

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

# **Resuscitation Plus**

journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/resuscitation-plus



## The 'Weekend Effect' in adult patients who receive extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation after in- and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest



**EUROPEAN** 

RESUSCITATION

Dirk Lunz<sup>a,\*</sup>, Daniele Camboni<sup>b</sup>, Alois Philipp<sup>b</sup>, Bernhard Flörchinger<sup>b</sup>, Armando Terrazas<sup>b</sup>, Thomas Müller<sup>c</sup>, Christof Schmid<sup>b</sup>, Claudius Diez<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University Medical Centre Regensburg, Department of Anaesthesiology, 93053 Regensburg, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, 93053 Regensburg, Germany

 $^{
m c}$  Department of Internal Medicine II Franz-Josef-Strauß-Allee 11, 93053 Regensburg, Germany

### Abstract

Aim: This study investigates the potentially adverse association between extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation (ECPR) after cardiac arrest on weekends versus weekdays.

**Methods:** Single-centre, retrospective, stratified (weekday versus weekend) analysis of 318 patients who underwent in-hospital ECPR after out-ofhospital and in-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA/IHCA) between 01/2008 and 12/2018. Weekend was defined as the period between Friday 17:00 and Monday 06:59.

**Results:** Seventy-three patients (23%) received ECPR during the weekend and 245 arrests (77%) occurred during the weekday. Whereas survival to discharge did not differ between both groups, long-term survival was significantly lower in the weekend group (p=0.002). In the multivariate analysis, independent risk factors associated with hospital mortality were no flow time (OR 1.014; 95% Cl 1.004–1.023) and serum lactate prior ECPR (OR 1.011; 95% Cl 1.006–1.012), whereas each unit serum haemoglobin above average had a protective effect on in-hospital mortality (OR 0.87; 95% Cl 0.79–0.96). New onset kidney failure requiring renal replacement therapy occurred more often in the weekend group (30.1% versus 18.4%; p=0.04). One third of patients experienced complications regardless ECPR was initiated at weekdays or weekends.

**Conclusion:** Extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation at weekends adversely seems to impact long-term survival regardless timing (dayshift/ nightshift). Duration of CPR and serum lactate prior ECPR were demonstrated as independent risk factors for in-hospital mortality. As ECPR at weekends could not be shown to be an independent outcome predictor a thorough analysis of clinical events subsequent to this intervention is warranted to understand long-term consequences of ECPR initiation after cardiac arrest.

Keywords: ECPR, Weekend effect, Long-term survival

### Introduction

Sudden cardiac death remains a major source of mortality in developed economies despite recent improvements in primary and secondary prevention.<sup>1</sup> The incidence varies between 50–100 per 100,000 in the general population<sup>2</sup> and a recent report estimated

292,000 in-hospital cardiac arrests in US adults.<sup>3</sup> Extracorporeal life support, realized as veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), has been gradually incorporated in cardiopulmonary resuscitation<sup>4,5</sup> and recent meta-analyses suggest improved survival to discharge in patients who received ECPR compared to conventional CPR (CCPR).<sup>6–8</sup> Nevertheless, there is inconclusive evidence to either support or refute ECPR for in- and out-of hospital cardiac

E-mail address: dirk.lunz@ukr.de (D. Lunz).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resplu.2020.100044

Received 15 May 2020; Received in revised form 15 October 2020; Accepted 17 October 2020

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>2666-5204/© 2020</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

arrest.<sup>9,10</sup> Survival after in-hospital cardiac arrest is affected by time of day and day of week where survival rates were lower during nights and weekends even when adjusted for potentially confounding patient, event and hospital characteristics.<sup>11</sup> A recent meta-analysis showed that hospital inpatients admitted during weekends may have a higher mortality rate compared to those admitted during weekdays.<sup>12</sup>

Schopka et al. expanded available evidence by investigating survival after ECPR on weekends in a single Korean centre<sup>13</sup> and demonstrated lower survival and resuscitation quality including higher cannulation failure and complication rate.

Overall evidence for the association of adverse outcome after ECPR at weekends and timing is sparse. Although many institutional ECMO programs are set up with a 24/7 service and dedicated resources, short-term outcome may not be associated with initial timing of ECLS, regardless day of the week.

We analysed the outcome of 318 patients after witnessed IHCA/ OHCA who underwent ECPR in our institution between January 2009 and December 2018.

