Exposure to E-Cigarette Advertising and Its Association With E-Cigarette Use Among Youth and Adolescents in Two Largest Cities in Vietnam 2020

Thi Thanh Huong Le¹, Tu Hoang Le¹, Minh Dat Le² and Tien Thang Nguyen^{2,3,4}

¹Hanoi University of Public Health, Hanoi, Vietnam. ²Vietnam Public Health Association, Hanoi, Vietnam. ³International Livestock Research Institute, Hanoi, Vietnam. ⁴Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: E-cigarette products have become more popular due to the marketing campaigns on various sources but caused adverse health impacts to users, especially adolescents and youths. This paper aims to describe the situation of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements of youth and adolescents living in two cities of Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh in 2020 and identify the associated factors of e-cigarette marketing with e-cigarettes use in these groups.

METHODOLOGY: This was a cross-sectional study. The study participants were 1211 youth and adolescents aged 15-24 living in Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City during the time of data collection (from January 2020 to September 2020). Two primary outcome variables included the ever e-cigarettes use and the intention to use e-cigarettes. Multivariate logistic regression models were used to assess the association between the outcome variables and e-cigarette marketing exposure.

RESULTS: The proportion of participants who ever use e-cigarettes was 7.4% and a proportion of 4.8% have intention to use e-cigarettes. The most popular source of exposure to e-cigarettes advertisements was social network (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, Youtube, etc.) and this source had positive association with the odds of e-cigarette smoking among youth and adolescents (OR = 3.38, 95% CI: 1.59-7.14). In addition, referral marketers also contributed to making the participants more likely to smoke e-cigarettes (OR = 2.68, 95% CI: 1.03-6.95). Attractive color and free sample of e-cigarettes were also found to be the motivated factors associated with smoking behaviour among youth and adolescents.

CONCLUSIONS: New policies should be considered to oppose the impact of youth-oriented e-cigarette advertisements which include regulating and restricting e-cigarette advertisements on social media, as well as through referral marketers.

KEYWORDS: e-cigarette, advertisements, exposure, youth and adolescent, Vietnam

RECEIVED: January 11, 2023. ACCEPTED: May 14, 2023.

TYPE: Original Research Article

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article

Introduction

Electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) were first introduced about 3 decades ago.¹ The first and second generation products of ecigarettes failed to attract users. Until the last decade, the third and fourth generation of e-cigarette products became more popular due to the marketing campaigns on various sources such as Internet/social media, retail stores, TV/movies, news etc.^{2,3} The consequences of these advertising tactics were the global boom of e-cigarette use among the adolescents in recent years.⁴⁻⁶ The long-term impacts of e-cigarette to human health have not been studied thoroughly compared to traditional tobacco cigarettes. However, some recent studies have provided strong evidences that e-cigarettes use could lead to adverse health impacts to users,^{7,8} especially adolescents and youths, such as oral carcinoma, respiratory and lung injuries, etc.^{9,10}

FUNDING The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the Vietnam Tobacco Control Fund as part of Grant No. 13/2020/HD-QPCTHTL-HYTCC

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Tu Hoang Le, Hanoi University of Public Health, No. 1A Duc Thang Street, Duc Thang Ward, North Tu Liem District, Hanoi 100000, Vietnam. Email: lth2@huph.edu.vn

Currently, young people are using vape or e-cigarette as a new hobby and an alternative method for traditional cigarette use.¹¹ Recognizing this global trend, e-cigarette companies promote their advertising and marketing activities towards the youth group. Their message emphasized that e-cigarettes which have lower harm than traditional cigarettes can be an alternative way to reduce or quit smoking the combustible cigarettes.¹² This will cause the misconceptions about e-cigarettes for traditionally smoking users and the adolescents and youths.

Previous studies in the US, Germany and China had shown the impacts of advertisements and marketing on the e-cigarette use and intention to use or e-cigarette trial of adolescents and young people.^{2,3,12-17} In the US, the data of 2014 National Young Tobacco Survey revealed that exposure to e-cigarette advertisements from internet, newspapers/magazines, stores,

• •

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Tobacco Use Insights Volume 16: 1-9 © The Author(s) 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1179173X231179676 S Sage

and TV/movies was prevalent among youth, with the respective percentages of 38.6%, 29.6%, 53.2% and 35.4%. The current use of e-cigarettes among middle and high school American students in that survey was associated statistically with frequent exposure to e-cigarette advertising from those 4 sources of information.² A cross-sectional survey with 6902 German students had shown that 38.8% of them were exposed to e-cigarette advertisements, and the exposure was positively related to ever and past 30-day use of e-cigarettes and other types of of cigarettes of this youth group.¹³

Vietnam is one of the countries with high prevalence of tobacco users.¹⁸ In 2012, the National Assembly of Vietnam promulgated the Law on Prevention and Control of Tobacco Harms with 35 articles, which was enacted on 1st May 2013. Amongst, Article 9 in the Law states that advertising and promotion of tobacco products and direct marketing to the users of tobacco in any forms is strictly prohibited. However, this Law is focused only on the traditional tobacco products while Vietnam currently has almost no legal restrictions for the new tobacco products, including e-cigarettes.¹⁹ Although Vietnam National Assembly brought the legal restrictions related to e-cigarettes advertisements for discussion in recent years, no final decision was made. It led to the fact that e-cigarettes have been quietly and illegally introduced into Vietnam for years.

Compared to the traditional tobacco users, e-cigarette users account for a significant smaller percentage. However, the prevalence of e-cigarette users is increasing considerably, especially among young people. In 2015, the ecigarette use rate among Vietnamese adults was .2%.²⁰ Till 2022, the recent published findings of an online survey showed that 8.2% among 368 participants were using e-cigarette.¹ For the adolescents and young adults, some studies also revealed the growing trend of e-cigarette use from .1% in 2015²⁰ to approximately 2.5% in 2019 and 2020.^{21,22} Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh are the two biggest cities located at northern and southern regions of Vietnam. These two cities are economic hubs with the rapid development of technology and marketing tricks. Therefore, it is easy for adolescents and young adults to be exposed to e-cigarette advertisements from numerous channels or sources of information. Our previous findings showed that 7.3% of young adults aged 15-24 in these cities were currently smoking e-cigarette products.²³ Additionally, with the increasing market size of e-cigarette users, the number of vape and e-cigarette specialty shops is growing rapidly. These providers also used Internet and social media platforms including YouTube or Facebook as the main sources to promote e-cigarettes for their users.²⁴ It may lead to the misconception about e-cigarette and potentially increase the e-cigarette users. In Vietnam, there is limited research on the prevalence and impacts of e-cigarettes exposure among the youth group. Hence, this paper aims to describe the situation of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements of youth and adolescents living in two cities of Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh cities in 2020 and identify the associated factors of e-cigarette marketing with e-cigarettes use in these groups.

