# Probiotics in the Treatment and Prevention of Allergy in Children

Erkki Savilahti, MD, Kaarina Kukkonen, MD, and Mikael Kuitunen MD, PhD

**Abstract:** Several fold increase in allergic diseases in developed, high-income countries during recent decades is attributed to environmental changes such as urbanization with improved hygiene. This, together with conquering severe bacterial infections during childhood, has reduced the microbial stimulation of the developing immune system of infants. Studies on the pathogenesis of allergy both in man and experimental animal have shown the importance of commensal bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract in stimulating and directing the immune system. The interest in modulating commensal bacterial flora with probiotics to prevent and treat allergy has multiplied in recent years.

In the present review we report results on randomized, controlled studies in which childhood atopic eczema was treated or which aimed to prevent development of allergy during childhood.

Nine studies with 639 patients have looked at the effect of probiotics in treatment of eczema. While 3 studied showed no effect, other studies suggested a moderate benefit of the use of probiotics on the severity of eczema. Studies suggested that the effect may be seen particularly in patients with food allergy and/or sensitization.

Nine studies have reported on the prevention of allergy on 6 study population with altogether 1989 high risk infants. While the early study reporting the development of allergy at ages 2, 4 and 7 years showed a marked reduction of eczema in 77 treated infants, later studies have failed to show similar success. Two studies showed no effect. In the largest study with more than 900 children at age 2 atopic eczema was reduced by 20%, but at age 5 positive effect was present in only the subgroup of children who had born by cesarean section. None of studies has reported adverse effects of probiotics in infants.

Result in both treatment and prevention studies are quite variable, the major reason being the use of different strains of probiotic bacteria and varying types of intervention. Even if the results are encouraging, we need a stronger effect. This may be reached by finding new strains of probiotics affecting stronger stimulation of immune system, together with longer lasting and varying treatment schedules. However, safety issues have to be observed.

**Key Words:** probiotics, prevention of allergic diseases, treatment of allergic diseases, atopic eczema

(WAO Journal 2009; 2:69-76)

#### INTRODUCTION

The marked increase in the prevalence of allergic diseases during the past 50 years is associated with the decrease of life-threatening infections during childhood and the postindustrial changes in lifestyle, characterized by a high standard of hygiene and reduced exposure to microbes in daily environments.<sup>1,2</sup> Gerrard et al already proposed in the 1970s that this increase is the price we have to pay for better survival of children, although the "hygiene hypothesis" was formulated by Strachan, who found fewer allergies in families with a greater number of children. This led him to postulate that infections protected them from allergy.<sup>3,4</sup> Epidemiologic studies show that persons having had infections of the gastrointestinal tract, like hepatitis A, have lower prevalence of allergies,<sup>5</sup> whereas some other types of infections, for example, measles, may increase the risk for allergy.<sup>6</sup>

Other types of stimulation of the mucosal immune system by bacterial antigens, particularly by bacterial endotoxins and lipopolysaccharides, have markedly affected the appearance of allergic diseases during childhood. Increased endotoxin exposure has been associated with living on a farm and results in reduced risk for atopy and for asthma.<sup>7,8</sup> Exposure to unpasteurized farm milk independently (without exposure to the stables) was associated with less atopy and respiratory allergies.<sup>9</sup> Children in families with anthroposophist lifestyle have fewer allergies than other children living in the same area; they use fewer antibiotics, vaccinations are more seldom taken, and they consume fermented foods, plentiful in lactic acid bacteria; only the use of organic or biodynamic fermented foods are significantly associated with the reduction of allergies.<sup>10</sup>

The most powerful, direct stimulant of our largest immune organ, gut-associated lymphoid tissue, is the large and active gut microbiota, with up to 100 trillion microbes weighing more than 1 kg. They occupy all available niches from the intestinal lumen to crypts and epithelial-cell surfaces with an increasing gradient from stomach to colon.<sup>11,12</sup> The gut microbiota have huge metabolic activity and through fermentation of their main substrates, undigested dietary carbohydrates, produce short-chain fatty acids (acetate, propionate, and butyrate), carbon dioxide, and molecular hydrogen, salvaging some of the energy of these products for the host.<sup>13</sup> The sterile gut of the newborn is gradually colonized by environmental bacteria. Vaginally born infants acquire the microbiota having the strongest association with the mother's colon.<sup>14</sup> Cesarean section delays fecal colonization by bi-

From the Hospital for Children and Adolescents, Skin and Allergy Hospital, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

Corresponding author: Erkki Savilahti, Hospital for Children and Adolescents, POB 281, 00029 HUS, Helsinki, Finland. E-mail: erkki.savilahti@hus.fi. Copyright © 2009 by World Allergy Organization

fidobacteria, lactobacilli, and *Bacteroides*<sup>15,16</sup> and may affect composition of the microbiota up to age 7.<sup>17</sup> Later, the type of feeding influences the initial colonization.<sup>16</sup> Human milk oligosaccharides promote the growth and activity of bi-fidobacteria and lactobacilli,<sup>18</sup> which more abundantly colonize breastfed than formula-fed infants.<sup>19</sup> In unhygienic environments, the gut flora has high diversity and a high turnover rate.<sup>20</sup> Such conditions, related to decreased risk for allergies, provide continuous exposure to an extensive array of bacteria in drinking water and in the soil and constantly stimulate the immune system.<sup>21</sup>

Several observations indicate that alterations in gut microbiota precede the development of allergies. In 2 countries with either low (Estonia) or high (Sweden) prevalence of allergy, healthy infants had differences in their microbiota.<sup>22</sup> In prospective studies, early fecal samples of infants who go on to develop allergies compared to those who remain healthy grow less enterococci, bifidobacteria, and Bacteroides and more clostridia and staphylococci.23 In a Finnish study of genetically allergy-prone infants, early gut microbiota also differed between those who went on to develop atopy and those nonsensitized by age 1.24 In the feces of 5-year-old Esthonian children, those with allergic diseases had less commonly bifidobacteria than those without allergy, whereas clostridia was more common in allergic children. The counts of clostridia correlated with the level of serum IgE in allergic children.<sup>25</sup> Japanese infants developing early allergy had different Bifidobacterium species compared to those of nonallergic infants; they particularly had the adult type Bifidobacterium catenulatum as has been described earlier in another population.<sup>26</sup>

In the experimental animal model for food allergy, the gut microbiota and its stimulatory action of innate immune system by toll-like receptors (TLR), particularly TLR4, is of paramount importance. Food tolerance does not develop in germ-free mice, but is inducible after colonization of the intestine.<sup>27</sup> Mice susceptible to food allergy have a mutation in TLR4, blocking its signaling.<sup>28</sup> The deficiency of TLR4 influenced food sensitization and anaphylaxis to bovine  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin depending on the genetic background of the mice.<sup>29</sup>

