Published in final edited form as: *Bioconjug Chem.* 2015 May 20; 26(5): 802–806. doi:10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.5b00101.

Site-Specific Glycoconjugation of Protein via Bioorthogonal Tetrazine Cycloaddition with a Genetically Encoded *trans*-Cyclooctene or Bicyclononyne

Takuya Machida[†], Kathrin Lang[‡], Lin Xue[§], Jason W. Chin[⊥], and Nicolas Winssinger^{*,†}

[†]Department of Organic Chemistry, NCCR Chemical Biology, University of Geneva, 30 quai Ernest Ansermet, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland [‡]Technical University Munich, Institute for Advanced Study, Department of Chemistry, 4 Lichtenbergstraße, 85748 Garching, Germany [§]Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Institut des sciences et ingénierie chimiques, NCCR Chemical Biology, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland [⊥]Medical Research Council Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Francis Crick Avenue, Cambridge CB2 0GH, United Kingdom

Graphical Abstract



Abstract

Efficient access to proteins modified site-specifically with glycans is important in glycobiology and for therapeutic applications. Herein, we report a biocompatible protein glycoconjugation by inverse demand Diels–Alder reaction between tetrazine and *trans*-cyclooctene. Tetrazine functionalized glycans were obtained in one step by CuAAC (Cu-catalyzed alkyne azide cycloaddition) between glycosyl azide and an alkyne-tetrazine adduct. Site-specific glycoconjugation was performed chemoselectively on a target protein in which a *trans*-

This is an open access article published under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the author and source are cited.

^{*}**Corresponding Author**Nicolas.Winssinger@unige.ch. Phone: +41 22 379 61 05. Fax: +41 22 379 32 15 . Supporting Information

Details on the experimental protocols and characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

cyclooctene derivatized lysine was genetically encoded. Glycoconjugation proceeded to completion on purified protein and was shown to be selective for the target protein in *E. coli*.

Protein glycosylation constitutes an important post-translational modification and the glycans of glycoproteins have been associated with numerous biological processes.¹ For instance, cell surface glycoproteins are important in cellular recognition and have been implicated in embryonic development, lymphocyte trafficking, and cancer metastasis,^{2–4} and there is resurging interest in glycoconjugates as therapeutics.⁵ Accordingly, there is a strong interest in technologies to access homogeneous glycoconjugates and several methods have been reported to derivatize protein with functionalized glycan (Figure 1). $^{6-8}$ Davis and coworkers pioneered the use of glycosylselenylsufide⁹ and glycosyl thiols¹⁰ for glycoconjugation to cysteine residues on a target protein. A free radical hydrothiolation using glycosyl thiol and a target protein containing an alkene (such as homoallyl glycine) was also reported.¹¹ More recently, the CuAAC was harnessed to conjugate glycodendrons to a capsid protein genetically engineered to contain a homopropargyl glycine.¹² Alternatively, a tyrosine-selective conjugation was used to introduce an alkyne into a target protein that was subsequently coupled to an azide-derivatized glycan via CuAAC.¹³ In parallel, a chemoenzymatic method has also been employed to convert a cysteine residue within a defined protein sequence to obtain an aldehyde that was subsequently conjugated to an aminooxy glycan.¹⁴ However, current technologies have been restricted to purified proteins. Performing a selective glycoconjugation in more complex systems (lysates or in cellulo) would require a bioorthogonal conjugation without toxic reagent that proceeds at a rate compatible with low or sub μ M concentration of target protein. The recent development of the inverse demand cycloaddition of a tetrazine with strained alkenes and alkynes fits these requirements.^{15–19} Furthermore, progress in genetic encoding of unnatural amino acid through genetic code expansion²⁰⁻²² has now yielded an aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase/tRNA pair to introduce strained alkenes and alkynes.^{23–25} The fast kinetics and bioorthogonality of the tetrazine-strained alkene reaction make it ideal for live cell experiments. Herein we report a straightforward method to access tetrazine glycans and demonstrate their conjugation proteins containing genetically encoded unnatural amino acids.

