A study of the association between *Helicobacter pylori* infection type and pancreatic cancer risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis

CHAO QIN^{1*}, CHONGHE XU^{2*}, ZHONGQI ZHU^{1*}, XIXI SONG¹, XIN WANG¹, WEI XU³ and MEI ZHU¹

¹Department of Clinical Laboratory, The Affiliated Chaohu Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Chaohu, Anhui 238000, P.R. China; ²School of Basic Medical Sciences, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100069, P.R. China; ³Department of Blood Transfusion, The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, Anhui 230022, P.R. China

o i not i minate i nospitali el i minat i realear e inversity, rieter, i minat 250022, i net e.

Received September 15, 2024; Accepted January 16, 2025

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2025.14920

Abstract. Pancreatic cancer is a highly invasive malignant tumor with a complex pathogenesis that makes early diagnosis challenging. The potential association between Helicobacter pylori infection and pancreatic cancer risk has been noted; however, the available results are still highly divergent. The aim of the present study was to systematically evaluate the association between different types of H. pylori infection and pancreatic cancer risk as well as to explore the possible causes. A systematic search was conducted using the PubMed, Embase and Cochrane Library databases up to August 2023. The literature quality was evaluated using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. All studies that met the criteria were included in the overall meta-analysis to calculate the odds ratios (ORs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs). In addition, subgroup analyses were performed based on factors such as diagnostic criteria for H. pylori infection, study region, type of study design and CagA status. The effect of publication bias on the quantitative synthesis results was assessed using the trim-and-fill analysis, and sensitivity analyses were used to verify the robustness of the quantitative synthesis results. A total of 17 studies involving 67,910 participants, including 64,372 controls and 3,538 patients with pancreatic cancer, were included in the present study. The overall analysis showed that no significant association was observed between *H. pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer risk (OR, 1.15; 95% CI, 0.93-1.41). Further subgroup analyses, which did not consider the effects of study quality, diagnostic criteria, geographical distribution and the type of study design, did not produce new findings that contradicted the results of the overall analysis. CagA⁺ *H. pylori* infection did not significantly affect the risk of pancreatic cancer (OR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.78-1.16), whereas CagA⁻ *H. pylori* infection may be a possible risk factor for pancreatic cancer (OR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.004-1.541). The *H. pylori* infection did not significantly increase the risk of pancreatic cancer. However, it is noteworthy that CagA⁻ *H. pylori* infection could be a potential factor that elevated the risk of pancreatic cancer.

Introduction

Helicobacter pylori is a bacterium that is capable of thriving at the low oxygen and acidic conditions of the stomach, and infection is closely related to various gastrointestinal disorders, such as peptic ulcer disease and non-ulcer dyspepsia. (1). The bacterium produces the enzyme, urease, which decomposes urea to generate ammonia thereby neutralizing the surrounding acid and facilitating its survival in the highly acidic stomach mucosa (2). This property notably contributes to the issue of drug resistance of *H. pylori*, and the application of novel nanomaterials for the treatment of drug-resistant bacteria represents a promising avenue (3-5). The risk associated with H. pylori infection stems from its ability to induce chronic inflammation, which is a significant factor in tumorigenesis. Chronic inflammation can lead to genetic mutations in gastric mucosal cells and increase the risk of gastric cancer (6). In recent years, with the in-depth research on the association between H. pylori infection and cancer, increasing evidence suggests that H. pylori infection is not only associated with the development of gastric cancer, but also potentially associated with other types of cancer such as pancreatic cancer (7,8).

Pancreatic cancer is a highly malignant tumor characterized by subtle early symptoms that can be easily overlooked or misdiagnosed resulting in a mid-to-late stage diagnosis and a missed opportunity for the most effective treatment (9). In

Correspondence to: Dr Wei Xu, Department of Blood Transfusion, The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, 210 Jixi Road, Hefei, Anhui 230022, P.R. China E-mail: xuwei@ahmu.edu.cn

Dr Mei Zhu, Department of Clinical Laboratory, The Affiliated Chaohu Hospital of Anhui Medical University, 64 Chaohu North Road, Chaohu, Anhui 238000, P.R. China E-mail: zhumei@ahmu.edu.cn

^{*}Contributed equally

Key words: Helicobacter pylori infection, pancreatic cancer, meta-analysis, CagA Helicobacter pylori

addition, the complex biological behavior of pancreatic cancer makes it a challenging tumor to treat (10). According to the 2022 Global Cancer Statistics, there were ~511,000 new cases of pancreatic cancer and 467,000 pancreatic cancer-associated deaths. Pancreatic cancer has one of the worst prognoses, ranking sixth among the causes of cancer-related deaths in both men and women, and accounting for ~5% of all cancer-related deaths worldwide. The incidence is approximately four times higher in countries with a higher Human Development Index (HDI) compared with those with a lower HDI (11).

The etiology of pancreatic cancer is complex and is not yet fully understood. Existing studies suggested that pancreatic cancer may be associated with several factors such as genetic factors, dietary factors, smoking, alcoholism, chronic pancreatitis, pancreatic stones, obesity and metabolic syndrome. Among them, mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 and CDKN2A are associated with an increased risk of pancreatic cancer (12,13). Smoking and alcohol abuse may lead to DNA damage and gene mutations in pancreatic cells and are therefore considered to be important risk factors for pancreatic cancer (14).

Although the etiology of pancreatic cancer has not yet been fully elucidated, the potential carcinogenic role of H. pylori infection has attracted increased attention from researchers. There have been numerous attempts to study the association between H. pylori infection and pancreatic cancer risk. However, the studies have revealed notable heterogeneity and even contradictory results (15). Huang et al (16) conducted a nested case-control study of 448 pancreatic cancer cases and 447 individually matched control subjects; the authors demonstrated that there was no marked association between H. pylori infection and pancreatic cancer risk in Western European populations [odds ratio (OR), 0.96; 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.70-1.31]. By contrast, in a population-based case-control study, Risch et al (17) found an association between pancreatic cancer and CagA-H. pylori colonization, especially for individuals in the non-O blood group (OR, 2.78; 95% CI, 1.49-5.20). Even meta-analyses that combined several studies have shown varying results. A meta-analysis by Xiao et al (18) showed a notable association between H. pylori infection and pancreatic cancer development in Europe and East Asia, but this association was weak in North America. A meta-analysis by Zhou et al (19) indicated that there was no sufficient evidence to support an association between H. pylori infection and increased risk of pancreatic cancer, with similar results for the CagA+H. pylori infection subgroup. A quantitative synthesis of 10 studies conducted by Schulte et al (20) revealed that CagA⁺ H. pylori infection may be a protective factor for pancreatic cancer development (OR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.67-0.91), whereas CagA⁻ strain infection may be a potential risk factor (OR, 1.30; 95% CI, 1.02-1.65). This heterogeneity may stem from a variety of factors, including differences in study design, region, ethnicity, H. pylori strains, inconsistencies in diagnostic criteria for pancreatic cancer and limitations in sample size.

