# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**Open Access** 



# Aspiration thrombectomy prior to percutaneous coronary intervention in ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Regina El Dib<sup>1,2</sup>, Frederick Alan Spencer<sup>3\*</sup>, Erica Aranha Suzumura<sup>4</sup>, Huda Goma<sup>5</sup>, Joey Kwong<sup>6</sup>, Gordon Henry Guyatt<sup>7,8</sup> and Per Olav Vandvik<sup>9,10</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** Trials of aspiration thrombectomy (AT) prior to primary percutaneous intervention (PCI) in patients with ST-segment elevation MI (STEMI) have shown apparently inconsistent results and therefore generated uncertainty and controversy. To summarize the effects of AT prior to PCI versus conventional PCI in STEMI patients.

**Methods:** Searches of MEDLINE, EMBASE and CENTRAL to June 2015 and review of reference lists of previous reviews. We included randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing AT prior to PCI with conventional PCI alone. Pairs of reviewers independently screened eligible articles; extracted data; and assessed risk of bias. We used the GRADE approach to rate overall certainty of the evidence.

**Results:** Among 73 potential articles identified, 20 trials including 21,660 patients were eligible; data were complete for 20,866 patients. Moderate-certainty evidence suggested a non statistically significant decrease in overall mortality (risk ratio (RR) 0.89, 95 % confidence interval, 0.78 to 1.01, risk difference (RD) 4/1,000 over 6 months), no impact on recurrent MI (RR 0.94, 95 % CI, 0.79 to 1.12) or major bleeding (RR 1.02, 95 % CI, 0.78 to 1.35), and an increase in stroke (RR 1.56, 95 % CI, 1.09 to 2.24, RD 3/1,000 over 6 months).

**Conclusions:** Moderate certainty evidence suggests aspiration thrombectomy is associated with a possible small decrease in mortality (4 less deaths/1000 over 6 months) and a small increase in stroke (3 more strokes/1000 over 6 months). Because absolute effects are very small and closely balanced, thrombectomy prior to primary PCI should not be used as a routine strategy.

Keywords: Myocardial infarction, Aspiration thrombectomy, GRADE, Systematic review, Meta-analysis

# Background

In patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) rapidly restores myocardial flow resulting in decreased infarct size and decreased mortality compared to thrombolysis or conservative medical management [1]. Some patients may, however, experience distal embolization of thrombus and plaque debris with failure to adequately restore distal microcirculatory flow. This "no reflow" phenomenon is associated with an increase in infarct size and lower survival [2].

Randomized clinical trials (RCTs) comparing aspiration or mechanical thrombectomy prior to primary PCI to PCI alone have shown improvement in markers of myocardial reperfusion (e.g. "myocardial blush", ST-segment resolution post procedure) [3]. A recent meta-analysis of 20 RCTs addressing patient-important outcomes and including over 11,000 patients reported that aspiration thrombectomy prior to primary PCI was associated with a reduction in major coronary adverse events and 1-year mortality [4]. A more recent meta-analysis including 26 RCTs, reported a different conclusion: aspiration thrombectomy did not



© 2016 The Author(s). **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: fspence@mcmaster.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, McMaster University, St. Joseph's Healthcare - 50 Charlton Avenue East, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

improve clinical outcomes [5]. Neither of these metaanalyses included the recently published Trial of Routine Aspiration Thrombectomy with PCI versus PCI Alone in Patients with STEMI (TOTAL), which randomized over 10,000 patients [6].

We therefore undertook a systematic review of all RCTs comparing aspiration thrombectomy prior to PCI *versus* PCI alone in patients with STEMI, focusing on patient-important outcomes. As composite endpoints varied between trials and can produce misleading results [7, 8], we focused on individual endpoints of overall mortality, recurrent MI, stroke, and major bleeding.

#### Methods

This review adheres to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) Statement [9]; the Quality of Reporting of Meta-analyses QUOROM [10]; and the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [11].

## **Eligibility criteria**

We included RCTs that compared aspiration thrombectomy prior to PCI with conventional PCI in patients with STEMI, included any one of the following patientimportant outcomes: overall mortality, cardiovascular (CV) mortality, myocardial infarction (MI), stroke (including ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke) and, non-fatal extracranial major bleeding, and followed patients for at least 30 days. We excluded studies reported only as conference abstracts.

#### Data source and searches

A previous review with similar inclusion criteria identified studies up to December 2013 [5]. Using Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) based on the terms "thrombectomy," "thrombus aspiration," "thromboaspiration," "infarction," and "myocardial infarction" (Appendix Table 1) we replicated the search strategy of that review [5] for Medline, EMBASE, and Cochrane Controlled Trials Register (CENTRAL) from January 1, 2014 to June 26, 2015. We also reviewed reference lists of relevant review articles [4, 5, 12] and primary studies.

#### Selection of studies

Teams of two reviewers independently screened all titles and abstracts identified by the literature search, obtained full-text articles of all potentially eligible studies, and evaluated these studies for eligibility criteria.

#### Data extraction and risk of bias assessment

Three pairs of reviewers independently extracted the following data using a pre-standardized data extraction form: characteristics of the study design; participants; interventions; outcomes event rates and follow-up. Reviewers independently assessed risk of bias by using a modified version of the Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk for bias tool [13] (http:/distillercer.com/re-sources/) [14] that includes nine domains: adequacy of sequence generation, allocation sequence concealment, blinding of participants and caregivers, blinding of data collectors, blinding for outcome assessors, blinding of data analysts, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and the presence of other potential sources of bias not accounted for in the previously cited domains [14]. For incomplete outcome data we stipulated as low risk of bias loss to follow-up of less than 10 % and a difference of less than 5 % in missing data in intervention and control groups.

#### Certainty of evidence

The reviewers used the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) methodology to rate certainty of the evidence for each outcome as high, moderate, low, or very low [15]. Detailed GRADE guidance was used to assess overall risk of bias [16], imprecision [17], inconsistency [18], indirectness [19] and publication bias [20], and summarized results in an evidence profile. We assessed publication bias through visual inspection of funnel plots for 10 or more studies.

For decisions regarding eligibility, risk of bias assessment, and data abstraction, reviewers resolved disagreement through discussion with third party adjudication if necessary.

#### Data synthesis and statistical analysis

We chose six months as a follow-up time that represented duration important to patients, sufficient to include most events that would likely be influenced by thrombectomy, and would include relatively few events that would not be potentially influenced by thrombectomy. For meta-analyses we used six months data if available; and otherwise we chose the time point closest to six months, but preferring 1-year over 30 days.