To be broadly applicable, the synthesis of tetrazine glycan conjugates would ideally be achieved in a few steps directly from native (oligo)sacharides. Recently, Shoda reported a remarkable reaction to selectively activate the anomeric position of unprotected oligosaccharide providing simple and practical access to glycans with an azide at the anomeric position.²⁶ Leveraging on this powerful reaction, we sought to conjugate a tetrazine via a CuAAC reaction to the glycans of interest. Surprisingly, there are no precedents for the combined use of these two powerful conjugation technologies (CuAAC/ tetrazine cycloaddition).²⁷ To evaluate the potential of the reaction we used alkyne **2**, obtained by coupling of propargyl amine with commercially available tetrazine under the action of sodium ascorbate and copper, we started our investigation using a source of Cu(I), performing the reaction under oxygen-free conditions. As shown in Figure 2, the reaction proceeded smoothly with glucosyl azide affording the desired product in 81% isolated yield (Entry 1). However, applying the same conditions to oligosaccharides proved

more problematic with significant formation of side products arising from oxidative degradation (entry 2, iododerivative **3b** and homodimer **3c**). We thus turned to the reductive conditions using $CuSO_4$ /sodium ascorbate. Controlling the amounts of copper and sodium ascorbate was critical to suppress tetrazine reduction (entry 3 vs 4). Conditions C (Figure 2) were found to yield the desired cycloaddition without detectable tetrazine degradation, affording the disaccharide–tetrazine adduct in 76% isolated yield.

The practicality of these conditions coupled to the expediency led us to explore these conditions with a broader set of substrates (Table 1). Glycosyl azides **1** were prepared from native carbohydrates using 2-chloro-1,3-dimethylimidazolium chloride (DMC) and sodium azide as previously reported.²⁶ Next, the CuAAC reaction according to the optimized procedure afforded the cycloaddition adduct in 64–80% isolated yield. Gratifyingly, performing the reaction at lower glycan concentration (10–30 mM, entries 10–12 vs 200 mM, entries 1–9) still afforded useful yield of the desired product after HPLC purification (required based on the polarity of the product formed).

We next assessed the reactivity of the glycan–tetrazine conjugate in reaction with *trans*cyclooctene (TCO). It has been shown that subtle change in the steric and electronic nature of the tetrazine can have notable impact on the reaction rate.¹⁸ Using Cy-3 labeled glucosamine-tetrazine conjugate (**3-GluNAc-Cy3**, see SI for full experimental details), we calculated the kinetics of cycloaddition using second-order and pseudo-first-order conditions, measuring the change in fluorescence over time (1 μ M of the glycan and 1 or 10 equiv of TCO, respectively). A second-order rate constant of 8649 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ was calculated which is consistent with previous analysis of related structures.¹⁸

Site-Specific Protein Glycoconjugation

We then evaluated the suitability of this chemistry to achieve site-selective glycan conjugation. First, purified sfGFP-TCOK and sfGFP-BocK (both proteins were prepared by incorporation of unnatural amino acid bearing TCO and Boc by *Mb*PylRS/tRNA_{CUA} pair into sfGFP overexpressed in *E. coli*)²⁴ at 13.5 μ M were incubated with **3-GluNAc-Cy3** (10 equiv) for 12 h in Tris buffer at 37 °C. As controls, the same reaction was performed with sfGFP-BocK and in the absence of tetrazine **3-GluNAc-Cy3**. As shown in Figure 3, SDS-PAGE analysis of the conjugation reaction showed a strong fluorescent band corresponding to the conjugation of sfGFP-TCOK with tetrazine–glycan adduct (lane 1) but not in the controls (lanes 2–4). Analysis of the crude reaction mixture by MALDI-TOF showed a complete conversion with a mass gain corresponding the cycloaddition product and N₂ extrusion. Considering the rate constant of the TCO–tetrazine conjugation, these conditions are very forceful; however, they illustrate the high chemoselectivity of the reaction and stability of the product (no degradation of the product is observed after 12 h).

We next investigated the kinetics of the reaction with genetically encoded bicyclononyne (sfGFP-BCNK, prepared according to the same procedure as sfGFP-TCOK).²⁴ Tetrazine conjugation with bicyclononyne²⁹ was reported to be 10–15 times slower than with TCO²⁴ and should provide a more stringent test for the reactivity of tetrazine conjugates **3**. As shown in Table 2, conjugation of **3-GluNAc-Cy3** with sfGFP-BCNK at the same

concentrations as used in sfGFP-TCOK afforded the desired glycoconjugation after 10 min (entry 1, reactions were quenched with 100 equiv of TCO). Reducing protein concentration to 1 μ M or 100 nM and glycan equivalence to 5 equiv still afforded the desired glycoconjugates (entry 2–4). At 100 nM concentration of sfGFP-BCNK (entry 4), traces of starting material are still present after 10 min indicating that these conditions approach the limit of reactivity. BCN is known to also undergo clycoaddition with azide-functionalized substrates, albeit with slower rates than with tetrazine. To compare the reactivity of the two conjugation methods, sfGFP-BCNK was reacted with glucosyl azide **1-GluNAc-Cy3** under the same forceful conditions as used in entry 1, namely, 13.5 μ M of protein with 10 equiv of glycan. After 10 min, the reaction was quenched with tetrazine **2** (Entry 5) yielding the quenched product without notable glycoconjugation. Extending the reaction to 3 h afforded ca. 30% of the conjugation product. Thus, while glycoconjugation with glycosyl azide **1** with genetically BCN proteins is possible over extended time, the data in Table 2 clearly demonstrates the superiority of glycosyl terazine **3** over glycosyl azide **1** in glycoconjugations.