Methods

Study setting

Analyzed data were extracted from a research study named "Current situation and viewpoints of e-cigarette smoking among youth and adolescents aged 15-24 in Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City, 2020". The general objective of this study was to enhance knowledge about e-cigarettes to reduce e-cigarette use, thereby contributing to reduce tobacco use among youth and adolescents aged 15-24 in Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City in 2020.

Study design and study participants

This was a cross-sectional study, in which the study participants were youth and adolescents aged 15-24 living in Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City during the time of data collection (from January 2020 to September 2020).

Sample size and sampling method

The sample size was calculated using the formula to estimate a population proportion with specified relative precision:

$$n = \frac{Z_{1-\alpha/2}^2 \times (1-p)}{\varepsilon^2 \times p}$$

In which the significance level (α) was 5%, the relative precision was .15. The anticipated population proportion (p) was .196 based on the proportion of adolescents and youth currently using e-cigarettes in 2022.²⁵ We calculated the needed sample size for both cities was 1123. With an added 5% of refusals, the final sample was 1200.

The multi-stage cluster sampling method was used. At first stage, we chose 1 urban district and 1 suburban district in each city, 300 participants were selected randomly in each district. At second stage, we chose randomly 6 clusters (each cluster could be a neighbourhood or a residential group) in each selected district. In each cluster, we chose randomly 50 households based on the provided list of local authority. In each household, a participant from the age of 15-24 was randomly selected using the KISH method.²⁶

Data collection method

Data was collected using self-administered questionnaire. All participants were instructed by the data collectors before completing the questionnaire. Those who were not capable of self-understanding and participating in the study or those with poor health were excluded from the study. In fact, data was collected from 1211 participants.

Study variables

Outcome variables. We used two primary outcome variables in this analysis including: ever e-cigarettes use (participants who answered **Yes** to the question *Have you ever used e-cigarettes?*) and the intention to use e-cigarettes (among participants who have never used e-cigarettes but answered **Yes, maybe** or **Yes, definitely** to the question *Do you think you will try smoking e-cigarettes within the next 1 year?*). This definition of intention to use e-cigarettes studies.²⁷⁻²⁹

Independent variables. We also used other independent variable groups, including:

- General information of participants: age, gender, educational level, occupation, marital status, living status with family members, household economic situation, and living status with other smokers.
- Variables on whether the participant exposure to various sources of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements or not (Yes/No binary questions): (1) Grocery stores, supermarkets, retail stores, railway stations, airports, piers; (2) Social network; (3) TV, radio; (4) Newspaper, electronic newspaper; (5) Restaurant, hotel, cafe, bar; (6) Sports/ Music events, fairs, community events; (7) Outdoor posters/billboards; (8) Movies/music videos; (9) Referral marketer invitation.
- Characteristics of received e-cigarette advertisements: colors, images, participation of celebrities, singers, actors, models.
- Type of received e-cigarette advertisements: (1) Free sample of e-cigarette; (2) Discount e-cigarette products;
 (3) Discount voucher; (4) Free gifts or other special promotion on other products; (5) Clothing or other items with an e-cigarette brand logo or image.

Data analysis

Data analysis was conducted using Stata 16.0 software. Continuous variables are described by means and standard deviation, while categorical variables are represented by frequency and percentage. All used statistical tests were applied with the significance level (α) of .05. The Chi-square test was used to find out the univariate association (if any) between independent factors (participants' characteristics and their exposure with ecigarettes advertisements) and their current situation of using ecigarettes as well as their intention to use e-cigarettes. Any univariate association with *p* value under .05, or larger than .05 but smaller than .1 was included in the multivariate models.

Two multivariate logistic regression models were developed to assess the relationship between the predictors (e-cigarette marketing exposure) and two outcome variables after adjusting for other independent variables. In both univariate and multivariate logistic regression models, the odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were presented to estimate the strength of the association between e-cigarette marketing exposure and the primary outcomes when adjusted to other covariates. For the included variables, missing data due to nonresponse were both under 1%. Therefore, the sample size for each model varied minimally.

Ethical considerations

The Ethics Committee of Hanoi University of Public Health approved the "Current situation and viewpoints of E-cigarette smoking among youth and adolescents aged 15-24 in Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh Cities, 2020" study under the Decision No. 102/2020/YTCC-HD3 dated 19 March 2020. All participants were ensured to completely read and understood the consent form before answering the self-administered questionnaire.

Results

General characteristics of study participants

A total of 1211 participants agreed to participate in this study. Data on characteristics of the study participants is presented in Table 1.

It is illustrated that 1 – quarter of participants were under 18, while approximately 75% were aged 18-24. Most participants lived with their parents, about 15% lived with friends, the rest lived with only their father/mother, grandparents, or alone. In this study, almost 80% of participants were students or high school students, and the percentage of officers or freelancers was about 15%. The percentage of participants who lived with a smoker was 55.9%, while 46.9% of participants had any friends as a smoker. Regarding the use of e-cigarettes, only 7.4% of participants reported having ever used, while 4.8% of them had the intention to use among those who never used e-cigarettes.

Sources of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements

The sources of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements of the study participants is presented in Figure 1.

Figure 1 shows that among those who ever used e-cigarettes, the most common source of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements was the social network (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, You Tube, etc.) (73%), followed by exposure to e-cigarette advertisements at grocery stores, supermarkets, etc. (48.3%). The least exposed source of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements among this group was at sports/music events, fairs, or community events with the percentage of only 22.5%.

Among participants who intended to use e-cigarettes, the most common source of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements was also the social network (about half of the participants reported having this exposure), followed by advertising in movies

Table 1. Characteristics of study participants.

