

# 

**Citation:** Kharadi RR, Selbmann K, Sundin GW (2022) A complete twelve-gene deletion null mutant reveals that cyclic di-GMP is a global regulator of phase-transition and host colonization in *Erwinia amylovora*. PLoS Pathog 18(8): e1010737. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010737

Editor: Sebastian Schornack, University of Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: March 25, 2022

Accepted: July 11, 2022

Published: August 1, 2022

**Copyright:** © 2022 Kharadi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The datasets generated and analyzed in this study are available in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive repository (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) with the following Bioproject accession number: PRJNA808311.

**Funding:** This project was supported by funds from the Agriculture and Food Research Initiative Competitive Grants Program Grants no. 2015RESEARCH ARTICLE

A complete twelve-gene deletion null mutant reveals that cyclic di-GMP is a global regulator of phase-transition and host colonization in *Erwinia amylovora* 

#### Roshni R. Kharadi 6\*, Kayla Selbmann, George W. Sundin

Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, United States of America

\* kharadir@msu.edu

## Abstract

Cyclic-di-GMP (c-di-GMP) is an essential bacterial second messenger that regulates biofilm formation and pathogenicity. To study the global regulatory effect of individual components of the c-di-GMP metabolic system, we deleted all 12 diguanylate cyclase (*dgc*) and phosphodiesterase (*pde*)-encoding genes in *E. amylovora* Ea1189 (Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12). Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 was impaired in surface attachment due to a transcriptional dysregulation of the type IV pilus and the flagellar filament. A transcriptomic analysis of surface-exposed WT Ea1189 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 cells indicated that genes involved in metabolism, appendage generation and global transcriptional/post-transcriptional regulation were differentially regulated in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12. Biofilm formation was regulated by all 5 Dgcs, whereas type III secretion and disease development were differentially regulated by specific Dgcs. A comparative transcriptomic analysis of Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 (lacks all five enzymatically active *dgc* and 3 *pde* genes) against Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 expressing specific *dgcs*, revealed the presence of a dual modality of spatial and global regulatory frameworks in the c-di-GMP signaling network.

## Author summary

Cyclic-di-GMP dependent regulation, in the context of biofilm formation, has been studied in several bacterial systems. However, there are fewer studies exploring the role of individual genetic components related to cyclic-di-GMP, given the presence of an often large number of diguanylate cyclase and phosphodiesterase enzymes in bacterial systems. To explore the evolutionary dependencies related to cyclic-di-GMP in *E. amylovora*, we used a collective elimination approach, whereby all of the enzymes involved in cyclic-di-GMP metabolism were eliminated from the system. This approach enabled us to highlight the importance of cyclic-di-GMP in plant xylem colonization due to its effect on surface attachment. Additionally, we highlight the differential regulatory impact of each of the *dgc/pde* genes on critical virulence factors in *E. amylovora* with a genetic framework that is not affected by any enzymatic redundancy or antagonism. We further use a 67013-23068 (GWS) and 2020-51181-32158 (GWS) from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, and by Michigan State University AgBioResearch (GWS). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

transcriptomic approach to reveal evidence of regulatory localization/heterogeneity mediated by each of the *dgc* genes.

### Introduction

The bis (3',5')-cyclic diguanosine monophosphate (c-di-GMP) signaling system is a ubiquitous and effective adaptation by which bacteria can gather sensory input from environmental stimuli and correspondingly regulate cellular function to then effectively employ the most appropriate response for survival within a particular environment or for host colonization in a pathogenic context [1,2]. Bacterial c-di-GMP networks consist of diguanylate cyclase (Dgc) enzymes, marked by the presence of a GGDEF motif, that synthesize c-di-GMP from two molecules of guanosine tri-phosphate (GTP) substrate, and phosphodiesterase (Pde) enzymes, containing an EAL and/or HD-GYP domain that c-di-GMP into pGpG (5'-phosphoguanylyl- $(3^{2}\rightarrow 5^{2})$ -guanosine). The HD-GYP class of Pdes and oligoribonucleases can directly hydrolyze pGpG into guanosine mono-phosphate (GMP) subunits. In E. amylovora, five active Dgcs (EdcA-E) and three active Pdes (PdeA-C) [EAL domain containing proteins] have been functionally characterized [3,4]. As documented in other bacterial systems including *Escherichia* coli (29 Dgcs/Pdes), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (38 Dgcs/Pdes), Salmonella enterica [5,6] (20 Dgcs/Pdes), and Vibrio cholerae [7,8] (61 Dgcs/Pdes), the presence of a large number of Dgcs/ Pdes and systemic functional redundancy is often observed. The retention of such a high number of Dgcs and Pdes in bacterial pathogen systems raises questions about the evolutionary significance of developing multilayered genetic control strategies.

The primary approach used to study the regulatory effect of individual components of c-di-GMP turnover is by deleting one or more genes in combination and evaluating phenotypic and regulatory changes. In Salmonella, Solano et al. [5] generated a multigene mutant that eliminated 12 dgc genes and Sarenko et al. [9], studied a collective group of single deletion mutants of each of the 29 individual Dgc and Pde encoding genes in Escherichia coli. Both studies indicated that the functional effect of specific Dgcs and Pdes on virulence phenotypes could be either dependent or independent of their metabolic activity towards c-di-GMP. Abel et al. [10] generated a c-di-GMP null strain by deleting the active Dgc and Pde encoding genes in Caulobacter crescentus and demonstrated the impact on growth, motility and surface attachment. While the targeted elimination of one or more genes in the c-di-GMP regulatory system is a straightforward approach that can highlight significant changes in the regulation of critical virulence factors, the presence of multiple other enzymes can, through redundancy or antagonistic enzymatic effect, mask some of the effects occurring due to the loss of one particular gene and thus the resulting downstream regulatory effect. Additionally, the overall regulatory effect of each of these enzyme classes has not been explored in a background that does not include any additional impedance/interaction with any of the other components in the network.

In order to address these concerns and with the overarching aim of exploring the role of the global effect of c-di-GMP on virulence manifestation in the host, we used a c-di-GMP systematic deletion approach in *Erwinia amylovora*, the causal agent of fire blight disease of rosaceous plants [11]. An evolutionary adaptation that helps *E. amylovora* systemically colonize the apple host is its ability to attach to the walls of xylem vasculature and form robust biofilms within the xylem channels, thus enabling extensive proliferation of the pathogen during this stage of the disease cycle [12]. Cyclic-di-GMP is one of the critical factors that regulates biofilm formation in *E. amylovora* [3,4], and elevated intracellular levels of c-di-GMP have been correlated with

increased levels of biofilm development in static and flow-based *in vitro* systems [4,13]. In addition to biofilm formation, c-di-GMP also negatively regulates type III secretion system (T3SS) mediated virulence via the transcriptional downregulation of *hrpL* (alternate sigma factor required for the transcription of *hrp* genes) and a reduction in the amount of the T3SS effector DspE (pathogenicity factor) that is transferred into host cells [3,4].

We hypothesized that the retention of multiple Edc and Pde enzymes in this system was due to adaptive functional divergence. To test our hypothesis, we eliminated all 12 genes of the c-di-GMP metabolic network in *E. amylovora* Ea1189 (Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12), resulting in an *E. amylovora* strain with no background c-di-GMP formative, degradative, or signaling activity. We then examined the impact of specific Edcs on several virulence factors. A transcriptomic approach was also used to examine the global impact of c-di-GMP during biofilm initiation and to study the regulatory network mediated by specific Edcs.

### Results

# *E. amylovora* encodes an array of 12 proteins with GGDEF and/or EAL motifs

The regulatory impact of the *edc* and *pde* genes on virulence has been studied separately in *E. amylovora* through the assessment of phenotypic variation in mutants lacking one or more of these genes [3,4]. In this study, we aimed to decipher the evolutionary role of both the formative and degradative components of the overall c-di-GMP system. In order to do so, we generated a systemic null mutant lacking all 12 genes that encode proteins containing a GGDEF and/or EAL motif (as annotated by Pfam v 33.1) [14]. Proteins containing HD-GYP motifs are absent in *E. amylovora* [4]. The list of 12 proteins along with their domain architecture is graphically mapped in Fig 1A. EdcA-E have been previously mapped and characterized by Edmunds et al. [3]. The functional traits regulated by PdeA-C have been studied by Kharadi et al. [4]. EAM\_3378, EAM\_3136 (CsrD) [15], EAM\_2449, and EAM\_1579 encode proteins with degenerate GGDEF/EAL domains as designated on Pfam [14]. The N-terminal end of all proteins except EdcA, EAM\_3378 and EAM\_1579 includes a wide array of periplasmic sensory domains and multiple transmembrane helices (as predicted by TMHMM Server v 2.0) [16]. EdcA, PdeC and CsrD contain both GGDEF and EAL domains (Fig 1A).

### C-di-GMP is essential for systemic host colonization

To determine the impact of a complete c-di-GMP null mutant on virulence in planta, young apple leaves at the tips of branches were inoculated with *E. amylovora* Ea1189 or Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 and were tracked for disease progression. Apple branches infected with Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 showed a significantly-reduced external manifestation of necrotic tissue development, and that such necrosis was limited to apoplastic leaf regions (Fig 1B). Using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), we also checked for any patterns of bacterial proliferation and localization within the apoplast and xylem vessels. *E. amylovora* Ea1189 cells were detected abundantly in the apoplast 72 hpi (hours post inoculation). In the xylem vessels, Ea1189 cells were able to attach and develop extensive biofilms with an abundance of EPS, thus, functionally impeding the channels (Fig 1C (i and ii)). In contrast, the apoplast region of leaves infected with Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 showed relatively few pockets of cells with some extracellular material, whereas the xylem vessels showed no microscopic signs of colonization despite the appearance of some minor necrotic lesions on the leaf surface near the site of inoculation and no cellular attachment, exopolysaccharide (EPS) generation, or biofilm formation was detected (Fig 1C (i and ii)). There were no apparent differences in the growth patterns for Ea1189 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 *in vitro* (Fig



**Fig 1. C-di-GMP is essential for host colonization.** A) A representative protein domain architectural overview of all identified proteins in *E. amylovora* Ea1189 that contain a GGDEF and/or EAL domain. Filled black vertical bars represent transmembrane helices on the N-terminal domain. The image was created using Biorender. B) Images depicting disease progression in apple shoots infected with Ea189 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 at 3dpi. While Ea1189 infected shoots show signs of infection the leaf and the petiole (red arrows), shoots infected with Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 show minor signs of necrosis limited to the apoplast region in the leaf (red arrow) C) Scanning electron micrographs depicting sectional images of the i) apoplast and ii) xylem tissue of young apple shoot tips 3 dpi with *E. amylovora* Ea1189 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12. Widespread colonization was observed when shoot tips were inoculated with WT Ea1189 in both the apoplast and the xylem. However, shoots inoculated with Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 showed less severe bacterial colonization in the apoplast and no evidence of any biofilm development within the xylem tissue in the petiole. D) Growth patterns *in vitro* for Ea1189 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 don't show any

significant difference. E) Bacterial population counts over the course of 3 days post inoculation of young shoot tips with WT Ea1189 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12. Leaf and petiole samples were separately examined. Over a time span of 72 hrs, the bacterial population of Ea1189 increases within the petiole and declines within the apoplast, whereas populations of Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 decline in the leaf tissue and are at undetectable levels in the petiole. Error bars represent standard errors of the means. Tukey's HSD (honestly significant difference) (P < 0.05) test was used to determine statistical significance over the course of the experiment for each tissue type. F) Z-stacked confocal microscopy images (color inverted) showing the overall attachment occurring within the flow chamber one hour after the introduction of either Ea1189 or Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 cells into the chamber, followed by the flushing of the chamber with 0.5X PBS. Ea1189 cells displayed widespread even attachment with interspersed patches of elevated fluorescence signal indicating potential multilayered attachment. Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 cells failed to attach to the chamber surface.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010737.g001

1D). Bacterial population counts taken separately from leaf and petiole tissue of shoots infected with Ea1189 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 indicated that 24 hpi, a majority of the detectable bacterial population was still restricted to the leaf tissue and was detected in shoots infected with both strains at levels similar to ones at the time of inoculation (between 10<sup>7</sup> and 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/g of tissue) (Fig 1E). At 48 hpi, shoots infected with Ea1189 contained detectable levels of bacterial populations in the petiole at ~10<sup>6</sup> CFU/g, which then increased slightly at 72 hpi and inversely, the bacterial load decreased in the leaf tissue at 72 hpi (Fig 1E). Within the leaf tissue, Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 populations followed a similar trend and declined significantly by 72 hpi; however, apart from a minor level of bacteria detected in the apoplast at 24 hpi, there was no detectable bacterial population present in the apoplast during the course of the experiment (Fig 1E).

