Data in Brief 5 (2015) 537-541



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

Diffusion coefficients and dissociation constants of enhanced green fluorescent protein binding to free standing membranes

Franziska A. Thomas^a, Ilaria Visco^a, Zdeněk Petrášek^{a,b}, Fabian Heinemann^{a,c}, Petra Schwille^{a,*}

^a Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Am Klopferspitz 18, D-82152 Martinsried, Germany

^b Graz University of Technology, Institute of Biotechnology and Biochemical Engineering, Petersgasse 10-12/I, A-8010 Graz, Austria ^c Roche Diagnostics, Nonnenwald 2, D-82377 Penzberg, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 September 2015 Received in revised form 29 September 2015 Accepted 1 October 2015

ABSTRACT

Recently, a new and versatile assay to determine the partitioning coefficient K_P as a measure for the affinity of peripheral membrane proteins for lipid bilayers was presented in the research article entitled, "Introducing a fluorescence-based standard to quantify protein partitioning into membranes" [1]. Here, the well-characterized binding of hexahistidine-tag (His₆) to NTA(Ni) was utilized. Complementarily, this data article reports the average diffusion coefficient D of His₆-tagged enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP-His₆) and the fluorescent lipid analog ATTO-647N-DOPE in giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) containing different amounts of NTA(Ni) lipids. In addition, dissociation constants K_d of the NTA(Ni)/eGFP-His₆ system are reported. Further, a conversion between K_d and K_P is provided.

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2015.09.001

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 89 8578 2900; fax: +49 89 8578 2903. *E-mail address:* schwille@biochem.mpg.de (P. Schwille).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2015.10.002

2352-3409/© 2015 Elsevier Inc., Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Subject area	Biophysics
More specific sub- ject area	Molecular Biophysics
Type of data	Table, figure
How data was	Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy, Confocal Microscopy using a LSM 780 with a ConfoCor 3 unit (Zeiss, Jena, Germany)
Data format	Analyzed
Experimental factors	GUVs consisting of DOPC and 2, 3, 4 or 5 mol% DGS-NTA(Ni), labeled with 0.05 mol% ATTO-647N-DOPE
Experimental features	Titration of eGFP-His ₆ to the GUVs
Data source location	Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Martinsried, Germany
Data accessibility	The data are provided within this article

Specifications table

Value of the data

- We provide the first valuable characterization of the eGFP-His₆/NTA(Ni) system with precise dissociation constants *K*_d for increasing percentages of DGS-NTA(Ni) in the membrane.
- The eGFP-His₆/NTA(Ni) dissociation constants could serve as reference for other His₆-tagged proteins reconstituted in GUVs.
- We provide a conversion between K_d and K_P for the His₆-NTA(Ni) system, which can be extended to any protein-lipid interaction with a known 1:1 stoichiometry.
- Protein diffusion coefficients could be used as an indicator of crowding effects.
- As for DOPC/DGS-NTA(Ni) the lipid dynamics is independent of increasing protein concentrations, the ATTO-647N-DOPE diffusion coefficient could serve as a standard.

1. Data

Hexahistidine-tag (His₆) binding to Nickel (Ni) chelated with nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) is a wellcharacterized process [2,3] and it is extensively used to reconstitute protein systems in giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) [4–6]. We made GUVs consisting of 1,2-di-(9*Z*-octadecenoyl)-*sn*-glycero-3phosphocholin (DOPC) and 2, 3, 4 or 5 mol% 1,2-di-(9*Z*-octadecenoyl)-*sn*-glycero-3-[(*N*-(5-amino-1carboxypentyl)iminodiacetic acid)succinyl] nickel salt (DGS-NTA(Ni)), labeled with 0.05 mol% ATTO-647N-DOPE. These GUVs were incubated with increasing amounts of His₆-tagged enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP-His₆) and point fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) was performed both at the top pole of the GUVs and in solution. From the obtained FCS auto-correlation functions the diffusion coefficient *D* of both eGFP-His₆ and ATTO-647N-DOPE as well as the dissociation constant K_d of the NTA(Ni)/eGFP-His₆ system were calculated.

Table	1
IdDIC	1

Diffusion coefficient D determined by GUV-FCS assay. Calculated diffusion coefficients by averaging all data points for increasing amounts of DGS-NTA(Ni) via the GUV method (mean \pm combined s.e.m.).

