

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

# **ScienceDirect**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/radcr

# **Case Report**

# Giant endometrioma in an asymptomatic patient $^{\bigstar, \bigstar \bigstar}$

# Júlia Azevedo Miranda<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>, Eduarda Fabrini<sup>a,b</sup>, Fernando Morbeck Almeida Coelho<sup>a,d</sup>, Publio Cesar Cavalcante Viana<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Hospital das Clínicas da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Rede D'Or, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>c</sup> Hospital Sírio-Libanês, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>d</sup> Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, Brazil

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 December 2023 Accepted 22 January 2024

Keywords: Giant endometrioma Endometriosis Magnetic resonance imaging

#### АВЅТКАСТ

Endometriosis is a chronic inflammatory gynecologic disorder characterized by the presence of endometrial-like tissue, including endometrial glands and stroma, outside of the uterine cavity. It is a prevalent condition worldwide, affecting approximately 10% of reproductiveage women and up to 50% of infertile women. Endometriosis manifests in three ways: superficial peritoneal endometriosis, deep infiltrative endometriosis, and ovarian endometriomas, with the possibility of coexistence among them. The disease presents with a range of symptoms, including chronic pelvic pain, dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, and infertility. Additionally, patients may experience nongynecological symptoms such as dyschezia, dysuria, hematuria, flank pain, and fatigue, among others. The ovaries are the most affected site in endometriosis, typically with cysts measuring less than 6 cm in diameter. Therefore, even in the presence of a large ovarian cyst or in asymptomatic patients, the consideration of an endometrial cyst should not be overlooked.

© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of University of Washington. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

## Introduction

Endometriosis is a prevalent chronic inflammatory gynecologic disorder characterized by the presence of endometriallike tissue, including endometrial glands and stroma, outside the confines of the uterine cavity. It affects approximately 10% of reproductive-age women and up to 50% of infertile women [1]. In women with chronic pelvic pain, some sources cite up to 90% incidence of endometriosis [2]. However, there is often a delay in diagnosing endometriosis, highlighting the need for improved recognition and early intervention.

CASE REPORTS

\* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radcr.2024.01.064

<sup>\*</sup> Acknowledgments: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

E-mail address: juazevedomiranda@gmail.com (J.A. Miranda).

<sup>1930-0433/© 2024</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of University of Washington. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)



Fig. 1 – (A) Abdominal computed tomography: demonstrated a solid right adnexal region mass (white arrow), and a cystic mass originated from the left adnexal region (black arrow). (B and C) Pelvic ultrasound: demonstrated a cystic mass (black arrows) in the left adnexal region. It was unilocular and homogenous, with low-level internal echoes, a well-defined wall, and no solid areas or internal blood flow. In the right adnexal region, a mass with a solid appearance and similar echogenicity to the myometrium (white arrow) was identified.

Endometriosis manifests with various symptoms, including chronic pelvic pain, dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, infertility, and additional non-gynecological symptoms such as dyschezia, dysuria, hematuria, flank pain, and fatigue [1]. While laparoscopy or surgery with histological verification remains the definitive diagnostic method, imaging plays a crucial role in aiding treatment decisions. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is frequently utilized as a complementary imaging modality, particularly in complex cases, and is recommended as a secondary option following ultrasound (US) [3].

There are 3 recognized types of endometriosis: superficial peritoneal endometriosis (< 5 mm), deep infiltrative endometriosis, and ovarian endometriomas, which can coexist [2]. Ovarian involvement is the most common site, occurring in approximately 20%-40% of cases, with the majority of endometriomas measuring less than 6 cm in diameter. However, the presence of larger endometriomas exceeding 10 cm, often referred to as giant endometriomas, is rare and can present diagnostic challenges for clinicians [4].

#### **Case report**

A 40-year-old female patient with Eisenmenger Syndrome, who was completely asymptomatic, visited a cardiologist for a routine appointment. During a physical examination, an abdominal mass was discovered. The patient denied experiencing weight loss or changes in urinary and bowel movements. An abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan (Fig. 1A) was performed, revealing 2 masses in the abdominopelvic region. One mass appeared solid and originated from the right adnexal region, while the other had a cystic appearance and originated from the left adnexal region. The patient was referred to a gynecology service for further investigation, which included a pelvic ultrasound (US) examination and serum tumor marker tests.

