# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# WILEY

# A functional polymorphism in the promoter of TUG1 is associated with an increased risk of ischaemic stroke

Ye-Sheng Wei 💿 | Jun Yang 🍦 Yong-Ling He 🍦 Xiang Shi 🍦 Zhi-Neng Zeng

Department of Laboratory Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Guilin Medical University, Guilin, China

#### Correspondence

Ye-Sheng Wei, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Guilin Medical University, Guilin, Guangxi, China. Email: wysh22@163.com

#### **Funding information**

National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number: 81560552: Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi, China, Grant/Award Number: 2018GXNSFAA138120; Key Research Projects of Guangxi, China, Grant/Award Number: 2018AB58018

#### Abstract

Taurine-upregulated gene 1 (TUG1), a kind of long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs), was up-regulated in ischaemic stroke (IS) with the function of promoting neuron apoptosis. In this study, we aimed to investigate the association of TUG1 polymorphisms with IS risk. The TUG1 polymorphisms were genotyped using a custom-by-design 48-Plex SNPscan kit. The promoter activity was measured using the dual luciferase reporter assay. Relative expression of TUG1 in IS patients was analysed using quantitative PCR and the binding of TUG1 rs2240183 polymorphism to transcription factor was analysed using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay. The rs2240183 CT/CC genotypes and C allele in the promoter of TUG1 were associated with an increased risk of IS (CT/CC vs. TT: adjusted OR = 1.70, 95% CI, 1.16-2.49, P = 0.006; C vs. T: adjusted OR = 1.47, 95% CI, 1.12-1.93, P = 0.005). Logistic regression analysis showed that the rs2240183 was a risk factor of IS besides TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, VLDL-C, Apo-A1, Apo-B and NEFA. Further functional analysis revealed that the TUG1 rs224 0183 C allele exhibited higher transcriptional activity and TUG1 expression levels (P < 0.01). The ChIP assay showed that the rs2240183 C allele binds to transcriptional factor GATA-1. These findings indicate that the rs2240183 C allele was associated with a higher risk of IS possibly by binding to GATA-1 and elevating TUG1 levels.

#### KEYWORDS

ischaemic stroke, luciferase activity, polymorphism, taurine-upregulated gene 1, transcriptional factor

# **1** | INTRODUCTION

Atherosclerosis is a disease characterized by the progressive accumulation of lipids and plaque formation in the arteries. Initially, there are no symptoms. When the blood flow is obstructed by atherosclerotic plaque, it will result in severe diseases, such as stroke and coronary artery disease.<sup>1,2</sup> Stroke is a major cause of death and disability worldwide. In China, there are about 2.5 million new cases and 1.5 million deaths each year.<sup>3</sup> Ischaemic stroke (IS), the most common type, accounted for about 73%-87% of all strokes.<sup>4-6</sup> Risk factors of IS included smoking, hypertension, diabetes, obesity as well as genetic factors.<sup>7-11</sup> For example, siblings or mothers of stroke case patients had 2-4 times higher risk of stroke compared to controls.<sup>10</sup> Our previous work identified some susceptibility loci of IS, such as rs9722 AA in S100 calcium-binding protein B, rs1804826 T in growth differentiation factor-15 and rs4705342 TT in the promoter of miR-143/145.12-14

In the human genome, at least 98% regions are non-coding transcripts, which have been defined as transcriptional 'noise' for a long time. According to the nucleotide (nt) sequence length, non-coding

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>© 2019</sup> The Authors. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine.

RNAs can be divided into two major categories: small (<200nt) and long non-coding RNAs ( $\geq$ 200 nt, IncRNAs).<sup>15-17</sup> Recently, the expression, function and mechanisms of IncRNAs had been widely studied in various diseases, including IS. For example, taurine-upregulated gene 1 (*TUG1*) was found to be up-regulated in cerebral ischaemic animals and oxygen-glucose deprived (OGD) cells, with the function of promoting neuron apoptosis by sponging microRNA (miR)-9 and increasing the expression of pro-apoptosis gene Bcl-2-like 11 (Bcl2l11).<sup>15,18</sup> These findings highlighted that *TUG1* may be involved in the pathological process of IS.

Previous work has reported that single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) related to lncRNAs may affect individual's susceptibility to IS.<sup>19-22</sup> The risk variants included rs217727 TT genotype in lncRNA H19, rs145204276 del/del genotype in the promoter of lncRNA growth arrest-specific 5 (GAS5) and rs1537378 GG, rs2184061 AA, rs7044859 AA and rs7865618AA in the antisense non-coding RNA in the INK4 locus (ANRIL).<sup>19-22</sup> To date, no study has reported the association between the *TUG1* polymorphisms and risk of IS. In this case-control study, we investigated whether the *TUG1* polymorphisms were associated with the occurrence of IS in a Chinese Han population.

#### 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 | Ethics, consent and permissions

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Youjiang Medical University for Nationalities. All patients agreed to participate in the study and provided informed consent.

#### 2.2 | Consent to publish

The participants signed the consent to publish the data.

#### 2.3 | Study population

The study population consisted of 571 controls and 556 patients with IS. The patients were consecutively enrolled from the Affiliated Hospital of Youjiang Medical University for Nationalities, Guangxi, China, between October 2010 and September 2015. Detailed information of sample collection has been described in our previous work.<sup>14,23</sup> Briefly, the diagnosis of IS was determined according to clinical symptoms, physical examinations and cranial magnetic resonance imaging and/or cranial computed tomography. We excluded those patients with haemorrhagic, autoimmune or chronic inflammatory diseases and family history of stroke. Controls were healthy volunteers after physical examination in the hospital. We excluded those patients who had brain tumours, autoimmune diseases, haematological disorder and family history of stroke. The following clinical data were collected: age, sex, smoking status, total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL-C), apolipoprotein A1 (Apo-A1), apolipoprotein B (Apo-B), homocysteic acid (Hcy) and non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA). The interval time between IS onset and biochemical test was within 1 day. All patients were unrelated Han Chinese living in Guangxi province.

# 2.4 | SNPs selection

We selected SNPs using the following criteria: (a) tagSNPs in IncRNA *TUG1*; (b) in silico prediction revealed potentially functional SNPs in the promoter region of *TUG1*; (c) minor allele frequency is more than 5% in Chinese Han population. Finally, five SNPs (ie, rs2240183, rs5749201, rs5753409, rs7284767 and rs8139350) were selected for further analysis.

