Mesenchymal stem-cell potential in cartilage repair: an update

M. Mazor^a, E. Lespessailles^a, R. Coursier^{a, b, c}, R. Daniellou^d, T. M. Best^e, H. Toumi^{a, *}

^a IPROS, CHRO, EA4708 Orleans University, Orleans, France

^b Groupement des Hôpitaux de l'Institut Catholique de Lillie (GHICL)/Faculté Libre de Médecine, Lille, France
 ^c Département de traumatologie-orthopédie France, UCLille, Lille, France
 ^d CNRS, ICOA, UMR 7311, Université Orléans, Orleans, France
 ^e Division of Sports Medicine, Department of Family Medicine, Sports Health And Performance Institute, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

Received: January 24, 2014; Accepted: June 27, 2014

• Introduction

- Osteoarthritis
 - What happens to OA cartilage?
 - Molecular changes in OA cartilage
- Stem-cell potential in OA

 MSC potential for cartilage repair
 - MSC regulation

• Chondroprogenitor cells

- Chondroprogenitor potential
- Chondrogenesis
- Role of growth factors in cartilage repair
- Conclusion

Abstract

Articular cartilage damage and subsequent degeneration are a frequent occurrence in synovial joints. Treatment of these lesions is a challenge because this tissue is incapable of quality repair and/or regeneration to its native state. Non-operative treatments endeavour to control symptoms and include anti-inflammatory medications, viscosupplementation, bracing, orthotics and activity modification. Classical surgical techniques for articular cartilage lesions are frequently insufficient in restoring normal anatomy and function and in many cases, it has not been possible to achieve the desired results. Consequently, researchers and clinicians are focusing on alternative methods for cartilage preservation and repair. Recently, cell-based therapy has become a key focus of tissue engineering research to achieve functional replacement of articular cartilage. The present manuscript is a brief review of stem cells and their potential in the treatment of early OA (*i.e.* articular cartilage pathology) and recent progress in the field.

Keywords: osteoarthritis • cartilage • repair • mesenchymal stem cell • growth factors

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is an active pathological process and is the most common degenerative orthopaedic disease [1, 2]. The current view holds that OA involves not only the articular cartilage but the entire joint organ including the subchondral bone and the synovium. However, articular cartilage breakdown remains the principal characteristic of OA. Cartilage self-renewal potential is limited and consequently, the progression of degradation leads to destruction of cartilage and development of OA. Unfortunately, OA is generally diagnosed in more

*Correspondence to: H. TOUMI, Ph.D.,

doi: 10.1111/jcmm.12378

advanced stages, when pain and restriction of morbidity arise and clinical and radiographic signs become evident [3]. Despite drugs used clinically to reduce pain and maintain joint movement, in many cases, surgical substitution with artificial implants is inevitable. There are also a number of surgical treatment strategies currently available for articular cartilage defect repair including abrasion chondroplasty, subchondral drilling, microfracture and mosaicplasty. However, to date, these techniques have shown variable results.

Tel.: (0033) 0235952711 Fax: (0033) 0235952711 E-mail: hechmi.toumi@univ-orleans.fr

IPROS. CHRO. EA4708 Orleans University.

¹ rue Porte madeleine - BP 2439 - 45032 Orléans Cédex 1, France.

It has been consistently demonstrated that cartilage defects extending to the subchondral bone do exhibit some ability to repair through formation of neocartilage, probably as a result of the release of bone marrow-derived stem cells, from the underlying subchondral bone [4, 5]. This fact, coupled with the multilineage capacity potential of mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) from different sources, has made them widely investigated and utilized in cartilage repair. Tissue engineering strategies combining cell therapy (e.g. chondrocytes and adult stem cells) with proper biomaterials of natural or synthetic origin as scaffolds, as well as various growth and differentiation stimuli, have also been considered as a promising new approach for the treatment of articular cartilage defects. Substantial efforts have been made to choose appropriate cell sources as well as the proper growth factors and scaffolds to mimic the natural cartilage microenvironment. The main purpose of the present review was to examine the current status of stem cells in cartilage preservation/repair with respect to their potential application in orthopaedic surgery.

Osteoarthritis

Osteoarthritis is a chronic disease involving progressive degeneration of the articular cartilage and sub-chondral bone along with synovitis [6]. Articular cartilage degeneration often occurs in response to inappropriate mechanical stress and low-grade systemic inflammation associated with trauma, obesity, sex and genetic predisposition [7, 8]. It commonly occurs in the weight-bearing joints of the hips, knees and spine, but also the fingers, neck and shoulder [9]. Other joints might be also affected if prior injury or excessive mechanical stress occurs [9].

Clinical indicators of OA include joint pain, stiffness, movement limitation, crepitus, effusion and varying degrees of inflammation [6]. While the cause of primary OA is largely unknown, secondary OA is often because of trauma, acute or recurrent dislocation, or prior surgery. The major problem in diagnosing OA in middle-aged patients is that those with OA symptoms (*i.e.* pain, swelling, or stiffness) do not always express signs of the disease on X-rays or even MRIs. The opposite is also true: Those with radiological evidence of the disease (*i.e.* joint narrowing and osteophytes) are not always symptomatic. Osteoarthritis affects over 28 million people in the United States, resulting in over 50% of total joint replacements, and costing more than USD15 billion per year [10]. To provide data on the prevalence, epidemiology and aetiology of OA, Widuchowski *et al.* reviewed 25,124 knee arthroscopies and found cartilage lesions in 60% of these patients [11].

Characteristic morphological features of OA are variable including phenotypic changes in cartilage cells, progressive fibrillation of articular cartilage, subchondral bone sclerosis, osteophyte formation and increased remodelling of the periarticular bone [12].

What happens to OA cartilage?

Adult articular cartilage contains a relatively sparse population of nonproliferating chondrocytes corresponding to 5% of the tissue's wet weight [13]. Chondrocytes are embedded within an extracellular matrix (ECM) [14]. The ECM contains mainly water and electrolytes that are bound to collagens (types II, IX and XI) and proteoglycans (aggrecan) [14]. Articular cartilage mechanical behaviour is determined by the fluid-solid interaction [15]. Proteoglycans are constrained within the collagen fibrillar network and form the extrafibrillar matrix [15]. Mechanical pressure on the joint induces compression of the articular cartilage, which raises the excursion of water, thus increasing the concentration of ions within the tissue, leading to swelling pressures [16]. The swelling pressure within the ECM is determined by the difference in ion concentration between the inside and the outside [16]. Such pressure balance could be altered when the amount of water in the ECM increases as a result of collagen network degradation typically seen in OA [16, 17].

The ECM of hyaline cartilage is organized into four distinct zones ('the superficial tangential zone, the middle (or transitional) zone, the deep (or radial) zone and the calcified zone'), with varying biochemical compositions throughout the cartilage [13]. Cartilage tissue is avascular and aneural [14]. Nutrient and gas exchange takes place through diffusion from capillaries in adjacent connective tissue (perichondrium) or through synovial fluid from joint cavities [8, 12-14, 18, 19]. Cartilage structure and function are supported by a complex molecular backdrop of growth factors, cytokines, enzymes and transcription factors necessary for maintenance of tissue homoeostasis [2, 7, 12, 20]. During development of OA, changes occur in metabolic activity and the homeostasis of the tissue is disturbed, resulting in a mismatch of anabolic and catabolic processes [2]. Prevalence of catabolic processes results in degradation of the ECM and subsequent cartilage destruction [2]. Over time, cartilage may degenerate at the surface which in turn progresses to the deeper areas and reaches the subchondral plate resulting in cyst and osteophyte formation [6].