The US examination (Figs. 1B and C) showed a cystic mass (black arrow) in the left adnexal region. It was unilocular and homogenous, with low-level internal echoes, a well-defined wall, and no solid areas or internal blood flow. The estimated size was  $14.0 \times 19.3 \times 10.6$  cm. In the right adnexal region, a mass with a solid appearance and similar echogenicity

to the myometrium (white arrow) was identified, measuring  $8.4 \times 6.0 \times 8.5$  cm. The right ovary was identified, but the left ovary was not visualized. Both masses observed in the US were suggestive of ovarian masses.

Due to the large size of the lesions, an MRI was performed. It revealed a right adnexal mass (Fig. 2A - white arrow) originating from the uterus, as indicated by the bridging vessel sign (Fig. 2A - red arrow). The characteristics of the mass were consistent with a leiomyoma. A cystic mass in the left adnexal region with 17.0  $\times$  9.8  $\times$  13.0 cm was also detected, with its origin identified as the left ovary, indicated by the claw sign (Figure 2B - green arrow). The signal on T1-weighted images (Figs. 2C and D – black arrows) showed homogeneous highsignal intensity, indicating an endometrioma. In the retrocervical region, an ill-defined infiltrative tissue was observed, hypointense on T2-weighted images (Fig. 2B - orange arrow), with some areas of high signal intensity on T1-weighted images (Fig. 2C - orange arrow). This finding was consistent with deep endometriosis, extending from the posterior uterine serosa to the retrocervical region and anterior wall of the rectosigmoid.

Serum tumor marker levels were the following: CA125 - 70.4 U/mL, CA19.9 - 383.1 U/mL, CA15.3 - 19.7 U/mL,  $\beta$ HCG - 0.29 IU/mL, AFP - 1.6 ng/mL, CEA - 3.5 ng/mL.

The patient underwent an exploratory laparotomy, which revealed a large cystic mass in the left adnexal region adhered to the sigmoid colon. No ascites were found. Additionally, a well-defined solid nodule originating from the uterine fundus was detected. Left salpingo-ophorectomy and removal of the uterine nodule were performed. During the dissection, the cystic capsule ruptured, releasing fluid with a chocolate-like appearance. The histopathological examination confirmed that the cyst was an ovarian endometrioma, and the uterine nodule was a leiomyoma. The patient experienced a satisfactory post-operative recovery without any complications related to the surgery or her cardiovascular condition.

## Discussion

Huge ovarian endometriomas are exceptionally rare. Only a few cases have been documented in the medical literature



Fig. 2 – (A–D) Magnetic resonance imaging demonstrated a right adnexal mass with characteristics consistent with a leiomyoma (A - white arrow) originating from the uterus, as indicated by the bridging vessel sign (A - red arrow). Right ovary was also identified (blue arrow). A cystic mass (A – black arrow) with its origin identified as the left ovary, indicated by the claw sign (B - green arrow). In the retrocervical region, an ill-defined infiltrative tissue was observed, hypointense on T2-weighted images (B - orange arrow), with some areas of high signal intensity on T1-weighted images (C - orange arrow). This finding was consistent with deep endometriosis. The signal on T1-weighted images (C and D- black arrows) showed homogeneous high-signal intensity without solid components, indicating an endometrioma.

#### Table 1 - Summary of giant ovarian endometriomas described in the literature.

Author, year	Preoperative MRI	Age (years)	Symptoms	Size on largest axis (cm)	Treatment
Yahya A, 2022	Not available	33	<sup>a</sup> Abdominal pain; dysmenorrhea; heavy menstrual bleeding	30.1 × 11.6 × 29.6 cm	Ovarian cystectomy
Yassae F, 2017	Not available	26	Abdominal enlargement and distension	25.0 × 20.0 × 10.0 cm	Ovarian cystectomy
Mishra TS, 2016	Not available	38	Intermittent abdominal pain and distension; abdominal swelling and fever	30.0 × 12.0 cm	Total abdominal hysterectomy and salpingo-oophorectomy
Matsushima T, 2016	T2-weighted image showed a cyst tumor with some low-intensity areas (clots)	56	Abdominal fullness	44.0 cm	Bilateral adnexectomy and total hysterectomy
Yasar, L	Not available	33	Lumbar pain, nausea, and abdominal distension	$\begin{array}{c} 26.0 \times 18.0 \times 17.0 \\ cm \end{array}$	Salpingo-oophorectomy
Hameed A, 2010	Not available	47	Increase in abdominal circumference and fever	33.0 × 23.0 cm	Subtotal hysterectomy and bilateral oophorectomy
Andersen O, 2000	Not available	50	Progressive malaise, nausea, anorexia, chils, and obstipation	> 20 cm (not exact size was informed)	Resection of bilateral ovarian endometriomas and bilateral salpingectomy
Ishikawa H, 1997	Homogenous high-signal intensity on T1-weighted images and inhomogeneous low-signal intensity on T2-weighted images	30	Abdominal distension	20.0 × 18.0 × 12.0 cm	Right adnexectomy and left ovarian cystectomy

[4–11], and in all of those cases, the patients exhibited symptoms. Pre-operative diagnosis using MRI was possible in only 2 cases [6,11]. Table 1 summarizes those case reports. Our patient was completely asymptomatic, and there was no history of dyspareunia or infertility, as she was not sexually active.