We calculated pooled risk ratios (RRs) and associated 95 % confidential intervals (CIs) using random-effects models with statistical method of Mantel-Haenszel. Absolute effects and 95 % CI were calculated by multiplying pooled RRs and 95 % CI by baseline risk estimates derived from the TOTAL study (the most recent and largest of the included RCTs) [6]. We addressed variability in results across studies by using I<sup>2</sup> statistic and the P value obtained from the Cochran chi square test. Our primary analyses were based on eligible patients who had reported outcomes for each study (complete case analysis). For overall mortality we used all-cause mortality when available. For studies that did not present all-cause mortality we used cardiovascular mortality. We assessed publication bias through visual inspection of funnel plots for outcomes addressed in 10 or more studies. Review Manager (RevMan) provided the software for all analyses (version 5.3; Nordic Cochrane Centre, Cochrane) [21].

We also performed a meta-regression with a fixedeffect model using restricted estimated maximum likelihood with an observed log-odds ratio to predict whether mortality and recurrent myocardial infarction rates changed significantly by mean age. Meta-regression analysis was performed using Stata-13 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).

#### Results

#### Selection of titles

Our search strategy focusing on publications since the last review identified 103 unique citations (Fig. 1).

After title and abstract screening, we assessed the full-text version of 38 relevant citations. In addition, we identified 42 potentially eligible publications included in previous systematic reviews, six [6, 22–26] of which were also identified in our search strategy. Thereafter, we assessed eligibility of 74 unique publications and excluded 49 studies (Fig. 1). As a result, we included 25 publications documenting 20 randomized controlled trials [6, 25–48] involving 21,660 participants. Two studies [28, 35] and one updated follow-up [46] were not included in any of the previous reviews.

#### Study characteristics

Ten studies [26, 27, 29, 31–34, 39–41, 43–46] were conducted largely in Europe (Table 1). Sample size ranged from 56 [35] to 10,732 [6] patients of whom a majority were males with mean ages typically in the early 60s. Studies included adult STEMI patients typically with symptoms lasting >30 min but <12 hours, and cumulative ST-segment elevation of



Table 1 Study characteristics

Author, year	Location	No. patient	Mean age (SD)	No. male (%)	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Follow-up time (months)	Outcomes evaluated
ADMIT [28]	Haifa, Israel	100	l = 57.5 (12.4) C = 57.2 (12.1)	86 (86.0)	Admission <12 hours of onset of symptoms of STEMI, regardless of the initial TIMI flow	Inability to consent; known allergy to either aspirin or clopidogrel; life expectancy <6 months; cardiogenic shock	6 months	Quality of epicardial and microcirculation perfusion; LV function; ischemic mitral regurgitation; MACE (death, recurrent MI, TVR)
Bulum 2012 [29]	Zagreb, Croatia	60	l = 54.3 (9.7) C = 58.5 (8.6)	47 (78.3)	Symptoms suggesting acute myocardial ischemia of >20 min, time from symptom onset of <12 hours, and ST-segment elevation >0.1 mV in >2 contiguous ECG leads	Need for rescue PCI after failed thrombolysis; cardiogenic shock; triple-vessel disease; significant LMCA stenosis; previous PCI of an IRA; pre- vious CABG; life expectancy <6 months	6 months	Referent vessel diameter; minimal lumen diameter; lesion length; percentage of diameter stenosis; MACE (death, recurrent MI, stroke, TLR)
Chao 2008 [30]	Taipei City, Taiwan	74	I = 60 (13) C = 62 (11)	63 (85.1)	STEMI (typical chest pain >30 min with new ST-segment elevation ≥0.1 mV in >2 contiguous leads on a 12-lead ECG), <12 hours after onset, and eligible for primary PCI	Killip IV hemodynamic status; ventricular tachyarrhythmias; previous CABG or significant LMCA lesion; culprit vessel diameter <2 mm; existing TIMI 3 flow without visible thrombus in IRA	6 months	Angiographic differences in TIMI and MBG (post PCI - baseline); MACE (death, stroke, non-fatal recurrent MI, TVR)
De Luca 2006 [31]	Rome, Italy	76	l = 66.7 (14.1) C = 64.6 (12.5)	48 (63.2)	Anterior STEMI, >18 years old, and have an identifiable thrombus on IRA at coronary angiography	Previous MI or CABG; triple-vessel disease; severe valvar disease; TIMI 2 or 3 flow at the time of initial angiography; unsuccessful PCI defined as no antegrade flow or >50 % residual stenosis in the IRA	6 months	LV remodeling; MACE (death, recurrent MI, hospitalization for HF)
EXPIRA [32, 33]	Rome, Italy	175	l = 66.7 (14.1) C = 64.6 (12.5)	105 (60.0)	First STEMI, <9 hours from symptoms onset, IRA $\geq$ 2.5 mm in diameter, thrombus score $\geq$ 3, TIMI flow $\leq$ 1, and >18 years old	Previous PCI on IRA; previous CABG; cardiogenic shock; triple-vessel disease; LMCA disease; severe valvular disease; thrombolysis; contraindication to glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors	9 months	Final MBG ≥2; rate of 90-min ST- segment resolution >70 %; MACE (cardiac death, recurrent MI, TVR); stent thrombosis
EXPORT [34]	24 centres in India and Europe	249	l = 59.2 (12.8) C = 61.2 (12.9)	202 (81.1)	>18 years old, STEMI <12 hours of symptom onset, ST-segment elevation ≥2 mm in ≥2 contiguous leads, visual reference vessel diameter >2.5 mm, and with TIMI flow of 0 or 1 before placing the wire in the IRA	Cardiogenic shock; cardiac arrest prior to intervention; pre- catheterization therapy with lytic agents, or with glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors, or with pacemakers; life expectancy <1 year; current participation in other investigations	1 month	Reperfusion (rate of ST-segment resolution >50 % at 60 minutes postprocedure or MBG 3 immediately postprocedure); magnitude of ST-segment resolution; improvement in TIMI flow; corrected TIMI frame count; MACE (death, recurrent MI, emergent CABG, TLR or TVR, stroke); rate of distal embolization; rate of required bailout techniques (rescue use of the aspiration catheter, distal protection, or glycopro- tein IIb/IIIa inhibitors)
IMPACT [35]	Cambridge, UK	56	l = 64.9 (11.2) C = 67.2 (11.6)	31 (55.3)	>18 and <90 years old, ability to give informed consent, STEMI (ST- segment elevation $\geq 2$ mm in $\geq 2$ contiguous chest leads or $\geq 1$ mm in $\geq 2$ contiguous limb leads) or new LBBB, chest pain for <12 hours, restoration of at least TIMI 1 flow after the wire crossed the occlusion	Cardiogenic shock; previous MI in the IRA territory; unfavourable anatomy (LMCA occlusion or distal vessel occlusion); severe asthma or bradycardia precluding use of adenosine; women of childbearing age; life expectancy <3 months	6 months	Index of microcirculatory resistence; MACE (all-cause death or MI)

