



## Androgen and Luteinizing Hormone Stimulate the Function of Rat Immature Leydig Cells Through Different Transcription Signals

Xiaoheng Li<sup>1,2†</sup>, Qiqi Zhu<sup>1,2†</sup>, Zina Wen<sup>1,3†</sup>, Kaimin Yuan<sup>2</sup>, Zhijian Su<sup>4</sup>, Yiyan Wang<sup>1</sup>, Ying Zhong<sup>3\*</sup> and Ren-Shan Ge<sup>1,2\*</sup>

#### OPEN ACCESS

**Edited by:** Katja Teerds, Wageningen University, Netherlands

#### Reviewed by:

Richard Ivell, University of Nottingham, United Kingdom Ilpo Huhtaniemi, Imperial College London, United Kingdom Peter O'Shaughnessy, University of Glasgow, United Kingdom

#### \*Correspondence:

Ren-Shan Ge r\_ge@yahoo.com Ying Zhong yzhong8@yahoo.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Reproduction, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

Received: 26 August 2020 Accepted: 15 February 2021 Published: 17 March 2021

#### Citation:

Li X, Zhu Q, Wen Z, Yuan K, Su Z, Wang Y, Zhong Y and Ge R-S (2021) Androgen and Luteinizing Hormone Stimulate the Function of Rat Immature Leydig Cells Through Different Transcription Signals. Front. Endocrinol. 12:599149. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.599149 <sup>1</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The Second Affiliated Hospital and Yuying Children's Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, The Second Affiliated Hospital and Yuying Children's Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China, <sup>3</sup> Department of Andrology, Chengdu Xi'nan Gynecological Hospital, Sichuan, China, <sup>4</sup> Department of Cell Biology & Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Bioengineering Medicine, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China

The function of immature Leydig cells is regulated by hormones, such as androgen and luteinizing hormone (LH). However, the regulation of this process is still unclear. The objective of this study was to determine whether luteinizing hormone (LH) or androgens contribute to this process. Immature Leydig cells were purified from 35-day-old male Sprague Dawley rats and cultured with LH (1 ng/ml) or androgen (7 $\alpha$ -methyl-19-nortestosterone, MENT, 100 nM) for 2 days. LH or MENT treatment significantly increased the androgens produced by immature Leydig cells in rats. Microarray and qPCR and enzymatic tests showed that LH up-regulated the expression of *Scarb1*, *Cyp11a1*, *Cyp17a1*, and *Srd5a1* while down-regulated the expression of *Sult2a1* and *Akr1c14*. On the contrary, the expression of *Cyp17a1* was up-regulated by MENT. LH and MENT regulate Leydig cell function through different sets of transcription factors. We conclude that LH and androgens participate in the regulation of rat immature Leydig cell function through different transcriptional pathways.

Keywords: luteinizing hormone, testosterone, development, immature Leydig cell, regulation, steroidogenesis

#### INTRODUCTION

Testosterone secreted by adult Leydig cells in the testis is the main androgen in the male circulation. In mature men, testosterone plays a key role in regulating spermatogenesis and maintaining secondary sexual characteristics (such as increased bone mass, muscle strength, and growth of body hair) (1).

1

Abbreviations: MENT, 7 $\alpha$ -Methyl-19- nortestosterone; CYP11A1, Cytochrome P450 cholesterol side chain cleavage enzyme; HSD3B1, 3 $\beta$ -Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 1; CYP17A1, Cytochrome P450 17 $\alpha$ -hydroxylase/17, 20-lyase; HSD17B3, 17 $\beta$ -Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 3; SRD5A1, Steroid 5 $\alpha$ -reductase isoform 1; AKR1C14, 3 $\alpha$ -Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase; DIOL, 5 $\alpha$ -Androstane-3 $\alpha$ ,17 $\beta$ -diol; LH, Luteinizing hormone; NR3C4, Androgen receptor; Tfm, feminized testis mice.

Adult Leydig cells are developed from stem cells during puberty (1, 2). In the rat model, the postnatal development of adult Leydig cells can be conceptually divided into four different stages: stem cells, progenitor cells, immature cells, and adult Leydig cells (1, 3). Stem Leydig cells are the spindle-shaped cells that can differentiate into the Leydig cell lineage (4-6). The first committed cell in this lineage is the progenitor Leydig cell (1). It is also spindle-shaped and expresses the Leydig cell lineage biomarker cytochrome P450 cholesterol side chain cleavage enzyme (CYP11A1, encoded by Cyp11a1), 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 1 (HSD3B1, encoded by Hsd3b1), and cytochrome P450 17α-hydroxylase/17,20-lyase (CYP17A1, encoded by Cyp17a1) and appears in interstitial compartment of the testes about 11 to 14 days after birth (1, 3). Progenitor Leydig cells do not have the last step of androgen synthetic enzyme 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 3 (HSD17B3, encoded by Hsd17b3), which can catalyze the conversion of androstenedione to testosterone (7), but have higher androgenmetabolizing enzymes, including steroid 5α-reductase isoform 1 (SRD5A1, encoded Srd5a1) and  $3\alpha$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (AKR1C14, encoded by Akr1c14), which metabolize androstenedione to androstanedione, and further into androsterone (7). In the first week after the appearance of progenitor Leydig cells, they rapidly divide to increase the number of Leydig cells (8), and then differentiate into oval immature Leydig cells around 28 days postpartum (9, 10). Immature Leydig cells express the last-step androgen synthetic enzyme HSD17B3 that catalyzes androstenedione into testosterone, which is further converted into 5\alpha-androstane-30,17β-diol (androstanediol, DIOL), because these cells still have high capacity of androgen-metabolic enzymes SRD5A1 and AKR1C14 (7). The development of adult Leydig cells from progenitor cells includes an increase of androgen synthetic enzymes (CYP11A1, HSD3B1, CYP17A1, and HSD17B3) and a decrease of androgen metabolic enzymes (SRD5A1 and AKR1C14) (7).

