



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Radiotherapy and Oncology

journal homepage: www.thegreenjournal.com



COVID-19 Rapid Letter

COVID-19 safe and fully operational radiotherapy: An AIRO survey depicting the Italian landscape at the dawn of phase 2



Barbara Alicja Jereczek-Fossa^{a,b,1}, Matteo Pepa^{a,1}, Mattia Zaffaroni^{a,*}, Giulia Marvaso^{a,b}, Alessio Bruni^c, Michela Buglione di Monale e Bastia^d, Gianpiero Catalano^e, Andrea Riccardo Filippi^f, Pierfrancesco Franco^g, Maria Antonietta Gambacorta^h, Domenico Genovesiⁱ, Giuseppe Iatì^j, Alessandro Magli^k, Luigi Marafioti^l, Icro Meattini^{m,n}, Anna Merlotti^o, Marcello Mignogna^p, Daniela Musio^q, Roberto Pacelli^r, Stefano Pergolizzi^j, Vincenzo Tombolini^q, Marco Trovo^k, Maria Cristina Leonardi^a, Umberto Ricardi^g, Stefano Maria Magrini^d, Renzo Corvò^{s,t}, Vittorio Donato^{u,v}, on the behalf of AIRO (Italian Association of Radiotherapy and Clinical Oncology)

^a Division of Radiation Oncology, IEO, European Institute of Oncology, IRCCS, Milano, Italy; ^b Department of Oncology and Hemato-Oncology, University of Milan; ^c Radiotherapy Unit, Department of Oncology and Haematology, University Hospital of Modena; ^d Department of Radiation Oncology, University and Spedali Civili Hospital, Brescia; ^e Radiation Oncology Centre, IRCCS Ospedale Multimedica, Sesto San Giovanni/Castellanza; ^f Department of Radiation Oncology, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo and University of Pavia; ^g Department of Oncology, University of Turin, Torino; ^h Department of Radiation Oncology, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Roma; ⁱ Radiation Oncology Unit, "SS Annunziata" Hospital, "G. D'Annunzio" University, Chieti; ^j Radiation Oncology Unit, Department of Biomedical, Dental Science and Morphological and Functional Images, University of Messina, Italy; ^k Department of Radiation Oncology, Udine General Hospital, Italy; ^l Division of Radiotherapy, Azienda Ospedaliera di Cosenza; ^m Radiation Oncology Unit, Oncology Department, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Careggi; ⁿ Department of Experimental and Clinical Biomedical Sciences "M. Serio", University of Florence, Firenze, Italy; ^o Department of Radiation Oncology, S. Croce and Carle Teaching Hospital, Cuneo, Italy; ^p Radiation Oncology Unit, S. Luca Hospital, Healthcare Company Tuscany Nord Ovest, Lucca, Italy; ^q Department of Radiotherapy, Policlinico Umberto I "Sapienza" University of Rome, Roma, Italy; ^r Department of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, Federico II University, Napoli, Italy; ^s Department of Radiation Oncology, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genova, Italy; ^t Health Science Department (DISSAL), University of Genoa, Genova, Italy; ^u Radiation Oncology Division, Oncology and Specialty Medicine Department, San Camillo-Forlanini Hospital, Roma; ^v President of AIRO (Italian Association of Radiotherapy and Clinical Oncology), Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 August 2020

Received in revised form 18 September 2020

2020

Accepted 27 September 2020

Available online 14 October 2020

© 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. Radiotherapy and Oncology 155 (2021) 120–122

To the Editor,

Italy was the first European country heavily involved in the Corona Virus Disease 19 (COVID-19) pandemic [1], with 294,932 cases and more than 35,000 deaths as of 18th September 2020 [2]. Despite the severity of the pandemic in the so-called Italian phase (P) 1 (18th March–3rd May 2020), radiation therapy (RT) facilities in the country managed to efficiently reorganised themselves to maintain a high standard of care while minimising the risk of contagion for patients and staff [3]. The safety measures adopted in the country had a positive impact on the epidemiological situation and allowed the authorities to relax the restrictions and introduce, on the 3rd May 2020, the so-called P2 (4th May 2020–today). The present study, which represents the natural evolution of a previous investigation conducted in the middle of P1 [3],

aims to query the directors of Italian RT centres, through an online questionnaire, about the approach and measures undertaken during P2 of the COVID-19 pandemic to restore the normal workload and revert to a new normality.

