



# The Brazilian Journal of INFECTIOUS DISEASES

www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid



## Original article

# Conventional serological performance in diagnosis of Chagas' disease in southern Brazil

Anelise Bergmann Araújo<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Maria Elisabeth Aires Berne<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Parasitology Post-Graduation, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, RS, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Umbilical Cord Blood Bank, Hemotherapy Service, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 20 March 2012

Accepted 1 October 2012

Available online 28 February 2013

### Keywords:

*Trypanosoma cruzi*

Chagas' disease

Serodiagnosis

Immunoassays

## ABSTRACT

Results of Chagas' disease diagnosis show disagreement. The aim of this study was to compare commercial tests for Chagas' disease serodiagnosis in southern Brazil. A total of 161 samples were evaluated. Three enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays, one indirect hemagglutination and one indirect immunofluorescence were assessed. Trypomastigote excreted-secreted antigen-blot was a confirmatory method. From 161 samples, 65.84% were positive in all tests, while 34.16% presents mismatch result in at least one of the tests. All techniques tested presented false-positive and/or false-negative results as follows: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay 1 had more false-positive results (lower specificity), indirect immunofluorescence had the highest rate of false-negative results (lower sensitivity), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays had fewer false-negative results (higher sensitivity), while indirect hemagglutination showed no false-positive result (higher specificity). Knowing the characteristics of techniques make it possible to combine them and obtain more reliable diagnosis. Therefore, it seems useful to combine techniques for diagnosing this infection.

© 2013 Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob a licença de [CC BY-NC-ND](#)

## Introduction

Chagas' disease, caused by the protozoan *Trypanosoma cruzi*, constitutes a serious public health problem in Latin America. Once controlling measures against the triatomine insects are implemented, secondary mechanisms of transmission become relevant, such as blood transfusion, organ transplantation, ingestion of contaminated food and transplacental route, especially in countries where vectorial transmission does not occur.<sup>1</sup> In many countries outside Latin America,

Chagas' disease diagnosed among immigrants from endemic areas is a cause for concern.<sup>2-5</sup>

The diagnosis of Chagas' disease is difficult, both in routine laboratories dealing with suspected infections and in screening laboratories (blood banks, umbilical cord blood banks, organs donors, etc.). Although there are several tests available to indirect diagnosis (especially enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), indirect hemagglutination (IHA) and indirect immunofluorescence (IIF)), there is not a gold-standard technique, and the results obtained by different techniques show disagreement, with varying levels of

\* Corresponding author at: Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Rua Ramiro Barcelos, 2350, Porto Alegre, RS 90035-903, Brazil.

E-mail address: [anelise\\_araujo@yahoo.com.br](mailto:anelise_araujo@yahoo.com.br) (A.B. Araújo).

1413-8670 © 2013 Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob a licença de [CC BY-NC-ND](#)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2012.10.013>

sensitivity and specificity.<sup>6-8</sup> These results may be related to the parasitic form used to obtain the antigens; there are antigenic differences between epimastigote and amastigote forms, accepting that their immunodominant fractions are not the same.<sup>9</sup> Trypomastigotes-based ELISA showed higher specificity<sup>10,11</sup> and, in some cases, higher sensitivity too.<sup>9</sup> The source of the strain used to obtain the antigen can produce differences in technique performance, with better results with antigens from local strains of *T. cruzi*.<sup>12-14</sup>

Nonspecific reactions, causing inconclusive or false positives (FPs) can occur in the diagnosis of Chagas' disease, most frequently by antibodies produced by patients with leishmaniasis.<sup>9,12,15-18</sup> Some confirmatory tests have been described for conventional serology in doubtful situations, such as trypomastigote excreted-secreted antigen-blot (TESA-blot),<sup>19</sup> INNO-LIA Chagas (line immunoassay),<sup>20</sup> RIPA (radioimmune precipitation assay)<sup>21</sup> and Abott Chagas immunoassay.<sup>22</sup> TESA-blot shows high sensitivity and specificity in different groups of patients compared to those of conventional serological methods.<sup>23,24</sup> It has been used as the gold-standard in the serology of Chagas' disease.<sup>25</sup> TESA-blot is considered positive when sera react with antigens of 130-200 kDa and/or antigens of 150-160 kDa, and some sera also react with bands of 80-120 kDa (SAPA - shed acute-phase antigen). Several chronic patients also react with SAPA bands plus a band of approximately 95 kDa.<sup>19</sup>

This study was conducted to compare different tests utilized for serodiagnosis of Chagas' disease in patients of Rio Grande do Sul State, Southern Brazil. In order to obtain reliable results for Chagas' disease it is imperative to understand the performance of the available techniques.