Molecular changes in OA cartilage

In the absence of joint damage and disease, the quiescent chondrocytes maintain a low turnover replacement rate of cartilage matrix proteins [21]. With onset of OA, chondrocyte proliferation begins with cluster formation accompanied by prevalence of catabolic activity of matrix-degrading enzymes over anabolic activity of matrix proteins [2, 22, 23]. The expression of matrix-degrading enzymes is further fueled by an increase in growth factor expression and the appearance of inflammation [2, 22–24]. The main matrix-degrading enzymes are the matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), a family of nine or more highly homologous Zn (++)-endopeptidases that collectively cleave most of the ECM constituents [25]. It is well known that under normal conditions, these enzymes are expressed at a low level in both chondrocytes and synovial cells [9]. However, OA cartilage shows an increase in the amount of MMP-2, MMP-3, MMP-8, MMP-9, MMP-13 and MMP-14, which are included in the degradation of a wide spectra of substrates: types I, II, III, V, VI, VII, X and XI collagens, fibronectin, gelatin, elastin and proteoglycans. These are the main components, which maintain articular cartilage integrity [21, 25–31]. Both human and animal studies have shown MMP-13 to be a dominant factor



Fig. 1 Molecular changes in osteoarthritic (OA) cartilage. The main matrix-degrading enzymes are matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). MMPs are upregulated in OA and included in the over-degeneration of a main extracellular matrix components: types II, VI, XI collagens and proteoglycans. At early stages, the degradation of the predominant proteoglycan, aggrecan is mainly caused by aggrecanases, (ADAMTS). The expression of matrix-degrading enzymes is further fuelled by the appearance of inflammation. Once degraded, cartilage fragments fall into the joint and contact the synovium. In contact with foreign bodies, synovial cells react by producing inflammatory mediators (IL-1β and TNF-β), which leads to additional activation of MMPs, cytokines and further cartilage degradation. Yet, positive feedback such as the activation of the bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) and tumour growth factor-β (TGF-β) under the control of IL-1β and TNF-β contribution in maintaining matrix synthesis. It is well known that one of the key transcription factors (SOX9) indebted in expression of collagen type II and aggrecan is regulated by BMPs and TGF-β. On the other hand, negative regulator of SOX9 expression is NF-κ B and mainly regulated by TNF-α and IL-1β.

in collagen type II degradation [32]. The abnormal expression of MMP-13 was demonstrated by an amended epigenetic profile with up growth of 4% to 20% in non-methylated sites in normal versus OA chondrocytes [33]. Furthermore, microarray and RT-PCR data have highlighted MMP-13 as a major collagenase with moderate expression in early stages of OA, but overexpressed in advanced stages of the disease [33, 34]. In animal studies, postnatal constitutive expression of MMP-13 pathological changes was demonstrated to be similar to that seen in humans by loss of proteoglycans and cleavage of type II collagen [27]. In the early stages of OA, the degradation of predominant proteoglycan, aggrecan, is mainly caused by other proteinases, aggrecanases, such as 'A Disintegrin and Metalloproteinase with the ThromboSpondin motifs' (ADAMTS) family [35]. Two members of the ADAMTs family (ADAMT-4 and ADAMTS-5) are also recognized in OA [36]. It has been shown that both enzymes cleave aggrecans by the 2-fold higher prevalence of ADAMTS4 [35]. However, in the animal study involving ADAMTS-4-knockout mice, no significant difference in the progression and severity of OA was observed following surgical induction [37]. Conversely, ADAMTS-5-knockout mice showed a significant reduction in the severity of cartilage destruction compared with wild-type mice [38]. Recent studies have highlighted the contribution of both enzymes in cartilage degradation by individual or combined impact [39]. Although both enzymes seem involved in OA

cartilage destruction with prevalence of ADAMTS-5 [34], their contribution still remains questionable (Figs 1 and 2).

For a long time, it was widely accepted that inflammation is absent or weakly present in OA [40]. However, many studies have confirmed the presence of immune cells and proinflammatory cytokines in the synovial tissues of OA patients [41-44]. Why the synovium becomes inflamed in OA remains debatable. The most widely accepted hypothesis is that, once degraded, cartilage fragments fall into the joint and contact the synovium [40]. In contact with foreign bodies, synovial cells react by producing inflammatory mediators, which lead to additional activation of chondrocytes by metalloproteinases and subsequently increased cartilage degradation [40]. Studies have confirmed the up-regulation of interleukin-1-beta (IL-1 β) and tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) [41–45] in OA compared with healthy joints. Intra-articular injection of IL-1 β and TNF- α induces proteoglycan loss [46]. The high density of interleukin-1-receptors (IL-1R) in OA cartilage increases the sensitivity of osteoarthritic chondrocytes to this cytokine [47]. Gene therapy utilizing an interleukin-1receptor antagonist (IL-1R) reduces the expression of collagenase-1 and prevents formation of OA [48] as well as significant reduction in disease progression [49, 50]. However, under higher expression of IL-1, the levels of prostaglandin E2 increase [51]. In contrast to IL-1, prostaglandin E2 up-regulates expression of type II collagen and is

Fig. 2 Cell-based repair of cartilage lesions. MSCs isolated from various tissues have the potential to undergo chondrogenesis and form hyaline cartilage. Furthermore, to form hyaline cartilage tissue, chondrocytes combine with appropriate scaffold matrix and bioactive factors to promote ECM formation. Constructed hyaline cartilage tissue is then implanted in the cartilage lesion site. BMCS, bone marrow-derived stem cells; ASC, adiposederived stem cells; SSC, synovium-derived stem cells: HCSC, hvaline cartilage-derived stem cells.



one of the positive feedback mechanisms to recuperate ECM [52]. Another possible feedback occurs through an increase in bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) under the control of cvtokines [53, 54]. BMPs are growth factors that are members of the TGF- β superfamily that play crucial roles in both chondrogenesis and the induction of proteoglycan synthesis [55, 56]. BMPs stimulate both chondrocyte matrix synthesis and terminal differentiation [56]. Chondrocyte terminal differentiation is followed by MMP-13 expression and matrix degeneration [56]. The primary role of the BMPs in OA still remains in question. However, it is well known that one of the main transcription factors (SOX9) in the regulation of mesenchymal chondrogenesis, expression of collagen type II and aggrecan is partly regulated by BMPs and TGF- β [2]. The negative regulator of SOX9 expression is NF- κ B, mainly regulated by TNF- α and IL-1 β [57]. Activation of NFκB and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) is required for chondrocytes to express MMPs. ADAMTSs and inflammatory cytokines themselves [8, 58]. In vitro studies suggest that NF- κ B is the upstream inducer of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)- 2α , which is a transactivation of hypertrophy chondrocytes genes, including Col10a1, MMP-13 and VEGF [59].

The anabolic–catabolic balance is under the influence of a complex network of signals that regulate tissue homeostasis [2]. Catabolic activity is dramatically increased in the presence of OA [60]. Because of their low rate of turnover, chondrocytes are not able to substitute for loss of ECM [12].

Stem-cell potential in OA

Stem cells are the foundation cells for every organ, tissue and cell in the body [61]. They may be thought of as a blank microchip that can ultimately be programmed to perform any number of specialized tasks. Moreover, stem cells are self-sustaining and can replicate themselves for long periods of time [61].

Humans originate from the totipotent stem cell, the fertilized egg, through the process of cell proliferation and differentiation [4]. The fertilized egg divides and gives rise to pluripotent embryonic stem cells that can differentiate into any of the three germ layers: ectoderm, mesoderm and endoderm [4]. During embryonic development, stem cells become specialized with loss of self-renewal potential, which makes the pool of terminally differentiated cells with specific functions unable to be renewed [62, 63]. There are many specific stem cells referred to 'adult' or 'somatic' stem cells that are present in adult tissues [62, 63]. They are already specialized and produce some or all of the mature cell types within a particular tissue or organ where they reside [62–64].