In immature Leydig cells, which hormone controls the proliferation and differentiation of Leydig cells, it is still unclear, but many factors are involved. These factors include luteinizing hormone (LH), insulin-like growth factor-1, transforming growth factor  $\alpha$ , transforming growth factor  $\beta$ , interleukin 1, thyroid hormone, anti-Müllerian hormone, and androgen (1). LH has been shown to be an important regulator of Leydig cell function (5, 11). LH works by binding to the LH receptor (LHCGR, encoded by Lhcgr) on the Leydig cell membrane, activating adenyyl cyclase to increase intracellular cAMP levels, thereby activating protein kinase A transcription factors (CREB and CREM) signals to regulate Leydig cell function (12). Indeed, knocking out Lhcgr in mice resulted in functional defects in Leydig cells, and there are almost no mature adult Leydig cells, only some progenitor Leydig cells exist (13, 14). Besides LH, other factors have yet to be determined. Rat immature Leydig cells contain the highest level of androgen receptor (NR3C4) (9). The role of NR3C4 in the development and function of Leydig cells is more complex, depending on the maturity of Leydig cells. Studies using feminized testis mice

(Tfm) with Nr3c4 null mutations have demonstrated the role of NR3C4 in Leydig cells (15). Despite the high circulating levels of LH, the Tfm had a significant reduction in testosterone production (15-17). In fact, the activity of CYP17A1 and HSD17B3 enzymes is significantly reduced in Tfm testes (15-17). Conditional knockout of Nr3c4 in mouse Leydig cells also demonstrated that autocrine NR3C4 signal is necessary for the maturation of adult Leydig cells and the regulation of steroidogenic enzymes (18). Although there is a lot of evidence that NR3C4 is important in the early stages of Leydig cell function, such as the progenitor Levdig cell stage (19, 20), NR3C4 signaling in the maturation of immature Leydig cells to adult Leydig cells has not been determined and the detailed signaling for NR3C4 is unclear. The objective of this study was to investigate and compare the effects of LH and androgen signals on the function of rat immature Leydig cells.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Materials**

Ovine LH was a gift from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney (USA). Since the immature Leydig cells in the 35-day-old rat testis have higher SRD5A1 and AKR1C14 (7), they easily metabolize testosterone to the weak androgen androstanediol, so a synthetic SRD5A1-resistant androgen  $7\alpha$ methyl-19-nortestosterone (MENT) was used (7, 21). MENT is more potent than testosterone in specifically binding NR3C4 (7, 21). MENT was obtained from Upjohn (Kalamazoo, MI). Sprague-Dawley rats were purchased from Shanghai Laboratory Animal Center (Shanghai, China). The animal experiment protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Wenzhou Medical University and carried out in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

### Immature Leydig Cell Isolation

The method of isolating immature Leydig cells was previously described (19). Briefly, testes from eighteen 35-day-old rats were taken out to isolate immature Leydig cells. The decapsulated testes were dispersed in M199 medium with 0.25 mg/ml collagenase D (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at 34°C with shaking (75 rpm) for 10 min. The separated cells were filtered through two layers of 100-µm nylon mesh, centrifuged at 250g, and resuspended in 55% isotonic Percoll. Subsequently, a density gradient centrifugation was performed in Percoll at 25,000g at 4°C for 45 min. The density of the immature Leydig cell fraction collected was between 1.070 and 1.080 g/ml. The cells were washed with Hank's buffered saline, centrifuged at 250g, and then resuspended in phenol red-free Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium:Nutrient Mixture F-12 (DMEM-F12) medium (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) supplemented with 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin. According to the published method (22), the purity of immature Leydig cells was determined by histochemical staining of HSD3B1 using 0.4 mM etiocholanolone as a substrate and NAD<sup>+</sup> as a cofactor. The enrichment of immature Leydig cells was typically as high as 95%. In total, eight isolations were performed.

#### Immature Leydig Cell Culture

To test the effects of MENT and LH on androgen synthesis and metabolism, we isolated immature Leydig cells.  $1 \times 10^6$  Leydig cells were seeded in a 12-well plate and cultured with different concentrations of MENT (10, 100, and 500 nM) or LH (0.1, 1, and 5 ng/ml) or MENT (100 nM) + LH (1 ng/ml) for 48 h. Testosterone and androstanediol from the culture medium were measured and then compared with the control (untreated, basal). Immature Leydig cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) twice and warmed 1× trypsin–EDTA solution (ThermoFisher Scientific Waltham, MA) was added, and the cells were harvested. An aliquot of cell solution was added to a hemocytometer for counting Leydig cell number. Cell viability was checked by the exclusion of 0.4% trypan blue using a kit (ThermoFisher Scientific Waltham, MA) as previously described (23).

#### **RNA Extraction**

According to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), total RNA was extracted from Leydig cells by homogenization in TRIzol. Briefly, rat immature Leydig cells were harvested and homogenized in TRIzol reagent and then extracted with chloroform. The aqueous supernatant containing RNA was retained and the RNA was precipitated with isopropanol. The RNA pellet was eluted with 70% ethanol, air dried, and resuspended in RNase-free water. The RNA was further purified using the RNeasy Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). A NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Fisher Scientific, NJ) was used to determine the RNA concentration, and an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Santa Clara, CA) was used to determine the integrity in each individual RNA sample.

#### **Microarray Hybridization and Scanning**

Three independently isolated batches of immature Leydig cells treated with LH and/or MENT in each group were used for the microarray array. The whole genome-expressed RatRef-12 Expression BeadChip from Illumina Inc (San Diego, CA) was used. Each BeadChip contains 21,910 genes, which are mainly selected from the NCBI RefSeq database covering the entire rat transcriptome. As previously reported (24), probe labeling, hybridization, washing, and scanning were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions using the Illumina Total Prep kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). First strand cDNA was synthesized in a total volume of 20 µl with the supplied reagents. The complete first-strand product was used for second-strand synthesis and then subjected to column purification. The purified product was then used for in vitro transcription using T7 polymerase. Biotin-16-dUTP was incorporated in this step, resulting in a biotinylated complementary RNA (cRNA) probe. An Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer was used to verify the integrity of the probe. The labeled cRNA (750 ng) was hybridized with the array overnight

at 58°C in a total volume of 30  $\mu l$  of hybridization buffer, followed by rigorous washing and scanning after hybridization.

#### **Microarray Data Analysis**

Scanned microarray expression data was imported into BeadStudio (Illumina, San Diego, CA) for normalization, preliminary analysis, and filtering. Average normalization without background subtraction was used, and the Illumina custom error model was used to generate present/absent calls for each probe ("present" defined as p < 0.01 for signal detection) on each array and to call differentially expressed genes at each of samples (defined as p < 0.05 after false discovery rate correction). Normalized data from BeadStudio was filtered to exclude genes that were not expressed in the testis (i.e., data from probes that were classed as "absent" in all samples). The net count of target mRNA was calculated by subtracting the background count from the mRNA count. Of the 21,910 genes present in the data, further analysis was conducted. In BeadStudio, linear plot comparison between groups was made, and Heatmap profiling was generated. The data were further imported into Microsoft Access 2010, and queries were generated to find Leydig cell specific genes.

### **Biological Pathway Analysis**

In order to characterize the biological process, Gene MicroArray Pathway Profiler 2.1 (GenMAPP2.1, San Francisco, CA) software was used to generate a list of significant (P < 0.05) regulatory pathways, and GenMAPP2.1 was used to create a map of signaling pathways. We imported the statistical results into the program and illustrated biological pathways containing differentially expressed genes. The results of the differential gene expression profile were validated by qPCR.