DGS-NTA(Ni)	eGFP-His ₆ D in μm²/s	ATTO-647N-DOPE D in $\mu m^2/s$
2% 3% 4% 5%	$\begin{array}{r} 4.36 \ \pm \ 1.12 \ (n{=}548) \\ 3.20 \ \pm \ 0.75 \ (n{=}775) \\ 3.14 \ \pm \ 0.94 \ (n{=}740) \\ 1.90 \ \pm \ 1.01 \ (n{=}593) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 10.03 \ \pm \ 0.68 \ (n\!=\!549) \\ 9.74 \ \pm \ 0.66 \ (n\!=\!900) \\ 9.67 \ \pm \ 0.76 \ (n\!=\!969) \\ 9.72 \ \pm \ 0.52 \ (n\!=\!705) \end{array}$

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

The materials, the preparation of eGFP-His₆ and GUVs, the optical setup used and the FCS data acquisition/analysis were described elsewhere [1].

2.1. Determination of average diffusion coefficients

We determined the average diffusion coefficients D of eGFP-His₆ attached to DGS-NTA(Ni) in the lipid bilayer and of ATTO-647N-DOPE (Table 1 and Fig. 1) by applying the following equation:

$$D = \frac{\omega_0^2}{4\tau_{2D}} \tag{1}$$

The average focal waist w_0 obtained from a calibration with Alexa488 and with ATTO-655, were $w_0 = 218.0 \pm 6.0$ nm (mean \pm s.e.m, n=19) and $w_0 = 246.2 \pm 4.6$ nm (mean \pm s.e.m, n=19), respectively. The diffusion times τ_{2D} were determined fitting the auto-correlation curves with a weighted 2D - 3D + T model function. The *D* values were averaged and the significance of their deviation was tested using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) in SigmaPlot 12.3 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA). This statistical analysis indicated a significance of deviation for the average diffusion coefficients of eGFP-His₆ in presence of different DGS-NTA(Ni) concentrations (*F*(3,78)= 19.48, p < 0.001). With increasing amount of DGS-NTA(Ni), the eGFP-His₆ average diffusion coefficients decreases from $D = 4.36 \pm 1.12 \ \mu m^2/s$ (mean \pm combined s.e.m., n=548) to $D = 1.90 \pm 1.01 \ \mu m^2/s$ (mean \pm combined s.e.m., n=593). In contrast, the average diffusion coefficient of ATTO-647N DOPE for all concentrations DGS-NTA(Ni) was $D = 9.81 \pm 0.70 \ \mu m^2/s$ (mean \pm combined s.e.m., n=3123) and did not show any statistical significant difference (*F*(3,86)=3.24, p=0.026).

2.2. K_d for eGFP-His₆ DGS-NTA(Ni) system

Only in cases where the protein-lipid binding is purely stoichiometric and if the stoichiometry is known, the protein affinity for the lipid membrane can be expressed by the dissociation constant K_d . In equilibrium, an identical number of molecules *P* will dissociate from and associate to the lipid



Fig. 1. Diffusion coefficients determined by GUV-FCS assay. *D* for eGFP-His₆ coordinated to NTA(Ni) (filled squares) and the membrane dye ATTO-647N-DOPE (circles) with increasing amounts of DGS-NTA(Ni). Error bars represent the combined standard error of mean. The *D* of ATTO-647N-DOPE shows no significant differences, whereas the *D* of eGFP-His₆ decreases with increasing amounts of DGS-NTA(Ni).

phase *L* per area and time $P+nL \rightarrow nPL$. For 1:1 binding stoichiometry (n = 1), K_d is defined as:

$$K_d = \frac{[P_f] [L_f]}{[PL]} \tag{2}$$

where $[P_f]$ is the freely diffusing species in solution, $[PL] = [P_m]$ the membrane associated fraction and $[L_f] = [L] - [L_m]$ with the total accessible lipid concentration $[L] \gg [L_m]$. Thus,

$$K_d = \frac{|P_f|[L]}{[P_m]} = \frac{k_{off}}{k_{on}}$$
(3)

[L] is constant in a given sample and can be expressed by:

$$[L] = \frac{A}{A_L N_A V} \tag{4}$$

Here, *A* is the total accessible lipid area, A_L the area per lipid, N_A the Avogadro's constant and *V* the volume of the sample chamber. $[P_f]$ and $[P_m]$ can be determined by FCS [1]. In particular, $[P_m]$ is obtained by:

$$[P_m] = [P_{2D}]\frac{A}{V} \tag{5}$$

where $[P_{2D}]$ is the surface concentration on the top pole of a GUV.

