.1	I am proactive in attending to my own self-care needs with relationship to work.	4.4

Abbreviation: NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.

the necessity of developing a strategic implementation plan in each NICU offering the course to encourage maximal staff participation.

NICU staff showed improvement in both knowledge and attitudes after taking part or all of the course, and significant improvement was maintained at the 6-month follow-up mark. Learning effect sizes for the various modules ranged from very large to medium on the posttest. At 6-month follow-up, all learning modules maintained effect sizes at or above the average effect size for learning interventions.⁴³

The module in which staff indicated the greatest deficits in knowledge and communication on the pretest was in peer support. Participants indicated

understanding the importance of providing peer support to parents, but did not ensure that they referred parents to peer support programs. Neither NICU involved in the study has its own peer support program, rendering the number of responses on the related survey questions small and lowering internal consistency of the questions. Although the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommends that all families of pediatric patients be offered peer support during a hospitalization,⁴⁴ few NICUs have established their own peer support programs. This draws attention to the need to educate professional NICU staff about the importance of developing local peer support programs,³⁷ and about resources that are already available for NICU parents on the Internet, to which parents

TABLE 3. Differences in Pretest Mean Scores Based on Shift

Survey Item	Night n = 30	Day n = 53	P Value ^a
I am confident in my ability to prepare parents for their infant's discharge before the infant is scheduled to go home.	4.4 (1.0)	4.9 (1.0)	.02
I am confident in my ability to provide support to parents whose infant is dying.	3.5 (1.2)	4.2 (1.4)	.02
I am confident in actively supporting parents during the final moments with their infant.	3.4 (1.4)	4.1 (1.4)	.02
I know how to comfort distressed parents even when I am busy taking care of their sick child.	2.8 (0.7)	3.2 (0.7)	.03

^aIndependent-samples *t* tests for differences between shift.

TABLE 4. Differences Between Pretest Mean Scores Based on Years of NICU Service

Survey Statements	0-5 y n = 31	6-10 y n = 12	11-20 y n = 21	>20 y n = 19	P Value ^a
I have specific examples of how to handle certain situations with NICU parents	3.5 (1.1)	4.4 (0.8)	4.3 (1.1)	4.3 (1.1)	.004
I am confident in my ability to provide support to parents whose infant is dying	3.4 (1.2)	4.3 (1.5)	4.4 (1.4)	4.4 (1.2)	.012
I can talk with ease to a parent whose infant has just died	2.9 (1.3)	4.1 (1.4)	4.2 (1.4)	3.8 (1.2)	.003
I am confident in actively supporting parents during the final moments with their infant	3.2 (1.5)	4.2 (1.3)	4.5 (1.4)	4.0 (1.2)	.009

Abbreviation: NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.
^aAnalysis of variance test for differences between service categories.

can be referred. The Preemie Parent Alliance maintains a member directory, which lists more than 30 active peer support organizations nationally.⁴⁵


Pretest scores on items relating to palliative and bereavement care were among the lowest, as were the posttest and follow-up scores, indicating that although staff showed large and medium learning effects, respectively, this is an area where staff continue to be in greatest need of both education and support. This also aligns with previous findings about staff’s desire for increased education in this area,^{5,7,32} which might include practice with simulated conversations, reinforcement of learning points, and formalized mentoring programs.

Pretest responses in the communication category showed that staff knew the importance of communicating and felt they have basic skills (listening, communicating bad news, communicating without judging), but lacked confidence in the most difficult of situations (comforting distressed parents when busy taking care of their sick children). After completing this

module, staff indicated an increased understanding of communicating with parents, as well as more comfort doing so. The large learning effect size at both follow-up points reinforces the utility of this module.

While staff indicated knowledge of the importance of self-care with a relatively high score on the pretest, the lowest pretest score in the category of staff support was in response to having specific strategies to help coworkers deal with burnout. Burnout rates among staff in NICUs have ranged from 7.5% to 54.4%,^{46,47} making it imperative that NICU staff develop and implement strategies to help them deal with burnout. While posttest and 6-month follow-up scores on this item showed improved knowledge of strategies, the learning effect size for the module fell from a large to a small effect size at 6-month follow-up, indicating the need for further emphasis on this topic until it is more fully incorporated into NICU culture.

