



Citation: Niedra H, Rogoza O, Saksis R, Peculis R, Halilova A, Gerina A, et al. (2025) Transcriptomic profiling of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: dysregulation of WNT, MAPK, P13K, neddylation pathways and potential non-invasive biomarkers. PLoS One 20(6): e0325672. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0325672

Editor: Xianmin Zhu, ShanghaiTech University, CHINA

Received: December 20, 2024

Accepted: May 17, 2025

Published: June 16, 2025

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0325672</u>

Copyright: © 2025 Niedra et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License,

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Transcriptomic profiling of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: dysregulation of WNT, MAPK, PI3K, neddylation pathways and potential non-invasive biomarkers

Helvijs Niedra^{®1}^e, Olesja Rogoza¹^e, Rihards Saksis¹, Raitis Peculis¹, Anzela Halilova¹, Aija Gerina², Sofija Vilisova², Natalja Senterjakova², Aldis Pukitis², Ignacio Ruz-Caracuel³, Julie Earl⁴, Georgina Kolnikova⁵, Peter Dubovan^{6,7}, Miroslav Tomas^{6,7}, Peter Makovicky⁷, Maria Urbanova⁷, Bozena Smolkova^{®7}, Eythimios Koniaris^{®8}, Ioanna Aggelioudaki⁹, Agapi Kataki¹⁰, Vita Rovite^{1*}

1 Latvian Biomedical Research and Study Centre, Ratsupites Str, Riga, Latvia, 2 Pauls Stradins Clinical University Hospital, Pilsonu Str, Riga, Latvia, 3 Department of Pathology, Ramón y Cajal University Hospital, Ctra. Colmenar Viejo, Madrid, Spain, 4 Ramón y Cajal Health Research Institute (IRYCIS), Ramón y Cajal University Hospital, Ctra. Colmenar Viejo, Madrid, CIBERONC, Spain, 5 Department of Pathology, National Cancer Institute, Klenová, Bratislava, Slovak Republic, 6 Department of Surgical Oncology of Slovak Medical University in Bratislava, National Cancer Institute, Klenová, Bratislava, National Cancer Institute, Klenová, Bratislava, Slovak Republic, 7 Biomedical Research Center, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dúbravská cesta, Bratislava, Slovak Republic, 8 Department of Pathology, Hippokration General Hospital of Athens, Vasilissis Sofias, Athens, Greece, 9 Second Department of Surgery, Hippokration General Hospital of Athens, Vasilissis Sofias, Athens, Greece

These authors contributed equally to this study * vita.rovite@biomed.lu.lv

Abstract

The study aimed to identify altered signaling pathways and potential non-invasive biomarkers for pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PanNETs) through transcriptomic profiling of tumor tissues. The analysis encompassed samples from non-functional PanNETs (NF-PanNETs), insulinomas, and tumor-adjacent pancreatic tissues (TAPT). In the differential expression analysis comparing PanNETs and TAPTs, we identified 1,210 differentially expressed genes at a false discovery rate significance threshold of < 0.05 and with Log2FoldChange values of > 0.5 and <-0.5. Further pathway enrichment analysis revealed a multitude of overrepresented signaling pathways related to cell proliferation, survival, and tumorigenesis. Significant findings included the Beta-catenin-independent and TCF-dependent WNT signaling pathways, MAPK1/MAPK3 signaling, and terms associated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling. Among the list of DEGs, we also identified 28 upregulated genes encoding cell surface proteins and 24 upregulated genes encoding cancer-associated secretome proteins. Since the proteins of these genes are found in the bloodstream, there is potential for further testing of these markers as biomarkers for liquid biopsy assays. Overall, these findings underscore the promise of transcriptomic landscape analysis



which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data availability statement: Salmon transcript count data and raw sequencing data for each sample included in this study are available at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository under the accession no.: GSE281039. Any additional datasets and source code used to generate figures displayed within the paper are listed in <u>Supplementary</u> material.

Funding: This research was supported by the European Regional Development Fund project "Establishing an algorithm for the early diagnosis and follow-up of patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors – NExT" project (1.1.1.5/ERANET/20/03) and the EUs Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant No. 857381/VISION). Partners from Slovakia were supported by the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Slovakia (NExT-0711) and the Slovak Research and Development Agency (APVV-21-0197, APVV-20-0143). Partners from Greece received funding from the TRANSCAN-2 program ERA-NET JTC 2017 "Translational research on rare cancers" within the project NExT (NKUA): Ministry of Education, Research and Religious Affairs - General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Greece (T9EPA3-00012). Lastly, during the manuscript preparation and review process, Rihards Saksis was supported by the project "Strengthening of the capacity of doctoral studies at the University of Latvia within the framework of the new doctoral model". identification No.8.2.2.0/20/I/006. During the study Helvijs Niedra was supported within the framework of the European Union's Recovery and Resilience Mechanism project No.5.2.1.1.i.0/2/24/I/CFLA/001 "Consolidation of the Latvian Institute of Organic Synthesis and the Latvian Biomedical Research and Study Centre" (Grant subsection identifier: ANM_K_DG_07).

Competing interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

in identifying PanNET-specific non-invasive biomarkers and uncovering potential therapeutic targets.

Introduction

Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PanNETs) are a heterogeneous group of neoplasms that arise from the neuroendocrine cells of the pancreas, demonstrating various clinical manifestations that contribute to a significantly increased risk of mortality [1,2]. Hormonally, PanNETs are divided into hormonally active and non-functional (NF-PanNETs), with the majority of tumors (at least 70%) belonging to the NF-PanNET category [3,4]. Histologically, PanNETs are classified as well-differentiated tumors. Based on the Ki-67 and mitotic rate, PanNETs can be subdivided into three tiers - grade 1 (G1), grade 2 (G2), and grade 3 (G3), with G3 showing the highest proliferative capacity [5]. Epidemiologically PanNETs are rare, with an approximate annual incidence of 1 per 100'000 [6]; yet they present a major healthcare challenge as in up to 21–65% of cases the disease presents with metastatic involvement [6]. The median overall survival for patients with PanNETs is 68 months [7]. This is further aggravated by the lack of treatment options for patients with unresectable PanNETs [2].

Similar to other cancers, surgical resection continues to offer the most favorable clinical outcomes for patients with PanNETs [3,8,9]. For unresectable and recurring cases the first-line treatment involves the administration of somatostatin analogs (SSAs) as well as targeted treatment agents (Everolimus and Sunitinib) [10,11]. This raises the need for early detection of recurrence after curative resection (occurring in 20% of patients) and expanded medical treatment options for unresectable and recurrent cases [12].

The currently used serum biomarkers (chromogranin A, neuron-specific enolase, and pancreatic peptide) are non-specific for PanNETs with limited sensitivity and specificity. As such, the diagnosis, prognosis, and optimal clinical management strategy for these tumors remain challenging [2]. NF-PanNETs typically grow asymptomatically because the amount of produced hormones do not cause clinically relevant symptoms. As a result, the majority of NF-PanNETs are frequently misdiagnosed or diagnosed in advanced stages [9].

The molecular pathogenesis and alterations of sporadic PanNETs are still only partially understood, despite significant achievements in the past decade. Sequencing studies have shown that PanNETs usually contain mutations in genes related to mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling, including *PTEN*, *TSC2*, *PIK3CA*, and *MEN1*, which is associated with multiple neuroendocrine neoplasia type 1 [13–15]. Other proposed genes linked to PanNETs development are *ATRX* and *DAXX*, which are mutated in up to 60% of PanNETs [14,16,17]. Histopathological studies have shown that a loss of nuclear ATRX/DAXX expression promotes alternative lengthening of telomeres and is associated with significantly reduced 5-year relapse-free survival (40% vs. 80%) [18,19]. Gene expression-based studies have shown that gastroenteropancreatic NETs, in general, overexpress somatostatin



receptors [20] as well as genes related to vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) signaling [21] and mTOR signaling for which various treatments have been already developed [22,23].

Further exploration of the PanNET transcriptome is essential to facilitate the discovery of novel specific biomarkers and therapeutic targets that can enhance stratification, guide prognosis, and treatment decisions. Consequently, in this study, we set out to perform whole-transcriptome RNA sequencing to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between PanNETs and tumor-adjacent pancreatic tissues (TAPTs), as well as between different tumor grades, which was followed by comprehensive pathway enrichment analysis and the search for potential cell surface markers (CSMs) and secretome proteins (SPs). Overall, this research provides insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying the development of PanNETs and outlines potential targets for blood-based assay development.

