





## MICROBIOLOGICAL METHODS

# Validation of the 3M™ Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae) for the Detection of Shiga Toxin Gene (stx1 and/or stx2) and Intimin Gene (eae): AOAC Performance Tested Method<sup>SM</sup> 071902

Micki L. Rosauer <sup>1,\*</sup> Christina Barnes <sup>1</sup> Karen M. Silbernagel <sup>1</sup> and Kateland M. Koch <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>3M Company, Food Safety Department, St. Paul, MN 55144-1000, USA, <sup>2</sup>Q Laboratories, Microbiology Research and Development Laboratory, Cincinnati, OH 45204, USA

\*Corresponding author's e-mail: mlrosauer@mmm.com

## Abstract

**Background:** The 3M™ Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae) method is based on gene amplification by the use of real-time loop-mediated isothermal amplification when used with the 3M Molecular Detection System for the rapid and specific detection of Shiga toxin gene (stx1 and/or stx2) and intimin gene (eae) from Shiga toxin-producing enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (STEC) in enriched foods.

**Objective:** The 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae) method was evaluated as a Level 2 method modification to add new matrixes to the certified claim: 25 g fresh raw ground beef (approximately 75% lean), 375 g fresh raw ground pork (approximately 70% lean), 375 g fresh raw poultry parts, and 25 g sprouts.

**Methods:** Matrix studies were conducted to assess the method's performance compared to the U. S. Department of Agriculture Food Safety and Inspection Service *Microbiology Laboratory Guidebook*, 5C.00 for meat and poultry, and to the U. S. Food and Drug Administration *Bacteriological Analytical Manual*, Ch. 4A for sprouts, using an unpaired study design.

**Results:** The 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae) method demonstrated no significant differences between presumptive and confirmed results or between candidate and reference method results for any of the matrixes tested.

**Conclusion and Highlights:** The data collected in these studies demonstrate that the 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae) is a reliable method for the rapid and specific detection of STEC in fresh raw ground beef (approximately 75% lean), fresh raw ground pork (approximately 70% lean), fresh raw poultry parts, and sprouts.

## General Information

*Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) is found in the environment, in foods (notably in meat, pork, raw milk, unpasteurized dairy products,

and unpasteurized juices), and in the intestines of people and animals. Most *E. coli* strains are harmless and are important

Received: 27 January 2022; Accepted: 28 January 2022

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of AOAC INTERNATIONAL.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact [journals.permissions@oup.com](mailto:journals.permissions@oup.com)

commensals in the human intestinal tract; however, some strains can cause diarrhea or other illnesses.

Infection with *E. coli* which produce a toxin known as Shiga toxin can cause illness; these *E. coli* strains are often transmitted through water and food contaminated from contact with animals or animal waste. These strains are collectively called Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (STEC). Additionally, some of these strains may also harbor *eae*, a gene which codes for intimin, a protein involved with formation of lesions in the gut. *E. coli* strains that contain both *stx* and *eae* genes are described as enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC). Symptoms of illnesses caused by STEC can include abdominal cramps, diarrhea (which may progress to bloody diarrhea), fever, and vomiting. In 5 to 15% of patients, it can lead to hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS), characterized by thrombocytopenia (low blood platelet levels), hemolytic anemia, and acute renal failure (1). In fact, STEC-related HUS is the leading cause of acute renal failure in young children (2).

As with many foodborne illnesses, health ministries and other health-related organizations estimate that STEC-related illnesses are significantly underreported. In the United States, for instance, there were 8672 cases of STEC reported to the National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System (NNDSS) for the United States and US Territories in 2017 (3). However, the estimate for Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) illness each year in the United States is more than 265 000 (4). The global incidence of STEC-related illness has been estimated to be 2 801 000 acute illnesses annually (5).

The major source of STEC infections are related to the consumption of undercooked or raw meat, raw milk and unpasteurized dairy products, and increasingly, ready-to-eat foods such as fresh fruits and vegetables (1, 6).

There are several actions that can be taken to avoid STEC infection, including washing of hands after handling raw meat, cooking meats like ground beef thoroughly to an internal temperature of 71°C/160°F, and separating food preparation areas to prevent cross contamination (7).

## Principle

The 3M™ Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (*stx* and *eae*) is used with the 3M Molecular Detection System for the rapid and specific screening of *E. coli* genes *stx1* and/or *stx2* and *eae* in enriched food samples. The 3M Molecular Detection Assays use loop-mediated isothermal amplification to rapidly amplify nucleic acid sequences with high specificity and sensitivity, combined with bioluminescence to detect the amplification.

An algorithm interprets the light output curve resulting from the detection of the nucleic acid amplification. Results are analyzed automatically by the software and are color-coded based on the result. A positive or negative result is determined by analysis of a number of unique curve parameters. Presumptive positive results are reported in real-time while negative results will be displayed after the run is completed. Presumptive positive samples should be confirmed as per the laboratory standard operating procedures or by following the appropriate reference method confirmation, Detection, Isolation, and Identification of Top Seven Shiga Toxin-Producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) from Meat Products and Carcass and Environmental Sponges (USDA/FSIS MLG 5C.00) (8) or Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* (FDA BAM Ch. 4A) (9) as relevant to the matrix.