The survey ([Text of Survey, Supplementary Materials](#)) was sent to 177 Directors of RT facilities, members of the Italian Association of Radiotherapy and Clinical Oncology (AIRO), between the 10th of June and the 13th of July 2020.

Eighty-nine anonymous questionnaires (response rate 50%) were received from 18 different Italian regions ([Fig., Supplementary Materials S1](#)) within the permitted timeframe. Fifty centres (57.2%) reported modifications in their therapeutic activity both during P1 and P2. Therapeutic and outpatient activity reorganisation between P1 and P2 is summarised in [Table 1](#). As far as clinical activities are concerned, during P1, all the responders but 3 (3.3%) reported a reduction inferior to 30% (62, 70%) or no reduction at all (24, 27%). Transitioning from P1 to P2, 35 (39%) centres reported workload increase, 22 (25%) a complete restart of the activity and 26 (29%) no variation, while only 6 facilities (7%) reported a

* Corresponding author at: Division of Radiation Oncology, IEO European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, Via Ripamonti 435, 20141 Milan, Italy.

E-mail address: mattia.zaffaroni@ieo.it (M. Zaffaroni).

¹ Co-first authors.

Table 1
Summary of activity reorganisation in Italian RT departments during Phase 1 and Phase 2 and trend between the two phases.

Therapeutic activity reorganisation				
Phase 1 (18th March–3rd May 2020)		Phase 2 (4th May 2020–today)		Trend
125 responders	N (%)	89 responders	N (%)	
No substantial modification	39 (31.2)	No substantial modification respect to Phase 1	39 (43.8)	↑
Procrastinating treatment on a case-by-case basis	46 (36.8)	Postponed treatments (Phase 1) were re-evaluated on a case-by-case basis	41 (46.1)	–
Optimising home cures of symptomatic patients	17 (13.6)	Palliative indications have been reallocated as in the pre-COVID-19 period	24 (27.0)	–
Keeping only curative treatments otherwise not procrastinable	14 (11.2)	Keeping only curative treatments otherwise not procrastinable	2 (2.2)	↓
Favouring of short-term treatments (hypofractionation)	51 (40.8)	Favouring hypofractionation even when weakly recommended	14 (15.7)	↓
		Favouring hypofractionation only when strongly recommended	34 (38.2)	↓
Ongoing treatments interruption for particularly fragile patients	5 (0.04)	Treatments that had been discontinued were resumed	13 (14.6)	–
Outpatient activity reorganisation				
Phase 1 (18th March–3rd May 2020)		Phase 2 (4th May 2020–today)		
125 Responders	N (%)	89 responders	N (%)	
No substantial change	9 (0.07)	No changes since no modification have been introduced during Phase 1	12 (13.5)	↑↑↑
Ordinary check-ups have been cancelled	80 (64.0)	Ordinary check-ups have been reinstated as normal	69 (77.5)	–
First visits have been cancelled	2 (0.02)	Ordinary check-ups remain cancelled	9 (10.1)	↓
		First visits have been restarted	11 (12.4)	–
Telematic consultations activated for cancelled visits	54 (43.2)	Telematic consultation for cancelled visits	15 (16.9)	↓

List of abbreviations: COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 19; N: number of centres.