Most staff agreed on the pretest that they understood the importance of providing parents with



emotional and psychosocial support to reduce parental stress during the NICU experience. However, pretest scores reflecting whether staff had examples or strategies in these areas were lower, as were scores reflecting their confidence in their abilities to provide this support. The emotional support module showed very large and large learning effect sizes at the posttest and 6-month follow-up periods, respectively, revealing that participants gained both strategies and confidence in providing support to parents.

Pretest scores in the discharge category were among the highest, showing that staff generally felt competent in this area; nonetheless, gains were still made on all items at posttest and 6-month follow-up, although the learning effect size was small at 6-month follow-up.

The category of family-centered developmental care had the highest mean pretest scores of any module, suggesting that initiatives on providing family-centered care have successfully reached NICU nurses at the bedside. The importance of encouraging families to become involved in the care of their infants has been emphasized by many,^{1,38,44,48-50} and has been a major tenet of neonatal care since the early 1990s.⁵¹ However, implementation across NICUs nationally has been variable⁵⁰ likely due to factors such as staff awareness, financial considerations, and/or resource availability, leaving continued room for improvement.^{38,48,49}

Results by Shift

The pretest gaps found between night and day staff in terms of their knowledge and confidence on several survey items (discharge planning, palliative and bereavement care, and providing comfort to parents) were no longer present on the posttest. It is not surprising that night shift workers would not feel as prepared to help parents with discharge, since most discharges occur on the day shift; but in many NICUs, discharge teaching increasingly takes place on both shifts. Both shifts must be aware of and be ready to assist with tasks needing completion prior to discharge, especially given the needs for cross-coverage, increased discharge pressure related to ensuring bed availability, and continual drive to improve family-centered care. According to the AAP, careful preparation for discharge may reduce the likelihood of hospital readmission and/or death during the first year after the birth.⁵² Therefore, both day and night staff must be informed regarding best practices so that they can present clear and consistent information to families.

Results by Years of Experience

Participation in the course reduced the pretest gap between less and more experienced staff in terms of their knowledge and confidence on items related to providing emotional support and palliative and bereavement care. It is not surprising that nurses with less experience have lower confidence in their skills in

the area of palliative and bereavement care, as they may not have had the experience of caring for as many infants who did not survive compared with nurses who have been practicing longer. In addition, nurses with over 20 years of experience may have completed their education prior to the relatively recent introduction of palliative care programs into NICUs in the late 1990s.^{53,54} The AAP published recommendations about the importance of palliative care for children in 2000,⁵⁵ addressed noninitiation or withdrawal of intensive care among high-risk newborns in 2007,⁵⁶ and then outlined how to provide bereavement care to parents of pediatric patients in 2012.⁵⁷ The National Association of Neonatal Nurses similarly released a position statement on palliative care in 2015.⁵⁸ Palliative care programs continue to be developed and their utilization expanded in NICUs nationally.⁵⁹⁻⁶³ Training programs aimed at improving providers' skills and comfort with palliative care have become more readily available (eg, Resolve Through Sharing and End-of-Life Nursing Education Consortium)^{31,34} to help reduce this educational gap.

Postcourse Questions

The large majority of participants endorsed the course's value in increasing their knowledge and changing practice, and would recommend the course to colleagues.

6-Month Follow-up Data

Although the response rate from follow-up surveys was low, the data showed that participants sustained improvements in their knowledge and attitudes about supporting parents, although not always to the immediate posttest survey level. Since the rate for opening weekly follow-up email was low, it is unclear whether this affected retention of learning. While some communication training programs for healthcare workers have not provided follow-up results,^{30,64} others have looked at training outcomes ranging from 1¹⁶ to 12⁶⁵ months at follow-up, showing varying degrees of sustainability of learning and integration of skills into practice. However, self-rated ability and satisfaction of participants completing communication skills training courses do not always correlate with objective measures of performance.⁶⁴ Communication training programs need to demonstrate both sustainability and performance improvement; this might best be enhanced by incorporating scenario-based teaching.⁶⁶

Recommendations for Practice

Several topic-specific recommendations are made based on outcomes of this study. First, each NICU should evaluate how they can continue to refine and improve family-centered developmental care and discharge planning and follow-up support based on best practices. Second, education on palliative and

bereavement care should be offered to NICU staff on an ongoing basis, especially around communicating with and supporting parents during times of loss. Third, NICUs should work toward creating their own peer support programs, and until they have one, staff need to be made more aware of peer support resources in their community, regionally, and nationally in order to offer them to parents. Next, staff with fewer years of experience are most in need of education in the area of providing psychosocial support, especially around situations involving palliative and bereavement care. Nurses with less experience could likely benefit from a mentor nurse during challenging situations with families. Last, but with equal importance, night shift staff need equal and adequate exposure to staff education so that all are prepared to support parents to the same degree.