Altering the intestinal microbiota of an individual is a tempting possibility to treat allergic symptoms and to prevent development of allergies. Probiotic bacteria are "live microorganisms, which administered in adequate amounts confer health benefits on the host" (Organization FAO 2002, http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/fs management/ probiotics2/en). They are a heterogeneous group of bacteria with specific biological activities. Lactobacilli, bifidobacteria, and streptococci are strains most commonly selected from among human microbiota or dairy-product starters. Lactobacilli, bifidobacteria, and propionibacteria belong to the lactic acid bacteria group. They are fermentative Gram-positive bacteria and produce lactic acid as their main fermentation product. Lactobacilli are naturally present in human and animal intestines and in fermented vegetables or dairy products. Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG (LGG), which was isolated from human feces by Gorbach and Goldin, is the most studied probiotic.<sup>14</sup> Bifidobacteria are natural residents of the human intestine, but propionibacteria occur naturally in dairy products and traditionally serve as cheese starters. Prebiotics are indigestible substances that benefit the host by selectively stimulating the growth or the activity or both of a limited number of bacterial strains established in the gut, thereby having impact on allergies. The term "synbiotics" means a combination of these factors.

## TREATMENT OF ALLERGIC DISEASES

Majamaa and Isolauri<sup>30</sup> studied LGG in the treatment of eczema in 42 Finnish infants referred to a hospital for suspected cow's milk allergy in 1997. LGG was given openlabel for 1 month to 11 breast-feeding mothers or randomized directly to 15 infants receiving extensively hydrolyzed formula. In the control group to the latter, 16 infants received only extensively hydrolyzed formula. In the final analysis, 37 of 42 infants undergoing a positive cow's milk challenge after the intervention were included. In these 37, the SCORAD index<sup>31</sup> improved significantly in the 13 formula-fed infants receiving L. rhamnosus GG and in the 10 breast-fed infants whose mothers received LGG. In the 14 control infants, the index remained unchanged. However, at 2 months the moderate-to-severe eczema became mild in both study groups. (Table 1). The other Isolauri's study included 27 infants suffering from eczema during exclusive breast-feeding. Of them, 9 were weaned onto extensively hydrolyzed formula, 9 infants onto the same formula with added LGG, and 9 infants received the formula with added Bifidobacterium lactis Bb12. After 2 months, in infants receiving the probiotic-containing formulas, severity of eczema decreased significantly, whereas the placebo group showed no improvement; 6 months later, eczema had improved in all infants, with no difference between study groups (Table 1).32

RosenfedIt et al<sup>33</sup> studied 43 children aged 1 to 13 years with eczema, in a double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover setting with a combination of 2 strains of bacteria (Table 1). A significantly greater proportion (56%) of patients experienced improvement after active treatment than after placebo (15%). A greater decrease in SCORAD index appeared among patients with atopic constitution after probiotic treatment than after placebo. *Lactobacillus fermentum* given for 2 months to 56 Australian 6- to 18-month-old infants, 71% of whom were sensitized, ameliorated their moderate-to-severe eczema.<sup>34</sup>

Our own study entailed 230 infants in a randomized controlled trial where LGG, a mixture of 4 probiotics, or placebo was given for 1 month to infants with eczema. Half of them were diagnosed by a double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenge to have cow's milk allergy (CMA).<sup>35</sup> Although probiotics had no additional therapeutic effect on healing of eczema in infants with or without CMA, in subgroup analysis, LGG compared to placebo was superior in infants with IgE-associated eczema (P = 0.027).<sup>35</sup> The greatest effect of LGG was among patients with severe eczema (SCORAD > 30) and IgE positivity. Colonization of the supplemented probiotics was successful when analyzed from fecal samples.

	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					
Study	No. Patients	Å 0P	Eczema in Baseline	Sensitized in Baseline	Intervention and Amount of Probiotics (cfu). Duration of Intervention (Weeks)	Clinical Effect
Majamaa and Isolauri (1997) <sup>30</sup>	A1=13	2 to 1	Moderate to severe, suspected cow's milk allergy	~30%	A1: LGG 5 × 10 <sup>8</sup> /g of hydrolyzed formula (HF) C: HF	Reduced SCORAD <sup>31</sup>
Isolauri et al (2000) <sup>32</sup>	C=14 A1=9	4 to 6 months	Eczema during exclusive breast-feeding	Not given	Duration: 4 weeks Infants weaned to:	Reduced SCORAD
Rosenfeldt et al (2003) <sup>33</sup>	A2=9 C=9 43	1 to 13 years	SCORAD > 15	63%	A1: LGG $5 \times 10^8/g$ in HF A2: <i>B. lactis</i> in HF C: HF Double-blind placebo-controlled crossover study:	Reduced subjective symptoms; reduced SCORAD in sensitized
					A: L. rhamnosus $10 \times 10^{10}$ and L. reuteri $1 \times 10^{10}$ twice daily P: skimmed milk powder	החותום מתוחון מכוועם הבווסם
Viljanen et al (2005) <sup>35</sup>	A1=80	1 to 12 months	Moderate to severe, referred to hospital for suspected cow's milk allergy	59%	A1: LGG $5 \times 10^{\circ}$ and HF	In A1 reduced SCORAD in sensitized infants
	A2=76				A2: LGG, L. rhamnosus LC705, Bifidobacterium breve, Propionibacterium freudenreichii total $1 \times 10^{\circ}$ and HF	
	C = 74				C: HF Duration: 4 weeks	
Weston et al $(2005)^{34}$	A = 28	6 to 18 months	Moderate to severe	71%	L. fermentum VRI-003 2 $\times$ 10 <sup>9</sup> daily	Reduced SCORAD at the end of intervention and 16 weeks after intervention
Brouwer et al $(2006)^{36}$	C=28 A1=16	<5 months	SCORAD ≥ 25 Eczema and suspected cow's milk allergy	38%	Duration: 8 weeks A1: HF with LGG $5 \times 10^{9}$ cfu/100 mL of formula	No effect
	A2=13 C=13				A2: HF with <i>L. rhamnosus</i> $5 \times 10^{9}/100$ mL of formula Placebo: HF	
Sistek et al $(2006)^{39}$	A=29	1 to 10 years	All atopic (sensitized)	100%	Duration: 12 weeks $L$ rhamnosus and $B$ . lactis $2 \times 10^{10}$ daily	Reduced SCORAD in food- sensitized infants
Fölster-Holst et al (2006) <sup>38</sup>	C=30 $A=26$	1 to 55 months	$SCORAD \ge 10$ Moderate to severe	(food, A = $66\%$ , P = $80\%$ ) 38%	Duration: 12 weeks LGG $10 \times 10^9$ daily	No effect
Grüber et al $(2007)^{37}$	C=27 A=54	3 to 12 months	Mild to moderate		Duration: 8 weeks LGG capsules $> 5 \times 10^{\circ}$ twice daily	No effect
	C=48		SCORAD 15 to 40	(A = 62%, P = 47%)	Duration: 12 weeks	