N % N % N % Age group Under 18 155 21.2 143 29.9 29.8 24.6 18-24 573 78.8 335 70.1 913 75.4 Living with 573 78.8 313 65.5 65 5.4 Parents 463 63.2 313 65.5 776 64.1 Ohly tather (mother) 41 5.6 22 4.6 63 5.2 Alone 51 7.0 200 4.2 7.1 5.9 Friends 111 15.1 67 14.0 17.8 14.7 Stibings (biological or relative) 40 5.5 40 8.8 32 2.6 Occupation 14 1.9 2.6 5.4 400 3.3 Freelancer 109 14.9 31 6.5 140 11.6 High school 101 5.5 220		MALE (N =	: 733)	FEMALE (1	N = 478)	TOTAL (N =	1211)
Age group Under 18 155 21.2 143 29.9 29.8 24.6 18.24 578 78.8 335 70.1 913 75.4 Living with 578 78.8 335 70.1 913 75.4 Living with 463 63.2 313 65.5 77.6 64.1 Only father (mother) 41 5.6 22 4.6 63 52 Alone 51 7.0 200 8.4 80 66 Grandparents 111 15.1 67 14.0 178 14.7 Sibings (biological or relative) 40 5.5 40 8.4 80 66 Grandparents 14 1.9 28 46.7 480 93.6 Ordicatie student 363 45.2 186 410 16.5 140 116.8 High school student is a smoker 263 35.9 222 46.4 485 400		N	%	N	%	N	%
Under 18 155 21.2 143 29.9 298 24.6 18-24 578 76.8 335 70.1 913 75.4 Living with	Age group						
18-24 578 78.8 335 70.1 913 75.4 Living with Mife/husband 34 4.6 31 6.5 6.55 5.76 Parents 463 63.2 32 4.6 63 5.2 Alone 51 7.0 20 4.2 71 5.9 Friends 111 15.5 400 8.4 80 6.6 Grandparents 14 1.9 18 3.8 32 2.6 Occupation	Under 18	155	21.2	143	29.9	298	24.6
Living with Wife/husband 34 4.6 31 6.5 65 5.4 Parents 463 63.2 313 66.5 776 64.1 Only father (mother) 411 50.2 4.2 71 5.9 Friends 111 15.1 67 14.0 178 14.7 Siblings (biological or relative) 40 5.5 400 8.8 802 266 Grandparents 14 1.9 18 3.8 32 265 Occupation 14 1.9 18 3.8 32 266 Officer 14 1.9 16 5.1 40.0 33.6 Officer 14 1.9 26 5.4 40 33.3 Freelancer 109 14.9 31 6.5 140 11.6 High school 263 35.9 222 46.4 485 40.0 Officer 14 0.59 <td>18-24</td> <td>578</td> <td>78.8</td> <td>335</td> <td>70.1</td> <td>913</td> <td>75.4</td>	18-24	578	78.8	335	70.1	913	75.4
Wite/husband 34 4.6 31 6.5 65 5.4 Parents 463 63.2 313 65.5 776 64.1 Only father (mother) 41 5.6 22 4.6 63 5.2 Alone 51 7.0 20 4.2 71 5.9 Friends 111 15.1 67 14.0 178 14.7 Siblings (biological or relative) 40 5.5 40 8.4 80 6.6 Grandparents 14 1.9 18 3.8 32 2.6 Occupation 14 1.9 18 3.8 32 2.6 Officer 14 1.9 26 5.4 40 3.3 Freelancer 109 1.9 31 6.5 140 11.6 High school 263 35.9 2.22 46.4 485 40.0 College/university 57 7.8 47 9.8	Living with						
Parents 463 63.2 313 65.5 776 64.1 Only lather (mother) 41 5.6 22 4.6 63 5.2 Alone 51 7.0 20 4.2 7.1 5.9 Friends 111 15.1 67 14.0 178 14.7 Siblings (biological or relative) 40 5.5 40 8.4 80 6.6 Grandparents 14 1.9 18 3.8 32 2.2 Occupation 257 35.1 223 46.7 480 3.9 Graduate student 353 48.2 198 41.4 551 45.5 Officer 14 1.9 26 5.4 40 3.3 Freelancer 109 14.9 21 46.4 455 40.6 Olige/university 57 7.8 47 9.8 104 8.6 Postgraduate 3 0.4 1 0.	Wife/husband	34	4.6	31	6.5	65	5.4
Only father (mother) 41 5.6 22 4.6 63 5.2 Alone 51 7.0 20 4.2 71 5.9 Friends 1111 15.1 67 14.0 178 14.7 Siblings (biological or relative) 40 5.5 40 8.4 80 6.6 Grandparents 14 1.9 18 3.8 32 2.6 Occupation 45.7 45.1 223 46.7 480 39.6 Graduate student 353 48.2 198 41.4 551 45.5 Officer 14 1.9 26 5.4 40 3.3 Freelancer 109 14.9 31 6.5 140 11.6 High school 263 35.9 222 46.4 485 40.0 College/university 57 7.8 47 9.8 104 8.6 Postgraduate 3 <td< td=""><td>Parents</td><td>463</td><td>63.2</td><td>313</td><td>65.5</td><td>776</td><td>64.1</td></td<>	Parents	463	63.2	313	65.5	776	64.1
Alone 51 7.0 20 4.2 71 5.9 Friends 111 15.1 67 14.0 178 14.7 Siblings (biological or relative) 40 5.5 40 8.4 80 6.6 Grandparents 14 1.9 18 3.8 32 2.6 Occupation 353 48.2 198 41.4 551 45.5 Officer 14 1.9 26 5.4 400 3.3 Freelancer 109 14.9 26 5.4 40.0 11.6 Highest education level 3 5.9 222 46.4 485 40.0 Higheschool 263 35.9 222 46.4 485 40.0 College/university 57 7.8 47 9.8 104 8.6 Postgraduate 3 0.4 1 0.2 4 0.3 No 326 44.5	Only father (mother)	41	5.6	22	4.6	63	5.2
Friends 111 15.1 67 14.0 178 14.7 Siblings (biological or relative) 40 5.5 40 8.4 80 6.6 Grandparents 14 1.9 18 3.8 3.2 2.6 Occupation	Alone	51	7.0	20	4.2	71	5.9
Siblings (biological or relative) 40 5.5 40 8.4 80 6.6 Grandparents 14 1.9 18 3.8 32 2.6 Occupation 46.7 480 3.6 32.6 High school student 257 35.1 223 46.7 480 39.6 Graduate student 353 48.2 198 41.4 551 45.5 Officer 14 1.9 26 5.4 400 31.6 Officer 199 14.9 31 6.5 140 11.6 Highest education level 203 35.9 222 46.4 485 40.0 High school 210 210 43.5 618 51.0 College/university 57 7.8 47 9.8 104 8.6 Postgraduate 30 2.5 270 56.5 677 55.9 No 326 44.5 33.1 <t< td=""><td>Friends</td><td>111</td><td>15.1</td><td>67</td><td>14.0</td><td>178</td><td>14.7</td></t<>	Friends	111	15.1	67	14.0	178	14.7
Grandparents 14 1.9 18 3.8 32 2.6 Occupation	Siblings (biological or relative)	40	5.5	40	8.4	80	6.6
Occupation High school student Graduate student 257 35.1 223 46.7 480 39.6 Graduate student 353 48.2 198 41.4 551 45.5 Officer 14 1.9 26 5.4 40 3.3 Freelancer 109 14.9 31 6.5 140 11.6 Highest education level 263 35.9 222 46.4 485 40.0 High school 263 35.9 208 43.5 618 51.0 College/university 57 7.8 47 9.8 104 8.6 Postgraduate 3 0.4 1 0.2 4 0.3 Any people living with is a smoker 3 0.4 1 0.2 4 0.3 Yes 407 55.5 270 56.5 677 55.9 No 315 43.0 253 52.9 568 46.9 No	Grandparents	14	1.9	18	3.8	32	2.6
High school student 257 35.1 223 46.7 480 39.6 Graduate student 353 48.2 198 41.4 551 45.5 Officer 14 1.9 26 5.4 40 3.3 Freelancer 109 14.9 31 6.5 140 11.6 Highest education level 355 222 46.4 485 40.0 High school 263 35.9 222 46.4 485 40.0 High school 263 35.9 222 46.4 485 40.0 High school 410 55.9 208 43.5 618 51.0 College/university 57 7.8 47 9.8 104 86 Postgraduate 3 0.4 1 0.2 4 0.3 Any people living with is a smoker 326 44.5 208 43.5 534 44.1 Any friend is a smoker	Occupation						