# Surface attachment is a limiting factor for biofilm development and is dependent on c-di-GMP signaling in *E. amylovora*

Since an initial *in vitro* assessment indicated a lack of biofilm formation in Ea1189Δ12 compared to WT Ea1189 (further discussed and presented in Fig 2), we analyzed if this was due to an impairment in surface attachment, which could be limiting further biofilm development. We monitored the interaction of GFP labelled cells with the base of the flow chamber upon initial contact using TIRF (total internal reflection fluorescence) microscopy. S1 and S2 Videos present collated images in the form of a time lapse video presenting the dynamics of surface interaction of Ea1189 (S1 Video) and Ea1189 (S2 Video) cells introduced into the chamber. Ea1189 cells approached the basal portion of the flow cell, and a subset of them would attach in every frame of the video (S1 and S2 Videos). Over time, this led to a saturation of the image frame with GFP signal from attached cells. In contrast, several Ea1189∆12 cells approached the basal surface but failed to attach irreversibly to the surface. Due to the pace of the video, this occurrence plays out in the form of momentary GFP signal increases and lapses as cells approach and then reproach from the surface (S1 and S2 Videos). An assessment of the flow chamber at the end of this experiment by flushing out the planktonic cells revealed that Ea1189 cells were able to evenly attach to the base of the flow chamber, and Ea1189Δ12 were unable to do so (Fig 1F).

# Diguanylate cyclases differentially contribute to biofilm formation and virulence in *E. amylovora*

Through the restoration of individual *edc* genes (*edcA-E*) in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12, cells were able to regain the ability to attach to a surface and form biofilms *in vitro* (Fig 2A). Previous studies, and the c-di-GMP quantitative data from this study, indicated that there were five enzymatically active diguanylate cyclases (EdcA-E) and three phosphodiesterases (PdeA-C) that could quantitatively impact the intracellular levels of c-di-GMP in *E. amylovora* [3,4]. Further, since proteins with degenerate EAL motifs have been associated with c-di-GMP binding and some downstream regulation, we decided to retain the four other genes in our study (CsrD,



**Fig 2. Edcs differentially regulate biofilm formation and virulence.** A) Confocal images (color inverted) and B) relative GFP intensity of flow cells inoculated with GFP labelled WT Ea1189, Ea1189Δ8 Ea1189Δ12 and Ea1189Δ12 complemented with individual *edc* genes. Bacterial inoculum was introduced into the flow cells and allowed to incubate for 1 h before being flushed out, followed by incubation under flow for 5 h. The flow cells were then imaged along a z-plane to assess the volume of bacterial adhesion to within the chamber. Ea1189Δ12 and Ea1189Δ8 are impaired in biofilm formation relative to Ea1189.

Complementation of Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 with the individual *edc* genes restores the biofilm formation to levels similar to Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12. C) C-di-GMP formation was attenuated in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12, and the complementation of Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 with *edcA-E* was able to individually restore c-di-GMP levels to WT Ea1189 levels with the highest increase recorded in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12/*edcE*. D) *hrpL* transcript levels, relative to WT Ea1189 were significantly increased in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12, and complementation with *edcC* and *edcE* was able to significantly reduce the transcript levels as compared to Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12. Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 had significantly lower hrpL transcript levels compared to Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12. E) Virulence in apple shoots was significantly reduced in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 relative to Ea1189. Only complementation with *edcE* was able to restore WT levels of shoot blight in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12. F) Flagellar motility was not significantly affected in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12/ Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 compared to Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12. Error bars represent standard errors of the means. Tukey's HSD (honestly significant difference) (*P* < 0.05) test was used to determine statistical significance for all experiments.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010737.g002

EAM\_3378, EAM\_2449 and EAM\_1579) so as to not disrupt any such potential signaling activity [17,18]. Thus, we eliminated the eight genes encoding for these enzymes (*edcA-E* and *pdeA-C*), and generated Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 which was also severely reduced in biofilm formation as quantified within flow cells (Fig 2A and 2B). All five Edcs contributed to attachment, and the restoration of even a single *edc* gene could restore WT levels of overall biofilm formation in a flow-based system (Fig 2A and 2B). Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 complemented with *edcA-D* also generated WT Ea1189 levels of c-di-GMP *in vitro*, with Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12/*edcE* generating significantly higher intracellular c-di-GMP levels, relative to Ea1189 (Fig 2C). No c-di-GMP was detected in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 (Fig 2C).

*hrpL* encodes an alternate sigma factor that regulates the transcription of T3SS related genes in *E. amylovora* [19]. *hrpL* transcript levels *in vitro* were significantly elevated in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 relative to Ea1189, whereas the transcript levels were significantly reduced in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 relative to Ea1189 (Fig 2D). Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 complemented with *edcC* or *edcE* exhibited significantly-reduced *hrpL* transcript levels relative to the other complemented strains, however all complemented strains exhibited *hrpL* transcript levels greater that Ea1189 (Fig 2D). Virulence in apple shoots was significantly reduced in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 relative to Ea1189, and complementation of Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 with *edcE* was able to elevate virulence and restore WT levels of shoot blight (Fig 2E). Flagellar motility was not significantly different in both Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 relative to Ea1189 (Fig 2F). The complementation of Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 with *edcD* and *edcE* resulted in significantly reduce levels of motility in the complemented strains as compared to Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 (Fig 2F).

# The type IV pilus in conjunction with the flagellar filament mediates surface attachment in a c-di-GMP dependent manner in *E. amylovora*

In order to investigate if the systematic deletion of c-di-GMP affected any particular extracellular appendages that could contribute to the lack of surface attachment, we measured the relative transcript abundance of four genes representative of different appendages, including *fliC* (flagellar filament), *hofC* (type IV pilus assembly platform protein), *crl* (curli fimbriae activator) and *fimA* (fimbrial subunit) [20–24]. Surface-exposed Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 cells showed a significant decline in the transcript abundance of *fliC* and *hofC*, whereas levels of *fimA* were increased and *crl* unchanged relative to WT Ea1189 (Fig 3A). Induced overexpression of *fliC* in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 did not result in any visible changes in the level of attachment in flow cells (Fig 3B and 3C). However, the overexpression of *hofC* significantly elevated attachment in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12, and restored attachment to the level of the WT Ea1189. Indicative of a co-dependence of the type IV pilus on the flagellum, when *hofC* was overexpressed in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 $\Delta$ *fliC* and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 $\Delta$ *hofC* also showed no detectable signs of attachment within flow cells (Fig 3B and 3C). In WT Ea1189, relative to Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12, the level of cellular attachment within flow cells



**Fig 3. Type IV pilus and the flagellum mediate surface attachment.** A) Transcript levels of *fliC* (flagellar filament) and *hofC* (type IV pilus assembly platform protein), were significantly reduced in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 relative to WT Ea1189. *crl* (curli fimbriae activator) and *fimA* (fimbrial subunit) transcript levels were not significantly different among the two strains. B) Relative bacterial adhesion GFP intensity representing the level and C) Confocal z-stacked images (color inverted) of attachment within flow cells 1hr after incubation with Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 to WT Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 lacking or overexpressing *fliC* and/or *hofC*. The overexpression of *hofC* could restore attachment in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 to WT Ea1189 levels, however, this impact was lost if the overexpression occurred in the absence of *fliC*. WT Ea1189 showed considerable levels of cellular surface attachment, which diminished upon the overexpression of *fliC* and the deletion of *hofC*. The deletion of *fliC* and/or the overexpression of *hofC* did alter but did not abolish attachment in Ea1189. Error bars represent standard errors of the means. Tukey's HSD (honestly significant.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010737.g003

was significantly higher. The deletion of *fliC* in Ea1189, and the overexpression of *hofC* in both Ea1189 and in Ea1189 $\Delta$ *fliC* allowed for the retention of cellular attachment to the flow cell surface, despite a quantitative decline in terms of RFU detection relative to Ea1189 when *hofC* 

was overexpressed (Fig <u>3B</u> and <u>3C</u>). Attachment to the flow chamber was significantly reduced in Ea1189 $\Delta$ *hofC* and when *fliC* was overexpressed in Ea1189 (Fig <u>3B</u> and <u>3C</u>).

# C-di-GMP regulates the transcription of several critical targets during biofilm initiation

To evaluate the global transcriptional impact of the presence or absence of c-di-GMP during biofilm initiation, we compared the transcriptome of WT Ea1189 and Ea1189\Delta12 through an RNAseq assessment of cells harvested from flow chambers after 1 hour of exposure. Overall, we detected a total of 320 positively-affected and 235 negatively-affected differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in Ea1189∆12 relative to WT Ea1189, based on a DESeq2 FDR cutoff of 0.05 and a fold change of two (log2) (Fig 4A). Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis for over-representation in biological function categories indicated that the top 20 categories among the positive DEGs comprised heavily of protein transport, localization and secretion along with cellular movement, whereas the negative DEGs mainly comprised of metabolic and biosynthetic genes (Fig 4B). A complete list of DEGs is provided in supplemental datasheet A. Among the top negatively regulated genes were multiple protease activity related targets including EAM\_RS16610 (insulinase encoding gene), htpX, yccA and hslU. Several metabolic genes including rbsD, acs, pckA, gapA, ribB and EAM\_RS12095 (NADP encoding gene) were also in the negatively expressed category. The other major categories of negatively expressed genes were those of protein folding/transport and regulatory genes including groL, mglB, pspB, *hmsP* and EAM\_RS05285 (encodes for a leucine-rich repeat domain containing protein). The top 25 negative DEGs and their relevant characteristics including statistical data are listed in Table 1. Among the positively expressed genes were several appendage/transport/secretion system related genes including fimA, sctD, tssJ, sctV, yeeU, tssL and cbtA. Metabolic genes including glgB, glgX, galB, glgC were also among the positive DEGs. The top 25 positive DEGs and their relevant characteristics including statistical data are listed in Table 2. q-RT-PCR was used to validate gene expression data from the RNAseq experiment using a subset of genes (S1A Fig).

#### Regulatory divergence among the diguanylate cyclases of E. amylovora

Some studies have presented evidence of c-di-GMP/ downstream signaling localization in specific regions of the cell during different stages of the cell cycle [25–28]. Thus, we wanted to determine, through a transcriptomic approach, if the overarching pattern of regulatory targets affected by the c-di-GMP generated by each individual Edc enzyme was that of overlap or of divergence. A phylogenetic analysis using a compilation of the top 500 pblast search results (pvalue cutoff of 0.05 using a BLOSUM62 matrix with conditional compositional score adjustment) for of all 5 Edcs indicated no evidence of relatively recent acquisition from non-Erwiniaceae species (Sup. datasheet B). This suggested the possibility of functional divergence occurring due to prolonged recurring evolutionary pressure imposed on the genes [29–31].