Materials and methods

Study group and design

The study, written informed consent template, and patient inclusion were approved by the Central Medical Ethics Committee of Latvia protocol (No. 1.1–2/67); Ethics Committee of National Cancer Institute, Slovakia, protocol (No. 2019-02-20-100259); Ramon y Cajal Ethical and Scientific Committees, protocol (No. 196–19); Scientific Committee General Hospital of Athens, (No. 34/14-7-2020); Aretaieio Hospital Athens Medical School (NKUA) Research and Ethics Committee, (No. 10-7-2020). All patients provided a signed informed consent prior to the participation within the study. Following the ethical approval, the patient recruitment and FFPE sample collection was done throughout the period of January 2021 till October 2021. The patient recruitment was done via the following institutions: Pauls Stradins Clinical University Hospital (Riga Latvia), National Cancer Institute (Bratislava, Slovakia), Ramón y Cajal University Hospital (Madrid, Spain), and Hippokration General Hospital of Athens (Athens, Greece). The compiled clinical data of the PanNET patients are presented in <u>S1</u> <u>Table</u>. In total, 36 FFPE samples (30 PanNET, 6 TAPT) were sequenced from 30 patients (<u>Fig 1</u>). Clinical data collection included general information (sex, age at surgery, tumor grade, hormonal type, Ki-67 index, treatment before surgery) and did not include any information that could identify individual participants during or after data collection. The cohort



Fig 1. Schematic overview of study design encompassing initial sample size, sample groups, and differential expression analysis comparisons. Sequencing data quality control included batch effect assessment using principal component analyses. Abbreviations: FFPE – formalin fixed paraffin embedded, RNA – ribonucleic acid, PanNET – pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor, NF-PanNET – non-functioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor, TAPT – tumor adjacent pancreatic tissue, INS – Insulinoma, G1 – grade 1, G2 – grade 2, G3 – grade 3.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0325672.g001



consisted of 17 female patients and 13 male patients and represented all three tumor grades. A total of five differential gene expression (DGE) analyses were performed (Fig 1). Due to the low sample size of INS tumors (one G1, two G2 cases), we decided to combine NF-PanNETs with INS as the primary focus of our study was to assess the changes in transcriptomic profiles of PanNETs in comparison to non-tumor tissues and evaluate the differences between three grades of PanNETs.

Transcriptome sequencing

RNA for RNA-seq was extracted from the FFPE samples using the RNeasy FFPE Kit (Qiagen, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of extracted RNA was measured with the Qubit RNA HS Kit (Thermo Fisher, USA) using the Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher, USA). Extracted RNA was evaluated using Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer with the RNA 6000 Pico Kit (Agilent Technologies, USA). For library preparation cDNA synthesis and cDNA amplification was carried out using the REPLI-g WTA Single Cell Kit (Qiagen, Germany) with the Poly A+mRNA amplification protocol. cDNA was then enzymatically fragmented and RNA-seq libraries were prepared using the MGIEasy PCR–Free DNA Library Prep Set (MGI, PRC). Paired-end sequencing was carried out on the DNBSEQ-G400 platform (MGI, PRC) with 20 million reads per sample and 150 bp read length.

Data analysis

Quality control of raw sequencing data was performed using FastQC (v0.11.9) and MultiQC (v1.12) software. The pairedend reads were trimmed with fastp software (v0.23.2) reads with quality score below 20 and read length below 75 base pairs were discarded. The read count and quality of trimmed reads were again inspected by the above-mentioned QC software. The ribosomal RNA (rRNA) was removed using SortMeRNA (v2.1), followed by final quality and read count evaluation. Reads were then quasi-mapped and quantified with Salmon software (v1.9.0) against GENCODE (v38) Homo sapiens genome. R (v4.2.2) was used to summarize gene-level counts with the tximeta package (v1.16.0).

DGE analysis was performed using DESeq2 (v1.38.3) [24]. Counts were filtered by frequency, setting the count threshold at 10 and the minimum sample frequency threshold at 3. The Wald test was used to determine gene expression differences. The default filtering function was replaced by independent hypothesis weighting from the IHW package (v1.26.0). The Log₂FoldChange (Log₂FC) shrinkage algorithm (from the apeglm package (v1.20.0) [25]) was used to adjust Log₂FC values for genes with low counts and high dispersions. DEGs heatmaps were plotted using pheatmap package (v1.0.12). Volcano plots were plotted using EnhancedVolcano package (v1.16.0).

Pathway enrichment analyses were performed using the PathfindR package (v2.4.1) – an active subnetwork-oriented enrichment analysis (R package v2.4.1) developed by Ulgen *et al.* [26]. The processes of protein-protein interaction (PPI) network generation and active subnetwork search in PathfindR were performed using the STRING database (v12.0) [27]. Pathway enrichment analysis with the identified subnetworks was then performed using the Reactome database (v89). The Reactome database was chosen because, according to a methodological study by Gable et al., it provided the most optimal overall performance among manually curated annotation systems [28]. Genes in the generated PPI network were used as background genes for the overrepresentation analysis. The analysis was done over 10 iterations with "greedy algorithm" as search algorithm. Pathways with false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-values of <0.05 were considered as significant. Lastly, to identify groups of biologically similar pathways a hierarchical clustering analysis was performed using PathfindR package.

To determine whether DEGs represented CSMs or SPs, DEGs from tumor vs. TAPT comparison were compared to the list of genes in silico human surfaceome [29] and human protein atlas (Secreted proteins predicted by MDSEC list) [30]. Identified SPs were tested for significant tissue enrichment using the TissueEnrich (v1.18.0) package, available in the Bioconductor project [31]. To examine candidate CSMs and SPs in other PanNET datasets we used publicly available data from Gene Expression Omnibus. The accession numbers for included studies were GSE98894 and GSE116356. Data



from study GSE98894 contained gene expression data from 83 fresh-frozen PanNET (primary tumor) samples and 29 liver metastasis samples. Study GSE116356 contained gene expression from eight FFPE PanNET primary tumor tissues. The expression data from both studies was combined with our data and imported in DESeq2 for variance stabilizing transformation. Following this a batch effect correction was performed using limma package (v3.60.2) to adjust for batch effect introduced by difference in studies.

A detailed overview of R code for DESeq2, pathway enrichment, and tissue enrichment analysis is provided in <u>S1 Text</u>. Salmon transcript count data and raw sequencing data for each sample included in this study are available at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository under the accession no.: GSE281039.

Results

Transcriptomic changes in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor tissues

To identify the specific genes and pathways that are dysregulated in PanNET tissues and potentially related to tumorigenesis, we performed a DGE analysis by comparing all PanNET samples (27 NF-PanNETs, 3 INS; 17 G1, 10 G2, and 3 G3 tumors) against six TAPT samples, which included both exocrine and endocrine parts of the pancreas. In the principal component analysis plot it can be observed that there are differences in gene expression between TAPTs and PanNETs based on top 500 genes with the highest variance (Fig 2A). It should be noted that two samples (NET22 and NET23) exhibit gene expression patterns close to TAPTs indicating a possible contamination of non-tumor tissue. According to distribution of P values (Fig 2B) and changes shown by volcano plot (Fig 2C) the DGE analysis did identify significant differences between tumor and TAPT samples. At FDR < 0.05 and Log₂FC > 0.5 thresholds, significant dysregulation was observed for 1210 genes (S2 Table). Of the DEGs, 86% (n = 1041) were upregulated, while 169 (14%) were downregulated. The median Log₂FC value for the upregulated genes was 2.9 (interquartile range [IQR] = 1.7), whereas for the downregulated genes, it was -2.5 (IQR = 1.5). A clustering analysis based on top 25 upregulated and top 25 downregulated genes, according to Log₂FC values, shows a clear segregation between tumor samples and TAPT samples. However, the expression of these genes could not distinguish between tumor grades (Fig 2D).

Overrepresented pathways in the list of genes differentially regulated within PanNETs

By subjecting the entire list of 1,210 DEGs to the active subnetwork-oriented enrichment analysis using the STRING database (v12.0), 289 genes were filtered out because they represented non-coding RNAs and transcribed pseudogenes (60 entries), while 229 genes had no entries in the STRING database. Using the remaining 921 genes, the pathfindR analysis identified 14 active PPI subnetworks. Downstream Reactome pathway enrichment analyses with each of the 14 PPI subnetworks resulted in a total of 199 statistically significant (FDR<0.05) overrepresented Reactome pathways (S3 Table).