# 2.5 | Genotyping

About 2-3 mL of ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid-anticoagulated peripheral blood was taken from each participant before treatment. Genomic DNA was extracted using a salting-out method.<sup>24</sup> The SNPs were genotyped using a custom-by-design 48-Plex SNPscan kit on an ABI3730XL sequencer (Genesky Biotechnologies Inc, Shanghai, China).<sup>25</sup> This technique was based on double ligation and multiplex fluorescence PCR. For quality control, about 5% of all samples were randomly selected for Sanger sequencing and the results were 100% consistent.

# 2.6 | Plasmid construction and dual-luciferase reporter assay

TUG1 promoter sequence containing the rs2240183 CC or rs224 0183 TT genotype was amplified using the following primers: 5'-TCCTTATCCCAAAGGCTTCC-3' (forward) and 5'-ATGCCAGAGC AGGAGAAAGA-3' (reverse). The PCR products were inserted into a pGL3 basic vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The constructed plasmids were verified using Sanger sequencing. Human embryonic kidney cell line 293 (HEK293) was cultured in medium supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum. The cells were plated into 24-well plates and transfected with 1  $\mu$ g of rs2240183 C or rs2240183 T together with 50 ng of internal control (Renilla luciferase vector pRL-SV40). Luciferase activity was measured at 48 hours after transfection using the Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay Kit (Promega).

## 2.7 | Quantitative PCR (qPCR)

Total RNA was isolated from peripheral blood cells of 81 IS patients using a commercial kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's manual. After the reverse transcription reaction, quantitative PCR was performed using SYBR Master Mix on an ABI 7900HT real-time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). The primer sequences of *TUG1* were described previously <sup>26</sup>: 5'-TTCCTACCACCTTACTACTGACG-3' (forward) and 5'-GGAGGTAAAGGCCACATC-3' (reverse).  $\beta$ -actin was used as an internal control and primer sequences were as follows: 5'- TTGCCGACAGGATGCAGAA-3' (forward) and 5'-GCCGAT CCACACGGAGTACT-3' (reverse). Relative expression of TUG1 was determined using the - $\Delta$ Ct method.

# 2.8 | Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay

ChIP assay was performed using a commercial kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, HEK293 cells were cross-linked in 1% formaldehyde and sonicated to produce fragments of 200-1000 bp. Antibodies against GATA-1 and rabbit IgG (Abcam) were used to treat the samples to introduce immunoprecipitation. After incubation overnight at 4°C, the immune complexes were washed, reversely cross-linked and finally re-suspended in 20  $\mu$ L of double-distilled H<sub>2</sub>O. The diluted DNA and input DNA were amplified by PCR using the primers: 5'-TCCTTATCCCAAAGGCTTCC-3' (forward) and 5'-TGTTCCAGCTTCACCAAAGA-3' (reverse). The PCR products were analysed using Sanger sequencing.

## 2.9 | Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 19.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Chi-square test was used to evaluate whether the study population deviates from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). Odds ratios (ORs), 95% confidence intervals (CIs) plus associated *p* values were computed using  $\chi^2$  test. ORs were adjusted according to age, sex, TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, VLDL-C, Apo-A1, Apo-B, Hcy and NEFA. For multiple testing, Bonferroni corrected *p* value was set as 0.0125. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) and haplotype analysis were carried out using SHEsis software (http://analysis.bio-x.cn/myAnalysis.php).<sup>27</sup> Logistic regression was used to identify risk factors of IS *P* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | Characteristics of the study population

The characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. The distributions of age, gender, smoking status and TC level were not significantly different between cases and controls. The levels of TG, LDL-C, VLDL-C and Apo-B were higher whereas the levels of HDL-C, Apo-A1, Hcy and NEFA were lower in IS patients (P < 0.05).

# 3.2 | Main effect of TUG1 polymorphisms on IS risk

The genotype and allelic frequencies of the five SNPs between cases and controls are summarized in Table 2. The genotype distributions in controls conformed to HWE. The rs2240183 CC and CT/CC genotypes were associated with an increased risk of IS with an adjusted OR of 2.26 and 1.70 respectively (CC vs TT: 95% Cl, 1.22-4.19; P = 0.009; CT/CC vs TT: 95% Cl, 1.16-2.49; P = 0.006).

Variables	Controls, n = 571	Patients with IS, n = 556	P value
Age, y (mean ± SD)	59.2 (±11.2)	60.2 (±10.9)	0.13
Male/Female	379/192	393/163	0.12
Smoking, yes/no	175/395	192/364	0.17
TC, mmol/L	$4.83 \pm 0.89$	4.78 ± 1.02	0.40
TG, mmol/L	$1.36 \pm 1.02$	1.88 ± 1.43	<0.001
HDL-C, mmol/L	$1.51 \pm 0.40$	1.29 ± 0.41	<0.001
LDL-C, mmol/L	$2.32 \pm 1.00$	2.83 ± 0.98	<0.001
VLDL-C, mmol/L	0.71 ± 0.51	$0.84 \pm 0.66$	<0.001
Apo-A1, g/L	1.76 ± 1.16	1.23 ± 0.26	<0.001
Apo-B, g/L	0.76 ± 0.30	$1.00 \pm 0.30$	<0.001
Hcy, μmol/L	14.85 ± 3.69	14.21 ± 5.82	0.03
NEFA, mmol/L	0.71 ± 0.30	0.55 ± 0.28	<0.001

Apo-A1, apolipoprotein A1; Apo-B, apolipoprotein B; Hcy, homocysteic acid; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; IS, ischaemic stroke; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NEFA, non-esterified fatty acid; SD, standard deviation; TC, total cholesterol; TG: triglyceride; VLDL-C, very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Similarly, increased risk of IS was also observed in allele comparison with adjusted OR of 1.47 (95% CI, 1.12-1.93; P = 0.005). No significant association between rs5749201, rs5753409, rs7284767 and rs8139350 and IS risk was found.