 Table 1 Study characteristics (Continued)

INFUSE-AMI	37 sites in 6	452	I = 61 (NR)	334 (73.9)	≥18 years old, STEMI with ≥1 mm of	Prior MI, CABG or LAD stenting;	12 months	Infarct size measured as a
[36, 37]	countries		C = 60 (NR)		ST-segment elevation in ≥2 contiguous leads in V1 through V4 or new LBBB with anticipated symptom onset to device time of ≤5 hours	contraindications to study medications, contrast or CMRI; creatinine clearance <30 mL/min per 1.73 m <sup>2</sup> or dialysis; platelet count <100,000 or >700,000 cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ; hemoglobin <10 g/dL; recent major bleeding; bleeding diathesis; current warfarin use; intracranial disease, stroke or TIA within 6 months or any neurological defect; cardiogenic shock; prior fibrinolysis or glycoprotein Ilb/Illa inhibitors for the present admission; any comorbid likely to interfere with protocol compliance or associated with <1 year survival		percentage of LV mass at 30 days. MACE (death, recurrent MI, new- onset severe HF, re-hospitalization for HF, stroke, clinically driven TVR)
ITTI [38]	Kaohsiung City, Yun-Lin Branch, Taiwan	100	l = 60.4 (11.9) C = 56.5 (11.9)	86 (86.0)	≥18 years old, continuous chest pain ≥30 min, ST-segment elevation >0.1 mV in ≥2 contiguous leads on a 12-lead ECG	Cardiogenic shock (systolic BP > 80 mmHg or need for inotropic agent); history of bleeding tendency, major operation within 6 weeks; hepatic or renal insufficiency; contraindication to tirofiban use	6 months	Occurrence of MBG 3; complete ST- segment resolution; procedure time; occurrence of no-reflow; CK-MB peak and time to peak; TIMI flow and corrected TIMI frame count; MACE (death, recurrent MI, TLR, stroke)
Kaltoft 2006 [39]	Aarhus, Denmark	215	l = 65 (11) C = 63 (13)	168 (78.1)	STEMI, symptoms lasting >30 min but <12 hours, and cumulative ST-segment elevation of ≥2 mV in ≥2 contiguous leads	LBBB; MI within the previous 30 days; fibrinolytic treatment; previous CABG; LCA stenosis; need for mechanical ventilation; severe HF treated with intra-aortic balloon pump	1 month	Myocardial salvage estimated by 99mTc-sestamibi SPECT; final infarct size; markers of effective reperfusion (TIMI flow, corrected TIMI frame count, ST-segment resolution immediately, 90 min and 6 hours after PCI); release of TnT; distal embolization visible at the end of PCI; total procedure time; MACE (death, recurrent MI, disabling stroke); LVEF after 30 days; technical success of the thrombectomy
Liistro 2009 [40]	Arezzo, Italy	111	l = 64 (11) C = 65 (11)	86 (77.5)	STEMI with symptoms lasting >30 minutes and <12 hours, ST-segment elevation >0.1 mV in ≥2 leads on the ECG	Contraindication to the use of platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors; rescue PCI after thrombolysis; previous MI; absence of optimal echocardiographic apical view; life expectancy <6 months; lack of informed consent	6 months	Rate of ST-segment resolution ≥70 %; TIMI 3 grade flow; corrected TIMI frame count; myocardial contrast echocardiog- raphy score index; absence of persistent ST-segment deviation; time course of wall-motion score index; LVEF; LV volume; death; recurrent MI; LV failure; new revascularization
REMEDIA [41]	Rome, Italy	99	l = 61 (13) C = 60 (13)	83 (83.3)	<12 hours of onset of STEMI referred for primary or rescue PCI	No angiographic exclusion criteria were adopted	1 month	MBG ≥2; rate of ST-segment resolution ≥70 %; peak CK-MB; direct stenting rate; distal embolization rate (abrupt "cutoff" occlusion of a distal branch); composite of distal embolization, slow-flow (TIMI flow grade 2), no-reflow (TIMI flow grade 0 to 1); death; recurrent MI; stroke; TLR; any major adverse event

 Table 1 Study characteristics (Continued)

	-							
Shehata 2014 [25]	Cairo, Egypt	100	I = 60.32 (9.2) C = 59.4 (7.4)	64 (64)	Diabetic patients suffering from acute STEMI, symptoms lasting >30 minutes and <12 hours before admission, and ST-segment elevation of >0.1 mV in ≥2 leads	Need for rescue PCI after thrombolysis; prior history of unstable angina or MI; prior PCI CABG; congenital heart disease or any myocardial disease apart from ischemia; limited life expectancy due to coexistent disease	8 months	In-stent restenosis (angiographic luminal diameter stenosis by >50 % in quantitative coronary angiography); MACE (death due to cardiac cause, nonfatal MI, TLR)
Sim 2013 [42]	Gwangju, Republic of Korea	86	I = 63 (NR) C = 60(NR)	59 (71.1)	STEMI with onset of symptoms <12 hours, coronary artery lesions with visible thrombus, ability to undergo a complete CCT examination (Killip I and II) with the ability to perform a15-second breath-hold	Previous MI or CABG; cardiogenic shock; LMCA disease; severe valvular heart disease; unsuccessful PCI (post-PCI TIMI flow <2 or ≥50 % residual stenosis in IRA); rescue or facilitated PCI; contraindication to glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors	12 months	Infarct size at 2 months; markers of myocardial reperfusion (TIMI flow, MBG, ST-segment resolution rate at 90 min); LV function and volumes at 2 months; MACE (cardiac death, MI, TVR)
TAPAS [43, 44]	Groningen, The Netherlands	1071	l = 63 (13) C = 63 (13)	755 (70.5)	STEMI, symptoms >30 minutes and <12 hours, and ST-segment elevation of $\geq$ 0.1 mV in $\geq$ 2 leads	Rescue PCI after thrombolysis; life expectancy <6 months; lack of informed consent	1 month	Rate of post-procedural MBG of 0; rate of TIMI flow grade of 3; complete resolution of ST-segment elevation; absence of persistent ST-segment deviation; TVR; recurrent MI: death
TASTE [26, 27]	29 centers in Sweden, 1 center in Iceland and 1 in Denmark	7244	l = 66.5 (11.5) C = 65.9 (11.7)	5424 (74.9)	STEMI, chest pain for >30 minutes and <24 hours, ST-segment elevation in $\ge 2$ contiguous leads ( $\ge 0.2$ mV in lead V2 or V3 or $\ge 0.1$ mV in other leads) or a presumably new LBBB, and a corresponding culprit-artery lesion on angiography	Need for emergency CABG; inability to provide oral informed consent; <18 years old; previously randomized in the study	12 months	MACE (all-cause mortality; rehospitalization for MI; stent thrombosis); TVR; TLR; complications of PCI, stroke or neurologic complications, HF and length of stay during index hospitalization
TOTAL [6]	87 hospitals in 20 countries	10732	l = 61.0 (11.8) C = 65.0 (11.9)	7797 (72.6)	Symptoms of MI lasting for ≥30 min, definite ECG changes indicating STEMI, referred for PCI for presenting symptoms, randomized within 12 hours of symptoms onset and before diagnostic angiography, Informed consent	≤18 years old; prior CABG; life expectancy <6 months due to noncardiac condition; treatment with fibrinolytic therapy for qualifying index STEMI event	6 months	MACE (cardiovascular death, recurrent MI, cardiogenic shock, HF NYHA class IV); stroke
TROFI [45, 46]	5 european centres	141	I = 61.1 (11.8) C = 60.9 (12.7)	102 (72.3)	≥18 years old, STEMI documented with ≥2 mm ST-segment elevation in ≥2 contiguous leads prior to PCI, presenting in the cath lab <12 hours after the onset of symptoms lasting ≥20 min and having an angiographically visible stenosis (>30 %) or TIMI ≤ II in a single de novo, native, previously unstented vessel	Pregnancy; known intolerance to aspirin, clopidogrel, heparin, stainless steel, limus drugs, contrast material; diameter stenosis <30 % in the target lesion; multi-vessel CAD; unprotected LMCA stenosis >30 %; distal vessel occlusion; severe tortuous, calcified or angulated anatomy that would result in sub-optimal imaging or excessive risk of complication from insertion of catheter; fibrinolysis prior to PCI; platelet <100,000 cells/µl; coagulopathy or active bleeding or chronic anticoagulation therapy; cardiogenic shock; significant comorbidities precluding follow-up as judged by investigators; major planned surgery requiring discontinuation of antiplatelets; proximal RCA stenosis (<30 %) if the IRA is mid or distal-RCA	12 months	Minimum flow area immediately after PCI assessed by OFDI; MACE (cardiac death, recurrent MI in the territory of IRA, clinically driven TVR)