© 2009 World Allergy Organization

No effect of probiotics was observed in 42 Dutch infants aged 1 to 5 months, given either LGG or another L. rhamnosus strain for 3 months in hydrolyzed formula compared to infants given hydrolyzed formula alone for eczema.36 Two German studies also showed negative results: in a study on 102 infants, treatment with LGG for 3 months resulted in the same rate of improvement of eczema as that with placebo in the whole study group and in those with sensitization.<sup>37</sup> In the other study, 53 children with eczema, aged 1 to 55 months, were given either LGG or placebo for 2 months; no difference was observed in the clinical course of the groups.<sup>38</sup> Three months' treatment of young children (median age 4 years) with a combination of L. rhamnosus and B. lactis improved eczema in the subgroup sensitized to food, whereas in the whole study group there was no difference.39 The effect was transient.

#### **PREVENTION OF ATOPIC DISEASE**

The first study on the possibility to prevent allergy in high-risk infants comprised 159 mothers from allergy-risk families who were randomized to receive LGG 4 weeks before delivery, and after delivery the breast-feeding mothers continued LGG but only bottle-fed infants received LGG directly (57%) until 6 months of age. At age 2, the prevalence of atopic eczema in the LGG group was 23% and in the placebo group 46%; the relative risk for developing eczema was significantly lower in the LGG group (Table 2).40 At age 4, 107 children came to a follow-up examination: in the LGG group eczema was diagnosed in 26% and in the placebo group 44%<sup>41</sup>; relative risk for eczema at age 4 remained significantly reduced (Table 2). An equal number of children in each group had respiratory allergic symptoms, and prevalence of sensitization was similar. Seventy-three percent of the children completed the 7-year follow-up. The prevalence of eczema remained significantly lower in the LGG group, 43% versus 66%; positive skin prick tests were detected in 32% of the children with no difference between the groups. The incidence of allergic airway diseases was low and similar in the study groups.<sup>42</sup> Kopp et al simulated the above study by giving LGG or placebo to 105 pregnant women carrying high-risk babies for 4 to 6 weeks before delivery and then to their infants for 6 months. They found a similar incidence of eczema (28% vs 27%) and of sensitization rates at age 2, but LGG was associated with an increased rate of recurrent wheezing episodes (26% vs 9%).43

In another randomized trial, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (LAVRI-A1) or placebo was given only postnatally to 178 newborns of allergic women until 6 months of age.<sup>44</sup> At 1 year, no differences in the rates of eczema in the probiotic (43%) and placebo (39%) groups were found. Unexpectedly, increased frequency of sensitization was found in the probiotic group, 40%, compared to the placebo (24%) group. The proportion of children with atopic eczema having a positive skin prick test was greater in the probiotic group, 23/88 (26%), than in the placebo group, 12/86 (14%), P = 0.045.

Abrahamsson et al gave either *Lactobacillus reuteri* or placebo daily to pregnant mothers of high-risk families from 36 weeks of gestation until delivery and to their babies from birth until 1 year of age.<sup>45</sup> In the 188 infants at the 2-year follow-up, the cumulative incidence of eczema was 35% in both groups, but during the second year of life, atopic eczema was less common in the probiotic group (8%) than in the placebo group (20%). The cumulative incidence of sensitization as measured by serum antigen-specific IgE against egg white and cow's milk or in a skin prick test against egg, milk, cat, birch, or timothy tended to be lower in the probiotic group (18% vs 29%); when infants with maternal allergy were compared, the difference was significant (14% vs 31%, P = 0.02).

Wickens et al<sup>46</sup> randomized 512 mothers of high-risk infants to receive either *L. rhamnosus* HN001 or *Bifidobacterium animalis* subspecies or placebo from 35 weeks of gestation; to the infants the treatment was continued until age 2. *L. rhamnosus* significantly reduced risk of eczema compared with placebo, but the other strain bifidobacteria had no effect. IgE-associated eczema was reduced in the same way, but sensitization rates were similar by age 2.

We randomized for a double-blind placebo-controlled trial 1223 pregnant women carrying fetuses at increased risk for allergies.<sup>47</sup> These mothers used a mixture of 4 probiotic bacteria, or a placebo, from their 36th week of gestation. Their infants received the same probiotics plus prebiotic galacto-oligosaccharides for 6 months. In the probiotic group compared to the placebo group, fecal counts for all lactoba-cilli and bifidobacteria were significantly higher than those in the controls at age 6 months. Probiotic strains were also detected more frequently, recovery of the probiotics in the feces was transient, and no differences in the colonization patterns occurred at 2 years of age.

A total of 925 infants participated in the 2-year followup. The cumulative incidence of any allergic disease (food allergy, eczema, asthma, and allergic rhinitis) did not differ significantly between the probiotic (32%) and the placebo (35%) groups. However, probiotics compared to placebo tended to reduce all atopic (IgE-associated, assessed by skin prick test and or specific IgE > 0.7 kU/L)) diseases (Table 2). Eczema, which constituted 88% of all allergic diseases by age 2, occurred less frequently in the probiotic group (26%) than in the placebo group (32%). The preventive effect was more pronounced against atopic (IgE-associated) eczema, its incidence in the probiotic group (12%) was significantly lower than that in the placebo group (18%). Sensitization, however, was not affected.