Initial phenotypic assessments conducted on Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 indicated that in terms of c-di-GMP production, shoot blight and flagellar motility, Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 was not significantly different from Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 (Fig 2A, 2B, 2C, 2E and 2F). However, in terms of *hrpL* transcription, Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 showed contrasting trends relative to Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 with a significant reduction in *hrpL* transcription relative to WT Ea1189 (Fig 2D). Since any manner of exogenously generated c-di-GMP in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 would likely not be hydrolyzed within the cell, we sought to further enrich for this regulatory impact by the induced overexpression of each of the five *edc* genes in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 and then study the DEG patterns through RNAseq.



	Positive DEGs		Negative DEGs			
29.10%	cellular process	1.1	32.10%	metabolic process		
7.30%	localization	1	29.10%	cellular process		
6.60%	establishment of localization	1	24.80%	cellular metabolic process		
6.60%	transport	1	22.50%	primary metabolic process		
2.40%	cellular component biogenesis		15.80%	cellular nitrogen compound metabolic process		
1.50%	secretion		14.00%	macromolecule metabolic process		
1.50%	protein secretion		12.90%	cellular macromolecule metabolic process		
1.50%	secretion by cell		11.60%	biosynthetic process		
1.50%	establishment of localization in cell		11.40%	cellular biosynthetic process		
1.50%	establishment of protein localization		10.90%	small molecule metabolic process		
1.50%	protein localization		6.60%	cellular ketone metabolic process		
1.50%	protein transport		6.50%	carboxylic acid metabolic process		
1.50%	macromolecule localization		6.50%	oxoacid metabolic process		
1.50%	cellular localization		6.50%	organic acid metabolic process		
1.30%	cellular component organization		6.00%	small molecule biosynthetic process		
1.20%	locomotion		4.40%	macromolecule biosynthetic process		
1.10%	cellular component assembly		4.40%	cellular nitrogen compound biosynthetic process		
1.10%	monosaccharide metabolic process		4.30%	cellular macromolecule biosynthetic process		
0.90%	hexose metabolic process		3.40%	protein metabolic process		
0.70%	cell projection assembly		3.10%	gene expression		

**Fig 4. Global c-di-GMP dependent regulation during biofilm initiation.** A) A volcano plot highlighting critical differentially expressed genes (DEGs) within surface exposed WT Ea1189 vs. Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 cells analyzed via RNA-seq analysis. A DESeq2 FDR cutoff p-value of 0.05 was used and all DEGs highlighted in red have a two fold change (log2) in expression. The comparison revealed a total of 320 positively and 235 negatively expressed DEGs with functions including metabolism, extracellular appendage regulation and overall transcriptional/post-transcriptional regulators. B) GO enrichment analysis showing the top 20 overrepresented categories for the positive and negative DEGs, along with the overall frequency of gene/target occurrence within the DEG list.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010737.g004

Locus Tag	Gene ID	Product	Differential Expression Log2 Ratio	Differential Expression p-value
EAM_RS16610	insulinase family protein CDS	insulinase family protein	-11.66137022	1.9342E-136
EAM_RS16615	dicarboxylate/amino acid:cation symporter CDS	dicarboxylate/amino acid:cation symporter	-10.81381354	5.2721E-119
EAM_RS19445	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	-7.950021219	9.05238E-26
EAM_RS11745	DUF1471 domain-containing protein CDS	DUF1471 domain-containing protein	-5.590416774	3.0468E-177
EAM_RS16620	hmsP CDS	biofilm formation regulator HmsP	-5.50909201	4.6447E-238
EAM_RS05505	amino acid ABC transporter substrate- binding protein CDS	amino acid ABC transporter substrate-binding protein	-5.30482975	0
EAM_RS05285	leucine-rich repeat domain-containing protein CDS	leucine-rich repeat domain-containing protein	-4.983851837	0
EAM_RS12130	APC family permease CDS	APC family permease	-4.936317974	1.0207E-268
EAM_RS00070	rbsD CDS	D-ribose pyranase	-4.850327937	0
EAM_RS09500	htpX CDS	protease HtpX	-4.366262025	1.1091E-160
EAM_RS10695	mglB CDS	galactose/glucose ABC transporter substrate- binding protein MglB	-4.247135295	0
EAM_RS09990	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	-4.127207088	0
EAM_RS06765	yccA CDS	FtsH protease modulator YccA	-4.094370008	0
EAM_RS02625	glucitol/sorbitol permease IIC component CDS	glucitol/sorbitol permease IIC component	-4.082619297	0
EAM_RS01695	acs CDS	acetate—CoA ligase	-3.99767443	4.442E-206
EAM_RS02150	groL CDS	chaperonin GroEL	-3.934347101	3.1088E-288
EAM_RS12125	dihydrodipicolinate synthase family protein CDS	dihydrodipicolinate synthase family protein	-3.872046115	9.868E-140
EAM_RS07820	spy CDS	ATP-independent periplasmic protein-refolding chaperone Spy	-3.854421997	2.9565E-168
EAM_RS15970	pckA CDS	phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (ATP)	-3.798261114	0
EAM_RS09315	gapA CDS	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	-3.766942859	1.4129E-220
EAM_RS12115	4-hydroxyproline epimerase CDS	4-hydroxyproline epimerase	-3.671073648	1.6735E-263
EAM_RS12095	aldehyde dehydrogenase (NADP(+)) CDS	aldehyde dehydrogenase (NADP(+))	-3.568133834	2.0155E-186
EAM_RS08865	pspB CDS	envelope stress response membrane protein PspB	-3.567808565	2.2995E-247
EAM_RS14660	ribB CDS	3,4-dihydroxy-2-butanone-4-phosphate synthase	-3.507981226	2.2124E-252
EAM_RS00630	hslU CDS	HslU—HslV peptidase ATPase subunit	-3.473822217	1.301E-247

Table 1. A list of the 25 most negatively regulated	genes in Ea1189∆12 relative to WT Ea1189
---	--

A collective analysis of the transcriptomic patterns for Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 vs. Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 overexpressing each of the five *edc* genes indicated that a total of 121 DEGs (DESeq2 FDR cutoff 0.05 and a fold change of two (log2)) were present collectively among the five datasets (Fig 5A, Sup. Datasheet A). Plotting the data to check for any overlap of genes for the five comparisons showed that a majority of the genes among the overall DEGs were primarily regulated by one of the *edc* genes. An exception to this was Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8/*edcA* OE wherein the three (negatively regulated) genes that were filtered through the statistical analysis were the three common DEGs across all five datasets. These genes included *EAM\_1085* (encodes for a leucine rich repeat domain containing protein), *EAM\_3468* (encodes for a glycoside hydrolase family protein) and *EAM\_2517* (unknown product) (Table 3). Relative to Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8, Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8/*edcB* OE had several metabolic and regulatory genes among the positive and negative DEGs including *yqaB*, *hrpA*, *hchA*, *phoH*, *hutG* and *rmf* (Table 4). Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8/*edcB* OE had the highest number of DEGs of all included comparisons with the other *edcs* in this study. Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8/*edcC* OE

Locus Tag	Gene ID	Product	Differential Expression Log2 Ratio	Differential Expression p-value
EAM_RS14005	TIGR03756 family integrating conjugative elementprotein CDS	TIGR03756 family integrating conjugative elementprotein	5.653778414	1.02E-54
EAM_RS14820	AlpA family phage regulatory protein CDS	AlpA family phage regulatory protein	5.570644235	1.36E-25
EAM_RS16075	glgB CDS	1,4-alpha-glucan branching enzyme	5.483356916	7.21E-186
EAM_RS01250	fimbrial protein A precursor CDS	fimbrial protein A precursor	5.467190074	2.17E-49
EAM_RS16430	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	5.404620393	5.29E-42
EAM_RS19320	glgX CDS	glycogen debranching protein GlgX	5.201160707	5.60E-154
EAM_RS03470	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	5.029951978	1.09E-42
EAM_RS14000	integrating conjugative element protein CDS	integrating conjugative element protein	4.820222392	1.09E-89
EAM_RS06020	YIP1 family protein CDS	YIP1 family protein	4.539960781	4.03E-127
EAM_RS09185	galB CDS	4-oxalmesaconate hydratase	4.49334628	2.78E-58
EAM_RS04295	amino acid ABC transporter permease CDS	amino acid ABC transporter permease	4.448825708	2.15E-66
EAM_RS14195	sctD CDS	type III secretion system inner membrane ring subunit SctD	4.42440179	4.41E-64
EAM_RS04290	transporter substrate-binding domain- containing protein CDS	transporter substrate-binding domain- containing protein	4.339006646	5.35E-73
EAM_RS13995	DNA-binding domain-containing protein CDS	DNA-binding domain-containing protein	4.322676558	9.56E-106
EAM_RS02010	tssJ CDS	type VI secretion system lipoprotein TssJ	4.310343973	6.61E-13
EAM_RS19315	glgC CDS	glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase	4.272326359	2.41E-154
EAM_RS03515	prepilin-type N-terminal cleavage/methylation domain-containing protein CDS	prepilin-type N-terminal cleavage/methylation domain-containing protein	4.248823084	1.03E-41
EAM_RS12610	chemotaxis response regulator protein- glutamate methylesterase CDS	chemotaxis response regulator protein- glutamate methylesterase	4.187095164	9.64E-78
EAM_RS14190	sctV CDS	type III secretion system export apparatus subunit SctV	4.186154654	1.22E-108
EAM_RS14010	TIGR03757 family integrating conjugative elementprotein CDS	TIGR03757 family integrating conjugative elementprotein	4.13782143	7.78E-46
EAM_RS01905	tssK CDS	type VI secretion system baseplate subunit TssK	4.109363563	6.27E-87
EAM_RS09200	NAD(P)-dependent oxidoreductase CDS	NAD(P)-dependent oxidoreductase	4.102789474	4.89E-67
EAM_RS14800	type IV toxin-antitoxin system YeeU family antitoxin CDS	type IV toxin-antitoxin system YeeU family antitoxin	4.10085975	2.44E-37
EAM_RS01910	type VI secretion system protein TssL, short form CDS	type VI secretion system protein TssL, short form	4.092459487	1.93E-53
EAM_RS14795	TA system toxin CbtA family protein CDS	TA system toxin CbtA family protein	4.085573729	2.78E-31

Table 2. A list of the 25 most positively regulated genes in Ea1189∆12 relative to WT Ea1189.

uniquely had *hslU*, *hslV* and EAM\_RS16210 (encodes a zinc/ cadmium/ mercury/ lead-transporting ATPase), three ATPase related genes among the positive DEGs. Also, *dnaK*, *ibpA*, *casB* and *cas7e* were some regulatory targets related to housekeeping or type I CRISPR system (Table 5). Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8/*edcD* OE compared to Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 only had significantly negative DEGs (barring the overexpression of *edcD* itself) including *casB*, EAM\_RS14585 (encodes a aminotransferase phosphate dependent enzyme) and EAM\_RS14565 (encodes a type I polyketide synthase) (Table 6). Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8/*edcE* OE relative to Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 in addition to metabolic and regulatory targets had several genes related to the type I CRISPR system among the negative DEGs including *casB*, *cas7e*, *cas1e*, *cas5e*, *cas6e* and *casA* (Table 7). A complete list of all DEGs is provided in supplemental datasheet 1 and heighted DEGs for each individual comparative analysis is provide in Tables 3–7 The RNAseq study was validated through q-RT-PCR for a subset of genes (S1B Fig).



