

Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention – Null Results in Brief

Risk factors for lung cancer in never-smokers: Multi-cohort study

G. David Batty^a (Email: david.batty@ucl.ac.uk | ORCID: 0000-0003-1822-5753)

Frederick K Ho^b (Frederick.Ho@glasgow.ac.uk | 0000-0001-7190-9025)

Steven Bell^{c,d} (scb81@medschl.cam.ac.uk | 0000-0001-6774-3149)

^aDepartment of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, UK

^bSchool of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

^cPrecision Breast Cancer Institute, Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

^dCancer Research UK Cambridge Centre, Li Ka Shing Centre, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Manuscript statistics: 774 words (plus 184 abstract), 8 references, 1 table, and 1 figure

Correspondence: David Batty, Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, 1-19 Torrington Place, London, UK, WC1E 6BT. E. david.batty@ucl.ac.uk

Funding: GDB is supported by the US National Institute on Aging (1R56AG052519-01; 1R01AG052519-01A1) and SB by Cancer Research UK (A27657). There was no direct financial or material support for the work reported in the manuscript.

Access to data: Data from the Health Surveys for England and The Scottish Health Surveys are available to researchers upon application (<https://data-archive.ac.uk/>).

Contributions: GDB generated the idea for the present paper, formulated the analytical plan, and wrote the manuscript; SB formulated the analytical plan, carried out the data analyses, prepared the table and figure, and commented on a draft manuscript; and FH commented on a draft manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest. The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

Abstract

Background. If lung cancer in never-smokers was a single disease entity, it would be the sixth most commonly occurring malignancy. Despite the population impact, its risk factors are poorly understood owing to a dearth of larger-scale, well-characterised studies.

Methods. We pooled individual-participant data from 18 prospective cohort studies comprising 91,588 never smokers (55,452 women) aged 16-102 years at study induction. Participants were linked to national death registries.

Results. A maximum of 17 years follow-up (mean 9.7) gave rise to 85 lung cancer deaths. Of the 19 potential determinants captured at baseline, only being older age (hazard ratio; 95% confidence interval per 10 year increase: 2.45; 2.11, 2.85), male (2.25; 1.46, 3.48), and having a high fruit and vegetable intake (2.29; 1.25, 4.17) were associated with elevated rates of lung cancer in this never-smoking group. No other substantial relationships were detected.

Conclusions. Despite the number and breadth of potential risk factors featured in this multi-cohort study, there was no clear suggestion of new determinants of lung cancer in never-smokers.

Impact. Our findings point to the need to explore the influence of risk factors additional to those included herein, particular in the field of genetics. Our unlikely finding for fruit and vegetable consumption warrants further testing.

Introduction

Lung cancer is a leading cause of death worldwide and it is very well documented that cigarette smoking is its most powerful risk factor. Even with only 10% of lung cancer cases arising in people with no history of smoking,¹ the absolute number of disease events is high, such that, if lung cancer in never-smokers was a single disease entity, it would be the sixth most commonly occurring malignancy.² While this brings into sharp focus the need to identify the causes of lung cancer in never smokers, the process is methodologically challenging because large studies are required and electronic health records, the most obvious source of data, often lack information on smoking habit. The current evidence base implicates a history of non-cancer respiratory disease and exposure to second-hand smoke, asbestos, and radon as being among the few modifiable risk factors in the occurrence of lung cancer in people who never smoked.¹ We therefore examined the role of an array of other determinants by pooling individual-participant data from a series of well-characterised, identical, field-based cohort studies.

Methods

Described in detail elsewhere,³ we used individual-participant (raw) data from 18 cohort studies with identical methodology: the Health Survey for England (15 studies) and the Scottish Health Surveys (3 studies). Ethical approval for data collection was granted by the London Research Ethics Council and local research ethics committees, and study members consented to participate.

