

Analysis of Drug and Health Resource Use Before and After COVID-19 Lockdown in a Population Undergoing Treatment for Depression or Anxiety

Ana Lear-Claveras¹, Ana Clavería^{2,3,4*}, Sabela Couso-Viana^{3,4}, Patrice Nabbe⁵ and Bárbara Oliván-Blázquez^{1,4,6}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Ana Porroche Escudero, Lancaster University, United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Ferdinando Petrazzuoli, Lund University, Sweden Ngala Elvis Mbiydzenyuy, Copperbelt University, Zambia Samanta Tresha Lalla-Edward, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa Rahul Suresh, McGill University, Canada

*Correspondence:

Ana Clavería anaclaveriaf@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Health Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 24 January 2022 Accepted: 08 March 2022 Published: 05 April 2022

Citation:

Lear-Claveras A, Clavería A,
Couso-Viana S, Nabbe P and
Oliván-Blázquez B (2022) Analysis
of Drug and Health Resource Use
Before and After COVID-19
Lockdown in a Population Undergoing
Treatment for Depression or Anxiety.
Front. Psychol. 13:861643.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.861643

¹ Institute for Health Research Aragón (IIS Aragón), Zaragoza, Spain, ² I-Saúde Group, South Galicia Health Research Institute, Vigo, Spain, ³ Vigo Health Area, SERGAS, Vigo, Spain, ⁴ Network for Research on Chronicity, Primary Care, and Health Promotion (RICAPPS), Barcelona, Spain, ⁵ Département Universitaire de Médecine Générale, ER 7479 SPURBO (Soins Primaires, Santé Publique, Registre des Cancers de Bretagne Occidentale), Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest, France, ⁶ Department of Psychology and Sociology, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain

Introduction: The arrival of the COVID-19 pandemic modified the functions of Primary Care (PC) teams, which were forced to focus their resources on the diagnosis and treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. The disrupted healthcare of individuals with pre-existing mental disorders (depression or anxiety), as well as the psychological decompensation resulting from the lockdown caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, may have modified the use of drugs and health resources by these patients. The aim of this study is to determine the changes in these parameters, between the 6 months prior to the lockdown (09/14/2019 to 03/15/2020) and the 6 months following its end (05/03/2020 to 11/04/2020), in a population undergoing active treatment for depression or anxiety, according to the electronic clinical record.

Materials and Methods: Real world data observational study of 110,694 individuals aged >16 years suffering from active or undergoing treatment for depression or anxiety according to the electronic medical records of the Aragon Regional Health Service (Spain). Pharmacological variables [daily dose per inhabitant (DHD) dispensed by pharmacies of: anxiolytics, hypnotics/sedatives, and antidepressants] and variables related to the use of healthcare resources (number of primary and specialized healthcare visits) were considered. Student's T-tests for paired samples were performed to analyze differences between periods (pre–post). The level of significance was established at 5% ($\rho < 0.05$).

Results: The use of anxiolytic drugs increased as compared to its use over the 6 months prior to the lockdown. In contrast, the consumption of antidepressants was found to decrease. The use of health resources continued to be below pre-pandemic levels, 6 months post-lockdown end.

1

Conclusion: Changes in the use of health resources could have a negative impact on the parameters of these diseases. The increase in drug use, especially benzodiazepines, may suggest a worsening of the symptoms during the lockdown and in the subsequent months. It is a worrying sign, which points to the growth of this public health problem and the need for its prevention.

Keywords: COVID-19, depression, anxiety, quarantine, lifestyle, primary health care

INTRODUCTION

In March of 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the COVID-19 pandemic (World Health Organization (WHO), 2020a). Since then, the global population has been exposed to an endless number of stressful events. The huge threat presented by this new virus has forced numerous governments to implement restrictive measures that included limited mobility and at-home confinement. With the continued rise in infections and deaths, Spain's government declared a national state of alarm on 15 March 2020, placing the population in an unprecedented lockdown (Gobierno de España, 2020). These restrictive measures were useful in decreasing the incidence of cases, but they had a major impact on the physical and psychological wellbeing of the population (Ramírez-Ortiz et al., 2020; Valdés-Florido et al., 2020); especially for those who suffer from pre-existing mental disorders (Sheridan Rains et al., 2021).

Despite the high global morbidity caused by these disorders, mental health continues to be one of the most neglected areas of healthcare. Many of these disorders are treated in Primary Healthcare (World Health Organization (WHO), 2018), where the prevalence of psychiatric morbidity ranges between 25 and 30% (Garcia-Toro et al., 2012) and where one out of every four patient appointments is due to these disorders (Gobierno de Canarias, 2008).

The collapse of Primary Care (PC) services at the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic may have interrupted the continuous healthcare services of these patients (World Health Organization (WHO), 2012; Kozloff et al., 2020; United Nations, 2020); and similarly, the fear of infection may have lowered the demand at healthcare centers (World Health Organization (WHO), 2020b), causing the anticipated psychopathological imbalances and an increased a posteriori demand (World Health Organization (WHO), 2012; Kozloff et al., 2020).