**Fig 5. Regulatory divergence among the Edcs.** A) A venn diagram representing the distribution of the DEGs in Ea1189Δ8 strain overexpressing individual *edc* genes measured via RNAseq. A total of 121 DEGs both positively and negatively affected in expression were found after being filtered through a DESeq2 FDR cutoff of 0.05 with at least a two fold change (log2) individually for each comparative condition of Ea1189Δ8 vs Ea1189Δ8 overexpressing an individual *edc* gene. Ea1189Δ8 overexpressing *edcB* had the highest number of uniquely regulated DEGs. There were three DEGs that were downregulated upon the overexpression of every *edc* gene in Ea1189Δ8. Note that these results also include each of the *edc* genes themselves in each comparison if filtered through the statistical cutoff requirement. The venn diagram tool software (accessible at bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/) was used to generate the venn diagram using RNAseq data.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010737.g005

#### Discussion

Cyclic-di-GMP dependent regulation has been studied in many bacterial systems, and the results have highlighted various signaling roles for the second messenger ranging from phase transition to bacteriophage interactions [1,2,26]. A common impediment for studying the systematic impact of the genetic components of c-di-GMP metabolism has been the sheer

Table 3. A list of the statistically	significant differential	v regulated genes	(Log2 fold change of tw	vo) in Ea1189∆8+ <i>edcA</i> OE 1	relative to Ea1189∆8
				/	

Locus Tag	Gene ID	Product	Differential Expression Log2 Ratio	Differential Expression p- value
EAM_RS12275	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	-2.046415814	2.69E-08
EAM_RS05285	leucine-rich repeat domain-containing protein CDS	leucine-rich repeat domain-containing protein	-2.22388315	1.14E-05
EAM_RS17045	glycoside hydrolase family 68 protein CDS	glycoside hydrolase family 68 protein	-2.76359249	7.20E-14

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010737.t003

Locus Tag	Gene ID	Product	Differential Expression Log2 Ratio	Differential Expression p-value
EAM_RS02755	GGDEF domain-containing protein CDS	GGDEF domain-containing protein	10.52231065	6.1851E-189
EAM_RS15020	DEAD/DEAH family ATP-dependent RNA helicase CDS	DEAD/DEAH family ATP-dependent RNA helicase	3.837091548	1.16277E-13
EAM_RS16040	glpD CDS	glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	3.769007801	2.3349E-166
EAM_RS19305	yrbN CDS	protein YrbN	3.647272094	5.19945E-39
EAM_RS12870	HlyC/CorC family transporter CDS	HlyC/CorC family transporter	3.200198525	5.21317E-57
EAM_RS02090	pantoate—beta-alanine ligase CDS	pantoate—beta-alanine ligase	3.161465532	2.6906E-14
EAM_RS12890	yqaB CDS	fructose-1-phosphate/6-phosphogluconate phosphatase	2.939408173	6.28088E-17
EAM_RS19660	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	2.875871177	2.25958E-29
EAM_RS15625	rplF CDS	50S ribosomal protein L6	2.766997946	2.05003E-08
EAM_RS12865	inner membrane protein YpjD CDS	inner membrane protein YpjD	2.740181732	1.89396E-14
EAM_RS18730	yidD CDS	membrane protein insertion efficiency factor YidD	2.706686489	2.12804E-30
EAM_RS19655	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	2.678070834	1.05966E-15
EAM_RS15575	rplQ CDS	50S ribosomal protein L17	2.663892023	7.43101E-06
EAM_RS19535	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	2.648755631	9.56953E-08
EAM_RS12880	glutamate—cysteine ligase CDS	glutamate—cysteine ligase	2.61623674	9.55405E-11
EAM_RS10630	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	-3.779142872	8.15852E-22
EAM_RS14150	Hrp pili protein HrpA CDS	Hrp pili protein HrpA	-3.465753769	1.42198E-09
EAM_RS09925	phoH CDS	phosphate starvation-inducible protein PhoH	-3.304435872	3.67098E-10
EAM_RS04570	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	-3.22780552	9.51376E-11
EAM_RS01050	dienelactone hydrolase family protein CDS	dienelactone hydrolase family protein	-3.105210459	3.22279E-25
EAM_RS05285	leucine-rich repeat domain-containing protein CDS	leucine-rich repeat domain-containing protein	-3.014341576	2.76182E-19
EAM_RS06155	hutG CDS	N-formylglutamate deformylase	-3.010799292	3.27597E-16
EAM_RS18215	rmf CDS	ribosome modulation factor	-2.962193193	1.30948E-08
EAM_RS18330	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	-2.89078444	5.49473E-28
EAM_RS01820	hchA CDS	protein deglycase HchA	-2.858429374	8.44902E-16
EAM_RS02925	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	-2.796397476	2.19042E-05
EAM_RS07845	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	-2.788775685	2.46288E-13
EAM_RS09335	yeaG CDS	protein kinase YeaG	-2.784222226	6.44011E-12
EAM_RS17045	glycoside hydrolase family 68 protein CDS	glycoside hydrolase family 68 protein	-2.770732143	7.28882E-18
EAM_RS12275	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	-2.729613743	1.53082E-27

multiplicity of the included elements [1,32,33]. In this regard, *E. amylovora* serves as a particularly useful pathogenic model because this organism encodes a fairly condensed set of Dgc and Pde enzymes in its c-di-GMP repertoire, and c-di-GMP regulates all of the most critical virulence factors that facilitate systemic movement through the host and disease progression [3,4].

Attachment and host xylem colonization are entirely dependent on c-di-GMP in *E. amylovora*. The recovery in surface attachment upon the restoration of any of the *edc* genes in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 signified that the surface interaction was c-di-GMP dependent in a quantitative sense. Further, the type IV pilus was an important determinant of surface attachment and required the flagellar filament as a mediator. Independent of c-di-GMP presence, *hofC* function was a limiting factor in terms of the ability of cells to attach to surface. However, the dependence on surface sensing through the flagellar filament was more prominent under

Locus Tag	Gene ID	Product	Differential Expression Log2 Ratio	Differential Expression p-value
EAM_RS07310	sensor domain-containing diguanylate cyclase CDS	sensor domain-containing diguanylate cyclase	7.11876968	1.87E-42
EAM_RS13595	lysA CDS	diaminopimelate decarboxylase	3.145640602	4.18E-15
EAM_RS16210	zinc/cadmium/mercury/lead-transporting ATPase CDS	zinc/cadmium/mercury/lead-transporting ATPase	3.127126824	5.96E-31
EAM_RS00635	hslV CDS	ATP-dependent protease subunit HslV	2.863823003	4.45E-16
EAM_RS03185	dnaK CDS	molecular chaperone DnaK	2.461525153	8.11E-10
EAM_RS16940	ibpA CDS	heat shock chaperone IbpA	2.403589754	8.31E-07
EAM_RS02090	pantoate—beta-alanine ligase CDS	pantoate—beta-alanine ligase	2.358062352	6.00E-09
EAM_RS15020	DEAD/DEAH family ATP-dependent RNA helicase CDS	DEAD/DEAH family ATP-dependent RNA helicase	2.3490175	6.18E-07
EAM_RS02145	co-chaperone GroES CDS	co-chaperone GroES	2.265795717	2.62E-09
EAM_RS00630	hslU CDS	HslU—HslV peptidase ATPase subunit	2.198704024	4.16E-31
EAM_RS15625	rplF CDS	50S ribosomal protein L6	2.162131775	2.28E-06
EAM_RS02100	cupin domain-containing protein CDS	cupin domain-containing protein	2.112275705	4.16E-16
EAM_RS05285	leucine-rich repeat domain-containing protein CDS	leucine-rich repeat domain-containing protein	-3.887406921	1.50E-37
EAM_RS14565	type I polyketide synthase CDS	type I polyketide synthase	-2.594131364	4.41E-13
EAM_RS17045	glycoside hydrolase family 68 protein CDS	glycoside hydrolase family 68 protein	-2.5793142	1.54E-16
EAM_RS12275	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	-2.400248876	2.95E-17
EAM_RS03730	casB CDS	type I-E CRISPR-associated protein Cse2/ CasB	-2.271501335	2.32E-29
EAM_RS14585	aminotransferase class III-fold pyridoxal phosphate-dependent enzyme CDS	aminotransferase class III-fold pyridoxal phosphate-dependent enzyme	-2.200310517	4.17E-09
EAM_RS14570	non-ribosomal peptide synthetase CDS	non-ribosomal peptide synthetase	-2.121916042	2.18E-07
EAM_RS18215	rmf CDS	ribosome modulation factor	-2.054007376	2.53E-05
EAM_RS03735	cas7e CDS	type I-E CRISPR-associated protein Cas7/ Cse4/CasC	-2.035412707	1.38E-12

lower intracellular levels of c-di-GMP. A similar co-dependence on both the flagellum and the pilus for surface attachment has been demonstrated in *P. aeruginosa*, wherein, upon first surface contact by the flagellar filament, the rotational changes sensed by the motor proteins MotAB result in a rapid increase in c-di-GMP levels which can bind to the effector FimW and

Table 6. A list of the statistically significant differentially regulated genes (Log	g2 fold change of two) in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8+edcD OE relative to Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8.
--	--

Locus Tag	Gene ID	Product	Differential Expression Log2 Ratio	Differential Expression p-value
EAM_RS10555	diguanylate cyclase CDS	diguanylate cyclase	5.778041665	2.25E-35
EAM_RS05285	leucine-rich repeat domain-containing protein CDS	leucine-rich repeat domain-containing protein	-3.266334894	4.90259E-27
EAM_RS17045	glycoside hydrolase family 68 protein CDS	glycoside hydrolase family 68 protein	-2.653853287	4.8117E-14
EAM_RS14565	type I polyketide synthase CDS	type I polyketide synthase	-2.363717088	4.30385E-10
EAM_RS03730	casB CDS	type I-E CRISPR-associated protein Cse2/ CasB	-2.222582243	3.32759E-14
EAM_RS14585	aminotransferase class III-fold pyridoxal phosphate-dependent enzyme CDS	aminotransferase class III-fold pyridoxal phosphate-dependent enzyme	-2.146738285	1.27856E-09
EAM_RS12275	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	-2.093779402	1.12176E-10
EAM_RS14570	non-ribosomal peptide synthetase CDS	non-ribosomal peptide synthetase	-2.037259516	1.01262E-07