### **Quantitative Real-Time PCR**

After reverse transcription of the isolated RNA, the level of specific mRNA species was measured by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) using the SYBR method. Briefly, first strand synthesis and qPCR were performed as previously described (25). QPCR was conducted in a volume of 20 µl using a 96-well plate format by SYBR Green PCR Core Reagents (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Primer titration was performed at a concentration of 300 nM. ABI 7700 system (PE Applied Biosystems) was used to detect fluorescence. Each sample was run in duplicate and in parallel with no template controls. The relative mRNA level of the targeted gene was normalized to ribosomal protein S16 (Rps16, the house-keeping gene as an internal control) by standard curve method. Ct was read, a standard curve was generated, and the RNA expression in each sample was calculated. All primers in this study were designed by Primer 3 software (Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA). Forward and reverse primers were placed in different exons to minimize the effects of possible DNA contamination. These genes measured in this study are: luteinizing hormone receptor (Lhcgr), scavenger receptor class B member 1 (Scarb1), steroidogenic acute regulatory protein (Star), Cyp11a1, Hsd3b1, Cyp17a1, Hsd17b3, Srd5a1, Akr1c14,

*Insl3*, and *Ccnd1*. We also examined the biomarkers of mature Leydig cells: *Cdk1na*, *Svs5*, and *Ptgds*. Some primers were used in these papers (25, 26) and were listed in the **Supplementary Table S1**.

#### Western Blotting Analysis

Cells were homogenized and boiled in an equal volume of sample loading buffer, a Tris-Cl buffer (pH 6.8), containing 20% glycerol, 5% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 3.1% dithiothreitol, and 0.001% bromophenol blue. A homogenized sample (50 µg protein) was electrophoresed on a 10% polyacrylamide gel containing sodium dodecyl sulfate. Proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane, and after 1-h exposure to 5% skim milk to block nonspecific binding, the membrane was incubated with CYP17A1 (Abcam Trading Company Ltd. Shanghai, China; dilution, 1:1,000) and actin  $\beta$  (ACTB, Cell signaling technology, Danvers, MA; dilution, 1:1,000) antibody. The membrane was then washed and incubated with a goat antirabbit antiserum conjugated to horseradish peroxidase diluted 1:5,000. The washing steps were repeated, and the immunoreactive bands were visualized by chemiluminescence using Amersham ECL kit (Arlington Heights, IL). The density was calculated by ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, USA) and the density of CYP17A1 relative to ACTB was calculated.

#### Medium Testosterone and Androstanediol Measurement

Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden) column chromatography was used to separate testosterone and androstanediol as previously described (7). The elution system is chloroform: butane: ethanol (50:50:1, v/v/v) saturated with distilled water. Clear separation of testosterone and androstanediol in this system was confirmed using radiolabeled steroids (7). Separated testosterone and androstanediol were collected and their amounts were measured with a tritiumbased RIA as previously described (27). In brief, samples were incubated with a mixture of rabbit testosterone antiserum and <sup>3</sup>H-testosterone (for testosterone assay) or a mixture of rabbit DIOL antiserum and <sup>3</sup>H-androstanediol (for androstanediol assay) at 4°C overnight. Testosterone or androstanediol standards (10–2,000 pg/100  $\mu$ l) were measured in parallel.



Bound steroid was separated from the free steroid by mixing with dextran-coated activated charcoal followed by centrifugation. The bound supernatant was put in a bottle with a scintillation cocktail and the relative amount of radioactivity was counted in  $\beta$ -counter (Packard, Meriden, CT). The coefficient of inter-assay and intraassay was 7–8%.

#### Analysis of Steroidogenic Enzyme Activities

CYP11A1, HSD3B1, CYP17A1, HSD17B3, SRD5A1, and AKR1C14 activities of intact immature Levdig cells isolated in vitro were measured according to the method previously described (7). In brief, an unlabeled steroid (final concentration being 20 µM) spiked with its respective radiolabeled substrate (1 m Ci) for CYP11A1 (<sup>3</sup>Hhydroxychloesterol), HSD3B1 (<sup>3</sup>H-pregnenolone), CYP17A1 (<sup>3</sup>H-progesterone), and HSD17B3 (<sup>3</sup>H-androstenedione), SRD5A1 (<sup>3</sup>H-testosterone), and AKR1C14 (<sup>3</sup>Hdihydrotestosterone) was added. The reaction was started by adding an aliquot of  $0.1 \times 10^6$  Leydig cells to the reaction mixture. Since testosterone can be metabolized by  $5\alpha$ reduction in immature Leydig cells, 4-methyl-aza-3-oxo-5 -pregnan-20(S)-carboxylate (2 µM) was used to inhibit SRD5A1 when the activity of CYP17A1 and HSD17B3 measured. The reaction was maintained at pH 7.2. The reaction mixtures, conducted in triplicate, were maintained at 34°C in a shaking water bath (75 rpm) for 10 min. The

radioactive products were produced. Reactions were terminated by adding ice-cold ether, and steroids were rapidly extracted. The ether layer was dried under nitrogen. The extracted steroid was dissolved in 100 µl ether and plotted on a thin-layer chromatography plate. The activity of HSD3B1 was determined by measuring the conversion of pregnenolone to progesterone. The activity of CYP17A1 was determined by measuring the conversion of progesterone to 17αhydroxyprogesterone and androstenedione. The activity of HSD17B3 was determined by measuring the conversion of androstenedione to testosterone. The activity of SRD5A1 was determined by measuring the conversion of testosterone to dihydrotestosterone. The activity of AKR1C14 was determined by measuring the conversion of dihydrotestosterone to androstanediol. Steroids were separated on the thin layer chromatography plate in chloroform-methanol (97:3, v/v) for HSD3B1, HSD17B3, and SRD5A1 assays, in diethyl etheracetone (98:2, v/v) for AKR1C14, as well as chloroform-ether (7:1, v/v) for CYP17A1 assay. Radioactivity was measured by System 200/AC3000 radioactive scanner (Bioscan, Washington DC).