NB. P1 results refer to the previously published work (Jereczek-Fossa BA, Pepa M, Marvaso G, et al. COVID-19 outbreak and cancer radiotherapy disruption in Italy: Survey endorsed by the Italian Association of Radiotherapy and Clinical Oncology (AIRO) *Radiother Oncol.* 2020;149:89–93. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2020.04.061). P2 results, instead, were collected in the context of the current investigation.

decrease of activity. Triage procedures put in place during P1 remained active in all facilities during P2 to limit the contagion. Analogously, with regards to admitted patients, most measures adopted during P1 were maintained during P2 (surgical masks, 89 (100%); gloves, 13 (15%); hydro-alcoholic solution prior to entry 59 (66%); interpersonal distancing, 85 (96%)). In P2 a marked increase in the supply of all PPE was registered, especially for FFP2 and FFP3 (from 49.6% to 64% and from 9.6% to 13.5%, respectively, for the radiation oncologists). Meetings were allowed as per usual in 6 (7%) centres, with restrictions (i.e. interpersonal distancing) in 68 (76%), and in remote settings in 37 (42%). Remote working solutions for non-medical staff was maintained in the transition from P1 to P2 in 37 (42%) centres, and an additional 7% (6) of centres also enforced this working modality for radiation oncologists. In P2 a drop in the quarantined personnel was registered, with 80 (90%) of the centres registering no staff in quarantine against 50 (56%) centres during P1. Six and two centres registered 1 and 2 unit of quarantined staff respectively during P2. A single COVID-19 related fatality was reported among the personnel. Thirty-one centres (35%) reported positive or suspect cases among staff. In particular, 15/231 (6.5%) radiation oncologists, 23/302 (7.6%) RT technicians, 13/97 (13%) nurses, 1/49 (2%) administrative units and 2/101 (2%) physicists were tested positive. Thirty-nine (44%) centres reported COVID-19 positive cases among patients both before the start of RT and during treatment in P1 or P2. Out of these, 29 centres discontinued treatment of all positive cases, five proceeded with treatment for asymptomatic patients, and three continued RT for asymptomatic patients excluding chest tumour patients. For patients with a documented contact with a positive subject, the majority of the RT facilities requested a swab

(25/48 52.1%) while 9/48 (18.8%) decided for a temporary interruption of the treatment. Fourteen centres instead opted for continuing the treatment, with (10/48, 20.8%) or without (4, 8.3%) extra precautions.

The previous investigation [3] revealed that the prime focus of RT centres during P1 was to guarantee the continuity and the safety of the treatments for patients with high-risk conditions, while minimising undue risk for cases for which care can be safely deferred. Thanks to all the adopted measures to limit contagion among staff and patients, the pandemic effect on the Italian RT centres during P1 was, ultimately, modest, with most centres (55, 61.8%) reporting no reduction or a decrease in clinical activity not higher than 10%. Therefore, the average reduction of clinical activities in Italy turned out to be much less marked than that of Europe (38% centres reporting a reduction <80%) and US (84% centres reporting a reduction <80%) [4]. The preventive measures put in place remained virtually unchanged during the transition from P1 to P2. This was reflected by the proportion of centres registering positive cases which dropped down from 43.8% in P1 to 10.1% in P2, and in the maximum reported number of positive staff cases per centre, which decreased from 18 to 2. The reduction of registered daily cases is imputable to the strict safety measures adopted and not to the decrease in number of treated patients. On the contrary, with the advent of P2, RT Directors globally reported a progressive realignment with the pre COVID-19 era workload for both outpatient and clinical activities, with a partial or complete reactivation of the previously interrupted or postponed treatments, also thanks to the several guidelines published to help clinicians coping with the novel pandemic scenario [5–14,15–17]. Therefore, the present survey demonstrated how the planned pro-

gressive return to a novel routine during P2 has been attained by most Italian RT centres, maintaining high safety standards against a possible new spread of the infection and registering a lower number of positives cases among both patients and health professionals despite the resumption of a pre COVID-19 era workload. Such reorganisation will be crucial in prevention of the potentially detrimental impact of a possible second wave of pandemic on the society and health system.

Acknowledgments

The institution of authors BAJF, MP, MZ, GM and MCL (IEO, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, Milan) is partially supported by the Italian Ministry of Health with Ricerca Corrente and 5 × 1000 funds. MZ was supported by a research grant from Accuray Inc. entitled “Data collection and analysis of Tomotherapy and CyberKnife breast clinical studies, breast physics studies and prostate study”. The sponsors did not play any role in the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data, nor in the writing of the manuscript, nor in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The authors wish to thank Lars Johannes Isaksson, MSc for the English revision of the manuscript. The authors wish to acknowledge all the Italian RT Directors who participated in the study. All the responders agreed for the publication of the results of the survey. Those who accepted to be acknowledged in the present work are listed in the Acknowledgments, [Supplementary Materials](#).