The 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (*stx* and *eae*) is intended for use in a laboratory environment by professionals trained in laboratory techniques. 3M has not documented the use of this product in industries other than food. For example, 3M has not documented this product for testing pharmaceutical, cosmetics, clinical, or veterinary samples. The 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (*stx* and *eae*) has not been evaluated with all possible food products, food processes, testing protocols, or with all possible strains of bacteria. The 3M Molecular Detection Instrument is intended for use with samples that have undergone heat treatment during the assay lysis step, which is designed to destroy organisms present in the sample. Samples that have not been properly heat treated during the assay lysis step may be considered a potential biohazard and should not be inserted into the 3M Molecular Detection Instrument.

As with all test methods, the source of enrichment medium can influence the results. The 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (*stx* and *eae*) has been evaluated for use with the 3M Buffered Peptone Water (ISO Formulation; 3M BPW ISO) enrichment broth.

## Scope of Method

- (a) *Target organisms*.—Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) strains containing genes *stx1* (codes for Shiga toxin type 1) and/or *stx2* (codes for Shiga toxin type 2) and *eae* (code for intimin).
- (b) *Matrixes*.—Current claim: Fresh raw ground beef (375 g, approximately 73% lean), fresh raw beef trim (375 g, approximately 73% lean), fresh raw spinach (200 g). Matrix extension: Fresh raw ground beef (approximately 75% lean, 25 g), fresh raw ground pork (approximately 70% lean, 375 g), fresh poultry parts (375 g), and sprouts (25 g).
- (c) *Summary of validated performance claims*.—Performance comparable to that of U. S. Department of Agriculture Food Safety and Inspection Service *Microbiology Laboratory Guidebook* (USDA/FSIS MLG), 5C.00, Detection, Isolation and Identification of Top Seven Shiga Toxin-Producing *Escherichia coli* (STECs) from Meat Products and Carcass and Environmental Sponges (8) for fresh raw ground beef, fresh raw beef trim, fresh raw ground pork, and fresh poultry parts, and to the U.S Food and Drug Administration *Bacteriological Analytical Manual* (BAM) Ch. 4A, Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* (9) for spinach and sprouts.

## Definitions

- (a) *Probability of detection (POD)*.—The proportion of positive analytical outcomes for a qualitative method for a given matrix at a given analyte level or concentration. POD is concentration dependent. Several POD measures can be calculated: POD<sub>R</sub> (reference method POD), POD<sub>C</sub> (confirmed candidate method POD), POD<sub>CP</sub> (candidate method presumptive result POD), and POD<sub>CC</sub> (candidate method confirmation result POD).
- (b) *Difference of probabilities of detection (dPOD)*.—Difference of probabilities of detection is the difference between any two POD values. If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level.

## Materials and Methods

### Test Kit Information

- (a) *Kit name.*—3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae).
- (b) *Cat. No.*—MDA2STXEAE48.
- (c) *Ordering information.*—3M, St. Paul, MN, <https://www.3m.com/>.

### Test Kit Components

- (a) *STEC Gene Screen (stx) Reagent Tubes.*—48 orange tubes (2 pouches; containing 3 strips of 8 tubes).
- (b) *STEC Gene Screen (eae) Reagent Tubes.*—48 red tubes (2 pouches; containing 3 strips of 8 tubes).
- (c) *Lysis Solution Tubes.*—96 clear tubes (12 strips of 8 tubes, with each tube containing 580  $\mu$ L lysis solution).
- (d) *Reagent Control (RC).*—16 individual clear flip-top tubes (2 pouches of 8 individual flip-top tubes).
- (e) *Extra reagent tube caps.*—96 orange caps, 96 red caps.
- (f) *Product instructions.*

### Additional Supplies and Reagents

- (a) *3M Molecular Detection System Matrix Control.*—Cat. No. MDMC96NA.
- (b) *3M Buffered Peptone Water (ISO Formulation; 3M BPW ISO).*—Cat. No. BPW500.
- (c) *3M Molecular Detection System instrument.*—Cat. No. MDS100.
- (d) *Laptop with 3M Molecular Detection System Software.*—Version 2.4.0.0.
- (e) *3M Molecular Detection Speed Loading Tray.*—Cat. No. MDSSLT.
- (f) *Empty lysis tube rack.*
- (g) *3M Molecular Detection Cap/Decap Tool—Lysis.*—Cat. No. MDSCDL.
- (h) *3M Molecular Detection Cap/Decap Tool—Reagent.*—Cat. No. MDSCDR.
- (i) *3M Molecular Detection Chill block insert.*—Cat. No. MDSCBIN.
- (j) *3M Molecular Detection Heat block Insert.*—Cat. No. MDSHBIN.

### Apparatus

- (a) *Incubators.*—Capable of maintaining  $37 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  and  $41.5 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ .
- (b) *Filter laboratory blender bags.*
- (c) *Serological pipette bulbs (automatic pipette).*—For sampling and delivering 1–10 mL.
- (d) *Serological pipettes.*—Aerosol resistant.
- (e) *Precision pipettors.*—For sampling and delivering 10  $\mu$ L and 20  $\mu$ L.
- (f) *Micropipette tips.*—Aerosol resistant.
- (g) *Multi-channel pipette.*—Capable of 20  $\mu$ L.
- (h) *Dry bath incubator.*—Capable of maintaining a temperature of  $100 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ .
- (i) *Calibrated thermometer.*—Capable of measuring a temperature of  $100 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ .
- (j) *Calibrated timer.*
- (k) *Refrigerator.*—Capable of maintaining 2–8 $^\circ\text{C}$ , for storing the lysates.