Pelvic US is the initial imaging modality for identifying ovarian endometriomas, with a sensitivity and specificity ap-

proaching 90% [1]. Endometriomas can be solitary or multiple, unilateral, or, in nearly 50% of cases, bilateral. They typically appear as thick-walled cysts containing blood products from cyclic bleeding.

A recent meta-analysis demonstrated that MRI has a sensitivity of 95% and specificity of 91% for diagnosing endometrial cysts [12]. On MRI, endometriomas typically exhibit bright signal intensity on T1-weighted images (T1 shortening, attributed to subacute hemorrhage and high protein content) and homogeneously low signal intensity on T2-weighted images (T2 shading, caused by iron and protein accumulation due to recurrent bleeding). T2 shading has a high sensitivity (93%) but poor specificity (45%) for diagnosing endometriomas. The presence of the T2 dark spot sign, characterized by small foci of very low signal intensity on T2-weighted images within the cyst but not in its wall, can be useful.

The differential diagnosis of ovarian endometriomas includes hemorrhagic cysts, teratomas, and ovarian carcinoma. Rupture and infection are among the most common atypical presentations of endometriomas [13]. Huge endometriomas are rare and may pose a diagnostic dilemma for clinicians. We must always consider the possibility of an endometrial cyst, even if a huge ovarian cyst is detected or if the patient is asymptomatic.

## Author contributions

The authors were equally involved in this study.

## Patient consent

A signed consent for the report publication was acquired from the patient.

#### REFERENCES

 Quesada J, Härmä K, Reid S, Rao T, Lo G, Yang N, et al. Endometriosis: a multimodal imaging review. Eur J Radiol 2023;158:110610.

- [2] Jha P, Sakala M, Chamie LP, Feldman M, Hindman N, Huang C, et al. Endometriosis MRI lexicon: consensus statement from the Society of abdominal radiology endometriosis disease-focused panel. Abdom Radiol 2020;45(6):1552–68. doi:10.1007/s00261-019-02291-x.
- [3] Foti PV, Farina R, Palmucci S, Vizzini IAA, Libertini N, Coronella M, et al. Endometriosis: clinical features, MR imaging findings and pathologic correlation. Insights Imag 2018;9(2):149–72.
- [4] Mercouris M, Klopper S, Swanepoel S, Laubscher M, Roche S, Kauta N. Giant ovarian endometrioma: a case report abstract. J West African Coll Surg 2023;13:91–5.
- [5] Yassaee F, Abbasi H. Huge Endometrioma Mimicking Ovarian Cancer: A Case Report. Case Rep Clin Pract 2017;2(4):91–3.
- [6] Matsushima T, Asakura H. Huge ovarian endometrioma that grew after menopause: case report. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2016;42(3):350–2.
- [7] Mishra TS, Singh S, Jena SK, Mishra P, Mishra L. Giant endometrioma of the ovary: a case report. J Endometr 2016;8(2):71–4.
- [8] Yaşar L, Süha Sönmez A, Galip Zebitay A, Neslihan G, Yazıcıoğlu HF, Mehmetoğlu G. Huge ovarian endometrioma a case report. Gynecol Surg 2010;7(4):365–7.
- [9] Hameed A, Mehta V, Sinha P. A rare case of de novo gigantic ovarian abscess within an endometrioma. Yale J Biol Med 2010;83(2):73–5.
- [10] Andersen O, Giustra P, Leidinger R. Giant endometrioma. Am J Surg 2001;181(3):272–3.
- [11] Ishikawa H, Taga M, Haruki A, Shirasu K, Minaguchi H, Hara M. Huge ovarian endometrial cyst: a case report. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 1997;74(2):215–17.
- [12] Nisenblat V, Bossuyt PMM, Farquhar C, Johnson N, Hull ML. Imaging modalities for the non-invasive diagnosis of endometriosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016;2016(2):CD009591.
- [13] Brookmeyer C, Fishman EK, Sheth S. Emergent and unusual presentations of endometriosis: pearls and pitfalls. Emerg Radiol [Internet] 2023;30:377–85. doi:10.1007/s10140-023-02128-7.