Development of an eHealth tool for cancer patients: monitoring psychoemotional aspects with the Family Resilience (FaRe) Questionnaire

Flavia Faccio^{1,2*}, Chiara Renzi^{2*}, Chiara Crico^{1,2}, Eleni Kazantzaki³, Haridimos Kondylakis³, Lefteris Koumakis³, Kostas Marias³ and Gabriella Pravettoni^{1,2}

¹Department of Oncology and Hemato-Oncology, University of Milan, Via Festa del Perdono 7, Milano 20122, Italy ²Applied Research Division for Cognitive and Psychological Science, European Institute of Oncology, Via Ripamonti 435, Milano 20141, Italy ³Computational BioMedicine Laboratory, FORTH-ICS, Heraklion 70013, Crete, Greece

*These authors equally contributed to the manuscript.

Correspondence to: Chiara Renzi. Email: chiara.renzi@ieo.it

Abstract

In the last decade, clinicians have started to shift from an individualistic perspective of the patient towards family-centred models of care, due to the increasing evidence from research and clinical practice of the crucial role of significant others in determining the patient's adjustment to cancer disease and management. eHealth tools can be considered a means to compensate the services gap and support outpatient care flows. Within the works of the European H2020 iManageCancer project, a review of the literature in the field of family resilience was conducted, in order to determine how to monitor the patient and his/her family's resilience through an eHealth platform. An analysis of existing family resilience questionnaires suggested that no measure was appropriate for cancer patients and their families. For this reason, a new family resilience questionnaire (named FaRe) was developed to screen the patient's and caregiver's psycho-emotional resources. Composed of 24 items, it is divided into four subscales: Communication and Cohesion, Perceived Family Coping, Religiousness and Spirituality, and Perceived Social Support. Embedded in the iManageCancer eHealth platform, it allows users and clinicians to monitor the patient's and the caregivers' resilience throughout the cancer trajectory.

Keywords: resilience, psychological, family health, telemedicine, neoplasms, health services

Published: 11/07/2018

Received: 29/12/2017

ecancer 2018, 12:852 https://doi.org/10.3332/ecancer.2018.852

Copyright: © the authors; licensee ecancermedicalscience. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Outpatient care flows are a common modality in oncology settings and they consist of short encounters at the clinic for medical consultation and/or therapy administration [1–4], which can take place several days or weeks from one another. During these appointments, the involvement of patients and their families in the medical decision process of treatment plans is increasing [5]. The patient together with the clinician works towards increased awareness of personal resources, which can prevent the onset of psychological exhaustion. Clinicians are moving towards family-centred models of care as significant others' coping strategies can determine adjustment and overall wellbeing of the patient and those that surround him/her. In the past, the process of empowering patients and increasing their self-efficacy in managing the disease was often implemented during face-to-face encounters at the clinic. However, this support was provided only at an individual level, as the family network of the patient was considered only in terms of its deficits and weakness; nowadays, the clinician focuses on the family's strengths and resources, which can activate shared resilience processes [6]. Throughout the illness trajectories, patients and their families often express the need to receive reliable, sometimes extensive health-related information and counselling for decision-making. They also often ask for emotional and psycho-social support; however, screening for psychological distress within clinical consultations is often inadequate or insufficient due to various reasons such as lack of resources of the healthcare systems and stigma or privacy concerns of patients [7, 8]. As a consequence, it may not always be possible to provide high quality and efficient self-management support through the standard care flows. For this reason, it is vital to develop and implement psychological evidence-based interventions for cancer patients and their caregivers, keeping in mind the trajectory and the phases of the illness [9].

Information about the impact of the disease on the family, on the relationship with the partner, and their coping strategies represent some of the most common unmet needs for family members of a patient with cancer [10]. Caregivers frequently request psychological support, particularly for fears regarding the patient's disease progression or recurrence [10, 11]. It is estimated that around 40% of caregivers of cancer patients suffer from depression and anxiety [10], 53% experience moderate or severe fatigue [11] and up to 95% are affected by moderate to severe sleep disturbances [12]. Financial burden represents another important aspect, with estimated costs ranging from \$31,000 to more than \$91,000 [13]. Studies have also reported changes in caregivers' physical health, with high caregiving burden representing an independent risk factor for coronary heart disease and death from other causes [14]. Family quality of life after a cancer diagnosis is predicted by illness survival stressors (such as concurrent family stressors and fear of recurrence), social support, and family meaning of cancer illness [15–17]. Patient and caregiver psychological distress were interdependent in two meta-analyses, the emotional well-being of one family member affecting the others' [18, 19]. Although interventions for patients and caregivers of cancer patients have shown a reduction in the frequency of negative effects, improved coping skills, and quality of life [20], these are rarely implemented due to lack of awareness, lack of professional training, insufficient professional time and costs of implementation [21].