By applying the clustering analysis to identify biologically similar pathways (defined by the number of overlapping genes), a set of 40 clusters was identified. Of the 40 clusters, the top five largest clusters (by the number of pathways) were cluster 1 (78 terms), cluster 19 (14 terms), cluster 16 (13 terms), cluster 8 (10 terms), and cluster 11 (8 terms) (Fig <u>3A</u>). To simplify the visualization and interpretation of the results, we chose to further focus on the top five pathways (according to FDR value) within each of the largest clusters (1, 19, 16, 8, and 11)—a total of 25 pathways (Fig <u>3B</u>). From this perspective, it can be observed that the top five pathways in each of the five clusters are mostly upregulated in tumors compared to TAPT (Fig <u>3C</u> and Fig <u>3D</u>). As illustrated by the PPI network (Fig <u>3E</u>), the genes from these 25 pathways are biologically interconnected, with 186 physical interactions between 86 proteins.

The majority of terms under clusters 1, 16, and 8 were related to oncogenic signaling cascades. In the largest cluster cluster 1—neddylation (R-HSA-8951664) was identified as the representative term consisting of 21 upregulated DEGs (Fig 3D). Neddylation has been shown to be upregulated in a multitude of cancers [32,33]; with studies showing that it can directly impact the activity of NET related tumor suppressor proteins, e.g., PTEN [34] and MEN1 [35]. To elucidate







additional context of all 21 upregulated DEGs involved in neddylation we performed additional STRING functional protein-protein interaction analysis. Here, according to k-means clustering, two clusters can be observed (S1 Fig). By examining terms related to Gene Ontology (GO) cellular components and biological processes DEGs from cluster 1 are primarily associated with proteasome complex (GO:0000502), ubiquitin ligase complex (GO:0000151), and proteolysis involved in protein catabolic process (GO:0051603). On the other hand, DEGs from cluster 2 primarily represent cullin-RING ubiquitin ligase complex (GO:0031461) and protein ubiquitination process (GO:0016567). These results are intriguing as they indicate that proteasomal degradation seems to be upregulated in PanNETs.

The other most notable terms here in cluster 1 (according to FDR value), aside from neddylation, were pathways related to WNT signaling, ubiquitination, and MAPK1/MAPK3 signaling (Fig 3B). In our previous spatially resolved transcriptome profiling study, where eight PanNETs were compared against islet cells, Wnt signaling pathways were also found to be overrepresented in G2/G3 tumors. The overrepresented Reactome pathways were: TCF-dependent signaling in response to WNT and Beta-catenin-independent WNT signaling, which are also overrepresented in this study (S2 Fig) [36]. In a different study by Jiang et al. it was proposed that Wnt signaling is altered in MEN1 (menin)-defective tumors,





Fig 3. Results of active subnetwork-oriented enrichment analysis for the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) identified in tumor vs. tumor-adjacent pancreatic tissue (TAPT) analysis. Figures include the top five pathways (based on false discovery rate p-value) from each of the five largest clusters. A – bar chart of Reactome pathways per cluster; X-axis – pathway count in a cluster. B – enrichment chart representing enrichment results; X-axis – fold enrichment value; Y-axis – Reactome term identifiers followed by description. C – Heatmap of the agglomerated z-scores of enriched terms per sample. The plot displays how the selected 25 terms are altered in each sample based on variance stabilizing transformed (vst) normalized count data from 30 tumor and 6 TAPT samples. D – Terms by genes heatmap. The heatmap shows the upregulation or downregulation (Log₂FC) of genes (86 in total) related to a specific term. E – STRING physical protein-protein interaction network of 86 genes related to pathways displayed in figures B, C, and D. Network p-value – 1.0 x 10^16, based on 186 edges and 79 expected edges for a random set of proteins of the same size.

as menin is involved in the regulation of β -catenin activity via phosphorylation [37]. In our data (S3 Table) we can observe an overrepresentation of four β -catenin related pathways. Regarding the MAPK1/3 signaling we can also observe that in cluster 8 the representative term was Signaling by RAF1 mutants. The other RAS/RAF related terms in this cluster were



Signaling by RAS mutants and Signaling downstream of RAS mutants (Fig <u>3B</u> and <u>S3 Table</u>). This shows that the entire RAS/RAF/MAPK signal transduction cascade is altered in PanNETs.

In cluster 16, the representative term was "Signaling by Non-Receptor Tyrosine Kinases" (R-HSA-9006927). Under this category, there were a multitude of overrepresented oncogenic signaling pathways, with the most notable being related to PTK6 and ERBB signaling events (Fig 3B).

Clusters, 19 and 11, consisted of pathways involved in immune regulation (Fig 3B). A particularly interesting case is cluster 19, where the representative term was FCGR3A-mediated IL10 synthesis (R-HSA-9664323), which points to an anti-inflammatory response. Other pathways in the cluster related to the anti-inflammatory response included: anti-inflammatory response favoring Leishmania parasite infection (R-HSA-9662851) and Leishmania parasite growth and survival (R-HSA-9664433) (S3 Table). In cluster 11, the representative term was "Interleukin-3, Interleukin-5 and GM-CSF signaling," with the other top five terms related to SCF and KIT signaling (Fig 3B), pointing to the regulation of immune cell proliferation, migration, and differentiation.

Regarding other notable pathways listed in <u>S3 Table</u>, there were also several significant signaling pathways related to regulation of PTEN (R-HSA-8948751, R-HSA-6807070, R-HSA-8943724), PI3K (R-HSA-2219528, R-HSA-1963642) and AKT (R-HSA-1257604), all of which are components of mTOR signaling. PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway and its role in the development of PanNETs has been well established [<u>38,39</u>]; as in 15% of PanNETs, mutations have been found in at least one of the following genes: *PTEN*, *PIK3CA*, and *TSC2* [<u>14</u>]. By examining pathways PI3K/AKT signaling in cancer, PIP3 activates AKT signaling, and PTEN regulation (<u>S4 Fig</u>), we can observe that the 21 genes are upregulated and 4 genes are downregulated. Amongst these are notable tumor related genes *AKT3*, *CDKN1A*, *ESR1*, FOXO1, *TSC2*, *ERBB3*, and *NRG4* (<u>S4 Fig</u>).

Transcriptomic changes across different grades of PanNETs

The DGE analysis between G1 and G2 PanNET tissues resulted in 15 DEGs (10 upregulated, 5 downregulated) (Figs <u>4A</u>, <u>4D</u>, and <u>S4 Table</u>). The median Log₂FC value for upregulated genes was 2.82 (IQR=1.4), while for downregulated genes, it was -2.81 (IQR=0.8). The most upregulated and downregulated genes were Nitric Oxide Synthase Interacting Protein (*NOSIP*, Log₂FC=4.1, FDR=0.02) and EF-Hand Domain Family Member D2 (*EFHD2*, Log₂FC=-3.4, FDR=0.01). The DGE analysis between G3 and G1 PanNET tissues resulted in 121 DEGs (48 upregulated, 73 downregulated), with a median Log₂FC of 4.5 (IQR=1.6) for upregulated genes and a median Log₂FC of -3.8 (IQR=4.4) for downregulated genes (Figs <u>4B</u>, <u>4E</u>, and <u>S4 Table</u>). Here, the most upregulated and downregulated genes were lipocalin 2 (*LCN2*, Log₂FC=7.9, FDR=0.002) and required for meiotic nuclear division 1 (*RMND1*, Log₂FC=-11.1, FDR=0.0001). Lastly, the analysis between G3 and G2 tumors resulted in the fewest DEGs, as only glutathione peroxidase (*GPX2*, Log₂FC=7.63, FDR=0.015) and neural cell adhesion molecule (*NCAM1*, Log₂FC=-5.655, FDR=0.002) were found to be differentially regulated (Figs <u>4C</u> and <u>4F</u>). *NCAM1* was also downregulated in G3 vs G1 tumor comparison. Interestingly, *NCAM1* is another marker of neuroendocrine differentiated tumors, are less differentiated than G2 and G1 NETs in terms of *NCAM1* expression.