Given the limitations and uncertainties of existing studies, additional in-depth and systematic studies are necessary. The present review aimed to collect additional abundant and standardized data, including prospective and retrospective studies, through rigorous inclusion criteria and more comprehensive statistical analyses to overcome the controversies and limitations in the existing studies and to clarify the association between *H. pylori* infection and the risk of pancreatic cancer, providing new ideas for the prevention and management strategies of pancreatic cancer and *H. pylori* infection.

Materials and methods

Registration protocol. The present review followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines (21) and was registered on PROSPERO (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/; registration no. CRD42024520782) to ensure the completeness and traceability of the study design, analysis and results. The registration information includes the study purpose, study design, key indicators and the plan for data collection and analysis.

Search strategy. The PubMed (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/), Embase (https://www.embase.com/) and Cochrane Library (https://www.cochranelibrary.com/) databases were searched, and the search scope was confined to studies published from the inception of the database up to August 31st, 2023. When searching PubMed, subject terms were selected according to the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) subject term list, and when searching Embase, Emtree was used to check and adjust the terms. The pattern of subject terms plus free words were used while searching, and the terms were mainly from the fields of pancreatic cancer and H. pylori. For example, the following search strategy was used in the PubMed database: [('Helicobacter pylori' (MeSH Terms) OR 'helicobacter pylori' (All Fields) OR 'H. pylori' (All Fields)] AND ['Pancreatic neoplasms' (MeSH Terms) OR 'pancreatic neoplasms' (All Fields) OR 'pancreatic cancer' (All Fields) OR 'pancreatic adenocarcinoma' (All Fields)] AND ['1,000/1/1' (Date-Publication) : '2023/8/31' (Date-Publication)]. The search terms used in the Embase and Cochrane Library databases were similar to those used in PubMed. The search strategy was developed after discussion among all authors and modified by several rounds of adjustments. In addition, a manual citation search was performed on the included studies.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were as follows: i) Case-control or cohort study; ii) human study object; iii) investigation of the relationship between *H. pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer risk; iv) *H. pylori* infection with or without CagA⁺ status as determined by serology [such as enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) or western blotting] or any other reliable method; v) diagnosis of pancreatic cancer (exocrine pancreatic cancer or pancreatic duct cancer) pathologically confirmed or from reliable documentation; vi) available detailed data on the status of *H. pylori* infection in pancreatic cancer cases and control groups; and vii) literature published in the English language.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: i) Unavailable abstracts or full texts; ii) unavailable detailed data such as positive rate of H. pylori infection status; iii) study types such as reviews, conferences, guidelines and meta-analyses; iv) topic unrelated to the association between H. pylori infection and pancreatic cancer risk; v) low quality studies such as those with a too small a sample size or notable flaws in the study



design; vi) study data overlapped with data from other studies; and vii) outcome indicators unrelated to pancreatic cancer.

Two authors read the literature separately and selected the studies strictly according to the aforementioned inclusion and exclusion criteria. Differences were resolved through discussion.

Data extraction. Data extraction was separately performed by two authors with a unified data table. The results were cross-checked and differences were resolved through discussion. Data were extracted based on first author, publication year, study location, study design type, sample size, mean age, diagnostic criteria for *H. pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer, as well as CagA status.

Literature quality evaluation. The quality of the methodology section of the included studies was assessed according to the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) (22). This scale is applicable to case-control and cohort studies. The contents of the evaluation can be divided into three categories: i) Selection of the study population: Definition of cases, representativeness of case groups, selection of controls and definition of controls; ii) comparability: Comparability between the control and case groups; and iii) exposure: Determination of exposure, consistency of exposure determination methods between groups and the non-response rate. The evaluation was completed according to the scores of these items. The item for between-group comparability can be awarded 2 points, while other items receive 1 point each, with a maximum possible score of 9 points. The higher the score, the higher the quality of the methodology section of the assessed study. A score of >7 was considered to indicate a high-quality study in the present analysis.

Statistical analysis. Stata (version 14.0; https://www.stata.com/) was used for statistical analysis. An overall meta-analysis of all included studies was performed to determine the association between *H. pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer risk. In addition, several subgroup analyses were performed, including a meta-analysis that included only high-quality studies, and subgroup analyses sorted by study design, geographical distribution and diagnostic criteria for *H. pylori* infection. Subgroup analyses of the association between CagA⁺ *H. pylori* infection and CagA⁻ *H. pylori* infection were also conducted.

OR was used as the combined effect size. OR and 95% CI were used as statistical measures of the strength of association. Heterogeneity between studies was measured by the I² value based on χ^2 tests, and the heterogeneity was considered to be significant if I^2 was >50% (23). Considering that there is always heterogeneity in intervention effects across multiple studies from different groups and geographical locations, a random effects model was used to calculate the combined effect sizes. The funnel plot method, Begg's rank correlation and Egger's linear regression test were used to detect potential publication bias. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant publication bias (24,25). The effect of publication bias on the merged results was assessed using the trim and fill method (26). Leave-one-out sensitivity analyses were performed to check the robustness of the combined results and to avoid a significant influence of extreme data from a single study on the combined results.

Results

Literature search and characteristics of the included studies. The search of the three databases (PubMed, Embase and Cochrane Library) and the manual citation search yielded 1,024 articles, leaving 906 articles after screening for duplicates. Further screening of titles, abstracts and full text yielded 17 suitable articles for the present study (16,17,20,27-40). The selection process is shown in Fig. 1. These studies involved 67,910 participants (3,358 patients with pancreatic cancer and 64,372 control group members) and included 9 case-control studies, 5 nested case-control studies and 3 cohort studies. Of these studies, 7 were conducted in Asia, 5 in Europe, 4 in North America and 1 in Oceania. The sample size range of the studies was 53-30,110 (Table I). Additionally, 16 studies used serological markers as the diagnostic criteria for H. pylori infection, and only Hsu et al (36) used histopathological examination to diagnose H. pylori infection. This histopathological approach may depend largely on the level of expertise of the examiner and may not identify previous infection. A total of 11 studies further tested for CagA antibodies, while 1 study employed multiple serology to simultaneously test for CagA, Vacuolating Cytotoxin A (VacA) and other virulence factors (31).

It is noteworthy that the study populations of Stolzenberg-Solomon *et al* (27) and Yu *et al* (41) were both derived from the Finnish ATBC cohort study, which was designed to identify the role of α -tocopherol or β -carotene in reducing cancer incidence in male smokers. The study by Yu *et al* (41) had a larger sample size and a longer follow-up period but was not group-matched according to interventions in the ATBC study, indicating that the results may have been influenced by interventions in the ATBC cohort study. Therefore, the study by Stolzenberg-Solomon *et al* (27) was finally included in the present analysis. Some meta-analyses included both articles (19) indicating that there was likely some duplication in the study population which could affect the credibility of the results.

Literature quality evaluation. The NOS scores of the 17 included studies ranged from 4 to 8, with an mean score of 6.8. The results of the literature quality assessment are presented in Table I. A total of 12 studies were determined to be high quality based on the NOS scores.

