

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Response to “Being prepared to evaluate pregnancy PrEP”

Julia C Dettinger^{1,§} , John Kinuthia^{1,2} , Jillian Pintye¹ , Jared M Baeten^{1,3,4}  and Grace John-Stewart^{1,3,4,5}

[§]**Corresponding author:** Julia C Dettinger, Department of Global Health, University of Washington, 325 Ninth Ave., Box 359931, Seattle, WA 98104, USA.
Tel: +1-206-221-1041. (jcdettin@uw.edu)

Keywords: pre-exposure prophylaxis; pregnancy; prevention; children; women; infant; Africa

Received 2 December 2019; Accepted 4 December 2019

Copyright © 2019 The Authors. *Journal of the International AIDS Society* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of the International AIDS Society. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We appreciate Dr. Slogrove's summary of the methodological limitations of programmatic data for assessing infant outcomes, and we concur with the need for a global consensus on acceptable strength of safety evidence for PrEP-exposed pregnancies, as the safety threshold for a preventive agent is necessarily high. Following approval of PrEP for HIV prevention, the World Health Organization and countries both in Sub-Saharan Africa and elsewhere developed guidelines that permit the use of PrEP in pregnancy by women at high risk for HIV acquisition [1–6]. Data to support that recommendation have come from the pivotal placebo-controlled trials of PrEP, demonstration studies and registry information, and use of PrEP medications as part of HIV or HBV treatment [7]; that body of evidence continues to increase, including with our PrIYA data [8]. As for many medications, at the time of initial approval only limited safety data in pregnancy were available, with additional data often coming through small studies with wide confidence intervals.

We agree with Slogrove that we as a field should do better – intentionally considering pregnancy (and lactation) in the developmental pathway of new medications, gathering data on pregnancy safety sooner in the development of new HIV prevention (and treatment) modalities, and planning for large and rigorously conducted studies [9]. As PrEP with TDF/FTC is recommended globally, thoughtful designs are necessary that preserve ethical access to PrEP, allowing women to choose whether or not to use that HIV prevention method. Some data gaps will be addressed in an ongoing cluster randomized trial evaluating PrEP delivery approaches in antenatal care (ANC) visits [10] (PrEP Implementation for Mothers in Antenatal Care (PrIMA, NCT03070600)). The PrIMA trial, which involves over 4000 mother-infant pairs followed from pregnancy, will enable more rigorous assessment of infant outcomes by PrEP exposure, including stillbirth, miscarriage, birthweight, preterm birth, and infant growth through nine months. Further data will be obtained from a subset of PrIMA participants (approximately 1500) followed in an extension cohort that includes quantitative assessments of PrEP drug

levels among both mothers and infants and follow-up through five years of age, which includes bone mineralization, neurocognitive, and growth outcomes (R01HD100201).

Finally, in addition to these studies, as PrEP is delivered to women in settings with large numbers of exposed pregnancies, it is important to continue to compile data for rare infant outcomes that can only be adequately addressed through large, program databases [11].

AUTHORS' AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; ²Department of Obstetrics/Gynecology, Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya; ³Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; ⁴Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; ⁵Department of Pediatrics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

JCD, JP and GJS drafted the response. JK and JMB reviewed and provided revisions to the draft and approved of the final letter.

ABBREVIATIONS

ANC, antenatal care; PrEP, pre-exposure prophylaxis; PrIMA, PrEP Implementation for Mothers in Antenatal Care.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the journal editors and the author of the letter to the editor for the opportunity to continue discussions on this important topic.

FUNDING

The PrEP Implementation for Young Women and Adolescents (PrIYA) Program is funded by the United States Department of State as part of the DREAMS Innovation Challenge (Grant # 37188-1088 MOD01), managed by JSI Research & Training Institute, Inc. JP was supported by NIH F32NR017125. The PrIYA Team was supported by the University of Washington's Center for AIDS Research (CFAR) (P30 AI027757).

DISCLAIMER

PriYA was funded by a grant from the United States Department of State as part of DREAMS Innovation Challenge, managed by JSI Research & Training Institute, Inc. (JSI). The opinions, findings, and conclusions stated herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the United States Department of State or JSI.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. WHO technical brief: preventing HIV during pregnancy and breastfeeding in the context of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
2. Ministry of Health NASCP. Guidelines on use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection in Kenya 2016. Nairobi, Kenya: Ministry of Health NASCP; 2016.
3. Ministry of Health and Childcare. Implementation plan for HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis in Zimbabwe. Zimbabwe: Ministry of Health and Childcare; 2018.
4. Davies N, Heffron R. Global and national guidance for the use of pre-exposure prophylaxis during peri-conception, pregnancy and breastfeeding. *Sex Health*. 2018;15(6):501–12.
5. US Public Health Service. Recommendations for the use of antiretroviral drugs in pregnant women with HIV infection and interventions to reduce perinatal HIV transmission in the United States. Washington: Center for Disease Control, 2017.
6. Health SANDo. Guidelines for the provision of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) to persons at substantial risk of HIV infection. Pretoria, South Africa: Health SANDo; 26 September 2019.
7. Mofenson LM, Baggaley RC, Mameletzis I. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate safety for women and their infants during pregnancy and breastfeeding. *AIDS*. 2017;31(2):213–32.
8. Dettinger JC, Kinuthia J, Pintye J, Abuna F, Begnel E, Mugwanya K, et al. Perinatal outcomes following maternal pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) use during pregnancy: results from a large PrEP implementation program in Kenya. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2019;22:e25378.
9. Sullivan KA, Lyster AD. Ethical considerations in developing an evidence base for pre-exposure prophylaxis in pregnant women. *Reprod Health*. 2017;14 Suppl 3:171.
10. Dettinger JC, Kinuthia J, Pintye J, Mwangi N, Gómez L, Richardson B, et al. PrEP implementation for mothers in antenatal care (PrIMA): a study protocol of a cluster randomised trial. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9:e025122.
11. Mofenson LM, Pozniak AL, Wambui J, Raizes E, Ciaranello A, Clayden P, et al. Optimizing responses to drug safety signals in pregnancy: the example of dolutegravir and neural tube defects. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2019;22:e25352.