### Methods

### Patients

A retrospective analysis of 318 patients who received ECPR by means of femoral percutaneous access between January 2009 and December 2018 was performed to analyse outcome and identify potential risk factors for adverse outcome.

Decision-making on ECPR at the index institution is made on a caseby-case basis. Age per se is no contraindication, but ECPR is not considered in patients with advanced basic life support for less than 15min, known irreversible brain injury, known terminal malignancy, trauma with uncontrolled bleeding, unwitnessed circulatory arrest and in patients who declared to wish no life-prolonging mechanical support.

Percutaneous cannulation was performed by an ECMO-experienced intensivist at bedside, in the emergency room (for OHCA) or in the cardiac catheter laboratory using a modified Seldinger technique. A preliminary ultrasound assessment of both groins was done in the vast majority of all cases. In every patient catecholamine support via continuous infusion was already started during CPR.

Long-term follow-up was obtained via personal phone contact once a year and subsequent documentation in our institutional database.

Institutional Review Board approval was obtained prior data analysis (No. 15-101-0051) and the need for informed consent was waived due to the retrospective design.

### Definitions

Weekend was defined as the time from Friday 17:00 to Monday 06:59. Nightshift was defined as the time between 17:00 and 06:59 regardless the day of the week. Cardiac arrest was the first arrest event during the index hospitalisation. CPR to pump-on time was the interval from the first chest compression or defibrillation until beginning of extracorporeal circulation.

Cerebral performance categories (CPC) scale was used to assess neurologic outcome at hospital discharge, whereas CPC 1 is a return to normal cerebral function and normal living and CPC 5 indicates brain death. Lactate clearance in percent after 24h was estimated as [(serum lactate\_initial - serum lactate24h)/serum lactate24h]  $\times$  100. Cannulation related problems refer to difficult vascular access with multiple punctures, multiple uses of disposables and cannulation site bleeding during cannulation.

### ECLS equipment and ECLS management

Equipment from four manufacturers (Maquet Cardiopulmonary GmbH, Xenios AG, LivaNova PLC and Zoll Medical Corporation) was used during the study period. The institutional management of patients on ECLS was described previously.<sup>14</sup> Distal limb perfusion and routine limb monitoring with NIRS was utilized in selected patients since September 2011.

### Statistical analysis

Data were analysed with Stata SE 16.0 (StataCorp. LLC; College Station, TX, USA). Continuous data were presented as mean with standard deviation if normally distributed. Non-normally distributed continuous data were presented as median with interquartile range (25th–75th percentile). Normality was estimated graphically with quantile-quantile plots and formally with the Shapiro–Wilk test.

Categorical data were presented as frequencies and percentage. Intergroup comparisons for normally distributed continuous data were done with Student's t-test and for non-normally distributed data with the rank sum test. Differences including confidence intervals between medians were assessed by quantile regression. Categorical data in  $n \times k$  contingency tables were analysed with the chi-square test (Pearson) and for  $2 \times 2$  tables with Fisher's exact test. Chi-squared test for trend was used to determine a (linear) trend among ordered proportions.

Survival as time-to-event data was analysed with the Kaplan –Meier estimate and the logrank test was used to estimate differences in survival times. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression was used to identify predictor variables for in-hospital mortality. Calibration and discriminatory performance were tested with the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test and ROC analysis.

All tests were two-sided and a p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### **Results**

### Patient characteristics

We analysed 318 patients who experienced cardiac arrest and received extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation between January 2009 and December 2018. We observed a steady increase in ECPR between 2009 with only 15 procedures and 2018 with 64 procedures. Almost every fourth patient (73/318; 23%) received ECPR during the weekend. Survival to discharge after cardiac arrest was lowest ( $\approx$ 30%) compared to other indications for the use of veno-arterial ECMO during the index timeframe.

Fig. 1 summarizes the relative distribution of ECPR on weekdays versus weekends (Panel A). Although slight variation over time was observed, the proportion of ECPR on weekends was stable at around 30% since 2013. As shown in Panel B, most procedures occurred on Mondays (n=61; 19.2%) followed by Fridays (n=52; 16.3%) and



Fig. 1 – Panel A: Proportion of patients who received ECPR during weekday and weekend (Friday 17:00 to Monday 06:59) stratified by years 2009 to 2018. Panel B: Number of patients who underwent ECPR stratified by weekday. Panel C: Number of ECPR patients by hour. Panel D: Mortality (grey bars) by hour. The solid line represents the total number of patients, who received ECPR in the index hour.