Graduate student Officer 353 48.2 198 41.4 551 45.5 Officer 14 1.9 26 5.4 40 3.3 Freelancer 109 14.9 31 6.5 140 11.6 Highest education level	High school student	257	35.1	223	46.7	480	39.6
Officer 14 1.9 26 5.4 40 3.3 Freelancer 109 14.9 31 6.5 140 11.6 Highest education level 263 35.9 222 46.4 485 40.0 High school 263 35.9 208 43.5 618 51.0 College/university 57 7.8 47 9.8 104 8.6 Postgraduate 3 0.4 1 0.2 4 0.3 Any people living with is a smoker 326 44.5 208 43.5 534 44.1 Any friend is a smoker 326 44.5 208 43.5 534 44.1 Any friend is a smoker 315 43.0 253 52.9 568 46.9 No 418 57.0 225 47.1 643 53.1 Ever e-cigarettes use 418 57.0 225 47.1 643 53.1 No 666	Graduate student	353	48.2	198	41.4	551	45.5
Freelancer 109 14.9 31 6.5 140 11.6 Highest education level Secondary school 263 35.9 222 46.4 485 40.0 High school 410 55.9 208 43.5 618 51.0 College/university 57 7.8 47 9.8 104 8.6 Postgraduate 3 0.4 1 0.2 4 0.3 Any people living with is a smoker 326 44.5 208 43.5 677 55.9 No 326 44.5 208 43.5 534 44.1 Any friend is a smoker 315 43.0 253 52.9 56.8 46.9 No 418 57.0 225 47.1 643 53.1 Ever e-cigarettes use 418 57.0 225 47.1 643 53.1 Ever e-cigarettes use 667 9.1 22 4.6 89 7.4 No	Officer	14	1.9	26	5.4	40	3.3
Highest education level Secondary school 263 35.9 222 46.4 485 40.0 High school 410 55.9 208 43.5 618 51.0 College/university 57 7.8 47 9.8 104 8.6 Postgraduate 3 0.4 1 0.2 4 0.3 Any people living with is a smoker 3 0.4 1 0.2 4 0.3 Yes 407 55.5 270 56.5 677 55.9 No 326 44.5 208 43.5 534 44.1 Any friend is a smoker 315 43.0 253 52.9 568 46.9 No 418 57.0 225 47.1 643 53.1 Ever e-cigarettes use 667 9.1 22 4.6 89 7.4 No 666 90.9 456 95.4 1122 92.6 E-cigarettes use inte	Freelancer	109	14.9	31	6.5	140	11.6
Secondary school 263 35.9 222 46.4 485 40.0 High school 410 55.9 208 43.5 618 51.0 College/university 57 7.8 47 9.8 104 8.6 Postgraduate 3 0.4 1 0.2 4 0.3 Any people living with is a smoker 3 0.4 1 0.2 4 0.3 Any people living with is a smoker 407 55.5 270 56.5 677 55.9 No 326 44.5 208 43.5 534 44.1 Any friend is a smoker 315 43.0 253 52.9 568 46.9 No 418 57.0 225 47.1 643 53.1 Ever e-cigarettes use 677 9.1 22 4.6 89 7.4 No 666 90.9 456 95.4 1122 92.6 E-cigarettes use intention (among those who never	Highest education level						
High school41055.920843.561851.0College/university577.8479.81048.6Postgraduate30.410.240.3Any people living with is a smokerYes40755.527056.567755.9No32644.520843.553444.1Any friend is a smokerYes31543.025352.956846.9No41857.022547.164353.1Ever e-cigarettes useYes679.1224.6897.4No66690.945695.4112292.6E-cigarettes use intention (among those who never use e-cigarette)56173.7544.8No62994.443996.3106895.2	Secondary school	263	35.9	222	46.4	485	40.0
College/university577.8479.81048.6Postgraduate30.410.240.3Any people living with is a smokerYes40755.527056.567755.9No32644.520843.553444.1Any friend is a smokerYes31543.025352.956846.9No41857.022547.164353.1Ever e-cigarettes useYes679.1224.6897.4No66690.945695.4112292.6E-cigarettes use intention (among those who never use e-cigarette)Yes375.6173.7544.8No62994.443996.3106895.2	High school	410	55.9	208	43.5	618	51.0
Postgraduate 3 0.4 1 0.2 4 0.3 Any people living with is a smoker	College/university	57	7.8	47	9.8	104	8.6
Any people living with is a smoker Yes 407 55.5 270 56.5 677 55.9 No 326 44.5 208 43.5 534 44.1 Any friend is a smoker	Postgraduate	3	0.4	1	0.2	4	0.3
Yes40755.527056.567755.9No32644.520843.553444.1Any friend is a smokerYes31543.025352.956846.9No41857.022547.164353.1Ever e-cigarettes useYes679.1224.6897.4No66690.945695.4112292.6E-cigarettes use intention (among those who never use e-cigarette)Yes375.6173.7544.8No62994.443996.3106895.2	Any people living with is a smoker						
No 326 44.5 208 43.5 534 44.1 Any friend is a smoker	Yes	407	55.5	270	56.5	677	55.9
Any friend is a smoker Yes 315 43.0 253 52.9 568 46.9 No 418 57.0 225 47.1 643 53.1 Ever e-cigarettes use	No	326	44.5	208	43.5	534	44.1
Yes 315 43.0 253 52.9 568 46.9 No 418 57.0 225 47.1 643 53.1 Ever e-cigarettes use	Any friend is a smoker						
No 418 57.0 225 47.1 643 53.1 Ever e-cigarettes use 57.0 225 47.1 643 53.1 Yes 67 9.1 22 4.6 89 7.4 No 666 90.9 456 95.4 1122 92.6 E-cigarettes use intention (among those who never use e-cigarette) 57.0 57.0 57.0 57.0 57.0 Yes 37 5.6 17 3.7 54 4.8 No 629 94.4 439 96.3 1068 95.2	Yes	315	43.0	253	52.9	568	46.9
Ever e-cigarettes use Yes 67 9.1 22 4.6 89 7.4 No 666 90.9 456 95.4 1122 92.6 E-cigarettes use intention (among those who never use e-cigarette) Ves 3.7 5.6 17 3.7 5.4 4.8 No 629 94.4 439 96.3 1068 95.2	No	418	57.0	225	47.1	643	53.1
Yes 67 9.1 22 4.6 89 7.4 No 666 90.9 456 95.4 1122 92.6 E-cigarettes use intention (among those who never use e-cigarette) 4.6 89 7.4 Yes 37 5.6 17 3.7 54 4.8 No 629 94.4 439 96.3 1068 95.2	Ever e-cigarettes use						
No 666 90.9 456 95.4 1122 92.6 E-cigarettes use intention (among those who never use e-cigarette) 456 95.4 1122 92.6 Yes 37 5.6 17 3.7 54 4.8 No 629 94.4 439 96.3 1068 95.2	Yes	67	9.1	22	4.6	89	7.4
Yes 37 5.6 17 3.7 54 4.8 No 629 94.4 439 96.3 1068 95.2	No	666	90.9	456	95.4	1122	92.6
Yes375.6173.7544.8No62994.443996.3106895.2	E-cigarettes use intention (among those	e who never use e	e-cigarette)				
No 629 94.4 439 96.3 1068 95.2	Yes	37	5.6	17	3.7	54	4.8
	No	629	94.4	439	96.3	1068	95.2