LD analysis showed that the rs5749201, rs5753409, rs7284767 and rs8139350 were in moderate LD and haplotype analysis was then performed. As shown in Table 3, eight common haplotypes were detected. The AGGC haplotype tended but did not reach the significance to decrease the risk of IS compared to the TGAC haplotype (OR = 0.78, 95% Cl, 0.61-1.00, P = 0.05).

## 3.3 | Stratification analysis

Stratification analysis was performed between the *TUG1* polymorphisms and clinical characteristics of IS. As shown in Table 4, patients carrying rs5749201 AT/AA genotypes had lower levels of TC, HDL-C and Apo-A1 compared to the rs5749201 TT carriers (P = 0.03, 0.003, and 0.007 respectively). However, no significant association was observed between rs2240183, rs5753409, rs7284767 and rs8139350 and clinical characteristics of IS.

#### 3.4 | Multivariate logistic regression analysis

Logistic regression was carried out to determine the risk factors of IS. As shown in Table 5, the risk factors included TC (OR = 1.73; 95%CI, 1.29-2.31), TG (OR = 1.72; 95%CI, 1.43-2.07), HDL-C (OR = 0.15; 95%CI, 0.08-0.31), LDL-C (OR = 1.74; 95%CI, 1.43-2.13), Apo-A1 (OR = 0.01; 95%CI, 0.01-0.02), Apo-B (OR = 226.07; 95%CI, 80.70-633.36), NEFA (OR = 0.16; 95%CI, 0.09-0.31) and rs2240183 (OR = 1.56; 95%CI, 1.30-1.87) (*p* all < 0.001).

Polymorphisms	Controls, n = 571 (%)	IS, n = 556 (%)	Adjusted OR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	P value
rs2240183				
TT	245 (42.9)	179 (32.2)	1.00	
СТ	271 (47.5)	280 (50.4)	1.61 (1.08-2.41)	0.02
СС	55 (9.6)	97 (17.4)	2.26 (1.22-4.19)	0.009
CT/CC	326 (57.1)	377 (67.8)	1.70 (1.16-2.49)	0.006
Т	761 (66.6)	638 (57.4)	1.00	
С	381 (33.4)	474 (42.6)	1.47 (1.12-1.93)	0.005
rs5749201				
TT	284 (49.7)	252 (45.3)	1.00	
AT	234 (41.0)	254 (45.7)	1.03 (0.70-1.52)	0.90
AA	53 (9.3)	50 (9.0)	0.95 (0.50-1.80)	0.87
AT/AA	287 (50.3)	304 (54.7)	0.99 (0.69-1.43)	0.96
Т	802 (70.2)	758 (68.2)	1.00	
А	340 (29.8)	354 (31.8)	0.97 (0.73-1.29)	0.85
rs5753409				
GG	461 (80.7)	431 (77.5)	1.00	
AG	105 (18.4)	117 (21.0)	1.04 (0.65-1.66)	0.86
AA	5 (0.9)	8 (1.4)	0.62 (0.11-3.44)	0.58
AG/AA	110 (19.3)	125 (22.5)	1.01 (0.64-1.60)	0.96
G	1027 (89.9)	979 (88.0)	1.00	
А	115 (10.1)	133 (12.0)	0.98 (0.64-1.49)	0.92
rs7284767				
AA	311 (54.5)	331 (59.5)	1.00	
AG	220 (38.5)	190 (34.2)	0.75 (0.50-1.11)	0.15
GG	40 (7.0)	35 (6.3)	0.74 (0.35-1.55)	0.42
AG/GG	260 (45.5)	225 (40.5)	0.75 (0.51-1.09)	0.13
А	842 (73.7)	852 (76.6)	1.00	
G	300 (26.3)	260 (23.4)	0.80 (0.59-1.08)	0.14
rs8139350				
СС	470 (82.3)	458 (82.4)	1.00	
CG	95 (16.6)	90 (16.2)	0.83 (0.51-1.35)	0.46
GG	6 (1.1)	8 (1.4)	0.65 (0.13-3.27)	0.60
CG/GG	101 (17.7)	98 (17.6)	1.21 (0.76-1.94)	0.43
С	1035 (90.6)	1006 (90.5)	1.00	
G	107 (9.4)	106 (9.5)	0.84 (0.54-1.29)	0.42

**TABLE 2** Association between *TUG1* polymorphisms and risk of IS

CI, confidence interval; IS, ischaemic stroke; OR, odds ratio; TUG1, taurine-upregulated gene 1. <sup>a</sup>Adjusted by age, sex, total cholesterol, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, apolipoprotein A1, apolipoprotein B, homocysteic acid and non-esterified fatty acid.

# **3.5** | The **rs2240183** C allele increased the transcriptional activity

To determine whether the rs2240183 in the promoter of TUG1 affected the luciferase activity, we constructed plasmids containing the rs2240183 C or T allele and measured the reporter activity. The schematic representation of the plasmid construction is shown in Figure 1A. As shown in Figure 1B, both rs224 0183 C and T allele exhibited a higher luciferase activity compared to the empty vector (\*\*P < 0.01). Importantly, the rs224 0183 C allele had a higher luciferase activity compared to the rs2240183 T allele (\*\*P < 0.01).

-WILEY

TABLE 3 Haplotype analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms with IS risk

rs5749201	rs5753409	rs7284767	rs8139350	Controls (%)	IS (%)	OR (95% CI)	P value
т	G	А	С	515 (45.1)	495 (44.5)	1.00	
А	G	G	С	189 (16.5)	142 (12.8)	0.78 (0.61-1.00)	0.05
А	G	А	С	134 (11.7)	163 (14.7)	1.27 (0.98-1.64)	0.08
т	А	А	С	91 (8.0)	89 (8.0)	1.02 (0.74-1.40)	0.91
Т	G	G	С	92 (8.1)	80 (7.2)	0.91 (0.65-1.25)	0.55
т	G	А	G	81 (7.1)	67 (6.0)	0.86 (0.61-1.22)	0.40
А	G	А	G	15 (1.3)	14 (1.3)	0.97 (0.46-2.03)	0.94
Т	А	G	С	14 (1.2)	14 (1.3)	1.04 (0.49-2.21)	0.92

CI, confidence interval; IS, ischaemic stroke; OR, odds ratio; TUG1, taurine-upregulated gene 1.