Overall analysis. All 17 studies were included in the analysis. The heterogeneity test showed a significant heterogeneity among studies (I^2 =72.1%; P<0.001; Fig. 2). The results of the meta-analysis suggested that *H. pylori* infection was not significantly associated with the risk of pancreatic cancer (OR, 1.15; 95% CI, 0.93-1.41; Fig. 2). A leave-one-out sensitivity analysis was performed to verify the reliability of the combined results. The findings indicated that the combined results were stable and not affected by the extremes of a single study (Fig. 3).

Subgroup analyses. To explore potential sources of heterogeneity and identify key factors influencing the combined results, subgroup analyses were conducted, where studies were grouped and analyzed based on their quality, geographical region, study design, diagnostic criteria and the subtype of *H. pylori*.



Figure 1. Literature search and study selection flowchart following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses 2020 guidelines.

Subgroup analysis of high-quality studies. To reduce the potential impact of low-quality studies on the combined outcomes, only 12 high-quality studies (16,17,20,27-35) were included in this subgroup. The heterogeneity of this subgroup was still significant (I²=73.3%; P<0.001). The analysis showed no significant association between *H. pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer risk (OR, 1.06; 95% CI, 0.86-1.31; Fig. S1). However, in this subgroup, the results were closer to the line of null effect and their 95% CIs were narrower.

Subgroup analysis on study region. All 17 studies were grouped according to the region of the study population. Among them, 7 studies were assigned to the Asian group, 5 studies to the European group, 4 studies to the North American group and 1 study to the Oceania group. The results in the European group (OR, 1.28; 95% CI, 0.95-1.72), the Asian group (OR, 1.34; 95% CI, 0.77-2.34) and the Oceania group (OR, 1.05; 95% CI, 0.79-1.40) suggested that *H. pylori* infection was a risk factor for pancreatic cancer (Fig. S2). By contrast, in North America (OR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.69-1.23), *H. pylori* infection was a protective factor for pancreatic cancer. However, none of these associations were statistically significant, which may suggest that there were small regional differences in the association between *H. pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer, but that these differences did not have a decisive effect.

Subgroup analysis on study design. The types of the studies included were case-control studies, nested case-control studies and cohort studies, which may have different implementation pathways and levels of evidence in evidence-based medicine. Therefore, the studies were analyzed in subgroups according to study design to identify potential sources of

First author, year	Region	Mean age or age range ^a	Study design	 H. pylori (+) in cancer group, n 	 H. pylori (-) in cancer group, n 	 H. pylori (+) in control group, n 	H. pylori(-) incancergroup, n	Sample size, n	<i>H. pylori</i> detection method	Ascertainment of pancreatic cancer	NOS score	(Refs.)
Raderer et al, 1998	Europe	21-85	Case-control	60	32	13	14	119	ELISA	Histopathological diagnosis	۲	(33)
Stolzenberg- Solomon <i>et al</i> , 2001	Europe	50-69	Nested case-control	66	22	165	61	347	ELISA	Histopathological diagnosis	8	(27)
de Martel <i>et al</i> , 2008	North America	49.5/50.3	Nested case-control	51	53	155	107	366	ELISA	Tumor registry reports	Г	(30)
Lindkvist et al, 2008	Europe	47.9/47.5	Nested case-control	39	48	100	163	350	ELISA	Diagnostic histopatho- logy or imaging	8	(34)
Risch et al, 2010	North America	66.9/68.3	Case-control	80	293	120	570	1,063	ELISA	Medical report	Г	(17)
Shimoyama et al, 2010	Asia	66.9/61.6	Case-control	16	ю	29	5	53	E-plate ^b	ı	4	(38)
Hsu et al, 2014	Asia	51.1/51.0	Cohort	11	11	6,011	24,077	30,110	Pathological diagnosis	Tumor registry reports	S	(36)
Risch et al, 2014	Asia	64.9/64.9	Case-control	233	528	327	467	1,555	ELISA	Medical report	8	(29)
Ai et al, 2015	Asia	56.8/54.6	Case-control	31	25	16	44	116	ELISA	Histopathology or clinical diagnosis	٢	(35)
Schulte et al, 2015	Oceania	66.5/67.4	Case-control	113	443	119	489	1,164	ELISA	Histopathology or clinical diagnosis	8	(20)
Chen et al, 2016	Europe	50-75	Cohort	27	19	4,738	4,766	9,550	ELISA	Tumor registry reports	9	(37)
Huang et al, 2017	Europe	57.8	Nested case-control	196	250	206	241	893	ELISA	Tumor registry reports	8	(16)
Hirabayashi et al, 2019	Asia	40-69	Cohort	83	36	13,669	6,328	20,116	ELISA	Tumor registry reports	7	(32)
Permuth et al, 2021	North America	67.6/59.0	Case-control	13	118	16	115	262	Multiplex serology ^c	Histopathological diagnosis	8	(31)
Laya <i>et al</i> , 2022	Asia	55.85/53.21	Case-control	34	27	42	52	155	ELISA	Diagnostic histopatho- logy or imaging	S	(39)
Osaki et al, 2022	Asia	68.8/73.6	Case-control	20	39	11	14	84	ELISA	ı	4	(40)
Lee <i>et al</i> , 2023	North America	63.9/62	Nested case-control	150	335	377	745	1,607	ELISA	Medical report	8	(28)
^a Presented as the mean age (Cad, Cagð, CagM, CagA, i	of case groul Catalase, Hcp	p/mean age of c C, HP0231, HP	ontrol group or the 0305, HpaA, Hyu	e age range. ^t A, GroEL, Na	A new serolc apA, HP1564	gical test kit , VacA and U	(38). ^c Positiv reA) (31). NC	ity was defi)S, Newcast	ned as being posi tle-Ottawa Scale;	tive for at least 4 of the 15 p ELISA, enzyme linked imm	roteins m mosorbei	easured it assay.

Table I. Main characteristics of included studies in the meta-analysis.

SPANDIDOS PUBLICATIONS

5



Figure 2. Forest plot of the overall meta-analysis of the association between *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the risk of pancreatic cancer. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.



Figure 3. Sensitivity analysis of the overall meta-analysis of the association between *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the risk of pancreatic cancer. CI, confidence interval.

heterogeneity (42,43). The analysis showed that there was no significant difference between the results of the case-control study group (OR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.78-1.48), the nested case-control study group (OR, 1.05; 95% CI, 0.82-1.34) and the cohort study group (OR, 1.68; 95% CI, 0.86-3.29), which suggests that study design may not be a major source of heterogeneity (Fig. S3).

Subgroup analysis on diagnostic criteria. The original studies employed a variety of diagnostic methods for *H. pylori* infection. Therefore, the original studies were analyzed in groups based on the diagnostic criteria. A total of 14 studies used ELISA-based Hp-IgG positivity as a diagnostic criterion for *H. pylori* infection, and the analysis of this group still suggested no significant association between *H. pylori* infection and the risk of pancreatic cancer (OR, 1.10; 95% CI, 0.90-1.35; Fig. S4). By contrast, the remaining three diagnostic methods (E-Plate, multiple serology and histopathology) had all been used in a single study and had limited significance for a combined analysis.