Wednesdays (n=48; 15%). The distribution by hour showed a sharp increase at 08:00, peaking at 11:00 before declining until midnight.

Table 1 summarizes the patient characteristics prior ECPR. The arterial pH was higher in patients in the weekday group (mean difference +0.10; 95% CI 0.045 to 0.173). A prior cardiac surgical procedure was more often present in the weekday group (22.4% versus 8.2%; p=0.006). Although not statistically significant, the time between CPR start and Pump-on was shorter in the weekday group (minus 8min; 95% CI -17 to 1).

### Clinical outcome

Table 2 displays clinical outcome data for both groups. Median extracorporeal support did not differ, and it was 2 days. Fig. 1 Panel D shows long-term mortality versus hour of ECPR initiation. Mortality follows a similar pattern as the frequency of ECPR runs (Fig. 1 Panel

C). Long-term mortality was significantly higher in patients with ECPR on a weekend compared ECPR on a weekday (87.7% vs. 73.5%; p=0.01). The mortality rate per 1000 days was 2.0 (95% Cl 1.7–2.4) for patients who received ECPR at weekdays, compared to 4.3 deaths (95% Cl 3.3–5.5) per 1000 days for those at weekends.

Fig. 2 illustrates a significant difference in long-term mortality between both groups (p=0.002), whereas 30-day mortality did not differ. Fig. 3 shows survival to discharge in relation to CPR-to-Pump-on time, number of organ failures prior ECPR, day-/nightshift distinction and serum lactate before ECPR. Survival to discharge was inversely associated with CPR-to Pump-on time regardless weekday/weekend distinction (Panel A). Around 50% survival occurred in the group of patients with up to 28.5min (95% CI 25–30; 1st quartile) CPR-to Pump-on time, whereas 20% survival was observed in those patients with >65min until extracorporeal support was initiated. Serum lactate prior ECPR inversely correlated with survival to discharge (Panel D) with