VAMPIRE [47]	23 hospitals in Japan	355	l = 63.2 (10.6) C = 63.5 (9.9)	281 (79.1)	≥21 years old, STEMI symptom >30 min but <24 hours, ST-segment elevation ≥2 mm in ≥2 contiguous leads or with a presumably new LBBB	Primary thrombolysis prior to randomization; cardiogenic shock; history of cardiac arrest; history of CABG; chronic renal failure (Cr >2.0 mg/dl) or hemodialysis; LMCA disease; target vessel <2.5 mm or >5 mm in diameter	8 months	Incidence of slow flow or no reflow during primary PCI (TIMI flow grade <3 not attributable to dissection, occlusive thrombus, or epicardial spasm); coronary flow and myocardial perfusion immediately after PCI (assessed by TIMI flow grade, corrected TIMI frame count and MBG); magnitude of ST-segment resolution, peak CK and CK-MB; angiographic in-stent late lumen loss; LV function; brain natriuretic peptide; MACE (death, recurrence MI, TLR)
Yin 2011 [48]	Dalian, China	164	l = 63.1 (12.9) C = 62.9 (9.5)	120 (73.2)	STEMI patients who had PCI	Not reported	12 months	Thrombus score; periprocedural no-reflow; TIMI frame count; lumen diameter; stent length; 1-week post-procedural ejection fraction; post-procedural angina; recurrent MI; death

SD standard deviation, *no*. number, *I* intervention group, *C* control group, STEMI ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, *TIMI* thrombolysis in myocardial infarction, *LV* left ventricular, *MACE* major adverse cardiac events, *MI* myocardial infarction, *TVR* target vessel revascularization, *ECG* electrocardiogram, *PCI* percutaneous coronary intervention, *LMCA* left main coronary artery, *IRA* infarct-related artery, *CABG* coronary artery bypass grafting, *TLR* target lesion revascularization, *MBG* myocardial blush grade, *HF* heart failure, *LBBB* left bundle branch block, *NR* not reported, *LAD* left anterior descending, *CMRI* cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, *TIA* transient ischemic attack, *SPECT single-photon emission computed tomography, TnT* troponin T, *LVEF* left ventricular ejection fraction, *CK-MB* creatine kinase myocardial band, *CCT* cardiac computed tomography, *NYHA* New York Heart Association, *CAD* coronary artery disease, *OFDI* optical frequency domain imaging, *RCA* right coronary artery

>0.1 mV in  $\ge 2$  leads. Some studies excluded life expectancy < 6 months [6, 28, 29]; cardiogenic shock [28, 29, 32, 33, 35–38, 45–47]; previous CABG or MI or significant left main coronary lesion [6, 25, 29–33, 35–37, 39, 40, 42, 45–47]; pre-catheterization therapy with lytic agents [34]; severe asthma or bradycardia precluding use of adenosine [35]; dialysis; platelet count <100,000 or >700,000 cells/mm3;

hemoglobin <10 g/dL [36, 37]; severe HF treated with intra-aortic balloon pump [39]; contraindication or prior use of platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors [32–34, 40, 42]; rescue or facilitated PCI [42–44]; need for emergency CABG [26, 27]; pregnancy [45, 46]; and major planned surgery requiring discontinuation of antiplatelets agents [45, 46]. Follow-up time ranged from 30 to 360 days.