At age 5, 891 (88%) of the group attended the follow-up examination.<sup>48</sup> The frequencies of allergic and IgE-associated allergic disease and sensitization in the probiotic and placebo groups were similar: 52.6% versus 54.9% and 29.5% versus 26.6% and 41.3% in both. There was also no difference in the frequencies of eczema (39.3% vs 43.3%), atopic eczema (24.0% vs 25.1%), allergic rhinitis (20.7% vs 19.1%), nor asthma (13.0% vs 14.1%) between the groups. However, caesareandelivered children receiving probiotics were sensitized less frequently; the difference in prevalence of positive IgE antibodies to food allergens was significant, they had less IgE-associated allergic disease, and the cumulative prevalence for atopic eczema was significantly reduced (15.7% vs 30.4%).<sup>48</sup>

StudyNo. PrisonNo. PrisonFollowerFollo	näki et al (2001) <sup>40</sup> näki et al (2003) <sup>41</sup> näki et al (2007) <sup>42</sup>	atients = 77 = 82 = 53		Follow-up (years)	Intervention and Amount of Probiotics (cfu) in the Active Group	Incidence of	Effect on Eczema	Effect on IgE-Associated
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		= 77 = 82 = 53				Eczema A/C	(1) 0/ 6/ NO	Eczema UK (%% CI)
By: $C = 82$ $C = 82$ $(1.7 - 0.7)$ By: $C = 54$ $20\% v_{4} 40\%$ $0.22$ Dy: $C = 54$ $7$ $20\% v_{3} 40\%$ $0.23$ Dy: $A = 461$ Pregnant women and revolution bubies $1$ $20\% v_{3} 40\%$ $0.32$ Dy: $A = 461$ Pregnant women and revolution bubies $2$ $L$ <i>himmous</i> $C = 63 \%$ $20\% v_{3} 32\%$ $0.74$ $0.24$ Dy: $A = 461$ Pregnant women and revolution bubies $2$ $L$ <i>himmous</i> $C = 63 \%$ $20\% v_{3} 40\%$ $0.24$ $0.74$ $D = -6446$ D wohom bubies $1$ $L$ <i>caciaptivities</i> $20\% v_{3} 43\%$ $0.24$ $0.74$ $0.25$ $D = -446$ D wohom bubies $1$ $L$ <i>caciaptivities</i> $20\% v_{3} 43\%$ $0.24$ $0.74$ $0.24$ $D = -446$ D wohom bubies $1$ $L$ <i>caciaptivities</i> $20\% v_{3} 39\%$ $0.24$ $0.24$ $R = -446$ D wohom bubies $1$ $L$ <i>caciaptivities</i> $20\% v_{3} 39\%$ $0.24$ $0.24$ $0.24$ $0.24$ $0.24$ $0.24$ $0.24$ $0.24$ <td></td> <td>= 82 = 53</td> <td>Pregnant women and newborn babies</td> <td>7</td> <td><i>L. rhamnosus</i> GG <math>1 \times 10^{10}</math> 2 to 4 weeks before delivery, 6 months after birth to lactating mothers, otherwise to bottle-fed infants</td> <td>23% vs 46%</td> <td>0.36</td> <td>NA</td>		= 82 = 53	Pregnant women and newborn babies	7	<i>L. rhamnosus</i> GG $1 \times 10^{10}$ 2 to 4 weeks before delivery, 6 months after birth to lactating mothers, otherwise to bottle-fed infants	23% vs 46%	0.36	NA
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		=53					(0.17 - 0.77)	
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} C = 54 \\ D^{\mu} & \lambda = 3 \\ C = 65 \\ D^{\mu} & \lambda = 43 \\ Pegnant women and \\ C = 65 \\ nwbhom bables \\ Pegnant women and \\ A = 445 \\ Pegnant women and \\ A = 445 \\ Pegnant women and \\ A = 445 \\ A = 80 \\ A$				4		26% vs 46%	0.42	
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} D\gamma^4 & \Lambda = 53 & 7 & 43\% \ vs \ 6\% & 0.58 & 0.74 & 0.38 & 0.74 & 0.76 & 0.75 & 0.95 & 0.74 & 0.74 & 0.76 & 0.75 & 0.95 & 0.74 & 0.74 & 0.74 & 0.76 & 0.75 & 0.95 & 0.74 & 0.$		=04					(0.18 - 0.94)	
$ \begin{array}{ccccccc} C=c_2 & $	C=	=53		7		43% vs 66%	0.58	
$7)^{10}$ A=461Pregnant vormen and newborn belos2 $L$ . <i>humnoss</i> EGG 5 × 10°, $L$ . and $F$ fractionricin26% vs 32%0.74 $10^{10}$ newborn belos $100 \times 100 $		=62					(0.35 - 0.94)	
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} -464 & (0.55-0.98) \\ 1 & -445 & 5 & 39\% vs 43\% & 0.85 \\ -c = 446 & 0.87 & 0.85 \\ 1 & -c = 446 & 0.87 & 0.85 \\ -c = 446 & 0.87 & 0.87 & 0.85 \\ -c = 446 & 0.87 & 0.87 & 0.87 \\ -c = 48 & 0.91 & 0.91 & 0.94 & 39\% & 1.18 \\ -c = 88 & -6 & -88 & 0.01 & 0.016 & -106 & -1.18 \\ -c = 88 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 \\ -c = -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 \\ -c = -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2$		=461	Pregnant women and newborn babies	0	<i>L. rhamnosus</i> GG $5 \times 10^{\circ}$ , <i>L. rhamnosus</i> LC705 $5 \times 10^{\circ}$ , <i>B. breve</i> Bb99 $2 \times 10^{\circ}$ , and <i>P. freudemreichii</i> ssp <i>shermanii</i> JS $2 \times 10^{\circ}$ plus prebiotic galacto-oligosaccharides from 36 gw daily for 6 months after birth	26% vs 32%	0.74	0.66
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} & & A=45 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	C	:464					(0.55 - 0.98)	(0.46 - 0.95)
C = 446(0.42-1.1)High riskOnly newborn babies1L. acidophilus $3 \times 10^{\circ}$ daily for 643% vs 39%1.18A = 89A = 89(0.64-2.16)C = 88A = 89(0.64-2.16)C = 88Pregnant women and2L. reuter 1 × 10 <sup>8</sup> from 36 gw daily to36% vs 34%1.06A = 95-12 months after birth0.64-2.16)A = 9512 months after birth(0.58-1.93)A = 9512 months after birth(0.58-1.93)A = 95A = 95A = 50A = 10A = 50A = 50A = 61A = 51A = 51A = 51A = 61A = 61A = 10A = 10 <td< td=""><td></td><td>=445</td><td></td><td>v</td><td></td><td>30% vs 43%</td><td>0.85</td><td></td></td<>		=445		v		30% vs 43%	0.85	
High riskOnly newborn babies1L. acidophilus $3 \times 10^{\circ}$ daily for 6 $43\%$ vs $39\%$ 1.18 $A = 89$ $C = 88$ $A = 89$ $C = 88$ $(0.64-2.16)$ $C = 88$ $C = 88$ $A = 95$ $C = 93$ $(0.64-2.16)$ $A = 95$ $C = 93$ $B = 10^{\circ}$ nowborn babies $1.2$ nonths after birth $(0.64-2.16)$ $A = 95$ $C = 93$ $B = 10^{\circ}$ newborn babies $1.2$ nonths after birth $(0.54-2.16)$ $A = 95$ $C = 93$ $B = 2$ $L. retueri 1 \times 10^{\circ}$ from 36 gw daily to $36\%$ vs $34\%$ $1.06$ $A = 50$ $A = 50$ $B = 2$ $L. rhannosus GG 5 \times 10^{\circ}$ daily 4 to 6 $28\%$ vs $27\%$ $1.04$ $A = 50$ $B = 50$ $B = 10^{\circ}$ nonths after $B = 10^{\circ}$ nonths after $0.54 \times 2.7\%$ $1.04$ $A = 50$ $A = 10^{\circ}$ hirth to lactaing mothers, otherwise $0.41^{\circ}$ vs $27\%$ $0.42-2.57$ $A = 50$ $A = 50$ $A = 10^{\circ}$ hirth to lactaing mothers, otherwise $0.51$ $0.51$ $A = 50$ $A = 14$ $A = 144$ $A = 16^{\circ}$ hirth set of a 10^{\circ} s to 10^{\circ} for $A = 10^{\circ}$		446		с С			(0.65–1.11)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} A=80 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		ı risk	Only newborn babies aged <48 hours		<i>L. acidophilus</i> $3 \times 10^9$ daily for 6 months after birth	43% vs 39%	1.18	2.18
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A =	=89					(0.64 - 2.16)	(1.01 - 4.72)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C=	=88					l	
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} A = 95 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		ı risk	Pregnant women and newborn babies	7	L. reuteri $1 \times 10^8$ from 36 gw daily to 12 months after birth	36% vs 34%	1.06	0.53
High riskPregnant women and newborn babies2L. rhannosus GG 5 × 10° daily 4 to 628% vs 27%1.04newborn babiesweeks before delivery, 6 months after birth to lactating mothers, otherwise to bottle-fed infants90.42-2.57 $A=50$ $C=44$ $C=44$ $C=44$ $C=44$ $high riskPregnant women andnewborn babies2A1: L. rhannosus HN001 6 × 10°15\% vs 27\%0.51A=144A2=152A2: B. animalis ssp lactis 9 × 10° from35 gw daily for 24 months after birth(0.3-0.85)$	A= C=	=95 =93					(0.58-1.93)	(0.24-1.16)
$ \begin{array}{cccc} A=50 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		ı risk	Pregnant women and newborn babies	0	<i>L. rhamnosus</i> GG $5 \times 10^9$ daily 4 to 6 weeks before delivery, 6 months after birth to lactating mothers, otherwise to bottle-fed infants	28% vs 27%	1.04	NA
$ \begin{array}{c c} C=44 \\ \mbox{High risk} & \mbox{Pregnant women and} & 2 & A1: L. rhannosus HN001 6 \times 10^9 & 15\% \ vs \ 27\% & 0.51 \\ \mbox{newborn babies} & A2: B. animalis \ ssp \ lactis \ 9 \times 10^9 \ from \\ A1 = 144 & 35 \ gw \ daily \ for \ 24 \ months \ after \ birth & (0.3-0.85) \\ \mbox{A2 = 152 } \\ \mbox{C = 150 } \end{array} $	A =	= 50					(0.42 - 2.57)	
High riskPregnant women and2A1: L. rhamnosus HN001 $6 \times 10^9$ 15% vs 27%0.51newborn babiesA2: B. animalis ssp lactis $9 \times 10^9$ from35 gw daily for 24 months after birth(0.3-0.85)A2 = 152C = 150C = 150(0.3-0.85)	C=	=44						
35 gw daily for 24 months after birth (0.3–0.85)		ı risk	Pregnant women and newborn babies	7	A1: L. rhamnosus HN001 $6 \times 10^9$ A2: B. animalis ssp lactis $9 \times 10^9$ from	15% vs 27%	0.51	0.51
A2=152 C=150	A1=	= 144			35 gw daily for 24 months after birth		(0.3 - 0.85)	(0.27 - 0.87)
C=150	A2=	=152						
	C=	= 150						