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010737.t006

Locus Tag	Gene ID	Product	Differential Expression Log2 Ratio	Differential Expression p-value
EAM_RS11860	sensor domain-containing diguanylate cyclase CDS	sensor domain-containing diguanylate cyclase	12.50422101	0
EAM_RS00635	hslV CDS	ATP-dependent protease subunit HslV	2.83447676	4.41E-10
EAM_RS02145	co-chaperone GroES CDS	co-chaperone GroES	2.689831641	1.23E-11
EAM_RS16940	ibpA CDS	heat shock chaperone IbpA	2.640983916	3.20E-07
EAM_RS03185	dnaK CDS	molecular chaperone DnaK	2.514067921	1.52E-09
EAM_RS06745	hspQ CDS	heat shock protein HspQ	2.376852632	3.70E-07
EAM_RS12775	clpB CDS	ATP-dependent chaperone ClpB	2.303745988	3.52E-07
EAM_RS14360	PTS sugar transporter subunit IIB CDS	PTS sugar transporter subunit IIB	2.254006979	5.91E-14
EAM_RS00630	hslU CDS	HslU—HslV peptidase ATPase subunit	2.186164935	1.37E-27
EAM_RS16210	zinc/cadmium/mercury/lead-transporting ATPase CDS	zinc/cadmium/mercury/lead-transporting ATPase	2.142197384	6.38E-17
EAM_RS03205	rpsT CDS	30S ribosomal protein S20	2.067365283	6.13E-05
EAM_RS15625	rplF CDS	50S ribosomal protein L6	2.009381497	3.20E-05
EAM_RS05285	leucine-rich repeat domain-containing protein CDS	leucine-rich repeat domain-containing protein	-4.528529394	1.91193E-44
EAM_RS17045	glycoside hydrolase family 68 protein CDS	glycoside hydrolase family 68 protein	-3.584785314	3.81385E-22
EAM_RS14565	type I polyketide synthase CDS	type I polyketide synthase	-3.20797224	8.07891E-25
EAM_RS14570	non-ribosomal peptide synthetase CDS	non-ribosomal peptide synthetase	-2.836841807	4.9235E-17
EAM_RS14585	aminotransferase class III-fold pyridoxal phosphate-dependent enzyme CDS	aminotransferase class III-fold pyridoxal phosphate-dependent enzyme	-2.62716761	6.16167E-19
EAM_RS14575	KR domain-containing protein CDS	KR domain-containing protein	-2.591188195	1.74194E-11
EAM_RS03730	casB CDS	type I-E CRISPR-associated protein Cse2/ CasB	-2.403157946	1.80146E-22
EAM_RS12275	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	-2.300109076	2.83284E-13
EAM_RS08495	isocyanide synthase family protein CDS	isocyanide synthase family protein	-2.273812019	1.45024E-12
EAM_RS03735	cas7e CDS	type I-E CRISPR-associated protein Cas7/ Cse4/CasC	-2.173201222	2.00038E-13
EAM_RS06125	urocanate hydratase CDS	urocanate hydratase	-2.16671334	1.3265E-10
EAM_RS14590	hypothetical protein CDS	hypothetical protein	-2.155367805	8.86444E-05
EAM_RS14580	polyketide synthase CDS	polyketide synthase	-2.142735466	1.48983E-11
EAM_RS03750	cas1e CDS	type I-E CRISPR-associated endonuclease Cas1e	-2.077795929	8.24188E-11
EAM_RS03740	cas5e CDS	type I-E CRISPR-associated protein Cas5/ CasD	-2.058059128	2.1109E-11
EAM_RS03745	саѕбе CDS	type I-E CRISPR-associated protein Cas6/ Cse3/CasE	-2.054121085	5.56701E-13
EAM_RS03725	casA CDS	type I-E CRISPR-associated protein Cse1/ CasA	-2.049727209	7.12272E-20
EAM_RS06130	hutH CDS	histidine ammonia-lyase	-2.035079931	6.4966E-08
EAM_RS14560	type I polyketide synthase CDS	type I polyketide synthase	-2.033125808	2.43973E-13

Table 7. A list of the statistic	ally significant differentia	ally regulated genes (Log2 f	old change of two) in Ea11	89 $\Delta$ 8+ <i>edcE</i> OE relative to Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8
	10	10 0 0	U ,	

regulate type IV pilus-based surface interaction [34]. We highlight that the regulation of attachment can occur through c-di-GMP generated by any of the five Edc sources, making this factor a global target of c-di-GMP generated within *E. amylovora* cells. Interestingly however, flagellar motility *in vitro* was not significantly altered in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 relative to Ea1189. In similar genetic reductionist studies aimed at understanding the impact of c-di-GMP null condition has been associated with altered motility *in vitro* [5,10]. Since flagellar motility is not a limiting

factor in the shoot blight infection model [35], further studies will be necessary to link the impact of c-di-GMP on motility during other stages in the disease cycle.

Contact with a surface during biofilm initiation and the underlying c-di-GMP based regulation had global transcriptomic implications in E. amylovora with over 500 DEGs between surface exposed Ea1189 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12. A limitation of this study is the exclusive use of *in vitro* surface exposure treatments as opposed to studying the interactive dynamics of the pathogen with the host in planta. Transcriptomic studies conducted in P. syringae and Ralstonia solana*cearum* have revealed the complexity of the host dependent response occurring in bacterial phytopathogens [36,37]. A major physical limitation in our study was the inability of Ea1189Δ12 to colonize the xylem vessels which resulted in us having to rely on *in vitro* surface exposure using flow cells to be able to collect consistent and high quality RNA samples from our strains, not limited by the abundance of bacterial titer in planta. In this transcriptomic study, genes negatively expressed in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 vs. Ea1189 are indicative of targets that are positively regulated by c-di-GMP. Some of the critical regulatory genes in this category were hmsP (biofilm formation regulator) and EAM\_RS05285 (leucine rich repeat (LRR) protein encoding gene). HmsP has been reported to be a negative regulator of biofilm formation in Yersinia pestis [38]. While LRR- family proteins are heavily involved in regulating plant bacterial resistance interactions, their link with c-di-GMP has been documented in animal immunogenic interactions involving the STING pathway, specifically by NLRC3 which can get activated by c-di-GMP and feed into the STING trafficking [39,40]. Conversely, genes negatively regulated by c-di-GMP during surface interactions included several type III (T3SS) and type VI secretion system (T6SS) related genes. Our previous work in E. amylovora has indicated that elevated intracellular levels of c-di-GMP can negatively regulate the T3SS transcriptionally [4]. While the impact of the T6SS linked to c-di-GMP has not been explored in E. *amylovora*, in *P. aeruginosa* the T6SS protein TfoY can be partially triggered by reduced intracellular levels of c-di-GMP and can lead to altered levels of bacterial killing mediated by the T6SS [41]. Also in *P. aeruginosa*, the RetS/GacA sensor protein can respond to changing c-di-GMP levels and mediate the switching between the T3SS and T6SS [42]. Overall, these collective targets are indicative of an evolutionary bottleneck making c-di-GMP a limiting factor for host colonization. A similar transcriptomic study in *Pseudomonas syringae* heterologously overexpressing a Dgc or a Pde revealed that the altered levels of c-di-GMP can impact several genes related to flagellar structure and function, as well as those involved in chemotaxis, metabolism and two component system transduction [43]. The relatively under-researched aspect of our findings is perhaps the multiple positively and negatively regulated metabolic targets dependent on c-di-GMP. Further studies will be required to document the pathway specific impacts of c-di-GMP during biofilm initiation. Our study highlights that surface sensing and biofilm initiation are heavily dependent on c-di-GMP in E. amylovora and require the successful transcriptional regulation of a large network of genes.

In *E. amylovora*, biofilm formation was a globally impacted by multi-sourced c-di-GMP. In *Salmonella*, Solano et al. found that four of the twelve Dgcs were involved in cellulose production, and other aspects related to virulence and biofilm formation [5]. The regulatory model under which multiple Dgcs regulate one phenotype is widely present in other pathosystems including *Escherichia coli*, *P. aeruginosa* and *V. cholerae* [7,9,33,44–47]. T3SS expression, quantified via *hrpL* transcription, was significantly elevated in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12, which corroborates the existing model of c-di-GMP driven negative regulation of T3SS in *E. amylovora* [3,4]. Disease progression in apple shoots is dependent on both the T3SS in the apoplast and biofilm formation in the xylem vessels [11,35]. Thus, despite the high levels of *hrpL* expression in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12, this strain was unable to colonize the xylem or cause shoot blight. This also holds true for Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 complemented with individual *edc* genes wherein higher than WT levels of

hrpL expression were recorded in all five strains but this did not result in significant changes in virulence in planta (barring edcE). While our in vitro biofilm assessment indicated that all Edcs could regulate biofilm formation in flow cells, EdcE was the only diguanylate cyclase that enabled Ea1189Δ12 to regain the ability to colonize the xylem as inferred through the progression of shoot blight for these strains. This indicates that phenotypic switching and attachment within the xylem could have evolved to be mainly dependent on EdcE over the other Edcs in E. amylovora. Contrastingly in Ea1189Δ8, it is unclear if the most significant contributor to the observed reduction in virulence is the reduced *hrpL* expression, the impairment in surface attachment or both. The observation of differential hrpL expression in Ea1189Δ8 compared to Ea1189Δ12 highlights the potential importance of the four degenerate GGDEF/EAL proteins (EAM\_3378, CsrD, EAM\_2449, EAM\_1579) in regulating the T3SS. Evidence suggests that proteins with degenerate GGDEF/EAL domains can serve as c-di-GMP binding receptors and regulate diverse function [48,49]. In E. amylovora, CsrD can regulate amsG transcription by binding to c-di-GMP and modifying the degradation efficacy of RNase E towards the small RNA CsrB [15]. However, the role of these degenerate GGDEF/EAL domain containing proteins is not fully understood in the context of hrpL transcription. Thus, the use of Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 provided us the ability to study the impact of c-di-GMP metabolism without the interruption of any signaling activity from other potential c-di-GMP effectors.

In addition to the targeted evaluation of the effects of c-di-GMP on virulence factors, we also took an untargeted approach through RNAseq to understand the global transcriptomic map dependent on each of the Edcs. Most of the DEGs affected by each individual Edc were unique and only a small subset were co-regulated by two or more Edcs. A general mix of metabolic, regulatory and structural genes were among the DEGs. The largest number of DEGs were impacted by EdcB, however further investigation is required to implicate this effect on increased c-di-GMP generation or the presence of multiple c-di-GMP targets channeled through this enzyme. The phylogenies of all the Edcs in *E. amylovora* don't show signs of very recent acquisition, which could suggest that the spatial effect of each of the Edcs is due to some level of evolution-driven host adaptation [50,51].

Among all the *edc* overexpression based transcriptomic datasets, a shared factor was the reduced expression of EAM\_RS05285 (encodes for a leucine rich repeat domain containing protein) upon the overexpression of each of the *edc* genes, implying that this gene is negatively regulated by c-di-GMP. However, in the other transcriptomic experiment involving surface exposed Ea1189 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 cells also included in this study, the same gene was found to be positively regulated by c-di-GMP. From this we are able to infer that the regulation of EAM\_RS05285 is dependent on the state of the cell and if the cell contacts a surface and on c-di-GMP production within the cell from any *edc* source.

Apart from *edcA*, the overexpression of each of the four *edc* genes (*edcB-E*) revealed unique regulatory targets. Interestingly the number of differentially regulated genes and the proportion of positively and negatively regulated genes varied in each case as well. For *edcB*, *edcC* and *edcD* this comprised of regulatory and metabolic genes. With the overexpression of *edcE* in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8, several genes involved in the type I CRISPR system were negatively regulated. While *casB* and *cas7e* were also differentially regulated when *edcC* and *edcD* were overexpressed in the same background, the effect became more pronounced with the overexpression of *edcE*, which had an impact on six CRISPR related genes. CRISPR elements have been used in genotypically categorizing and tracking pathogenic evolution in *E. amylovora* [52–54]. The type I CRISPR system in *E. amylovora* was recently reported to be involved in resistance against invasive plasmids and not necessarily against phages [55]. No link has been identified to c-di-GMP regulation in this regard. In terms of regulatory targets, *edcB* had mostly metabolic targets represented among the top DEGs. *hrpA* was also downregulated significantly by

the overexpression of edcB. While c-di-GMP is known to reduce T3SS expression in E. amylovora from previous studies [3,4], specificities regarding the generative source of the c-di-GMP have not been identified. Further, our phenotypic data measuring virulence and *hrpL* expression highlights *edcC* and *edcE* as being the significant contributors to T3SS when complemented in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12. While these two sets of experiments differ based on the overexpression or native promoter driven expression of the *edc* genes, the overall results highlight that T3SS regulation in E. amylovora is dependent on c-di-GMP on a spatiotemporal basis. The overexpression of *edcC* and *edcE* resulted in the increased expression of heat shock related proteins including *ibpA*, *dnaK* and *hspQ*. Lon and CsrA have been linked to heat shock response regulation in E. amylovora and the heat shock response of the pathogen is a target for AvrRpt2 mediated resistance to *E. amylovora* in apple [56–59]. While the link between heat shock response and c-di-GMP has not been studied in E. amylovora, in V. cholerae, Lon was identified as a cdi-GMP receptor that could regulate the stability of TfoY leading to downstream effects on the heat shock response of the pathogen [60]. Thus, our study highlights the complexity of c-di-GMP signaling and the evolutionary importance of utilizing multiple c-di-GMP generative Dgcs in a given pathogenic system. While the distribution of c-di-GMP can be variable depending on the state of the cell and the location within the cell, as previously documented in Caulobacter crescentus [25], further evidence will be needed to document the temporal origin of c-di-GMP from a specific edc/dgc source and its direct impact on specific genetic targets.