Social and physical distancing measures may have also had an especially negative impact on individuals suffering from mental disorders (Kozloff et al., 2020). Maintaining daily routines and social relationships is essential for the proper handling of these disorders (Druss, 2020; Kozloff et al., 2020; Sheridan Rains et al., 2021). Therefore, the interruption of these routines during the lockdown months may have exacerbated their symptoms (Fernández-Aranda et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020; Sheridan Rains et al., 2021). and might have favor the acquisition of unhealthy habits, which may, in turn, might have influence the physical health of these patients. In them are frequent comorbidities with other physical and also psychiatric pathologies (Firth et al., 2019).

Despite the considerable changes in daily routines and the potential decline in healthcare services provided to these patients

during this crucial lockdown period, few studies have considered the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown on the population suffering from pre-existing mental disorders (Vindegaard and Benros, 2020; Sheridan Rains et al., 2021). The few studies that have been carried out on this topic have tended to assess the short-term impact of this situation (during the peak of the pandemic) (Fernández-Aranda et al., 2020; Hao et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020) using self-completion questionnaires (Vindegaard and Benros, 2020). The use of drugs as anxiolytics and anti-depressants as well as the consumption of health care resources are quantifiable data that can reveal behavioral change (feelings of sadness or anxiety, anhedonia, sleep disturbances, irritability, frustration, etc.) in his population. These indicators could be an indirect means of revealing the variation in psychological suffering of the population. The objective of this study is to determine and to analyze these changes, between the 6 months prior to the lockdown and the 6 months following its end, in a population undergoing active treatment for depression or anxiety, according to the electronic clinical record (ECR).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design and Study Population

A real world data observational study of a population of northern Spain (Aragon) over the age of 16, undergoing active treatment for depression or anxiety, according to the ECR.

Patients having registered episodes of depression or anxiety have been included [codes F30–F39 and F41 of the 10th revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10)], as well as those who, during the study period, had been dispensed some of the active ingredients used to treat these two disorders, according to the Spanish Society of Family and Community Medicine (semFYC) (Sociedad Española de Medicina de Familia y Comunitaria [semFYC], 2020a,b). According to the anatomical, therapeutic, and chemical classification (ATC), the following analyzed codes were considered: N05B (anxiolytic drugs), N05C (hypnotics and sedatives), and N06A (antidepressants).

For each individual, information was obtained from their ECR during the two distinct time periods. The first measurement was taken from the records from the 6 months prior to the onset of the lockdown (09/14/2019 to 03/15/2020) and the second was taken from the records from the 6 months following the end of the lockdown (05/03/2020 to 11/04/2020).

The ECR is extensively consolidated in Spain and Aragon, where it was fully implemented in 2011. The data contained in it are generated throughout the healthcare process (hospital and PC) for patients seen by the National Healthcare System (90% coverage). This information is unified and available for all healthcare professionals in the system.

Variables

Sex, age, pharmaceutical provision, and basic rural or urban health area (with more than 10,000 inhabitants), were the sociodemographic variables included in this study. For each of the measurement periods, the number of deaths in the study population was also considered; also chronic comorbidities with prevalences of over 5% were considered (Calderón-Larrañaga et al., 2017) [arrhythmias, heart failure, ischemic cardiopathy, dyslipidemia, obesity, excess weight, vein and artery disease, cerebrovascular disease, diabetes, chronic bronchitis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, chronic kidney disease, hypo and hyperthyroidism, tobacco use, alcoholism, insomnia, attempted suicide, anemia, neoplasia, dementia, deafness, cataracts, glaucoma, arthrosis, osteoporosis, and back pain].

Changes in drug consumption patterns have been assessed via the variation in daily dose per inhabitant (DHD) dispensed by pharmacies. Based on the defined daily dose (DDD) stipulated by the WHO, DHDs were calculated according to the formula shown below:

$$DHD = \frac{Registered\ consumption\ of\ the\ active\ ingredient\times 1,000\ inhabitants}{Standard\ DDD\times no.inhabitants/period\times 365\ days} \quad (1)$$

To calculate this unit of measurement, firstly we considered as denominator the population undergoing active treatment for depression or anxiety. After that, we considered as denominator the total population of Aragon in the middle of each period.

Use of healthcare resources by these patients during the two study periods was assessed according to the use of PC services (number of nursing or general practitioner visits at the healthcare center or number of at-home healthcare visits and number of visits to other healthcare center professionals: physiotherapists, midwives, odontostomatologists, and social workers) and of hospital services [number of specialized care visits, number of diagnostic tests performed, number of visits to urgent care services, hospitalizations, and admission to intensive care units (ICU) and the duration of these stays].

Statistical Analysis

The large sample size permits the use of parametric analysis methods (Lubin et al., 2005). Frequencies, means, and standard variations were used for the descriptive analysis of the study variables.

To determine variations in drug consumption, the DHD for each period and their annual equivalents were calculated. To compare the differences in the use of healthcare resources between the base measurement and the measurement taken 6 months following the lockdown, the paired Student's T-test was used. For those variables with fewer number of observations than

100, Wilcoxon rank test was used. The level of significance was established at 5% (p < 0.05).

Statistical analysis was carried out using IBM SPSS Statistic 21 and R version 4.0.5.