The ability to obtain cells with proliferation and differentiation potential without sacrificing potential human life is a highly popular and hopeful tool for modern day researchers [62]. Originally, Friedenstein *et al.* discovered that a specified number of fibroblastoid cells isolated from bone marrow have the capacity to form colonies *in vitro* and under appropriate stimulating environmental conditions, small aggregates of bone and cartilage [65, 66]. These cells, known as MSC, have the capacity to differentiate into fibroblasts, adipocytes, osteoblasts, chondrocytes and other mesenchymal tissues [67, 68]. Although the bearing of these cells in bone marrow has been proven [69, 70], further studies confirmed their presence in other tissues. However, even when isolated by density-gradient fractionation, MSCs remain a heterogeneous mixture of cells with varying proliferation and differentiation potentials [71].

It is generally agreed that adult human MSCs do not express the hematopoietic markers CD45, CD34, CD19, CD79a, CD14 or CD11 [67, 72] and co-stimulatory molecules CD80, CD86, CD40 or the adhesion molecules CD31 (platelet/endothelial cell adhesion molecule [PECAM]-1), CD18 (leucocyte function-associated antigen-1 [LFA-1]), or CD56 (neuronal cell adhesion molecule-1). They generally express CD105 (SH2), CD73 (SH3/4), CD44, CD90 (Thy-1), CD71 and Stro-1 as well as the adhesion molecules CD106 (vascular cell adhesion molecule [VCAM]-1), CD166 (activated leucocyte cell adhesion molecule [ALCAM]), intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1, NOTCH3 (neurogenic locus notch homologue protein 3), ITGA11 (Integrin alpha-11) and CD29 [69, 70, 72–75].

Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine.

MSC potential for cartilage repair

Research in cartilage tissue engineering currently focuses on the use of adult MSCs as an alternative to autologous chondrocytes [76]. Studies on cartilage regeneration with adult MSCs demonstrate that bone marrow, adipose and synovial-derived MSCs are most commonly used [4]. Most of these pre-clinical studies have been performed in rabbit models treated with MSCs combined with appropriated scaffold materials and environmental factors [77-80]. Ovine MSCs have been isolated from bone marrow, expanded, characterized and injected with transforming growth factor (TGF) B3 in a fibrin clot [81]. Ovine MSCs have been shown to display the three main characteristics of MSC adherence to plastic, phenotypic profile (positive for CD44, CD105, vimentin and negative for CD34 and CD45), and trilineage differentiation potential [81]. Two months after implantation, histological analysis revealed chondrocyte-like cells surrounded by a hyaline-like cartilaginous matrix that was integrated to host cartilage [81]. Another study showed that a matrix seeded with autologous cells in combination with MSC was able to facilitate regeneration of hyaline-like cartilage [82].

Herein, it is important to note that OA induced by trauma is different from cartilage degradation during pathogenesis of OA. Trauma is one of the causes leading to development of OA. OA generally develops by disturbing the mechanical and biological events that progressively destabilize the balance between synthesis and degradation of cartilage and subchondral bone. Although cartilage degeneration is not homogenous, misbalance in degeneration and synthesis is widely present in OA cartilage at advanced stages when OA is generally diagnosed. Unfortunately, at this stage of the disease, there appears to be little healthy cartilage available. In contrast, experimental OA induced by mechanical trauma represents damaged cartilage surrounded by healthy cartilage tissue. Healthy cartilage may interact differently with MSC construct then damage tissue. For that reason, cell-based cartilage repair has to be performed on the OA experimental model, which is more similar to OA in human. One of the widely supported methods which results in pathological changes resembling those seen in human is OA induction by chemical component, monosodium iodoacetate.

In human studies, autologous bone marrow stromal cells embedded in a collagen gel were transplanted into articular cartilage defects and covered with autologous periosteum [79, 80, 83-86]. Six weeks after transplantation, arthroscopic and histological grading scores were better in the cell-transplanted group than in the cell-free control group [83]. The defects were filled with a hyaline-like type of cartilage tissue, which stained positively with Safranin-O [86] and clinical symptoms (pain and walking ability) had improved significantly [84]. One year following arthroscopy [79], histological [85] analysis showed that the defects were repaired with fibrocartilage. A comparative study of autologous BMSC versus autologous chondrocyte implantation showed that patients younger than 45 scored significantly better than patients older than 45 in the autologus chondrocyte group [87]. However, age did not make a difference in outcome in the BMSC group [87]. This finding may be because of age effects on chondrocyte molecular pathways that are involved in regulation of cell activity [17].

Even though BMSC are commonly used to treat cartilage defects, it is argued that harvesting bone marrow is a painful procedure with donor site morbidity and risk of wound infection and sepsis [4]. For this reason, adipose-derived stem cells (ASCs) obtained from liposuction waste have also been used. Results have confirmed their potential for chondrogenesis, osteogenesis, adipogenesis, myogenesis and some aspects of neurogenesis [88]. Yet, there is no clinically approved cell-based strategy for treatment of OA-based cartilage lesions available for humans yet in Europe.

Chondrogenesis of human adipose-derived stem cells has shown significantly higher expression of chondrogenic markers after 1 week under appropriate conditions [89]. However, a significantly elevated expression of collagen type X, a marker of chondrocyte hypertrophy, was observed after 3 weeks of chondrogenic induction [89]. This indicates that the regulation of cellular activity by growth factors, scaffolds and even gene therapy merits further investigation.

Another potential source for clinical application is synoviumderived stem cells. This was confirmed by comparison of MSC from five different sources; bone marrow, synovium, skeletal muscle, periosteum and adipose tissue [90]. Synovium-derived cells showed high proliferation, chondrogenesis, adipogenesis and osteogenesis potential similar to bone marrow stem cells [91]. Moreover, the pellets derived from synovium were heavier than those from other tissues, because of their higher secretion of cartilage matrix [90, 92].

In the animal study, Li *et al.* reported that human MSC-seeded constructs produced better repair of the cartilage defects compared with the chondrocyte-seeded constructs [93]. However, more recently, Tay *et al.* (2012) observed that MSC-seeded constructs regenerated hyaline cartilage-like tissue and restored a smooth cartilage surface, while the chondrocyte-seeded constructs produced mostly fibrocartilage-like tissue with a discontinuous superficial cartilage contour [94].

MSC regulation

Major potential cartilage regeneration sources involve bone marrow, adipose and synovial tissue [4] with each tissue necessitating a specific isolation procedure [61]. Bone marrow-MSC are directly aspirated into a syringe from bone shafts, whereas adipose-derived MSCs require enzymatic digestion [61]. Subsequently, MSCs proliferate to obtain the cell reservoir [61]. To promote chondrogenic differentiation, the expanded MSCs need to be further cultured in micromass or in scaffold materials, such as polymers, alginate beads, collagen sponges or hydrogels and microspheres [95]. In addition, growth factors loading on MSCs complex enable expression of chondrocyte markers [13, 54, 96-98]. For hyaline cartilage in vivo, hypoxic conditions seem to be the logical choice to stimulate chondrogenesis [99-1031. It has been shown that hypoxia induces expression of crucial genes for cartilage formation like SOX9, SOX6 and SOX5 as well as secretion of ECM molecules typical for hyaline cartilage [13, 99-103]. To date, these components provide MSC chondrogenesis, except that this process should be stopped at the pre-hypertrophic stage similar to condrocytes in hyaline cartilage [104]. This is a crucial step because of the different molecular patterns in chondrocytes and hypertrophic chondrocytes, which provide different bio-mechanical characteristics [105].

Chondroprogenitor cells

Chondroprogenitor potential

One more potential source of MSC and progenitors for cartilage repair is cartilage itself [106]. Even if the identification, characterization and molecular background of the resident cartilage cells are still quite unknown and unexplored, there is convincing evidence that these cells possess a proliferative and differentiation potential [106–109]. For phenotype identification, these cells are subjected to the procedure of isolation, expansion, identification and differentiation similar to the other cell sources used in cartilage repair [107–110]. They are also more prevalent in OA cartilage than in 'normal' cartilage.