**Table 2** Study protocol used as preprocedure reported by the included studies

Author, year	Different regimens of anti-aggregation/anticoagulation used
ADMIT [28]	Oral aspirin 300 mg as a loading dose (or only 100 mg if the patient was on aspirin therapy) continued by 100 mg/day indefinitely, 600 mg clopidogrel loading dose continued by 75 mg/day for one year and IV 60 mg/ kg unfractionated heparin as loading dose to keep activating clotting time during procedure > 250 second.
Bulum 2012 [29]	300 mg of aspirin and 600 mg of clopidogrel and a weight-adjusted dose of unfractionated heparin; the usage of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor (eptifibatide) was left to the discretion of the operator.
Chao 2008 [30]	Aspirin 300 mg and clopidogrel 300 mg were given as loading dose, with intravenous heparin 70– 100 U/kg to achieve activated clotting time (ACT) > 200 s prior to intervention.
De Luca 2006 [31]	Aspirin 300 mg orally and heparin 8000 IU intravenously before the procedure and abciximab as a 0.25 mg/kg bolus and 0.125 mg/kg/min intravenous infusion immediately before the revascularisation and continued for 12 hours.
EXPIRA [32, 33]	Aspirin 300 mg, intravenous heparin, abciximab at a standard dose, and clopidogrel 300 mg before the revascularization.
EXPORT [34]	The choice of medication during the procedure such as aspirin, heparin, clopidogrel, and glycoprotein IIb/Illa inhibitors was also at the investigator's discretion, and were administrated according to standard hospital procedure.
IMPACT [35]	Aspirin 300 mg and clopidogrel 600 mg preloading in the ambulance and anticoagulated with a heparin bolus (70–100 U/kg) after arterial sheath insertion to achieve an activated clotting time (ACT) >250 s. Adjunctive pharmacotherapy, including abciximab and bivalirudin, was given at the operator's discretion.
INFUSE-AMI [36, 37]	Patients undergoing primary PCI received bivalirudin anticoagulation.
ITTI [38]	Aspirin (300 mg loading followed by 100 mg daily) and clopidogrel (300 mg loading followed by 75 mg daily) and unfractionated heparin 100 IU/kg.
Kaltoft 2006 [39]	Aspirin 300 mg orally or intravenously, clopidogrel 300 mg orally, and unfractionated heparin 10 000 IE intravenously. During the intervention, all patients were treated with abciximab.
Liistro 2009 [40]	Aspirin (a loading dose of 500 mg), heparin (70 IU/kg), and clopidogrel (a loading dose of 600 mg). All patients also received the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor abciximab with an intravenous procedural bolus of 0.25 mg/kg followed by a continuous intravenous infusion of 0.125 μg/kg/min for 12 hours and postprocedural infusion without heparin.
REMEDIA [41]	Heparin (initial weight-adjusted IV bolus then further boluses administered with the aim of obtaining an activated clotting time of 250 to 300 s in patients treated with abciximab and > 300 s in the remaining subjects) and with double antiplatelet therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel (loading dose of 300 mg followed by 75 mg/day) for at least four weeks. Unless contraindicated, abciximab (0.25 mg/kg bolus plus infusion of 0.125 µg/kg/min for 12 h) was intravenously administered in all patients undergoing primary PCI, whereas in those with failed thrombolysis, abciximab use was left to the operator's discretion.
Shehata 2014 [25]	Aspirin (a loading dose of 500 mg), heparin (70 IU/kg), and clopidogrel (a loading dose of 600 mg). All patients also received the glycoprotein Ilb/Illa inhibitor abciximab with an intravenous procedural bolus of 0.25 mg/kg followed by a continuous intravenous infusion of 0.125 g/kg/min for 12 hours and postprocedural infusion without heparin.
Sim 2013 [42]	Aspirin 300 mg, clopidogrel 600 mg, intravenous unfractionated heparin and nitroglycerin. Oral atenolol 50–100 mg was given to optimize heart rate $\leq$ 65 beats per minute prior to CT scan, unless contraindicated.
TAPAS [43, 44]	Aspirin (a loading dose of 500 mg), heparin (5000 IU), and clopidogrel (a loading dose of 600 mg). Patients also received the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor abciximab, with the dose based on body weight, unless contra-indicated, and additional heparin, with the dose based on the activated clotting time.
TASTE [26, 27]	Patients received the following procedure-related medication: bivalirudin, clopidogrel or ticlopidine, acetylsalicylic acid, ticagrelor, prasugrel, heparin, low-molecular-weight heparin, and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa blocker. The use of platelet inhibitors or anticoagulants was left to the discretion of the treating physician.
TOTAL [6]	Unfractionated heparin; bivalirudin; enoxaparin and; glycoprotein Ilb/lla inhibitor.
TROFI [45, 46]	Heparin in ambulance.
VAMPIRE [47]	Aspirin and intravenous heparin boluses were administered during the procedure to maintain an activated clotting time $\geq$ 300 s.
Yin 2011 [48]	Aspirin 300 mg and clopidogrel 300 mg prior to angiography.

IV: intravenous

Twelve studies [25, 28–30, 34, 35, 38–44] used aspirin and clopidogrel as a preprocedure antithrombotic therapy; some of them [6, 25–30, 32–35, 38, 39, 41–47] also used intravenous heparin; seven of them had all patients were treated with abciximab [25, 31, 35, 39, 40, 41, 43, 44] and; one of them [42] also used nitroglycerin (Table 2).

The choice of medication during the procedure such as aspirin, heparin, clopidogrel, and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors was at the investigator's discretion in one of the included studies [34]. The patients in one further trial [26, 27] received the following procedure-related medication: bivalirudin, clopidogrel or ticlopidine, acetylsalicylic acid, ticagrelor, prasugrel, heparin, low-molecular-weight heparin, and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa blocker, while in other one [6] patients received unfractionated heparin; bivalirudin; enoxaparin and; glycoprotein IIb/IIa inhibitor (Table 2). Patients in TROFI trial [45, 46] received only heparin in ambulance and, in VAMPIRE trial [47] aspirin and intravenous heparin boluses were administered during the procedure to maintain an activated clotting time  $\geq$ 300 s.

#### Risk of bias assessment

A possibly important limitation with respect to risk of bias was lack of blinding for caregivers. A number of studies, including the larger ones, blinded the adjudicators of outcome. Follow-up was largely satisfactory: 14 trials lost less than 10 % of patients to follow-up (Table 3 and Fig. 2).

Table 3 Risk of bias assessment

Author, year	Randomization sequence adequately generated?	Allocation adequately concealed?	Blinding of patients and caregivers?	Blinding of data collectors?	Blinding of adjudicators of outcome?	Blinding of data analysts?	Infrequent missing outcome data? <sup>a</sup>	Free of suggestion of selective outcome reporting?	Free of other problems that could put it at a risk of bias?
ADMIT (28)	Yes	Yes	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Probably no	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulum 2012 (29)	Probably no	Probably no	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Chao 2008 (30)	Probably yes	Probably no	No	No	No	No	Yes	Probably yes	Yes
De Luca 2006 (31)	Probably no	Probably no	No	Probably no	Probably no	Probably no	No	Yes	Yes
EXPIRA (32, 33)	Probably yes	Probably no	No	No	Yes	No	Probably yes	Probably yes	Probably yes
EXPORT (34)	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Probably no	Probably yes
IMPACT (35)	Probably no	Probably no	No	Probably no	Probably no	Probably no	No	No	Yes
INFUSE-AMI (36, 37)	Yes	Probably no	No	Probably no	Yes	Probably no	Yes	Yes	No
ITTI (38)	Yes	Probably no	No	Probably no	Probably yes	Probably no	Yes	Yes	Yes
Kaltoft 2006 (39)	Yes	Yes	No	Probably no	Probably no	Probably no	Yes	Yes	Yes
Liistro 2009 (40)	Yes	Probably no	No	No	Probably yes	No	Probably yes	Yes	Yes
REMEDIA (41)	Yes	Probably yes	No	No	No	No	Probably yes	Yes	Probably yes
Shehata 2014 (25)	Yes	Yes	No	Probably no	Yes	Probably no	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sim 2013 (42)	Probably no	Probably no	No	No	No	No	Yes	Probably no	Yes
TAPAS (43, 44)	Yes	Probably yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
TASTE (26, 27)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Probably no	Yes	Yes	Yes
TOTAL (6)	Yes	Yes	No	Probably no	Yes	Probably yes	Yes	Yes	Probably no
TROFI (45, 46)	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Probably no	Yes	Yes	Yes
VAMPIRE (47)	Probably yes	Probably no	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Probably yes
Yin 2011 (48)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Probably no

<sup>a</sup>Defined as less than 10 % loss to outcome data or difference between groups less than 5 % and those excluded are not likely to have made a material difference in the effect observed

All answers as: yes (low risk of bias), probably yes, probably no, no (high risk of bias)

#### Outcomes

Appendix Table 2 presents the mortality data by individual study and Appendix Table 3 presents individual study outcome data for recurrent MI, stroke, and bleeding.