In *E. amylovora*, we hypothesize that the c-di-GMP generated by each of the Edcs can have both localized effects that are unique to each of the Edcs and also that diffused pool/s of c-di-GMP that can be generated through contributions of multiple or all Edcs. A diffused pool of cdi-GMP could be used to regulate some of the shared factors that are impacted by all the Edcs (Fig 6). While our study has focused on c-di-GMP dependent regulation, we are left with some critical unanswered questions about the degradation of c-di-GMP once it has been generated by an Edc enzyme. All three active Pdes in this system are anchored in the cytoplasmic membrane, which could affect their ability to degrade c-di-GMP from varied Edc sources as well as any diffused intracellular pool of c-di-GMP [26]. Further, this raises a consideration that during heterologous expression of a non-native Dgc, an approach which is often used to alter cdi-GMP levels and check the phenotypic impact, the results could be skewed by the presence/ absence of localized effects. For such future investigations, we will be able to use Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 as an ideal background strain to study the localization and generation/hydrolysis dynamics of cdi-GMP within the cell.

### Materials and methods

#### Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

All bacterial strains, and plasmids used in this study along with their relevant characteristics are described in Table 8. Unless specified otherwise, *E. amylovora* strains were grown in Lysogeny broth (LB) amended with one or more of the appropriate antibiotics: ampicillin (Ap; 100  $\mu$ g/ml), chloramphenicol (Cm; 10  $\mu$ g/ml), gentamicin (Gm; 10  $\mu$ g/ml) or kanamycin (Km; 100  $\mu$ g/ml). Overexpression constructs (*hofC* OE and *fliC* OE) were induced using 1 mM isopropyl-b-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG).

### Genetic manipulation and bioinformatics

Reference genome sequence for *E. amylovora* Ea1189 (Accession: FN434113) was obtained from NCBI. Artemis (Java) was used to browse the genome. The standard lambda Red recombinase protocol was used to construct chromosomal deletion mutants [61]. To complement the individual deleted genes, the full-length sequences of the genes were briefly amplified, and



**Fig 6. C-di-GMP regulatory model in surface exposed** *E. amylovora* **cells.** Our study indicates that there is a dimorphism in the regulatory targets of the c-di-GMP generated by each of the five Edcs. While each Edc uniquely regulates the transcription of several genes, and virulence factors *in vitro* and in planta, attachment/biofilm formation (dependent on EPS production) is regulated by all the Edcs, thus, leading us to hypothesize about the potential presence of a localized and a diffused pool of c-di-GMP that can achieve these varied regulatory targets. Red inhibitor lines and green arrows indicate negative and positive regulation respectively, with arrow breaks indicating intermediate regulatory steps.

the purified gene fragments were transformed into Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 harboring pKD46 (induced with arabinose) along with a retained Cm<sup>R</sup>/Km<sup>R</sup> cassettes (originally amplified from pKD3/ pKD4 source plasmids) in the target gene being restored. Transformed cells were recovered after an 18 h incubation and were screened for a loss of the resistance cassette, followed by Sanger sequencing to confirm the replacement of the originally deleted gene.

# Scanning electron microscopy and bacterial population quantification to monitor xylem colonization in apple shoots

Apple shoots were inoculated as previously described [3]. Strains were grown overnight at 28 °C and normalized to an  $OD_{600}$  of 0.2. Scissors dipped in inoculum were used to make an incision between two peripheral veins on young apple leaves (*Malus x domestica* cv. Gala on M9 rootstock). At 3 dpi, inoculated leaves along with the attached petiole were harvested. Cross sections of the petioles and apoplast tissue were fixed using 2.5% paraformaldehyde-2.5% glutaraldehyde, followed by ethanol dehydration at increasing concentrations as previously described [13]. Samples were imaged using the JEOL 6610LV (Japan Electron Optics Laboratory Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

In order to quantify the level of bacterial proliferation and movement in apple shoots, the inoculated leaves and petioles were collected from infected shoot tips at 0, 1, 2 and 3 dpi, weighed and crushed in 0.5X phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution. Serial dilutions were used to determine the population counts for WT Ea1189 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12, which were normalized by tissue weight. This study involved three biological replicates. JMP statistical software was used for data analysis.

Strain/Plasmid	Relevant Characteristics	Source
E. amylovora strains		
Ea1189	Wild Type	[3]
Ea1189∆8	Deletion of <i>edcA-E</i> and <i>pdeA-C</i>	This study
Ea1189Δ12	Deletion of <i>edcA-E</i> , <i>pdeA-C</i> , EAM_3378, EAM_3136, EAM_2449 and EAM_1579	[72]
Ea1189∆12∆fliC	Deletion of <i>fliC</i> in Ea1189∆12	This study
Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 $\Delta$ hofC	Deletion of <i>hofC</i> in Ea1189∆12	This study
Ea1189∆ <i>fliC</i>	Deletion of <i>fliC</i> in Ea1189	This study
Ea1189∆hofC	Deletion of <i>hofC</i> in Ea1189	This study
Ea1189∆12 + edcA-E	Chromosomal restoration of the indicated gene in Ea1189∆12	This study
Plasmids		
pKD3	Cm <sup>r</sup> cassette flanking FRT* sites; Cm <sup>r</sup>	[61]
pKD4	Km <sup>r</sup> cassette flanking FRT sites; Km <sup>r</sup>	[61]
pKD46	L-Arabinose-inducible lambda red recombinase; Ap <sup>r</sup>	[61]
pTL18	IPTG-Inducible FLPase, Tet <sup>R</sup>	[73]
pBBR1-MCS5	Broad-host-range cloning vector**; R6K ori; Gm <sup>r</sup>	[74]
pMP2444	pBBR1MCS-5 expression <i>gfp</i> under <i>lac</i> promoter, Gm <sup>r</sup>	[75]
pEVS143	Broad-host-range, IPTG inducible (Ptac) cloning vector; inducible Cm <sup>r</sup> and GFP Km <sup>r</sup>	[76]
hofC OE	<i>hofC</i> in pEVS143	This study
fliC OE	<i>fliC</i> in pEVS143	This study
edcA OE	edcA in pEVS143	[3]
edcB OE	edcB in pEVS143	[3]
edcC OE	edcC in pEVS143	[3]
edcD OE	edcD in pEVS143	[3]
edcE OE	edcE in pEVS143	[3]

Table 8. Strains, plasmids and relevant information.

\*FRT: Flippase target recognition

\*\*MCS: Multiple cloning site.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010737.t008

#### Growth curve analysis

Strains were grown overnight in LB amended with antibiotics as appropriate. Following this, the strains were sub-cultured in individual wells on a 96 well plate and were adjusted to a starting  $OD_{600}$  of ~0.1 in LB media. This setup was then incubated for 19 hrs in a TECAN Spark spectrophotometer (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) at 28°C, with  $OD_{600}$  measurements taken every hour. This study involved three biological replicates. JMP statistical software was used for data analysis.

#### Confocal microscopy to monitor attachment and biofilm formation in flow cells

To monitor initial surface interaction and attachment, *E. amylovora* strains expressing pMP2444::*gfp* [13] were grown for 18 h at 28°C and normalized to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.5. A total of 1

ml of inoculum for each strain was introduced into a flow cell chamber in a  $\mu$ -Slide VI 0.5 glass bottom slide (Ibidi, Martinsried, Germany). Immediately, the base of the flow chamber was repeatedly imaged using a Olympus FlouView 1000 confocal laser scanning microscope (Olympus, MA, USA). Images were acquired for up to 1 h or until the frame was saturated with fluorescent cell signals. Following this, the flow cell chamber was flushed with 5 ml of 0.5X phosphate buffered saline (PBS). To evaluate biofilm formation, following the initial attachment incubation, the flow chamber was subjected to flow (0.5X LB) using a peristaltic pump (Ismatec REGLO Digital 4-CH pump) (Cole-Parmer IL, USA) for 5 h. Fluorescent Z-stacked images were acquired to measure overall attachment and biofilm levels in the flow cell chambers [13]. ImageJ software was used to invert the color on the images, and the RBG plugin was used to process these images and to graph the GFP signal intensity profile for the Z-stacked images [62].

#### Quantifying intracellular levels of c-di-GMP

Intracellular levels of c-di-GMP were quantified as previously described [3]. Strains were grown in overnight in LB, sub-cultured, collected at mid-log phase and lysed (with 40% acetonitrile and 40% methanol) at -20°C for 1 h. Relative levels of c-di-GMP in the samples was established against a standard curve generated using synthesized c-di-GMP (Axxora Life Sciences Inc., CA, USA) using a Quattro Premier XE instrument (Waters Corp. MA, USA). Three biological replicates were included in the studies. JMP statistical software was used for data analysis.

### q-RT-PCR to measure gene expression

To measure *hrpL* expression, strains were grown overnight in LB at 28°C. Cell cultures were then washed and resuspended in HRP-MM medium and incubated for 6 h [4]. To validate RNA-Seq data, identical sample treatment and collection protocols were used, with the exception of measuring transcript levels only for representative gene targets. RNA extraction and concentration for all samples were conducted using the protocols described for RNA-Seq sample collection. To check the impact of c-di-GMP on attachment appendages gene expression, including *fliC*, *hofC*, *crl* and *fimA*, WT Ea1189 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 were incubated in a flow cell chamber for 1 h, thus resembling the treatment experienced by the surface treated strains included in the RNAseq experiment. Following surface exposure, the cells were collected from the flow chamber and RNA was extracted using the protocol by Rivas et. al., similar to the protocol for RNAseq sample extraction [63]. cDNA was synthesized using the High Capacity RT kit (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA) was used for quantitative PCR experiments. *recA* was used as an endogenous control. The delta C<sub>T</sub> method was used to compare transcriptomic fold changes [64]. Three biological replicates were included in the studies. JMP statistical software was used for data analysis.

#### Virulence assays

Relative virulence levels of strains were compared using apple shoots as previously described [3]. Apple shoots were inoculated using the same described protocol used to evaluate xylem colonization through SEM. Data was collected in the form of necrotic lesion length along the shoot 8 dpi. JMP statistical software was used for data analysis.

### Flagellar motility assay

Relative levels of flagellar motility were quantified *in vitro* using a previously described protocol [4]. Strains were grown overnight in LB at 28°C. Following this, the OD<sub>600</sub> for the cultures was normalized to 0.5. Cultures were stab inoculated onto a motility agar plate and incubated for 48h. Motility was quantified in terms of the diameter of the colony movement using ImageJ software [62]. JMP statistical software was used for data analysis.