Table 1 - Patient characteristics.						
Variable	Weekday (n=245)	Weekend (n=73)	P-value			
Age (years)	58.3 (49.7; 67.1)	55.5 (47; 65.6)	0.18			
Gender (n; %)						
Female	68 (28%)	15 (21%)	0.29			
Male	177 (72%)	58 (79%)				
Time CPR start to Pump On (min)	42 (IQR 26–65)	58 (IQR 34–73)	0.02			
OHCA	50 (IQR 33–75)	60 (IQR 47–65)	0.21			
IHCA	36 (IQR 22–60)	51 (IQR 31–78)	0.01			
Weight (kg)	80 (IQR 70; 95)	80 (IQR 70; 90)	0.60			
Body mass index (kg $\times$ m <sup>-2</sup> )	28.3±6.5	27.3±4.9	0.16			
ECPR during						
Dayshift (n; %)	191 (78%)	47 (64.4%)	0.02			
Nightshift (n; %)	54 (22%)	26 (35.6%)				
Major indications for ECPR (n; %)						
Acute myocardial infarction	98 (40 %)	35 (47.9 %)	0.52			
Pulmonary embolism	27 (11 %)	9 (12.3 %)				
Ventricular fibrillation	27 (11 %)	8 (11 %)				
Dilated Cardiomyopathy	15 (6.1%)	4 (5.5%)				
Other	78 (31.8 %)	17 (23.3 %)				
Prior cardiac surgery (n; %)	55 (22.4%)	6 (8.2%)	0.006			
SOFA score	15 (12; 18)	15 (14; 17)	0.81			
LIS score	2.6±0.9	2.8±0.7	0.06			
Out of hospital cardiac arrest	99 (40.4 %)	21 (28.8 %)	0.08			
Renal replacement therapy (n; %)	31 (12.6%)	6 (8.2%)	0.40			
Number of failed organs (n; %)						
1	166/243 (68.3%)	42/72 (58.3%)	0.28			
2	66/243 (27.2%)	24/72 (33.3%)				
>2	11/243 (4.5%)	6/72 (8.3%)				
Patient cardiopulmonary and laboratory values at the time of ECMO s	start					
Lactate (mmol $\times$ I <sup>-1</sup> )	12.21±5.33	13,1±6,11	0.25			
Norepinephrine; standardized ( $\mu$ g × kg <sup>-1</sup> × min <sup>-1</sup> × m <sup>-2</sup> )	0.23 (IQR 0; 0.47)	0.21 (IQR 0; 41)	0.75			
Epinephrine; standardized ( $\mu g \times kg^{-1} \times min^{-1} \times m^{-2}$ )	0.15 (IQR 0; 0.37)	0.14 (IQR 0; 0.26)	0.67			
Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)	42±13	39±12	0.09			
Hemoglobin $(g \times dl^{-1})$	10.1±2.7	10.7±2.5	0.07			
Tidal volume (ml)	456 (IQR 400; 500)	456 (IQR 400; 513.5)	0.53			
PEEP (mbar)	9.7±3.4	10.5±3.3	0.14			
F <sub>i</sub> O <sub>2</sub> :O <sub>2</sub> Ratio	73 (IQR 55; 126)	67 (IQR 57; 85.5)	0.40			
Arterial $p_a O_2$ (mmHg)	70 (IQR 54; 106)	67 (IQR 57; 85.5)	0.68			
Arterial p <sub>a</sub> CO2 (mmHg)	49 (IQR 41.5; 66)	62 (IQR 47.5; 77)	0.01			
Arterial pH	7.14±0.18	7.03±0.20	<0.001			
LDH (U/I)	390 (IQR 263; 619)	466 (IQR 326; 678)	0.12			
CRP (mg $\times$ dl <sup>-1</sup> )	10 (IQR 3; 46)	7 (IQR 3; 19)	0.20			
Prothrombin time (%)	53 (IQR 38; 74)	56 (IQR 31.5; 72.5)	0.58			
D-Dimer (mg $\times l^{-1}$ )	19 (IQR 6; 35)	30 (IQR 9; 35)	0.09			
Thrombocytes $(n \times nl^{-1})$	161 (IQR 116; 216)	163 (IQR 112; 238)	0.81			
Plasma-free hemoglobin (mg $\times$ dl <sup>-1</sup> )	289 (IQR 151; 585)	367 (IQR 228; 564)	0.43			
Bilirubin (mg $\times$ dl <sup>-1</sup> )	1.2±3.0	0.58±0.5	0.006			
GOT $(U \times I^{-1})$	112 (IQR 45; 257)	155 (IQR 85; 257)	0.12			
	(, ,					

survival to discharge of around 50% in patients with serum lactate values  $< 855 \text{ mmolxl}^{-1}$  (1st quartile).

related problems were observed in almost one third of patients regardless timing.

New onset acute kidney failure with renal replacement therapy occurred more often in patients with ECPR at the weekends (30.1% vs. 18.4%; p=0.04). Acute kidney failure occurred in 24/100 (24%) of survivors to discharge and was not statistically significant different compared to non-survivors (43/218; 19.8%). Regardless of timing, survivors to discharge had a significantly higher median lactate clearance after 24h after ECPR initiation compared to non-survivors (64% vs. 39%; p<0.001). Adverse neurological outcome (CPC categories 3 and 4) occurred in 6.1% of patients in the weekday and in 10.6% of patients in the weekend group (Table 2). Cannulation-

### Risk factors for in-hospital mortality

Table 3 summarizes the results from uni- and multivariate logistic regression. Time of CPR until initiation of ECLS (Odds ratio 1.014; 95% CI 1.004-1.023) and serum lactate prior ECLS (Odds ratio 1.011; 95% CI 1.006-1.012) were identified as two independent risk factors for adverse outcome, whereas serum haemoglobin level before ECLS showed a protective effect (OR 0.87; 95% CI 0.79 to 0.96). Each minute of CPR above average until extracorporeal