or music videos (approximately 39%). These participants had the lowest proportion of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements through referral marketers who invited them to use tobacco.

Association between exposure to e-cigarette advertisements and the status of using e-cigarettes among adolescents and youth

We used both univariate and multivariate to determine the association between exposure to e-cigarette advertisements and those who used e-cigarettes and those who intended to use e-cigarettes. Results are shown in Table 2 (univariate association) and Table 3 (multivariate association).

We found male participants were more likely to be an eversmoker than female participants (OR = 2.09, 95% CI: 1.27-3.42). Participants exposed to e-cigarette advertisements on social networks were 3.45 times (95% CI: 2.08-5.73) more likely to smoke e-cigarettes than those who did not. Other sources of e-cigarette advertisements which also increased the odds of ecigarette smoking were referral marketers (OR = 2.79, 95% CI: 1.69-4.62), the restaurant, hotels, cafeterias, bars (OR = 2.3, 95% CI: 1.43-3.70), at grocery stores, supermarkets, retail



Figure 1. Sources of exposure to e-cigarettes advertisements among participants who ever used e-cigarette (n = 89) and those who had intention to use (n = 54).

stores, railway stations, airports, piers (OR = 1.95, 95% CI: 1.24-3.07), by watching movies, music videos (OR = 1.95, 95% CI: 1.23-3.09), and by outdoor posters/billboards (OR = 1.70, 95% CI: 1.07-2.70). We also found that when exposed to at least 1 source of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements, participants were at 4.63 times (95% CI: 2.12-10.13) more likely to smoke e-cigarettes than those who were not. Attractive colors, eye-catching images, and the appearance of celebrities, singers, actors, and models in the advertisements increased the odds to smoke e-cigarettes among study participants.

We also found similar results when participants exposed to e-cigarette advertisements on different sources including social networks, at restaurants, hotels, cafeterias, and bars, and by watching movies and music videos were more likely to have intention to use e-cigarettes than those who did not. Exposing through a referral, marketers were positively associated with the odds of having intention to smoke e-cigarettes. Otherwise, the color of e-cigarette advertisements (OR = 2.6, 95% CI: 1.57-4.30) and free samples of e-cigarettes (OR = 2.7, 95% CI: 1.42-5.13) also increased the odds of having intention to smoke e-cigarettes among participants.

When adjusted to other factors in the multivariate model, we found that social networks, again with referral marketers, made study participants to be more likely to smoke e-cigarettes (OR = 3.38 and 2.68, respectively). Results also showed that the attractive colors of advertisements and free samples of e-cigarettes had motivated the study participants to use e-cigarettes (OR = 3.15, 95% CI: 1.70-5.83 and OR = 3.17, 95% CI: 1.52-6.65, respectively). Besides, we found only 1 source of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements was associated with the risk of smoking. In detail, participants who received free sample of e-cigarettes were more likely to have intention to smoke e-cigarettes than those who did not receive (OR = 3.11, 95% CI: 1.06-9.12).

Discussion

Our study findings contribute to the literature and provide the evidence to the policy makers for future interventions and policies in preventing e-cigarette advertisements in Vietnam.

Exposure to sources of e-cigarettes advertisements among adolescents aged 15-24

The study pointed out that social network was the most popular source of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements to the participants who ever used e-cigarettes and intended to use e-cigarettes. In the technology era, the number of social network users is booming,³⁰ especially in the urban areas where Internet is easily accessible to the people. Vietnam is one of the countries with high proportion of 72.1 million Internet users.³¹ Therefore, there has been high possibility of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements among the young people. Our result was in line with other research from Shanghai, China.³ In that study, young adults mostly exposed to e-cigarettes information via the Internet including online social media and website. However, one study in the USA showed that the exposure to e-cigarette advertisements in store was more prevalent than Internet or TV among youth.² In our study, this source was the second and third common ways of advertising exposure for adolescents who ever used and intended to use e-cigarettes. Notably, movies and music videos were another favorable advertising sources that the young adults had contacted with. Nevertheless, they reported that they are less exposed to e-cigarette advertisements in sports/ music events, fairs, community events. This should be considered by the policy makers to include in any regulations related to e-cigarette advertising from entertaining producers.

In Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh cities, young people often come to restaurants/coffee shops/bar/karaoke after working/studying hours in the evening as a local culture. Therefore, the customers were being invited to use tobacco products, including ecigarettes in these places. In our study, there was a moderate percentage of participants who ever used and intended to use ecigarettes exposed to those advertisements. It is hard to control the marketing activities in those kinds of locations. However, it should not be ignored if Vietnam would like to ban e-cigarette advertising.