The activity of CYP11A1 was determined by measuring the conversion of side-chain labeled <sup>3</sup>H-hydroxycholesterol to radioactive 4-hydroxyl-4-methyl-pentanoic acid as previously described (7). In brief, incubation was performed at 34°C for 30 min, and after incubation, 0.5 ml NaOH (0.5 M) was added. The mixture was extracted twice with 2 ml of chloroform and





TABLE 1	Genes were up-regulated	5 folds or more by	androgen (MENT).
---------	-------------------------	--------------------	------------------

Ontogeny	Gene	Arbitrary count				
	symbol	Control	MENT	LH	LH +MENT	
Cell cycle	Cdkn1a	20	160(+8)	161(+8)	178(+8)	
Cytokine	Cxcl2	119	1250(+10)	5927(+50)	1157(+10)	
	116	75	644(+9)	1560(+21)	415(+6)	
Extracellular	Serpine1	1012	4982(+5)	8862(+9)	5070(+5)	
matrix						
	Cyr61	536	2581(+5)	5088(+10)	11970(+4)	
	Tfpi2	123	669(+5)	1706(+14)	539(+4)	
	Timp3	63	319(+5)	133(+2)	211(+3)	
Growth arrest	Errfi1	688	6335(+9)	3362(+5)	2667(+4)	
	Gadd45g	122	2071(+17)	488(+4)	689(+6)	
GTP binding	Arl5b	56	431(+8)	251(+4)	262(+5)	
Metabolism	Ptgs2	2160	14724(+7)	25443 (+12)	10581(+5)	
	Cyp26b1	513	2680(+5)	1522(+3)	1618(+3)	
	Aldh5a1	116	653(+6)	193(+2)	401(+3)	
	Ero1I	162	876(+5)	583(+4)	491(+3)	
Protein binding	Cldn1	73	405(+5)	158(+2)	166(+2)	
Growth factor	Fgl2	247	1653(+7)	297(+1.2)	523(+3)	
	Adm	281	3202(+11)	2730(+10)	2025(+7)	
	Ctgf	45	521(+12)	1237(+27)	232(+5)	
	Adora2a	765	4103(+5)	4143(+5)	3132(+4)	
	Gdf15	180	925(+5)	995(+5)	830(+5)	
Signaling	Csf3	34	181(+5)	1306(+39)	273(+8)	
	Pim1	31	355(+11)	166(+5)	196(+6)	
	Rgs4	43	418(+10)	674(+16)	291(+7)	
	Ppp1r3b	61	427(+7)	232(+4)	396(+6)	
Steroidogenesis	Cyp17a1	288	1082(+5)	600(+2)	574(+2)	
Transcription factor	Bhlhb3	217	1781(+8)	1081(+5)	1194(+5)	
	Atf3	102	619(+6)	648(+6)	382(+3)	
	Hig1	260	1438(+6)	1276(+5)	914(+4)	
	Mxd1	16	243(+15)	237(+15)	272(+17)	
	Bhlhb2	1248	6539(+5)	3926(+3)	4554(+4)	
	Bhlhb3	182	1745(+10)	1044(+6)	1158(+6)	
	Btg2	423	2116(+5)	3114(+7)	1552(+4)	
Transporter	Slc40a1	295	2225(+7)	306(+1)	624(+2)	
	Atp2b3	96	597(+5)	76(-0.9)	140(+1.4)	
	Slc2a3	26	193(+7)	153(+6)	147(+6)	

() Fold changes after MENT, LH or LH+MENT compared to control. 35 Genes examined, of them, the expression of 32 genes were up-regulated by 2 folds or higher by LH treatment.

mixed with neutral alumna to remove non-metabolized substrate, and an aliquot was removed for measurement by liquid scintillation counting on a scintillation counter (Packard, Meriden, CT).

#### **Statistics**

The data were analyzed by Student's t-test to find significant differences when comparing the two groups (if there were two treatments). The data were analyzed by ANOVA, and then post doc by Tukey's multiple comparison test to determine significant differences between both groups when comparing three or more groups (including microarray and qPCR data). All data are expressed as means  $\pm$  SE. The difference is considered significant at p < 0.05.

#### RESULTS

## Effects of MENT and LH on Androgen Production in Immature Leydig Cells

First, we established culture conditions for immature Leydig cells treated with MENT and LH. After 2 days of culture, LH and MENT did not alter the number of immature Leydig cells and cell viability. On days 1 and 2 after culture, immature Leydig cells can maintain the production of androstanediol and testosterone. However, compared with the value on day 1 (73.600  $\pm$  4.77 ng/10<sup>6</sup> cells.24 h, Mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 6), and rost ane diol production of immature Levdig cells in the control was significantly reduced on day 3  $(43.395 \pm 9.41 \text{ ng}/10^6 \text{ cells})$ 24 h), while maintaining testosterone production. As shown in Figure 1A (androstanediol) and Figure 1B (testosterone), MENT showed a stimulating effect on androgen production on days 1 and 2 at 100-500 nM. On the third day, MENT lost its stimulation. As shown in Figure 1C (androstanediol) and Figure 1D (testosterone), the stimulating effect of LH on androgens on days 1 and 2 was 0.1-5 ng/ml. On the third day, LH lost stimulation. LH (1 ng/ml) showed the highest increase in androstanediol and/or testosterone production on day 2.

Then, we used MENT (100 nM) and LH (1 ng/ml) alone or in combination to treat rat immature Leydig cells for 2 days as



TABLE 2	Genes were	down-regulated	5 folds o	r more :	after androge	n (MFNT)
		aowiningulatea	0 10103 0		and analogo	

Ontology	Symbol	Arbitrary count				
		CON	MENT	LH	LH+MENT	
Cytokine	Cxcl12	3151	427(-7)	477(-7)	390(-8)	
	ler5l	552	50(-11)	150(-4)	253(-2)	
	Xcl1	962	111(-9)	554(-2)	174(-6)	
	Cxcl11	3126	506(-6)	1715(-2)	368(-9)	
	Cxcl13	283	28(-10)	961(+3)	189(-0.5)	
Extracellular matrix	Slpi	363	14(-26)	872(+2)	223(-2)	
	Mmp12	392	67(-6)	880(+2)	91(-4)	
Metabolism	Ubd	6705	778(-9)	3930(-2)	776(-9)	
	Es1	11891	2051(-6)	10641(-1.1)	3524(-3)	
Protein binding	Sc65	562	91(-6)	176(-3)	221(-3)	
Receptor binding	Cd74	439	18(-24)	310(-1.3)	10(-46)	
	Tmem178	910	133(-7)	1149(+1.2)	513(-2)	
	Chi3l1	213	16(-13)	110(-2)	17(-13)	
	Asgr1	315	48(-7)	818 (+2)	473(+1.4)	
	Tmem15	153	27(-6)	45(-3)	65(-2)	
	Ccr5	324	71 (-5)	222(-1.3)	116(-3)	
Signaling	lgtp	788	164(-5)	384(-2)	246(-3)	
	Sh2d2a	208	31(-7)	56(-4)	33(-6)	
	Serpina3n	2744	579(-5)	2346(-1.2)	1399(-2)	
Transcription factor	Snrpa	1021	229(-5)	1533(+1.5)	558(-2)	
	Aif1	161	33(-5)	92(-2)	48(-3)	
Transporter	Pla2g2a	7253	167(-43)	4179(-2)	781(-9)	
-	Hpx	12486	412(-30)	11281(-1.1)	3621(-3)	
	S100a4	1588	415(-5)	1027(-1.5)	462(-3)	
	Lcn2	4902	568(-8)	3090(-1.5)	1436(-3)	

() Fold changes after MENT, LH or LH+MENT when compared to control (CON). 25 genes examined, of them, 12 genes were also down-regulated 2 folds or higher by LH treatment. described in **Figure 2A**. As shown in **Figure 2**, the combination of LH and MENT further increased the levels of androstanediol (**Figure 2A**) without affecting testosterone (**Figure 2B**), indicating that LH and MENT synergistically act on androstanediol production in rat immature Leydig cells.