To compensate for the gap of professional help, web-based tools were identified as a promising means to empower cancer patients and survivors [22–26] and satisfy their supportive needs [27, 28]. Patients often consult Internet resources and search for support on web platforms [29, 30]. A recent study assessing supportive care needs and attitudes towards eHealth in an online sample of cancer patients pointed out that psychological support is one of most frequently expressed needs [31], confirming the need for increased online encounters.

In this perspective, eHealth may represent a way to respond more broadly to these needs, in light of the fact that more and more individuals with cancer are facing important treatment decisions, emotional distress and physical challenges due to the disease or treatment plan.

Taking these premises into account, within the works of the European H2020 project iManageCancer (grant agreement no. 643529) to develop an eHealth platform composed by apps and services to improve self-management and to promote cancer patients' empowerment, psycho-social monitoring tools were included. The aim of these tools is to provide fast and effective screening of emotional, cognitive and social functioning and to provide self-management support and appropriate referral to specialists [21–23]. In particular, a novel aspect that was considered in the development of these services is the systemic framework, as the crucial influence of significant relationships with others is now considered an essential contributing factor of an individual's potential to adjust and manage cancer-related challenges [9, 35]. In the last decade, a psychological construct which has received great interest and which encompasses the dynamic psycho-emotional processes and resources of the support network is family resilience.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows: In the section 'Literature review', we review the literature and present similar approaches, identifying their drawbacks. Then, in the section 'Developing a questionnaire to assess family resilience', we present the questionnaire developed in the context of iManageCancer. In the section 'Implementation of the FaRe Questionnaire in the platform', we present the implementation of the tool and finally, the section 'Conclusion' concludes this paper and presents directions for future work.

Literature review

Family resilience refers to the system's ability to withstand stressful experiences and rebound from these by creating a new, healthy family functioning [36]. It encompasses multiple strengths and resources, which are considered dynamic processes that reduce the risk of functioning in a maladaptive way, support adaptation to the stressor, and provide ground for potential personal and relational growth. Walsh [36, 37] identifies key processes that can help families overcome challenges while taking into account the uniqueness of each family unit. Individual resilience can be understood and fostered within the family context and all individuals can potentially increase their resilience. Focusing on the family's strengths rather than its deficits and assessing the functioning within each family context, considering its values and resources, can give a novel and more useful insights to support this system throughout the cancer phases.

During the preliminary activities for the development of these services, a revision of the literature was conducted in order to assess which measures are currently available to evaluate family resilience. As this field of research is relatively new compared to individual resilience the development of measurement scales began just over a decade ago [25, 26, 38–41].

Three questionnaires have attempted to capture the dynamic processes that allow families to respond positively to a stressful event. Walsh [39] developed a self-report questionnaire, the Walsh family resilience questionnaire, composed of 33 items, divided into the nine key processes of her family resilience model [36]. According to the author, this instrument should be used to evaluate the effectiveness of family-oriented interventions and to assess resilience pre- and post-support. Nevertheless, data from studies applying this questionnaire in diverse contexts have not been published yet.

Sixbey [41] instead developed a 54-item measure, the Family Resilience Assessment Scale (FRAS), on a four-point Likert scale, with higher scores indicating higher levels of resilience. Sixbey [41] divided the measure into six subscales: (i) family communication and problem-solving, (ii) social and economic resources, (iii) maintaining a positive outlook, (iv) family connectedness, (v) family spirituality and (vi) making meaning of adversity. The questionnaire was administered to the general American population without considering an essential aspect of resilience, namely whether an adversity had occurred. The main limitation of the FRAS is the disagreement between developing authors and subsequent studies on the number of factors and therefore which key processes of family resilience are present in the scale. The FRAS has been recently applied in healthcare contexts to families in a sample of epileptic young adults [42].