Cells isolated from the surface zone of articular cartilage, which exhibit high affinity for fibronectin, possess a high colony-forming efficiency and express the cell fate selector gene Notch-1 and MSC markers, CD105 and CD166 [107-109]. Moreover, these cells have the capacity to differentiate into cartilage in 3-D pellet cultures [111]. These findings indicate that multipotential mesenchymal progenitor cells are present in articular cartilage [108]. Furthermore, chondroprogenitor cells show high telomerase activity and maintenance of telomere length [112]. In the comparison of equine articular cartilage progenitor cells (ACPCs) and bone marrow-derived stromal cells (BMSCs), both sources express cell fate selector gene (Notch-1) and the putative stem-cell markers (Stro-1, CD90, CD166) [110]. However, chondrogenic induction of BMSCs produces hypertrophic cartilage with positive staining for collagen type X. Conversely, collagen type X was not detected in ACPC [110]. Furthermore, the OA cartilage cells were double-positive for CD105 and CD166. Yet, no signs of hypertrophic chondrocytes and osteogenesis were observed in the chondrogenic micromass cultures after 3 weeks [113]. Mesenchymal stem-cell differentiation into hypertrophic cartilage is the major limitation in hyaline functional cartilage production [104]. ACPCs may therefore be considered superior to MSCs from other tissues in cartilage repair [110, 113–115]. In studies, cells positive for markers that have been identified in MSC (CD9+/CD90+/CD166+), CD105+/CD166+ [113] and Notch-1⁺/Stro-1⁺ [114]) were capable of differentiating in chondrocytes and formed cartilage tissue in micromass pellet cultures. These results indicate the opportunity for using OA cartilage as a potential source of cells with cartilage-forming potential. Yet, further investigations are required to explore chondrogenesis regulation in vitro.

Chondrogenesis

Chondrogenesis is a complex process that is initiated by mesenchymal stem cells crowding and condensing on the bone-forming site, followed by maturation into terminally differentiated chondrocytes [116]. This pathway is accompanied by stage-specific ECM production, synchronized by cellular interactions with the matrix, growth and differentiation factors [117]. The latter initiate or suppress cellular signalling pathways and transcription of specific genes in a spatiotemporal manner [117]. Initially, MSCs express adhesion molecules including N-cadherin, N-CAM (Ncam1), tenascin C (Tnc) and versican, which are involved in the compaction and condensation of MSCs regulated by different BMP factors [118]. Through progression of the condensation process, MSCs begin as mesenchymal and condensation markers to express early cartilage markers [Collagen II type (Col 2a1), aggrecan (Agc) and FGF receptor 3 (Fgfr3)] leading to the prechondrocyte cell stage of chondrogenesis [119]. Sox 9 is the major transcriptional factor responsible for mesenchymal cell dedication and pre-chondrocyte and chondroblast differentiation [119, 120]. It is turned on in chondrogenic/osteogenic mesenchymal cells prior to condensation and remains highly expressed in prechondrocytes/ chondroblasts stages and off when the cells undergo pre-hypertrophy [119]. When combined with other transcriptional factors such as Pax/ Nkx/Barx2, Sox 9 permits formation of chondrocytes over the osteocyte lineage by negative regulation of Runx2 (Cbfa1) as a domain transcriptional factor required for osteoblast differentiation [121, 119]. There are two other Sox family members Sox 5 and Sox 6, coexpressed and regulated by Sox 9, that play a significant role in activation of cartilage-specific genes: type II, IX and XI collagen, aggrecan and cartilage oligomeric matrix protein [120, 122]. To reveal the role and spatio-temporal expression of Sox5 and Sox 6, several studies have focused on Sox5; Sox6 single and double null mice. Single gene deletion results in moderate skeletal abnormalities, while double null animals die of severe systemic chondrodysplasia, indicating the importance of the simultaneous function of these two genes [122]. On the other hand, double mutants failed to undergo proper chondroblast differentiation and poorly express essential cartilage ECM components with long delay in initiation of chondroblast proliferation accompanied by general cartilage matrix deficiency [122]. The maintenance of low levels of specific cartilage markers in double mutants is sustained by normal Sox 9 expression [122]. This implies that synchronized action of Sox 5, 6 and 9 trios is required to maintain sufficient ECM component expression and normal matrix composition. Furthermore, these genes, when combined together, are able to suppress expression of hypertrophic and osteogenic differentiation at the same time [119]. Progression through chondrocyte maturation to hypertrophic chondrocytes is repressed by Sox 9 modulation of the Wnt/beta-catenin signalling pathway with beta-catenin degradation or inhibition of beta-catenin transcriptional activity without affecting its stability [123]. In addition, Sox 5 and Sox 6 delay chondrocyte hypertrophy by down-regulating lhh signalling. Fgfr3, and Runx2 and up-regulating Bmp6 [119]. Further maturation of chondrocytes is essential for the final remodelling of the cartilage into bone. Chondrocytes achieve this maturation through up-regulation of Runx 2, inducing chondrocyte hypertrophy and positive control by BMPs and MMP13 [124, 125]. During the transition from pre-hypertrophic to the hypertrophic phase, chondrocyte expression of early chondrogenesis and hyaline ECM components is replaced by collagen X type [119]. Later, hypertrophic and terminal chondrocytes express angiogenic factors, including VEGF, which provide the genesis for vascularization and formation of primary ossification centres within osteoblasts, osteocytes and haematopoietic cells [126]. Equally, terminal chondroytes undergo apoptosis by release of collagen types X and I and mineralization of the ECM [116]. Contrary to growth plate chondrogenesis, normal articular chondrocytes never undergo hypertrophic differentiation, except at the tidemark [119].

Role of growth factors in cartilage repair

Chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs is induced by various intrinsic and extrinsic factors [71]. Growth factors play the most important role in this process [71]. They represent a group of biologically active polypeptides produced by the body that can stimulate cell proliferation and differentiation [96]. A large number of these growth factors such as the TGF- β superfamily, insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) and fibroblast growth factor (FGFs) regulate cartilage homoeostasis and integrity as well as its development [4, 96].

It has been shown that the TGF-B superfamily plays an important role in promoting chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation [127]. TGF-B1, TGFB3, BMP-2,-4,-6,-7 are the most examined members of the TGF- β superfamily ([54, 97, 128, 129]. In vivo animal studies of cartilage repair showed improved chondrocyte morphology, integration and a much thicker newly formed cartilage layer after treatment with TGF-B1 [128]. Porcine MSCs encapsulated in agarose hydrogels after treatment with TGF-B3 increase the sulphated glycosaminoglycans in surrounding culture media, highlighting their role in cartilage ECM anabolism [97]. Bone morphogenetic proteins are homodimeric molecules that belong to the TGF-B superfamily [96]. Their role is crucial to both chondrogenesis and osteogenesis [96]. Acting synergistically with TGF-B-1 and 3, BMPs induce proteoglycan synthesis in articular cartilage [4, 130]. Furthermore, the expression of some BMPs and their membrane receptors is significantly decreased in patients with OA compared with normal human cartilage [55]. This could explain ECM destruction in patients with OA.

Investigative therapy for OA patients using autologus chondrocytes has shown exciting promise after BMP supplementation [131]. The main problem of autologous chondrocyte transplantation therapy is cell differentiation after several passages in cell culture [132]. This change is characterized by an increased expression of type I collagen and a decrease in type II collagen [132]. After BMP-2 loading in 3-D autologus chondrocyte culture, expression of collagen type II was significantly higher [131]. It has been reported that BMP-7 (also known as osteogenic protein-1) has strong anabolic activity in cartilage formation [133].