Ethical Considerations

The protocol followed for this study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Aragon (PI20–175). The procedures carried out for the creation of this work complied with the ethical standards of the previously mentioned committee and with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki.

The processing, notification, and transfer of personal data were carried out in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and Organic Law 03/2018 on the Protection of Personal Data and the guarantee of digital rights.

RESULTS

Prior to the onset of the lockdown in Aragon, according to the ECR, 110,694 individuals over the age of 16 were undergoing active treatment for depression or anxiety. Of these, 5,104 (4.61%) were positive for COVID-19 infection during the study period. As for the number of deaths, 1,804 individuals passed away during this period: 4 during the 6 months prior to the onset of the lockdown, 242 during the at-home lockdown months, and 1,558 during the subsequent 6 months.

The sample, having a mean age of 61.7 years (16.9), consisted mainly of women (74.4%). Over 70% had an annual income of less than 18,000 euros (70.3%), and over 50% resided in urban areas (53.4%). Regarding to the presence of comorbidities, dyslipidemia (45.9%), hypertension (40.5%), back pain (35.9%), neoplasia (29.5%), and insomnia (20.0%) were the most frequent chronic conditions found in the study population (**Table 1**).

Table 2 shows the variations in the consumption of drugs for the treatment of depression and anxiety among the population undergoing active treatment for these disorders and among general population. With respect to the pharmacological treatment of anxiety, in both groups there were a notable increase in the number of DHD of Alprazolam, Lormetazepam, and Lorazepam during the 6 months following the end of the lockdown. Other drugs, such as Triazolam or Brotizolam also experienced an increase in use among the population with depression and anxiety and among the general population, although to a lesser degree. With reference to anti-depressants, the number of DHD of both non-selective monoamine oxidase-inhibitors (MAO) and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), decreased as compared to the 6 months prior to the onset of the lockdown in both populations groups.

As seen in **Table 3**, the number of ordinary and continuous PC nursing or general practitioner visits at the healthcare center or at-home visits were reduced during the 6 months after the end of the lockdown. Only the number of visits to the PC general practitioner at the healthcare center or by telephone increased during this same period [p < 0.001 (95% CI: -0.23 to -0.16)]. Similarly, the number of visits to other professionals at the healthcare center, such as midwives [p = 0.003 (95% CI: -0.72 to

TABLE 1 | Sociodemographic date and chronic comorbidities in patients suffering from anxiety or depression in Aragon.

| | N (%) |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Sex | |
| Men | 28, 294 (25.6) |
| Women | 82, 400 (74.4) |
| Age | |
| Mean (SD) | 61.7 (16.9) |
| Pharmaceutical service | |
| <18,000 | 77, 779 (70.3) |
| Between 18,000-100,000 | 26, 514 (24.0) |
| >100,000 | 391 (0.4) |
| Free pharmacy | 5, 505 (5.0) |
| Mutualist | 474 (0.4) |
| Uninsured | 31 (0.0) |
| Basic health area | |
| Urban | 59, 161 (53.4) |
| Rural | 51, 533 (46.6) |
| Chronic comorbidities (yes %) | |
| Arrhythmias | 7, 828 (7.1) |
| Heart failure | 3, 343 (3.0) |
| Ischemic heart disease | 5, 495 (5.0) |
| Hypertension | 44, 884 (40.5) |
| Dyslipidemia | 50, 792 (45.9) |
| Obesity | 15, 621 (14.1) |
| Overweight | 2, 432 (2.2) |
| Disease in veins/arteries | 3,091 (2.8) |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 6,613 (6.0) |
| Diabetes | 14, 295 (12.9) |
| Chronic bronchitis | 1,592 (1.4) |
| COPD | 4, 556 (4.1) |
| Asthma | 9, 398 (8.5) |
| Chronic kidney disease | 7, 403 (6.7) |
| Hypothyroidism | 16, 011 (14.5) |
| Hyperthyroidism | 6, 652 (6.0) |
| Smoking | 20, 648 (18.7) |
| Alcoholism | 2,013 (1.8) |
| Insomnia | 22, 105 (20.0) |
| Autolytic attempt | 1,075 (1.0) |
| Anemia | 20, 372 (18.4) |
| Neoplasia | 32, 657 (29.5) |
| Dementia | 4, 034 (3.6) |
| Hearing loss | 9, 911 (9.0) |
| Cataracts | 14, 107 (12.7) |
| Glaucoma | 8,500 (7.7) |
| Osteoarthritis | 14, 001 (12.6) |
| Osteoporosis | 16, 882 (15.3) |
| Back pain | 39, 788 (35.9) |

SD, standard deviation; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

-0.14)], dentists [p = 0.033 (95% CI: -0.47 to -0.02)], and social workers [p = 0.001 (95% CI: -0.67 to -0.17)] increased; unlike the number of visits to physiotherapists, which experienced a notable decline [p < 0.001 (95% CI: 1.27 to 2.45)].