Subgroup analysis of CagA⁺ H. pylori infection. CagA is a crucial virulence factor of H. pylori that is associated with tumorigenic risk and CagA⁺ H. pylori is typically considered to possess higher virulence (6). A total of 11 studies (9 studies using ELISA, 1 using an immunoblot test and 1 using multiple serology) additionally examined the CagA status of H. pylori, all of which were included in the present subgroup. The result showed no significant association between CagA⁺ H. pylori infection and the risk of pancreatic cancer (OR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.78-1.16; Fig. S5). However, the diagnostic criteria for CagA⁺ H. pylori infection varied among studies. For example, a study in the United States in 2023 determined CagA positivity based on the detection of CagA only (28), whereas a cohort study in Germany in 2016 interpreted the results of CagA testing on





Figure 4. Forest plot of the diagnostic criteria subgroup analysis of the association between CagA⁺ Helicobacter pylori infection and the risk of pancreatic cancer. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

the basis of Hp-IgG positivity (37). The different diagnostic criteria likely affected the reliability of the results. For both diagnostic criteria, a subgroup analysis was performed, although no significant association was found in both the CagA⁺ group alone (OR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.72-1.09) and the Hp-IgG⁺ + CagA⁺ group (OR, 1.27; 95% CI, 0.74-2.17) (Fig. 4). Therefore, the conclusions did not change. In this subgroup, further subgroup analyses were performed based on quality, study region and study design, but none yielded meaningful results (data not shown). The results of the subgroup analysis of CagA⁺ *H. pylori* infection were reliable and not abnormally affected by a single extreme result, as demonstrated by sensitivity analysis (Fig. S6).

Subgroup analysis of CagA⁻ H. pylori infection. A total of 7 studies additionally analyzed CagA⁻ H. pylori infection, all of which were included in the subgroup analysis. The test for heterogeneity indicated that inter-study heterogeneity was not significant (I²=42.4%; P=0.108; Fig. 5). The quantitative synthesis results showed that CagA⁻ H. pylori infection was associated with the risk of pancreatic cancer (OR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.00-1.54; Fig. 5). The results suggested that CagA⁻ H. pylori infection could be a risk factor for pancreatic cancer. However, the corresponding sensitivity analysis suggested that this result was not very stable (Fig. S7).

Publication bias. The funnel plot results were slightly asymmetric (Fig. S8). Begg's test did not identify publication bias (P=0.077), but Egger's test suggested some publication bias

(P=0.014). The trim and fill analysis allows the modelling of results that may be absent due to publication bias, thus assessing the impact of publication bias on the results and providing an adjusted effect value. A trim-and-fill analysis was performed, and the results showed that no studies were trimmed or filled (Fig. 6), and the adjusted results were consistent with the original results. The results demonstrated that there was no significant publication bias and its influence on the results of the meta-analysis was weak.

Discussion

Although the potential oncogenic role of *H. pylori* infection has received widespread attention, its association with pancreatic cancer risk is unclear and study findings are controversial. The present study aimed to examine the existing literature and assess the association between different types of *H. pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer risk and to explore possible causes.

In the present study, the predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria were strictly followed to select high-quality original studies. By excluding non-compliant or low-quality literature and including those studies that met the criteria, the present study enhances the generalizability of the selected research population and the universality of the research conclusions. In addition, the selected original studies included a variety of study types, such as case-control, nested case-control and cohort studies, providing a multidimensional perspective



Figure 5. Forest plot of the meta-analysis of the association between CagA⁻ *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the risk of pancreatic cancer. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

that contributed to a comprehensive assessment of the association between *H. pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer risk. The largest sample size to date (a total of 67,910 subjects) was included, which notably enhanced the statistical efficacy of the present meta-analysis and reduced randomization error, thus providing more robust and reliable conclusions.

For statistical analysis, a comprehensive analytical strategy was used to investigate the complex relationship between *H. pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer risk. Through subgroup analyses, the effects of study region, design, diagnostic criteria and CagA status on the results were examined, which helped to identify potential heterogeneity among different subgroups and provide valuable clues for future studies. In addition, to ensure the robustness of the findings, sensitivity analyses were further performed to assess the impact of individual studies on the overall effect estimates and the trim-and-fill method was employed to adjust for potential publication bias. The use of these analytical tools has increased the confidence in the study's conclusions.

The present analysis showed no significant association between *H. pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer risk (OR, 1.15; 95% CI, 0.93-1.41). Although there was some publication bias and significant heterogeneity, the result of the sensitivity analysis and the trim-and-fill analysis demonstrated that the results are stable and reliable. Meta-analyses by Zhou *et al* (19) and Schulte *et al* (20) also showed similar results. Trikudanathan *et al* (44) and Xiao *et al* (18) included 6 and 9 original studies, respectively, and their results suggested a statistically significant association between *H. pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer risk (OR, 1.38; 95% CI, 1.08-1.75 and OR, 1.47; 95% CI, 1.22-1.77, respectively). However, building on their original study, several newly published papers were also included in the present study, including 3 cohort studies,





Figure 6. Trim-and-fill analysis based on the overall meta-analysis of the association between *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the risk of pancreatic cancer. s.e., standard error.

which enhanced the credibility of the results. Xiao *et al* (18) also performed a subgroup analysis of high-quality studies, in which 4 original studies that were considered high-quality were analyzed and found statistically significant results (OR, 1.28; 95% CI, 1.01-1.63). Although this result still suggested that *H. pylori* infection was a risk factor, the OR and 95% CI of the high-quality subgroup were closer to 1 compared with the results of their overall analysis (OR, 1.47; 95% CI, 1.22-1.77), suggesting that the results of the overall analysis were somewhat influenced by the other studies. By contrast, the high-quality subgroup analysis in the present study involved 12 articles, including all 4 articles used by Xiao *et al* (18) and 8 new high-quality articles. The results suggested no significant association between *H. pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer

risk (OR, 1.06; 95% CI, 0.86-1.31), consistent with the results of the overall analysis of the present study. Similarly, the OR and 95% CI of the high-quality subgroup analysis were closer to 1 than those of the overall analysis.

Regional subgroup analyses were performed in the present study, and no statistically significant results were found in the European, Asian or North American groups. The results of the study by Zhou *et al* (19) are consistent with the findings of the present study. By contrast, Xiao *et al* (18) found statistically significant results in the European and East Asian groups (OR, 1.56; 95% CI, 1.15-2.10 and OR, 2.01; 95% CI, 1.33-3.02, respectively). Compared with the study by Xiao *et al*, the regional subgroup analyses in the present study additionally included 8 newly published articles (including 3 cohort studies) and did not include 3 studies published in languages other than English. Consequently, the regional subgroup analyses in the present study incorporated more recent data and larger sample sizes.

Subgroup analyses on the diagnostic criteria and study design did not reveal significant heterogeneity between groups. Of the four diagnostic methods for *H. pylori* infection included in the present study, three were used in only 1 study. Therefore, interpretation of diagnostic criteria subgroup results were limited by sample size.