#### Gene Profile of Rat Immature Leydig Cells After LH and MENT Treatment

Rat immature Leydig cells (three different replicates) were treated for 2 days without treatment (control) or 100 nM MENT, 1 ng/ml LH, or 100 nM MENT + 1 ng/ml LH (MENT + LH). The Illumina RatRef-12 expression chip was used to determine changes in mRNA levels. The whole genome expression containing 21,910 probes was analyzed. The counts of house-keeping gene Rps16 were 150.00 ± 4.00, 152.97 ± 9.37, 160.94 ± 8.88, and 145.56 ± 8.39, for control, MENT, LH, and MENT + LH, respectively and there was no significant difference between two groups. There was no statistical difference between two groups for Actb, another housing gene (data not shown). Among these probes, 8,047 probes were detected in the control group. When compared with the control group, MENT upregulated 98 genes by approximately three times (Table 1 lists the genes whose expression has increased five times). Of these genes, 74 were also up-regulated by two folds and higher by LH, and only one (Aoc3) was down-regulated by LH (Figure 3A). Compared with controls, MENT down-regulated the expression of 67 genes by approximately three times (Table 2 lists the genes



whose expression has decreased five times). Of these 67 genes, 18 genes were also down-regulated  $\geq 2$  folds, and only five were up-regulated by LH (**Figure 3B**). This suggests that the up-regulated genes between MENT and LH are mostly overlapping while the down-regulated genes are very different.

## Analysis of Steroidogenic Pathways and Cell Cycle Pathways

Using GenMAPP2, we discovered several pathways specific to MENT or LH regulation. As shown in **Figure 4** and **Supplementary Table S2**, steroidogenesis-related genes (*Scarb1*, *Cyp11a1*, and *Cyp17a1*) were significantly increased by  $\geq 2$  folds, while the two steroid metabolic enzymes (*Sult1a1* and *Akr1c14*) were significantly decreased by  $\geq 2$  folds after LH treatment. However, after MENT treatment, only *Cyp17a1* increased significantly by  $\geq 2$  folds. This suggests that MENT and LH stimulate the steroidogenesis of immature Leydig cells by targeting different genes. Interestingly, the combination of LH and MENT led to the increases of two additional genes (*Hsd3b1* and *Srd5a1*) besides *Scarb1*, *Cyp11a1*, and *Cyp17a1*, indicating that the combination of LH and MENT is conducive to optimized steroidogenesis.

When we examined cell cycle pathway (**Supplementary Figure S1**), we found that the expression of Cdkn1a, Cdkn1b, Gadd45a, Ccng2, and RGD735212 increased by  $\geq 2$  folds after MENT treatment. MENT treatment also resulted in downregulation of Ccnd1 and Pola2. The expression of Cdkn1a, Gadd45a, and Ccng2 was also significantly up-regulated by  $\geq 2$ folds after LH treatment. These results indicate that both LH and MENT cause cell cycle arrest by inducing similar cell cycle arrest regulators.

When we examined the transcription pathway, we found that MENT and LH regulated quite different sets of transcription factors. We divided the patterns of gene expression in four categories. As shown in Supplementary Table S2 and Figure 5A (for up-regulated genes), category 1 lists the gene expression only affected by MENT, which contains Atf5, Gfi1, Mecp2, Pawr, Ppard, Raf2, and Vps36; category 2 lists the gene expression affected by MENT and MENT+LH, which contains Atf4, Ccnl1, Dit3, Per1, Stat5b, and Trib3); category 3 lists the gene expression only affected by LH, which contains Egr1, Crem1, and Runx1; category 4 lists the gene expression affected by LH, MENT, and MENT + LH, which contains Atf3, Btg2, and Notch4. As shown in Figure 5B (for down-regulated genes), category 1 lists the gene expression only affected by MENT, which contains Smarcd2; category 2 lists the gene expression affected by MENT and MENT + LH, which contains Zbtb7a; category 3 lists the gene expression only affected by LH, which contains Aes and Tceal1; category 4 lists the gene expression affected by LH, MENT, and MENT + LH, which contains Irf7 and Nr1h3. This suggests that MENT function through divergent transcriptional regulation.

# Comparison of Microarray With qPCR Data

*Rps16* was used as a house-keeping gene. Its levels by qPCR were not significantly changed between groups (control, MENT, LH, and MENT + LH, **Figure 6**). We selected three sets of genes: unchanged after treatment with MENT and LH (*Lhcgr, Star,* and *Hsd17b3*), up-regulated by LH (*Scarb1, Cyp11a1*, and *Srd5a1*), up-regulated by LH and MENT (*Cyp17a1, Cdkn1a,* and *Insl3*), up-regulated only by LH plus MENT (*Hsd3b1*), and down-regulated by LH and MENT (*Ccnd1, Akr1c14,* and *Ccx12*) and







**FIGURE 6** | Messenger RNA levels are regulated by MENT or LH alone or in combination (MENT+LH) after qPCR analysis. In the presence of MENT or LH alone or in combination, immature Leydig cells were cultured for 2 days. The following genes were analyzed (A-N): *Lhcgr*, *Star*, *Hsd17b3*, *Scarb1*, *Cyp11a1*, *Srd5a1*, *Cyp17a1*, *Cdkn1a*, *Insl3*, *Hsd3b1*, *Ccnd1*, *Akr1c14*, *Cxcl12*, and *Rps16*. The data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 4–8 preparations. The same letter indicates that the difference between the two groups is not statistically significant (P < 0.05).

subjected to qPCR analysis. We found similar trends in these gene changes in the microarray (**Figure 6**), which indicates that the microarray analysis is reliable.

#### Identify Whether MENT Action Via Androgen Receptor

We treated rat immature Leydig cells for 2 days without (control), 1  $\mu$ M flutamide (FLU), 100 nM MENT, and 1  $\mu$ M FLU and 100 nM MENT (FLU + MENT), and selected four genes (*Cyp17a1*, *Cdkn1a*, *Svs5*, and *Ptgds*) are biomarkers of mature Leydig cells and can be analyzed by qPCR. We found that androgen receptor antagonist flutamide reversed the regulation

of these genes by MENT (**Figure 7**). This suggests that MENT up-regulates these genes though androgen receptors. We chose a protein CYP17A1 and found that the level of CYP17A1 changed with its mRNA (**Figure 8**).