Regarding to the number of visits to specialists, the number of initial visits to these services increased as compared to the

TABLE 2 | Number of DHD 6 months before and 6 months after lockdown.

| | | HD (patients suffering from DHD (general anxiety or depression) population | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|-------------------|------------------|--|
| | Six months before | Six months after | Six months before | Six months after | |
| Anxiolytics | | | | | |
| Diazepam | 14.22 | 14.19 | 1.18 | 1.18 | |
| Potassium clorazepate | 3.00 | 2.98 | 0.25 | 0.25 | |
| Lorazepam | 196.74 | 198.38 | 16.38 | 16.50 | |
| Bromazepam | 3.30 | 3.26 | 0.27 | 0.27 | |
| Clobazam | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.01 | |
| Ketazolam | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.08 | 0.08 | |
| Alprazolam | 305.61 | 316.80 | 25.44 | 26.35 | |
| Pinazepam | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Bentazepam | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Hypnotics and sedatives | | | | | |
| Flurazepam | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.02 | |
| Triazolam | 2.35 | 2.48 | 0.19 | 0.21 | |
| Lormetazepam | 452.00 | 459.21 | 37.63 | 38.19 | |
| Midazolam | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.02 | |
| Brotizolam | 5.18 | 5.98 | 0.43 | 0.50 | |
| Quazepam | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Loprazolam | 4.15 | 3.90 | 0.34 | 0.32 | |
| Antidepressants | | | | | |
| Non-selective monoamine reuptake inhibitors | | | | | |
| Imipramine | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Clomipramine | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.01 | |
| Trimipramine | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Amitriptyline | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.04 | 0.04 | |
| Nortriptyline | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Doxepin | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Maprotiline | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors | | | | | |
| Fluoxetine | 9.78 | 9.78 | 0.81 | 0.81 | |
| Citalopram | 3.31 | 3.13 | 0.27 | 0.26 | |
| Paroxetine | 12.98 | 12.49 | 1.08 | 1.04 | |
| Sertraline | 5.40 | 5.39 | 0.45 | 0.45 | |
| Fluvoxamine | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Escitalopram | 60.82 | 58.45 | 5.06 | 4.86 | |

previous 6 months [p = 0.003 (95% CI: -0.10 to -0.2)]. On the other hand, the number of follow-up visits to these same services decreased [p < 0.001 (95% CI: 0.03 to 0.08)].

Diagnostic imaging (X-rays and ultrasounds) and laboratory testing (blood counts, bio-chemical analyses, and immunological tests) experienced a statistically significant decrease ($p \leq 0.001$). There was also a statistically significant increase found in resonance and microbiological testing (p < 0.05).

Finally, visits to hospital services (number of visits to urgent care and number of hospitalizations), also decreased during the study period (p < 0.001).

TABLE 3 | Number of visits and diagnostic tests prescribed 6 months before and 6 months after lockdown.

| | N | Mean (SD) | | 95% CI | P |
|--|--------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|---------|
| | | Six months before | Six months after | | |
| Number of nursing visits (ordinary care) at health center or by telephone | 45,428 | 3.91 (4.50) | 3.56 (4.17) | 0.31; 0.39 | < 0.001 |
| Number of nursing visits (ordinary care) at home | 4,674 | 5.98 (8.47) | 5.70 (8.48) | 0.03; 0.53 | 0.025 |
| Number of nursing visits (continuous care) at health center | 3,727 | 2.20 (3.21) | 1.89 (2.77) | 0.23; 0.39 | < 0.001 |
| Number of nursing visits (continuous care) at home | 615 | 2.21 (2.90) | 2.19 (4.63) | -0.37; 0.42 | 0.903 |
| Number of general practitioner visits (ordinary care) at health center or by telephone | 92,640 | 5.98 (5.10) | 6.18 (5.69) | -0.23; -0.16 | < 0.001 |
| Number of general practitioner visit (ordinary care) at home | 3,290 | 3.56 (3.82) | 2.80 (3.19) | 0.64; 0.89 | < 0.001 |
| Number of general practitioner visits (continuous care) al health center | 9,358 | 2.06 (2.38) | 2.07 (2.48) | -0.06; 0.04 | 0.715 |
| Number of general practitioner visits (continuous care) at home | 743 | 1.80 (1.44) | 1.66 (1.34) | 0.02; 0.26 | 0.022 |
| Number of visits to other professionals | | | | | |
| Physiotherapist | 678 | 6.30 (6.95) | 4.44 (4.68) | 1.27; 2.45 | < 0.001 |
| Midwife | 584 | 2.35 (2.18) | 2.79 (2.86) | -0.72; -0.14 | 0.003 |
| Dentist | 383 | 2.09 (1.67) | 2.34 (1.77) | -0.47; -0.02 | 0.033 |
| Social worker | 653 | 2.63 (2.52) | 3.06 (3.14) | -0.67; -0.17 | 0.001 |
| Number of visits to specialized care (first consultation) | 3,883 | 1.47 (0.85) | 1.53 (0.92) | -0.10; -0.2 | 0.003 |
| Number of visits to specialized care (successive consultations) | 29,264 | 2.72 (2.32) | 2.66 (2.41) | 0.03; 0.08 | < 0.001 |
| Number of diagnostic tests performed | | | | | |
| X-rays | 20,114 | 1.12 (1.23) | 0.98 (1.19) | 0.11; 0.16 | < 0.001 |
| Ultrasounds | 20,114 | 0.30 (0.54) | 0.28 (0.52) | 0.01; 0.03 | < 0.001 |
| Resonances | 20,114 | 0.14 (0.39) | 0.15 (0.39) | -0.02; -0.01 | 0.010 |
| CT scans | 20,114 | 0.25 (0.57) | 0.25 (0.57) | -0.01; 0.00 | 0.178 |
| Digestive tests | 20,114 | 0.01 (0.11) | 0.01 (0.10) | -0.00; 0.00 | 0.921 |
| Hemograms | 22,451 | 0.30 (0.54) | 0.26 (0.52) | 0.03; 0.05 | < 0.001 |
| Biochemistry | 22,451 | 1.01 (0.69) | 0.90 (0.74) | 0.10; 0.12 | < 0.001 |
| Microbiology | 22,451 | 0.28 (0.67) | 0.38 (0.79) | -0.11; -0.09 | < 0.001 |
| Immunology tests | 22,451 | 0.11 (0.35) | 0.10 (0.34) | 0.00; 0.01 | 0.001 |
| Coagulation | 22,451 | 0.03 (0.19) | 0.04 (0.20) | -0.01; -0.00 | 0.005 |
| Urine tests | 22,451 | 0.32 (0.59) | 0.25 (0.56) | 0.05; 0.07 | < 0.001 |
| Number of visits to A&E department | 7,238 | 1.89 (1.67) | 1.75 (1.49) | 0.10; 0.18 | < 0.001 |
| Number of hospital admissions | 6,413 | 1.27 (0.67) | 0.28 (0.71) | 0.96; 1.00 | < 0.001 |
| Number of days of hospital stays | 1,230 | 16.66 (37.60) | 17.11 (35.80) | -1.73; 0.83 | 0.493 |
| Number of ICU admissions | 5 | 1.00 (0.00) | 1.00 (0.00) | | * |
| Number of days of ICU stays | 5 | 108.80 (73.24) | 100.80 (81.12) | -40.00; 0.01 | 0.317ª |