Surfaceome and secretome markers in PanNETs

CSMs and SPs have significant clinical implications due to their potential to provide valuable information about disease states, prognosis, treatment response, and targeted therapy options in a minimally invasive manner. For these reasons, our study also investigated whether the list of DEGs included genes encoding CSMs and SPs. To do this, we examined the list of 1210 DEGs from the tumors vs. TAPT analysis in the in silico human surfaceome [29] and human protein atlas [30] databases (Fig 5A). As a result, we identified a total of 31 CSM genes (27 upregulated, 4 downregulated) in the list of DEGs (S5 Table). The expression values of upregulated genes are compiled in Fig 5B. Four genes (*CEACAM1, EDNRA*,





Fig 4. Results of differential gene expression between different grades of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. A, B, and C – Volcano plots; Y-axis – $-Log_{10}p$ -values; X-axis – Log_2 FoldChange (Log_2FC) values (x-axis) from the DESeq2 analysis. Y-axis is capped at $-Log_{10}P$ =10 for visual clarity. Horizontal dashed lines represent the p-value (0.05) and false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-value (0.05) thresholds. Vertical dashed lines represent Log_2FC thresholds (+/- 0.5). NS – genes below both thresholds. A – Grade 2 (G2) vs. Grade 1 (G1) tumors; B – Grade 3 (G3) vs. G1 tumors; C – G3 vs. G2 tumors. D and E – Heatmaps of mean normalized expression values for the top 25 upregulated and downregulated differentially expressed genes (FDR < 0.05) according to Log_2FC values. Sample type annotations: insulinomas (INS), non-functioning (NF). D – G2 vs. G1 tumors; E – G3 vs. G1 tumors. F – Boxplots representing the two differentially expressed genes (FDR < 0.05) in the G3 vs. G2 tumors comparison; Y-axis – variance-stabilizing transformed (VST) normalized count values.

IFNAR1, and *SLC8A1*) had at least one entry in the DrugBank database of approved drugs. Notable entries related to cancer treatment were: 1) technetium (99mTc) arcitumomab (DB00113), a technetium-labeled carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) monoclonal antibody used in the treatment of CEA-overexpressing colorectal cancers; 2) natural human interferon alfa and recombinant human interferon alfa-2b (DB05258 and DB00105), used to treat viral infections and blood cancers, including melanoma.



Fig 5. Cell surface markers (CSMs) and secretome-related proteins (SPs) discovered in the differential gene expression analysis results dataset comparing tumors and tumor-adjacent pancreatic tissues (TAPT). A – The search of differentially expressed genes in silico human surfaceome and Human Protein Atlas (HPA) databases. B – Boxplots of 28 upregulated CSMs in tumor samples. The Y-axis represents variance-stabilizing transformed normalized counts. X-axis represents gene symbols according to HUGO gene nomenclature. C – Boxplots of 24 upregulated cancer secretome associated SPs in tumor samples. The Y-axis represents variance-stabilizing transformed normalized counts. D – Heatmap representing calculated transcripts per million (TPM) expression values for the identified SPs that were enriched for pancreatic tissue.

One



Regarding the secretome proteins (SP), we discovered that 171 DEGs (<u>S6 Table</u>) had an entry in the Human Protein Atlas (HPA) list of secreted proteins predicted by MDSEC (a majority decision-based method for secreted proteins). Of these, 48 were upregulated and 123 were downregulated (<u>Fig 5A</u>). Among these, there were 24 upregulated genes related to the cancer secretome according to the HPA database (<u>Fig 5C</u>). To determine whether the 171 genes reflect a specific tissue type, we carried out tissue type enrichment analysis, which revealed 28 genes specific to pancreatic tissue (<u>Fig 5D</u>), and all 28 had downregulated expressions. Interestingly, all 28 genes were downregulated within PanNETs compared to TAPTs. This is not entirely unexpected, as according to the STRING database, the majority of these genes are related to protein/fat digestion and absorption, as well as pancreatic secretion.

The expression of the 27 upregulated CSMs and 24 upregulated SPs was further evaluated in two publicly available PanNET datasets from Gene Expression Omnibus (Accession no. GSE98894 and GSE116356). Here it can be observed (S5 Fig) that the expression of these markers is indeed present within PanNET tissues. Unsurprisingly, the expression of *RBP4* and *IGF2* is the highest in liver metastasis as these proteins are primarily produced and secreted by liver.

Discussion

In this study, we aimed to expand on the knowledge in the field of PanNET transcriptomics by analyzing tissue samples from a cohort of 30 PanNET patients. The cohort represented four populations (Latvia, Spain, Greece, and Slovakia). Our goal was to identify alterations in gene expression profiles, overrepresented molecular pathways and potential markers for developing non-invasive testing assays. As indicated by our results, we identified intriguing observations that align with the current understanding of tumor biology, including PanNETs (PI3K, Wnt, and MAPK signaling) [39] as well as novel findings in the context of PanNETs—specifically, the dysregulation of the neddylation pathway.

Overall, the DGE analysis comparing 30 PanNETs to six TAPT samples yielded a significant number of dysregulated genes (1210 total; 1041 upregulated and 169 downregulated). Here a multitude of previously well-established oncogenic pathways [41–44] were overrepresented among the list of 1210 DEGs. Notably, Wnt and MAPK signaling processes were among the most overrepresented Reactome terms (according to FDR value) (Fig 3B). Additionally, we observed terms related to PI3K signaling (S3 Table), which is one of the most studied pathways in the context of PanNETs [45].

Alterations in Wnt signaling pathways have been noted previously in PanNETs [46] as the Wnt signaling is involved in the development of pancreatic islets [47]. The impact of alterations in Wnt signaling is for the most part is unclear in PanNETs [46]. Nevertheless there are studies suggesting that the Wnt signaling may play a role in PanNET development as there is evidence pointing at alterations in β -catenin signaling [37], β -catenin independent, and TCF dependent signaling [36].

Disruption of MAPK signaling has also been previously observed in NF-PanNETs specifically regarding *RASSF1A* gene, a proposed tumor suppressor that encodes protein similar to classical RAS effectors. In these studies a silencing of this gene was detected due to promoter hypermethylation [48,49]. Another, recently published *in vitro* study, found that a targeted inhibition of the *FASN* gene (which was found to be overexpressed in the study) via Orlistat treatment can impact MAPK signaling, leading to ferroptosis [50]. In our data, we do not observe dysregulation of the *FASN* or *RASSF1* genes, nor interactions with these genes in the list of MAPK signaling-related DEGs from STRING analysis (S3 Fig). Such discrepancy between results could be attributed to the overall intra-patient heterogeneity of PanNETs [51].

Everolimus has been FDA-approved treatment for advanced PanNETs for well over 10 years [52]. The use of Everolimus is somewhat limited by the amount of unsatisfactory response rates [53–55]. One key inducer of PI3K signaling is insulin-like growth factor signaling (IGF). It has been shown that upregulation of IGF1 can induce treatment resistance in everolimus-responsive PanNETs [54]. Our data indicate that all tumors express *IGF1* and IGF1 receptor (*IGF1R*); however, we do not observe any upregulation of these genes compared to TAPTs. Nevertheless, we do see upregulation in *IGF2* and *IGFBP3* (S2 Table). Similarly to IGF1, these factors can activate PI3K signaling through IGF1R. It has been proposed that cancer-associated fibroblasts are one of the sources of IGF2 and IGFBPs in the tumor microenvironment



[<u>56–58</u>]. Our previous findings also showed a substantial upregulation of *IGFBP3* in both α -SMA-expressing stromal cells and tumor cells; unfortunately, expression levels of *IGF2* could not be evaluated in that study as it was not included within the analysis panel [<u>36</u>].

Looking at the overall results from a broader perspective, we can observe that the altered genes related to Wnt, MAPK, and PI3K signaling physically interact with commonly known tumor suppressor genes (TSGs) such as TP53 and PTEN, as well as oncogenes like KRAS, HRAS, NRAS, BRAF, and EGFR (Figs S2, S3, and S4). Mutations and loss of PTEN expression have been observed in PanNETs [13,59]. On the other hand, alterations in KRAS, BRAF, EGFR, and TP53 have rarely, if ever, been observed in primary tumors of PanNETs [14,60]. These genes were also not differentially requlated in PanNETs according to our results. Exceptions are the metastases of PanNETs, where alterations in these genes have been observed [61]. Additionally, these genes are among the most frequently altered genes in pancreatic cancer [62–65]. This indicates that direct loss or gain-of-function alterations in these genes are more common in aggressive tumors, such as pancreatic adenocarcinomas, rather than in neuroendocrine tumors. This raises a question. Perhaps in PanNETs, the major components of Wnt, MAPK, and PI3K signaling, along with commonly known oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes (TSGs), could be activated or suppressed by the interacting DEGs, as shown in our results (Figs S2, S3, and S4). Particularly interesting observations in our results are the overexpressed proteasomal complex genes: PSMA4, PSMB9, PSMC1, PSMC2, PSMD9, and PSME2, which are associated with all three signaling pathways (Wnt, MAPK, PI3K). Dysregulated proteasomes have been proposed as one of the drivers of tumorigenesis, as they can disrupt the normal degradation of proteins involved in cell cycle regulation, proliferation, and survival [66,67]. Moreover, one of the recently discovered regulatory mechanisms of proteasome activity is neddylation-a process that involves the ligation of a protein similar to ubiquitin, NEDD8, to mitigate the effects of proteotoxic stress [68]. The two main substrates of neddylation are cullin and non-cullin proteins. Neddylation of cullin family proteins results in the activation of cullin RING ligases - the largest known class of ubiquitin ligases; accordingly, cullin family protein neddylation acts as one of the control mechanisms for protein ubiquitination itself [69]. In our list of DEGs, neddylation was the most overrepresented pathway. Although, based on these results, it is not possible to precisely define whether the neddylation is disrupted within PanNETs, it is evident here that the processes related proteasomal activity regulated by neddylation are altered (S1 Fig) in PanNETs warranting further functional investigation.