Another measure developed according to Walsh's [36] framework is the Family Resilience Assessment (FRA) [38]. This 29-item measure, divided into three subscales that resemble Walsh's [36] three overarching constructs, was developed for women with a history of breast cancer. Some important limitations of the FRA need to be addressed: first, its focus is on the individual's experience of cancer rather than the family's perspective, lacking a systemic and ecological view of the family. Second, the FRA has not been used in other oncological or more general health contexts, suggesting the need for further studies in order to consider the instrument a valid and reliable measure of family resilience.

Developing a questionnaire to assess family resilience

To date, there is only one measure of family resilience in cancer patients, namely the validation of the FRA in women with breast cancer, [38] which has an individualistic view of resilience and does not capture the systemic processes involved.

For this reason, a new measure was developed to address family resources and strengths in the management of cancer taking into account also the family's perspective. Developed on the basis of Walsh's [36] model, the main aim of the FaRe Questionnaire is to assess both the patients' and the caregivers' resilience. To our knowledge, this is the first tool to actually implement a systemic perspective in an oncology setting. The questionnaire can be filled in both by patients and caregivers, and their scores can be compared, looking for similarities or

Review

differences across different domains. It highlights the critical areas that can deplete the family's resources as well as identify their strengths, which can be considered a starting point to develop a tailored intervention. The possibility to embed this tool in a web platform allows not only the assessment of family resilience but also the ability to monitor it at any desired moment throughout the cancer phases. It provides patients and family members with a practical tool from which they can gain insights on their wellbeing and 'legitimises' the requests of support to specialised professionals. On the other hand, it can provide clinicians and institutions with a monitoring tool that supports the implementation of efficient care flows.

The questionnaire was validated in a study conducted at the European Institute of Oncology with breast and prostate cancer patients and their caregivers. The works related to the validation of the questionnaire will be described in detail elsewhere (manuscript in preparation). The final questionnaire is composed of 24 items divided into four factors: Communication and Cohesion, Perceived Social Support, Perceived Family Coping, Religiousness and Spirituality.

For each factor, normative scoring of the population was provided together with feedback to patients and clinicians depending on the individual score obtained. Different profiles were prepared for scores above or below two standard deviations (SDs) from the mean population score; one profile with scores between 1 and 2 SDs above or below the mean population score; another profile with scores within the range of -1 and 1 SDs from the mean. These profiles can inform users about the meaning of the factor considered, providing a reference to scientific evidence explained in lay language and suggestions for possible self-management actions, including referral to clinicians in some cases.

Implementation of the FaRe Questionnaire in the platform

The FaRe Questionnaire was implemented as an app within a personal health record system (named iPHR) [31], accessible both via desk-top computers as well as in mobile-friendly visualisation (Figure 1).

The questionnaire can be filled in by both patients and family members. The iPHR periodically reminds the user to perform the family resilience evaluation. All data are computed and stored by the tool depending on the reference population for the individual completing the questionnaire.

Diana Allen Born 9/24/1973			H I	IL COSMOTE WIE	€ 10:59	\$ 27%	
R	Diana Anon, Don 624 1919	=	×	# iPHR	Diana Allen	1	
Le chiediamo di leggere attentamente ciascuna delle seguenti affermazioni. Per ognuna, indichi quanto bene essa descrive la sua famiglia. Nel pensare alla sua famiglia, può includere tutte le persone significative che secondo lei ne fanno parte.		-	Patients - Select a Patient Diana Allen	Family Quest	nily Resilience estionnaire		
			Apps •	 Ci capiamo l'un l'altro riguardo all'esperienza di malattia che stiamo vivendo 			
Guardian			Shanny	0	ompletamente in disaccoro noderatamente in disaccor	do	
		1.5	App Settings	Ok	ggermente in disaccordo		
Family Resilience Questionnaire		E,	ALGA-C 0	né in disa d'accorde	é in disaccordo né accordo		
			Allergies ()	⊖ k	ggermente d'accordo		
1. Ci capiamo l'un l'altro riguardo all'esperienza di malattia che stiamo vivendo				() n	noderatamente d'accordo		
completamente in disaccordo		3	Appointments ()	() c	ompletamente d'accordo		
moderatamente in disaccordo			Calendar 🚯	 Chiediamo agli amici più stretti di ai darri ad assistarri in o veta latta. 		i	
leggermente in disaccordo				alla n	ici ed assisterci in questa lotta nalattia		
O né in disaccordo né d'accordo		E,	Decision Aid ①	completamente in disaccordo		do	
© leggermente d'accordo		1	L Demographics ()		 moderatamente in disaccordo 		
moderatamente d'accordo				leggermente in disaccordo			
Completamente d'accordo		11	Family Resilience	d	e in disaccordo ne accordo		
2. Chiediamo agli amici più stretti di aiutaroi ed assisteroi in questa lotta alla malattia			Forum ()	Ok	ggermente d'accordo		
© completamente in disaccordo				\cap			
moderatamente in disaccordo leggermente in disaccordo			Labs O				
leggemente in disaccordo los in disaccordo		C	Measurements				