It has been shown that local delivery of BMP-4 by genetically engineered MDSCs enhanced chondrogenesis in rats [134]. To estimate the duration and effect of transgene expression in rat models, histological and macroscopic observation confirmed the expression of type II collagen 4 weeks after surgery [134]. Moreover, after 24 weeks, animals treated with BMP-4 showed significantly better cartilage repair than untreated animals [134]. It has been shown that TGF- β 1 did not provide any additive effect on cartilage repair [134]. Nevertheless, better results were obtained in chondrogenesis of MSC when TGF- β 1, IGF-1, BMP-2 and BMP-7 were combined [135].

Fibroblast growth factors are a large family of polypeptide growth factors found in organisms ranging from nematodes to humans [136]. FGF receptors (FGFRs) exist as a gene family of 4 membranebound receptor tyrosine kinases (FGFR1-4) that mediate signals of at least 22 fibroblast growth factors (FGF1-22) [137]. FGFs/FGFRs play important roles in multiple biological processes, including mesoderm induction and patterning, cell growth and migration, organ formation and bone growth [137]. Mutations in FGFRs are the aetiology of many craniosynostosis and chondrodysplasia syndromes in humans [138]. The phenotypes of these mutations in animal models have confirmed the role of FGF signalling in both endochondral and intramembranous bone development [138].

FGFR1-3 are expressed during MSC chondrogenesis in embryonic limb development, but not in mature hyaline chondrocytes [139]. The different stages of expression are a potential tool in controlling chondrogenic differentiation [139]. In FGFR3(-/-) MSC culture after loading with FGF-18, type II collagen and proteoglycan decreased, suggesting FGF18 as a selective ligand for FGFR3 [140]. In a rat study of weekly intra-articular cartilage injection for 3 weeks, FGF-18induced a dose-dependent increase in cartilage thickness of the tibial plateau [140]. Another member of the FGF family frequently cited is FGF-2 [141, 142]. An increase in glycosaminoglycan and collagen type II depends on the amount of FGF-2 loaded on MSC culture in chondrogenic medium [141]. Similar to treatment with other growth factors included in stimulation of cartilage repair in vivo, FGF-2 has shown promising results in an equine model [142]. Overall, growth factors appear to be one of the main components in improving clinical cartilage regeneration, but they must be precisely combined and loaded on appropriate scaffold materials to simulate the conditions and 3-D structure most similar to the in vivo condition.

Conclusion

Based on self-repair and multilineage potentials, MSCs provide hyaline cartilage regeneration opportunities. Studies on cartilage regeneration with adult MSCs have shown that bone marrow-derived MSCs are the most commonly used cell type to address cartilage regeneration. However, although short-term results appear satisfactory, 'hypertrophic chondrocyte' and fibrocartilage formation emerge thereafter with hypertrophically differentiated MSC. Note that fibrocartilage provides a molecular pattern (type I and II collagens, aggrecan, IL-1 β and activin-like kinase-1) secreted by hypertrophic chondrocytes, leading to different biomechanical characteristics compared with hyaline cartilage.

Furthermore, harvesting bone marrow is a painful procedure with donor site morbidity and risk of wound infection and sepsis. Hence, both ASCs and synovium-derived stem cells have been considered as alternatives. However, results using these two cell lines have been similar to those obtained employing the bone marrow approach. In fact, although a high expression of chondrogenic markers was initially obtained, they appear to be expressed as collagen type X, confirming the presence of hypertrophy. Therefore, further investigations into the regulation of cellular activity by growth factors, scaffolds and even gene therapy remain viable options. Recently, one more potential source of MSC and progenitors for cartilage repair engineering from the cartilage itself has been tested. Cells isolated from the surface zone of articular cartilage have the capacity to differentiate into cartilage in 3-D pellet culture. Moreover, no signs of hypertrophic chondrocytes and osteogenesis

were observed. Therefore, ACPCs could be considered more adequate than MSCs from other tissues in cartilage repair.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Hayashi D, Guermazi A, Hunter DJ. Osteoarthritis year 2010 in review: imaging. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2011: 19: 354–60.
- Mueller MB, Tuan RS. Anabolic/Catabolic balance in pathogenesis of osteoarthritis: identifying molecular targets. *PM R.* 2011; 3: S3–11.
- Lorenz H, Richter W. Osteoarthritis: cellular and molecular changes in degenerating cartilage. *Prog Histochem Cytochem*. 2006; 40: 135–63.
- Khan WS, Johnson DS, Hardingham TE. The potential of stem cells in the treatment of knee cartilage defects. *Knee.* 2010; 17: 369–74.
- 5. **Newman AP.** Articular cartilage repair. *Am J Sports Med.* 1998; 26: 309–24.
- Ishiguro N, Kojima T, Poole AR. Mechanism of cartilage destruction in osteoarthritis. Nagoya J Med Sci. 2002; 65: 73–84.
- Felson DT, Lawrence RC, Dieppe PA, et al. Osteoarthritis: new insights. Part 1: the disease and its risk factors. Ann Intern Med. 2000; 133: 635–46.
- Goldring MB. Chondrogenesis, chondrocyte differentiation, and articular cartilage metabolism in health and osteoarthritis. *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis.* 2012; 4: 269– 85.
- Khan IM, Gilbert SJ, Singhrao SK, et al. Cartilage integration: evaluation of the reasons for failure of integration during cartilage repair. A review. Eur Cell Mater. 2008; 16: 26–39.
- Jiang YZ, Zhang SF, Qi YY, et al. Cell transplantation for articular cartilage defects: principles of past, present, and future practice. *Cell Transplant.* 2011; 20: 593–607.
- Widuchowski W, Widuchowski J, Trzaska T. Articular cartilage defects: study of 25,124 knee arthroscopies. *Knee*. 2007; 14: 177–82.
- Wang M, Shen J, Jin H, et al. Recent progress in understanding molecular mechanisms of cartilage degeneration during osteoarthritis. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2011; 1240: 61–9.

- Freyria AM, Mallein-Gerin F. Chondrocytes or adult stem cells for cartilage repair: the indisputable role of growth factors. *Injury*. 2012; 43: 259–65.
- Becerra J, Andrades JA, Guerado E, et al. Articular cartilage: structure and regeneration. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev.* 2010; 16: 617–27.
- Poole AR, Kojima T, Yasuda T, et al. Composition and structure of articular cartilage: a template for tissue repair. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2001; S26–33.
- Poole CA. Articular cartilage chondrons: form, function and failure. J Anat. 1997; 191: 1–13.
- Pearle AD, Warren RF, Rodeo SA. Basic science of articular cartilage and osteoarthritis. *Clin Sports Med.* 2005; 24: 1–12.
- Eyre DR. Collagens and cartilage matrix homeostasis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2004; 427: S118–22.
- Dijkgraaf LC, de Bont LG, Boering G, et al. Normal cartilage structure, biochemistry, and metabolism: a review of the literature. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1995; 53: 924–9.
- Hashimoto M, Nakasa T, Hikata T, et al. Molecular network of cartilage homeostasis and osteoarthritis. *Med Res Rev.* 2008; 28: 464–81.
- Birkedal-Hansen H, Moore WG, Bodden MK, et al. Matrix metalloproteinases: a review. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med. 1993; 4: 197–250.
- 22. Goldring MB, Goldring SR. Osteoarthritis. *J Cell Physiol.* 2007; 213: 626–34.
- Goldring MB, Marcu KB. Cartilage homeostasis in health and rheumatic diseases. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2009; 11: 224.
- Goldring MB, Goldring SR. Articular cartilage and subchondral bone in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2010; 1192: 230–7.
- Murphy G, Nagase H. Reappraising metalloproteinases in rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis: destruction or repair? Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol. 2008; 4: 128–35.
- 26. **Reboul P, Pelletier JP, Tardif G, et al.** The new collagenase, collagenase-3, is

expressed and synthesized by human chondrocytes but not by synoviocytes. A role in osteoarthritis. *J Clin Invest.* 1996; 97: 2011–9.