Table 2 - Clinical outcome.					
Variable	Weekday n=245)	Weekend (n=73)	P-value		
Days on ECLS	2 (IQR 1; 4)	2 (IQR 1; 4)	0.91		
Length of Hospital stay (days)	27 (IQR 21; 43)	45 (IQR 23; 67)	0.15		
Overall Mortality (n; %)	180 (73.5%)	64 (87.7%)	0.011		
(in-hospital and during follow-up)					
Mortality in patients w/o cardiac surgery (n; %)	140/190 (73.7%)	60/67 (89.5%)	0.006		
Mortality in patients with prior cardiac surgery (n; %)	40/55 (72.7%)	4/6 (66.7%)	>0.99		
30-Day mortality (n; %)	156 (63.7%)	55 (75.3%)	0.07		
60-Day mortality (n; %)	164 (66.9%)	55 (75.3%)	0.20		
Survival to index hospital discharge (n; %)	81 (33%)	19 (26%)	0.31		
Cause of death (n; %)					
Cerebral hypoxia	73/164 (44.5%)	26/56 (46.4%)	0.55		
Low Cardiac Output	28/164 (17.1%)	13/56 (23.2%)			
Multi organ failure	24/164 (14.6%)	4/56 (7.1%)			
Bleeding	17/164 (10.4%)	4/56 (7.1%)			
Cerebral Performance Category at hospital discharge (n; %)					
CPC 0	9/81; 11.1%	0	0.45		
CPC 1	56/81; 69.1%	15/19; 78.9%			
CPC 2	11/81; 13.6%	2/19; 10.5%			
CPC 3	4/81; 4.9%	1/19; 5.3%			
CPC 4	1/81; 1.2%	1/19; 5.3%			
Peak NSE ( $\mu$ g × I <sup>-1</sup> )	71 (IQR 45; 159)	89 (IQR 42; 191)	0.72		
Lactate (mmol $\times l^{-1}$ ) 24h after arrest	7.33±5,88	6.88±5.55	0.65		
Lactate 'clearance' (%)	46% (IQR 13; 73)	54% (IQR 27; 73)	0.34		
Lactate clearance (n; %)					
Positive	145 (75.5%)	35 (83.3%)	0.32		
Negative	47 (24.5%)	7 (16.7%)			
Peak Plasma-free hemoglobin (mg $\times$ l <sup>-1</sup> )	321 (IQR 168; 614)	428 (IQR 226; 668)	0.14		
New onset acute kidney failure with RRT (n; %)	45 (18.4%)	22 (30.1%)	0.04		
RBC transfusions (n)					
0	111/245	35/73	0.15		
1<3	34/245	12/73			
3<5	21/245	9/73			
5<10	46/245	8/73			
>10	33/245	9/73			
FFP transfusions (n)					
0	165/244	54/73	0.43		
1<3	6/244	4/73			
3<5	18/244	2/73			
5<10	32/244	8/73			
>10	23/244	5/73			
Platelet transfusions $>$ 1 concentrate (n; %)	66/241 (27.3%)	11/73 (15.0%)	0.04		
Mean number of membrane oxygenators	1.14±0.46	1.19±0.54	0.45		
Complications (n; %)	87 (35.5%)	24 (32.8 %)	0.78		
Cannulation site bleeding	9/87 (10.3%)	4/24 (16.7%)	0.47		
Limb ischemia	22/87 (25.3%)	4/24 (16.7%)	0.43		
Failed distal limb perfusion	6/87 (6.9%)	3/24 (12.5%)	0.4		
GI-bleeding	3/87 (3.4%)	4/24 (16.7%)	0.04		
HIT	5/87 (5.8%)	1/24 (4.2%)	>0.99		
Other	42/87 (55.5%)	8/24 (33.3%)	0.1		
Cannulation related problems (n, %)	79/245 (32.2%)	19/73 (26%)	0.39		

support starts adds 1.4% hospital mortality if adjusted for serum lactate and haemoglobin and each mg per decilitre serum lactate above average adds 1.1% hospital mortality after adjustment. On average, non-survivors had 14.9 additional minutes CPR (95% CI 6.9 –23; p<0.001) until extracorporeal support started. Each gram per decilitre above average reduces hospital mortality by 13.1% if adjusted for serum lactate and time of CPR to pump-on.