We then investigated the specificity of the glycoconjugation in *E. coli* expressing sfGFP-TCOK. Cells were pelleted, washed with PBS to remove excess TCOK present in the medium, and incubated with **3-GluNAc-Cy3** (25 μ M; the Cy-3 is not sulfated and cell permeable³⁰) for 8 h at 37 °C. As controls, the same reaction was performed on *E. coli* expressing sfGFP-BocK and in the absence of tetrazine **3-GluNAc-Cy3**. After the reaction, lysis buffer (LDS) was added, and the crude mixture was analyzed by SDS-PAGE (silver stain and fluorescence scan for Cy-3) showing that a single protein migrating at the molecular weight of GFP underwent conjugation (lane 1, Figure 4), whereas the control reaction showed no conjugation (lanes 2–4). Taken together, the data is consistent with a highly specific glycoconjugation of sfGFP-TCOK (lane5) within *E. coli*.

In summary, we have developed a simple method to rapidly access tetrazine functionalized glycans from native carbohydrates. The work reported establishes the compatibility of CuAAC with inverse electron demand Diels–Alder reaction. It is noteworthy that tetrazine adduct can be prepared with complex glycans (hexasaccharide) and the more challenging sialyl-type oligosaccharides. Rapid, site-specific glycoconjugation was achieved using genetically encoded *trans*-cyclooctene (TCO) and bicyclononyne (BCN) modified unnatural amino acids. The reaction was shown to be suitable for performing glycoconjugation in *E. coli*. We anticipate that the fast kinetics of the tetrazine cycloaddition coupled to the bioorthogonality of this reaction will facilitate the preparation of tailored glycoproteins.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation and NCCR Chemical Biology.