Limitations

There are a few limitations to this study. Pooled mean data from the surveys were presented instead of matched pre-/post-/follow-up test pairs due to the limited number of identifiable pairs, resulting from participants' use of different computers at different time points to complete the surveys. The relatively small participant number limits our ability to generalize results to all NICU nurses, and the sample homogeneity limits our ability to generalize to NICU providers other than nurses. Furthermore, the small number of responses on one of the questions related to peer support gave a low value for internal consistency of the survey in this area, rendering results in this domain unreliable.

The primary barrier participants experienced in completing the course was lack of time. Nursing staff at NICU 1 were eligible for paid time to take the course; this may have contributed to their higher rates of starting and completing the course compared with staff at NICU 2. Another factor may relate to NICU size: NICU 1 is much smaller and has lower patient acuity than NICU 2, leaving staff more time to complete the course. It is also possible that the 3-month period was not long enough for some staff to complete the course. Furthermore, staff time constraints may indicate that course modules should be shortened.

The relatively low numbers of participants who completed the 6-month follow-up survey could reflect either staff time constraints or self-selection (ie, that those who were most positive about the course from the beginning were most likely to retake the follow-up survey).

Having a well-designed implementation plan specific to launching this course will encourage maximal participation and improved opportunity for course completion. Other considerations include

incentivizing staff for their time to take the course, perhaps through employee financial support, or otherwise making course modules part of the curriculum in ongoing staff development meetings, incorporating the course into broader quality improvement initiatives, or requiring course completion as part of competency requirements.

Implications for Future Research

Future research should address the main barriers to an entire NICU staff taking the course: providing relief time and incentivizing staff to take the course. Other strategies to sustain learning in follow-up should be explored. The generalizability of our findings to a larger and more diverse group of NICU staff, including all disciplines, should be determined. A next step should be to determine whether improved knowledge and attitudes lead to behavioral change at the bedside. Including families in outcome measures would offer another critical lens through which to evaluate the ultimate success of the course.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, results demonstrate that the online staff education course "Caring for Babies and Their Families" was highly effective in improving NICU staff's knowledge and attitudes toward providing psychosocial support across a broad range of categories, as well as in providing them with strategies and confidence. Significant improvement was shown in all module subscores at both the posttest and 6-month follow-up periods. Areas with the greatest participant knowledge deficits were identified and can be targeted for future interventions. The majority of staff agreed or strongly agreed that taking the course improved their knowledge and would change their practice, and that they would recommend the course to their peers.

Acknowledgments

Senior leaders of the project include Dr Sue Hall at St John's Regional Medical Center (SJRMC), and Dr Mobolaji Famuyide at University of Mississippi Medical Center. Sara Mosher, RN, MHA, managed the online course. Jan Ayala, RN, was instrumental to the project's implementation at SJRMC. Tiffany Moore, PhD, RN, was responsible for data analysis. Cheryl Milford, EdS, Jenene Craig, PhD, OTR/L, and Sage Saxton, PsyD, contributed to project design and analysis. Family advisors, who contributed to project design and implementation, were led by Keira Sorrells, BSFCS, and included LeeLee Klein, Jennifer Degl, Stacey Porter, Natalie Gordon, Tracy Pella, Cristal Grogan, and Brittany Lothe of the Preemie Parent Alliance. Special thanks to Michael Hynan, PhD, for his careful review of the manuscript and helpful comments.

Summary of Recommendations for Practice and Research

What we know:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provider–parent communication is a critical determinant of how NICU parents cope with their situation and how satisfied they are with the care of their infant. • Parents are not always satisfied with the communication and support they receive. • Many neonatologists and neonatal nurses do not feel they have adequate skills to support distressed or anxious parents. • New paradigms of care such as family-integrated care require involving family members on the care team; providers need new knowledge and skills to optimize family involvement.
What needs to be studied:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Methods of educating NICU staff (including nurses, physicians, and ancillary staff) about the need for psychosocial support among NICU parents. • Methods of educating NICU staff about how to provide comprehensive psychosocial support to NICU parents. • Methods of educating NICU staff about how to support each other and themselves, to prevent burnout.
What can we do today that would guide caregivers in the practice setting considering use of this evidence for guiding practice:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NICU administrators should ensure that caregivers get adequate exposure to continuing education in skills related to the provision of emotional support to parents and families. • In particular, night shift staff and staff with fewer years of experience should be encouraged to participate in educational offerings. • Education in techniques of providing palliative and bereavement care should be a high priority, as caregivers indicate their need for this kind of training.