## Identification of Enzyme Activity After LH or MENT Treatment

We performed enzyme activity assays to identify protein changes in CYP11A1, HSD3B1, CYP17A1, HSD17B3, SRD5A1, and AKR1C14 in rat immature Leydig cells using radioactive substrates. As shown in **Figure 9**, the activity of all enzymes paralleled changes in their mRNA levels.



**FIGURE 7** | Antagonistic effect of flutamide (FLU) on MENT-induced gene up-regulation. In the presence of MENT, FLU alone or in combination (MENT +FLU), immature Leydig cels were cultured for 2 days. The following genes were analyzed (**A–D**): *Cyp17a1*, *Cdkn1a*, *Svs5*, and *Ptgds*. The data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 4–8 preparations. The same letter indicates that the difference between the two groups is not statistically significant (P < 0.05).



**FIGURE 8** | Androgen receptor antagonist flutamide (FLU) antagonizes MENT-induced CYP17A1 level. In the presence of MENT, FLU alone or in combination (MENT +FLU), immature Leydig cells were cultured for 2 days. Analysis of CYP17A1 and actin  $\beta$  (ACTB) levels. The CYP17A1 level was adjusted to ACTB. **(A)** Western blot; **(B)** Quantitative data. The data is shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 3 preparations. The same letter indicates that the difference between the two groups is not statistically significant (P < 0.05).

### DISCUSSION

This study shows that androgen (MENT) and LH stimulate the function of immature Leydig cells in rats. androgen (MENT) and LH regulate different sets of transcription factors to control steroidogenesis. MENT mainly binds to NR3C4 to up-regulate the expression of *Cyp17a1*. LH mainly up-regulates the expression of *Cyp11a1*, *Cyp17a1*, and *Srd5a1* through *Crem1* and *Egr1* transcription factors. However, LH down-regulates the expression of steroid metabolic enzyme genes, *Akr1c14* and *Sult1a1*, thereby increasing the androgen formation of rat immature Leydig cells.

LH is essential for Leydig cell function because it is the principle stimulating hormone of androgen production in adult Leydig cells (1). Previous studies have shown that LH stimulated the development of rat progenitor Leydig cells into immature Leydig cells (5, 11, 20). In this study, we used 1 ng/ml LH instead of 100 ng/ ml because high concentration of LH can cause LHCGR internalization (28, 29), and we also used a 2-day culture in which immature Leydig cells still maintained strong androgen production. Obviously, LH (1 ng/ml) can increase androgen production by two to three times, which is reasonable because these cells have lower LHCGR number compared to adult Leydig cells (9). In this study, we also demonstrated that LH stimulated the function of immature Leydig cells. In the steroidogenic pathway, LH up-regulated the expression of Scarb1, Cyp11a1, and Cyp17a1, and down-regulated the expression of Sult1a1 and Akr1c14 (Fig.4), thereby increasing androgen synthesis. Our previous studies have demonstrated that immature Leydig cells matured into adult Leydig cells by increase in the expression of Scarb1, Cyp11a1, and Cyp17a1 and down-regulation of Akr1c14 (7, 30). Therefore, LH is an



important factor for function and differentiation of immature Leydig cells. The combination of MENT and LH also significantly down-regulated the expression of Akr1c14 (by 1.9 folds, **Supplementary Table S2**). The up-regulation of other biomarker of mature Leydig cells such as *Insl3* also suggests that LH is a factor for inducing the differentiation of immature Leydig cells.

LH has been demonstrated to regulate Leydig cell function by binding to LHCGR, activating adenylyl cyclase to increase cAMP levels, thereby activating PKA/CREB/CREM signals (12). Knocking out *Lhcgr* in mice led to defects in the function of Leydig cells with no adult Leydig cells in the adult testis (13, 14). Indeed, in the current study, we found that CREM was significantly up-regulated (**Figure 5**). Although individual studies identified that several pathways besides cAMP/PKA signal are also involved, including MEK/ERK1/2 signal, the present study provided the transcription profiles of LH-mediated action in immature Leydig cells *via* several other pathways, including LHCGR/EGR1, Runx1 et al. (**Figure 5**).

In the steroidogenic pathway, MENT is different from LH, and only up-regulates the expression of *Cyp17a1*. Earlier evidence from

the Tfm model supports the role of NR3C4 signaling in Leydig cell function. The expression levels of Leydig cell maturation markers Insl3 and Cyp17a1 in mice lacking functional NR3C4 were significantly reduced (16, 31). Although the role of NR3C4 in other cell types in Tfm cannot be ruled out, Leydig cell condition knockout mice for Nr3c4 also resulted in a significant reduction in mature Leydig cell biomarkers (Cyp17a1, Hsd17b3, and Insl3) (18). Obviously, NR3C4 acts through the androgen response element of the target gene. In this regard, in the Insl3 gene, the region that mediates and rogen action has been mapped to the sequence of 2132 to 285 in the promoter (32). According to reports (16, 18, 31), in Tfm or Leydig cell conditionally knocked out NR3C4 mice, Cyp17a1 expression levels were significantly down-regulated. However, there is little information about the NR3C4 stimulation site in Cyp17a1. In contrast, in mature Leydig cells, androgens actually inhibit the expression level of *Cyp17a1* through the binding sequence in the cAMP response region of the Cyp17a1 promoter (33). This difference in the androgen effect on the expression of Cyp17a1 may depend on the maturity of Leydig cells. Our data also

points to androgen stimulation of immature Leydig cell maturation, as indicated by increased expression of *Cyp17a1*, *Cdkn1a*, *Svs5*, and *Ptgds*. *Cyp17a1* (7), *Cdkn1a* (34), *Svs5* (35), and *Ptgds* (36) have been identified as biomarkers for Leydig cell maturation. The increase in the expression of these genes (*Cyp17a1*, *Cdkn1a*, *Svs5*, and *Ptgds*) mediated by MENT was antagonized by flutamide (**Figure 7**), which is an inhibitor of NR3C4, indicating that MENT upregulates the expression of these genes through androgen receptor.