# RNA-Seq sample acquisition, sequencing, data analysis and overall data integration/modelling

WT *E. amylovora* Ea1189 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 were grown overnight in LB medium, sub-cultured and harvested at the mid-log phase prior to RNA extraction. To collect cell samples from reflective of the stages of biofilm development, the protocol described in this study to monitor biofilm formation in flow cells remained largely the same (note that the strains were not fluorescently labelled). Inoculum injected into the flow chamber for the strains was collected 1 h after the cells were allowed to interact with the surfaces in the flow chamber before being collected for RNA extraction. For the other comparative RNAseq study presented in the study, Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 and Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 complemented with overexpression vectors for *edcA-E* individually were all grown overnight in LB medium, followed by a sub-culturing, IPTG (1mM) induction as appropriate and sample collection at mid-log phase at which stage they were processed for RNA extraction. Three biological replicates were included in the study.

For RNA extraction, as per a previously described protocol [63], cells were washed with 0.1% N-lauryl sarcosine sodium salt, followed by treatment with the lysis buffer (1% SDS in 10 mM EDTA and 50 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.1) and a 5 min incubation in boiling water. The extracted RNA was treated for residual DNA contamination using the TURBO DNA-free kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), and concentrated using the RNA Clean and Concentrator-25 kit (Zymo Research, CA, USA). The 'Unbound' samples were treated after their collection from the flow chamber, for the other two sample types, the initial lysis and wash steps were conducted by injecting the buffers directly into the flow chamber and suctioning the fluid out.

RNA samples were analyzed for quality control on the Agilent 4200 TapeStation (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). The QIAseq FastSelect 5S/16S/23S rRNA removal kit was used to treat samples prior to library prep with the TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina, CA, USA). Sequencing was conducted on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 at 50 bp single-end reads.

For data analysis, adaptor barcodes were filtered using Trimmomatic v 0.36 (single end criteria: ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq3-SE:2:30:10 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWIN-DOW:4:15) [65]. Trimmed sequences were mapped to the *E. amylovora* ATCC-49946 genome using Bowtie v 2.4.1. HTSeq v 0.11.2 [66,67]. Differential expression analysis was conducted using DESeq2 v 3.12 with an FDR cutoff of 0.05 and a minimum accepted fold change of 2 (log2) [68]. Geneious software was used for volcano plot generation. Gene ontology enrichment analysis was conducted using BiNGO on the Cytoscape platform [69,70]. Biological GO enrichment was assessed with an FDR cutoff of 0.01.

For phylogenetic analysis of each of each of the Edcs, BLASTp program from NCBI was used with the parameters set to searching within the entire non-redundant protein database, with a cutoff threshold of 1e-05 using the BLOSUM62 matrix with conditional compositional score matrix adjustment (Existence 11 Extension 1). We then acquired the fast minimum evolution phylogenetic tree generated for the top 250 matches and compiled them for all the twelve genes in our study for collective analysis [71].

#### Supporting information

**S1 Data. All data analyzed in this study.** (XLSX)

**S1 Datasheet. All RNA-Seq data analyzed in this study.** (XLSX)

**S2** Datasheet. Phylogenetic analysis of all Edcs in *E. amylovora*. (PDF)

**S1 Fig.** Graph summarizing the RNAseq and q-RT-PCR based examination of fold changes in the expression of representative genes (from both RNAseq studies) A) atpG and fliG in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 relative to WT Ea1189 and B) EAM\_2517 and EAM\_1085 in Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8 over expressing *edcA-E* individually relative to Ea1189 $\Delta$ 8. Error bars represent standard error of the means.

(TIF)

S1 Video. Surface attachment dynamics of WT Ea1189 conducted using confocal microscopy.

(MP4)

S2 Video. Surface attachment dynamics of Ea1189 $\Delta$ 12 conducted using confocal microscopy.

(MP4)

#### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the assistance provided by the MSU RTSF core in RNA-Seq sample prep, along with Carol Flegler and Melinda Frame at the MSU Center for Advanced Microscopy for their assistance with SEM and CLSM.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Roshni R. Kharadi, George W. Sundin.

Data curation: Roshni R. Kharadi, Kayla Selbmann.

Formal analysis: Roshni R. Kharadi.

Funding acquisition: George W. Sundin.

Investigation: Roshni R. Kharadi.

Methodology: Roshni R. Kharadi.

Writing - original draft: Roshni R. Kharadi, George W. Sundin.

Writing - review & editing: Roshni R. Kharadi, Kayla Selbmann, George W. Sundin.

#### References

- Hengge R. Principles of c-di-GMP signalling in bacteria. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2009; 7(4):263– 73. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2109 PMID: 19287449
- Jenal U, Reinders A, Lori C. Cyclic di-GMP: second messenger extraordinaire. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2017; 15(5):271–84. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.190 PMID: 28163311
- Edmunds AC, Castiblanco LF, Sundin GW, Waters CM. Cyclic di-GMP modulates the disease progression of Erwinia amylovora. Journal of bacteriology. 2013; 195(10):2155–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.02068-12 PMID: 23475975</u>
- Kharadi RR, Castiblanco LF, Waters CM, Sundin GW. Phosphodiesterase genes regulate amylovoran production, biofilm formation, and virulence in Erwinia amylovora. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2019; 85(1):e02233–18. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02233-18 PMID: 30366999

- Solano C, Garcia B, Latasa C, Toledo-Arana A, Zorraquino V, Valle J, et al. Genetic reductionist approach for dissecting individual roles of GGDEF proteins within the c-di-GMP signaling network in Salmonella. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106(19):7997–8002. Epub 2009/05/07. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.0812573106 PMID: 19416883; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2683120.
- Cowles KN, Willis DK, Engel TN, Jones JB, Barak JD. Diguanylate cyclases AdrA and STM1987 regulate Salmonella enterica exopolysaccharide production during plant colonization in an environment-dependent manner. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2016; 82(4):1237–48. https://doi.org/10. 1128/AEM.03475-15 PMID: 26655751
- Conner JG, Zamorano-Sanchez D, Park JH, Sondermann H, Yildiz FH. The ins and outs of cyclic di-GMP signaling in Vibrio cholerae. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2017; 36:20–9. Epub 2017/02/09. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.mib.2017.01.002 PMID: 28171809; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5534393.
- Galperin MY, Nikolskaya AN, Koonin EV. Novel domains of the prokaryotic two-component signal transduction systems. FEMS microbiology letters. 2001; 203(1):11–21. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968. 2001.tb10814.x PMID: 11557134
- Sarenko O, Klauck G, Wilke FM, Pfiffer V, Richter AM, Herbst S, et al. More than Enzymes That Make or Break Cyclic Di-GMP-Local Signaling in the Interactome of GGDEF/EAL Domain Proteins of Escherichia coli. mBio. 2017; 8(5). Epub 2017/10/12. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01639-17 PMID: 29018125; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5635695.
- Abel S, Bucher T, Nicollier M, Hug I, Kaever V, Abel zur Wiesch P, et al. Bi-modal distribution of the second messenger c-di-GMP controls cell fate and asymmetry during the Caulobacter cell cycle. PLoS genetics. 2013; 9(9):e1003744. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003744 PMID: 24039597
- Smits T, Duffy B, Sundin G, Zhao Y, Rezzonico F. Erwinia amylovora in the genomics era: from genomes to pathogen virulence, regulation, and disease control strategies. Journal of Plant Pathology. 2017; 99(Special issue):7–23.
- Koczan JM, McGrath MJ, Zhao Y, Sundin GW. Contribution of Erwinia amylovora exopolysaccharides amylovoran and levan to biofilm formation: implications in pathogenicity. Phytopathology. 2009; 99 (11):1237–44. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-99-11-1237 PMID: 19821727
- Kharadi RR, Sundin GW. Physiological and microscopic characterization of cyclic-di-GMP-mediated autoaggregation in Erwinia amylovora. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2019; 10:468. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2019.00468 PMID: 30930874
- Finn RD, Bateman A, Clements J, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, et al. Pfam: the protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42(Database issue):D222–30. Epub 2013/11/30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1223</u> PMID: 24288371; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3965110.
- 15. Kharadi RR, Sundin GW. CsrD regulates amylovoran biosynthesis and virulence in Erwinia amylovora in a novel cyclic-di-GMP dependent manner. Molecular Plant Pathology. 2022; 23:1154–1169.
- Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J Mol Biol. 2001; 305(3):567–80. Epub 2001/ 01/12. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315 PMID: 11152613.
- Yang F, Tian F, Li X, Fan S, Chen H, Wu M, et al. The degenerate EAL-GGDEF domain protein Filp functions as a cyclic di-GMP receptor and specifically interacts with the PilZ-domain protein PXO\_02715 to regulate virulence in Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions. 2014; 27(6):578–89. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-12-13-0371-R PMID: 24548063
- Chin KH, Kuo WT, Yu YJ, Liao YT, Yang MT, Chou SH. Structural polymorphism of c-di-GMP bound to an EAL domain and in complex with a type II PilZ-domain protein. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2012; 68(Pt 10):1380–92. Epub 2012/09/21. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444912030594 PMID: 22993092.
- Wei ZM, Beer SV. hrpL activates Erwinia amylovora hrp gene transcription and is a member of the ECF subfamily of sigma factors. J Bacteriol. 1995; 177(21):6201–10. Epub 1995/11/01. https://doi.org/10. 1128/jb.177.21.6201-6210.1995 PMID: 7592386; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC177461.
- Koczan JM, Lenneman BR, McGrath MJ, Sundin GW. Cell surface attachment structures contribute to biofilm formation and xylem colonization by Erwinia amylovora. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011; 77 (19):7031–9. Epub 2011/08/09. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.05138-11 PMID: 21821744; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3187075.
- Song WS, Yoon S-i. Crystal structure of FliC flagellin from Pseudomonas aeruginosa and its implication in TLR5 binding and formation of the flagellar filament. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 2014; 444(2):109–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.01.008 PMID: 24434155
- 22. Ueki T, Walker DJ, Woodard TL, Nevin KP, Nonnenmann SS, Lovley DR. An Escherichia coli chassis for production of electrically conductive protein nanowires. ACS synthetic biology. 2020; 9(3):647–54. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.9b00506 PMID: 32125829