## Materials and methods

### Sample collection

The tests were evaluated in 161 serum samples from Laboratory of Serology of Blood Center of Pelotas City, Brazil, that were positive in at least one of the techniques utilized in this study. The Blood Center of Pelotas receives blood donors living in both urban and rural areas of south of Rio Grande do Sul State, Southern Brazil. The samples from adults (18-65 years old) were collected and serum samples were frozen at -20 °C until the end of analysis.

### Ethics statement

At blood collection, all subjects gave written consent to participate in this study. Privacy and anonymity of patients were strictly ensured. The study was approved by the Research Committee of Postgraduate Program of Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Brazil, and by the Blood Center of Pelotas City, Brazil.

### Serological methods

ELISA 1 - Chagatest® (Wiener Lab, Argentina). ELISA 2 - CHAGATEK® (Biolab-Mérieux, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). ELISA 3 - EIAgen *T. cruzi* IgG + IgM (Adaltis, Bologna, Italy). Indirect

hemagglutination (IHA) - Chagatest IHA® - Screening AV (Wiener Lab, Argentina). In case of reactive samples, quantitative tests were carried out using the same methodology, performing serial dilutions of serum. Indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) - IMUNOCRUIZ® (Biolab-Mérieux, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) and conjugated sheep anti-IgG human marked by fluorescein isothiocyanate, FLUOLINE® (Biolab-Mérieux, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil).

The tests were evaluated as described in the specific methodology. Positive and negative controls were always included to validate the results.

### Confirmatory test - TESA-blot

Immunoblotting with antigen TESA (excretory-secretory antigen of *T. cruzi*) was used as a confirmatory method<sup>19,23,24</sup> in samples with discordant results between the techniques and also in reactive samples in all tests, to assess the pattern of bands recognized by sera positive for *T. cruzi* in patients of southern of Brazil.

### Statistical analysis

The Cohen's Kappa index was used to measure the magnitude of agreement beyond chance between serological tests and the confirmatory method TESA-blot. Kappa values >0.81 represent 'excellent' agreement; those between 0.61 and 0.8 show 'good' agreement; those between 0.41 and 0.6 show 'moderate' agreement; those between 0.21 and 0.4 show 'weak' agreement and values <0.21 represent 'negligible' agreement.

## Results

Table 1 presents the results of 161 serum samples showing reactivity to anti-*T. cruzi* by the tested techniques. The samples were divided into 12 groups, according to its reactivity in the techniques panel and were compared with TESA-blot results.

Considering the results of confirmatory testing, 122/161 (75.78%) samples were positive while 39/161 (24.12%) were negative for anti-*T. cruzi*. The 150-160 and 95 kDa bands were showed, in higher or lower intensity, in positive samples by TESA-blot. In some samples, the range of 120-210 kDa bands related to SAPA was evident. According to Table 1, from 161 sera analyzed, 106 (65.84%) were positive in all commercial tests (Table 1, group 1). When a sample was positive in all commercial tests, TESA-blot confirmed the result. In the remaining 55 samples (43.16%) mismatch result was observed with at least one of the tests.

All samples showed reactivity to at least one of three types of ELISA tested. ELISAs 1, 2 and 3 had an index of reactivity (OD/cut off) ranging from 0.90 to 8.30, 0.96 to 16.28 and 1.02 to 13.35, respectively. A comparison among the three ELISA tests showed a concordance in 123 samples (76.4%), or even, considering the same methodology, a variation was observed between different types of kits. Reactivity only with one ELISA occurred in 21 samples, which was more common with ELISA 1 (Table 1, groups 9, 10 and 12). Of these, only one sample developed bands with TESA-blot. Positive samples in only two ELISA tests (Table 1, groups 6, 7 and 8) were negative with

**Table 1 – Reactivity of 161 samples for *Trypanosoma cruzi* tested by three ELISAs, an IHA and an IIF compared with TESA-blot result, composing 12 groups, according samples compartment in techniques panel.**

Group	Conventional tests results					Samples frequency number (%)	Positive TESA-blot
	A	B	C	D	E		
1	P	P	P	P	P	106 (65.84)	106
2	P	P	P	P	N	10 (6.21)	10
3	P	P	P	N	N	6 (3.73)	3
4	P	N	P	N	P	2 (1.24)	1
5	P	P	P	N	P	1 (0.62)	0
6	P	N	P	N	N	9 (5.59)	0
7	P	P	N	N	N	1 (0.62)	0
8	N	P	P	N	N	4 (2.48)	0
9	N	N	P	N	N	1 (0.62)	0
10	P	N	N	N	N	19 (11.8)	1
11	N	P	P	P	P	1 (0.62)	1
12	N	P	N	N	N	1 (0.62)	0
Total						161 (100)	122

Order of conventional tests: A – ELISA 1, B – ELISA 2, C – ELISA 3, D – IHA and E – IIF. N represents negative results and P represents positive results.