### Safety Precautions

Follow the protocol and perform the tests exactly as stated in the product instructions. Failure to do so may lead to inaccurate results. Perform pathogen testing in a properly equipped laboratory under the control of personnel trained in current proper testing techniques: for example, good laboratory practices, ISO/IEC 17025 (10), or ISO 7218 (11). Incubated enrichment media and equipment or surfaces that have come into contact with incubated media may contain pathogens at levels sufficient to cause risk to human health. Always follow standard laboratory safety practices, including wearing appropriate protective apparel and eye protection while handling reagents and contaminated samples. Avoid contact with the contents of the enrichment media and reagent tubes after amplification. Dispose of enriched samples according to current local/regional/national regulations and industry standards. Samples that have not been properly heat treated during the assay lysis step may be considered a potential biohazard and should not be inserted into the 3M Molecular Detection Instrument. Do not exceed the recommended temperature setting on the heater. Do not exceed the recommended heating time. Use an appropriate, calibrated thermometer to verify the 3M Molecular Detection Heat Block Insert temperature (e.g., a partial immersion thermometer or digital thermocouple thermometer, not a total immersion thermometer). The thermometer must be placed in the designated location in the 3M Molecular Detection Heat Block Insert.

### General Preparation

Follow all instructions carefully. Failure to do so may lead to inaccurate results. Decontaminate laboratory benches and equipment (pipettes, cap/decap tools, etc.) periodically with a 1–5% (v/v) household bleach in water solution or DNA removal solution. Prepare 3M BPW ISO as per product instructions. Store prepared broth at 2–8 $^\circ\text{C}$  if it will not be immediately used after preparation. Ensure enrichment media is pre-warmed to  $41.5 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  before use. For all meat and highly particulate samples, the use of filter bags is recommended.

### Sample Preparation

*Note:* Sample preparation instructions below are excerpted from the full product "Instructions For Use" and so include references to full categories of raw ground beef, pieces and trim, raw meat (pork, poultry, lamb, bison), and sprouts. For this matrix extension study, fresh raw ground beef, fresh raw ground pork, fresh raw poultry parts, and sprouts were tested.

- (a) *Raw ground beef, pieces, and trim.*—For 25 g test portions, add  $225 \pm 5$  mL pre-warmed  $41.5 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  3M BPW ISO broth. Hand massage the beef (ground beef, pieces and trim) samples for 30–60 seconds to disperse and break apart clumps.
- (b) *Raw meat (pork, poultry, lamb, bison).*—375 g test portions: add  $1125 \pm 5$  mL pre-warmed  $41.5 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  3M BPW ISO broth. Hand massage the raw meat (pork, poultry and non-beef meat) samples by hand for 30–60 seconds to disperse and break apart clumps.
- (c) *Sprouts (25 g test portions).*—Add  $225 \pm 5$  mL of pre-warmed  $41.5 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  3M BPW ISO broth and rinse over sprouts for 30–60 seconds and do not massage or homogenize.

### Sample Enrichment

- (a) Incubate the bag aerobically at  $41.5 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ .
- (b) Incubate raw meats 10–18 h. Incubate sprouts 18–24 h.

### Analysis

- (a) Preparation of the 3M Molecular Detection Speed Loader Tray:
  - (1) Wet a cloth or disposable towel with a 1–5% (v/v) household bleach in water solution and wipe the 3M Molecular Detection Speed Loader Tray.
  - (2) Rinse the 3M Molecular Detection Speed Loader Tray with water.
  - (3) Use a disposable towel to wipe the 3M Molecular Detection Speed Loader Tray dry.
  - (4) Ensure the 3M Molecular Detection Speed Loader Tray is dry before use.
- (b) Place the 3M Molecular Detection Chill Block Insert directly on the laboratory bench: The 3M Molecular Detection Chill Block Tray is not used. Use the block at ambient laboratory temperature ( $20\text{--}25^\circ\text{C}$ ).
- (c) Place the 3M Molecular Detection Heat Block Insert in a dry double block heater unit. Turn on the dry block heater unit and set the temperature to allow the 3M Molecular Detection Heat Block Insert to reach and maintain a temperature of  $100 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ . Note: Depending on the heater unit, allow approximately 30 min for the 3M Molecular Detection Heat Block Insert to reach temperature. Using an appropriate, calibrated thermometer (e.g., a partial immersion thermometer, digital thermocouple thermometer, not a total immersion thermometer) placed in the designated location, verify that the 3M Molecular Detection Heat Block Insert is at  $100 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ .
- (d) Launch the 3M Molecular Detection Software and log in. Contact your 3M Food Safety representative to ensure you have the most updated version of the software.
- (e) Turn on the 3M Molecular Detection Instrument.
- (f) Create or edit a run with data for each sample. Selection of the STXEAE-2 icon in the software selects two adjacent wells (A1, A2, B1, B2, etc.), one for a *stx* and the other for a *eae* reagent tube, as each sample is run with two assays. The negative control (NC) is set up for each of the reagent tubes, and one RC is set up for the kit. Refer to the 3M Molecular Detection System User Manual for details. Note: The 3M Molecular Detection Instrument must reach and maintain "Ready" state before inserting the 3M Molecular Detection Speed Loader Tray with reaction tubes. This heating step takes approximately 20 min and is indicated by an orange light on the instrument's status bar. When the instrument is ready to start a run, the status bar will turn green.