Figure 1. Screenshots of the FaRe tool using a computer (left) and a mobile device (right).

In the case of significantly elevated scores, an alert is also sent to clinicians, if the patient or the family member has authorised sharing of information with a healthcare professional. This reduces the distance between the medical reference figure and the patient, providing a bridge for communication, albeit through apps. In future implementations of the tool in a clinical context, clinicians could consider contacting the patient and proposing different types of interventions or the patient/family member could contact a healthcare professional. The platform could thus represent a tool to actively share information between members of the multidisciplinary team and of the family. In the next phase of the project, the FaRe tool will provide comparison scores between different members of the family and send an alert when significant discrepancies in global scores or single factors are registered. This valuable information can help to respond to the family's needs, addressing possible internal crisis and miscommunications.

We expect that the tool will provide increased levels of awareness in the patient and the family members regarding their resources and the importance of a supportive family and social network in managing cancer-related issues. It will also allow healthcare professionals to stay in touch with the psychological dimension of the disease and to focus more on the importance of monitoring it throughout time, as different illness phases may be critical for different patients. In turn, we assume that referral to psychological services will be easier and more accessible; consequently, patients and their caregivers will perceive greater value in the type of care provided. The final expected outcomes of the use of the tool are a better adjustment to the disease and reduced probability of mental health problems, which in turn can hinder adherence and self-management.

Conclusion

This paper focuses on the implementation of an eHealth tool developed to monitor family resilience through a questionnaire named FaRe. This tool aims to promote family engagement, provide recommendations that could increase empowerment and support self-management of all individuals involved in the cancer trajectory, alerting clinicians when patients may benefit from psychological support.

The enrolment of patients to evaluate the whole iManageCancer platform and, amongst this, the FaRe, has already started and 120 cancer patients and their families have used the tool for a period of at least 6 months. Analysis of its usability and effectiveness will be discussed in future works.

Funding



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 643529.

Disclaimer

This paper reflects the authors' view. The Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

References

- Dedding C, van Doorn R, and Winkler L, et al (2011) How will e-health affect patient participation in the clinic? A review of e-health studies and the current evidence for changes in the relationship between medical professionals and patients Soc Sci Med 72 49–53 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.10.017</u>
- Mcllfatrick S (2007) Assessing palliative care needs: views of patients, informal carers and healthcare professionals J Adv Nurs 57 77–86 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2006.04062.x</u>