# SAFETY OF PROBIOTIC TREATMENT

No serious adverse effect has been reported in the studies using probiotics in infants and children to treat or prevent allergies. In our intervention, the early adverse symptoms that could be caused by probiotics (abdominal pains, excessive crying, and constipation) were equally common in the probiotic and placebo group.<sup>49</sup> The growth of the children in the groups was exactly the same. The concentrations of hemoglobin at ages 2 and 5 were the same, although at age 6 months those receiving probiotics had signs of iron deficiency.<sup>50</sup>

Infants on probiotics in fact showed some favorable effects of the treatment. They had had less respiratory infection at age 6 to 24 months and had received less frequently antibiotics from birth to 6 month.<sup>49</sup> At age 6 months they more frequently had protective titers against Hemophilus influenzae B than those on placebo.<sup>51</sup> From a mean age of 7 months, 118 healthy infants consumed a formula supplemented with *B. lactis* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* for 7 months. It was well tolerated and safe and normal growth was observed.<sup>52</sup>

### MODE OF ACTION OF PROBIOTICS

A large number of studies describe immunologic effects of probiotics on human cells or on experimental animals. However, in our opinion the majority gives no information relevant to the human in vivo situation. Effects of probiotic bacteria on human cells do not reflect conditions in the intestine, where contact with bacteria takes place only for epithelial cells and for extensions of dendritic cells.<sup>53</sup>

Majamaa and Isolauri inferred that probiotics reduce the inflammation in the intestine.<sup>30</sup> Inflammatory cytokine, tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  content was reduced in the fecal extracts of patients receiving LGG, whereas no change took place in the extracts from controls.<sup>30</sup> In a later study,<sup>32</sup> concentrations of urinary eosinophilic protein-x became lower in 2 groups receiving probiotic treatment and was unchanged in controls.

It has been suggested that probiotics act by reducing the permeability of the intestine.<sup>54</sup> In their double-blind placebocontrolled crossover study, probiotic treatment resulted in a lower ratio of lactulose/mannitol in the urine.<sup>54</sup> We however did not find any change in intestinal permeability during the treatment of infants with eczema with either LGG or a combination of probiotic strains.<sup>55</sup>

We found no difference in the tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  content in the feces of patients receiving either probiotics or placebo.<sup>56</sup> However, LGG treatment resulted in a greater increase in concentration of IgA after a positive CM challenge test of IgE-mediated cow's milk allergic infants than that in controls.<sup>57</sup> In the prevention study, we discovered that high fecal IgA concentrations at age 6 months protected the infant/child from atopic (IgE-associated) diseases by age 2 years. Probiotics led to increased concentrations of inflammatory markers, fecal  $\alpha$ 1-antitrypsin and calprotectin, and tended to augment fecal IgA concentrations.<sup>58</sup> We therefore infer that in the intestine, probiotics may enhance both inflammation and immune defense of the gut.