TESA-blot. Reagent samples with ELISAs and negative with IHA and IIF (6/161) were 50% negative and 50% weakly positive with TESA-blot (Table 1, group 3). Non-reagent sample only with ELISA 1 (Table 1, group 11) was positive with TESA-blot, thus false-negative (FN) results.

With IHA, 117 samples (72.67%) were reactive and 44 (27.33%) were negative. This technique disagreed in isolation with other techniques (Table 1, group 5) in only one sample (0.62%), whose TESA-blot result confirmed IHA result.

In the case of IIF, 110 (68.32%) samples were positive and 51 (31.68%) were negative. The IIF disagreed with other techniques (Table 1, group 2) in 10 samples (6.21%), where IIF showed negative results, whereas the other four techniques and the TESA-blot showed positive results.

Results with ELISA, IHA and IIF were compared with TESA-blot, highlighting FP and FN results of each technique (Table 2). ELISA 1 had more FP results (33 samples); IIF presented had the highest number of FN results (14 samples); the ELISAs, in general, showed fewer FN results (1 sample with ELISA 1 and ELISA 3, and 2 samples with ELISA 2), whereas there was no FP result with IHA.

According to Kappa index, the agreement with the confirmatory method was excellent for IHA technique, good for ELISA 2, ELISA 3 and IIF, and weak for ELISA 1.

## Discussion

As observed in this study, the discrepancy between results of serological tests is frequent.<sup>7,12,21,24,26-30</sup> A comparison of only ELISA tests in this study showed higher agreement than in other comparative studies.<sup>7,8</sup> The variety of *T. cruzi* antigens used in the preparation of the extract utilized in different serological tests may result in discrepancies in the results when analyzing only one sample. The heterogeneity and genetic variability among strains of *T. cruzi* are well known, with a predominance of one lineage or population in a location, identified as regional strains, which modifies the biological conditions, virulence, clinical profiles of the host

and, consequently, antibodies with variable performance in diagnostic methods.<sup>31-36</sup> The use of regional strains provides the best performance compared to the use of strains of various origins.<sup>12</sup> Likewise, the parasitic form may interfere with the proven results of serological tests, so that antigens of trypomastigotes, an exclusive form of *Trypanosoma*, have a better specificity and, in some cases, sensitivity.<sup>9,11</sup> In addition to issues related to the kits, other interferences can be responsible for unspecific reactions, such as inadequate samples and biological issues, as cross reactions or individuals on treatment. The most common cross-reactions with Chagas' disease occur with serum from patients with leishmaniasis,<sup>9,18</sup> which was not a problem in this study, since this disease has not been reported in the region of origin of the samples. The

**Table 2 – Positive and negative cases for each technique compared with TESA-blot (confirmatory method) results and Kappa index.**

Conventional tests	TESA-blot		Kappa index
	Positives	Negatives	
ELISA 1			
Positives	121	33	0.21
Negatives	1	6	
ELISA 2			
Positives	120	10	0.77
Negatives	2	29	
ELISA 3			
Positives	121	19	0.61
Negatives	1	20	
IHA			
Positives	117	0	0.92
Negatives	5	39	
IIF			
Positives	108	2	0.76
Negatives	14	37	
	122	39	

subjectivity of the reading techniques of IIF and IHA may also cause variation in samples with weakly reactive results.

All serological techniques tested presented failures for sensitivity and/or specificity, considering the occurrence of FP and/or FN results in all of them (Table 1). The IIF, with highest number of FN, showed more isolated non-reagent results (10/161 samples). In contrast, other studies showed 100% sensitivity with IIF.<sup>7</sup> On the other hand, the ELISA techniques in general proved to be more sensitive. The IHA was the technique with the greatest specificity and was the only one with no FP, while the ELISA 1 presented the lowest specificity. Also there was greater specificity with IHA compared to ELISA and, on the other hand, greater sensitivity with ELISA.<sup>6</sup>

Considering the results of the confirmatory test, when the sample was positive in only one or two techniques, the trend was for a FP result, and the ELISA's reactivity index was low. The same was observed in the confirmatory test INNO-LIA, where the majority of negative results were detected in sera that had reacted in only one of the screening techniques.<sup>20</sup>