Interestingly, among the up-regulated genes, MENT and LH showed higher similarity. Of the 98 genes that were up-regulated by MENT three times, 74 were also up-regulated by LH, and only 1 was down-regulated (Figure 3). Although some effects of LH on the gene expression may be mediated by testosterone, immature Leydig cells secrete a very low amount of testosterone and the major androgen is androstanediol, which almost has no binding to the androgen receptor. Furthermore, we found that LH and androgen regulate different sets of transcriptional factors related to Leydig cell differentiation. LH significantly up-regulated the expression of Crem1, Atf3, Egr1, and Runx1 (Figure 5), and down-regulated transcription factor Aes. The combination of MENT and LH also down-regulated Aes expression by 1.9-folds although the significant difference did not reach P < 0.05 (Supplementary Table S2). CREM, CREB (cAMP responsive element binding protein), and ATF (activating transcript factor) belong to the CREB transcriptional factor family, they respond to cAMP signaling and bind to cAMP response element (CRE) site in the target gene promoter (37-39). LH-LHCGR-protein kinase A signaling may lead to up-regulation of Crem1 and Atf3. It has been reported that LH up-regulated Egr1 in gonadal cells (40), which is critical for steroidogenesis in Leydig cells (41). There are also reports that Runx1 is up-regulated by LH and is involved in steroidogenesis in gonadal cells (42). Thirteen genes (Atf5, Gfi1, Mecp2, Pawr, Ppard, Raf2, Vps36, Atf4, Ccnl1, Dit3, Per1, Stat5b, and Trib3) were significantly up-regulated while two genes (Smarcd2 and Zbtb7a) were down-regulated only by MENT by 2-fold (Figure 5). These data suggest that LH and MENT function through different transcriptional regulation mechanisms.

In conclusion, this study shows that androgen and MENT can induce immature Leydig cells to differentiate into adult Leydig cells. Androgen and androgen act on their respective receptors and activated different sets of transcriptional factors to regulate the function of immature cells into adult Leydig cells (**Supplementary Figure S2**).

#### REFERENCES

- Chen P, Zirkin BR, Chen H. Stem Leydig Cells in the Adult Testis: Characterization, Regulation and Potential Applications. *Endocr Rev* (2020) 41:22–32. doi: 10.1210/endrev/bnz013
- Haider SG. Cell biology of Leydig cells in the testis. Int Rev Cytol (2004) 233:181–241. doi: 10.1016/S0074-7696(04)33005-6
- Ye L, Li X, Li L, Chen H, Ge RS. Insights into the development of the adult Leydig cell lineage from stem Leydig cells. *Front Physiol* (2017) 8:430. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.00430
- Ge RS, Dong Q, Sottas CM, Papadopoulos V, Zirkin BR, Hardy MP. In search of rat stem Leydig cells: identification, isolation, and lineage-specific development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2006) 103:2719–24. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.0507692103

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

#### ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Wenzhou Medical University.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

XL, QZ, ZW, YW, KY, and ZS performed experiments. KY performed microarray analysis. XL, YZ, and R-SG designed the study. XL drafted the manuscript. R-SG edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

#### FUNDING

The work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81730042).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2021. 599149/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure 1 | Analysis of G1 to S cell cycle-controlled gene pathway regulated by MENT, LH alone or in combination (MENT + LH). Red indicates that the gene is up-regulated; green indicates that the gene is down-regulated.

**Supplementary Figure 2** | Illustration of androgen (MENT) and luteinizing hormone (LH) regulating steroid synthesis and metabolism in Leydig cells through different pathways and targets. MENT binds to androgen receptor (NR3C4) to up-regulate the expression of *Cyp17a1*. LH binds to its receptor (LHCGR) to trigger the cAMP/PKA pathway, thereby up-regulating the expression of *Scarb1*, *Cyp11a1*, and *Cyp17a1* and down-regulating the expression of *Sult1a1* and *Akr1c14*.

- Stanley E, Lin CY, Jin S, Liu J, Sottas CM, Ge R, et al. Identification, proliferation, and differentiation of adult Leydig stem cells. *Endocrinology* (2012) 153:5002–10. doi: 10.1210/en.2012-1417
- Stojkov NJ, Janjic MM, Baburski AZ, Mihajlovic AI, Drljaca DM, Sokanovic SJ, et al. Sustained *in vivo* blockade of alpha(1)-adrenergic receptors prevented some of stress-triggered effects on steroidogenic machinery in Leydig cells. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* (2013) 305:E194–204. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo. 00100.2013
- Ge RS, Hardy MP. Variation in the end products of androgen biosynthesis and metabolism during postnatal differentiation of rat Leydig cells. *Endocrinology* (1998) 139:3787–95. doi: 10.1210/endo.139.9.6183
- Hardy MP, Zirkin BR, Ewing LL. Kinetic studies on the development of the adult population of Leydig cells in testes of the pubertal rat. *Endocrinology* (1989) 124:762–70. doi: 10.1210/endo-124-2-762

- Shan LX, Hardy MP. Developmental changes in levels of luteinizing hormone receptor and androgen receptor in rat Leydig cells. *Endocrinology* (1992) 131:1107–14. doi: 10.1210/endo.131.3.1505454
- Shan LX, Phillips DM, Bardin CW, Hardy MP. Differential regulation of steroidogenic enzymes during differentiation optimizes testosterone production by adult rat Leydig cells. *Endocrinology* (1993) 133:2277–83. doi: 10.1210/endo.133.5.8404681
- Odeh HM, Kleinguetl C, Ge R, Zirkin BR, Chen H. Regulation of the proliferation and differentiation of leydig stem cells in the adult testis. *Biol Reprod* (2014) 90:123. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.114.117473
- Casarini L, Santi D, Simoni M, Poti F. 'Spare' Luteinizing Hormone Receptors: Facts and Fiction. Trends Endocrinol Metab (2018) 29:208–17. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2018.01.007
- Lei ZM, Mishra S, Zou W, Xu B, Foltz M, Li X, et al. Targeted disruption of luteinizing hormone/human chorionic gonadotropin receptor gene. *Mol Endocrinol* (2001) 15:184–200. doi: 10.1210/mend.15.1.0586
- Zhang F-P, Poutanen M, Wilbertz J, Huhtaniemi I. Normal prenatal but arrested postnatal sexual development of luteinizing hormone receptor knockout (LHRKO) mice. *Mol Endocrinol* (2001) 15:172?183. doi: 10.1210/mend.15.1.0582
- Murphy L, O'shaughnessy PJ. Testicular steroidogenesis in the testicular feminized (Tfm) mouse: loss of 17 alpha-hydroxylase activity. J Endocrinol (1991) 131:443–9. doi: 10.1677/joe.0.1310443
- O'shaughnessy PJ, Murphy L. Cytochrome P-450 17 alpha-hydroxylase protein and mRNA in the testis of the testicular feminized (Tfm) mouse. *J Mol Endocrinol* (1993) 11:77–82. doi: 10.1677/jme.0.0110077
- O'shaughnessy PJ, Johnston H, Willerton L, Baker PJ. Failure of normal adult Leydig cell development in androgen-receptor-deficient mice. J Cell Sci (2002) 115:3491–6.
- O'hara L, Mcinnes K, Simitsidellis I, Morgan S, Atanassova N, Slowikowska-Hilczer J, et al. Autocrine androgen action is essential for Leydig cell maturation and function, and protects against late-onset Leydig cell apoptosis in both mice and men. *FASEB J* (2015) 29:894–910. doi: 10.1096/fj.14-255729
- Hardy MP, Kelce WR, Klinefelter GR, Ewing LL. Differentiation of Leydig cell precursors *in vitro*: a role for androgen. *Endocrinology* (1990) 127:488–90. doi: 10.1210/endo-127-1-488
- Guo JJ, Ma X, Wang CQ, Ge YF, Lian QQ, Hardy DO, et al. Effects of luteinizing hormone and androgen on the development of rat progenitor Leydig cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Asian J Androl (2013) 15:685–91. doi: 10.1038/aja.2013.55
- Shan L, Hardy DO, Catterall JF, Hardy MP. Effects of luteinizing hormone (LH) and androgen on steady state levels of messenger ribonucleic acid for LH receptors, androgen receptors, and steroidogenic enzymes in rat Leydig cell progenitors *in vivo. Endocrinology* (1995) 136:1686–93. doi: 10.1210/endo.136.4.7895679
- Payne AH, Downing JR, Wong KL. Luteinizing hormone receptors and testosterone synthesis in two distinct populations of Leydig cells. *Endocrinology* (1980) 106:1424–9. doi: 10.1210/endo-106-5-1424
- Strober W. Trypan Blue Exclusion Test of Cell Viability. Curr Protoc Immunol (2015) 111:A3 B 1–3. doi: 10.1002/0471142735.ima03bs111
- 24. Griffin DK, Ellis PJ, Dunmore B, Bauer J, Abel MH, Affara NA. Transcriptional profiling of luteinizing hormone receptor-deficient mice before and after testosterone treatment provides insight into the hormonal control of postnatal testicular development and Leydig cell differentiation. *Biol Reprod* (2010) 82:1139–50. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.109.082099
- 25. Lin H, Lian QQ, Hu GX, Jin Y, Zhang Y, Hardy DO, et al. In utero and lactational exposures to diethylhexyl-phthalate affect two populations of leydig cells in male long-evans rats. *Biol Reprod* (2009) 80:882–8. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.108.072975
- 26. Lin H, Ge RS, Chen GR, Hu GX, Dong L, Lian QQ, et al. Involvement of testicular growth factors in fetal Leydig cell aggregation after exposure to phthalate in utero. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2008) 105:7218–22. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0709260105
- Cochran RC, Ewing LL, Niswender GD. Serum levels of follicle-stimulating hormone, prolactin, testosterone, 5a-dihydrotestosterone, 5a-androstane-3a,17bdiol, 5a-androstane-3b,17b-diol and 17b-estradiol from male beagles with spontaneous or induced benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Invest Urol* (1981) 19:142–7.
- 28. Habberfield AD, Dix CJ, Cooke BA. Effects of lutropin (LH) receptor internalization and recycling on the apparent numbers of LH receptors in