- Neuhold LA, Killar L, Zhao W, et al. Postnatal expression in hyaline cartilage of constitutively active human collagenase-3 (MMP-13) induces osteoarthritis in mice. *J Clin Invest.* 2001; 107: 35–44.
- Burrage PS, Mix KS, Brinckerhoff CE. Matrix metalloproteinases: role in arthritis. *Front Biosci.* 2006; 11: 529–43.
- Lin PM, Chen CT, Torzilli PA. Increased stromelysin-1 (MMP-3), proteoglycan degradation (3B3- and 7D4) and collagen damage in cyclically load-injured articular cartilage. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2004; 12: 485–96.
- Imai K, Ohta S, Matsumoto T, et al. Expression of membrane-type 1 matrix metalloproteinase and activation of progelatinase A in human osteoarthritic cartilage. Am J Pathol. 1997; 151: 245–56.
- Buttner FH, Chubinskaya S, Margerie D, et al. Expression of membrane type 1 matrix metalloproteinase in human articular cartilage. Arthritis Rheum. 1997; 40: 704–9.
- Vincenti MP, Brinckerhoff CE. Transcriptional regulation of collagenase (MMP-1, MMP-13) genes in arthritis: integration of complex signaling pathways for the recruitment of gene-specific transcription factors. *Arthritis Res.* 2002; 4: 157–64.
- Roach HI, Yamada N, Cheung KS, et al. Association between the abnormal expression of matrix-degrading enzymes by human osteoarthritic chondrocytes and demethylation of specific CpG sites in the promoter regions. Arthritis Rheum. 2005; 52: 3110–24.
- Fukui N, Miyamoto Y, Nakajima M, et al. Zonal gene expression of chondrocytes in osteoarthritic cartilage. Arthritis Rheum. 2008; 58: 3843–53.
- Huang K, Wu LD. Aggrecanase and aggrecan degradation in osteoarthritis: a review. *J Int Med Res.* 2008; 36: 1149–60.

- Verma P, Dalal K. ADAMTS-4 and ADAM-TS-5: key enzymes in osteoarthritis. J Cell Biochem. 2011; 112: 3507–14.
- Glasson SS, Askew R, Sheppard B, et al. Characterization of and osteoarthritis susceptibility in ADAMTS-4-knockout mice. Arthritis Rheum. 2004; 50: 2547–58.
- Glasson SS, Askew R, Sheppard B, et al. Deletion of active ADAMTS5 prevents cartilage degradation in a murine model of osteoarthritis. *Nature*. 2005; 434: 644–8.
- Song RH, Tortorella MD, Malfait AM, et al. Aggrecan degradation in human articular cartilage explants is mediated by both ADAMTS-4 and ADAMTS-5. Arthritis Rheum. 2007; 56: 575–85.
- Berenbaum F. Osteoarthritis as an inflammatory disease (osteoarthritis is not osteoarthrosis!). Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2013; 21: 16–21.
- Tetiow LC, Adlam DJ, Woolley DE. Matrix metalloproteinase and proinflammatory cytokine production by chondrocytes of human osteoarthritic cartilage: associations with degenerative changes. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2001; 44: 585–94.
- Farahat MN, Yanni G, Poston R, et al. Cytokine expression in synovial membranes of patients with rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 1993; 52: 870–5
- Munoz-Valle JF, Oregon-Romero E, Rangel-Villalobos H, et al. High expression of TNF alpha is associated with -308 and -238 TNF alpha polymorphisms in knee osteoarthritis. Clin Exp Med. 2012; 14: 61–7.
- Pelletier JP, Martel-Pelletier J. Evidence for the involvement of interleukin 1 in human osteoarthritic cartilage degradation: protective effect of NSAID. J Rheumatol Suppl. 1989; 18: 19–27.
- Wood DD, Ihrie EJ, Dinarello CA, et al. Isolation of an interleukin-1-like factor from human joint effusions. Arthritis Rheum. 1983; 26: 975–83.
- O'Byrne EM, Blancuzzi V, Wilson DE, et al. Elevated substance P and accelerated cartilage degradation in rabbit knees injected with interleukin-1 and tumor necrosis factor. Arthritis Rheum. 1990; 33: 1023–8.
- Martel-Pelletier J, McCollum R, DiBattista J, et al. The interleukin-1 receptor in normal and osteoarthritic human articular chondrocytes. Identification as the type I receptor and analysis of binding kinetics and biologic function. Arthritis Rheum. 1992; 35: 530–40.

- Pelletier JP, Caron JP, Evans C, et al. In vivo suppression of early experimental osteoarthritis by interleukin-1 receptor antagonist using gene therapy. Arthritis Rheum. 1997; 40: 1012–9.
- Caron JP, Fernandes JC, Martel-Pelletier J, et al. Chondroprotective effect of intraarticular injections of interleukin-1 receptor antagonist in experimental osteoarthritis. Suppression of collagenase-1 expression. Arthritis Rheum. 1996; 39: 1535–44.
- Fernandes J, Tardif G, Martel-Pelletier J, et al. In vivo transfer of interleukin-1 receptor antagonist gene in osteoarthritic rabbit knee joints: prevention of osteoarthritis progression. Am J Pathol. 1999; 154: 1159–69.
- Goldring MB, Berenbaum F. Human chondrocyte culture models for studying cyclooxygenase expression and prostaglandin regulation of collagen gene expression. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 1999; 7: 386–8.
- Goldring MB, Suen LF, Yamin R, et al. Regulation of collagen gene expression by prostaglandins and interleukin-1beta in cultured chondrocytes and fibroblasts. Am J Ther. 1996; 3: 9–16.
- Fukui N, Zhu Y, Maloney WJ, et al. Stimulation of BMP-2 expression by pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1 and TNF-alpha in normal and osteoarthritic chondrocytes. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2003; 85-A(Suppl 3): 59–66.
- Chubinskaya S, Segalite D, Pikovsky D, et al. Effects induced by BMPS in cultures of human articular chondrocytes: comparative studies. Growth Factors. 2008; 26: 275–83.
- Bobinac D, Spanjol J, Marinovic M, et al. Expression of bone morphogenetic proteins, cartilage-derived morphogenetic proteins and related receptors in normal and osteoarthritic human articular cartilage. *Coll Antropol.* 2008; 32(Suppl 2): 83–7.
- van der Kraan PM, Blaney Davidson EN, van den Berg WB. Bone morphogenetic proteins and articular cartilage: to serve and protect or a wolf in sheep clothing's? Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2010; 18: 735–41.
- Sitcheran R, Cogswell PC, Baldwin AS, Jr. NF-kappaB mediates inhibition of mesenchymal cell differentiation through a posttranscriptional gene silencing mechanism. *Genes Dev.* 2003; 17: 2368–73.
- Schroeppel JP, Crist JD, Anderson HC, et al. Molecular regulation of articular chondrocyte function and its significance in osteoarthritis. *Histol Histopathol.* 2011; 26: 377–94.