### Lysis

- (a) Allow the 3M Lysis Solution Tubes to warm up by setting the rack at ambient temperature ( $20\text{--}25^\circ\text{C}$ ) overnight (16–18 h). Alternatives to equilibrate the 3M Lysis Solution Tubes to ambient temperature are to set the 3M Lysis Solution Tubes on the laboratory bench for at least 2 h, incubate the 3M Lysis Solution Tubes in a  $37 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  incubator for 1 h, or place them in a dry double block heater for 30 s at  $100 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ .

- (b) Invert the capped tubes to mix. Proceed to the next step within 4 h after inverting.
- (c) Remove the enrichment broth from the incubator.
  - (1) Gently massage the bottom of the enrichment bag before transferring the sample to the 3M Lysis Solution Tube.
  - (2) Additional sample may be required for re-testing or confirmatory steps. After collecting the sample, roll down the bag to minimize headspace and reduce exposure of the enrichment to air. If confirmation of presumptive results is required, proceed to confirmatory steps as soon as presumptive result is obtained.
- (d) One 3M Lysis Solution Tube is required for each sample and the negative control (NC) sample (sterile enrichment medium).
  - (1) 3M Lysis Solution Tubes tube strips can be cut to desired tube number. Select the number of individual 3M Lysis Solution or 8-tube strips needed. Place the 3M Lysis Solution Tubes in an empty rack.
  - (2) To avoid cross-contamination, decap one 3M Lysis Solution Tube strip at a time and use a new pipette tip for each transfer step.
  - (3) Transfer enriched sample to 3M Lysis Solution Tube. Transfer each enriched sample into an individual 3M Lysis Solution Tube first. Transfer the NC last.
  - (4) Use the 3M Molecular Detection Cap/Decap Tool—Lysis to decap one Lysis Solution Tube strip—one strip at a time.
  - (5) Discard the 3M Lysis Solution Tube cap—if lysate will be retained for retest, place the caps into a clean container for re-application after lysis.
  - (6) Transfer  $20 \mu\text{L}$  of sample into a 3M Lysis Solution Tube. Warning: Should you choose to use neutralizing buffer that contains aryl sulfonate complex as a hydrating solution for environmental sponge samples, it is necessary to perform a 1:2 dilution (1 part sample into 1 part sterile enrichment broth) of the enriched environmental sample before testing in order to reduce the risks associated with a false-negative result leading to the release of contaminated product. Another option is to transfer  $10 \mu\text{L}$  neutralizing buffer enrichment into the 3M Lysis Solution Tubes.
- (e) When all samples have been transferred, transfer  $20 \mu\text{L}$  NC (sterile enrichment medium) into a 3M Lysis Solution Tube. Do not use water as a NC.
- (f) Verify that the temperature of the 3M Molecular Detection Heat Block Insert is at  $100 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ .
- (g) Place the uncovered rack of 3M Lysis Solution Tubes in the 3M Molecular Detection Heat Block Insert and heat for  $15 \pm 1$  min. During heating, the 3M Lysis Solution will change from pink (cool) to yellow (hot). Samples that have not been properly heat treated during the assay lysis step may be considered a potential biohazard and should not be inserted into the 3M Molecular Detection Instrument.
- (h) Remove the uncovered rack of 3M Lysis Solution Tubes from the heating block and allow to cool in the 3M Molecular Detection Chill Block Insert for at least 5 min and a maximum of 10 min. The 3M Molecular Chill block Insert, used at ambient temperature ( $20\text{--}25^\circ\text{C}$ ) without the 3M Molecular Detection Chill Block Tray, should sit directly on the laboratory bench. When cool, the lysis solution will revert to a pink color.
- (i) Remove the rack of 3M Lysis Solution Tubes from the 3M Molecular Detection Chill Block Insert.

## Amplification

- (a) One 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx) and one 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (eae) Reagent Tube is required for each sample and the NC.
  - (1) Tube strips can be cut to desired tube number. Select the number of individual 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx) and 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (eae) Reagent Tube or 8-tube strips needed.
  - (2) Place 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx) tubes in an empty rack in one column.
  - (3) Place 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (eae) tubes in the adjacent right column.
  - (4) Avoid disturbing the reagent pellets from the bottom of the tubes.
- (b) Select one 3M Reagent Control Tube and place in rack.
- (c) To avoid cross-contamination, decap one 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx or eae) Reagent Tube strip at a time and use a new pipette tip for each transfer step.
- (d) Transfer each of the lysates to a 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx or eae) Reagent Tube as described below.
  - (1) First, transfer 20  $\mu$ L from a sample lysate to a 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx) Reagent Tube.
  - (2) Second, transfer 20  $\mu$ L of the same sample lysate to a 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (eae) Reagent Tube in the adjacent right column.
  - (3) After all sample lysate transfer, add NC lysate to each of 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx) Reagent Tube and 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (eae) Reagent Tube.
  - (4) Transfer NC lysate last to Reagent Control Tube. Dispense at an angle to avoid disturbing the pellets. Mix by gently pipetting up and down five times.
- (e) Load capped tubes into a clean and decontaminated 3M Molecular Detection Speed Loader Tray. Close and latch the 3M Molecular Detection Speed Loader Tray lid.
- (f) Review and confirm the configured run in the 3M Molecular Detection Software.
- (g) Click the "Start" button in the software and select instrument for use. The selected instrument's lid automatically opens.
- (h) Place the 3M Molecular Detection Speed Loader Tray into the 3M Molecular Detection Instrument and close the lid to start the assay. Results are provided within 60 min, although positives may be detected sooner.
- (i) After the assay is complete, remove the 3M Molecular Detection Speed Loader Tray from the 3M Molecular Detection Instrument and dispose of the tubes by soaking in a 1–5% (v/v) household bleach in water solution for 1 h and away from the assay preparation area.
- (j) Note: To minimize the risk of false positives due to cross-contamination, never open reagent tubes containing amplified DNA. This includes 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae) Reagent, 3M Reagent Control, and 3M Matrix Control Tubes. Always dispose of sealed reagent tubes by soaking in a 1–5% (v/v) household bleach in water solution for 1 h and away from the assay preparation.