References

1. Al Maghaireh DF, Abdullah KL, Chan CM, Piew CY, Al Kawafha MM. Systematic review of qualitative studies exploring parental experiences in the neonatal intensive care unit. *J Clin Nurs*. 2016;25(19.20):2745-2756. doi:10.1111/jocn.13259.
2. Wigert H, Blom MD, Bry K. Parents experiences of communication with neonatal intensive care unit staff: an interview study. *BMC Pediatr*. 2014;14(1):304.
3. Mok E, Leung SF. Nurses as providers of support for mothers of premature infants. *J Clin Nurs*. 2006;15:726-734.
4. Hall SL, Cross J, Selix NW, et al. Recommendations for enhancing psychosocial support of NICU parents through staff education and support. *J Perinatol*. 2015;35:S29-S36. doi:10.1038/jp.2015.147.
5. Boss RD, Hutton N, Donohue PK, Arnold RM. Neonatologist training to guide family decision making for critically ill infants. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2009;163(9):738-788.
6. Orzalesi M, Aite L. Communication with parents in neonatal intensive care. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2011;24(1):135-137. doi:10.3109/14767058.2011.607682.
7. Gallagher K, Cass H, Black R, Norrige M. A training needs analysis of neonatal and paediatric health-care staff in a tertiary children's hospital. *Int J Palliat Nurs*. 2012;18(4):197-201.
8. Wigert H, Dellenmark MB, Bry K. Strengths and weaknesses of parent-staff communication in the NICU: a survey assessment. *BMC Pediatr*. 2013;13:71. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2431/13/71>.
9. Turner M, Chur-Hansen A, Winefield H. The neonatal nurses' view of their role in emotional support of parents and its complexities. *J Clin Nurs*. 2014;23:3156-3165. doi:10.1111/jocn.12558.
10. Stokes TA, Watson KL, Boss RD. Teaching antenatal counseling skills to neonatal providers. *Semin Perinatol*. 2014;38:47-51. doi:10.1053/j.semper.2013.07.008.
11. Kowalski WJ, Leef KH, Mackley A, Spear ML, Paul DA. Communicating with parents of premature infants: who is the informant? *J Perinatol*. 2006;26(1):44-48.
12. Braithwaite M. Nurse burnout and stress in the NICU. *Adv Neonatal Care*. 2008;8(6):34-37. doi:10.1097/01.ANC.0000342767.17606.d1.
13. Mosher S. *Care Coordination in the NICU*. New York, NY: Springer Publishing Company; 2018.
14. Hall SL, Hynan MT, Phillips R, et al. The neonatal intensive parenting unit (NIPU): an introduction. *J Perinatol*. 2017;37(12):1259-1264. doi:10.1038/jp.2017.108.
15. Bry K, Bry M, Hentz E, et al. Communication skills training enhances nurses' ability to respond with empathy to parents' emotions in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Acta Paediatr*. 2016;195:397-406. doi:10.1111/apa.13295.
16. Boss RD, Urban A, Barnett MD, Arnold RM. Neonatal critical care communication (NC3): training NICU physicians and nurse practitioners. *J Perinatol*. 2013;33(8):642-646.
17. Meyer EC, Brodsky D, Hansen AR, Lamiani G, Sellers DE, Browning DM. An interdisciplinary, family-focused approach to relational learning in neonatal intensive care. *J Perinatol*. 2011;31:212-219. doi:10.1038/jp.2010.10.
18. Cuttano A, Scaramuzzo RT, Gentile M, Ciantelli M, Sigali E, Boldrini A. Education in neonatology by simulation: between reality and declaration of intent. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2011;24(S1):97-98. doi:10.3109/14767058.2011.607572.
19. Vaidya VU, Greenberg LW, Patel KM, Strauss LH, Pollack MM. Teaching physicians how to break bad news: a 1-day workshop using standardized parents. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 1999;153(4):419-422.
20. Harris LL, Placencia FX, Arnold JL, Minard CG, Harris TB, Haidet PM. A structured end-of-life curriculum for neonatal-perinatal postdoctoral fellows. *Am J Hospice Palliat Care*. 2015;32(3):253-261. doi:10.1177/1049909114523825.
21. Biasini A, Fantini F, Neri E, Stella M, Arcangeli T. Communication in the neonatal intensive care unit: a continuous challenge. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2012;25(10):2126-2169. doi:10.3109/14767058.2011.648241.
22. Janvier A, Lantos J, and the POST Investigators. Ethics and etiquette in neonatal intensive care. *J Am Med Assoc Pediatr*. 2014;168(9):857-858.
23. Globus O, Leibovitch L, Maayan-Metzger A, et al. The use of short message services (SMS) to provide medical updating to parents in the NICU. *J Perinatol*. 2016;36:739-743.
24. Koh TH, Butow PN, Coory M, et al. Provision of taped conversations with neonatologists to mothers of babies in intensive care: randomised controlled trial. *Br Med J*. 2006;334:1-6. doi:10.1136/bmj.39017.675648.BE.
25. Lemmon ME, Donohue PK, Williams EP, Brandon D, Ubel P, Boss RD. No question too small: development of a question prompt list for parents of critically ill infants. *J Perinatol*. 2018;38:386-391.
26. Penticuff JH, Arbeart KL. Effectiveness of an intervention to improve parent-professional collaboration in neonatal care. *J Perinat Neonatal Nurs*. 2005;19(2):187-202.
27. Voos KC, Ross G, Ward MJ, Yohay AL, Osorio SN, Perlman JM. Effects of implementing family-centered rounds (FCR) in a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2012;24(11):1403-1406.
28. Weems MF, Graetz I, Lan R, DeBaer LR, Beeman G. Electronic communication preferences among mothers in the neonatal intensive care unit. *J Perinatol*. 2016;36:997-1000.