While other studies mainly grouped e-cigarette advertising into 4 sources, including internet, newspaper/magazines, retail stores, and TV^{2,14,16,17}; our study divided into more various advertising sources including from restaurant/hotel/café/bar; sports/music/community events; outdoor posters/billboards; watching movies/music videos or from invitation of referral marketer, which was relevant to other studies conducted in Vietnam on tobacco advertising exposure among various age groups.^{21,25} The absence of the advertising ban of new tobacco products including e-cigarettes, as well as the hesitation in banning new tobacco products in Vietnam could be explained for the challenges in controlling the advertisement of ecigarettes in Vietnam. Therefore, by identifying these specific advertising sources, interventions can find the most appropriate way to interact with the young people to reduce their exposure to e-cigarette advertising, and therefore contributing to reduce access to and use of e-cigarettes. In addition, the Government should consider updating existing regulations which include the

	EVER E-CI	GARETTES USI	ш		E-CIGARE	TTES USE II	NTENTION	
	YES (%)	NO (%) CF	RUDE OR 95	% CI	YES (%)	(%) ON	CRUDE OR	95% CI
Gender								
Female	22 (4.6)	456 (95.4) 1			19 (4.0)	459 (96.0)	F	
Male	67 (9.1)	666 (90.9) 2.0	.1. 1.	27-3.42	46 (6.3)	687 (93.7)	1.62	.94-2.80
Exposure to e-cigarettes advertisements by/at:								
Grocery stores, supermarkets, retail stores, railway stations, airports, piers (ref. = no exposure)	43 (10.6)	361 (89.4) 1.9	95* 1.2	24-3.07	25 (6.2)	379 (93.8)	1.48	.85-2.56
Social network (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, Youtube) (ref. = no exposure)	65 (11.9)	480 (88.1) 3.	45* 2.(08-5.73	36 (6.6)	509 (93.4)	1.90*	1.08-3.32
Watching TV, listening to radio (ref. = no exposure)	30 (8.4)	329 (91.6) 1.	26	78-2.02	23 (6.4)	336 (93.6)	1.61	.92-2.82
Newspaper, electronic newspaper (eef. = no exposure)	28 (9.2)	2777 (90.8) 1.4	49	92-2.42	21 (6.9)	284 (93.1)	1.66	.94-2.91
Restaurant, hotel, cafe, bar (ref. = no exposure)	33 (11.7)	248 (88.3) 2.3	30* 1.	43-3.70	22 (7.8)	259 (92.2)	1.93*	1.11-3.35
Sports/Music events, fairs, community events (ref. = no exposure)	20 (8.7)	209 (91.3) 1.3	37 .8	81-2.34	17 (7.4)	212 (92.6)	1.59	.89-2.85
Outdoor posters/billboards (ref. = no exposure)	31 (10.9)	253 (89.1) 1.	70* 1.(07-2.70	17 (6.0)	267 (94.0)	1.17	.66-2.09
Watching movies, music videos (ref. = no exposure)	40 (10.3)	350 (89.7) 1.9	95* 1.2	23-3.09	30 (7.7)	360 (92.3)	2.01*	1.18-3.42
Referral marketer invitation to use tobacco (ref. = no exposure)	24 (16.3)	123 (83.7) 2 .	79* 1.(69-4.62	13 (8.8)	134 (91.2)	2.00*	1.05-3.80
At least 1 source of exposure to e-cigarette advertisement (ref. = no exposure)	82 (9.3)	804 (90.7) 4.	63* 2.	12-10.13	53 (6.0)	833 (94.0)	1.66	.88-3.15
Characteristics of e-cigarette advertisements								
Attractive colors	55 (14.7)	319 (85.3) 4.0	07** 2.(60-6.37	34 (9.1)	340 (90.9)	2.60**	1.57-4.30
Eye-catching images	45 (11.9)	334 (88.1) 2 .	41** 1.!	56-3.73	27 (7.1)	352 (92.9)	1.60	.96-2.67
Celebrities, singers, actors, models participating in advertising	20 (12.1)	145 (87.9) 1.9	95* 1.	15-3.31	11 (6.7)	154 (93.3)	1.31	.67-2.56
Teenagers/young people participating in advertising	19 (7.1)	249 (92.9)	95 .!	56-1.61	18 (6.7)	250 (93.3)	1.37	.78-2.41
Type of received e-cigarette advertisements								
Free sample of e-cigarette	18 (16.4)	92 (83.6) 2 .	84** 1.(62-4.96	13 (11.8)	97 (88.2)	2.70*	1.42-5.13
Discount e-cigarette products	24 (13.0)	160 (87.0) 2.	22* 1.	35-3.65	15 (8.2)	169 (91.8)	1.73	.95-3.16
Discount voucher	9 (13.4)	58 (86.6) 2.0	90	99-4.31	4 (6.0)	63 (94.0)	1.13	.40-3.20
Free gifts or other special promotion on other products	23 (16.0)	121 (84.0) 2.	88** 1.7	73-4.80	10 (6.9)	134 (93.1)	1.37	.68-2.76
Clothing or other items with an e-cigarette brand logo or image	4 (6.7)	56 (93.3) .	89	32-2.53	6 (10.0)	54 (90.0)	2.05	.85-4.97

Table 2. Univariate association between participants who ever use e-cigarette and those who have intention to use and exposure to e-cigarettes advertisements.

Statistically significant with p < .05. Statistically significant with p < .001.

	EVER E-CIGARETTE	USE	E-CIGARETTE USE II	NTENTION
	ADJUSTED OR	95% CI	ADJUSTED OR	95% CI
Gender				
Female	-		-	
Male	1.36	.74-2.50	1.18	.56-2.53
Exposure to e-cigarette advertisements by/at:				
Grocery stores, supermarkets, retail stores, railway stations, airports, piers (ref. = no exposure)	1.85	.93-3.68	44.	.17-1.14
Social network (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, Youtube) (ref. = no exposure)	3.38*	1.59-7.14	1.96	.77-4.99
Watching TV, listening to radio (ref. = no exposure)	.51	.24-1.05	.88	.35-2.20
Newspaper, electronic newspaper (ref. = no exposure)	.82	.38-1.75	.97	.36-2.63
Restaurant, hotel, cafe, bar (ref. = no exposure)	1.53	.73-3.20	1.51	.55-4.11
Sports/Music events, fairs, community events (ref. = no exposure)	.65	.26-1.61	1.41	.47-4.20
Outdoor posters/billboards (ref. = no exposure)	.40	.16-1.00	.59	.19-1.79
Watching movies, music videos (ref. = no exposure)	.88	.43-1.82	1.44	.58-3.56
Referral marketer invitation to use tobacco (ref. = no exposure)	2.68*	1.03-6.95	.43	.09-2.07
Characteristics of e-cigarette advertisements				
Attractive colors	3.15*	1.70-5.83	1.69	.75-3.80
Eye-catching images	1.22	.66-2.26	2.03	.91-4.53
Celebrities, singers, actors, models participating in advertising	1.71	.85-3.44	1.95	.75-5.04
Teenagers/young people participating in advertising	.41	.2087	.79	.31-1.98
Type of received e-cigarette advertisements				
Free sample of e-cigarette	3.17*	1.52-6.65	3.11*	1.06-9.12
Discount e-cigarette products	1.33	.63-2.79	1.01	.34-3.05
Discount voucher	.78	.25-2.40	1.70	.40-7.17
Free gifts or other special promotion on other products	1.74	.79-3.82	.72	.18-2.89
Clothing or other items with an e-cigarette brand logo or image	69	.19-2.50	1.13	.23-5.51

Table 3. Multivariate association between participants who ever use e-cigarette and those who have intention to use and exposure to e-cigarette advertisements.