Only frequency greater than 1% is presented.

# **3.6** | The **rs2240183** CC genotype was associated with increased levels of TUG1

To determine whether the rs2240183 influenced *TUG1* expression, we analysed the levels of *TUG1* in 81 IS patients using qPCR. As shown in Figure 2A, the rs2240183 CC carriers had increased levels of *TUG1* compared to the rs2240183 TT carriers (\*\*P < 0.01). These findings were consistent with results from the expression Quantitative Trait Loci (eQTL) (Figure 2B). The rs2240183 CC genotype was associated with higher gene expression in several single tissues, such as whole blood, cerebellar hemisphere, cortex and hippocampus (Figure 2C-F) (P < 0.001).

# **3.7** | The **rs2240183** C allele binds to transcription factor GATA-1

In silico analysis predicted that rs2240183 C but not rs2240183 T binds to transcription factor GATA-1. ChIP assay was then used to validate the allele-specific transcription factor binding. PCR-electrophoresis revealed that the DNA fragments immunoprecipitated specifically with the anti-GATA-1 antibody rather than non-specific IgG (Figure 3). Further sequencing showed that the GATA-1 binding region contained the rs2240183 CC genotype of *TUG1* promoter.

# 4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we presented two major findings. One is that *TUG1* related polymorphisms were associated with the aetiology of IS. Individuals carrying the rs2240183 CT/CC genotypes in the promoter of *TUG1* had a 1.70-fold higher risk of IS and patients carrying the rs5749201 AT/AA genotypes had lower levels of TC, HDL-C and Apo-A1. Logistic regression revealed that the rs2240183 was a risk factor together with previously identified risk parameters such as TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, Apo-A1, Apo-B and NEFA. The second major finding was that the rs2240183 C allele binds to transcription factor GATA-1, increases the promoter activity and finally elevates

the expression of *TUG1* at the transcriptional level. Taken together, these findings indicate that the rs2240183 CT/CC genotypes were associated with a higher risk of IS possibly by increasing *TUG1* levels.

TUG1, a 7.2 kb IncRNA, was initially discovered in taurine-treated mouse retinal cells and played key roles in retinal development.<sup>28</sup> It is also observed to be up-regulated in nervous system diseases.<sup>18</sup> In atherosclerosis, the up-regulation of TUG1 enhances endothelial cell apoptosis by regulating miR-26a,<sup>29</sup> promotes vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation by regulating miR-21/PTEN axis <sup>30</sup> and stimulates proliferation and migration of endothelial cells via the Wnt pathway.<sup>31</sup> Knockdown of TUG1 ameliorates atherosclerosis by modulating fibroblast growth factor 1 via miR-133a.<sup>32</sup> Under ischemia, TUG1 silencing promotes cell survival and decreases cell apoptosis by sponging miR-9 and decreasing Bcl2l11 protein.<sup>18</sup> All the above mentioned miRNAs are key mediators in the pathology of IS.<sup>33-36</sup> miR-26a promotes angiogenesis in a rat model of IS via the PI3K/ AKT and MAPK/ERK pathway.<sup>33</sup> miR-21 may be used a biomarker to differentiate between IS and transient ischaemic attack patients and overexpression of miR-21 protects against ischaemic neuronal death.<sup>34,35</sup> miR-9 mediates cell apoptosis by targeting Bcl2l11 in IS.<sup>18,36</sup> These findings suggest that TUG1 may be an important regulator in the development of IS.

Previously, IncRNA related SNPs had been found to be associated with the risk of IS.<sup>19-22</sup> Zheng et al reported that carriers with IncRNA GAS5 rs145204276 del/del genotype had a 2.06-fold higher risk of IS.<sup>19</sup> Wang et al reported that carriers with IncRNA H19 rs217727 TT genotype had a 4.29-fold increased risk of IS.<sup>21</sup> The results were confirmed by Zhu and the colleagues.<sup>20</sup> Additionally, four SNPs (ie, rs1537378, rs2184061, rs7044859 and rs7865618) in ANRIL were found to confer to the risk of atherothrombotic stroke.<sup>22</sup> Based on this background, we suggested that SNPs in *TUG1* may be related to the risk of IS. Our results confirmed this hypothesis and we found that the rs2240183 CT/CC genotypes in the promoter of *TUG1* were associated with an increased risk of IS in Table 2. However, the rs2240183 was not associated with clinical characteristics of IS in stratification analysis as shown in Table 4. One possible reason may be that the reference is different. In Table 2, the