When we studied the ability of peripheral blood mononuclear cells to secrete various cytokines before and after treatment with probiotics and placebo, we found the secretion of interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN- $\gamma$ ) to be significantly lower in IgEmediated cow's milk allergic infants than in infants without CMA.59 Treatment with LGG resulted in a significant increase in the ability of peripheral blood mononuclear cells to secrete IFN- $\gamma$  among patients with atopic eczema, the same group which benefited clinically from the treatment.<sup>59</sup> The same increase was observed for IFN- $\gamma$  responses to mitogens and staphylococcal enterotoxin B in infants with eczema given L. fermentum VRI 003.60 Interestingly, in our study, the mixture of probiotics acted differently from LGG: Secretion of IL-4 increased significantly in infants with CMA during the intervention with the mixture, whereas LGG did not effect this cvtokine secretion.59

Both in the treatment and prevention study we found evidence that probiotics induce low-grade inflammation. which probably is associated with the healing/protective actions of probiotics. During treatment of eczema with LGG, we found a significant increase in blood concentration of C-reactive protein (CRP) in infants having had a favorable clinical effect, in those with IgE-associated eczema. The LGG treatment affected the serum concentration of IL-6, which was significantly increased in the group with increased CRP. IL-6 may thus induce the secretion of CRP in the liver. The effect of the mixture of probiotics differed; it had no affect on IL-6 levels, but was associated with a significant increase in IL-10.56 In infants with high risk of allergy, the mixture of probiotics was associated with an increase of CRP at age 6 months; they also had higher IL-10 levels. Furthermore, they had higher levels of serum IgA and IgE levels than those given placebo.<sup>61</sup> We, therefore, infer that probiotics induce a low-grade inflammation characterized as an increase in CRP, total IgA, total IgE, and IL-10 levels. These changes closely resemble those seen in helminth infections and are associated with induction of regulatory mechanisms and reduced incidence of allergy.62

Commensal microbiota and their recognition by TLRs are important in host defense and directing specific immune responses of the gut and in development of food allergy in experimental animals.<sup>63,64</sup> Probiotic strains have the ability to adhere to gut epithelial cells, which may express TLRs<sup>65</sup> and stimulate these cells to produce cytokines. Extension of dendritic cells samples the intestinal lumen and functions in the development of immune responses in the gut.<sup>53</sup> These cells may be stimulated by probiotic bacteria. In vitro, isolated myeloid dendritic cells express TLR-2 and may be stimulated by LGG to express inflammatory cytokines.<sup>66</sup> We thus infer that stimulation of innate immunity may be the basis of the observed inflammatory signs and beneficial clinical effects.

# CONCLUSIONS

Studies with probiotics to treat and prevent allergy show promising, although highly variable, results as also discussed in 3 recent reviews.<sup>67-69</sup> Clearly, the major variable

among the studies is the use of different bacterial strains; only results using the same strain and similar set-up are comparable.

We believe that the concept is valid: the intestine of a newborn and also an older infant may be transiently colonized with bacteria given orally. These bacteria have an effect on the immune system of the recipient and also have clinical effects. Probiotics have been effective in the treatment of eczema in infants, although the results are modest. In prevention, we saw the longest lasting results in the subgroup of children born by caesarean section. In that event we can introduce the probiotic to the intestine with low counts of bacteria and higher counts of given strains in the intestine may be reached. However, in all instances the colonization by given strains have been transient.

In attempts to prevent allergy in high-risk infants the results suggest that intervention should start with the mother during pregnancy to make sure that the birth canal of the mother is colonized by probiotics.

Whether both infants and their mothers should continue probiotics after birth is an open question; giving probiotics directly to infants is proven to result in colonization.

Finding the most efficient strain of probiotics is a big challenge. We do not believe in vitro studies can simulate conditions in vivo, although some qualities of probiotic bacteria may be found in those studies. Experimental animals have gut microbial flora, which for example in mice has less than 50% DNA identity with the human microbiota. Therefore, much caution is needed to apply results from experimental animal studies. Even in human experiments, we do not know what type of immune reaction should result from the ingestion of probiotics to prove their effect in allergy treatment and prevention. Furthermore, the immune response to probiotics may be genetically determined and differ in persons with and without allergy proneness.

For more efficient and long-lasting effects, we need more potent and longer lasting stimulation of the mucosal immune system. Maybe the intervention has to continue lifelong; its type has to be changed or added up at intervals. Challenges to find a safe and efficient intervention for the primary prevention of allergies are great, but first steps have been taken.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Bach JF. The effect of infections on susceptibility to autoimmune and allergic diseases. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;347(12):911–920.
- Latvala J, von Hertzen L, Lindholm H, Haahtela T. Trends in prevalence of asthma and allergy in Finnish young men: nationwide study, 1966– 2003. *BMJ*. 2005;330(7501):1186–1187.
- Gerrard JW, Vickers P, Gerrard CD. The familial incidence of allergic disease. Ann Allergy. 1976;36(1):10–15.
- 4. Strachan DP. Hay fever, hygiene, and household size. *BMJ*. 1989; 299(6710):1259–1260.
- Matricardi PM, Rosmini F, Riondino S, Fortini M, Ferrigno L, Rapicetta M, Bonini S. Exposure to foodborne and orofecal microbes versus airborne viruses in relation to atopy and allergic asthma: epidemiological study. *BMJ*. 2000;320(7232):412–417.
- Paunio M, Heinonen OP, Virtanen M, Leinikki P, Patja A, Peltola H. Measles history and atopic diseases: a population-based cross-sectional study. *JAMA*. 2000;283(3):343–346.
- 7. von Mutius E, Braun-Fahrlander C, Schierl R, Riedler J, Ehlermann S, et al. Exposure to endotoxin or other bacterial components might protect

against the development of atopy. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2000;30(9):1230-1234.