## Results and Interpretation

An algorithm interprets the light output curve resulting from the detection of the nucleic acid amplification. Results are analyzed automatically by the software and are color-coded based on the result. A positive or negative result is determined by analysis of a number of unique curve parameters. Presumptive positive results are reported in real-time while negative results will be displayed after the run is completed. Note: Even a negative sample will not give a zero reading as the system and 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae) amplification reagents have a "background" relative light unit (RLU) reading.

## Confirmation

Presumptive positive samples should be confirmed as per the laboratory standard operating procedures or by following the appropriate reference method confirmation, USDA/FSIS MLG 5C.00 or FDA BAM Ch. 4A as relevant to the matrix, beginning with transfer from the primary enrichment broth to selective plates, to confirmation of isolates using appropriate biochemical, microscopic, and serological methods. For matrixes specified by USDA/FSIS MLG 5C, immunomagnetic separation (IMS) should be done prior to plating on selective medium.

In the rare event of any unusual light output, the algorithm labels this as "Inspect". 3M recommends the user to repeat the assay for any Inspect samples. If the result continues to be Inspect, proceed to confirmation test using USDA/FSIS MLG 5C.00 or FDA-BAM Ch. 4A.

In the event of discordant results [presumptive positive with the 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae), non-confirmed by USDA/FSIS MLG 5C.00 or FDA BAM Ch. 4A], the laboratory should follow their established standard operating procedures to report their results.

## Validation Study

This matrix extension study was conducted under the *Performance Tested Method*<sup>SM</sup> program according to the AOAC INTERNATIONAL *Methods Committee Guidelines for Validation of Microbiological Methods for Food and Environmental Surfaces*, Appendix J (12). Matrix studies were conducted independently by Q Laboratories (Cincinnati, OH) and SGS Vanguard Sciences, Inc. (North Sioux City, SD), American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) accredited to ISO/IEC 17025:2005 for *E. coli* O157: H7 and for non-O157 STEC.

## Matrix Study

**Methodology.**—Bulk samples of all matrixes studied (fresh raw ground beef, 75% lean, fresh raw ground pork, 70% lean, fresh raw poultry parts, and spouts) were purchased from local supplier(s). The fresh raw meat matrixes were prescreened for natural contamination with STEC organisms following the USDA/FIS MLG 5C.00 reference method, and the sprouts were prescreened following FDA BAM Ch. 4A, Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli*. No natural contamination by the target organism was detected during product screening. Each matrix was artificially contaminated with an STEC strain at low and high contamination levels, while a sample of each matrix was set aside to run as an uncontaminated control. Thirty test portions of each matrix were analyzed in the unpaired comparison: 20 portions at a low contamination level, 5 portions at a high contamination level, and 5 portions uncontaminated. The low contamination

level was targeted at a level to achieve a fractional response (5–15 positive results/20 replicates portions tested), while the high contamination level was targeted 10 times higher to produce 5 positive results/5 replicate portions tested. A 15-tube most probable number (MPN) was performed for both the high- and low-load samples to determine the final concentration of target per sample.

*E. coli* strains from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA, or from the Michigan State University Culture Collection (MSU), Lansing, MI, were used to artificially contaminate the matrixes. All matrixes were artificially contaminated with a liquid culture of Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli*. For fresh raw ground beef (approximately 75% lean) *E. coli* O103 MSU TW07697 was used, for fresh raw ground pork (approximately 70% lean) *E. coli* O145 MSU TW07596 was used, and for fresh raw poultry parts and sprouts *E. coli* O157: H7 ATCC BAA-460 was used. Each *E. coli* culture was propagated on tryptic soy agar with 5% sheep blood (SBA) from a stock culture stored at 70°C. SBA was incubated for 24 ± 2 h at 35 ± 1°C. The pure culture was transferred to brain heart infusion (BHI) broth and incubated for 24 ± 2 h at 35 ± 1°C. Following incubation, each culture was diluted to a target level using BHI as the diluent and added to the matrix at an appropriate amount where the low-level inoculated samples would yield 0.2–2 colony forming units (CFU)/25g and the high-level inoculated samples would yield 2–10 CFU/25 g. Inoculated matrix was mixed to ensure homogeneous distribution of the organisms within the matrix and was held for 48–72 h at 2–8°C to allow for equilibration of the organism as per AOAC guidelines. For 375 g test portions, 25 g from each contamination level was combined with 350 g of uninoculated matrix on the day of analysis. For 25 g test portions, 25 g replicates for each level of contamination were transferred to sterile filter laboratory blender bags on the day of analysis.