29. Weiss S, Goldlust E, Vaucher YE. Improving parent satisfaction: an intervention to increase neonatal parent-provider communication. *J Perinatol*. 2010;30(6):425-430. doi:10.1038/jp.2009.163.
30. Wilkinson S, Roberts A, Aldridge J. Nurse-patient communication in palliative care: an evaluation of a communication skills programme. *Palliat Med*. 1998;12(1):13-22.
31. American Association of Colleges of Nursing. End-of-life nursing education consortium (ELNEC). <http://www.aacn.nche.edu/elneec>.
32. Ahern K. What neonatal intensive care nurses need to know about neonatal palliative care. *Adv Neonatal Care*. 2013;13(2):108-114. doi:10.1097/ANC.0b013e3182891278.
33. Twamley K, Kelly P, Moss R, et al. Palliative care education in neonatal units: impact on knowledge and attitudes. *BMJ Support Palliat Care*. 2013;3(2):213-220.
34. Daley M, Limbo R, eds. *Resolve Through Sharing Bereavement Training in Early Pregnancy Loss, Stillbirth & Newborn Death*. 2nd ed. La Crosse, WI: Gundersen Lutheran Medical Foundation, Inc; 2008.
35. Hall SL, Hynan MT, eds. Interdisciplinary recommendations for the psychosocial support of NICU parents. *J Perinatol*. 2015;35:S1-S36.
36. Hynan MT, Steinberg Z, Baker L, et al. Recommendations for mental health professionals in the NICU. *J Perinatol*. 2015;35:S14-S18. doi:10.1038/jp.2015.144.
37. Hall SL, Ryan DJ, Beatty J, Grubbs L. Recommendations for peer-to-peer support for NICU parents. *J Perinatol*. 2015;35(suppl 1):S9-S13. doi:10.1038/jp.2015.143.
38. Craig JW, Glick C, Phillips R, Hall SL, Smith J, Browne J. Recommendations for involving the family in developmental of the NICU baby. *J Perinatol*. 2015;35:S5-S8. doi:10.1038/jp.2015.142.
39. Kenner CJ, Press J, Ryan D. Recommendations for palliative and bereavement care in the NICU: a family-centered integrative approach. *J Perinatol*. 2015;35:S19-S23. doi:10.1038/jp.2015.145.
40. Purdy IB, Craig JW, Zeanah P. NICU discharge planning and beyond: recommendations for parent psychosocial support. *J Perinatol*. 2015;35:S24-S28. doi:10.1038/jp.2015.146.
41. Cohen J. A power primer. *Psychol Bull*. 1992;112(1):155-159.
42. Sawilowsky S. New effect size rules of thumb. *J Mod Appl Stat Methods*. 2009;8(2):467-474.
43. Visible Learning. Hattie Ranking: 252 Influences and Effect Sizes Related to Student Achievement. <https://visible-learning.org/hattie-ranking-influences-effect-sizes-learning-achievement/>
44. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Hospital Care, and Institute for Patient- and Family-Centered Care. Patient- and family-centered care and the pediatrician's role. *Pediatrics*. 2012;129(2):394-404. doi:10.1542/peds.2011-3084.
45. Preemie Parent Alliance. Member Directory. <https://preemieparentalliance.org/member-directory/>
46. Profit J, Sharek PJ, Amspoker AB, et al. Burnout in the NICU setting and its relation to safety culture. *Br Med J Qual Saf*. 2014;10:806-813. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2014-002831.
47. Tawfik DS, Phibbs CS, Sexton JB, et al. Factors associated with provider burnout in the NICU. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139(5). doi:10.1542/peds.2016-4134.