#### **Overall mortality**

In 20 trials [6, 25–48] that addressed overall mortality, 457 of 10,433 (4.4 %) patients died in the control arm compared to 403 of 10,433 (3.9 %) in the aspiration PCI arm (relative risk (RR) 0.89, 95 % CI 0.78 to 1.01;  $I^2 = 0$  %; risk difference (RD) 4/1,000 over 6 months; moderate certainty) (Fig. 3). Certainty in evidence was rated down to moderate because of imprecision and unblinding of caregivers in all included studies (Table 4).

#### Recurrent myocardial infarction

In 17 trials [6, 25–29, 31–34, 36–41, 43–48], 246 of 10,331 (2.4 %) patients suffered a recurrent MI in the control arm compared to 229 of 10,331 (2.2 %) in the aspiration PCI arm (RR 0.94, 95 % CI 0.79 to 1.12;  $I^2 = 0$  %; RD 1/1,000 over 6 months; moderate certainty) (Fig. 4). Certainty in evidence was rated down to moderate because of imprecision, lack of blinding of caregivers in all included studies and inadequate or unreported blinding of outcome adjudicators in some studies [26, 27, 29, 31, 39, 41, 48] (Table 4).

#### Stroke

In 8 trials [6, 26, 27, 29, 36–39, 41, 45, 46], 77 of 9,185 (0.8 %) patients that underwent aspiration PCI use had a stroke compared to 48 of 9,162 (0.5 %) in the PCI alone (RR 1.56, 1.09 to 2.24;  $I^2 = 0$  %; RD 3/1,000 over 6 months; moderate certainty) (Fig. 5). Certainty in evidence was rated down to moderate because of imprecision, lack of blinding of caregivers in all included studies and inadequate or unreported blinding of outcome adjudicators in some studies [26, 27, 29, 39, 41] (Table 4). We intended to evaluate non-fatal stroke, but data was not available in sufficient number of studies to provide a useful comparison.

#### Major bleeding

In 4 trials [6, 36–38, 43, 44], 99 of 5823 (1.7 %) patients presented major bleeding in the control arm compared to 101 of 5,832 (1.7 %) in the aspiration PCI arm (RR 1.02, 0.78 to 1.35;  $I^2 = 0$  %; RD 0/1,000 over 6 months; moderate certainty) (Fig. 6). Certainty in evidence was rated down to moderate because of imprecision and lack of blinding of caregivers in all included studies (Table 4).



Ŧ

æ

œ

ADMIT

Bulum 2012

Chao 2008

**EXPIRA** 

EXPORT

IMPACT

ITTI

INFUSE-AMI

Kaltoft 2006

Liistro 2009

REMEDIA

Sim 2013

TAPAS

TASTE

TOTAL

TROFI

VAMPIRE

Yin 2011

Fig. 2 Risk of bias assessment

Shehata 2014

De Luca 2006

	Aspiratio	on PCI	Convention	al PCI		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI				
ADMIT	4	41	2	43	0.6%	2.10 [0.41, 10.84]					
Bulum 2012	0	30	0	30		Not estimable					
Chao 2008	1	37	0	37	0.2%	3.00 [0.13, 71.34]					
De Luca 2006	0	35	2	38	0.2%	0.22 [0.01, 4.36]					
EXPIRA	0	88	4	87	0.2%	0.11 [0.01, 2.01]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
EXPORT	3	120	5	129	0.9%	0.65 [0.16, 2.64]					
IMPACT	1	20	1	21	0.2%	1.05 [0.07, 15.68]					
INFUSE-AMI	11	222	15	207	3.0%	0.68 [0.32, 1.45]					
ITTI	1	52	0	48	0.2%	2.77 [0.12, 66.49]					
Kaltoft 2006	0	108	1	107	0.2%	0.33 [0.01, 8.02]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Liistro 2009	1	55	0	56	0.2%	3.05 [0.13, 73.38]					
REMEDIA	3	48	3	48	0.7%	1.00 [0.21, 4.71]					
Shehata 2014	0	48	1	46	0.2%	0.32 [0.01, 7.65]					
Sim 2013	1	43	0	43	0.2%	3.00 [0.13, 71.65]					
TAPAS	25	530	41	530	7.4%	0.61 [0.38, 0.99]	_ <b>-</b>				
TASTE	191	3621	202	3623	46.5%	0.95 [0.78, 1.15]	+				
TOTAL	157	5033	174	5030	38.2%	0.90 [0.73, 1.11]	+				
TROFI	0	59	1	61	0.2%	0.34 [0.01, 8.29]					
VAMPIRE	2	170	1	158	0.3%	1.86 [0.17, 20.30]					
Yin 2011	2	73	4	91	0.6%	0.62 [0.12, 3.31]					
Total (95% CI)		10433		10433	100.0%	0.89 [0.78, 1.01]	•				
Total events	403		457								
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi	$^{2} = 11.2$	1, df = 18 (P	= 0.89)	$ ^2 = 0\%$						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.78	(P = 0.0)	8)				Favours Aspiration PCI Favours Conventional PCI				
Fig. 3 Meta-analysis	ig. 3 Meta-analysis comparing aspiration PCI versus conventional PCI on overall mortality										

More than 10 studies addressed overall mortality and recurrent MI; for both, funnel plots did not suggest publication bias (Appendix: Figures 1 and 2).

#### Meta-Regression analysis

Data from studies assessed in a meta-regression showed that the relationship between mortality rates decreased with increasing mean age; however was not significant (slope: -0.011; 95 % confidence interval: -.0980 to .0765; P = 0.784; Fig. 7). Similarly, the relationship between recurrent myocardial infarction rates decreased with increasing mean age; however was not significant (slope: -0.011; 95 % confidence interval: -.1175 to .0944; P = 0.811; Fig. 8).