A total of 222,829 people participated in a home-based biomedical survey between 1994 and 2008 when aged 16-102 years; 193,873 consented to being linked to a national mortality registry (figure 1). Of these, 94,456 people reported that they had never smoked. The further omission of study members with a plasma or salivary cotinine >1.0 ng/mL to identify so called smoking deceivers;⁴ those reporting use of nicotine replacement therapy; and study members with a history of cancer, resulted in 91,588 (55,452 women) remaining individuals and this was our analytical sample.

Baseline data collection

At study baseline, health behaviours (dietary intake, including alcohol use, leisure-time physical activity), psychosocial characteristics (cohabitation status, educational attainment, psychological distress), physical health (self-rated general, respiratory medications, non-cancer respiratory illness), and demographic data (ethnicity, sex, age) were all self-reported using standard methods.³ During medical examination, waist and hip circumference, height and weight, C-reactive protein, and plasma or blood cotinine were also measured using standard protocols. To account for differences in age, sex, and height, forced expiratory volume in one second and forced vital capacity were standardised using the Global Lung Function Initiative 2022 equations⁵ and z-scores derived.

Ascertainment of lung cancer mortality

Participants were linked to routinely-collected UK-wide mortality records from which cause of death was extracted. Ascertainment of lung cancer was based on any mention of the malignancy on the death certificate.⁶ A shared frailty Cox proportional hazards model accounted for unmeasured heterogeneity across studies by incorporating study-level random effects. Participants were censored according to the date of death from this malignancy or the end of follow-up (14 February 2011 in the Health Survey for England, 31 December 2009 in the Scottish Health Surveys), whichever came first. Analyses were conducted using Stata version 15.

Results

A maximum of 17 years of mortality surveillance (mean 9.7) of 91,588 study members gave rise to 85 lung cancer deaths. Being older (hazard ratio; 95% confidence interval per 10 year increase: 2.45; 2.11, 2.85) and male (versus female: 2.25; 1.46, 3.48) was associated with an elevated risk of lung cancer (table 1). While there was also a suggestion of associations with some of the others study member characteristics – higher body mass index and alcohol consumption – these did not reach conventional levels of statistical significance. The only exception was higher intake of vegetable and fruit where there was a more than doubling in the rate of lung cancer mortality (2.29; 1.25, 4.17).

Discussion

Our main finding was that, with the exception of risk factor associations for higher age and being male -- both well-replicated in this context¹ -- there was little evidence of a clear association for the remaining 17 potential determinants of never-smoking lung cancer. Our finding that higher fruit and vegetable consumption appeared to confer elevated risk has been reported in other studies⁷ but is not a universal observation.⁸ Given the paucity of known risk factors for lung cancer in never smokers, we included as many potential determinants as possible from our dataset, however, rarely, some of these (e.g., psychological distress), were not hypothesis-driven.

In conclusion, despite the number and breadth of potential environmental risk factors described, there was no clear emergence of new determinants for never-smoking lung cancer. It may be that future research should consider the role of genetic indices.