and clinical characteristic	d clinical characteristi	clinical characteristic	nical characteristic	ical characteristic	l characteristic	()
and clinical characteris	d clinical characteris	clinical characteris	nical characteris	ical characteris	l characteris	÷ĭ
and clinical character	d clinical character	clinical character	nical character	ical character	l character	<u>s</u>
and clinical characte	d clinical characte	clinical characte	nical characte	ical characte	l characte	٦
and clinical charac	d clinical charac	clinical charac	nical charac	ical charac	l charac	Ť,
and clinical chara	d clinical chara	clinical chara	nical chara	ical chara	l chara	ő
and clinical cha	d clinical cha	clinical cha	nical cha	ical cha	l cha	Ľ
and clinical cl	d clinical cl	clinical cl	nical cl	ical cl	5	3
and clinical	d clinical	clinical	nical	ical	_	Ċ,
and clinica	d clinica	clinica	nic	<u>.ö</u>	-	Ē
and clini	d clini	clini	Ξ.		ü	ü
and cli	d cli	÷		Ē		Ξ.
and o	ð	0		. =	Ξ.	÷.
and	0	_	0		ilini	2
ar	ē	0	_		l clini	2
-	ar	-	p	ilo br	id clini	ar
S	ŝ	an	and	and cli	and clini	ŝ
Ε		s an	s and	s and cli	s and clini	Ε
ISI	Ë	ms an	ms and	ms and cli	ms and clini	ISI
4	ism	isms an	isms and	isms and cli	isms and clini	4
b	hism	hisms an	hisms and	hisms and cli	hisms and clini	þ,
	phism:	rphisms an	phisms and	phisms and cli	phisms and clini	D D
P	orphism	orphisms an	orphisms and	orphisms and cli	orphisms and clini	Ē
nor	norphism	norphisms an	norphisms and	norphisms and cli	norphisms and clini	5
ymor	ymorphism	ymorphisms an	ymorphisms and	ymorphisms and cli	ymorphisms and clini	0
olymor	olymorphism	olymorphisms an	olymorphisms and	olymorphisms and cli	olymorphisms and clini	ă
polymor	polymorphism	polymorphisms an	polymorphisms and	polymorphisms and cli	polymorphisms and clini	-
1 polymor	1 polymorphism	1 polymorphisms an	1 polymorphisms and	1 polymorphisms and cli	1 polymorphisms and clini	C
G1 polymor	G1 polymorphism	G1 polymorphisms an	G1 polymorphisms and	G1 polymorphisms and cli	G1 polymorphisms and clini	5
UG1 polymor	UG1 polymorphism:	UG1 polymorphisms an	UG1 polymorphisms and	UG1 polymorphisms and cli	UG1 polymorphisms and clini	_
TUG1 polymor	TUG1 polymorphism:	TUG1 polymorphisms an	TUG1 polymorphisms and	TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	Ĕ
of TUG1 polymor	of TUG1 polymorphism:	of TUG1 polymorphisms an	of TUG1 polymorphisms and	of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	f T(
of TUG1 polymor	of TUG1 polymorphism	of TUG1 polymorphisms an	of TUG1 polymorphisms and	of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	of TI
is of TUG1 polymor	is of TUG1 polymorphism	is of TUG1 polymorphisms an	is of TUG1 polymorphisms and	is of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	is of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	is of TI
ysis of TUG1 polymor	vsis of TUG1 polymorphism	vsis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	vsis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	vsis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	ysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	vsis of Tl
alysis of TUG1 polymor	alysis of TUG1 polymorphism	alysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	alysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	alysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	alysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	alysis of Tl
nalysis of TUG1 polymor	nalysis of TUG1 polymorphism:	nalysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	nalysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	nalysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	nalysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	nalysis of Tl
analysis of TUG1 polymor	analysis of TUG1 polymorphism:	analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	analysis of Tl
n analysis of TUG1 polymor	n analysis of TUG1 polymorphism	n analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	n analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	n analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	n analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	n analysis of Tl
ion analysis of TUG1 polymor	ion analysis of TUG1 polymorphism:	ion analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	ion analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	ion analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	ion analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	ion analysis of Tl
ation analysis of TUG1 polymor	ation analysis of TUG1 polymorphism	ation analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	ation analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	ation analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	ation analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	ation analysis of Tl
cation analysis of TUG1 polymor	cation analysis of TUG1 polymorphism	cation analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	cation analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	cation analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	cation analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	cation analysis of Tl
fication analysis of TUG1 polymor	fication analysis of TUG1 polymorphism	ffication analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	ffication analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	ffication analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	ffication analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	fication analysis of Tl
atification analysis of TUG1 polymor	atification analysis of TUG1 polymorphism	atification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	atification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	tification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	atification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	atification analysis of Tl
ratification analysis of TUG1 polymor	ratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphism	ratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	ratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	ratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	ratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	ratification analysis of Tl
Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymor	Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphism	Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	Stratification analysis of Tl
Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymor	Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphism	Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	Stratification analysis of Tl
1 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymor	1 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphism:	1 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	1 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	1 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	1 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	1 Stratification analysis of Tl
4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymor	4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphism	4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	<b>4</b> Stratification analysis of Tl
E 4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymor	E 4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphism	E 4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	E 4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	E 4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	E 4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	E 4 Stratification analysis of Tl
LE 4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymor	LE 4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphism:	LE 4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	LE 4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	LE 4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	LE 4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	LE 4 Stratification analysis of Tl
<b>BLE 4</b> Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymor	<b>BLE 4</b> Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphism:	<b>BLE 4</b> Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	<b>BLE 4</b> Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	<b>BLE 4</b> Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	<b>BLE 4</b> Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	<b>BLE 4</b> Stratification analysis of Tl
ABLE 4 Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymor	<b>ABLE 4</b> Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphism:	<b>ABLE 4</b> Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms an	<b>ABLE 4</b> Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and	<b>ABLE 4</b> Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and cli	<b>ABLE 4</b> Stratification analysis of TUG1 polymorphisms and clini	ABLE 4 Stratification analysis of Tl
and clinical	d clinical	clinical	nical	ical	_	5
and clinical ch	d clinical ch	clinical cł	nical cł	ical ch	Ċ	Ja
and clinical cha	d clinical cha	clinical cha	nical cha	ical cha	l cha	Гa
and clinical chara	d clinical chara	clinical chara	nical chara	ical chara	l chara	t
and clinical charact	d clinical charact	clinical charact	nical charact	ical charact	l charact	ē
and clinical character	d clinical character	clinical character	nical character	ical character	l character	- <u>-</u> -
and clinical characteris	d clinical characteris	clinical characteris	nical characteris	ical characteris	l characteris	Ξ
and clinical characteris	d clinical characteris	clinical characteris	nical characteris	ical characteris	l characteris	÷
and clinical characteris	d clinical characteris	clinical characteris	nical characteris	ical characteris	l characteris	ä
and clinical characteri	d clinical characteri	clinical characteri	nical characteri	ical characteri	l characteri	S.
and clinical character	d clinical character	clinical character	nical character	ical character	l character	·
and clinical character	d clinical character	clinical character	nical character	ical character	l character	·
and clinical character	d clinical character	clinical character	nical character	ical character	l character	.s
and clinical character	d clinical character	clinical character	nical character	ical character	l character	·
and clinical character	d clinical character	clinical character	nical character	ical character	l character	is.
and clinical characte	d clinical characte	clinical characte	nical characte	ical characte	l characte	Ξ.
and clinical characte	d clinical characte	clinical characte	nical characte	ical characte	l charact∈	5
and clinical characte	d clinical characte	clinical characte	nical characte	ical characte	l characte	Ξ.
and clinical characteri	d clinical characteri	clinical characteri	nical characteri	ical characteri	l characteri	, io
and clinical characteris	d clinical characteris	clinical characteris	nical characteris	ical characteris	l characteris	ä
and clinical characteris	d clinical characteris	clinical characteris	nical characteris	ical characteris	l characteris	÷
and clinical characteris:	d clinical characteris:	clinical characteris	nical characteris:	ical characteris	l characteris:	÷Ē
and clinical characteris	d clinical characteris	clinical characteris	nical characteris	ical characteris	l characteris	÷=
and clinical character	d clinical character	clinical character	nical character	ical character	l character	
and clinical characte	d clinical characte	clinical characte	nical characte	ical characte	l characte	·=
and clinical character	d clinical character	clinical character	nical character	ical character	l character	-5
and clinical characteri	d clinical characteri	clinical characteri	nical characteri	ical characteri	l characteri	S
and clinical characteri	d clinical characteri	clinical characteri	nical characteri	ical characteri	l characteri	S
and clinical character	d clinical character	clinical character	nical character	ical character	l character	·÷
and clinical character	d clinical character	clinical character	nical character	ical character	l character	-5
and clinical characte	d clinical characte	clinical characte	nical characte	ical characte	l charactei	٠Ë
and clinical characteri	d clinical characteri	clinical characteri	nical characteri	ical characteri	l characteri	.is
and clinical characteri	d clinical characteri	clinical characteri	nical characteri	ical characteri	l characteri	S.
and clinical characteris	d clinical characteris	clinical characteris	nical characteris	ical characteri	l characteris	to a
and clinical characteris	d clinical characteris	clinical characteris	nical characteris	ical characteris	l characteris	ä
and clinical characteris	d clinical characteris	clinical characteris	nical characteris	ical characteris	l characteris	÷
and clinical characterist	d clinical characterist	clinical characterist	nical characterist	ical characterist	l characterist	·≍
and clinical characterist	d clinical characterist	clinical characterist	nical characterist	ical characterist	l characterist	.9
and clinical characteristic	d clinical characteristic	clinical characteristic	nical characteristic	ical characteristic	l characteristic	()
and clinical characteristics	d clinical characteristics	clinical characteristics	nical characteristics	ical characteristics	l characteristics	31