A rearrangement of Eq. (3) gives:

$$[P_m] = \frac{[L]}{K_d} [P_f] \tag{6}$$

Combining Eq. (6) with Eqs. (4) and (5) gives the following main equation (A and V cancel out):

$$[P_{2D}] = \frac{1}{K_d A_L N_A} [P_f] \tag{7}$$

When a set of $[P_f]$ and $[P_{2D}]$ is plotted and fitted with a linear equation passing through the origin of the axis, K_d can be calculated from the slope *a*:

$$K_d = \frac{1}{aA_L N_A} \tag{8}$$

Comparing Eq. (8) with Eq. (7) in Thomas *et al.* [1] leads to the following conversion between K_d and partition coefficient K_P :

$$\frac{K_P}{W} = \frac{1}{K_d} \tag{9}$$

with the water concentration [W] being constant with [W] = W = 55.5 M.

Assuming that the binding stoichiometry for the NTA(Ni)/eGFP-His₆ system is 1:1 [2,7], we could calculate the dissociation constant K_d from the reported partitioning coefficient K_P [1] with Eq. (9) or directly from the slope *a* with Eq. (8). In Table 2 and Fig. 2 the values of the dissociation constant K_d are given for the different content of DGS-NTA(Ni). They correspond to the upper range of values reported in the literature, which vary from 10 nM to 10 μ M [7–9].

Table 2

K determined by CUV

 K_d determined by GUV-FCS assay. Calculated dissociation constants by fitting all data points for increasing amounts of DGS-NTA(Ni) via the GUV-FCS method (mean \pm combined s.e.m.).

DGS-NTA(Ni)	K_d in M
2% 3% 4% 5%	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

540



Fig. 2. Graphic presentation of dissociation constant K_d obtained by GUV-FCS assay. Error bars represent the combined standard error of mean.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.dib.2015.10.002.

References

- F.A. Thomas, I. Visco, Z. Petrášek, F. Heinemann, P. Schwille, Introducing a fluorescence-based standard to quantify protein partitioning into membranes, BBA-Biomembranes, 1848 (2015), 2932-2941.
- [2] S. Knecht, D. Ricklin, A.N. Eberle, B. Ernst, Oligohis-tags: mechanisms of binding to Ni²⁺-NTA surfaces, J. Mol. Recognit. 22 (2009) 270–279.
- [3] F. Khan, M. He, M.J. Taussig, Double-hexahistidine tag with high-affinity binding for protein immobilization, purification, and detection on Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid surfaces, Anal. Chem. 78 (2006) 3072–3079.
- [4] I. López-Montero, P. López-Navajas, J. Mingorance, M. Vélez, M. Vicente, F. Monroy, Membrane reconstitution of FtsZ-ZipA complex inside giant spherical vesicles made of *E. coli* lipids: large membrane dilation and analysis of membrane plasticity, BBA-Biomembranes 1828 (2013) 687–698.
- [5] W. Römer, L.L. Pontani, B. Sorre, C. Rentero, L. Berland, V. Chambon, C. Lamaze, P. Bassereau, C. Sykes, K. Gaus, L. Johannes, Actin dynamics drive membrane reorganization and scission in clathrin-independent endocytosis, Cell 140 (2010) 540–553.
- [6] A.J. García-Sáez, J. Ries, M. Orzáez, E. Pérez-Payà, P. Schwille, Membrane promotes tBID interaction with BCL(XL), Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 16 (2009) 1178–1185.
- [7] E.G. Guignet, R. Hovius, H. Vogel, Reversible site-selective labeling of membrane proteins in live cells, Nat. Biotechnol. 22 (2004) 440-444.
- [8] M. Hintersteiner, T. Weidemann, T. Kimmerlin, N. Filiz, C. Buehler, M. Auer, Covalent fluorescence labeling of His-tagged proteins on the surface of living cells, ChemBioChem 9 (2008) 1391–1395.
- [9] S.A. Lauer, J.P. Nolan, Development and characterization of Ni-NTA-bearing microspheres, Cytometry 48 (2002) 136–145.