### 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae)

Fresh raw fresh ground beef (approximately 75% lean, 25 g test portions), fresh raw pork (approximately 70% lean, 375 g test portions), fresh raw poultry parts (375 g test portions), and sprouts (25 g test portions) were enriched and incubated according to the AOAC protocol described previously in *General Preparation*, and *Sample Enrichment* sections above. After 10 and 18 h enrichment time points each enriched test portion of fresh raw ground beef (approximately 75% lean), fresh raw pork (approximately 70% lean), and fresh raw poultry parts was screened using the 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae) method. After an 18–24 h enrichment, each sprout test portion was screened using the 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae) method. Regardless of presumptive results, all fresh raw fresh ground beef (approximately 75% lean), fresh raw pork (approximately 70% lean), and fresh raw poultry part test portions were confirmed using the modified USDA/FSIS MLG 5C.00 reference method after the 18 h enrichment time point. Regardless of presumptive results, all sprout test portions were confirmed using the FDA BAM Ch. 4A reference method. For all test portions, final confirmation was obtained by bioMérieux VITEK GN2 (Durham, NC) OMA 2011.17.

### Reference Methods USDA/FSIS MLG 5C.00 and FDA-BAM Ch. 4A

All media for the reference method and cultural confirmations were prepared according to USDA/FSIS MLG 5C.00 or FDA BAM Ch. 4A methods.

### USDA/FSIS MLG 5C.00 Method

A modified version of the USDA/FSIS MLG 5C.00 was conducted as the 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae) method is not able to determine the serogroup of *E. coli* present. For the modified USDA/FSIS MLG 5C.00 reference method, 25 g test portions of fresh raw ground beef (approximately 75% lean), fresh raw ground pork (approximately 70% lean), and poultry parts were enriched with 225 ± 4.5 mL of modified tryptic soy broth (mTSB). Samples were massaged by hand for 20–30 s to disperse clumps and incubated for 15–24 h at 42 ± 1°C.

After incubation all samples were screened for stx and eae genes using the iQ-Check™ VirX (Hercules, CA) following the user guide and product instructions. Samples that screened negative for the stx and/or eae gene were reported as negative, inconclusive results were repeated, and all samples that screened positive for stx and eae genes were screened for serogroup using the iQ-Check SerO (Hercules, CA) following the user guide and product instructions. Positive samples were confirmed by IMS isolation with anti-*E. coli* magnetic beads for the specific serogroup identified and plated onto modified Rainbow® agar (mRBA). mRBA plates were incubated at 35 ± 2°C for 20–24 h. Following incubation mRBA plates were examined for colonies and latex agglutination was performed for the specific serogroup to confirm *E. coli* colonies. Samples that had no growth or were agglutination negative were reported as negative. Colonies that were agglutination positive were further confirmed by streaking to SBA for isolation. SBA plates were incubated at 35 ± 2°C for 20–24 h. Following incubation latex agglutination was performed on colonies. Colonies with positive agglutination were screened for the stx and eae genes using the iQ-Check VirX following the user guide and product instructions. Final confirmation was obtained by bioMérieux VITEK2 GN OMA 2011.17.

### FDA BAM Ch. 4A Method

For the FDA BAM Ch. 4A reference method, 25 g test portions of sprouts were enriched with 225 ± 5 mL modified Buffered Petone Water with pyruvate and acriflavin, cefsulodin, and vancomycin supplement. All test portions were stomached for 2 min at medium speed and incubated for 24 h at 42 ± 1°C with shaking at 140 revolutions per min.

Following enrichment, serial ten-fold dilutions of all test portions were serially diluted 1:10 in phosphate buffered water to 10<sup>-2</sup> through 10<sup>-4</sup>. A 100 µL aliquot of the serial dilutions were plated in duplicate onto Levine's eosin-methylene blue, MacConkey agar with sorbitol, cefixime, and tellurite, and Rainbow Agar in order to achieve isolated colonies. All plates were incubated for 18–24 h at 37 ± 1°C. After incubation plates containing typical colonies were screened for the appropriate STEC by latex agglutination. Up to 10 isolated colonies that screened positive were streaked to SBA and tryptic soy agar with yeast (TSA/YE) and incubated for 18–24 h at 37 ± 1°C. Following incubation, a ColiComplete (CC) disc was placed into the heaviest area of growth on the TSA/YE plates and incubated for an additional 18–24 h at 37 ± 1°C. The CC discs on the TSA/

**Table 1.** Matrix study: 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (*stx* and *eae*) results, presumptive versus confirmed—POD results

Matrix	Strain	MPN <sup>b</sup> /portion	n <sup>c</sup>	3M MDA2 <sup>a</sup> - STEC Gene Screen ( <i>stx</i> and <i>eae</i> ) presumptive			3M MDA2 - STEC Gene Screen ( <i>stx</i> and <i>eae</i> ) confirmed			dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>g</sup>	95% CI <sup>h</sup>
				x <sup>d</sup>	POD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>e</sup>	95% CI	x	POD <sub>CC</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI		
Fresh raw ground beef <sup>i</sup> 75% lean (25 g)	<i>E. coli</i> O103	NA <sup>k</sup>	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.47, 0.47
	MSU <sup>j</sup> TW07697	0.51 (0.26, 0.87)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	0.00	-0.13, 0.13
		1.97 (0.91, 4.27)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.47, 0.47
Fresh raw ground pork <sup>i</sup> 70% lean (375 g)	<i>E. coli</i> O145	NA	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.47, 0.47
	MSU TW07596	0.49 (0.25, 0.84)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	0.00	-0.13, 0.13
		1.97 (0.91, 4.27)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.47, 0.47
Fresh raw poultry parts <sup>i</sup> (375g)	<i>E. coli</i> O157: H7	NA	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.47, 0.47
	ATCC <sup>l</sup> BAA-460	0.68 (0.39, 1.12)	20	9	0.45	0.22, 0.61	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	0.00	-0.13, 0.13
		2.58 (1.15, 5.78)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.47, 0.47
Sprouts (25 g)	<i>E. coli</i> O157: H7	NA	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.47, 0.47
	ATCC BAA-460	0.63 (0.35, 1.04)	20	8	0.40	0.26, 0.66	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	0.00	-0.13, 0.13
		2.58 (1.15, 5.78)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.47, 0.47