rat testis Leydig cells at different temperatures. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* (1987b) 51:153–61. doi: 10.1016/0303-7207(87)90129-8

- Habberfield AD, Dix CJ, Cooke BA. The dynamics of LH-induced desensitization of adenylate cyclase and LH receptor internalization in rat Leydig cells at physiological levels of LH. *J Endocrinol* (1987a) 114:415–22. doi: 10.1677/joe.0.1140415
- Ge RS, Dong Q, Sottas CM, Chen H, Zirkin BR, Hardy MP. Gene expression in rat leydig cells during development from the progenitor to adult stage: a cluster analysis. *Biol Reprod* (2005) 72:1405–15. doi: 10.1095/ biolreprod.104.037499
- Murphy L, Jeffcoate IA, O'shaughnessy PJ. Abnormal Leydig cell development at puberty in the androgen-resistant Tfm mouse. *Endocrinology* (1994) 135:1372–7. doi: 10.1210/endo.135.4.7925099
- 32. Lague E, Tremblay JJ. Antagonistic effects of testosterone and the endocrine disruptor mono-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate on INSL3 transcription in Leydig cells. *Endocrinology* (2008) 149:4688-94. doi: 10.1210/en.2008-0310
- Burgos-Trinidad M, Youngblood GL, Maroto MR, Scheller A, Robins DM, Payne AH. Repression of cAMP-induced expression of the mouse P450 17 alpha-hydroxylase/C17-20 lyase gene (Cyp17) by androgens. *Mol Endocrinol* (1997) 11:87–96. doi: 10.1210/mend.11.1.9871
- 34. Lin H, Huang Y, Su Z, Zhu Q, Ge Y, Wang G, et al. Deficiency of CDKN1A or both CDKN1A and CDKN1B affects the pubertal development of mouse Leydig cells. *Biol Reprod* (2015) 92:77. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.114.118463
- 35. Zhang YF, Yuan KM, Liang Y, Chu YH, Lian QQ, Ge YF, et al. Alterations of gene profiles in Leydig-cell-regenerating adult rat testis after ethane dimethane sulfonate-treatment. Asian J Androl (2015) 17:253–60. doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.136447
- 36. Strauss L, Kallio J, Desai N, Pakarinen P, Miettinen T, Gylling H, et al. Increased exposure to estrogens disturbs maturation, steroidogenesis, and cholesterol homeostasis via estrogen receptor alpha in adult mouse Leydig cells. *Endocrinology* (2009) 150:2865–72. doi: 10.1210/en.2008-1311
- Hoeffler JP, Meyer TE, Yun Y, Jameson JL, Habener JF. Cyclic AMPresponsive DNA-binding protein: structure based on a cloned placental cDNA. *Science* (1988) 242:1430–3. doi: 10.1126/science.2974179
- Rehfuss RP, Walton KM, Loriaux MM, Goodman RH. The cAMP-regulated enhancer-binding protein ATF-1 activates transcription in response to cAMP-dependent protein kinase A. J Biol Chem (1991) 266:18431–4. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(18)55078-X
- Foulkes NS, Mellstrom B, Benusiglio E, Sassone-Corsi P. Developmental switch of CREM function during spermatogenesis: from antagonist to activator. *Nature* (1992) 355:80–4. doi: 10.1038/355080a0
- Yoshino M, Mizutani T, Yamada K, Tsuchiya M, Minegishi T, Yazawa T, et al. Early growth response gene-1 regulates the expression of the rat luteinizing hormone receptor gene. *Biol Reprod* (2002) 66:1813–9. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod66.6.1813
- Tourtellotte WG, Nagarajan R, Bartke A, Milbrandt J. Functional compensation by Egr4 in Egr1-dependent luteinizing hormone regulation and Leydig cell steroidogenesis. *Mol Cell Biol* (2000) 20:5261–8. doi: 10.1128/ MCB.20.14.5261-5268.2000
- 42. Jo M, Curry TE Jr. Luteinizing hormone-induced RUNX1 regulates the expression of genes in granulosa cells of rat periovulatory follicles. *Mol Endocrinol* (2006) 20:2156–72. doi: 10.1210/me.2005-0512

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Li, Zhu, Wen, Yuan, Su, Wang, Zhong and Ge. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.