CagA protein is an important virulence factor of H. pylori. CagA interferes with cell signal transduction by binding to various receptors of host cells, thus affecting cell proliferation, migration and apoptosis (6). The present study comprehensively analyzed the role of the CagA protein based on existing data, and the findings indicated no significant association between CagA+H. pylori infection and the risk of pancreatic cancer. Certain previous meta-analyses corroborate this finding (18,19). CagA-H. pylori infection was significantly associated with the risk of pancreatic cancer (OR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.00-1.54) in the present study. Compared with the study of Zhou et al (19) (OR, 1.22; 95% CI, 1.00-1.49), the present study additionally included a 2016 cohort study from Germany (37) and a 2023 nested case-control study from the United States (28). By introducing these 2 new original studies, narrower confidence intervals were obtained and therefore the results showed statistical significance. In terms of CagA H. pylori infection, several meta-analyses are consistent with the findings of the present study (20,37,45), but the present study had the largest sample size and the narrowest confidence intervals. However, the corresponding sensitivity analysis showed that the combined results were not very stable. After several critical studies were excluded individually (17,20,29,37), the results were no longer statistically significant.

VacA is also a major virulence factor produced by *H. pylori*. As a cytotoxin, VacA can interact with host cell membranes to form transmembrane channels that disrupt membrane integrity. This damage results in the leakage of intracellular material and loss of cellular function, which in turn may trigger cell death (46,47). This mechanism of VacA makes it one of the key factors related to *H. pylori* infection, gastric mucosal injury and inflammation. However, only 1 study examined VacA status, and therefore quantitative synthetic analyses could not be performed in the present study (31).

The association between CagA⁻H. pylori infection and pancreatic cancer risk may involve multiple biological mechanisms. First, H. pylori infection itself may cause damage to pancreatic cells through a chronic inflammatory response, and this inflammatory environment may promote the development of pancreatic cancer. Chronic inflammation is recognized as an important cancer-promoting factor that can lead to DNA damage, cell proliferation and immune escape, thereby increasing the risk of pancreatic cancer (48,49). For example, a study found that H. pylori infection was associated with elevated markers of inflammation in patients with pancreatic cancer, suggesting that inflammation may play a role in the development of pancreatic cancer (18). H. pylori infection may elevate inflammation levels and promote β-catenin accumulation by inducing spermine oxidase, which metabolizes the polyamine, spermine, into spermidine and $H_2O_2(50)$. There is evidence that gastric polyamine levels are positively associated with gastritis in H. pylori-infected gerbils (51). An association between colonic spermidine levels and histological damage was also observed in a wild-type mouse model of Citrobacter rodentium infection (52). The Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway is pivotal in carcinogenesis (53,54). H. pylori induces nuclear accumulation of β -catenin in gastric epithelial cells, facilitating the development of cells exhibiting cancer stem cell-like characteristics (55).

Second, H. pylori infection may affect the immune surveillance and immune escape mechanisms of pancreatic cancer by affecting the immune microenvironment of the pancreas and altering the distribution and function of immune cells (6). It has been shown that H. pylori infection has the capacity to upregulate the expression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase in macrophages, thereby inducing M2 polarization (56). M2 macrophages promote cancer initiation and malignant progression by enhancing angiogenesis and increasing tumor migration, invasion and intravasation, while also inhibiting antitumor immunity (57). Guo et al (58) showed that M2 macrophages shield tumor-initiating cells from immune elimination and are essential for tumorigenesis. In addition, M2 macrophages are able to promote tumor cell colonization and growth by regulating the interaction between tumor cells and surrounding cells, as well as by remodeling the stroma surrounding tumor cells (59).

H. pylori infection is also associated with oxidative stress and extensive DNA damage related to chronic inflammation (60). It is well known that *H. pylori* causes neutrophil infiltration and elevated *de novo* synthesis of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by epithelial cells both *in vivo* and *in vitro* (61,62). ROS are oxygen-containing chemicals that are highly reactive in living organisms and, under normal physiological conditions, they are produced by cellular metabolism and are involved in cell signaling processes (63,64). In turn, the increase in ROS leads to DNA damage and genetic instability and may even activate tumorigenic signals (64-66). Hardbower *et al* (60) inhibited DNA damage induced by oxidative stress in mouse and gerbil models infected by *H. pylori*, which were found to exhibit a decrease in heterotopic hyperplasia and carcinoma.

CagA⁻*H.pylori* infection exhibits enhanced survivability in highly acidic conditions, which may mean that these strains are more likely to infect or colonize highly acidic individuals (67). The highly acidic trait coupled with infection by *H. pylori* may induce a strong stimulation of the pancreas (68,69). Pancreatic cells found in a highly secretory active state for a long period are more prone to malignancy (70,71). Contrary to CagA⁻ strains, CagA⁺ strains are generally considered to be more virulent and capable of inducing more severe gastric mucosal atrophy, intestinal epithelial hyperplasia and inflammatory cell infiltration (72). Consequently, a reduction in gastric acidity may be more prevalent among the long-term effects of CagA⁺ H. pylori, which may instead alleviate the burden on pancreatic cells. This may explain why CagA⁻H. pylori infection is more dangerous in terms of pancreatic cancer risk. Moreover, in addition to CagA and VacA, H. pylori possesses an extensive array of virulence factors, including dupA, iceA and htrA (73-75). Subgroup analysis based only on CagA status overlooks the role of these virulence factors, and taking these virulence factors into account helps to explain the relationship between H. pylori infection and pancreatic cancer more scientifically.

Lifestyle and genetic susceptibility are also significant factors influencing pancreatic cancer risk (13). For instance, smoking, high BMI and diabetes are often regarded as risk factors for pancreatic cancer (76-78), while mutations of various genes (such as CDKN2A, BRCA2, ATM and BRCA1) have been shown to be associated with pancreatic cancer (79,80). Certain studies matched for fundamental confounders such as age, sex, smoking and alcohol intake, thereby eliminating their influence on the results (16,27). Nonetheless, regarding dietary structure and genetic susceptibility, which are more difficult indicators to count, only a few studies have controlled their distribution across groups (20,31). Therefore, more high-quality studies are required to elucidate the association between *H. pylori* infection and pancreatic cancer risk.

The present study also has some limitations. Highperformance assays for *H. pylori* infection, such as tissue culture and nested PCR (81), were infrequently employed in the studies included in the analysis, and the majority of original studies used serology for diagnosing H. pylori infection, which is among the most prevalent diagnostic procedures (82,83). The lesions resulting from *H. pylori* infection exhibit marked variability across individuals (84,85). The extent of chronic inflammation due to H. pylori infection was not assessed, nor were the changes in the acidity of the stomach (which stimulates the pancreas) in the case of diagnosis using serology. This deficiency reveals the shortcomings in the degree of refinement of the subgroups of H. pylori infection. Furthermore, studies have demonstrated that the conversion rate of serum CagA antibodies was considerably lower than that of Hp-IgG antibodies, and that the inclusion of CagA antibodies in the diagnostic criteria could facilitate the detection of remote H. pylori infection with greater efficacy (86,87). Therefore, some studies have chosen to use the results of CagA antibodies to correct for the results of Hp-IgG antibodies (17,20,27-29). However, some studies neglected to do so, and some did not test for CagA antibodies, which likely contributed to the underestimation of the H. pylori infected population. In the case-control studies covered in the present study, there was often a long interval between specimen collection and testing, and it has been found that the level of serologic markers might change after prolonged storage (30), which could be avoided by higher-quality study designs.