Regarding the results of CSMs and SPs. Here, among the 27 upregulated CSMs, we discovered several genes related to malignancies, including Plexins A-1/D-1 and Plexin domain-containing protein 1 (*PLXNA1*, *PLXND1*, and *PLXDC1*) and Cadherin-19 (*CDH19*). We also observed an overexpression of Neuropilin-2 (*NRP2*) in tumor tissue. *NRP2* is known to be an an integral part of the VEGF signaling system [70], one of the key signaling pathways in PanNETs [71]. This is interesting as in a different PanNET study regarding *NRP2*, it was found that NRP2 protein is expressed in circulating tumor cells sampled from NET patients. However, its capacity to discriminate between NETs and healthy controls was somewhat dubious [72].

Other notable NET-related CSM and SP genes include previously discussed *IGF-2* and *IGFBP3* genes and genes related to the stromal component of the tumor (*COL5A3*, *FN1*, and *NOTCH3*). The upregulation of some of these genes was already reported in our previous study on PanNETs. Here, the expression of *COL5A3*, *FN1*, and *NOTCH3* was more specific to α-SMA-positive stromal cells rather than tumor cells, while *IGFBP3* was overexpressed in both tumor and stroma in comparison to adjacent non-tumor tissues [36]. There are also reports that IGFBPs, including *IGFBP3*, are overexpressed in gliomas compared to non-tumor brain tissue and with higher levels of expression observed in high-grade gliomas [73]. An interesting aspect is the overexpression of *IGF-2* in PanNETs, as this gene not only plays a role in the activation of the PI3K axis [57], but has also been proposed as one of the key drivers of tumorigenesis in ileal NETs [74]. Exuberant levels of IGF-2 secreted by the tumor tend to cause hypoglycemia that mimics hypoglycemia caused by insulin-secreting PanNETs [75]. Therefore, in clinical settings, circulating IGF-2 is only measured when there is a suspicion of non-islet cell tumor-induced hypoglycemia. However, it would be interesting to see a larger patient cohort study that assesses the levels of IGF-1/2 and IGFBPs in the plasma of PanNET patients aswell. Perhaps the levels of IGF



and their binding proteins could be elevated in patients harboring NF-PanNETs to an extent that would not be enough to induce hypoglycemia but sufficient enough to indicate the presence of a NET.

Lastly, we also investigated the transcriptomic changes across different grades of PanNETs. Similar to previous studies [36,76] the highest number of DEGs can be observed in the G3 vs. G1 comparison, highlighting the differences between G3 tumors and G2/G1 tumors. In G3 vs. G2/G1 comparisons, we observed that G3 tumors have a significantly lower expression of *NCAM1*, a marker of neuroendocrine differentiation [77]. This gene was also downregulated in G3 vs. G1 comparison indicating that there is some degree of dedifferentiation in G3 tumors. Furthermore, compared to G1 tumors the most upregulated gene in G3 tumors was *LCN2*. It encodes a known oncogene also referred to as 24p3 [78]. The upregulation of *LCN2* has been observed in pancreatic cancer [79]. Upon further investigation, it was revealed that *LCN2* could serve as a potential therapeutic target, as depletion of *LCN2* in a pancreatic cancer mouse model resulted in increased survival [79]. Interestingly, in previous RNA-seq based PanNET study this gene was also overexpressed in G3 PanNETs compared to G1 PanNETs [76]. Amongst the list of upregulated genes in G3 vs. G1 tumors (S4 Table) there were three other genes with oncogenic properties – *PLEKHG2, MYDGF*, and *FOXQ1*. Upregulation of *PLEKHG2* has been observed in lung and pancreatic cancer where it correlated with poor survival [80,81]. *MYDGF* encodes a growth factor with anti-apoptotic and angiogenesis-stimulating properties. It has recently gained significant attention in cancer research, as it has been observed to be upregulated in multiple malignancies [82]. Lastly, *FOXQ1* is a well-known regulator of Wnt signaling. In cancer, the upregulation of *FOXQ1* is associated with significantly worse survival due to its ability to promote epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, thereby allowing tumor cells to metastasize [83].

There were several limitations in this study. The first limitation – low number of G3 tumor cases. This can be attributed to the general rarity of G3 PanNETs [84]. The low number of G3 cases has consistently been a limiting factor in similar omics-based studies [36,76,84]. The second limitation can be attributed to the low availability of TAPT samples as in this study only six patients had available TAPT tissues. In a clinical setting, the tumor resection margins can significantly vary from patient to patient. As such the non-tumor tissue may be enough for robust histopathological evaluation but not in sufficient quantities for RNA-seq analysis. The third limitation can be attributed to the histology of used adjacent non-tumor tissues that included both exocrine and endocrine parts of the pancreas. It is known that the largest component (around 95%) of the normal pancreas is the exocrine component [85]. However, PanNETs originate from the endocrine component, specifically from α and β islet cells, as indicated by their epigenetic signatures [86]. Accordingly, the due to cell type mixing [87] of exocrine and endocrine pancreas cells a percentage of identified DEGs between non-tumor tissue and PanNETs are related to exocrine pancreas functions.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we performed a comprehensive profiling of the PanNET tissue transcriptome and demonstrated that the dysregulated genes correspond to known oncogenic signaling pathways, including Wnt, MAPK, and PI3K. These pathways are likely further affected by the observed dysregulation of genes related to proteasomal activity, which is regulated by neddylation-related processes. Furthermore, we report that PanNETs also overexpress *NRP2*, *IGF2*, and *IGFBP3*-genes encoding proteins that can be assayed in blood plasma. These findings suggest that, in the future, these proteins could potentially be used for the non-invasive detection of PanNETs via liquid biopsy.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Protein-protein interaction network (PPI) displaying both functional and physical protein-protein interactions of differentially expressed genes related to Neddylation Reactiome pathway. To further understand the cellular components and processes represented by these genes a k-means clustering analysis was performed obtaining two clusters. Gene Ontology (GO) cellular components and processes represented by each of the clusters are detailed on the right side of the image. The network was generated using STRING database (v12.0) and on STRING website. Line



thickness between the nodes represents confidence scores of interaction which were calculated using following channels: textmining, experiments, databases, co-expression, neighborhood, gene fusion, co-occurrence. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Protein-protein interaction network (PPI) displaying the physical protein-protein interactions of differentially expressed (tumor vs. non-tumor) genes related to β-catenin independent WNT signaling and TCF-dependent signaling in response to WNT Reactome pathways. The network also includes 20 closest interacting neighbors (grey nodes) that were not differentially expressed. The graph was made in Cytoscape (v3.10.2) using data from STRING database (v12.0); channels used to calculate interaction confidence scores: textmining, experiments, databases, coexpression, neighborhood, gene fusion, co-occurrence. (TIF)

S3 Fig. Protein-protein interaction network (PPI) displaying the physical protein-protein interactions of differentially expressed (tumor vs. non-tumor) genes related to signaling by RAF1 Mutants, MAPK1/MAPK3 signaling, and RAF/MAP Kinase Cascade Reactome pathways. The network also includes 20 closest interacting neighbors (grey nodes) that were not differentially expressed. The graph was made in Cytoscape (v3.10.2) using data from STRING database (v12.0); channels used to calculate interaction confidence scores: textmining, experiments, databases, coexpression, neighborhood, gene fusion, co-occurrence. (TIF)

S4 Fig. Protein-protein interaction network (PPI) displaying the physical protein-protein interactions of differentially expressed (tumor vs. non-tumor) genes related to PI3K/AKT Signaling in Cancer, PIP3 activates AKT signaling, and PTEN regulation Reactome pathways. The network also includes 20 closest interacting neighbors (grey nodes) that were not differentially expressed. The graph was made in Cytoscape (v3.10.2) using data from STRING database (v12.0); channels used to calculate interaction confidence scores: textmining, experiments, databases, coexpression, neighborhood, gene fusion, co-occurrence. (TIF)

S5 Fig. Expression of upregulated cell surface markers (CSMs) (panel A) and secretome proteins (SPs) (Panel B) in our data and publicly available datasets (GSE98894 and GSE116356) from Gene Expression Omnibus. Y-axis – variance stabilizing transformed normalized counts, X-axis – gene symbols. Of the total 27 CSMs *NECTIN2* is missing as it was not found in count data of the publicly available datasets. Of the total 24 SPs genes *TNC* and *HLA-A* are missing as they were not found in count data of the publicly available datasets. (TIF)

S1 Table. Patient clinical data. (XLSX)

S2 Table. Results of differential expression analysis between pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor tissues vs tumor adjacent pancreatic tissues.