- 3. Sandoval GA, Levinton C, and Blackstien-Hirsch P, et al (2006) Selecting predictors of cancer patients' overall perceptions of the quality of care received Ann Oncol 17 151–156 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdj020</u>
- DeLisle J (2009) Designing an acuity tool for an ambulatory oncology setting Clin J Oncol Nurs 13 45–50 https://doi.org/10.1188/09.cjon.45-50 https://doi.org/10.1188/09.cjon.45-50 https://doi.org/10.1188/09.cjon.45-40 https://doi.org/10.1188/09.cjon.45-40
- 5. Kondylakis H, Koumakis L, and Genitsaridi E, et al (2012) **IEmS: a collaborative environment for patient empowerment** 2012 IEEE 12th Int. Conf. Bioinforma. Bioeng. IEEE pp 535–540
- Van Schoors M, Caes L, and Verhofstadt LL, et al (2015) Systematic review: family resilience after pediatric cancer diagnosis J Pediatr Psychol 40 856–868 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ipepsy/jsv055</u> PMID: <u>26092948</u>
- 7. Adler NE and Page AEK (2008) Cancer care for the whole patient: meeting psychosocial health needs (Washington, DC: National Academies Press)
- Stanton AL (2006) Psychosocial concernss and interventions for cancer survivors J Clin Oncol 24 5132–5137 https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2006.06.8775 PMID: https://doi.org/10.8775 PMID: https://doi.org/10.8775 PMID: https://doi.org/10.8775 PMID: <a hr
- Faccio F, Renzi C, and Giudice A, et al (2018) Family resilience in the oncology setting: development of an integrative framework Front Psychol 9 666 <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00666</u> PMID: <u>29867644</u> PMCID: <u>5952112</u>
- Braun M, Mikulincer M, and Rydall A, et al (2007) Hidden morbidity in cancer: spouse caregivers J Clin Oncol 25 4829–4834 <u>https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2006.10.0909</u> PMID: <u>17947732</u>
- Jensen S and Given B (1993) Fatigue affecting family caregivers of cancer patients Support Care Cancer 1 321–325 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00364970</u> PMID: <u>8156250</u>
- Carter PAand Chang BL (2000) Sleep and depression in cancer caregivers Cancer Nurs 23 410–415 https://doi.org/10.1097/00002820-200012000-00002 PMID: https://doi.org/10.1097/00002820-200012000 PMID: https://doi.org/10.1097/00002820-2000 PMID: https://doi.org/10.1097/00002820 PMID: https://doi.org/10.1097/00002820 PMID: https://doi.org/10.1097/00002820 PMID: https://doi.org/10.1097/0002820 PMID: https://doi.org/10.1097/00004 PMID: https://doi.org/10.1097/0004 PMID: <a href="https://doi.org/10.
- 13. Van Houtven CH, Ramsey SD, and Hornbrook MC, *et al* (2010) **Economic burden for informal caregivers of lung and colorectal** cancer patients *Oncologist* **15** 883–893 <u>https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2010-0005</u> PMID: <u>20667966</u> PMCID: <u>3228017</u>
- Schulz R and Sherwood PR (2008) Physical and mental health effects of family caregiving Am J Nurs 108 23–27 <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NAJ.0000336406.45248.4c</u> PMID: <u>18797217</u> PMCID: <u>2791523</u>
- Mellon S and Northouse LL (2001) Family survivorship and quality of life following a cancer diagnosis Res Nurs Health 24 446–459 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.10004</u> PMID: <u>11746074</u>
- Hilton BA (1993) Issues, problems, and challenges for families coping with breast cancer Semin Oncol Nurs 9 88–100 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-2081(05)80104-4 PMID: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-208104 PMID: https://doi.org/10.1016 PMID: <a href="https://doi.org/
- 17. Loescher LJ, Clark L, and Atwood JR, *et al* **The impact of the cancer experience on long-term survivors** *Oncol Nurs Forum* **17** 223–229 PMID: 2315186
- Hagedoorn M, Buunk BP, and Kuijer RG, et al (2000) Couples dealing with cancer: role and gender differences regarding psychological distress and quality of life *Psychooncology* 9 232–242 PMID: <u>10871719</u>
- 19. Hodges LJ, Humphris GM, and Macfarlane G (2005) A meta-analytic investigation of the relationship between the psychological distress of cancer patients and their carers *Soc Sci Med* 60 1–12 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.04.018
- Northouse L, Williams A, and Given B, et al (2012) Psychosocial care for family caregivers of patients with cancer J Clin Oncol 30 1227–1234 <u>https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2011.39.5798</u> PMID: <u>22412124</u>
- 21. Hack TF, Carlson L, and Butler L, *et al* (2011) Facilitating the implementation of empirically valid interventions in psychosocial oncology and supportive care Support Care Cancer **19** 1097–1105 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-011-1159-z</u> PMID: <u>21494781</u>