In an attempt to improve the quality of serodiagnosis of Chagas' disease, it seems useful to combine more than one technique for the diagnosis of this infection. The commercially available diagnostic kits are produced from certain strains of *T. cruzi* and marketed to different regions. Therefore, it would be worthwhile that at least the antigenic extract used was composed of strains from different regions, with more sensitive and specific parasitic form.<sup>9,11</sup> Additionally, a confirmatory test of a higher sensitivity and specificity should be added.<sup>23,24</sup>

## Conclusion

The techniques tested show disagreement in results for anti-*T. cruzi* detection, confirming the knowledge existing in the literature. All of them presented FP and/or FN results. It is important to know the characteristics of different techniques in order to associate them and obtain a more reliable and appropriate diagnosis to the stage of disease and patient situation.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare to have no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgements

Our thanks to Dr. Eufrosina Umezawa, from Tropical Medicine Institute of Sao Paulo, Brazil, for providing the TESA-blot used in this study and to PhD Eduardo Xavier, from Universidade Federal de Pelotas, RS, Brazil, for the English review.

## REFERENCES

- Dias JCP. Elimination of Chagas disease transmission: perspectives. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* 2009;104:41-5.
- Lescure FX, Canestri A, Melliez H, et al. Chagas disease, France. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2008;14:644-6.
- Muñoz J, Prat JG, Gallego M, et al. Clinical profile of *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection in a non-endemic setting: immigration and Chagas disease in Barcelona (Spain). *Acta Trop.* 2009;111:51-5.
- Jackson Y, Gétaz L, Wolff H, et al. Prevalence, clinical staging and risk for blood-borne transmission of Chagas disease among Latin American migrants in Geneva, Switzerland. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2010;4:e592.
- Norman FF, Ayala AP, Pérez-Molina JA, Monge-Maillo B, Zamarrón P, López-Vélez R. Neglected tropical diseases outside the tropics. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2010;4:e762.
- Blejer JL, Saguier MC, Dinapoli RA, Salamone HJ. Prevalence of anti-*Trypanosoma cruzi* antibodies in blood donors. *Medicina (B Aires).* 1999;59:129-32.
- Pirard M, Iihoshi N, Boelaert M, Basanta P, López F, Stuyft PV. The validity of serologic tests for *Trypanosoma cruzi* and the effectiveness of transfusional screening strategies in a hyperendemic region. *Transfusion.* 2005;45:554-61.
- Malan AK, Avelar E, Litwin SE, Hill HR, Litwin CM. Serological diagnosis of *Trypanosoma cruzi* evaluation of three enzyme immunoassay and an indirect immunofluorescent assay. *J Med Microbiol.* 2006;55:171-8.
- Umezawa ES, Nascimento MS, Stolf AMS. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with *Trypanosoma cruzi* excreted-secreted antigens (TESA-ELISA) for serodiagnosis of acute and chronic Chagas' disease. *Diag Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2001;39:169-76.
- Almeida IC, Covas DT, Soussumi LM, Travassos LR. A highly sensitive and specific chemiluminescent enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for diagnosis of active *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection. *Transfusion.* 1997;37:850-7.
- Berrizbietia M, Ndao M, Gottschalk M, et al. Development and comparison of enzyme immunoassays for diagnosis of Chagas' disease using fixed forms of *Trypanosoma cruzi* (epimastigotes, amastigotes and trypomastigotes) and assessment of antigen stability for the three assays. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2004;42:1766-9.
- Sánchez B, Monteón V, Reyes PA, Espinoza B. Standardization of micro-enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and western blot for detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* antibodies using extracts from Mexican strains as antigens. *Arch Med Res.* 2001;32:382-8.
- Enciso C, Montilla M, Santacruz MM, et al. Comparison of the indirect immunofluorescent (IFAT), ELISA test and the commercial Chagatek test for anti-*Trypanosoma cruzi* antibodies detection. *Biomedica.* 2004;24:104-8.
- Gutierrez R, Angulo VM, Tarazona Z, Britto C, Fernandes O. Comparison of four serological tests for the diagnosis of Chagas disease in a Colombian endemic area. *Parasitology.* 2004;129:439-44.
- Schattschneider W, Lopes ER, Alencar JE, Bienzle U, Feldmeier H. A comparative study of four serological methods for diagnosis of acute and chronic Chagas' disease in Brazilian Patients. *Trop Geog Med.* 1992;44:210-8.
- Teixeira MGM, Borges-Pereira J, Peralta J. Avaliação de testes sorológicos aplicados para a detecção de infecção pelo *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Rev Bras Patol Clin.* 1994;30:133-9.
- Palacios X, Belli A, Espino AM. Detección de anticuerpos contra *Trypanosoma cruzi* en Somoto, Nicaragua, mediante ELISA indirecto e IFI en muestras de sangre en papel de filtro. *Rev Panam Salud Publica.* 2000;8:411-7.
- Gualberto RCR, Bentes IRG, Valente CID, Francês LTVM, Lemos JAR, Brito Jr LC. Reação sorológica cruzada para Doença de Chagas e anti-HCV em pacientes com Leishmaniose (sic). *Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter.* 2005;27:335.
- Umezawa ES, Nascimento MS, Kesper Jr N, Coura JR, Borges-Pereira J. Immunoblot assay using excreted-secreted antigens of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in serodiagnosis of congenital, acute and chronic Chagas' disease. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1996;34:2143-7.