^{*}The correlation and t cannot be calculated because the standard error of the differences is 0. A&E, accident and emergency; ICU, intensive care unit; SD, standard deviation; 95% CI, confidence interval. ^a Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

DISCUSSION

The results of our study reveal variations in the total number of DHD dispensed in pharmacies for the pharmacological groups included in this study: N05B (anxiolytic drugs), N05C (hypnotics and sedatives), and N06A (antidepressants).

Regarding the increase observed in the total number of DHD of anxiolytic drugs (Alprazolam, Lormetazepam, and Lorazepam, mainly) during the 6 months following the onset of the lockdown; only one previous study, conducted in France on patients with depression, revealed similar results (Martinelli et al., 2021). Larger number of studies have analyzed the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the symptoms of patients having a past history of anxiety or depression. Individuals with prior mental illnesses appear to have had a higher risk or reporting increased levels of stress, anxiety, depression, impulsivity, anger, insomnias, or suicidal thoughts as compared to the general

population (Hao et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020). The increase in consumption of anxiolytic drugs shown in our study may be explained by the worsening of these symptoms (Martinelli et al., 2021). According to the Spanish Agency for Medicines and Medicinal Devices (AEMPS), at the end of 2019, the DHD of these drugs was 55.51. During the first quarter of 2020, it increased to 57.19, and by the fourth quarter of 2020, the consumption level peaked at 58.69 DHD (Agencia Española de Medicamentos y Productos Sanitarios (AEMPS), 2021). Spain, one of the hardest-hit countries during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic (Kontis et al., 2020), was already (before the healthcare crisis) ranked third amongst the European OECD (Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development) countries in terms of consumption of anxiolytic drugs, especially by women (Simó, 2018).

With respect to the minor decline in the number of DHD for anti-depressants, similar results were found in a

study carried out in Portugal (Estrela et al., 2021). According to another study conducted in Aragon (Mestre, 2021), the follow-up period used for our study (6 months following the end of the lockdown) may be insufficient for the detection of changes in the consumption of these drugs. Immediately following the onset of the pandemic and throughout 2020, this study did not report any substantial changes in the prescribing of anti-depressants. As of 2021, however, an increased consumption became evident. This may be due to the continued pandemic situation.

The use of primary level care by these patients also declined during the months following the end of the lockdown. Previously published works (Firth et al., 2019) found interruptions in the care given to these patients as well as a redistribution of resources in response to the high number of positive COVID-19 cases. The increase observed in the number of PC visits to the general practitioner at the healthcare center or by telephone may be explained by the introduction of telemedicine, as a more effective alternative to in-person visits. Mental health is one of the specialties that best adapts to this tool, given that physical examination is not essential (Vieta et al., 2020).

The social and economic impact of the pandemic on the most vulnerable groups a priori, may be a cause of the increased social services demands (Grupo Estatal de Intervención en Emergencias Sociales, 2020). As for the increased number of visits to midwifes, although some studies (Ivanuš et al., 2021) suggest a decrease in the number of cervical cytology test during lockdown. The postponement of these visits to the midwife during the lockdown months might have led to an increased number of visits during the months following the end of this confinement period. In the same way, other studies (Davenport et al., 2020; Domínguez-Mejías et al., 2021) reveal an increase in symptoms of anxiety and depression amongst pregnant women during the pandemic months, which might have increased the number of these visits. Similarly might have occurred with the visits to the dentist. Postponing minor treatments may have led to larger problems (Elster and Parsi, 2021).