As only one of the original studies included tested VacA status using multiple serological methods (31), it was not possible to perform a meta-analysis on the association between VacA and pancreatic cancer risk. Furthermore, since the original studies included in the present study included just 3 cohort studies (32,36,37), the degree of evidence for the original data should be raised. The emergence of more rigorously designed studies with higher levels of evidence will help to address these issues.

In conclusion, the results of the present study suggested that *H. pylori* infection, including CagA⁺ *H. pylori* infection, did not significantly increase the risk of pancreatic cancer. However, CagA⁻ *H. pylori* infection is a risk factor that warrants caution. Although study region, diagnostic methods, study design and virulence of strains all had some impact on the results, this impact did not affect the conclusions.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

This study received funding from Anhui Province Higher Education Institutions Natural Science Research Key Project (grant no. 2024AH050739) and Anhui Medical University Clinical and Early Discipline Co-construction Project.

Availability of data and materials

The data generated in the present study may be requested from the corresponding author.

Authors' contributions

MZ, CQ and CX conceived of the study; MZ, CQ, CX and WX participated in the design of the study; CQ and ZZ participated in data collection; CQ, XS and XW analyzed and interpreted the data; CQ and CX drafted the manuscript; CX revised and edited the manuscript. MZ and WX confirm the authenticity of all the raw data. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- 1. Crowe SE: Helicobacter pylori infection. N Engl J Med 380: 1158-1165, 2019.
- Marshall BJ and Warren JR: Unidentified curved bacilli in the stomach of patients with gastritis and peptic ulceration. Lancet 1: 1311-1315, 1984.



11

- Wang W, Cui Y, Wei X, Zang Y, Chen X, Cheng L and Wang X: CuCo₂O₄ nanoflowers with multiple enzyme activities for treating bacterium-infected wounds via cuproptosis-like death. ACS Nano 18: 15845-15863, 2024.
- Xing J, Shan J, Xue H, Zhang H, Cheng L, Hao J and Wang X: Multifunctional adaptable injectable TiN-based hydrogels for antitumor and antidrug-resistant bacterial therapy. Adv Healthc Mater 13: e2400297, 2024.
- Hu Z, Shan J, Jin X, Sun W, Cheng L, Chen XL and Wang X: Nanoarchitectonics of in situ antibiotic-releasing acicular nanozymes for targeting and inducing cuproptosis-like death to eliminate drug-resistant bacteria. ACS Nano 18: 24327-24349, 2024.
- 6. Peek RM Jr and Blaser MJ: Helicobacter pylori and gastrointestinal tract adenocarcinomas. Nat Rev Cancer 2: 28-37, 2002.
- Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O'Morain CA, Gisbert JP, Kuipers EJ, Axon AT, Bazzoli F, Gasbarrini A, Atherton J, Graham DY, *et al*: Management of helicobacter pylori infection-the maastricht V/Florence consensus report. Gut 66: 6-30, 2017.
- Zhang C, Chen Y, Long Y, Zheng H, Jing J and Pan W: Helicobacter pylori and gastrointestinal cancers: Recent advances and controversies. Clin Med Insights Oncol 18: 11795549241234637, 2024.
- 9. Vincent A, Herman J, Schulick R, Hruban RH and Goggins M: Pancreatic cancer. Lancet 378: 607-620, 2011.
- Stoffel EM, Brand RE and Goggins M: Pancreatic cancer: Changing epidemiology and new approaches to risk assessment, early detection, and prevention. Gastroenterology 164: 752-765, 2023.
 Bray F, Laversanne M, Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL,
- Bray F, Laversanne M, Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Soerjomataram I and Jemal A: Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries.CA Cancer J Clin 74: 229-263, 2024.
- Whitcomb DC, Shelton CA and Brand RE: Genetics and genetic testing in pancreatic cancer. Gastroenterology 149: 1252-1264. e4, 2015.
- 13. Klein AP: Pancreatic cancer epidemiology: Understanding the role of lifestyle and inherited risk factors. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 18: 493-502, 2021.
- 14. Bosetti C, Lucenteforte E, Silverman DT, Petersen G, Bracci PM, Ji BT, Negri E, Li D, Risch HA, Olson SH, *et al*: Cigarette smoking and pancreatic cancer: An analysis from the international pancreatic cancer case-control consortium (Panc4). Ann Oncol 23: 1880-1888, 2012.
- Franceschi F, Tortora A, Gasbarrini G and Gasbarrini A: Helicobacter pylori and extragastric diseases. Helicobacter 19 (Suppl 10): S52-S58, 2014.
- 16. Huang J, Zagai U, Hallmans G, Nyrén O, Engstrand L, Stolzenberg-Solomon R, Duell EJ, Overvad K, Katzke VA, Kaaks R, et al: Helicobacter pylori infection, chronic corpus atrophic gastritis and pancreatic cancer risk in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC) cohort: A nested case-control study. Int J Cancer 140: 1727-1735, 2017.
- 17. Risch HA, Yu H, Lu L and Kidd MS: ABO blood group, Helicobacter pylori seropositivity, and risk of pancreatic cancer: A case-control study. J Natl Cancer Inst 102: 502-505, 2010.
- Xiao M, Wang Y and Gao Y: Association between Helicobacter pylori infection and pancreatic cancer development: A meta-analysis. PLoS One 8: e75559, 2013.
 Zhou BG, Mei YZ, Wang JS, Xia JL, Jiang X, Ju SY and Ding YB:
- Zhou BG, Mei YZ, Wang JS, Xia JL, Jiang X, Ju SY and Ding YB: Is Helicobacter pylori infection associated with pancreatic cancer? A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Ther Adv Chronic Dis 14: 20406223231155119, 2023.
- 20. Schulte A, Pandeya N, Fawcett J, Fritschi L, Risch HA, Webb PM, Whiteman DC and Neale RE: Association between Helicobacter pylori and pancreatic cancer risk: A meta-analysis. Cancer Causes Control 26: 1027-1035, 2015.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, *et al*: The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 372: n71, 2021.
- 22. Stang A: Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol 25: 603-605, 2010.
- Higgins JP and Thompson SG: Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med 21: 1539-1558, 2002.
- Begg CB and Mazumdar M: Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 50: 1088-1101, 1994.
- 25. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M and Minder C: Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 315: 629-634, 1997.