of IS

	rs2240183			rs5749201			rs5753409			rs7284767			rs8139350		1
Variables	Ħ	CT/CC	٩	TT	AT/AA	٩	gg	AG/AA	٩	AA	AG/GG	٩	S	CG/GG	٩
TC, mmol/L	4.80 ± 1.05	4.78 ± 1.00	0.78	4.88 ± 0.92	4.70 ± 1.08	0.03	$4.81 \pm 1.03$	4.69 ± 0.97	0.22	4.79 ± 1.01	4.77 ± 1.03	0.82	4.78 ± 1.01	$4.80 \pm 1.03$	0.84
TG, mmol/L	$1.94 \pm 1.39$	$1.86 \pm 1.44$	0.54	$1.86 \pm 1.26$	$1.89 \pm 1.55$	0.81	$1.85 \pm 1.28$	$1.97 \pm 1.83$	0.41	$1.94 \pm 1.62$	$1.79 \pm 1.07$	0.22	$1.89 \pm 1.48$	$1.83 \pm 1.16$	0.71
HDL-C, mmol/L	$1.27 \pm 0.41$	$1.31 \pm 0.40$	0.31	$1.35 \pm 0.42$	$1.25 \pm 0.39$	0.003	$1.30 \pm 0.41$	$1.27 \pm 0.39$	0.48	$1.29 \pm 0.40$	$1.30 \pm 0.41$	0.70	$1.28 \pm 0.40$	$1.34 \pm 0.44$	0.18
LDL-C, mmol/L	$2.91 \pm 0.97$	$2.79 \pm 0.99$	0.17	$2.80 \pm 1.04$	$2.86 \pm 0.94$	0.45	$2.84 \pm 0.98$	$2.80 \pm 0.99$	0.66	$2.82 \pm 1.02$	$2.85 \pm 0.93$	0.76	$2.84 \pm 0.97$	$2.79 \pm 1.04$	0.66
VLDL-C,mmol/L	$0.90 \pm 0.78$	0.80 ± 0.59	0.11	$0.82 \pm 0.65$	0.85 ± 0.67	0.69	0.83 ± 0.62	0.84 ± 0.79	0.94	0.87 ± 0.80	0.79 ± 0.37	0.14	0.85 ± 0.70	0.76 ± 0.43	0.23
Apo-A1, g/L	$1.22 \pm 0.24$	$1.23 \pm 0.27$	0.73	$1.26 \pm 0.26$	$1.20 \pm 0.26$	0.007	$1.23 \pm 0.26$	$1.21 \pm 0.24$	0.44	$1.24 \pm 0.26$	$1.21 \pm 0.27$	0.23	$1.22 \pm 0.26$	$1.25 \pm 0.25$	0.35
Apo-B, g/L	$1.00 \pm 0.30$	$1.00 \pm 0.30$	0.97	$1.02 \pm 0.31$	0.99 ± 0.29	0.20	$1.00 \pm 0.30$	$1.00 \pm 0.31$	0.86	$1.01 \pm 0.31$	$1.00 \pm 0.29$	0.83	$1.00 \pm 0.30$	$1.01 \pm 0.28$	0.80
Hcy, µmol/L	$14.08 \pm 5.04$	$14.28 \pm 6.16$	0.70	$14.58 \pm 6.14$	$13.91 \pm 5.53$	0.17	$14.33 \pm 6.14$	$13.81 \pm 4.55$	0.38	$14.08 \pm 5.84$	$14.41 \pm 5.79$	0.50	$14.27 \pm 6.03$	13.96 ± 4.72	0.63
NEFA, mmol/L	0.57 ± 0.29	$0.54 \pm 0.28$	0.14	$0.55 \pm 0.28$	$0.55 \pm 0.29$	0.90	0.55 ± 0.29	0.53 ± 0.28	0.40	$0.54 \pm 0.28$	0.55 ± 0.28	0.61	0.55 ± 0.27	$0.52 \pm 0.32$	0.31
Apo-A1, apolipop	rotein A1; Apo-	-B, apolipopro	tein B; ŀ	-Icy, homocystei	ic acid; HDL-C,	, high-de	nsity lipoprote	in cholesterol;	IS, ischa	emic stroke; LI	JL-C, low-den.	sity lipo	protein cholest	erol; NEFA, no	-uo

esterified fatty acid; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; TUG1, taurine-upregulated gene 1; VLDL-C, very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