<sup>a</sup>MDA2 = Molecular Detection Assay 2.<sup>b</sup>MPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator, with 95% confidence interval.<sup>c</sup>n = Number of test portions.<sup>d</sup>x = Number of positive test portions.<sup>e</sup>POD<sub>CP</sub> = Candidate method presumptive positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials.<sup>f</sup>POD<sub>CC</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials.<sup>g</sup>dPOD<sub>CP</sub> = Difference between the candidate method presumptive result and candidate method confirmed result POD values.<sup>h</sup>95% CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level.<sup>i</sup>10 and 18 h meat enrichment time points produced identical results.<sup>j</sup>MSU = Michigan State University, Lansing, MI.<sup>k</sup>NA = Not applicable.<sup>l</sup>ATCC = American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA.

**Table 2.** Matrix study: Unpaired analysis, 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae) results, candidate versus reference—POD results

Matrix	Strain	MPN <sup>c</sup> /test portion	n <sup>d</sup>	3M MDA2 <sup>a</sup> - STEC Gene Screen (stx and eae) results			Reference method <sup>b</sup> results				
				x <sup>e</sup>	POD <sub>C</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI	x	POD <sub>R</sub> <sup>g</sup>	95% CI	dPOD <sub>C</sub> <sup>h</sup>	95% CI <sup>i</sup>
Fresh raw ground beef <sup>j</sup> 75% lean (25 g)	E. coli O103	NA <sup>1</sup>	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
	MSU <sup>k</sup> TW07697	0.51 (0.26, 0.87)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.05	-0.23, 0.32
		1.97 (0.91, 4.27)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Fresh raw ground pork <sup>j</sup> 70% lean (375 g)	E. coli O145	NA	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
	MSU TW07596	0.49 (0.25, 0.84)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.05	-0.23, 0.32
		1.97 (0.91, 4.27)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Fresh raw poultry parts <sup>j</sup> (375g)	E. coli O157: H7	NA	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
	ATCC <sup>m</sup> BAA-460	0.68 (0.39, 1.12)	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	0.05	-0.24, 0.33
		2.58 (1.15, 5.78)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Sprouts (25 g)	E. coli O157: H7	NA	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
	ATCC BAA-460	0.63 (0.35, 1.04)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
		2.58 (1.15, 5.78)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43

<sup>a</sup>MDA2 = Molecular Detection Assay 2.<sup>b</sup>Reference method = USDA/FSIS MLG 5C.00 for raw beef trim, raw ground beef; FDA BAM Ch. 4A sprouts.<sup>c</sup>MPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator, with 95% confidence interval.<sup>d</sup>n = Number of test portions.<sup>e</sup>x = Number of positive test portions.<sup>f</sup>POD<sub>C</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials.<sup>g</sup>POD<sub>R</sub> = Reference method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials.<sup>h</sup>dPOD<sub>C</sub> = Difference between the confirmed candidate method result and reference method confirmed result POD values.<sup>i</sup>95% CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level.<sup>j</sup>10 and 18 h meat enrichment time points produced identical results.<sup>k</sup>MSU = Michigan State University, Lansing, MI.<sup>l</sup>NA = Not applicable.<sup>m</sup>ATCC = American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA.



YE plates were observed for typical reactions (blue color change with no fluorescence under long-wave UV) and a spot indole test was conducted. Final biochemical confirmations were obtained by bioMérieux VITEK2 GN OMA 2011.17.

(a) **Results.**—As per criteria outlined in Appendix J (12), fractional positive results were obtained for both 10 and 18 h enrichment time points for the 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (*stx* and *eae*) method for fresh raw ground beef (approximately 75% lean), fresh raw ground pork (approximately 70% lean), and fresh raw poultry parts. Fractional positive results were also obtained for both the 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (*stx* and *eae*) for sprouts at 18–24 h enrichment. Analysis of background flora prior to inoculation showed an aerobic plate count result of  $4.2 \times 10^6$  CFU/g for the fresh raw ground beef,  $3.6 \times 10^6$  CFU/g for raw ground pork,  $4.5 \times 10^6$  CFU/g for raw poultry parts as determined by USDA/FSIS MLG 3.02 (13), and  $2.8 \times 10^7$  CFU/g for sprouts as determined by FDA BAM Ch. 3 (14). The final inoculum level in the low-level and high-level inoculum test portions was determined by MPN on the day of analysis using the Least Cost Formulation, Ltd, Paired Method Analysis for Micro Testing, Version 1.2 (Virginia Beach, VA).

The dPOD analysis were used to compare the 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (*stx* and *eae*) method and the reference methods indicated that there was no significant difference at the 5% level between the number of positive results by the two methods at all time points analyzed. The POD analysis between the 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (*stx* and *eae*) presumptive and confirmed results indicated that there was no significant difference at the 5% level at all enrichment time points. A summary of POD analyses is presented in Tables 1 and 2.