- 26. Duval S and Tweedie R: Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics 56: 455-463, 2000.
- Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Blaser MJ, Limburg PJ, Perez-Perez G, Taylor PR, Virtamo J and Albanes D; ATBC Study: Helicobacter pylori seropositivity as a risk factor for pancreatic cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 93: 937-941, 2001.
- Lee AA, Wang QL, Kim J, Babic A, Zhang X, Perez K, Ng K, Nowak J, Rifai N, Sesso HD, *et al*: Helicobacter pylori seropositivity, ABO blood type, and pancreatic cancer risk from 5 prospective cohorts. Clin Transl Gastroenterol 14: e00573, 2023.
- Risch HA, Lu L, Kidd MS, Wang J, Zhang W, Ni Q, Gao YT and Yu H: Helicobacter pylori seropositivities and risk of pancreatic carcinoma. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 23: 172-178, 2014.
- 30. de Martel C, Llosa AE, Friedman GD, Vogelman JH, Orentreich N, Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ and Parsonnet J: Helicobacter pylori infection and development of pancreatic cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 17: 1188-1194, 2008.
- Permuth JB, Rahman S, Chen DT, Waterboer T and Giuliano AR: A case control study of the seroprevalence of helicobacter pylori proteins and their association with pancreatic cancer risk. J Pancreat Cancer 7: 57-64, 2021.
- 32. Hirabayashi M, Inoue M, Sawada N, Saito E, Abe SK, Hidaka A, Iwasaki M, Yamaji T, Shimazu T and Tsugane S: Helicobacter pylori infection, atrophic gastritis, and risk of pancreatic cancer: A population-based cohort study in a large Japanese population: The JPHC study. Sci Rep 9: 6099, 2019.
- Raderer M, Wrba F, Kornek G, Maca T, Koller DY, Weinlaender G, Hejna M and Scheithauer W: Association between Helicobacter pylori infection and pancreatic cancer. Oncology 55: 16-19, 1998.
- 34. Lindkvist B, Johansen D, Borgström A and Manjer J: A prospective study of Helicobacter pylori in relation to the risk for pancreatic cancer. BMC Cancer 8: 321, 2008.
- 35. Ai F, Hua X, Liu Y, Lin J and Feng Z: Preliminary study of pancreatic cancer associated with Helicobacter pylori infection. Cell Biochem Biophys 71: 397-400, 2015.
- Hsu WY, Lin CH, Lin CC, Sung FC, Hsu CP and Kao CH: The relationship between Helicobacter pylori and cancer risk. Eur J Intern Med 25: 235-240, 2014.
- 37. Chen XZ, Schöttker B, Castro FA, Chen H, Zhang Y, Holleczek B and Brenner H: Association of helicobacter pylori infection and chronic atrophic gastritis with risk of colonic, pancreatic and gastric cancer: A ten-year follow-up of the ESTHER cohort study. Oncotarget 7: 17182-17193, 2016.
- 38. Shimoyama T, Takahashi R, Abe D, Mizuki I, Endo T and Fukuda S: Serological analysis of Helicobacter hepaticus infection in patients with biliary and pancreatic diseases. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 25 (Suppl 1): S86-S89, 2010.
- 39. Laya GB, Anandhi A, Gurushankari B, Mandal J and Kate V: Association between helicobacter pylori and periampullary and pancreatic cancer: A case-control study. J Gastrointest Cancer 53: 902-907, 2022.
- 40. Osaki T, Lin Y, Sasahira N, Ueno M, Yonezawa H, Hojo F, Okuda M, Matsuyama M, Sasaki T, Kobayashi S, *et al*: Prevalence estimates of helicobacter species infection in pancreatic and biliary tract cancers. Helicobacter 27: e12866, 2022.
- 41. Yu G, Murphy G, Michel A, Weinstein SJ, Männistö S, Albanes D, Pawlita M and Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ: Seropositivity to Helicobacter pylori and risk of pancreatic cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 22: 2416-2419, 2013.
- 42. Sackett DL, Rosenberg WM, Gray JA, Haynes RB and Richardson WS: Evidence based medicine: What it is and what it isn't. BMJ 312: 71-72, 1996.
- 43. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P and Schünemann HJ; GRADE Working Group: GRADE: An emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 336: 924-926, 2008.
- 44. Trikudanathan G, Philip A, Dasanu CA and Baker WL: Association between Helicobacter pylori infection and pancreatic cancer. A cumulative meta-analysis. JOP 12: 26-31, 2011.
- 45. Liu H, Chen YT, Wang R and Chen XZ: Helicobacter pylori infection, atrophic gastritis, and pancreatic cancer risk: A meta-analysis of prospective epidemiologic studies. Medicine (Baltimore) 96: e78111, 2017.
- Cover TL and Blanke SR: Helicobacter pylori VacA, a paradigm for toxin multifunctionality. Nat Rev Microbiol 3: 320-332, 2005.
- 47. Necchi V, Sommi P, Vanoli A, Fiocca R, Ricci V and Solcia E: Natural history of Helicobacter pylori VacA toxin in human gastric epithelium in vivo: Vacuoles and beyond. Sci Rep 7: 14526, 2017.

- Posselt G, Backert S and Wessler S: The functional interplay of Helicobacter pylori factors with gastric epithelial cells induces a multi-step process in pathogenesis. Cell Commun Signal 11: 77, 2013.
- Jiménez-Soto LF and Haas R: The CagA toxin of Helicobacter pylori: Abundant production but relatively low amount translocated. Sci Rep 6: 23227, 2016.
 Sierra JC, Piazuelo MB, Luis PB, Barry DP, Allaman MM,
- Sierra JC, Piazuelo MB, Luis PB, Barry DP, Allaman MM, Asim M, Sebrell TA, Finley JL, Rose KL, Hill S, *et al*: Spermine oxidase mediates Helicobacter pylori-induced gastric inflammation, DNA damage, and carcinogenic signaling. Oncogene 39: 4465-4474, 2020.
- 51. Chaturvedi R, de Sablet T, Asim M, Piazuelo MB, Barry DP, Verriere TG, Sierra JC, Hardbower DM, Delgado AG, Schneider BG, et al: Increased Helicobacter pylori-associated gastric cancer risk in the Andean region of Colombia is mediated by spermine oxidase. Oncogene 34: 3429-3440, 2015.
- 52. Gobert AP, Al-Greene NT, Singh K, Coburn LA, Sierra JC, Verriere TG, Luis PB, Schneider C, Asim M, Allaman MM, *et al*: Distinct immunomodulatory effects of spermine oxidase in colitis induced by epithelial injury or infection. Front Immunol 9: 1242, 2018.
- 53. Liu J, Xiao Q, Xiao J, Niu C, Li Y, Zhang X, Zhou Z, Shu G and Yin G: Wnt/β-catenin signalling: Function, biological mechanisms, and therapeutic opportunities. Signal Transduct Target Ther 7: 3, 2022.
- 54. Yu F, Yu C, Li F, Zuo Y, Wang Y, Yao L, Wu C, Wang C and Ye L: Wnt/β-catenin signaling in cancers and targeted therapies. Signal Transduct Target Ther 6: 307, 2021.
- 55. Yong X, Tang B, Xiao YF, Xie R, Qin Y, Luo G, Hu CJ, Dong H and Yang SM: Helicobacter pylori upregulates Nanog and Oct4 via Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway to promote cancer stem cell-like properties in human gastric cancer. Cancer Lett 374: 292-303, 2016.
- 56. Peng R, Xu C, Zhang L, Liu X, Peng D, Chen X, Liu D and Li R: M2 macrophages participate in ILC2 activation induced by Helicobacter pylori infection. Gut Microbes 16: 2347025, 2024.
- 57. Cassetta L and Pollard JW: Targeting macrophages: Therapeutic approaches in cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov 17: 887-904, 2018.
- Guo X, Zhao Y, Yan H, Yang Y, Shen S, Dai X, Ji X, Ji F, Gong XG, Li L, *et al*: Single tumor-initiating cells evade immune clearance by recruiting type II macrophages. Genes Dev 31: 247-259, 2017.
- Doak GR, Schwertfeger KL and Wood DK: Distant relations: Macrophage functions in the metastatic niche. Trends Cancer 4: 445-459, 2018.
- 60. Hardbower DM, de Sablet T, Chaturvedi R and Wilson KT: Chronic inflammation and oxidative stress: The smoking gun for Helicobacter pylori-induced gastric cancer? Gut Microbes 4: 475-481, 2013.
- Davies GR, Banatvala N, Collins CE, Sheaff MT, Abdi Y, Clements L and Rampton DS: Relationship between infective load of Helicobacter pylori and reactive oxygen metabolite production in antral mucosa. Scand J Gastroenterol 29: 419-424, 1994.
- Bagchi D, Bhattacharya G and Stohs SJ: Production of reactive oxygen species by gastric cells in association with Helicobacter pylori. Free Radic Res 24: 439-450, 1996.
- 63. Granger DN and Kvietys PR: Reperfusion injury and reactive oxygen species: The evolution of a concept. Redox Biol 6: 524-551, 2015.
- 64. Franco R and Vargas MR: Redox biology in neurological function, dysfunction, and aging. Antioxid Redox Signal 28: 1583-1586, 2018.
- 65. Moloney JN and Cotter TG: ROS signalling in the biology of cancer. Semin Cell Dev Biol 80: 50-64, 2018.
- 66. Srinivas US, Tan BWQ, Vellayappan BA and Jeyasekharan AD: ROS and the DNA damage response in cancer. Redox Biol 25: 101084, 2019.
- Karita M and Blaser MJ: Acid-tolerance response in Helicobacter pylori and differences between cagA+ and cagA- strains. J Infect Dis 178: 213-219, 1998.
- 68. Kunovsky L, Dite P, Jabandziev P, Dolina J, Vaculova J, Blaho M, Bojkova M, Dvorackova J, Uvirova M, Kala Z and Trna J: Helicobacter pylori infection and other bacteria in pancreatic cancer and autoimmune pancreatitis. World J Gastrointest Oncol 13: 835-844, 2021.
- Risch HA: Etiology of pancreatic cancer, with a hypothesis concerning the role of N-nitroso compounds and excess gastric acidity. J Natl Cancer Inst 95: 948-960, 2003.