20. Saez-Alquézar A, Sabino EC, Salles N, et al. Serological confirmation of Chagas' disease by a recombinant and peptide antigen line immunoassay: INNO-LIA Chagas. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2000;38:851-4.
21. Otani MM, Vinelli E, Kirchhoff LV, et al. WHO comparative evaluation of serologic assays for Chagas disease. *Transfusion.* 2009;49:1076-82.
22. Shah DO, Changa CD, Chenga KY, et al. Comparison of the analytic sensitivities of a recombinant immunoblot assay and the radioimmune precipitation assay for the detection of antibodies to *Trypanosoma cruzi* in patients with Chagas disease. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2010;67:402-5.
23. Zarate-Blades CR, Bladés N, Nascimento MS, Silveira JF, Umezawa ES. Diagnostic performance of tests based on *Trypanosoma cruzi* excreted-secreted antigens in an endemic area for Chagas' disease in Bolivia. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2007;57:229-32.
24. Furuchó CR, Umezawa ES, Almeida I, et al. Inconclusive results in conventional serological screening for Chagas' disease in blood banks: evaluation of cellular and humoral response. *Trop Med Int Health.* 2008;13:1527-33.
25. Ramírez JD, Guhl F, Umezawa ES, et al. Evaluation of adult chronic Chagas' heart disease diagnosis by molecular and serological methods. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2009;47:3945-51.
26. Bracho CG, Garcia LG, Verdugo JF, et al. Riesgo de transmisión de *Trypanosoma cruzi* por transfusión de sangre en México. *Rev Panam Salud Publica.* 1998;4:94-9.
27. Sánchez-Guillén MC, Barnabé C, Juegan JF, et al. High prevalence anti-*Trypanosoma cruzi* antibodies, among blood donors in the state of Puebla, a non endemic area of Mexico. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* 2002;97:947-52.
28. De Marchi CR, Amato Neto E, Almeida IC. Comportamento do método quimioluminescente-ELISA em relação a resultados considerados discordantes por meio de três técnicas convencionais para diagnóstico da doença de Chagas. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 2007;40:68-70.
29. Araújo AB, Vianna EE, Berne ME. Anti-*Trypanosoma cruzi* antibody detection in blood donors in the Southern Brazil. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2008;12(6):480-2.
30. Picka MC, Meira DA, de Carvalho TB, Peresi E, Marcondes-Machado J. Definition of a diagnostic routine in individuals with inconclusive serology for Chagas disease. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2007;11(2):226-33.
31. Morel C, Chiari E, Plessmann Camargo E, Mattei DM, Romanha AJ. Strains and clones of *Trypanosoma cruzi* can be characterized by pattern of restriction endonuclease products of kinetoplast DNA minicircles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1980;77:6810-4.
32. Carneiro M, Romanha AJ, Chiari E. Biological characterization of *Trypanosoma cruzi* strains from different zymodemes and schizodemes. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* 1991;86:387-93.
33. Souto RP, Fernandes O, Macedo AM, Campbell DA, Zingales B. DNA markers define two major phylogenetic lineages of *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Mol Biochem Parasitol.* 1996;83:141-52.
34. Fernandes CD, Murta SMF, Cerávolo IP, Krug LP, Vidigal PG. Characterization of *Trypanosoma cruzi* strains isolated from chronic chagasic patients, triatomines and opossums naturally infected from the State of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* 1997;92:343-51.
35. Añez N, Crisante G, Silva FM, et al. Predominance of lineage I among *Trypanosoma cruzi* isolates from Venezuelan patients with different clinical profiles of acute Chagas' disease. *Trop Med Int Health.* 2004;9:1319-26.
36. Ruiz-Garcia M, Montilla M, Nicholls SO, Angarita L, Alvarez D. Genetic relationships and spatial genetic structure among clonal stocks of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in Colombia. *Heredity.* 2000;85:318-27.