**TABLE 5** Logistic regression analysis for identifying risk factors of IS

Variables	В	OR (95% CI)	P value
тс	0.55	1.73 (1.29-2.31)	<0.001
TG	0.54	1.72 (1.43-2.07)	<0.001
HDL-C	-0.53	0.15 (0.08-0.31)	<0.001
LDL-C	0.56	1.74 (1.43-2.13)	<0.001
Apo-A1	-4.62	0.01 (0.01-0.02)	<0.001
Аро-В	5.42	226.07 (80.70-633.36)	<0.001
NEFA	-1.81	0.16 (0.09-0.31)	<0.001
rs2240183	0.45	1.56 (1.30-1.87)	<0.001

Apo-A1, apolipoprotein A1; Apo-B, apolipoprotein B; CI, confidence interval; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; IS, ischaemic stroke; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NEFA, non-esterified fatty acid; OR, odds ratio; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride.



**FIGURE 1** The rs2240183 C allele increased the transcriptional activity. A, Schematic representation of the plasmid construction of *TUG1* promoter. A 3396 bp promoter sequence of *TUG1* containing the rs2240183 C or T allele (from -3210 to +186bp) was cloned into a pGL3 basic vector. TSS, transcriptional start site. B, The plasmids were transfected into HEK293 cells and luciferase activity was measured 48 h after transfection (\*\**P* < 0.01)

reference is controls, whereas in Table 4, all the volunteers were IS patients and the reference is part of patients. Also we cannot exclude the possibility that the results may occur by chance. A similar phenomenon was also observed in overall analysis of rs5749201. Although no significant association of rs5749201 with IS risk was observed compared to controls (Table 2), rs5749201 was associated with TC, HDL-C and Apo-A in IS patients (Table 4). The reason for the discrepancy may be explained by the possibility mentioned above. rs2240183 being a risk factor was also identified using subsequent multivariate logistic regression analysis. Besides rs2240183, previously identified risk parameters including TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, Apo-A1, Apo-B and NEFA were also detected in the regression model. These findings indicate that rs2240183 may be a biomarker for the aetiology of IS in the Chinese population.

WILEY



**FIGURE 2** The rs2240183 CC genotype was associated with increased levels of *TUG1*. A, quantitative PCR was used to examine the expression levels of *TUG1* in ischaemic stroke patients. Compared to rs2240183 TT carriers, rs2240183 CC carriers had increased levels of *TUG1* (\*\*P < 0.01). Expression Quantitative Trait Loci analysis of rs2240183 with gene expression in single tissue (B), whole blood (C), cerebellar hemisphere (D), cortex (E) and hippocampus (F)

Next, we explored the possible mechanism for rs2240183 C increasing the risk of IS. We firstly used in silico analysis to predict the binding of rs2240183 and transcriptional factor and found that rs2240183 C but not T allele can bind to transcription factor GATA-1. In this study, CHIP assay was performed and the allele-specific GATA-1 binding was validated. These findings suggest that rs2240183 C promoted the expression of *TUG1* by binding to GATA-1, which may be the possible mechanism for rs2240183

C increasing the risk of IS. It is well known that transcription factor binding to the promoter sequence may promote the transcriptional activity. We then evaluated whether rs2240183 influenced the transcriptional activity and *TUG1* expression using the dual-luciferase reporter gene assay and qPCR technique. We found that the rs2240183 C allele exhibited a higher reporter activity and patients carrying the rs2240183 CC genotype had higher levels of *TUG1*. These findings were consistent with the results from eQTL

WILEY

# -WILEY-----



**FIGURE 3** GATA-1 binds to the promoter region of *TUG1* containing the rs2240183 CC genotype. ChIP was performed using anti-GATA-1 antibody and anti-IgG antibody in HEK293 cells. The promoter region of *TUG1* containing the rs2240183 was analysed using PCR- electrophoresis

in several tissues, such as whole blood, brain, spinal cord, spleen, testis, liver and stomach, further supporting that our findings were reasonable and reliable.

There are some limitations in this study. We collected hospital-based controls and thus the selection bias cannot be removed completely. Because of lack of data of alcohol consumption, geneenvironment interaction analysis could not be performed. Because of these limitations, further investigations are necessary to confirm the significance of rs2240183 as a risk factor for IS. The interaction of *TUG1* polymorphisms with environmental factors is of great value.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the rs2240183 CT/CC genotypes in the promoter of IncRNA *TUG1* were risk factors for the development of IS. We also observed that rs2240183 C was associated with higher promoter activity and *TUG1* expression levels. Furthermore, results from ChIP may provide an underlying mechanism for the observed association with the susceptibility of IS. Because IS is a disease with high mortality and disability rate, it is of great importance to understand the risk factors for the development and progression of IS, which will benefit for the early prevention and intervention of IS.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81560552), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi, China (No. 2018GXNSFAA138120) and the Key Research Projects of Guangxi, China (No. 2018AB58018).

#### CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors confirm that there are no conflicts of interest.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Ye-Sheng Wei designed and wrote the manuscript. Jun Yang and Yong-Ling He helped to perform experiments. Xiang Shi performed the statistical analysis. Zhi-Neng Zeng prepared for figures. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