(XLSX)

S3 Table. Results of active subnetwork-oriented pathway enrichment analysis.

(XLSX)

S4 Table. Results of differential expression analysis between different grades of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors.

(XLSX)



S5 Table. A list of DEGs from tumor vs. tumor-adjacent tissue comparisons that are labeled as cell surface markers according to the in-silico human surfaceome database.

(XLSX)

S6 Table. A list of DEGs from tumor vs. tumor-adjacent tissue comparisons that are labeled as secretome proteins according to the human protein atlas (HPA). List sourced from – secretome proteins predicted by MDSEC (majority decision-based method for secreted proteins) section. (XLSX)

S1 Text. R code that was used to perform the included statistical analyses. The ffile includes code and comments regarding differential expression analysis, pathway enrichment analysis, and tissue enrichment analysis. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the Latvian Biomedical Research and Study Centre as well as the National Biobank – Genome Database of the Latvian Population for providing samples, data, infrastructure, and support. We also want to acknowledge the patients and the BioBank Hospital Ramón y Cajal-IRYCIS (PT17/0015/0010) integrated within the Spanish National Biobanks Network for its collaboration.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Helvijs Niedra, Olesja Rogoza, Raitis Peculis, Ignacio Ruz-Caracuel, Julie Earl, Bozena Smolkova, Agapi Kataki, Vita Rovite.

Data curation: Rihards Saksis, Aija Gerina, Sofija Vilisova, Natalja Senterjakova, Aldis Pukitis, Ignacio Ruz-Caracuel, Georgina Kolnikova, Peter Dubovan, Miroslav Tomas, Peter Makovicky, Maria Urbanova, Eythimios Koniaris, Ioanna Aggelioudaki.

Formal analysis: Helvijs Niedra.

Funding acquisition: Julie Earl, Bozena Smolkova, Agapi Kataki, Vita Rovite.

Investigation: Helvijs Niedra, Olesja Rogoza, Raitis Peculis.

Methodology: Helvijs Niedra, Olesja Rogoza, Rihards Saksis, Raitis Peculis, Anzela Halilova, Ignacio Ruz-Caracuel.

Project administration: Bozena Smolkova, Agapi Kataki.

Supervision: Julie Earl, Bozena Smolkova, Agapi Kataki, Vita Rovite.

Validation: Rihards Saksis, Raitis Peculis.

Writing - original draft: Helvijs Niedra, Olesja Rogoza.

Writing - review & editing: Helvijs Niedra, Olesja Rogoza, Raitis Peculis, Vita Rovite.

References

- Ma Z-Y, Gong Y-F, Zhuang H-K, Zhou Z-X, Huang S-Z, Zou Y-P, et al. Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: A review of serum biomarkers, staging, and management. World J Gastroenterol. 2020;26(19):2305–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v26.i19.2305</u> PMID: <u>32476795</u>
- 2. Sun J. Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Intractable Rare Dis Res. 2017;6(1):21–8. https://doi.org/10.5582/irdr.2017.01007 PMID: 28357177
- 3. Kelgiorgi D, Dervenis C. Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: the basics, the gray zone, and the target. F1000Res. 2017;6:663. <u>https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10188.1</u> PMID: <u>28529726</u>
- Young K, Lawlor RT, Ragulan C, Patil Y, Mafficini A, Bersani S, et al. Immune landscape, evolution, hypoxia-mediated viral mimicry pathways and therapeutic potential in molecular subtypes of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. Gut. 2021;70(10):1904–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/gut-jnl-2020-321016</u> PMID: <u>32883872</u>



- Rindi G, Mete O, Uccella S, Basturk O, La Rosa S, Brosens LAA, et al. Overview of the 2022 WHO classification of neuroendocrine neoplasms. Endocr Pathol. 2022;33(1):115–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12022-022-09708-2</u> PMID: <u>35294740</u>
- 6. Das S, Dasari A. Epidemiology, incidence, and prevalence of neuroendocrine neoplasms: are there global differences?. Curr Oncol Rep. 2021;23(4):43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11912-021-01029-7</u> PMID: <u>33719003</u>
- 7. Sonbol MB, Mazza GL, Mi L, Oliver T, Starr J, Gudmundsdottir H, et al. Survival and incidence patterns of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors over the last 2 decades: a SEER database analysis. Oncologist. 2022;27(7):573–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/oncolo/oyac049 PMID: 35348774
- Sonkin D, Thomas A, Teicher BA. Cancer treatments: Past, present, and future. Cancer Genet. 2024;286–287:18–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cancergen.2024.06.002</u> PMID: <u>38909530</u>
- 9. Scott AT, Howe JR. Evaluation and management of neuroendocrine tumors of the pancreas. Surgical Clinics of North America. 2019;99(4):793–814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suc.2019.04.014
- Pulvirenti A, Javed AA, Michelakos T, Sekigami Y, Zheng J, Kalvin HL, et al. Recurring pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor: timing and pattern of recurrence and current treatment. Ann Surg. 2023;278(5):e1063–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.00000000005809</u> PMID: <u>37796750</u>
- 11. Ikeda M, Morizane C, Hijioka S, Matsumoto S, Konishi T, Komoto I, et al. Optimal strategy of systemic treatment for unresectable pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors based upon opinion of Japanese experts. Pancreatology. 2020;20(5):944–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pan.2020.06.002
- Mukkala AN, Ray S, Bevacqua D, McGilvray I, Sapisochin G, Moulton C-A, et al. Disease-free survival after pancreatectomy for pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: A 17-year single-center experience of 223 patients. J Gastrointestinal Surgery. 2024;28(9):1485–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gassur.2024.06.015</u>
- Fuentes ME, Lu X, Flores NM, Hausmann S, Mazur PK. Combined deletion of MEN1, ATRX and PTEN triggers development of high-grade pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors in mice. Sci Rep. 2024;14(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-58874-2</u>
- 14. Jiao Y, Shi C, Edil BH, de Wilde RF, Klimstra DS, Maitra A, et al. DAXX / ATRX , MEN1 , and mTOR pathway genes are frequently altered in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Science. 2011;331(6021):1199–203. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1200609
- Cives M, Strosberg JR. Gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(6):471–87. <u>https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21493</u> PMID: <u>30295930</u>
- Heaphy CM, Singhi AD. Reprint of: The Diagnostic and Prognostic Utility of Incorporating DAXX, ATRX, and Alternative Lengthening of Telomeres (ALT) to the Evaluation of Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors (PanNETs). Hum Pathol. 2023;132:1–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hump-ath.2023.01.004</u> PMID: <u>36702689</u>
- Chan CS, Laddha SV, Lewis PW, Koletsky MS, Robzyk K, Da Silva E, et al. ATRX, DAXX or MEN1 mutant pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors are a distinct alpha-cell signature subgroup. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):4158. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06498-2</u> PMID: <u>30315258</u>
- Hackeng WM, Brosens LAA, Kim JY, O'Sullivan R, Sung Y-N, Liu T-C, et al. Non-functional pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours: ATRX/DAXX and alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT) are prognostically independent from ARX/PDX1 expression and tumour size. Gut. 2022;71(5):961–73. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322595 PMID: 33849943
- Marinoni I, Kurrer AS, Vassella E, Dettmer M, Rudolph T, Banz V, et al. Loss of DAXX and ATRX Are associated with chromosome instability and reduced survival of patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Gastroenterology. 2014;146(2):453–460.e5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2013.10.020</u>
- 20. Papotti M, Bongiovanni M, Volante M, Allia E, Landolfi S, Helboe L, et al. Expression of somatostatin receptor types 1–5 in 81 cases of gastrointestinal and pancreatic endocrine tumors. Virchows Arch. 2002;440(5):461–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-002-0609-x</u>
- Terris B, Scoazec JY, Rubbia L, Bregeaud L, Pepper MS, Ruszniewski P, et al. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor in digestive neuroendocrine tumours. Histopathology. 1998;32(2):133–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2559.1998.00321.x</u> PMID: <u>9543669</u>
- 22. Megdanova-Chipeva VG, Lamarca A, Backen A, McNamara MG, Barriuso J, Sergieva S, et al. Systemic treatment selection for patients with advanced Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumours (PanNETs). Cancers. 2020;12(7):1988. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12071988
- 23. Shida T, Kishimoto T, Furuya M, Nikaido T, Koda K, Takano S, et al. Expression of an activated mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) in gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2009;65(5):889–93. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00280-009-1094-6
- 24. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8 PMID: 25516281
- Zhu A, Ibrahim JG, Love MI. Heavy-tailed prior distributions for sequence count data: removing the noise and preserving large differences. Bioinformatics. 2019;35(12):2084–92. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty895 PMID: 30395178
- Ulgen E, Ozisik O, Sezerman OU. pathfindR: an R package for comprehensive identification of enriched pathways in omics data through active subnetworks. Front Genet. 2019;10:858. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00858</u> PMID: <u>31608109</u>
- 27. Szklarczyk D, Kirsch R, Koutrouli M, Nastou K, Mehryary F, Hachilif R, et al. The STRING database in 2023: protein-protein association networks and functional enrichment analyses for any sequenced genome of interest. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023;51(D1):D638–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac1000</u> PMID: <u>36370105</u>
- Gable AL, Szklarczyk D, Lyon D, Matias Rodrigues JF, von Mering C. Systematic assessment of pathway databases, based on a diverse collection of user-submitted experiments. Brief Bioinform. 2022;23(5):bbac355. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbac355</u> PMID: <u>36088548</u>