### **Discussion**

This retrospective single-centre analysis from an ELSO Centre of Excellence showed impaired long-term survival of patients who underwent ECPR at weekends. Survival to discharge and reported complications were similar in both groups and statistically not significant different. From this perspective, this analysis clearly contrasts the finding from Schopka et al. from 2016 who found significantly higher mortality and complications in patients with ECPR



Fig. 2 – Panel A: Long-term mortality stratified by weekday/weekend. There is a significant difference in mortality (logrank p-value = 0.002). Panel B: In-hospital mortality within the first 60 days. About 50% mortality occurs within the first 5 days after initiation of ECPR. OR for day 30 is 1.74 (95% CI 0.94–3.35) with a p-value = 0.07, OR for day 60 is 1.50 (95% CI 0.80–2.91) with a p-value = 0.20.

at weekends.<sup>13</sup> If adjusted for ECPR-related variables, the odds ratios for survival to discharge were not significantly different. The authors concluded that ECPR-related variables and not baseline comorbidity may have contributed to the lower survival in the weekend group. To date, the Korean single-centre registry analysis is the only published report on the impact of the 'weekend effect' on ECPR patients. Fagnoul et al. summarized available data for ECPR in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest settings and emphasised the impact of arrest time to ECMO start<sup>15</sup> and a 10-year comparative analysis of veno-arterial ECMO for refractory cardiac arrest in 131 patients revealed a mortality of 82.4%.<sup>16</sup> We could confirm a recent finding from a Swedish cohort study<sup>17</sup> with 72 patients with cardiac arrest prior to veno-arterial

ECMO where arterial lactate was identified as an independent risk factor (OR 1.15; 95% CI 1.01–1.31) for 90-day mortality. These findings were in line with the results of a systemic review and metaanalysis by d'Arrigo et al.<sup>18</sup> We are also in line with numerous previous publications that showed CPR-to Pump-on time as an independent risk factor for hospital mortality; regardless weekday/weekend timing. Our findings indicate that differences in infrastructure may be crucial. Whereas Schopka et al.<sup>13</sup> demonstrated impaired quality of care at weekends and during night-time, our centre established ECPR teams with physicians and perfusionists trained and experienced in extracorporeal support. These teams are available at a 24/7 service level regardless day of the week. This approach facilitates a high level



Fig. 3 – Panel A: Survival to discharge versus CPR-to-ECPR time (in quartiles) stratified by weekday/weekend. Panel B: Survival to discharge versus number of organ failures stratified by weekday/weekend. There was no survival to discharge if more than three organs failed. Panel C: Survival to discharge versus day-/nightshift stratified by weekday/ weekend. Panel D: Survival to discharge versus serum lactate prior ECPR stratified by weekday/weekend.

of care resulting in low rates of cannulation failure and constant survival to hospital discharge rates.

The effect of haemoglobin level on survival to discharge after ECPR has been investigated by several groups,<sup>19–21</sup> but results are heterogeneous. Whereas the protective effect of haemoglobin disappeared in multivariate analysis,<sup>19</sup> a Korean study<sup>20</sup> with 115 patients with cardiac arrest identified lower haemoglobin levels before ECPR as an independent risk factor for poor neurological outcome (OR 1.5; 95% Cl 1.07–2.10). Although the SOS-Kanto study demonstrated the association of favourable neurological outcome in cardiac arrest with higher pre-arrest haemoglobin values, the potential association of haemoglobin and outcomes in ECPR patients requires further investigation. There is no uniform definition on low or lower haemoglobin values and often hospitalized patients underwent interventions or surgeries with volume administration before arrest. From a simplified physiological point of view it is easy to assume that the extend of ischemia and reperfusion injury during and after cardiac

arrest should depend on oxygen delivery capacities but up to now there is no conclusive scientific evidence for that. Our cohort includes patients with previous cardiac surgery and others with haemorrhagic and septic shock and therefore the reported protective effect of haemoglobin deserves careful interpretation.

The impaired long-term survival in patients with ECPR at weekends remains challenging to explain and a gap in available clinical evidence calls for further research. A recent analysis of more than 12,000 paediatric patients with cardiac arrest showed after adjustment for potential confounders that ECPR during nights (23:00 to 06:59) was associated with impaired survival to discharge, whereas no effect of timing on outcome between weekdays and weekends was observed.<sup>22</sup> Another study demonstrated that outcomes were not significantly worse for paediatric patients undergoing ECLS cannulation during off-hours (19:00–07:00) compared to normal weekday working hours.<sup>23</sup>

Multiple extracerebral organ dysfunction after return of spontaneous circulation in post cardiac arrest syndrome is associated with long-