Finally, the increased number of initial visits to specialists may be a result of the declining physical health of these patients. Some studies (Van Rheenen et al., 2020) have revealed an increase in high-risk behaviors (alcohol consumption) during the pandemic months amongst individuals with previous mental illness; who usually have a higher number of comorbidities (obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular illnesses) as compared to the general population (Firth et al., 2019), as well as higher prevalences of tobacco use (Prochaska et al., 2017).

The progressive increase in deaths is noteworthy. This increase, which may be the subject of future studies, may be related to the major impact of the first wave of the pandemic on Spain and its social and economic consequences. According to a recently published study (COVID-19 Mental Disorders Collaborators, 2021) in the most harshly hit countries (by the pandemic), an increased prevalence of depression and anxiety is anticipated. Both of these disorders and, even more so, the comorbidity between the two, are considered to be major risk

factors in suicidal behavior (Moitra et al., 2021). The excess of mortality observed in our study during the 6 months following the end of the lockdown period may be explained in part by this fact. Statistics on suicide published in Spain in 2020 revealed an increase of 7.4% as compared to the prior year, a historical maximum (Fundación Española para la prevención del suicidio, 2021).

Any study should be interpreted with caution. Our choice was to analyze the changes in behavior over a short period, between 6 months before and 6 months after lockdown, by collating the modification of the use of the care system and of anti-depressant and anxiety drugs. Starting from a postula: these increases reflect not only the increase in psychological suffering in these patients but also, they may be a proxy for the increase in prevalences of depression in general population.

Although the sample size was large, the mean age of the sample was high (61.7 years). The duration of the study may not be long enough to see variations in the severity of depression. Depression is a disease that sets in gradually. While anxiety is subject to greater variability. But the significant increase in the use of anxiolytics is a warning sign. Studies should be continued to confirm or not the increase in the prevalence of depression, possibly expected thereafter.

Our source of information was a registry: the ECR. But this is not enough to provide objective information on the impact of the pandemic on the mental health of patients. To ensure the diagnosis of depression, the use of validated scales [Goldberg Anxiety and Depression Scale (GADS), Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale, Beck Anxiety Inventory, etc.] will be necessary. In addition, it would be interesting to organize a qualitative research to complement this analysis. A cohort study using diagnostic tools to perform the sampling would be very useful in answering this question: are we on the cusp of an increase in depression in the general population due to the pandemic and which ones are the measures to deal with it?

Finally, the number of statistical tests and calculated *p*-values in this article is large and therefore needs to be confirmed in further studies.

CONCLUSION

This study offers contributions, from a long-term perspective, with regard to the knowledge of the repercussions of lockdown measures on the use of drugs and health care resources, in a sample of patients undergoing active treatment for anxiety and/or depression, according to the ECR.

The COVID-19 response should consider the mental health of the general population and should be especially cautious when considering more vulnerable groups, such as those with a past clinical history of depression or anxiety. Today, more than ever, it is necessary to invest in mental health services in an attempt to halt the anticipated growth of these disorders. Today, more than ever, it is necessary to invest in research and support measures for mental health services, to halt the anticipated growth of these disorders and the suffering in the population.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data analyzed in this study is subject to the following licenses/restrictions: the data generated during the course of the project may be requested from the senior researcher of the project, in aggregate form. Requests to access these datasets should be directed to BO-B.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Aragon (PI-20/175). Written informed consent from the participants' legal guardian/next of kin was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BO-B: conceptualization. SC-V, AC, BO-B, and AL-C: formal analysis. AL-C: writing—original draft preparation. AC, PN,

REFERENCES

- Agencia Española de Medicamentos y Productos Sanitarios (AEMPS) (2021).