## Results and Discussion

The 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (*stx* and *eae*) method successfully detected Shiga toxin genes (*stx1/stx2*) and intimin gene (*eae*) from STECs in fresh raw ground beef (approximately 75% lean), fresh raw ground pork (approximately 70% lean), and fresh raw poultry parts after 10 and 18 h enrichment time points in 3M BPW ISO, as well as from sprouts after an 18–24 h enrichment time point in 3M BPW ISO. Using POD analysis, no statistically significant differences were observed between the number of positive samples detected by the candidate methods and the reference methods for all samples tested.

The 3M Molecular Detection Assay 2 - STEC Gene Screen (*stx* and *eae*) method is quick and simple to perform, providing results in less than 2 h post incubation for 30 sample replicates. The methods offer the benefit of minimal possible contamination since only two sample transfers are needed to perform the methods. The small footprint and ability to link multiple 3M Molecular Detection Systems to a single laptop computer offer high throughput. The 3M Molecular Detection System software is user friendly with the ability to track assay lot information and sample identification quickly and with ease. The added benefit of the real-time curves also improves any troubleshooting issues that may arise.

## Conclusions

The data from these studies, within their statistical uncertainty, support the product claims of the 3M Molecular Detection Assay

2 - STEC Gene Screen (*stx* and *eae*) method for use with fresh raw ground beef (approximately 75% lean), fresh raw ground pork (approximately 70% lean), fresh poultry parts, and sprouts. The results obtained by the POD analysis of the method comparison study demonstrated that there were no statistically significant differences between the number of positive samples detected by the candidate and the reference methods for all fresh raw ground beef (approximately 75% lean), fresh raw ground pork (approximately 70% lean), fresh raw poultry parts, and sprout samples tested.

## Acknowledgments

### Submitting Company

3M Company  
Food Safety Department  
2501 Hudson Rd  
St. Paul, MN, 55144–1000  
USA

### Independent Laboratories

Benjamin Bastin, M. Joseph Benzinger, Jr., Erin Crowley, and James Agin  
Q Laboratories  
Microbiology Research and Development Laboratory  
1930 Radcliff Dr  
Cincinnati, OH 45204  
USA

### Reviewer

Yi Chen  
U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), College Park, MD 20740

## Conflict of Interest

None declared.

## References

1. World Health Organization (2018) *E. coli*, <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/e-coli> (accessed July 2019)
2. Tarr, P., Gordon, C., & Chandler, W. (2005) *Lancet*. **365**, 1073–1086. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71144-2
3. CDC Division of Health Informatics and Surveillance (2018) National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System, 2017 Annual Tables of Infectious Disease Data, TABLE 2m. Reported cases of notifiable diseases, by region and reporting area, <https://wonder.cdc.gov/nndss/static/2017/annual/2017-table2m.html> (accessed July 2019)
4. National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2006) Estimates of Foodborne Illness in the United States, Reference CS228412, <https://www.cdc.gov/foodborneburden/pdfs/scallan-estimated-illnesses-foodborne-pathogens.pdf> (accessed July 2019)
5. Majowicz, S., Scallan, E., Jones-Bitton, A., Sargeant, J., Stapleton, J., Angulo, F., Yeung, D., & Kirk, M. (2014) *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* **11**, 447–455. doi:10.1089/fpd.2013.1704
6. Kintz, E., Brainard, J., Hooper, L., & Hunter, P. (2017) *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health*. **220**, 57–67. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2016.10.011
7. Stopfoodborneillness.org (2019) What is food poisoning?, <http://stopfoodborneillness.org/awareness/what-is-foodborne-illness/> (accessed July 2019)

8. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Safety and Inspection Service (2019) Microbiology Laboratory Guidebook, Ch. 5C.00, Detection, Isolation and Identification of Top Seven Shiga Toxin-Producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) from Meat Products and Carcass and Environmental Sponges, [https://www.fsis.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media\\_file/2021-08/MLG-5C.02.pdf](https://www.fsis.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media_file/2021-08/MLG-5C.02.pdf) (accessed version 5C.00, June 2019)
9. U.S. Food and Safety Administration (Last updated October 2018) *Bacteriological Analytical Manual* Ch. 4A, Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli*, <https://www.fda.gov/food/laboratory-methods-food/bam-chapter-4a-diarrheagenic-escherichia-coli> (accessed June 2019)
10. ISO/IEC 17025:2017: General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories, ISO, Geneva, Switzerland
11. ISO 7218:2007: Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs – General rules for microbiological examination, ISO, Geneva, Switzerland
12. *Official Methods of Analysis* (2019) 21st Ed. AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD, Appendix J, [http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app\\_j.pdf](http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app_j.pdf) (accessed June 2019)
13. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Safety and Inspection Service (2019) Microbiology Laboratory Guidebook, Ch. 3.02, Quantitative Analysis of Bacteria in Foods as Sanitary Indicators, [https://www.fsis.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media\\_file/2021-03/MLG-3.pdf](https://www.fsis.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media_file/2021-03/MLG-3.pdf) (accessed July 2019)
14. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2001) *Bacteriological Analytical Manual*, Ch. 3, Aerobic Plate Count, <https://www.fda.gov/food/laboratory-methods-food/bam-chapter-3-aerobic-plate-count> (accessed July 2019)