- Braun-Fahrlander C, Riedler J, Herz U, Eder W, Waser M, et al. Environmental exposure to endotoxin and its relation to asthma in school-age children. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;347(12):869–877.
- Riedler J, Braun-Fahrlander C, Eder W, Schreuer M, Waser M, et al. Exposure to farming in early life and development of asthma and allergy: a cross-sectional survey. *Lancet*. 2001;358(9288):1129–1133.
- Alm JS, Swartz J, Lilja G, Scheynius A, Pershagen G. Atopy in children of families with an anthroposophic lifestyle. *Lancet.* 1999;353(9163): 1485–1488.
- Mackie RI, Sghir A, Gaskins HR. Developmental microbial ecology of the neonatal gastrointestinal tract. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1999;69(5):1035S– 1045S.
- Ley RE, Peterson DA, Gordon JI. Ecological and evolutionary forces shaping microbial diversity in the human intestine. *Cell.* 2006;124(4): 837–848.
- Blaut M, Clavel T. Metabolic diversity of the intestinal microbiota: implications for health and disease. J Nutr. 2007;137(3 suppl 2):751S– 755S.
- Salminen SJ, Gueimonde M, Isolauri E. Probiotics that modify disease risk. J Nutr. 2005;135(5):1294–1298.
- Gronlund MM, Lehtonen OP, Eerola E, Kero P. Fecal microflora in healthy infants born by different methods of delivery: permanent changes in intestinal flora after cesarean delivery. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1999;28(1):19–25.
- Adlerberth I, Lindberg E, Aberg N, Hesselmar B, Saalman R, Strannegard IL, Wold AE. Reduced enterobacterial and increased staphylococcal colonization of the infantile bowel: an effect of hygienic lifestyle? *Pediatr Res.* 2006;59(1):96–101.
- Salminen S, Gibson GR, McCartney AL, Isolauri E. Influence of mode of delivery on gut microbiota composition in seven year old children. *Gut.* 2004;53(9):1388–1389.
- Tuohy KM, Rouzaud GC, Bruck WM, Gibson GR. Modulation of the human gut microflora towards improved health using prebiotics–assessment of efficacy. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2005;11(1):75–90.
- Brunser O, Figueroa G, Gotteland M, Haschke-Becher E, Magliola C, et al. Effects of probiotic or prebiotic supplemented milk formulas on fecal microbiota composition of infants. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.* 2006;15(3): 368–376.
- Adlerberth I, Jalil F, Carlsson B, Mellander L, Hanson LA, et al. High turnover rate of Escherichia coli strains in the intestinal flora of infants in Pakistan. *Epidemiol Infect.* 1998;121(3):587–598.
- von Hertzen L, Laatikainen T, Pitkanen T, Vlasoff T, Makela MJ, Vartiainen E, Haahtela T. Microbial content of drinking water in Finnish and Russian Karelia - implications for atopy prevalence. *Allergy*. 2007; 62(3):288–292.
- Sepp E, Julge K, Vasar M, Naaber P, Bjorksten B, Mikelsaar M. Intestinal microflora of Estonian and Swedish infants. *Acta Paediatr.* 1997;86(9):956–961.
- Bjorksten B, Sepp E, Julge K, Voor T, Mikelsaar M. Allergy development and the intestinal microflora during the first year of life. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2001;108(4):516–520.
- Kalliomaki M, Kirjavainen P, Eerola E, Kero P, Salminen S, Isolauri E. Distinct patterns of neonatal gut microflora in infants in whom atopy was and was not developing. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2001;107(1): 129–134.
- Sepp E, Julge K, Mikelsaar M, Bjorksten B. Intestinal microbiota and immunoglobulin E responses in 5-year-old Estonian children. *Clin Exp Allergy.* 2005;35(9):1141–1146.
- Suzuki S, Shimojo N, Tajiri Y, Kumemura M, Kohno Y. Differences in the composition of intestinal Bifidobacterium species and the development of allergic diseases in infants in rural Japan. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2007;37(4):506–511.
- Sudo N, Sawamura S, Tanaka K, Aiba Y, Kubo C, Koga Y. The requirement of intestinal bacterial flora for the development of an IgE production system fully susceptible to oral tolerance induction. *J Immu*nol. 1997;159(4):1739–1745.
- Poltorak A, He X, Smirnova I, Liu MY, Van Huffel C, et al. Defective LPS signaling in C3H/HeJ and C57BL/10ScCr mice: mutations in Tlr4 gene. *Science*. 1998;282(5396):2085–2088.

- Berin MC, Zheng Y, Domaradzki M, Li XM, Sampson HA. Role of TLR4 in allergic sensitization to food proteins in mice. *Allergy*. 2006; 61(1):64–71.
- 30. Majamaa H, Isolauri E. Probiotics: a novel approach in the management of food allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 1997;99(2):179–185.
- Severity scoring of atopic dermatitis: the SCORAD index. Consensus Report of the European Task Force on Atopic Dermatitis. *Dermatology*. 1993;186(1):23–31.
- Isolauri E, Arvola T, Sutas Y, Moilanen E, Salminen S. Probiotics in the management of atopic eczema. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2000;30(11):1604– 1610.
- Rosenfeldt V, Benfeldt E, Nielsen SD, Michaelsen KF, Jeppesen DL, Valerius NH, Paerregaard A. Effect of probiotic Lactobacillus strains in children with atopic dermatitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2003;111(2): 389–395.
- Weston S, Halbert A, Richmond P, Prescott SL. Effects of probiotics on atopic dermatitis: a randomised controlled trial. *Arch Dis Child*. 2005; 90(9):892–897.
- Viljanen M, Savilahti E, Haahtela T, Juntunen-Backman K, Korpela R, et al. Probiotics in the treatment of atopic eczema/dermatitis syndrome in infants: a double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Allergy*. 2005;60(4): 494–500.
- Brouwer ML, Wolt-Plompen SA, Dubois AE, van der Heide S, Jansen DF, et al. No effects of probiotics on atopic dermatitis in infancy: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2006;36(7):899–906.
- Gruber C, Wendt M, Sulser C, Lau S, Kulig M, et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG as treatment of atopic dermatitis in infancy. *Allergy*. 2007;62(11):1270–1276.
- Folster-Holst R, Muller F, Schnopp N, Abeck D, Kreiselmaier I, et al. Prospective, randomized controlled trial on Lactobacillus rhamnosus in infants with moderate to severe atopic dermatitis. *Br J Dermatol.* 2006;155(6):1256–1261.
- 39. Sistek D, Kelly R, Wickens K, Stanley T, Fitzharris P, Crane J. Is the effect of probiotics on atopic dermatitis confined to food sensitized children? *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2006;36(5):629–633.
- Kalliomaki M, Salminen S, Arvilommi H, Kero P, Koskinen P, Isolauri E. Probiotics in primary prevention of atopic disease: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2001;357(9262):1076–1079.
- Kalliomaki M, Salminen S, Poussa T, Arvilommi H, Isolauri E. Probiotics and prevention of atopic disease: 4-year follow-up of a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2003;361(9372):1869–1871.
- Kalliomaki M, Salminen S, Poussa T, Isolauri E. Probiotics during the first 7 years of life: a cumulative risk reduction of eczema in a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2007; 119(4):1019–1021.
- 43. Kopp MV, Goldstein M, Dietschek A, Sofke J, Heinzmann A, Urbanek R. Lactobacillus GG has in vitro effects on enhanced interleukin-10 and interferon-gamma release of mononuclear cells but no in vivo effects in supplemented mothers and their neonates. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2008;38(4): 602–610.
- 44. Taylor AL, Dunstan JA, Prescott SL. Probiotic supplementation for the first 6 months of life fails to reduce the risk of atopic dermatitis and increases the risk of allergen sensitization in high-risk children: A randomized controlled trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2007;119(1):184– 191.
- Abrahamsson TR, Jakobsson T, Bottcher MF, Fredrikson M, Jenmalm MC, Bjorksten B, Oldaeus G. Probiotics in prevention of IgE-associated eczema: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2007;119(5):1174–1180.
- 46. Wickens K, Black PN, Stanley TV, Mitchell E, Fitzharris P, et al. A differential effect of 2 probiotics in the prevention of eczema and atopy: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2008;122(4):788–794.
- 47. Kukkonen K, Savilahti E, Haahtela T, Juntunen-Backman K, Korpela R, et al. Probiotics and prebiotic galacto-oligosaccharides in the prevention of allergic diseases: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2007;119(1):192–198.
- Kuitunen M, Kukkonen K, Juntunen-Backman K, Korpela R, Poussa T, et al. Probiotics prevent IgE-associated allergy until age 5 years in cesarean-delivered children but not in the total cohort. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2009;123(2):335–341.