Statistically significant with ρ < .05

Tobacco Use Insights

ban of e-cigarette advertising, and/or ban the use of new tobacco products, including e-cigarettes in Vietnam.

Association between exposure to e-cigarette advertisements and the status of using e-cigarettes among adolescents and youth

Our study assessed advertising exposure by self-reported measures that were similar to previous studies.^{16,17,32} Although studies indicated the association between exposure to ecigarette advertising on the internet and smoking behaviour among adolescent and youth,^{16,17} but few pointed out the particular source of exposure. In this study, we found the role of social networks (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, Youtube, etc.) in enhancing youth and adolescents to smoke e-cigarettes. Despite Vietnam Laws against any tobacco ads and commercial activities as well as social network bans on sponsored tobaccorelated contents, recent studies showed influencers still collaborating with e-cigarettes companies in promoting brandrelated content on many popular social network.^{33,34} That is the reason why our result indicated that youth and adolescents were still experiencing e-cigarettes advertising among these modern sources when comparing with other traditional sources. Therefore, policies to counteract the impact of youth-oriented e-cigarette advertising can include regulating and restricting ecigarette advertising online and social media, as in findings in previous studies.^{33,35} This study also pointed out that referral marketer invitation also motivated e-cigarettes use. Although this source was strictly banned in Vietnam, but the violation could still be easily seen in public places with many young people, suggesting policies and regulations that restrict this type of youth-oriented e-cigarette advertising may be warranted. Our suggestion is in lined with other studies.³⁵

Visual optimization such as color may influence and draw attention of readers on e-cigarette advertisements,³⁶ this study also showed that attractive color of e-cigarette advertisements increased the risk of smoking. This is consistent with findings from previous studies^{14,16,34} when some media sources like TV, the Internet, magazines, etc. could transfer the trendy ecigarette advertisements fully colored and, therefore, might have certain impacts on youth and adolescents' decision to smoke e-cigarettes. Although there was still no specific study on Vietnam, study on Southeast Asian youth showed that this vulnerable population are targeted with a wide variety of flavours, trendy designs and point of sale e-cigarette promotions.³⁷ Among these, free sample of e-cigarettes is the most common way of promotion in Vietnam, which usually come along with referral marketers. Our results showed that free samples of ecigarettes also increased the risk of smoking as well as intention to smoke among participants. New policies should focus on the prevention of these types of e-cigarette marketing, especially in populous country with weak law compliance. Besides, regulatory action is needed to prevent e-cigarette use from becoming entrenched into these young people.

Limitations of this study

This study had some limitations. Firstly, our study sample was not fully representative of all e-cigarette users in Vietnam due to the selection of participants aged 15 to 24 only. Besides, the two chosen cities were not adequately represented for the whole country. Therefore, this was only a snapshot on the exposure to e-cigarette advertisements among youth and adolescent in the most two populous cities in Vietnam. Secondly, although recall bias was existed and the measure of the frequency of exposure is still limited, measures of advertising exposure and e-cigarette use were self-reported exposure in the last 30 days, which reduced this bias at minimal level as in other studies.^{14,38} The cross-sectional findings couldn't determine the causal association between exposure to e-cigarette advertising and the everuse/intention-to-use e-cigarettes among the participants. Additionally, the multivariate model did not control all potential confounders, such as peer influence or type of received messages. With these mentioned points, further studies in representative sample should be implemented in the future.

Conclusions and recommendations

Our results showed that the proportion of participants who ever use e-cigarettes was 7.4% and a proportion of 4.8% have intention to use them in the future. The most popular source of exposure to e-cigarette advertisements was social network (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, Youtube, etc.). This source was positively associated with the odds of e-cigarette smoking among youth and adolescents. Referral marketers also contributed to making the participants more likely to smoke e-cigarettes. Attractive color and free sample of e-cigarettes were found to be the motivated factors associated with smoking behaviour among youth and adolescents. It is recommended that novel policies should counteract the impact of youthoriented e-cigarette advertising which include regulating and restricting e-cigarette advertising on social media, as well as through referral marketers.

Author Contributions

LTTH, LMD, NTT and LTH conceived and designed the study, agreed with the results, conclusions and came up with arguments for the manuscript. LTTH coordinated data collection and LTH analysed the data. LTH wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All the authors made critical revision and agreed on the final versions of the manuscript. LTTH, LMD, and NTT reviewed the final manuscript and approved it for submission, which was done by LTH.

REFERENCES

- Nguyen TD, Tran HTB, Nguyen HTT, Ha DT. E-cigarette smoking: Awareness, use, and perceptions of Vietnamese personnel. J Pharm Pharmacogn Res. 2022;10(5): 865-874. doi:10.56499/jppres22.1406_10.5.865
- Dai H, Hao J. Exposure to advertisements and susceptibility to electronic cigarette use among youth. J Adolesc Health. 2016;59(6):620-626. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth. 2016.06.013