Predictor variables	Unadjusted	Unadjusted - univariate		Adjusted - multivariate	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	
Time CPR – Pump on <sup>a</sup>	1.02	1.00-1.03	1.014	1.004-1.023	0.004
Lactate prior ECPR <sup>a</sup>	1.01	1.00-1.02	1.011	1.006-1.012	<0.001
MAP prior ECPR <sup>a</sup>	0.98	0.96-0.99			
pH prior ECPR <sup>b</sup>	0.02	0.004-0.14			
Arterial pCO <sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup>	1.00	0.99-1.02			
D-Dimer prior ECPR	1.03	1.01-1.05			
Haemoglobin prior ECPR <sup>a</sup>	0.91	0.83-1.00	0.869	0.786-0.961	0.007
ECPR on weekend	1.40	0.78-2.52			
Prior cardiac surgery	0.77	0.58-1.03			
Presence of complications	1.38	0.83-2.30			
New onset acute kidney failure	0.78	0.44-1.38			
Serum bilirubin	1.2	0.92-1.2			
Cannulation related issues	1.43	0.84-2.42			

### Table 3 – Factors potentially associated with hospital mortality.

Multivariate model: Goodness-of-fit was acceptable (Hosmer-Lemeshow p-value 0.40 ( $\chi^2$ =2.91) and moderate discriminatory performance in the ROC-analysis (AUC=0.72; 95% CI 0.66 to 0.78).

<sup>a</sup> Variables included in the first multivariate logistic model.

<sup>b</sup> Not included in multivariate logistic model due to strong negative correlation between pH and lactate.

term outcome<sup>24</sup> and gross healthcare related expenses.<sup>25</sup> In our cohort neither survival to discharge nor cerebral performance were statistically significant between both groups. This finding might be explained, at least partially, by the fact that early in-hospital mortality mainly depends on therapy withdrawal and cerebral hypoxia. Thus, similar CPR to Pump-on times and immediately available ECMO teams and thus similar short-term outcomes can be expected regardless timing of ECPR. Long-term outcome mainly depends on multi-organ dysfunction and failure because of prolonged shock and stabilization during the initial period after arrest. Differences in longterm outcome may be explained by differences in early patient care during weekends compared to weekdays. Even in institutions with sophisticated infrastructure and a 24/7 ECPR service, variations in experience among personnel, for example at intensive care units and rapid availability of diagnostic and laboratory tests at weekends have a relevant impact on long-term organ function.

Our data indicate the importance of organ dysfunction, e.g. renal impairment, but further research with focus on early care is required to disentangle the weekend effect on long-term outcome.

### Conclusions

We conclude that ECPR after cardiac arrest at weekends, defined as the period between Friday 17:00 and Monday 06:59, results in lower long-term survival compared to patients who receive ECPR at weekdays and regardless the distinction between night- and dayshift care. As only time of CPR until extracorporeal support and serum lactate prior ECMO but not timing of ECPR itself have been identified as independent risk factors for hospital mortality a thorough analysis of clinical care and events along the entire care pathway is warranted to comprehend long-term consequences of ECPR after cardiac arrest.

### **Ethics**

Institutional Review Board approval was obtained prior data analysis (No. 15-101-0051) and the need for informed consent was waived due to the retrospective design.

### **Conflicts of interest**

C. Diez is faculty member at the University Medical Centre Regensburg and currently a full-time employee of the Getinge AB, Sweden.

All other authors have no conflicts of interest related to this work.

### **CRediT** authorship contribution statement

Dirk Lunz: Investigation, Writing - original draft, Supervision, Project administration. Daniele Camboni: Investigation, Writing - original draft, Visualization, Supervision. Alois Philipp: Investigation, Project administration. Bernhard Flörchinger: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. Armando Terrazas: Writing - review & editing, Data curation. Thomas Müller: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. Christof Schmid: Supervision, Project administration. Claudius Diez: Validation, Formal analysis, Software, Supervision, Writing original draft.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all perfusionists at our institution for their dedicated work. In addition, we would like to thank all intensive care personnel including nurses for their daily work with ECMO patients. This study would not have been possible without their support and passion.