48. Harrison TM. Family-centered pediatric nursing care: state of the science. *J Pediatr Nurs*. 2010;25:335-343.
49. Kuo DZ, Houtrow AJ, Arango P, Kuhlthau KA, Simmons JM, Neff JM. Family-centered care: current applications and future directions in pediatric health care. *Matern Child Health J*. 2012;16:297-305. doi:10.1007/s10995-011-0751-7.
50. Petersen MF, Cohen J, Parsons V. Family-centered care: do we practice what we preach? *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs*. 2004;33(4):421-427.
51. Harrison H. The principles for family-centered neonatal care. *Pediatrics*. 1993;92(5):643-650.
52. American Academy of Pediatrics, and Committee on Fetus and Newborn. Hospital discharge of the high-risk neonate. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(5):1119-1126.
53. Catlin A, Carter BS. Creation of a neonatal end-of-life palliative care protocol. *J Perinatol*. 2002;22(3):184-195.
54. Carter BS. Providing palliative care for newborns. *Pediatr Ann*. 2004;33(11):770-777.
55. American Academy of Pediatrics and Committee on Bioethics and Committee on Hospital Care. Palliative care for children. *Pediatrics*. 2000;93(2, pt 1):351-357.
56. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Fetus and Newborn. Noninitiation or withdrawal of intensive care for high-risk newborns. *Pediatrics*. 2007;119(2):401-403.
57. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health. Supporting the family after the death of a child. *Pediatrics*. 2012;130(6):1164-1169.
58. National Association of Neonatal Nurses. Palliative and end-of-life care for newborns and infants. Position statement. http://www.nann.org/uploads/files/PalliativeCare6_FINAL.pdf. Published 2015.
59. Michelson KN, Ryan AD, Jovanovic B, Frader J. Pediatric residents' and fellows' perspectives on palliative care education. *J Palliat Med*. 2009;12(5):451-457. doi:10.1089/jpm.2008.0263.
60. Samsel C, Lechner BE. End-of-life care in a regional level IV neonatal intensive care unit after implementation of a palliative care initiative. *J Perinatol*. 2015;35(3):223-228. doi:10.1038/jp.2014.189.
61. Younge N, Smith PB, Goldberg RN, et al. Impact of a palliative care program on end-of-life care in a neonatal intensive care unit. *J Perinatol*. 2015;35(3):218-222. doi:10.1038/jp.2014.193.
62. Humphrey L, Schlegel A. Increasing accurate palliative care consultation in the NICU. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(1).
63. Nguyen LT, Cooperberg DB, Spear ML. Introduction of triggers for palliative care consultation improves utilization and satisfaction within a level four NICU. *J Perinatol*. 2018;38:574-579.
64. Mullan BA, Kothe EJ. Evaluating a nursing communication skills training course: the relationships between self-rated ability, satisfaction, and actual performance. *Nurse Educ Pract*. 2010;10:374-378.
65. Fallowfield L, Jenkins V, Farewell V, Solis-Trapala I. Enduring impact of communication skills training: results of a 12-month follow-up. *Br J Cancer*. 2003;89(8):145-149.
66. Frost KA, Metcalf EP, Brooks R, Kinnersley P, Greenwood SR, Powell CV. Teaching pediatric communication skills to medical students. *Adv Med Educ Pract*. 2015;6:35-43.