- Storz P: Acinar cell plasticity and development of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 14: 296-304, 2017.
- Marstrand-Daucé L, Lorenzo D, Chassac A, Nicole P, Couvelard A and Haumaitre C: Acinar-to-Ductal metaplasia (ADM): On the road to pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN) and pancreatic cancer. Int J Mol Sci 24: 9946, 2023.
 Sozzi M, Valentini M, Figura N, De Paoli P, Tedeschi RM,
- 72. Sozzi M, Valentini M, Figura N, De Paoli P, Tedeschi RM, Gloghini A, Serraino D, Poletti M and Carbone A: Atrophic gastritis and intestinal metaplasia in Helicobacter pylori infection: The role of CagA status. Am J Gastroenterol 93: 375-379, 1998.
- 73. Sharndama HC and Mba IE: Helicobacter pylori: An up-to-date overview on the virulence and pathogenesis mechanisms. Braz J Microbiol 53: 33-50, 2022.
- Kusters JG, van Vliet AH and Kuipers EJ: Pathogenesis of Helicobacter pylori infection. Clin Microbiol Rev 19: 449-490, 2006.
- Yamaoka Y: Mechanisms of disease: Helicobacter pylori virulence factors. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 7: 629-641, 2010.
- 76. Lynch SM, Vrieling A, Lubin JH, Kraft P, Mendelsohn JB, Hartge P, Canzian F, Steplowski E, Arslan AA, Gross M, *et al*: Cigarette smoking and pancreatic cancer: A pooled analysis from the pancreatic cancer cohort consortium. Am J Epidemiol 170: 403-413, 2009.
- 77. Bosetti C, Rosato V, Li D, Silverman D, Petersen GM, Bracci PM, Neale RE, Muscat J, Anderson K, Gallinger S, *et al*: Diabetes, antidiabetic medications, and pancreatic cancer risk: An analysis from the international pancreatic cancer case-control consortium. Ann Oncol 25: 2065-2072, 2014.
- Chari ST, Leibson CL, Rabe KG, Ransom J, de Andrade M and Petersen GM: Probability of pancreatic cancer following diabetes: A population-based study. Gastroenterology 129: 504-511, 2005.
- 79. Hu C, Hart SN, Polley EC, Gnanaolivu R, Shimelis H, Lee KY, Lilyquist J, Na J, Moore R, Antwi SO, *et al*: Association between inherited germline mutations in cancer predisposition genes and risk of pancreatic cancer. JAMA 319: 2401-2409, 2018.
- 80. Zhen DB, Rabe KG, Gallinger S, Syngal S, Schwartz AG, Goggins MG, Hruban RH, Cote ML, McWilliams RR, Roberts NJ, et al: BRCA1, BRCA2, PALB2, and CDKN2A mutations in familial pancreatic cancer: A PACGENE study. Genet Med 17: 569-577, 2015.
- Patel SK, Pratap CB, Jain AK, Gulati AK and Nath G: Diagnosis of Helicobacter pylori: What should be the gold standard? World J Gastroenterol 20: 12847-12859, 2014.
 Hooi JKY, Lai WY, Ng WK, Suen MMY, Underwood FE,
- 82. Hooi JKY, Lai WY, Ng WK, Suen MMY, Underwood FE, Tanyingoh D, Malfertheiner P, Graham DY, Wong VWS, Wu JCY, et al: Global prevalence of helicobacter pylori infection: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastroenterology 153: 420-429, 2017.
- 83. Li Y, Choi H, Leung K, Jiang F, Graham DY and Leung WK: Global prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection between 1980 and 2022: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 8: 553-564, 2023.
- Mitchell H and Katelaris P: Epidemiology, clinical impacts and current clinical management of Helicobacter pylori infection. Med J Aust 204: 376-380, 2016.
- 85. Shah SC, Halvorson AE, Lee D, Bustamante R, McBay B, Gupta R, Denton J, Dorn C, Wilson O, Peek R Jr, *et al*: Helicobacter pylori Burden in the United States according to individual demographics and geography: A nationwide analysis of the veterans healthcare system. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 22: 42-50.e26, 2024.
- 86. Kist M, Strobel S, Kirchner T and Dammann HG: Impact of ELISA and immunoblot as diagnostic tools one year after eradication of Helicobacter pylori in a multicentre treatment study. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 24: 239-242, 1999.
- 87. Lu CY, Kuo CH, Lo YC, Chuang HY, Yang YC, Wu IC, Yu FJ, Lee YC, Jan CM, Wang WM and Wu DC: The best method of detecting prior Helicobacter pylori infection. World J Gastroenterol 11: 5672-5676, 2005.



Copyright © 2025 Qin et al. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.