REFERENCES

- Varki A. Biological roles of oligosaccharides: all of the theories are correct. Glycobiology. 1993; 3:97–130. [PubMed: 8490246]
- (2). Borsig L, Wong R, Hynes RO, Varki NM, Varki A. Synergistic effects of L- and P-selectin in facilitating tumor metastasis can involve non-mucin ligands and implicate leukocytes as enhancers of metastasis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2002; 99:2193–2198. [PubMed: 11854515]
- (3). Crocker PR, Paulson JC, Varki A. Siglecs and their roles in the immune system. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2007; 7:255–266. [PubMed: 17380156]
- (4). Hudak JE, Canham SM, Bertozzi CR. Glycocalyx engineering reveals a Siglec-based mechanism for NK cell immunoevasion. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2014; 10:69–75. [PubMed: 24292068]
- (5). Hudak JE, Bertozzi CR. Glycotherapy: new advances inspire a reemergence of glycans in medicine. Chem. Biol. 21:16–37. [PubMed: 24269151]
- (6). Adamo R, Nilo A, Castagner B, Boutureira O, Berti F, Bernardes GJL. Synthetically defined glycoprotein vaccines: current status and future directions. Chem. Sci. 2013; 4:2995–3008. [PubMed: 25893089]
- (7). Chalker JM, Bernardes GJL, Davis BG. A "tag-and-modify" approach to site-selective protein modification. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011; 44:730–741. [PubMed: 21563755]
- (8). Gamblin DP, Scanlan EM, Davis BG. Glycoprotein synthesis: an update. Chem. Rev. 2008; 109:131–163. [PubMed: 19093879]
- (9). Gamblin DP, Garnier P, van Kasteren S, Oldham NJ, Fairbanks AJ, Davis BG. Glyco-SeS: Selenenylsulfide-mediated protein glycoconjugation - A new strategy in post-translational modification. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004; 43:828–833.
- (10). Bernardes GJL, Gamblin DP, Davis BG. The direct formation of glycosyl thiols from reducing sugars allows one-pot protein glycoconjugation. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006; 45:4007–4011.
- (11). Floyd N, Vijayakrishnan B, Koeppe AR, Davis BG. Thiyl glycosylation of olefinic proteins: Slinked glycoconjugate synthesis. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009; 48:7798–7802.
- (12). Ribeiro-Viana R, Sánchez-Navarro M, Luczkowiak J, Koeppe JR, Delgado R, Rojo J, Davis BG. Virus-like glycodendrinanoparticles displaying quasi-equivalent nested polyvalency upon glycoprotein platforms potently block viral infection. Nat. Commun. 2012; 3:1303. [PubMed: 23250433]
- (13). Hu Q-Y, Allan M, Adamo R, Quinn D, Zhai H, Wu G, Clark K, Zhou J, Ortiz S, Wang B, et al. Synthesis of a well-defined glycoconjugate vaccine by a tyrosine-selective conjugation strategy. Chem. Sci. 2013; 4:3827–3832.
- (14). Smith EL, Giddens JP, Iavarone AT, Godula K, Wang L-X, Bertozzi CR. Chemoenzymatic Fc glycosylation via engineered aldehyde tags. Bioconjugate Chem. 2014; 25:788–795.
- (15). Blackman ML, Royzen M, Fox JM. Tetrazine ligation: Fast bioconjugation based on inverseelectron-demand Diels-Alder reactivity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:13518–13519. [PubMed: 18798613]
- (16). Devaraj NK, Weissleder R. Biomedical applications of tetrazine cycloadditions. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011; 44:816–827. [PubMed: 21627112]
- (17). Devaraj NK, Weissleder R, Hilderbrand SA. Tetrazine-based cycloadditions: application to pretargeted live cell imaging. Bioconjugate Chem. 2008; 19:2297–2299.
- (18). Karver MR, Weissleder R, Hilderbrand SA. Synthesis and evaluation of a series of 1,2,4,5tetrazines for bioorthogonal conjugation. Bioconjugate Chem. 2011; 22:2263–2270.
- (19). Liu F, Liang Y, Houk KN. Theoretical elucidation of the origins of substituent and strain effects on the rates of Diels–Alder reactions of 1,2,4,5-tetrazines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014; 136:11483– 11493. [PubMed: 25041719]
- (20). Chin JW, Cropp TA, Anderson JC, Mukherji M, Zhang ZW, Schultz PG. An expanded eukaryotic genetic code. Science. 2003; 301:964–967. [PubMed: 12920298]
- (21). Wang L, Brock A, Herberich B, Schultz PG. Expanding the genetic code of Escherichia coli. Science. 2001; 292:498–500. [PubMed: 11313494]

Page 6

- (22). Lang K, Chin JW. Cellular incorporation of unnatural amino acids and bioorthogonal labeling of proteins. Chem. Rev. 2014; 114:4764–4806. [PubMed: 24655057]
- (23). Lang K, Davis L, Torres-Kolbus J, Chou C, Deiters A, Chin JW. Genetically encoded norbornene directs site-specific cellular protein labelling via a rapid bioorthogonal reaction. Nat. Chem. 2012; 4:298–304. [PubMed: 22437715]
- (24). Lang K, Davis L, Wallace S, Mahesh M, Cox DJ, Blackman ML, Fox JM, Chin JW. Genetic encoding of bicyclononynes and trans-cyclooctenes for site-specific protein labeling in vitro and in live mammalian cells via rapid fluorogenic Diels–Alder reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012; 134:10317–10320. [PubMed: 22694658]
- (25). Wang KH, Sachdeva A, Cox DJ, Wilf NW, Lang K, Wallace S, Mehl RA, Chin JW. Optimized orthogonal translation of unnatural amino acids enables spontaneous protein double-labelling and FRET. Nat. Chem. 2014; 6:393–403. [PubMed: 24755590]
- (26). Tanaka T, Nagai H, Noguchi M, Kobayashi A, Shoda S.-i. One-step conversion of unprotected sugars to [small beta]-glycosyl azides using 2-chloroimidazolinium salt in aqueous solution. Chem. Commun. 2009:3378–3379.
- (27). For an example combining a strained alkyne and a strained alkene for conjugation to azide and tetrazine respectively, see: Neves AA, Stöckmann H, Wainman YA, Kuo JCH, Fawcett S, Leeper FJ, Brindle KM. Imaging cell surface glycosylation in vivo using "double click" chemistry. Bioconjugate Chem. 2013; 24:934–941.
- (28). Yang J, Karver MR, Li WL, Sahu S, Devaraj NK. Metal-catalyzed one-pot synthesis of tetrazines directly from aliphatic nitriles and hydrazine. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012; 51:5222–5225.
- (29). Dommerholt J, Schmidt S, Temming R, Hendriks LJA, Rutjes FPJT, van Hest JCM, Lefeber DJ, Friedl P, van Delft FL. Readily accessible bicyclononynes for bioorthogonal labeling and threedimensional imaging of living cells. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010; 49:9422–9425.
- (30). Zambaldo C, Sadhu KK, Karthikeyan G, Barluenga S, Daguer JP, Winssinger N. Selective affinity-based probe for oncogenic kinases suitable for live cell imaging. Chem. Sci. 2013; 4:2088–2092.