- Bausch-Fluck D, Goldmann U, Müller S, van Oostrum M, Müller M, Schubert OT, et al. The in silico human surfaceome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115(46):E10988–97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1808790115</u> PMID: <u>30373828</u>
- Uhlén M, Karlsson MJ, Hober A, Svensson A-S, Scheffel J, Kotol D, et al. The human secretome. Sci Signal. 2019;12(609):eaaz0274. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aaz0274</u> PMID: <u>31772123</u>
- Jain A, Tuteja G. TissueEnrich: tissue-specific gene enrichment analysis. Bioinformatics. 2018;35(11):1966–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/</u> bioinformatics/bty890
- 32. Gai W, Peng Z, Liu CH, Zhang L, Jiang H. Advances in cancer treatment by targeting the neddylation pathway. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9:653882. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.653882 PMID: 33898451
- Zhou L, Jiang Y, Luo Q, Li L, Jia L. Neddylation: a novel modulator of the tumor microenvironment. Mol Cancer. 2019;18(1):77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-019-0979-1</u> PMID: <u>30943988</u>
- Xie P, Peng Z, Chen Y, Li H, Du M, Tan Y, et al. Neddylation of PTEN regulates its nuclear import and promotes tumor development. Cell Res. 2021;31(3):291–311. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-020-00443-z</u> PMID: <u>33299139</u>
- Xu J, Ye Z, Zhuo Q, Gao H, Qin Y, Lou X, et al. MEN1 degradation induced by neddylation and the CUL4B-DCAF7 axis promotes pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor progression. Cancer Res. 2023;83(13):2226–47. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-22-3599 PMID: 36939378
- 36. Niedra H, Peculis R, Saksis R, Mandrika I, Vilisova S, Nazarovs J, et al. Tumor and α-SMA-expressing stromal cells in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors have a distinct RNA profile depending on tumor grade. Mol Oncol. 2025;19(3):659–81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/1878-0261.13727</u> PMID: <u>39245631</u>
- Jiang X, Cao Y, Li F, Su Y, Li Y, Peng Y, et al. Targeting β-catenin signaling for therapeutic intervention in MEN1-deficient pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. Nat Commun. 2014;5:5809. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6809</u> PMID: 25517963
- Wolin EM. PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway inhibitors in the therapy of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Cancer Lett. 2013;335(1):1–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2013.02.016</u> PMID: 23419523
- Saleh Z, Moccia MC, Ladd Z, Joneja U, Li Y, Spitz F, et al. Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: signaling pathways and epigenetic regulation. Int J Mol Sci. 2024;25(2):1331. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25021331</u> PMID: <u>38279330</u>
- Luley KB, Biedermann SB, Künstner A, Busch H, Franzenburg S, Schrader J, et al. A comprehensive molecular characterization of the pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor cell lines BON-1 and QGP-1. Cancers (Basel). 2020;12(3):691. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12030691</u> PMID: <u>32183367</u>
- 41. Fattahi S, Amjadi-Moheb F, Tabaripour R, Ashrafi GH, Akhavan-Niaki H. PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling in gastric cancer: Epigenetics and beyond. Life Sci. 2020;262:118513. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118513 PMID: <u>33011222</u>
- **42.** Liu H, Tang T. MAPK signaling pathway-based glioma subtypes, machine-learning risk model, and key hub proteins identification. Sci Rep. 2023;13(1):19055. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-45774-0 PMID: <u>37925483</u>
- **43.** Liu D, Che X, Wu G. Deciphering the role of neddylation in tumor microenvironment modulation: common outcome of multiple signaling pathways. Biomark Res. 2024;12(1):5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40364-023-00545-x</u> PMID: <u>38191508</u>
- 44. Sanchez-Vega F, Mina M, Armenia J, Chatila WK, Luna A, La KC, et al. Oncogenic signaling pathways in the cancer genome atlas. Cell. 2018;173(2):321–337.e10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.035</u> PMID: <u>29625050</u>
- Maharjan CK, Ear PH, Tran CG, Howe JR, Chandrasekharan C, Quelle DE. Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic targets. Cancers (Basel). 2021;13(20):5117. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13205117 PMID: 34680266
- **46.** Weiss V, Dueber J, Wright JP, Cates J, Revetta F, Parikh AA, et al. Immunohistochemical analysis of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms. World J Gastrointest Oncol. 2016;8(8):615–22. https://doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v8.i8.615 PMID: 27574554
- 47. Liu Z, Habener JF. Wnt signaling in pancreatic islets. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2010;654:391–419. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3271-3_17 PMID: 20217507
- Malpeli G, Amato E, Dandrea M, Fumagalli C, Debattisti V, Boninsegna L, et al. Methylation-associated down-regulation of RASSF1A and upregulation of RASSF1C in pancreatic endocrine tumors. BMC Cancer. 2011;11:351. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-11-351</u> PMID: <u>21838870</u>
- 49. House MG, Herman JG, Guo MZ, Hooker CM, Schulick RD, Lillemoe KD, et al. Aberrant hypermethylation of tumor suppressor genes in pancreatic endocrine neoplasms. Ann Surg. 2003;238(3):423–31; discussion 431-2. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.sla.0000086659.49569.9e PMID: 14501508
- Ye M, Lu F, Chen J, Yu P, Xu Y, He N, et al. Orlistat induces ferroptosis in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors by inactivating the MAPK pathway. J Cancer. 2023;14(8):1458–69. <u>https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.83118</u> PMID: <u>37283794</u>
- Varghese DG, Del Rivero J, Bergsland E. Grade progression and intrapatient tumor heterogeneity as potential contributors to resistance in gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Cancers (Basel). 2023;15(14):3712. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15143712</u> PMID: <u>37509373</u>
- 52. Hasskarl J. Everolimus. Recent Results Cancer Res. 2018;211:101–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-91442-8_8 PMID: 30069763
- 53. Pavel ME, Hainsworth JD, Baudin E, Peeters M, Hörsch D, Winkler RE, et al. Everolimus plus octreotide long-acting repeatable for the treatment of advanced neuroendocrine tumours associated with carcinoid syndrome (RADIANT-2): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. Lancet. 2011;378(9808):2005–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61742-X PMID: 22119496
- Falletta S, Partelli S, Rubini C, Nann D, Doria A, Marinoni I, et al. mTOR inhibitors response and mTOR pathway in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2016;23(11):883–91. https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-16-0329 PMID: 27697900