 *Utilización de Medicamentos Ansiolíticos e Hipnóticos en España Durante el Periodo 2010 2019. Available online at: https://www.aemps.gob.es/medicamentos-de-uso-humano/observatorio-de-uso-de-medicamentos/informes-ansiolíticos-hipnoticos/ (accessed January 24, 2022).
- Calderón-Larrañaga, A., Vetrano, D. L., Onder, G., Gimeno-Feliu, L. A., Coscollar-Santaliestra, C., Carfí, A., et al. (2017). Assessing and measuring chronic multimorbidity in the older population: a proposal for its operationalization. J. Gerontol. Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 72, 1417–1423. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glw233
- COVID-19 Mental Disorders Collaborators (2021). Global prevalence and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* 398, 1700–1712. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02143-7
- Davenport, M. H., Meyer, S., Meah, V. L., Strynadka, M. C., and Khurana, R. (2020). Moms are not ok: COVID-19 and maternal. *Mental Health Front. Glob. Womens Health* 1:1. doi: 10.3389/fgwh.2020.00001
- Domínguez-Mejías, M., Culsán-Fernández, R., and Ortigosa-Arrabal, A. (2021). Impacto de la pandemia por Covid-19 durante el embarazo. alteraciones psicológicas. SANUM 5, 20–31.
- Druss, B. G. (2020). Addressing the COVID-19 pandemic in populations with serious mental illness. JAMA Psychiatry 77, 891–892. doi: 10.1001/ jamapsychiatry.2020.0894
- Elster, N., and Parsi, K. (2021). Oral health matters: the ethics of providing oral health during COVID-19. HEC Forum Int. J. Hospitals' Ethical Legal Issues 33, 157–164. doi: 10.1007/s10730-020-09435-3
- Estrela, M., Silva, T. M., Gomes, E. R., Piñeiro, M., Figueiras, A., Roque, F., et al. (2021). Prescription of anxiolytics, sedatives, hypnotics and antidepressants in outpatient, universal care during the COVID-19 pandemic in portugal: a nationwide, interrupted time-series approach. *J. Epidemiol. Commun. Health* 2021:216732. doi: 10.1136/jech-2021-216732
- Fernández-Aranda, F., Casas, M., Claes, L., Bryan, D. C., Favaro, A., Granero, R., et al. (2020). COVID-19 and implications for eating disorders. *Eur. Eat. Dis. Rev.* 28, 239–245. doi: 10.1002/erv.2738
- Firth, J., Siddiqi, N., Koyanagi, A., Siskind, D., Rosenbaum, S., Galletly, C., et al. (2019). The lancet psychiatry commission: a blueprint for protecting physical health in people with mental illness. *Lancet Psychiatry* 6, 675–712. doi: 10.1016/ S2215-0366(19)30132-4

BO-B, and SC-V: writing—review and editing. AC and BO-B: supervision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This study has been funded by the Department for Science, Universities and the Knowledge Society of the Government of Aragon (Spain), through the DGACovid-01 project.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the Preventive Activity and Health Promotion Research Network (Red de Prevención y Promoción de la Salud en Atención Primaria/RedIAPP), Carlos III Institute of Health, Spain); the B21_20R Research Group of the Department of Research, Innovation and Universities of the Aragon Regional Authority (Spain); and the European Regional Development Fund (FEDER) "Otra forma de hacer Europa."

- Fundación Española para la prevención del suicidio (2021). *Observatorio del Suicidio en España*. Available online at: https://www.fsme.es/observatorio-del-suicidio-2020/ (accessed January 24, 2022).
- Garcia-Toro, M., Roca, M., Monzón, S., Vives, M., Oliván, B., Vicens, E., et al. (2012). Hygienic-dietary recommendations for major depression treatment: study protocol of a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Psychiatry* 12:201. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-12-201
- Gobierno de Canarias (2008). Salud Mental en Atención Primaria Recomendaciones Para El Abordaje De Los Trastornos Más Prevalentes. Available online at: https://www3.gobiernodecanarias.org/sanidad/scs/content/f52829a1-f9cb-11dd-9d99-e96480bea708/salud_mental_at_pr.pdf (accessed January 24, 2022).
- Gobierno de España (2020). Real Decreto 463/2020, de 14 de Marzo, Por el Que se Declara el Estado de Alarma Para la Gestión de La Situación de Crisis Sanitaria Ocasionada Por el COVID 19. Available online at: https://www.boe.es/buscar/doc.php?id=BOE-A-2020-3692 (accessed January 24, 2022).
- Grupo Estatal de Intervención en Emergencias Sociales (2020). Actuaciones del Trabajo Social Ante El COVID-19. Available online at: https://www.cgtrabajosocial.es/files/5f213639cbc10/COVID_II_WEB.pdf (accessed January 24, 2022).
- Hao, F., Tan, W., Jiang, L., Zhang, L., Zhao, X., Zou, Y., et al. (2020). Do psychiatric patients experience more psychiatric symptoms during COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown? A case-control study with service and research implications for immunopsychiatry. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 87, 100–106. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020. 04.069
- Ivanuš, U., Jerman, T., Gašper Oblak, U., Meglič, L., Florjančič, M., Strojan Fležar, M., et al. (2021). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on organised cervical cancer screening: the first results of the Slovenian cervical screening programme and registry. Lancet Reg. Health. Eur. 5;100101. doi: 10.1016/j.lanepe.2021. 100101
- Kontis, V., Bennett, J. E., Rashid, T., Parks, R. M., Pearson-Stuttard, J., Guillot, M., et al. (2020). Magnitude, demographics and dynamics of the effect of the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic on all-cause mortality in 21 industrialized countries. *Nat. Med.* 26, 1919–1928. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-1112-0
- Kozloff, N., Mulsant, B. H., Stergiopoulos, V., and Voineskos, A. N. (2020). The COVID-19 global pandemic: implications for people with schizophrenia and related disorders. Schizophr Bull. 46, 752–757. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbaa051
- Liu, C. H., Stevens, C., Conrad, R. C., and Hahm, H. C. (2020). Evidence for elevated psychiatric distress, poor sleep, and quality of life concerns during the