References

1. Samet JM, Avila-Tang E, Boffetta P, Hannan LM, Olivo-Marston S, Thun MJ, Rudin CM. Lung cancer in never smokers: clinical epidemiology and environmental risk factors. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009; **15**(18): 5626-45.
2. Rudin CM, Avila-Tang E, Samet JM. Lung cancer in never smokers: a call to action. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009; **15**(18): 5622-5.
3. Batty GD, Gale CR, Kivimaki M, Deary IJ, Bell S. Comparison of risk factor associations in UK Biobank against representative, general population based studies with conventional response rates: prospective cohort study and individual participant meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2020; **368**: m131.
4. Batty GD, Shipley MJ, Kvaavik E, Russ T, Hamer M, Stamatakis E, Kivimaki M. Biomarker assessment of tobacco smoking exposure and risk of dementia death: pooling of individual participant data from 14 cohort studies. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2018; **72**(6): 513-5.
5. Bowerman C, Bhakta NR, Brazzale D, Cooper BR, Cooper J, Gochicoa-Rangel L, Haynes J, Kaminsky DA, Lan LTT, Masekela R, McCormack MC, Steenbruggen I, Stanojevic S. A Race-neutral Approach to the Interpretation of Lung Function Measurements. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2023; **207**(6): 768-74.
6. Batty GD, Gale CR, Kivimaki M, Bell S. Assessment of Relative Utility of Underlying vs Contributory Causes of Death. *JAMA Open Network* 2019.
7. Liu Y, Sobue T, Otani T, Tsugane S. Vegetables, fruit consumption and risk of lung cancer among middle-aged Japanese men and women: JPHC study. *Cancer Causes Control* 2004; **15**(4): 349-57.
8. Ozasa K, Watanabe Y, Ito Y, Suzuki K, Tamakoshi A, Seki N, Nishino Y, Kondo T, Wakai K, Ando M, Ohno Y. Dietary habits and risk of lung cancer death in a large-scale cohort study (JACC Study) in Japan by sex and smoking habit. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 2001; **92**(12): 1259-69.

**Figure 1. Flow of study members into the analytical sample:
Follow-up of study members in the Health Survey for England and the Scottish Health Surveys**

HSE, Health Survey for England; SHS, Scottish Health Survey; NRT, nicotine replacement therapy

**Table 1. Association between baseline characteristics and lung cancer mortality in life-long never smokers:
Follow-up of study members in the Health Survey for England and the Scottish Health Surveys**

	Number of people	Number of deaths	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value
Socio-demographic factors				
Age (10-year change)	91588	85	2.45 (2.11, 2.85)	<0.001
Male vs female	91588	85	2.25 (1.46, 3.48)	<0.001
Non-white ethnicity vs white	91530	85	0.79 (0.28, 2.23)	0.65
Low educational attainment vs higher	91487	85	1.09 (0.69, 1.73)	0.71
Manual occupational social class vs non-manual	84051	79	1.02 (0.65, 1.59)	0.94
Health behaviours				
High fruit and vegetable consumption (≥5 servings/day) vs less	91588	85	2.29 (1.25, 4.17)	<0.01
Consumes alcoholic beverages vs abstinence	90305	85	1.51 (0.77, 2.95)	0.23
Low leisure time physical activity vs higher	56436	50	1.09 (0.61, 1.96)	0.76
Passive smoking (≥0.1 ng/mL blood/saliva cotinine) vs lower	39384	32	0.86 (0.33, 2.25)	0.75
Health history				
Poor self-reported general health vs higher	91576	85	1.07 (0.46, 2.47)	0.87
High psychological distress (GHQ-12≥4) versus lower	91588	85	1.26 (0.74, 2.15)	0.39
Physiological factors				
Sex-standardised z-score for BMI	82366	69	1.24 (0.97, 1.59)	0.09
Sex-standardised z-score for height	86047	72	1.06 (0.83, 1.37)	0.63
Per doubling of C-reactive protein (mg/L)	19007	24	1.04 (0.80, 1.34)	0.78
Respiratory factors				
Any longstanding respiratory condition vs none	91576	85	1.13 (0.52, 2.46)	0.75
Use of any respiratory medication vs none	84838	80	1.19 (0.52, 2.74)	0.68
Global Lung Function Initiative z-score FEV1	25085	23	1.27 (0.91, 1.77)	0.15
Global Lung Function Initiative z-score FVC	25085	23	1.26 (0.90, 1.76)	0.18
Global Lung Function Initiative z-score FEV1/FVC	25085	23	1.14 (0.83, 1.57)	0.42

Hazard ratios are age- and sex-adjusted. In selected survey years, no attempt was made to collect data on CRP, lung function, physical activity, and cotinine hence the lower sample size. GHQ-12, 12-item General Health Questionnaire; BMI, body mass index; FEV1, Forced Expiratory Volume in one second; FVC, Forced Vital Capacity