#### ORCID

Ye-Sheng Wei (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3585-7325

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Weber C, Noels H. Atherosclerosis: current pathogenesis and therapeutic options. *Nat Med.* 2011;17:1410-1422.
- Fromm A, Haaland ØA, Naess H, Thomassen L, Waje-Andreassen U. Atherosclerosis in Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment Subtypes among Young and Middle-Aged Stroke Patients: The Norwegian Stroke in the Young Study. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2016;25(4):825–830.
- Liu L, Wang D, Wong KS, Wang Y. Stroke and stroke care in China: huge burden, significant workload, and a national priority. *Stroke*. 2011;42:3651–3654.
- Benjamin EJ, Muntner P, Alonso A, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2019 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2019;139(10):e56-e528.
- Goldstein LB, Adams R, Becker K, et al. Primary prevention of ischaemic stroke: A statement for healthcare professionals from the Stroke Council of the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2001;103:163–182.
- 6. Warlow CP. Epidemiology of stroke. *Lancet*. 1998;352 Suppl 3:SIII1-SIII4.
- Shinton R, Beevers G. Meta-analysis of relation between cigarette smoking and stroke. BMJ. 1989;298:789–794.
- Davis PH, Dambrosia JM, Schoenberg BS, et al. Risk factors for ischemic stroke: a prospective study in Rochester, Minnesota. Ann Neurol. 1987;22:319–327.
- 9. Dichgans M. Genetics of ischaemic stroke. *Lancet Neurol.* 2007;6:149-161.
- MacClellan LR, Mitchell BD, Cole JW, et al. Familial aggregation of ischemic stroke in young women: the Stroke Prevention in Young Women Study. *Genet Epidemiol.* 2006;30:602–608.
- 11. Brass LM, Isaacsohn JL, Merikangas KR, Robinette CD. A study of twins and stroke. *Stroke*. 1992;23:221–223.
- 12. Lu YL, Wang R, Huang HT, et al. Association of S100B polymorphisms and serum S100B with risk of ischemic stroke in a Chinese population. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8:971.
- Xiang Y, Zhang T, Guo J, Peng YF, Wei YS. The Association of Growth Differentiation Factor-15 Gene Polymorphisms with Growth Differentiation Factor-15 Serum Levels and Risk of Ischemic Stroke. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2017;26:2111–2119.
- Wei YS, Xiang Y, Liao PH, Wang JL, Peng YF. An rs4705342 T>C polymorphism in the promoter of miR-143/145 is associated with a decreased risk of ischemic stroke. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6: 34620.
- Bao MH, Szeto V, Yang BB, Zhu SZ, Sun HS, Feng ZP. Long non-coding RNAs in ischemic stroke. *Cell Death Dis.* 2018;9:281.
- Mercer TR, Mattick JS. Structure and function of long noncoding RNAs in epigenetic regulation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2013;20:300-307.
- 17. Wilusz JE, Sunwoo H, Spector DL. Long noncoding RNAs: functional surprises from the RNA world. *Genes Dev.* 2009;23:1494–1504.

- Chen S, Wang M, Yang H, et al. LncRNA TUG1 sponges microRNA-9 to promote neurons apoptosis by up-regulated Bcl2l11 under ischemia. *Biochem Biophys Res Comm.* 2017;485:167–173.
- 19. Zheng Z, Liu S, Wang C, Han X. A functional polymorphism rs145204276 in the promoter of long noncoding RNA GAS5 is associated with an increased risk of ischemic stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2018;27:3535-3541.
- Zhu R, Liu X, He Z. Long non-coding RNA H19 and MALAT1 gene variants in patients with ischemic stroke in a northern Chinese Han population. *Mol Brain*. 2018;11:58.
- Wang J, Cao B, Han D, Sun M, Feng J. Long Non-coding RNA H19 Induces Cerebral Ischemia Reperfusion Injury via Activation of Autophagy. Aging Dis. 2017;8:71–84.
- Xiong L, Liu W, Gao L, et al. The ANRIL genetic variants and their interactions with environmental risk factors on Atherothrombotic Stroke in a Han Chinese Population. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2018;27:2336-2347.
- Wei YS, Lan Y, Meng LQ, Nong LG. The association of L-selectin polymorphisms with L-selectin serum levels and risk of ischemic stroke. J Thromb Thrombolysis. 2011;32:110–115.
- John SW, Weitzner G, Rozen R, Scriver CR. A rapid procedure for extracting genomic DNA from leukocytes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1991;19:408.
- Chen X, Li S, Yang Y, et al. Genome-wide association study validation identifies novel loci for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *J Thromb Haemostasis*. 2012;10:1508–1514.
- Droop J, Szarvas T, Schulz WA, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic value of long noncoding RNAs as biomarkers in urothelial carcinoma. *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12:e0176287.
- Shi YY, He L. SHEsis, a powerful software platform for analyses of linkage disequilibrium, haplotype construction, and genetic association at polymorphism loci. *Cell Res.* 2005;15:97–98.
- Young TL, Matsuda T, Cepko CL. The noncoding RNA taurine upregulated gene 1 is required for differentiation of the murine retina. *Curr Biol.* 2005;15:501–512.
- 29. Chen C, Cheng G, Yang X, Li C, Shi R, Zhao N. Tanshinol suppresses endothelial cells apoptosis in mice with atherosclerosis via lncRNA

TUG1 up-regulating the expression of miR-26a. *Am J Transl Res.* 2016;8:2981-2991.

- Li FP, Lin DQ, Gao LY. LncRNA TUG1 promotes proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cell and atherosclerosis through regulating miRNA-21/PTEN axis. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2018;22:7439-7447.
- 31. Yan HY, Bu SZ, Zhou WB, Mai YF. TUG1 promotes diabetic atherosclerosis by regulating proliferation of endothelial cells via Wnt pathway. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2018;22:6922–6929.
- Zhang L, Cheng H, Yue Y, Li S, Zhang D, He R. TUG1 knockdown ameliorates atherosclerosis via up-regulating the expression of miR-133a target gene FGF1. *Cardiovasc Pathol*. 2018;33:6–15.
- Liang Z, Chi YJ, Lin GQ, Luo SH, Jiang QY, Chen YK. MiRNA-26a promotes angiogenesis in a rat model of cerebral infarction via PI3K/AKT and MAPK/ERK pathway. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2018;22:3485–3492.
- Wu J, Fan CL, Ma LJ, et al. Distinctive expression signatures of serum microRNAs in ischaemic stroke and transient ischaemic attack patients. *Thromb Haemost*. 2017;117:992–1001.
- Buller B, Liu X, Wang X, et al. MicroRNA-21 protects neurons from ischemic death. FEBS J. 2010;277:4299–4307.
- Wei N, Xiao L, Xue R, et al. MicroRNA-9 mediates the cell apoptosis by targeting Bcl2l11 in Ischemic Stroke. *Mol Neurobiol.* 2016;53:6809-6817.

How to cite this article: Wei Y-S, Yang J, He Y-L, Shi X, Zeng Z-N. A functional polymorphism in the promoter of *TUG1* is associated with an increased risk of ischaemic stroke. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2019;23:6173–6181. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u>

jcmm.14499