Europe PMC Funders Author Manuscripts



Figure 1. Schematic representation of glycoconjugation technologies.



A: Cul (200 mM), TBTA (10 mM), DMF
B: CuSO₄ (250 mM), TBTA (2.5 mM), NaAsc (250 mM) DMF/t-BuOH/H₂O=1/1/1, 0 °C, 5 h
C: CuSO₄ (12 mM), TBTA (12 mM), NaAsc (120 mM)

DMF/t-BuOH/H₂O=1/1/1, 0 °C, 5 h

3a: R=H, X=tetrazine
b: I tetrazine
c: dimer tetrazine
d: H, cyanide

Entry	Glycan (mM)	condition	3a (%; isolated)	3a:3b:3c:3d
1	D-Glucose (100)	А	81	1:0:0:0
2	Galb1-4Glc (100)	Α	23	5:3:3:0
3	Glca1-4Glc (25)	В	30	3:0:0:7
4	Glca1-4Glc (25)	С	76	1:0:0:0

Figure 2.

CuAAC conjugation of glycosyl azide (1) with alkynetetrazine (2).



Figure 3.

Glycoconjugation of **3-GluNAc-Cy3** to purified sfGFPTCOK or purified sfGFP-BocK. Bottom left panel: SDS-PAGE analysis of reactions; lower right panel: MALDI analysis of the reaction from lane 1.



Silver stain

CY3 fluorescence

Figure 4.

Glycoconjugation of **3-GluNAc-Cy3** in *E. coli*. SDS-PAGE analysis of the reaction with silver staining (left) and fluorescence scanning (Cy3, right).

 Table 1

 CuAAcC Conjugation of Alkyne-Tetrazine (2) with a Panel of Glycans



Entry	Glycan (mM)		base	1 [%]	anomeric configuration	3 [%]
1	4a : D-Glucose (200)	—	Et ₃ N	98	β	80
2	4b : D-Mannose (200)	•-	Et ₃ N	85	a	76
3	4c : D-Galactose (200)	<u> </u>	Et ₃ N	53 ^a	β	50
4	4d : L-Fucose (200)	_	Et ₃ N	80 ^a	β	72
5	4e : N-Acetylglucosamine (200)	-	2,6-lutidine	97	β	70
6	4f: D-Glucuronic acid (200)		Et ₃ N	50	β	57
7	4g : Glca1-4Glc (200)	• ⁴ •••	Et ₃ N	74	β	76
8	4h : Glca1-4Glc (200)	β4	Et ₃ N	82	β	72
9	4i : Galb1-4Glc (200)	<u>α4</u>	Et ₃ N	99	β	64

Entry	Glycan (mM)		base	1 [%]	anomeric configuration	3 [%]
10	4j : Fuca1-2Galb1-3[Fuca1-4] GlcNAcb1-3Galb1-4Glc (10)		Et ₃ N	78	β	20
11	4k : Neu5Aca2-3Galb1-4Glc (30)	α ^{α3} β ⁴	Et ₃ N	80	β	21
12	41: Neu5Aca2-6Galb1-4Glc (30)		Et ₃ N	91	β	17

Table 2

Glycoconjugation of sfGFP



glycan, 10 min, 37 °C; quench (100 eq of TCO or tetrazine 2) MS analysis

Entry	sfGFP(µM)	Glycan (equivalents)	MALDI
1	13.5	3-GluNAc-Cy3 (10 eq)	4 404/00 Anno 1000 4000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000
2	1.0	Here N and	bofura reaction MVF; 2871.4 2006: 2006: 2006: 2006: 2009
3	1.0	43	before reaction Minor standing Minor Standing Minor 2001.4 (Intercenting Mi
4	1.0	4 ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• •	Aufors reaction Mer: 28015.4 2466 2000 2000 3000 3000
5	13.5	CY3 1-GluNAc-Cy3 (10 eq)	before reaction MW: 22015.4 (guerched product)