- 49. Kukkonen K, Savilahti E, Haahtela T, Juntunen-Backman K, Korpela R, et al. Long-term safety and impact on infection rates of postnatal probiotic and prebiotic (synbiotic) treatment: randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(1):8–12.
- 50. Kuitunen M, Kukkonen K, Savilahti E. Pro- and prebiotic supplementation induces a transient reduction in hemoglobin concentration in infants. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. In press.
- Kukkonen K, Nieminen T, Poussa T, Savilahti E, Kuitunen M. Effect of probiotics on vaccine antibody responses in infancy–a randomized placebo-controlled double-blind trial. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* 2006; 17(6):416–421.
- Saavedra JM, Abi-Hanna A, Moore N, Yolken RH. Long-term consumption of infant formulas containing live probiotic bacteria: tolerance and safety. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2004;79(2):261–267.
- Mowat AM. Anatomical basis of tolerance and immunity to intestinal antigens. Nat Rev Immunol. 2003;3(4):331–341.
- Rosenfeldt V, Benfeldt E, Valerius NH, Paerregaard A, Michaelsen KF. Effect of probiotics on gastrointestinal symptoms and small intestinal permeability in children with atopic dermatitis. *J Pediatr.* 2004;145(5): 612–616.
- Kuitunen M, Viljanen M, Savilahti E. Probiotics and intestinal permeability in infants with cow's milk allergy and eczema. *Int J Probiotics Prebiotics*. 2007;2(4):239–244.
- Viljanen M, Pohjavuori E, Haahtela T, Korpela R, Kuitunen M, et al. Induction of inflammation as a possible mechanism of probiotic effect in atopic eczema-dermatitis syndrome. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2005; 115(6):1254–1259.
- Viljanen M, Kuitunen M, Haahtela T, Juntunen-Backman K, Korpela R, Savilahti E. Probiotic effects on faecal inflammatory markers and on faecal IgA in food allergic atopic eczema/dermatitis syndrome infants. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* 2005;16(1):65–71.
- Kukkonen K, Kuitunen M, Haahtela T, Korpela R, Poussa T, Savilahti E. High intestinal IgA indicates reduced risk for IgE-associated allergic disease. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* In press.
- Pohjavuori E, Viljanen M, Korpela R, Kuitunen M, Tiittanen M, Vaarala O, Savilahti E. Lactobacillus GG effect in increasing IFN-gamma production in infants with cow's milk allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2004;114(1):131–136.
- Prescott SL, Dunstan JA, Hale J, Breckler L, Lehmann H, Weston S, Richmond P. Clinical effects of probiotics are associated with increased interferon-gamma responses in very young children with atopic dermatitis. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2005;35(12):1557–1564.
- Marschan E, Kuitunen M, Kukkonen K, Poussa T, Sarnesto A, et al. Probiotics in infancy induce protective immune profiles that are characteristic for chronic low-grade inflammation. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2008; 38(4):611–618.
- Wilson MS, Taylor MD, Balic A, Finney CA, Lamb JR, Maizels RM. Suppression of allergic airway inflammation by helminth-induced regulatory T cells. *J Exp Med.* 2005;202(9):1199–1212.
- Rakoff-Nahoum S, Paglino J, Eslami-Varzaneh F, Edberg S, Medzhitov R. Recognition of commensal microflora by toll-like receptors is required for intestinal homeostasis. *Cell*. 2004;118(2):229–241.
- Bashir ME, Louie S, Shi HN, Nagler-Anderson C. Toll-like receptor 4 signaling by intestinal microbes influences susceptibility to food allergy. *J Immunol.* 2004;172(11):6978–6987.
- 65. Cario E. Bacterial interactions with cells of the intestinal mucosa: Toll-like receptors and NOD2. *Gut.* 2005;54(8):1182–1193.
- 66. Veckman V, Miettinen M, Matikainen S, Lande R, Giacomini E, Coccia EM, Julkunen I. Lactobacilli and streptococci induce inflammatory chemokine production in human macrophages that stimulates Th1 cell chemotaxis. *J Leukoc Biol.* 2003;74(3):395–402.
- Boyle RJ, Bath-Hextall FJ, Leonardi-Bee J, Murrell DF, Tang ML. Probiotics for treating eczema. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2008;(4): CD006135.
- Lee J, Seto D, Bielory L. Meta-analysis of clinical trials of probiotics for prevention and treatment of pediatric atopic dermatitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2008;121(1):116–121.e11.
- Michail SK, Stolfi A, Johnson T, Onady GM. Efficacy of probiotics in the treatment of pediatric atopic dermatitis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2008;101(5): 508–516.