### REFERENCES

- Deo R, Albert CM. Epidemiology and genetics of sudden cardiac death. Circulation 2012;125:620–37.
- Fishman GI, Chugh SS, Dimarco JP, et al. Sudden cardiac death prediction and prevention: report from a National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and Heart Rhythm Society Workshop. Circulation 2010;122:2335–48.
- Holmberg MJ, Ross CE, Fitzmaurice GM, et al. Annual Incidence of Adult and Pediatric In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest in the United States. Circ: Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 201912:.
- Shin TG, Choi JH, Jo IJ, et al. Extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation in patients with inhospital cardiac arrest: a comparison with conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Crit Care Med 2011;39:1–7.
- Chen YS, Lin JW, Yu HY, et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation with assisted extracorporeal life-support versus conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation in adults with in-hospital cardiac arrest: an observational study and propensity analysis. Lancet 2008;372:554 -61.
- Wang GN, Chen XF, Qiao L, et al. Comparison of extracorporeal and conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a meta-analysis of 2 260 patients with cardiac arrest. World J Emerg Med 2017;8:5–11.
- Twohig CJ, Singer B, Grier G, Finney SJ. A systematic literature review and meta-analysis of the effectiveness of extracorporeal-CPR versus conventional-CPR for adult patients in cardiac arrest. J Intensive Care Soc 2019;20:347–57.
- Ouweneel DM, Schotborgh JV, Limpens J, et al. Extracorporeal life support during cardiac arrest and cardiogenic shock: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Intensive Care Med 2016;42:1922–34.
- 9. Holmberg MJ, Geri G, Wiberg S, et al. Extracorporeal Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation for Cardiac Arrest: A Systematic Review. Resuscitation 2018;131:91–100.
- Chen Z, Liu C, Huang J, et al. Clinical efficacy of extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation for adults with cardiac arrest: metaanalysis with trial sequential analysis. BioMed Res Int 2019;2019:6414673.
- Peberdy MA, Ornato JP, Larkin GL, et al. Survival from in-hospital cardiac arrest during nights and weekends. JAMA 2008;299:785–92.
- Pauls LA, Johnson-Paben R, McGready J, Murphy JD, Pronovost PJ, Wu CL. The weekend effect in hospitalized patients: a meta-analysis. J Hosp Med 2017;12:760–6.

- Lee DS, Chung CR, Jeon K, et al. Survival after extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation on weekends in comparison with weekdays. Ann Thoracic Surg 2016;101:133–40.
- Schopka S, Philipp A, Hilker M, et al. Clinical course and long-term outcome following venoarterial extracorporeal life support-facilitated interhospital transfer of patients with circulatory failure. Resuscitation 2015;93:53–7.
- Fagnoul D, Combes A, De Backer D. Extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Curr Opin Crit Care 2014;20:259–65.
- Pozzi M, Armoiry X, Achana F, et al. Extracorporeal life support for refractory cardiac arrest: a 10-year comparative analysis. Ann Thoracic Surg 2019;107:809–16.
- Fux T, Holm M, Corbascio M, van der Linden J. Cardiac arrest prior to venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: risk factors for mortality. Crit Care Med 2019;47:926–33.
- D'Arrigo S, Cacciola S, Dennis M, et al. Predictors of favourable outcome after in-hospital cardiac arrest treated with extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Resuscitation 2017;121:62–70.
- Lee SW, Han KS, Park JS, Lee JS, Kim SJ. Prognostic indicators of survival and survival prediction model following extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation in patients with sudden refractory cardiac arrest. Ann Intensive Care 2017;7:87.
- Ryu JA, Cho YH, Sung K, et al. Predictors of neurological outcomes after successful extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation. BMC Anesthesiol 2015;15:26.
- group S-Ks. Relationship between the hemoglobin level at hospital arrival and post-cardiac arrest neurologic outcome. Am J Emerg Med 2012;30:770–4.
- Bhanji F, Topjian AA, Nadkarni VM, et al. Survival rates following pediatric in-hospital cardiac arrests during nights and weekends. JAMA Pediatr 2017;171:39–45.
- Gonzalez KW, Dalton BG, Weaver KL, Sherman AK, St Peter SD, Snyder CL. Effect of timing of cannulation on outcome for pediatric extracorporeal life support. Pediatr Surg Int 2016;32:665–9.
- Roberts BW, Kilgannon JH, Hunter BR, et al. Association between elevated mean arterial blood pressure and neurologic outcome after resuscitation from cardiac arrest: results from a multicenter prospective Cohort Study. Crit Care Med 2019;47:93–100.
- Pekkarinen PT, Backlund M, Efendijev I, et al. Association of extracerebral organ failure with 1-year survival and healthcareassociated costs after cardiac arrest: an observational database study. Crit Care 2019;23:67.