- 22. Ventura F, Sawatzky R, and Öhlén J, *et al* (2013) Evaluation of a web-based educational program for women diagnosed with breast cancer: why is the intervention effect absent? *Stud Health Technol Inform* **192** 1132 PMID: <u>23920906</u>
- 23. Wolpin SE, Halpenny B, and Whitman G, *et al* (2015) **Development and usability testing of a web-based cancer symptom and** quality-of-life support intervention *Health Informatics J* 21 10–23 <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1460458213495744</u>
- 24. Lubberding S, van Uden-Kraan CF, and Te Velde EA, et al (2015) Improving access to supportive cancer care through an eHealth application: a qualitative needs assessment among cancer survivors J Clin Nurs 24 1367–1379 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.12753</u> PMID: <u>25677218</u>
- 25. Renzi C, Fioretti C, and Mazzocco K, *et al* (2016) **Development of psycho-emotional monitoring tools within an eHealth platform to improve patient empowerment and self-management abilities** *Psychooncology* **25** 195
- Gorini A, Mazzocco K, and Kondylakis H, et al (2016) A web-based interactive tool to improve breast cancer patient centredness Ecancermedicalscience 10 659 https://doi.org/10.3332/ecancer.2016.659 PMID: https://doi.org/10.3332/ecancer.2016.659 PMID: 27563354 PMCID: 4970622
- Fincham L, Copp G, and Caldwell K, et al (2005) Supportive care: experiences of cancer patients Eur J Oncol Nurs 9 258–268 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejon.2004.08.004</u> PMID: <u>16112527</u>
- Harrison JD, Young JM, and Price MA, et al (2009) What are the unmet supportive care needs of people with cancer? A systematic review Support Care Cancer 17 1117–1128 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-009-0615-5 PMID: <u>19319577</u>
- 29. Gerber BS and Eiser AR (2001) The patient-physician relationship in the Internet age: future prospects and the research agenda J Med Internet Res 3 e15 <u>https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.3.2.e15</u>
- Helft PR (2008) A new age for cancer information seeking: are we better off now? J Gen Intern Med 23 350–352 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-007-0496-6</u> PMID: <u>18200452</u> PMCID: <u>2245996</u>
- 31. Jansen F, van Uden-Kraan CF, and van Zwieten V, *et al* (2015) **Cancer survivors' perceived need for supportive care and their** attitude towards self-management and eHealth *Support Care Cancer* 23 1679–1688 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-014-2514-7
- Leykin Y, Thekdi SM, and Shumay DM, et al (2012) Internet interventions for improving psychological well-being in psychooncology: review and recommendations *Psychooncology* 21(9) 1016–1025 [doi: 10.1002/pon.1993] <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ pon.1993</u>
- Kazantzaki E, Kondylakis H, and Koumakis L, et al (2016) Psycho-emotional tools for better treatment adherence and therapeutic outcomes for cancer patients Stud Health Technol Inform 224 129–134 PMID: 27225567
- 34. Kazantzaki E, Koumakis L, and Kondylakis H, et al (2018) Current trends in electronic family resilience tools: implementing a tool for the cancer domain eds Eskola H, Väisänen O, and Viik J, et al (Singapore: Springer) pp 29–32
- 35. Rolland JS (2005) Cancer and the family: an integrative model Cancer 104 2584–2595 https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.21489 PMID: https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.21489
- 36. Walsh F (2003) Family resilience: a framework for clinical practice Fam Process 42 1–18 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1545-5300.2003.00001.x PMID: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1545-5300.2003.0001 PMID: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1545-5300 PMID: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1545-5500 PMID: https://doi.org/10.11111/j.1545-550 PMID: <a href="htttps://
- Walsh F (2016) Applying a family resilience framework in training, practice, and research: mastering the art of the possible Fam Process 55 616–632 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/famp.12260</u> PMID: <u>27921306</u>
- 38. Lane CD and Meszaros PS (2016) Measuring Walsh's family resilience framework: reliability and validity of the family resilience assessment (FRA) among women with a history of breast cancer Marriage Fam Rev 53(7) 667–682 <u>https://doi.org/10.108</u> 0/01494929.2016.1263588
- 39. Walsh F (2013) Strengthening family resilience (New York: Guilford Press) [doi: 10.1086/514469]

- 40. Lee IS, Park YS, and Song MS, et al (2002) A study on the development of the Korean family resilience scale J Korean Acad Nurs 32 560 https://doi.org/10.4040/jkan.2002.32.4.560
- 41. Sixbey MT (2005) Development of the family resilience assessment scale to identify family resilience constructs (Doctoral dissertation) (Gainesville, FL: University of Florida)
- 42. Chew J and Haase AM (2016) **Psychometric properties of the family resilience assessment scale: a singaporean perspective** *Epilepsy Behav* **61** 112–119 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2016.05.015</u> PMID: <u>27337164</u>
- 43. Kondylakis H, Bucur A, and Dong F, et al (2017) iManageCancer: developing a platform for empowering patients and strengthening self-management in cancer diseases 2017 IEEE 30th Int. Symp. Comput. Med. Syst. IEEE pp 755–760