- Yang S, Kim J, Ryu JH, *et al.* Hypoxiainducible factor-2alpha is a catabolic regulator of osteoarthritic cartilage destruction. *Nat Med.* 2010; 16: 687–93.
- Madry H, Luyten FP, Facchini A. Biological aspects of early osteoarthritis. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2012; 20: 407– 22.
- 61. Longo UG, Petrillo S, Franceschetti E, et al. Stem cells and gene therapy for cartilage repair. Stem Cells Int. 2012; 2012: 168385.
- 62. **Sowaimel LA, Parry D.** *Stem cells an interactive qualifying project report.* Worcester Polytechnic Institute, 2008.
- 63. **ISSCR**. *Stem cell facts*. Research ISfSC; 2011.
- Tuan RS, Boland G, Tuli R. Adult mesenchymal stem cells and cell-based tissue engineering. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2003; 5: 32–45.
- Friedenstein AJ. Stromal mechanisms of bone marrow: cloning *in vitro* and retransplantation *in vivo. Haematol Blood Transfus.* 1980; 25: 19–29.
- Friedenstein AJ, Chailakhjan RK, Lalykina KS. The development of fibroblast colonies in monolayer cultures of guinea-pig bone marrow and spleen cells. *Cell Tissue Kinet.* 1970; 3: 393–403.
- Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC, et al. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science*. 1999; 284: 143–7.
- Liu ZJ, Zhuge Y, Velazquez OC. Trafficking and differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells. J Cell Biochem. 2009; 106: 984–91.
- Delorme B, Ringe J, Gallay N, et al. Specific plasma membrane protein phenotype of culture-amplified and native human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *Blood.* 2008; 111: 2631–5.
- Simmons PJ, Torok-Storb B. Identification of stromal cell precursors in human bone marrow by a novel monoclonal antibody, STRO-1. *Blood.* 1991; 78: 55–62.
- Kolf CM, Cho E, Tuan RS. Mesenchymal stromal cells. Biology of adult mesenchymal stem cells: regulation of niche, selfrenewal and differentiation. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2007; 9: 204.
- Dominici M, Le Blanc K, Mueller I, et al. Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy position statement. *Cytotherapy*. 2006; 8: 315–7.
- Halfon S, Abramov N, Grinblat B, et al. Markers distinguishing mesenchymal stem cells from fibroblasts are downregulated

with passaging. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2011; 20: 53–66.

- Rozemuller H, Prins HJ, Naaijkens B, et al. Prospective isolation of mesenchymal stem cells from multiple mammalian species using cross-reacting anti-human monoclonal antibodies. Stem Cells Dev. 2010; 19: 1911–21.
- De Schauwer C, Meyer E, Van de Walle GR, et al. Markers of stemness in equine mesenchymal stem cells: a plea for uniformity. Theriogenology. 2011; 75: 1431–43.
- Ronziere MC, Perrier E, Mallein-Gerin F, et al. Chondrogenic potential of bone marrow- and adipose tissue-derived adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Biomed Mater Eng.* 2010; 20: 145–58.
- Chang F, Ishii T, Yanai T, et al. Repair of large full-thickness articular cartilage defects by transplantation of autologous uncultured bone-marrow-derived mononuclear cells. J Orthop Res. 2008; 26: 18–26.
- Yan H, Yu C. Repair of full-thickness cartilage defects with cells of different origin in a rabbit model. *Arthroscopy.* 2007; 23: 178–87.
- Bai T, Shu J, Wang J, *et al.* [Experimental research on repair of rabbit articular cartilage defects with composite of autologous cell-carriers]. *Zhongguo Xiu Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi.* 2008; 22: 487–91.
- Wakitani S, Goto T, Pineda SJ, et al. Mesenchymal cell-based repair of large, full-thickness defects of articular cartilage. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1994; 76: 579–92.
- Mrugala D, Bony C, Neves N, et al. Phenotypic and functional characterisation of ovine mesenchymal stem cells: application to a cartilage defect model. Ann Rheum Dis. 2008; 67: 288–95.
- Dorotka R, Windberger U, Macfelda K, et al. Repair of articular cartilage defects treated by microfracture and a threedimensional collagen matrix. *Biomaterials*. 2005; 26: 3617–29.
- Wakitani S, Imoto K, Yamamoto T, et al. Human autologous culture expanded bone marrow mesenchymal cell transplantation for repair of cartilage defects in osteoarthritic knees. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2002; 10: 199–206.
- Wakitani S, Mitsuoka T, Nakamura N, et al. Autologous bone marrow stromal cell transplantation for repair of full-thickness articular cartilage defects in human patellae: two case reports. *Cell Transplant*. 2004; 13: 595–600.
- Wakitani S, Nawata M, Tensho K, et al. Repair of articular cartilage defects in the patello-femoral joint with autologous bone

marrow mesenchymal cell transplantation: three case reports involving nine defects in five knees. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med.* 2007; 1: 74–9.

- Kuroda R, Ishida K, Matsumoto T, et al. Treatment of a full-thickness articular cartilage defect in the femoral condyle of an athlete with autologous bone-marrow stromal cells. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2007; 15: 226–31.
- Nejadnik H, Hui JH, Feng Choong EP, et al. Autologous bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells versus autologous chondrocyte implantation: an observational cohort study. Am J Sports Med. 2010; 38: 1110–6.
- Estes BT, Diekman BO, Gimble JM, et al. Isolation of adipose-derived stem cells and their induction to a chondrogenic phenotype. Nat Protoc. 2010; 5: 1294–311.
- Hamid AA, Idrus RB, Saim AB, et al. Characterization of human adiposederived stem cells and expression of chondrogenic genes during induction of cartilage differentiation. *Clinics* 2012; 67: 99–106.
- Yoshimura H, Muneta T, Nimura A, et al. Comparison of rat mesenchymal stem cells derived from bone marrow, synovium, periosteum, adipose tissue, and muscle. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2007; 327: 449–62.
- Sakaguchi Y, Sekiya I, Yagishita K, et al. Comparison of human stem cells derived from various mesenchymal tissues: superiority of synovium as a cell source. Arthritis Rheum. 2005; 52: 2521–9.
- Shirasawa S, Sekiya I, Sakaguchi Y, et al. In vitro chondrogenesis of human synovium-derived mesenchymal stem cells: optimal condition and comparison with bone marrow-derived cells. J Cell Biochem. 2006; 97: 84–97.
- Li WJ, Chiang H, Kuo TF, et al. Evaluation of articular cartilage repair using biodegradable nanofibrous scaffolds in a swine model: a pilot study. J Tissue Eng Regen Med. 2009; 3: 1–10.
- Tay LX, Ahmad RE, Dashtdar H, et al. Treatment outcomes of alginate-embedded allogenic mesenchymal stem cells versus autologous chondrocytes for the repair of focal articular cartilage defects in a rabbit model. Am J Sports Med. 2012; 40: 83–90.
- Ishii I, Mizuta H, Sei A, et al. Healing of full-thickness defects of the articular cartilage in rabbits using fibroblast growth factor-2 and a fibrin sealant. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2007; 89: 693–700.
- 96. **Danisovic L, Varga I, Polak S.** Growth factors and chondrogenic differentiation of

mesenchymal stem cells. *Tissue Cell*. 2012; 44: 69–73.