#### Discussion

#### Main findings

Based on pooled data from 20 randomized trials with more than 20,000 patients, we found moderate quality evidence for a non-statistically significant reduction in overall mortality (4 fewer deaths/1000 treated over 6 months) (Table 4) and a small potential increase in stroke (3 additional strokes/1000 treated over 6 months) (Table 4) in patients treated with thrombectomy. Moderate quality evidence suggests no impact of thrombectomy on either recurrent MI or major bleeding (Table 4).

A number of factors decreased our certainty in the estimates for overall mortality. In particular, the confidence interval included both no reduction in deaths and a mortality reduction that although small (8 fewer deaths in 1,000 over six months), many would consider important. Similarly with stroke: the confidence interval includes no increase in stroke and an increase of 6 more strokes in 1,000 patients over 6 months with thrombectomy, which many would consider an important risk. Other issues decreasing confidence in our estimates included potential risk of bias imposed by lack of blinding of patients and health care providers in all studies, and lack of blinding of outcome adjudicators in some studies.

The meta-regression analyses showed that both mortality and recurrent myocardial infarction rates decreased with increasing mean age. However, there was a non-significant difference between these two variables and the mean age of participants in both studied groups. A study [49] evaluated through a meta-regression whether there is an association between age, gender, diabetes mellitus, previous myocardial infarction and ejection fraction, and the choice of revascularization, focusing on death, myocardial infarction, repeat revascularization and stroke. The authors found that the reduction in stroke was significantly higher in females, and that women and patients with diabetes mellitus were at increased risk of subsequent revascularization after PCI [49].

#### Strengths and limitations

Strengths of our review include a comprehensive search; assessment of eligibility, risk of bias, and data abstraction independently and in duplicate; use of the GRADE

#### Table 4 GRADE evidence profile: Aspiration thrombectomy (AT) prior to PCI in patients with STEMI

Quality assessment						Summary of	findings				Certainty in estimates	
						Study event rates		Relative risk (95 % Cl)	Anticipated absolute effects over6 months		OR Quality of evidence	
No of participants(studies) Range follow-up time	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication bias	Without AT	With AT		Without AT	With AT		
Overall mortality (Includes	cardiovascula	r (CV) mortality f	for studies onl	y reporting CV r	mortality)							
20866 (20) 6–12 mo	No serious limitations <sup>1</sup>	No serious limitations	No serious limitations <sup>2</sup>	Serious imprecision <sup>1,3</sup>	Undetected	457/ 10433	403/ 10433	0.89 (0.78-1.01)	35 per 1000 <sup>4</sup>	4 fewer per 1000 (8 fewer to 0 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE, due to imprecision	
Recurrent myocardial infarction												
20662 (17) 6–12 mo	No serious limitations <sup>1</sup>	No serious limitations	No serious limitations	Serious imprecision <sup>1,5</sup>	Undetected	246/ 10331 (2.3 %)	229/10331 (2.2 %)	0.94 (0.79-1.12)	18 per 1000 <sup>4</sup>	1 fewer per 1000 (4 fewer to 2 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE, due to imprecision	
Stroke												
18348 (8) 6–12 mo	No serious limitations <sup>1</sup>	No serious limitations	No serious limitations	Serious imprecision <sup>1,6</sup>	Undetected	48/ 9163 (0.5 %)	77/9185 (0.8 %)	1.56 (1.09-2.24)	5 per 1000 <sup>4</sup>	3 more per 1000 (0 more to 6 more)	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$ MODERATE, due to imprecision	
Major bleeding												
11655 (4) 6–12 mo	No serious limitations <sup>1</sup>	No serious limitations	No serious limitations	Serious imprecision <sup>1,5</sup>	Undetected	99/5823 (1.7 %)	101/5832 (1.7 %)	1.02 (0.78-1.35)	15 per 1000 <sup>4</sup>	0 more per 1000 (3 fewer to 5 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE, due to imprecision	

<sup>1</sup>No studies were blinded to patient or caregiver. Some studies (minority of subjects enrolled) did not indicate blinded adjudication. While not specifically rating down for risk of bias, these additional concerns plus borderline clinically important imprecision led to downgrading of certainty in estimates for all outcomes

<sup>2</sup>Some studies only report cardiovascular and not all cause mortality. However cardiovascular mortality constituted significant proportion of overall mortality in studies reporting both types of mortality. Therefore we opted against rating down for indirectness

<sup>3</sup>95% CI for absolute effects include clinically important benefit and no benefit

<sup>4</sup>Baseline risk estimates for mortality, recurrent MI, stroke, and major bleeds come from control arm of TOTAL study (largest and most recent randomized trial)

<sup>5</sup>95% CI for absolute effects include benefit and harm

<sup>6</sup>95% CI for absolute effects include clinically important harm and no harm



approach in rating the quality of evidence for each outcome; and focus on absolute as well as relative effects of the intervention on patient-important outcomes. In this case, the small and more or less equivalent number of possible deaths prevented and strokes caused by thrombectomy, and the uncertainty consequent on the imprecision and risk of bias issues, are crucial in considering patient management (Table 4).

Potential limitations are related to the available data. Trials often suffered from incomplete outcome reporting, and lack of blinding consequent on the nature of the intervention, but for some studies also avoidable lack of blinding (outcome adjudication).

#### Relation to prior work

Recently published results from another metaanalysis [50] as well as data from a limited metaanalysis conducted as part of an evaluation of the outcome of stroke in the TOTAL study [12] are in general consistent with our findings. Results from all three analyses are in general consistent with our findings. Our systematic review and meta-analysis nevertheless adds important information as a result of our comprehensive assessment of risk of bias issues, our use of a complete case analysis that avoids assumptions regarding patients lost to follow-up, our use of the GRADE approach to rate quality of evidence, and our focus on absolute effects of thrombectomy required for optimal decisionmaking.

Furthermore, another review compared the effects of thrombectomy as an adjunct to PCI in the management of acute myocardial infarction in 20,853 patients [51]. The authors concluded that mortality; reinfarction and; stent thrombosis rates did not differ significantly between patients treated with or without AT; but stroke rates were increased with AT [51].



	Aspiration PCI		Conventional PCI		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl				
INFUSE-AMI	2	218	4	214	2.7%	0.49 [0.09, 2.65]					
ITTI	0	52	0	48		Not estimable					
TAPAS	20	529	18	531	19.3%	1.12 [0.60, 2.08]	<b>-</b> _				
TOTAL	79	5033	77	5030	78.0%	1.03 [0.75, 1.40]	<b>₩</b>				
Total (95% CI)		5832		5823	100.0%	1.02 [0.78, 1.35]					
Total events	101		99								
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Chi <sup>a</sup>	$^{2} = 0.80$	, df = 2 (P =	0.67); l <sup>2</sup>	= 0%						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.15	(P = 0.8	8)				Favours Aspiration PCI Favours Conventional PCI				

Fig. 6 Meta-analysis comparing aspiration PCI versus conventional PCI on major bleeding





#### Implications

The possible magnitude of benefit with respect to mortality and magnitude of harm with respect to stroke are small – some might say very small – and similar both with respect to magnitude and likelihood that the effects are real. With respect to mortality, the most likely mechanism of benefit would be a reduction in recurrent MI; the data, however, provide no support for an impact of thrombectomy on MI.