- Arnqvist A, Olsén A, Pfeifer J, Russell DG, Normark S. The Crl protein activates cryptic genes for curli formation and fibronectin binding in Escherichia coli HB101. Molecular microbiology. 1992; 6(17):2443– 52. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.1992.tb01420.x PMID: 1357528
- Nagano K, Hasegawa Y, Abiko Y, Yoshida Y, Murakami Y, Yoshimura F. Porphyromonas gingivalis FimA fimbriae: fimbrial assembly by fimA alone in the fim gene cluster and differential antigenicity among fimA genotypes. 2012.
- Christen M, Kulasekara HD, Christen B, Kulasekara BR, Hoffman LR, Miller SI. Asymmetrical distribution of the second messenger c-di-GMP upon bacterial cell division. Science. 2010; 328(5983):1295–7. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1188658 PMID: 20522779
- Hengge R. High-Specificity Local and Global c-di-GMP Signaling. Trends in Microbiology. 2021. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2021.02.003</u> PMID: 33640237
- Shikuma NJ, Fong JC, Yildiz FH. Cellular levels and binding of c-di-GMP control subcellular localization and activity of the Vibrio cholerae transcriptional regulator VpsT. PLoS pathogens. 2012; 8(5): e1002719. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002719 PMID: 22654664
- O'Connor JR, Kuwada NJ, Huangyutitham V, Wiggins PA, Harwood CS. Surface sensing and lateral subcellular localization of WspA, the receptor in a chemosensory-like system leading to c-di-GMP production. Molecular microbiology. 2012; 86(3):720–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12013</u> PMID: 22957788
- Wolf YI, Rogozin IB, Kondrashov AS, Koonin EV. Genome alignment, evolution of prokaryotic genome organization, and prediction of gene function using genomic context. Genome research. 2001; 11 (3):356–72. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.gr-1619r PMID: 11230160
- Mira A, Ochman H, Moran NA. Deletional bias and the evolution of bacterial genomes. Trends in Genetics. 2001; 17(10):589–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-9525(01)02447-7 PMID: 11585665
- Ochman H, Moran NA. Genes lost and genes found: evolution of bacterial pathogenesis and symbiosis. Science. 2001; 292(5519):1096–9. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1058543 PMID: 11352062
- Sellner B, Prakapaitė R, van Berkum M, Heinemann M, Harms A, Jenal U. A new sugar for an old phage: a c-di-GMP-dependent polysaccharide pathway sensitizes Escherichia coli for bacteriophage infection. Mbio. 2021; 12(6):e03246–21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.03246-21 PMID: 34903045
- Valentini M, Filloux A. Biofilms and cyclic di-GMP (c-di-GMP) signaling: lessons from Pseudomonas aeruginosa and other bacteria. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2016; 291(24):12547–55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R115.711507</u> PMID: 27129226
- Laventie B-J, Sangermani M, Estermann F, Manfredi P, Planes R, Hug I, et al. A surface-induced asymmetric program promotes tissue colonization by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Cell host & microbe. 2019; 25(1):140–52. e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.11.008 PMID: 30581112
- Kharadi RR, Schachterle JK, Yuan X, Castiblanco LF, Peng J, Slack SM, et al. Genetic dissection of the Erwinia amylovora disease cycle. Annual review of phytopathology. 2021; 59:191–212. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev-phyto-020620-095540 PMID</u>: 33945696
- Nobori T, Velásquez AC, Wu J, Kvitko BH, Kremer JM, Wang Y, et al. Transcriptome landscape of a bacterial pathogen under plant immunity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2018; 115 (13):E3055–E64.
- Jacobs JM, Babujee L, Meng F, Milling A, Allen C. The in planta transcriptome of Ralstonia solanacearum: conserved physiological and virulence strategies during bacterial wilt of tomato. MBio. 2012; 3 (4):e00114–12. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00114-12 PMID: 22807564
- Bobrov AG, Kirillina O, Perry RD. The phosphodiesterase activity of the HmsP EAL domain is required for negative regulation of biofilm formation in Yersinia pestis. FEMS Microbiology Letters. 2005; 247 (2):123–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsle.2005.04.036 PMID: 15935569
- 39. Gassmann W, Hinsch ME, Staskawicz BJ. The Arabidopsis RPS4 bacterial-resistance gene is a member of the TIR-NBS-LRR family of disease-resistance genes. The Plant Journal. 1999; 20(3):265–77. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.1999.t01-1-00600.x PMID: 10571887
- 40. Zhang L, Mo J, Swanson KV, Wen H, Petrucelli A, Gregory SM, et al. NLRC3, a member of the NLR family of proteins, is a negative regulator of innate immune signaling induced by the DNA sensor STING. Immunity. 2014; 40(3):329–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.01.010 PMID: 24560620
- Metzger LC, Stutzmann S, Scrignari T, Van der Henst C, Matthey N, Blokesch M. Independent regulation of type VI secretion in Vibrio cholerae by TfoX and TfoY. Cell reports. 2016; 15(5):951–8. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.03.092 PMID: 27117415
- Moscoso JA, Mikkelsen H, Heeb S, Williams P, Filloux A. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa sensor RetS switches type III and type VI secretion via c-di-GMP signalling. Environmental microbiology. 2011; 13 (12):3128–38. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02595.x PMID: 21955777

- Wang T, Cai Z, Shao X, Zhang W, Xie Y, Zhang Y, et al. Pleiotropic effects of c-di-GMP content in Pseudomonas syringae. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2019; 85(10):e00152–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00152-19 PMID: 30850427</u>
- Zhu B, Liu C, Liu S, Cong H, Chen Y, Gu L, et al. Membrane association of SadC enhances its diguanylate cyclase activity to control exopolysaccharides synthesis and biofilm formation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Environmental microbiology. 2016; 18(10):3440–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13263</u> PMID: 26940526
- Jain R, Behrens AJ, Kaever V, Kazmierczak BI. Type IV pilus assembly in Pseudomonas aeruginosa over a broad range of cyclic di-GMP concentrations. J Bacteriol. 2012; 194(16):4285–94. Epub 2012/ 06/12. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00803-12 PMID: 22685276; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3416225.
- 46. Hengge R, Galperin MY, Ghigo JM, Gomelsky M, Green J, Hughes KT, et al. Systematic Nomenclature for GGDEF and EAL Domain-Containing Cyclic Di-GMP Turnover Proteins of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol. 2016; 198(1):7–11. Epub 2015/07/08. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00424-15 PMID: 26148715; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4686207.
- Simm R, Morr M, Kader A, Nimtz M, Römling U. GGDEF and EAL domains inversely regulate cyclic di-GMP levels and transition from sessility to motility. Molecular microbiology. 2004; 53(4):1123–34. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04206.x PMID: 15306016
- Yang F, Tian F, Li X, Fan S, Chen H, Wu M, et al. The degenerate EAL-GGDEF domain protein Filp functions as a cyclic di-GMP receptor and specifically interacts with the PilZ-domain protein PXO\_02715 to regulate virulence in Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2014; 27(6):578–89. Epub 2014/02/20. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-12-13-0371-R PMID: 24548063.
- Chou SH, Galperin MY. Diversity of Cyclic Di-GMP-Binding Proteins and Mechanisms. J Bacteriol. 2016; 198(1):32–46. Epub 2015/06/10. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00333-15 PMID: 26055114; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4686193.
- Toft C, Andersson SG. Evolutionary microbial genomics: insights into bacterial host adaptation. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2010; 11(7):465–75. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2798 PMID: 20517341
- Didelot X, Walker AS, Peto TE, Crook DW, Wilson DJ. Within-host evolution of bacterial pathogens. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2016; 14(3):150–62. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2015.13 PMID: 26806595
- McGhee GC, Sundin GW. Erwinia amylovora CRISPR elements provide new tools for evaluating strain diversity and for microbial source tracking. PLoS ONE. 2012; 7:e41706.
- Rezzonico F, Smits TH, Duffy B. Diversity, evolution, and functionality of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR) regions in the fire blight pathogen Erwinia amylovora. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2011; 77(11):3819–29. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00177-11 PMID: 21460108
- Mendes RJ, Luz JP, Santos C, Tavares F. CRISPR genotyping as complementary tool for epidemiological surveillance of Erwinia amylovora outbreaks. PLoS One. 2021; 16(4):e0250280. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1371/journal.pone.0250280 PMID: 33861806
- Parcey M, Gayder S, Castle AJ, Svircev AM. Function and application of the CRISPR-Cas system in the plant pathogen Erwinia amylovora. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2022; 88(7):e02513– 21. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02513-21 PMID: 35285707
- Eastgate J, Taylor N, Coleman M, Healy B, Thompson L, Roberts I. Cloning, expression, and characterization of the lon gene of Erwinia amylovora: evidence for a heat shock response. Journal of bacteriology. 1995; 177(4):932–7. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.177.4.932-937.1995 PMID: 7860603
- 57. Lee JH, Ancona V, Chatnaparat T, Yang H-w, Zhao Y. The RNA-binding protein CsrA controls virulence in Erwinia amylovora by regulating ReIA, RcsB, and FlhD at the posttranscriptional level. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions. 2019; 32(10):1448–59. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-03-19-0077-R PMID: 31140921
- Lee JH, Ancona V, Zhao Y. Lon protease modulates virulence traits in Erwinia amylovora by direct monitoring of major regulators and indirectly through the Rcs and Gac-Csr regulatory systems. Molecular plant pathology. 2018; 19(4):827–40. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12566 PMID: 28509355
- Schröpfer S, Vogt I, Broggini GAL, Dahl A, Richter K, Hanke M-V, et al. Transcriptional profile of AvrRpt2EA-mediated resistance and susceptibility response to Erwinia amylovora in apple. Scientific reports. 2021; 11(1):1–14.
- Joshi A, Mahmoud SA, Kim S-K, Ogdahl JL, Lee VT, Chien P, et al. c-di-GMP inhibits LonA-dependent proteolysis of TfoY in Vibrio cholerae. PLoS genetics. 2020; 16(6):e1008897. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008897</u> PMID: 32589664
- Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000; 97(12):6640–5. Epub 2000/06/01. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.120163297">https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.120163297</a> PMID: 10829079; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC18686.

- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012; 9(7):671–5. Epub 2012/08/30. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089 PMID: 22930834; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5554542.
- Rivas R, Vizcaino N, Buey RM, Mateos PF, Martinez-Molina E, Velazquez E. An effective, rapid and simple method for total RNA extraction from bacteria and yeast. J Microbiol Methods. 2001; 47(1):59– 63. Epub 2001/09/22. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-7012(01)00292-5 PMID: 11566228.
- Rao X, Huang X, Zhou Z, Lin X. An improvement of the 2<sup>(-delta</sup> delta CT) method for quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction data analysis. Biostat Bioinforma Biomath. 2013; 3(3):71–85. Epub 2013/08/01. PMID: 25558171; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4280562.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2014; 30(15):2114–20. Epub 2014/04/04. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170 PMID: 24695404; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4103590.
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 2012; 9(4):357–9. Epub 2012/03/06. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923</u> PMID: <u>22388286</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3322381.
- Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2015; 31(2):166–9. Epub 2014/09/28. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btu638 PMID: 25260700; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4287950.
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014; 15(12):550. Epub 2014/12/18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8 PMID: 25516281; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4302049.
- Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome research. 2003; 13 (11):2498–504. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303 PMID: 14597658
- Maere S, Heymans K, Kuiper M. BiNGO: a Cytoscape plugin to assess overrepresentation of gene ontology categories in biological networks. Bioinformatics. 2005; 21(16):3448–9. https://doi.org/10. 1093/bioinformatics/bti551 PMID: 15972284
- Johnson M, Zaretskaya I, Raytselis Y, Merezhuk Y, McGinnis S, Madden TL. NCBI BLAST: a better web interface. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008; 36(Web Server issue):W5–9. Epub 2008/04/29. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkn201</u> PMID: 18440982; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2447716.
- Kharadi RR, Selbmann K, Sundin GW. The cyclic di-GMP network is a global regulator of phase-transition and attachment-dependent host colonization in Erwinia amylovora. bioRxiv. 2021:2021.02.01.429191. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.01.429191
- Long T, Tu KC, Wang Y, Mehta P, Ong NP, Bassler BL, et al. Quantifying the integration of quorumsensing signals with single-cell resolution. PLoS Biol. 2009; 7(3):e68. Epub 2009/03/27. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000068">https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000068</a> PMID: 19320539; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2661960.
- Kovach ME, Elzer PH, Hill DS, Robertson GT, Farris MA, Roop RM 2nd, et al. Four new derivatives of the broad-host-range cloning vector pBBR1MCS, carrying different antibiotic-resistance cassettes. Gene. 1995; 166(1):175–6. Epub 1995/12/01. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(95)00584-1 PMID: 8529885.
- 75. Stuurman N, Pacios Bras C, Schlaman HR, Wijfjes AH, Bloemberg G, Spaink HP. Use of green fluorescent protein color variants expressed on stable broad-host-range vectors to visualize rhizobia interacting with plants. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2000; 13(11):1163–9. Epub 2000/11/04. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1094/MPMI.2000.13.11.1163</u> PMID: 11059482.
- 76. Dunn AK, Millikan DS, Adin DM, Bose JL, Stabb EV. New rfp- and pES213-derived tools for analyzing symbiotic Vibrio fischeri reveal patterns of infection and lux expression in situ. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006; 72(1):802–10. Epub 2006/01/05. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.1.802-810.2006 PMID: 16391121; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1352280.