- 55. Yao JC, Phan AT, Chang DZ, Wolff RA, Hess K, Gupta S, et al. Efficacy of RAD001 (everolimus) and octreotide LAR in advanced low- to intermediate-grade neuroendocrine tumors: results of a phase II study. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(26):4311–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2008.16.7858</u> PMID: <u>18779618</u>
- 56. Remsing Rix LL, Sumi NJ, Hu Q, Desai B, Bryant AT, Li X, et al. IGF-binding proteins secreted by cancer-associated fibroblasts induce contextdependent drug sensitization of lung cancer cells. Sci Signal. 2022;15(747):eabj5879. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.abj5879</u> PMID: <u>35973030</u>
- 57. Zhang J, Chen B, Li H, Wang Y, Liu X, Wong KY, et al. Cancer-associated fibroblasts potentiate colorectal cancer progression by crosstalk of the IGF2-IGF1R and Hippo-YAP1 signaling pathways. J Pathol. 2023;259(2):205–19. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.6033 PMID: 36373776
- Cacheux W, Lièvre A, Richon S, Vacher S, El Alam E, Briaux A, et al. Interaction between IGF2-PI3K axis and cancer-associated-fibroblasts promotes anal squamous carcinogenesis. Int J Cancer. 2019;145(7):1852–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.32178 PMID: 30714617
- 59. Chang T-M, Chu P-Y, Lin H-Y, Huang K-W, Hung W-C, Shan Y-S, et al. PTEN regulates invasiveness in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors through DUSP19-mediated VEGFR3 dephosphorylation. J Biomed Sci. 2022;29(1):92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12929-022-00875-2</u> PMID: <u>36336681</u>
- 60. Yachida S, Vakiani E, White CM, Zhong Y, Saunders T, Morgan R, et al. Small cell and large cell neuroendocrine carcinomas of the pancreas are genetically similar and distinct from well-differentiated pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Am J Surg Pathol. 2012;36(2):173–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/PAS.0b013e3182417d36</u> PMID: <u>22251937</u>
- Guo Y, Tian C, Cheng Z, Chen R, Li Y, Su F, et al. Molecular and functional heterogeneity of primary pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and metastases. Neuroendocrinology. 2023;113(9):943–56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000530968</u> PMID: <u>37232011</u>
- Adamopoulos C, Cave DD, Papavassiliou AG. Inhibition of the RAF/MEK/ERK signaling cascade in pancreatic cancer: recent advances and future perspectives. Int J Mol Sci. 2024;25(3):1631. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25031631 PMID: 38338909
- Voutsadakis IA. Mutations of p53 associated with pancreatic cancer and therapeutic implications. Ann Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg. 2021;25(3):315–27. <u>https://doi.org/10.14701/ahbps.2021.25.3.315</u> PMID: <u>34402431</u>
- 64. Oliveira-Cunha M, Newman WG, Siriwardena AK. Epidermal growth factor receptor in pancreatic cancer. Cancers (Basel). 2011;3(2):1513–26. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers3021513 PMID: 24212772
- 65. Lanfredini S, Thapa A, O'Neill E. RAS in pancreatic cancer. Biochem Soc Trans. 2019;47(4):961–72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20170521</u> PMID: <u>31341034</u>
- **66.** Kao T-J, Wu C-C, Phan NN, Liu Y-H, Ta HDK, Anuraga G, et al. Prognoses and genomic analyses of proteasome 26S subunit, ATPase (PSMC) family genes in clinical breast cancer. Aging (Albany NY). 2021;13(14):17970. https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.203345 PMID: <u>34329194</u>
- 67. Jia H, Tang W-J, Sun L, Wan C, Zhou Y, Shen W-Z. Pan-cancer analysis identifies proteasome 26S subunit, ATPase (PSMC) family genes, and related signatures associated with prognosis, immune profile, and therapeutic response in lung adenocarcinoma. Front Genet. 2023;13:1017866. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.1017866 PMID: 36699466
- 68. Maghames CM, Lobato-Gil S, Perrin A, Trauchessec H, Rodriguez MS, Urbach S, et al. NEDDylation promotes nuclear protein aggregation and protects the Ubiquitin Proteasome System upon proteotoxic stress. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):4376. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06365-0</u> PMID: 30349034
- 69. Zhang S, Yu Q, Li Z, Zhao Y, Sun Y. Protein neddylation and its role in health and diseases. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2024;9(1):85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-024-01800-9 PMID: 38575611</u>
- 70. Favier B, Alam A, Barron P, Bonnin J, Laboudie P, Fons P, et al. Neuropilin-2 interacts with VEGFR-2 and VEGFR-3 and promotes human endothelial cell survival and migration. Blood. 2006;108(4):1243–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2005-11-4447</u> PMID: <u>16621967</u>
- Cives M, Pelle' E, Quaresmini D, Rizzo FM, Tucci M, Silvestris F. The tumor microenvironment in neuroendocrine tumors: biology and therapeutic implications. Neuroendocrinology. 2019;109(2):83–99. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000497355</u> PMID: <u>30699437</u>
- 72. Gerard L, Patte C, Chardon L, Hervieu V, Payen L, Allio M, et al. Neuropilin 2 and soluble neuropilin 2 in neuroendocrine neoplasms. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2024;31(6):e240052. <u>https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-24-0052</u> PMID: <u>38642579</u>
- 73. Liu H, Tang T. A bioinformatic study of IGFBPs in glioma regarding their diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic prediction value. Am J Transl Res. 2023;15(3):2140–55. PMID: 37056850
- 74. Contractor T, Clausen R, Harris GR, Rosenfeld JA, Carpizo DR, Tang L, et al. IGF2 drives formation of ileal neuroendocrine tumors in patients and mice. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2020;27(3):175–86. <u>https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-19-0505</u> PMID: <u>31951591</u>
- 75. Dynkevich Y, Rother KI, Whitford I, Qureshi S, Galiveeti S, Szulc AL, et al. Tumors, IGF-2, and hypoglycemia: insights from the clinic, the laboratory, and the historical archive. Endocr Rev. 2013;34(6):798–826. <u>https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2012-1033</u> PMID: 23671155
- 76. Simbolo M, Bilotta M, Mafficini A, Luchini C, Furlan D, Inzani F, et al. Gene expression profiling of pancreas neuroendocrine tumors with different Ki67-based grades. Cancers (Basel). 2021;13(9):2054. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13092054 PMID: 33922803
- Lantuejoul S, Moro D, Michalides RJ, Brambilla C, Brambilla E. Neural cell adhesion molecules (NCAM) and NCAM-PSA expression in neuroendocrine lung tumors. Am J Surg Pathol. 1998;22(10):1267–76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/00000478-199810000-00012</u> PMID: <u>9777989</u>
- 78. Santiago-Sánchez GS, Pita-Grisanti V, Quiñones-Díaz B, Gumpper K, Cruz-Monserrate Z, Vivas-Mejía PE. Biological functions and therapeutic potential of lipocalin 2 in cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(12):4365. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21124365</u> PMID: <u>32575507</u>



- 79. Gomez-Chou SB, Swidnicka-Siergiejko AK, Badi N, Chavez-Tomar M, Lesinski GB, Bekaii-Saab T, et al. Lipocalin-2 promotes pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma by regulating inflammation in the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Res. 2017;77(10):2647–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.</u> CAN-16-1986 PMID: <u>28249896</u>
- Xia Y, Feng X, Ning Y, Zhang W, Hu Z, Chen Q, et al. PLEKHG2 promotes NSCLC cell growth by increasing glycolysis via activated PI3K/AKT pathway. J Cancer. 2023;14(18):3550–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.88857</u> PMID: <u>38021149</u>
- Birnbaum DJ, Begg SKS, Finetti P, Vanderburg C, Kulkarni AS, Neyaz A, et al. Transcriptomic analysis of laser capture microdissected tumors reveals cancer- and stromal-specific molecular subtypes of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2021;27(8):2314–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-1039</u> PMID: <u>33547202</u>
- Chen P, Huang X, Li W, Wen W, Cao Y, Li J, et al. Myeloid-derived growth factor in diseases: structure, function and mechanisms. Mol Med. 2024;30(1):103. https://doi.org/10.1186/s10020-024-00874-z PMID: 39030488
- 83. Koch S. The transcription factor FOXQ1 in cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2025;44(1):22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-025-10240-y</u> PMID: 39777582
- Scarpa A, Chang DK, Nones K, Corbo V, Patch A-M, Bailey P, et al. Whole-genome landscape of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. Nature. 2017;543(7643):65–71. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21063</u> PMID: <u>28199314</u>
- Das SLM, Kennedy JIC, Murphy R, Phillips ARJ, Windsor JA, Petrov MS. Relationship between the exocrine and endocrine pancreas after acute pancreatitis. World J Gastroenterol. 2014;20(45):17196–205. <u>https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i45.17196</u> PMID: <u>25493036</u>
- 86. Di Domenico A, Pipinikas CP, Maire RS, Bräutigam K, Simillion C, Dettmer MS, et al. Epigenetic landscape of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours reveals distinct cells of origin and means of tumour progression. Commun Biol. 2020;3(1):740. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-01479-y</u> PMID: 33288854
- Liu H, Guo Z, Wang P. Genetic expression in cancer research: challenges and complexity. Gene Reports. 2024;37:102042. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genrep.2024.102042</u>