Funding

None.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Authors' contribution

BAJF, MP, MZ, GM, RC and VD were responsible for conception and design of the study and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. AB, MB, GC, ARF, PF, MAG, DG, GI, AM, LM, IM, AM, MM, DM, RP, SP, VT, MT, MCL were responsible for data collection and wrote sections of the manuscript. BAJF, MP, MZ and GM were responsible

for data analysis. All authors contributed to manuscript revision and read and approved the submitted version.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2020.09.049>.

References

- [1] Lancia A et al. Radiotherapy in the era of COVID-19. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2020;1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14737140.2020.1785290>.
- [2] COVID-19 Virus Pandemic – Worldometer. <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/>. Accessed the 18th September 2020.
- [3] Jereczek-fossa BA et al. COVID-19 outbreak and cancer radiotherapy disruption in Italy: Survey endorsed by the Italian Association of Radiotherapy and Clinical Oncology (AIRO). *Radiother Oncol* 2020;149:89–93.
- [4] Slotman BJ et al. Effect of COVID-19 pandemic on practice in European Radiation Oncology Centers. *Radiother Oncol* 2020;150:40–2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2020.06.007>.
- [5] Alterio D et al. Head and neck cancer radiotherapy amid COVID-19 pandemic: Report from Milan, Italy. *Head Neck* 2020:1–9.
- [6] Coles CE et al. International Guidelines on Radiation Therapy for Breast Cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Clin Oncol* 2020;32:279–81.
- [7] De Azambuja E et al. ESMO Management and treatment adapted recommendations in the COVID-19 era: Breast Cancer. *ESMO Open* 2020;5:1–12.
- [8] Han K et al. Management of gynecologic cancer: Choosing radiotherapy wisely by 3 Southern Ontario academic centers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Radiother Oncol* 2020;151:15–6.
- [9] Siavashpour Z, Taghizadeh-Hesary F, Rakhsha A. Recommendations on management of locally advanced rectal cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic: an Iranian consensus. *J Gastrointest Cancer* 2020;51.
- [10] Catanese S, Pentheroudakis G, Douillard JY, Lordick F. ESMO Management and treatment adapted recommendations in the COVID-19 era: pancreatic cancer. *ESMO Open* 2020;5.
- [11] Guckenberger M et al. Practice recommendations for lung cancer radiotherapy during the COVID-19 pandemic: An ESTRO-ASTRO consensus statement. *Radiother Oncol* 2020;146:223–9.
- [12] Liao Z et al. Optimizing lung cancer radiation treatment worldwide in COVID-19 outbreak. *Lung Cancer* 2020;146:230–5.
- [13] Thomson DJ et al. Practice recommendations for risk-adapted head and neck cancer radiation therapy during the COVID-19 pandemic: an ASTRO-ESTRO consensus statement. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2020.04.016>.
- [14] Passaro A et al. ESMO Management and treatment adapted recommendations in the COVID-19 era: Lung cancer. *ESMO Open* 2020;5.
- [15] Magrini SM et al. Letter to the Editor regarding ESTRO-ASTRO guidelines on lung cancer radiotherapy during COVID-19 pandemic. *Radiother Oncol* 2020;147.
- [16] De Felice F, Polimeni A, Valentini V. The impact of Coronavirus (COVID-19) on head and neck cancer patients' care. *Radiother Oncol* 2020;147:84–5.
- [17] Vavassori A et al. Practical indications for management of patients candidate to Interventional and Intraoperative Radiotherapy (Brachytherapy, IORT) during COVID-19 pandemic – A document endorsed by AIRO (Italian Association of Radiotherapy and Clinical Oncology) Interventional Radiotherapy Working Group. *Radiother Oncol* 2020;149:73–7.