- COVID-19 pandemic among U.S. young adults with suspected and reported psychiatric diagnoses. *Psychiatry Res.* 292:113345. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020. 113345
- Lubin, P., Maciá Antón, M. A., and Rubio de Lemus, P. (2005). Mathematical Psychology. Madrid: Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia.
- Martinelli, N., Gil, S., Chevalère, J., Belletier, C., Dezecache, G., Huguet, P., et al. (2021). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on vulnerable people suffering from depression: two studies on adults in france. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 18:3250. doi: 10.3390/ijerph1806 3250
- Mestre, G. (2021). Aragón Detecta un Incremento del Consumo de Ansiolíticos y Antidepresivos Durante la Pandemia. Heraldo de Aragón. Available online at: https://www.heraldo.es/noticias/aragon/2021/05/23/aragon-detecta-unincremento-del-consumo-de-antidepresivos-durante-la-pandemia-1494159. html?autoref=true (accessed May 23, 2021)
- Moitra, M., Santomauro, D., Degenhardt, L., Collins, P. Y., Whiteford, H., Vos, T., et al. (2021). Estimating the risk of suicide associated with mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 137, 242–249. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2021.02.053
- Prochaska, J. J., Das, S., and Young-Wolff, K. C. (2017). Smoking, mental illness, and public health. *Ann. Rev. Public Health* 38, 165–185. doi: 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-044618
- Ramírez-Ortiz, J., Castro-Quintero, D., Lerma-Córdoba, C., Yela-Ceballos, F., and Escobar-Córdoba, F. (2020). Mental health consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic associated with social isolation. *Colomb. J. Anesthesiol.* 48:e930. doi: 10.5554/22562087.e930
- Sheridan Rains, L., Johnson, S., Barnett, P., Steare, T., Needle, J. J., Carr, S., et al. (2021). Early impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health care and on people with mental health conditions: framework synthesis of international experiences and responses. Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol. 56, 13–24. doi: 10.1007/s00127-020-01924-7
- Simó, J. (2018). Uso de Psicofármacos en España y Europa (2000 2015). Salud, Dinero y Atención Primaria [Blog Post]. Available online at http://saludineroap. blogspot.com/2018/04/uso-de-psicofarmacos-en-espana-y-europa.html (accessed January 24, 2022).
- Sociedad Española de Medicina de Familia y Comunitaria [semFYC] (2020a).
 Guía Terapéutica en Atención Primaria Basada en la Selección Razonada de Medicamentos. Ansiedad Generalizada. Available online at: https://www.guiaterapeutica.net/problemas/tipo/4/problema/61/ (accessed January 24, 2022).
- Sociedad Española de Medicina de Familia y Comunitaria [semFYC]. (2020b). Guía Terapéutica en Atención Primaria Basada en la Selección Razonada de Medicamentos. Depresión Mayor. Available online at: https://www.guiaterapeutica.net/problemas/tipo/4/problema/64/ (accessed January 24, 2022).
- United Nations (2020). Policy Brief: COVID 19 and the Need for Action on Mental Health. Available online at: https://unsdg.un.org/sites/default/files/2020-05/ UN-Policy-Brief-COVID-19-and-mental-health.pdf (accessed January 24, 2022).

- Valdés-Florido, M. J., López-Díaz, Á, Palermo-Zeballos, F. J., Martínez-Molina, I., Martín-Gil, V. E., Crespo-Facorro, B., et al. (2020). Reactive psychoses in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic: clinical perspectives from a case series. *Rev. Psiquiatr. Salud. Ment.* 13, 90–94. doi: 10.1016/j.rpsm.2020.04.009
- Van Rheenen, T. E., Meyer, D., Neill, E., Phillipou, A., Tan, E. J., Toh, W. L., et al. (2020). Mental health status of individuals with a mood-disorder during the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia: initial results from the COLLATE project. J. Affect. Dis. 275, 69–77. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.037
- Vieta, E., Pérez, V., and Arango, C. (2020). Psychiatry in the aftermath of COVID-19. Rev. Psiquiatr. Salud. Ment. 13, 105–110. doi: 10.1016/j.rpsm.2020.04.004
- Vindegaard, N., and Benros, M. E. (2020). COVID-19 pandemic and mental health consequences: systematic review of the current evidence. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 89, 531–542. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.048
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2012). Carga Mundial de Trastornos Mentales y Necesidad de Que el Sector de la Salud y el Sector Social Respondan de Modo Integral y Coordinado a Escala de País. Available online at: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/85966/A65_10-sp. pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y (accessed January 24, 2022).
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2018). Mental Health in Primary Care: Illusion or Inclusion?. Available online at: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/primary-health-care-conference/mental-health.pdf?sfvrsn=8c4621d2_2 (accessed January 24, 2022).
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2020a). COVID 19: Cronología de la Actuación de la OMS. Available online at: https://www.who.int/es/news/item/27-04-2020-who-timeline---covid-19 (accessed January 24, 2022).
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2020b). The Impact of COVID-19 On Mental, Neurological and Substance Use Services. Available online at: https://www.who. int/publications/i/item/978924012455 (accessed January 24, 2022).
- Zhou, J., Liu, L., Xue, P., Yang, X., and Tang, X. (2020). Mental health response to the COVID-19 outbreak in China. Am. J. Psychiatry 177, 574–575. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2020.2003030

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Lear-Claveras, Clavería, Couso-Viana, Nabbe and Oliván-Blázquez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.