- Dai L, He Y, Tan Y, Yu Z, Zhu J. Online e-cigarette information exposure and its association with e-cigarette use among adolescents in Shanghai, China. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(6):3329. doi:10.3390/ijerph19063329
- Tarasenko Y, Ciobanu A, Fayokun R, Lebedeva E, Commar A, Mauer-Stender K. Electronic cigarette use among adolescents in 17 European study sites: Findings from the Global Youth Tobacco Survey. *Eur J Public Health*. 2022;32(1):126-132. doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckab180
- Eastwood B, Dockrell MJ, Arnott D, et al. Electronic cigarette use in young people in Great Britain 2013-2014 research support, Non-U.S. Gov't. *Public Health*. 2015; 129(9):1150-1156. doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2015.07.009
- Bandi P, Cahn Z, Goding Sauer A, et al. Trends in E-cigarette use by age group and combustible cigarette smoking histories, U.S. adults, 2014-2018. *Am J Prev Med.* 2021;60(2):151-158. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2020.07.026
- Nguyen H, Kitzmiller JP, Nguyen KT, Nguyen CD, Chi Bui T. Oral carcinoma associated with chronic use of electronic cigarettes. *Otolaryngology* 2017;07(02):304. doi:10.4172/2161-119x.1000304
- Blagev DP, Harris D, Dunn AC, Guidry DW, Grissom CK, Lanspa MJ. Clinical presentation, treatment, and short-term outcomes of lung injury associated with ecigarettes or vaping: A prospective observational cohort study. *Lancet.* 2019; 394(10214):2073-2083. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(19)32679-0
- Kim SY, Sim S, Choi HG. Active, passive, and electronic cigarette smoking is associated with asthma in adolescents. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):17789. doi:10.1038/ s41598-017-17958-y
- Wang MP, Ho SY, Leung LT, Lam TH. Electronic cigarette use and respiratory symptoms in Chinese adolescents in Hong Kong. JAMA Pediatr. 2016;170(1):89-91.
- Hilton S, Weishaar H, Sweeting H, Trevisan F, Katikireddi SV. E-cigarettes, a safer alternative for teenagers? A UK focus group study of teenagers' views. Research support, Non-U.S. Gov't. *BMJ Open.* 2016;6(11):e013271. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013271
- Collins L, Glasser AM, Abudayyeh H, Pearson JL, Villanti AC. E-cigarette marketing and communication: How e-cigarette companies market e-cigarettes and the public engages with e-cigarette information. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2019;21(1): 14-24. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntx284
- Hansen J, Hanewinkel R, Morgenstern M. Electronic cigarette marketing and smoking behaviour in adolescence: A cross-sectional study. *ERJ Open Res.* 2018; 4(4):155-2018. doi:10.1183/23120541.00155-2018
- Pu J, Zhang X. Exposure to advertising and perception, interest, and use of e-cigarettes among adolescents: Findings from the US National Youth Tobacco Survey. *Perspect Public Health*. 2017;137(6):322-325. doi:10.1177/1757913917703151
- Farrelly MC, Duke JC, Crankshaw EC, et al. A randomized trial of the effect of ecigarette TV advertisements on intentions to use e-cigarettes. *Am J Prev Med.* 2015; 49(5):686-693. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2015.05.010
- Mantey DS, Cooper MR, Clendennen SL, Pasch KE, Perry CL. E-cigarette marketing exposure is associated with e-cigarette use among US Youth. J Adolesc Health. 2016;58(6):686-690. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2016.03.003
- Singh T, Agaku IT, Arrazola RA, et al. Exposure to advertisements and electronic cigarette use among us middle and high school students. *Pediatrics*. 2016;137(5): e20154155. doi:10.1542/peds.2015-4155
- Van Minh H, Giang KB, Ngoc NB, et al. Prevalence of tobacco smoking in Vietnam: Findings from the Global Adult Tobacco Survey 2015. *Int J Public Health*. 2017;62(suppl 1):121-129. doi:10.1007/s00038-017-0955-8
- Jin P, Jiang JY. E-cigarettes in ten Southeast Asian countries: A comparison of national regulations. J Glob Health. 2017;1(3):1-10. doi:10.1016/s2414-6447(19) 30097-1
- Pan L, Morton J, Mbulo L, Dean A, Ahluwalia IB. Electronic cigarette use among adults in 14 countries: A cross-sectional study. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2022;47:101401. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101401

- Van Minh H, Long KQ, Van Vuong D, et al. Tobacco and electronic cigarette smoking among in-school adolescents in Vietnam between 2013 and 2019: Prevalence and associated factors. *Glob Health Action*. 2022;15(1):2114616. doi:10. 1080/16549716.2022.2114616
- Thanh PQ, Tuyet-Hanh TT, Khue LN, et al. Perceptions and use of electronic cigarettes among young adults in Vietnam 2020. J Community Health. 2022;47(5): 822-827. doi:10.1007/s10900-022-01113-4
- Le MD, Le TTH, Do NS, et al. The use of e-cigarettes among youth between 15-24 years of age in Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City in 2020 and some related factors. *Vietnam J Public Health.* 2021;57:51-62. doi:10.53522/ytcc.vi57.T220211
- Trang NTT, Ly LTH, Toan DTT, et al. Promotion of e-cigarettes by providers and users' feedback in some social networks in Vietnam in 2019. *Vietnam Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2021;31(4):107-117. doi:10.51403/0868-2836/2021/343
- Le HTT, Tran ATV, Nguyen AQ, Tran TTT. E-cigarette use among university students from one university in Hanoi, Vietnam, and associated factors. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2022;23(11):3649-3655. doi:10.31557/apjcp.2022.23.11.3649
- Gaziano C. Encyclopedia of Survey Research Methods. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.; 2008. https://methods.sagepub.com/reference/encyclopedia-ofsurvey-research-methods.
- Cabral P. E-cigarette use and intentions related to psychological distress among cigarette, e-cigarette, and cannabis vape users during the start of the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMC Psychol.* 2022;10(1):201. doi:10.1186/s40359-022-00910-9
- Jongenelis MI, Jardine E, Kameron C, Rudaizky D, Pettigrew S. E-cigarette use is associated with susceptibility to tobacco use among Australian young adults. *Int J Drug Policy*. 2019;74:266-273. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2019.06.017
- Park JY, Seo DC, Lin HC. E-cigarette use and intention to initiate or quit smoking among US youths. *Am J Public Health*. 2016;106(4):672-678. doi:10.2105/ajph. 2015.302994
- We Are Social. Digital 2022: Another Year of Bumper Growth. London, UK: We Are Social; 2022. https://wearesocial.com/us/blog/2022/01/digital-2022-another-yearof-bumper-growth-2/
- Reportal Data. Digital 2022: Local Country Headlines Report. New York, NY: Reportal Data; 2022. https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2022-local-countryheadlines. Accessed November 28, 2022.
- Hammig B, Daniel-Dobbs P, Blunt-Vinti H. Electronic cigarette initiation among minority youth in the United States. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*. 2017;43(3):306-310. doi:10.1080/00952990.2016.1203926
- Vassey J, Valente T, Barker J, et al. E-cigarette brands and social media influencers on Instagram: A social network analysis. *Tob Control*. 2022;2021:057053. doi:10. 1136/tobaccocontrol-2021-057053
- Stead M, Ford A, Angus K, MacKintosh AM, Purves R, Mitchell D. E-cigarette advertising in the UK: A content analysis of traditional and social media advertising to observe compliance with current regulations. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2021;23(11): 1839-1847. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntab075
- Do VV, Nyman AL, Kim Y, Emery SL, Weaver SR, Huang J. Association between e-cigarette advertising exposure and use of e-cigarettes among a cohort of U.S. youth and young adults. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19(19):12640. doi:10.3390/ ijerph191912640
- King JL, Lazard A, Reboussin BA, et al. Optimizing warnings on e-cigarette advertisements. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2020;22(5):630-637. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntz091
- van der Eijk Y, Tan Ping Ping G, Ong SE, et al. E-cigarette markets and policy responses in Southeast Asia: A scoping review. *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2021;11: 1616-1624. doi:10.34172/ijhpm.2021.25
- Agaku IT, Egbe CO, Ayo-Yusuf OA. E-cigarette advertising exposure among South African adults in 2017: Findings from a nationally representative crosssectional survey. *BMJ open.* 2021;11(8):e048462. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-048462