- Thorpe SD, Buckley CT, Vinardell T, et al. The response of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells to dynamic compression following TGF-beta3 induced chondrogenic differentiation. Ann Biomed Eng. 2010; 38: 2896–909.
- Sekiya I, Larson BL, Vuoristo JT, et al. Comparison of effect of BMP-2, -4, and -6 on *in vitro* cartilage formation of human adult stem cells from bone marrow stroma. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2005; 320: 269–76.
- Markway BD, Tan GK, Brooke G, et al. Enhanced chondrogenic differentiation of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in low oxygen environment micropellet cultures. *Cell Transplant*. 2010; 19: 29–42.
- 100. Khan WS, Adesida AB, Hardingham TE. Hypoxic conditions increase hypoxiainducible transcription factor 2alpha and enhance chondrogenesis in stem cells from the infrapatellar fat pad of osteoarthritis patients. Arthritis Res Ther. 2007; 9: R55.
- 101. Khan WS, Adesida AB, Tew SR, et al. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells express the pericyte marker 3G5 in culture and show enhanced chondrogenesis in hypoxic conditions. J Orthop Res. 2010; 28: 834–40.
- Merceron C, Vinatier C, Portron S, et al. Differential effects of hypoxia on osteochondrogenic potential of human adiposederived stem cells. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2010; 298: C355–64.
- Murphy CL, Thoms BL, Vaghjiani RJ, et al. Hypoxia. HIF-mediated articular chondrocyte function: prospects for cartilage repair. Arthritis Res Ther. 2009; 11: 213.
- van Osch GJ, Brittberg M, Dennis JE, et al. Cartilage repair: past and future–lessons for regenerative medicine. J Cell Mol Med. 2009; 13: 792–810.
- Pacifici M, Golden EB, Oshima O, et al. Hypertrophic chondrocytes. The terminal stage of differentiation in the chondrogenic cell lineage? Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1990; 599: 45–57.
- 106. O'Sullivan J, D'Arcy S, Barry FP, et al. Mesenchymal chondroprogenitor cell origin and therapeutic potential. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2011; 2: 8.
- Dowthwaite GP, Bishop JC, Redman SN, et al. The surface of articular cartilage contains a progenitor cell population. J Cell Sci. 2004; 117: 889–97.
- 108. **Hiraoka K, Grogan S, Olee T**, *et al.* Mesenchymal progenitor cells in adult human

articular cartilage. *Biorheology*. 2006; 43: 1 447–54.

- Chang HX, Yang L, Li Z, et al. Age-related biological characterization of mesenchymal progenitor cells in human articular cartilage. Orthopedics. 2011; 34: e382–8.
- 110. **McCarthy HE, Bara JJ, Brakspear K, et al.** The comparison of equine articular cartilage progenitor cells and bone marrowderived stromal cells as potential cell sources for cartilage repair in the horse. *Vet J.* 2012; 192: 345–51.
- 111. Khan IM, Bishop JC, Gilbert S, et al. Clonal chondroprogenitors maintain telomerase activity and Sox9 expression during extended monolayer culture and retain chondrogenic potential. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2009; 17: 518–28.
- Williams R, Khan IM, Richardson K, et al. Identification and clonal characterisation of a progenitor cell sub-population in normal human articular cartilage. PLoS ONE. 2010; 5: e13246.
- Alsalameh S, Amin R, Gemba T, et al. Identification of mesenchymal progenitor cells in normal and osteoarthritic human articular cartilage. Arthritis Rheum. 2004; 50: 1522–32.
- 114. **Grogan SP, Miyaki S, Asahara H, et al.** Mesenchymal progenitor cell markers in human articular cartilage: normal distribution and changes in osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2009; 11: R85.
- 115. Fickert S, Fiedler J, Brenner RE. Identification of subpopulations with characteristics of mesenchymal progenitor cells from human osteoarthritic cartilage using triple staining for cell surface markers. Arthritis Res Ther. 2004; 6: R422–32.
- Jenkins G, Kemintz C, Tortora G. Anatomy and physiology – from science to life. 10. 1111/jcmm.12384.
- DeLise AM, Fischer L, Tuan RS. Cellular interactions and signaling in cartilage development. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2000: 8: 309–34.
- Zuscik MJ, Hilton MJ, Zhang X, et al. Regulation of chondrogenesis and chondrocyte differentiation by stress. J Clin Invest. 2008; 118: 429–38.
- Lefebvre V, Smits P. Transcriptional control of chondrocyte fate and differentiation. *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today.* 2005; 75: 200–12.

- 120. Akiyama H, Chaboissier MC, Martin JF, et al. The transcription factor Sox9 has essential roles in successive steps of the chondrocyte differentiation pathway and is required for expression of Sox5 and Sox6. *Genes Dev.* 2002; 16: 2813–28.
- Zhou G, Zheng Q, Engin F, et al. Dominance of SOX9 function over RUNX2 during skeletogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006; 103: 19004–9.
- 122. **Smits P, Li P, Mandel J, et al.** The transcription factors L-Sox5 and Sox6 are essential for cartilage formation. *Dev Cell.* 2001; 1: 277–90.
- Topol L, Chen W, Song H, et al. Sox9 inhibits Wnt signaling by promoting betacatenin phosphorylation in the nucleus. J Biol Chem. 2009; 284: 3323–33.
- Enomoto-Iwamoto M, Enomoto H, Komori T, et al. Participation of Cbfa1 in regulation of chondrocyte maturation. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2001; 9: S76–84.
- Adams SL, Cohen AJ, Lassova L. Integration of signaling pathways regulating chondrocyte differentiation during endochondral 1 bone formation. *J Cell Physiol.* 2007; 213: 635–41.
- 126. Carlevaro MF, Cermelli S, Cancedda R, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in cartilage neovascularization and chondrocyte differentiation: auto-paracrine role during endochondral bone formation. J Cell Sci. 2000: 113(Pt 1): 59–69.
- 127. **Grimaud E, Heymann D, Redini F.** Recent advances in TGF-beta effects on chondrocyte metabolism. Potential therapeutic roles of TGF-beta in cartilage disorders. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2002; 13: 241–57.
- 128. Fan H, Hu Y, Qin L, et al. Porous gelatinchondroitin-hyaluronate tri-copolymer scaffold containing microspheres loaded with TGF-beta1 induces differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells in vivo for enhancing cartilage repair. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2006; 77: 785–94.
- Lafont JE, Talma S, Murphy CL. Hypoxiainducible factor 2alpha is essential for hypoxic induction of the human articular chondrocyte phenotype. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2007; 56: 3297–306.
- Zuk PA, Zhu M, Ashjian P, et al. Human adipose tissue is a source of multipotent stem cells. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2002; 13: 4279– 95.

- 131. Grunder T, Gaissmaier C, Fritz J, et al. Bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-2 enhances the expression of type II collagen and aggrecan in chondrocytes embedded in alginate beads. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2004; 12: 559–67.
- Schnabel M, Marlovits S, Eckhoff G, et al. Dedifferentiation-associated changes in morphology and gene expression in primary human articular chondrocytes in cell culture. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2002; 10: 62–70.
- Chubinskaya S, Hurtig M, Rueger DC. OP-1/BMP-7 in cartilage repair. Int Orthop. 2007; 31: 773–81.
- Kuroda R, Usas A, Kubo S, et al. Cartilage repair using bone morphogenetic protein 4 and muscle-derived stem cells. Arthritis Rheum. 2006; 54: 433–42.
- An C, Cheng Y, Yuan Q, et al. IGF-1 and BMP-2 induces differentiation of adiposederived mesenchymal stem cells into chondrocytes-like cells. Ann Biomed Eng. 2010; 38: 1647–54.
- Ornitz DM, Itoh N. Fibroblast growth factors. *Genome Biol.* 2001; 2: REVIEWS3005.
- Chen L, Deng CX. Roles of FGF signaling in skeletal development and human genetic diseases. *Front Biosci.* 2005; 10: 1961–76.
 Ornitz DM. FGF signaling in the developing endochondral skeleton. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2005; 16: 205–13.
- 139. Hellingman CA, Koevoet W, Kops N, et al. Fibroblast growth factor receptors in *iv vitro* and *in vivo* chondrogenesis: relating tissue engineering using adult mesenchymal stem cells to embryonic development. *Tissue Eng Part A*. 2010; 16: 545–56.
- Davidson D, Blanc A, Filion D, et al. Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 18 signals through FGF receptor 3 to promote chondrogenesis. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280: 20509–15.
- 141. Moore EE, Bendele AM, Thompson DL, et al. Fibroblast growth factor-18 stimulates chondrogenesis and cartilage repair in a rat model of injury-induced osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2005; 13: 623–31.
- 142. Stewart AA, Byron CR, Pondenis H, et al. Effect of fibroblast growth factor-2 on equine mesenchymal stem cell monolayer expansion and chondrogenesis. Am J Vet Res. 2007; 68: 941–5.