Similarly the mechanism of an increase in stroke is not immediately apparent. In a recent analysis of data from the TOTAL study, thrombectomy was associated with a small increase in procedure time as well as increased use of larger catheters (99.2 % vs. 97.5 % > 5 French) [12]. One could postulate this could lead to an increase in embolization of aortic atherosclerotic plaque leading to increased early ischemic events. More frequent development of subsequent atrial fibrillation would constitute another possible mechanism; no study reported this outcome.

Initial enthusiasm for thrombectomy was motivated by evidence of improvement in markers of myocardial tissue reperfusion. Our findings emphasize the need for caution with respect to surrogates, and the desirability of focus on outcomes important to patients. While it is not routinely justified there may be individual cases in which an operator may feel the potential benefit of the procedure outweighs potential risks.

The absolute effects of thrombectomy prior to primary PCI are very small and still associated with uncertainty. Given the best estimates of effect and associated quality of evidence, fully informed risk adverse patients - and particularly those who are highly stroke risk averse - would likely decline thrombectomy. Patients who place high value on an uncertain mortality reduction and have limited concern regarding a possible stroke increase would be more likely to choose to undergo the procedure. Given current concerns regarding overtreatment and efficient use of health care resources, a policy decision to not use thrombectomy in a particular catheterization laboratory is defensible.

## Conclusions

Moderate certainty evidence suggests aspiration thrombectomy is associated with a possible small decrease in mortality (4 less deaths/1000 over 6 months) and a small increase in stroke (3 more strokes/1000 over 6 months). Because absolute effects are very small and closely balanced, thrombectomy prior to primary PCI should not be used as a routine strategy.

## Appendix

#### Table 5 Search strategy

MEDLINE(R) 1946 to present with daily update	
MEDLINE(R) in-process & other non-indexed citations June	24, 2015
myocardial infarction.ti,ab	194029
*Infarction/	4551
Myocardial Infarction/	145002
or/1-3	201604
thrombus aspiration.ti,ab.	400
thromboaspiration.ti,ab.	125
(aspiration adj5 mechanical).ti,ab.	214
Thrombectomy.ti,ab.	4995
(aspiration and catheter*).ti,ab.	2140
thrombosuction.ti,ab.	34
*Thrombectomy/	2028
or/5-11	7869
randomized controlled trial.pt.	398533
controlled clinical trial.pt.	89780
randomized.ab.	324620
placebo.ab.	163833
drug therapy.fs.	1786167
randomly.ab.	233298
trial.ab.	336144
groups.ab.	1465972
or/13-20	3564150
and/4,12,21	349
exp animals/ not humans.sh.	4063058
22 not 23	346
ase 1974 to 2015 June 24	
Myocardial Infarction.ti,ab.	138908
heart infarction/ or acute heart infarction/ or infarction/ or ST segment elevation myocardial infarction/	298819
myocardial disease/	4499
or/1-3	335897
thrombus aspiration.ti,ab.	899
thromboaspiration.ti,ab.	227
(aspiration adj5 mechanical).ti,ab.	328
Thrombectomy.ti,ab.	7683
(aspiration and catheter*).ti,ab.	3379
thrombosuction.ti,ab.	59
*Thrombectomy/	1973
or/5-11	11913
random\$.tw.	995701
factorial\$.tw.	25787
(crossover\$ or cross-over\$).tw.	76738
	<ul> <li>MEDLINE(R) 1946 to present with daily update</li> <li>MEDLINE(R) in-process &amp; other non-indexed citations June myocardial infarction.ti,ab</li> <li>*Infarction/</li> <li>Myocardial Infarction/</li> <li>or/1-3</li> <li>thrombus aspiration.ti,ab.</li> <li>thrombus aspiration.ti,ab.</li> <li>(aspiration and j5 mechanical).ti,ab.</li> <li>Thrombectomy.ti,ab.</li> <li>*Thrombectomy.ti,ab.</li> <li>*Thrombectomy/</li> <li>or/5-11</li> <li>randomized controlled trial.pt.</li> <li>controlled clinical trial.pt.</li> <li>randomized.ab.</li> <li>placebo.ab.</li> <li>dry enzy fish</li> <li>arandomly.ab.</li> <li>trial.ab.</li> <li>groups.ab.</li> <li>or/13-20</li> <li>and/4,12,21</li> <li>exp animals/ not humans.sh.</li> <li>22 not 23</li> <li>ase 1974 to 2015 June 24</li> <li>Myocardial Infarction.ti,ab.</li> <li>heart infarction/ or acute heart infarction/ or infarction/ or ST segment elevation myocardial infarction/</li> <li>or/1-3</li> <li>thrombus aspiration.ti,ab.</li> <li>thrombus aspiration.ti,ab.</li> <li>thrombus aspiration.ti,ab.</li> <li>thrombus aspiration.ti,ab.</li> <li>heart infarction/ or acute heart infarction/ or infarction/</li> <li>or ST segment elevation myocardial infarction/</li> <li>rimombus aspiration.ti,ab.</li> <li>thrombus aspiration.ti,ab.</li> <li>thromb</li></ul>

#### Table 5 Search strategy (Continued)

Tab	le 5 Search strategy (Continued)		Table 6 Mortality data						
16	placebo\$.tw.	221322	Acronym	No. included in	Follow-	Cardiac-specific	Overall		
17	(doubl\$ adj blind\$).tw.	158296	(author, year)	anaiysis (intervention/	(month)*	(intervention/	(intervention/		
18	(singl\$ adj blind\$).tw.	16231		control)		control)	control)		
19	assign\$.tw.	266556	ADMIT [28]	41/43	6		4/41; 2/43		
20	allocat\$.tw.	95221		47/47	1		3/47; 1/47		
21	volunteer\$.tw.	195251	Bulum 2012	30/30	6		0/30; 0/30		
22	Crossover Procedure.sh.	43314	(Lao 2008	37/37	6	NIΔ	1/37-0/37		
23	Double-blind Procedure.sh.	123817	[30]	57757	0		1757,0757		
24	Randomized Controlled Trial.sh.	377450	De Luca 2006	35/38	6		0/35; 2/38		
25	Single-blind Procedure.sh.	20454	[31]						
26	or/13-25	1582267	EXPIRA[32, 33]	88/87	24	0/88; 6/87	0/88; 6/87		
27	animals/ not humans/	1258280		88/87	9	0/88; 4/87	0/88; 4/87		
28	and/4,12,26	454	EXPORT [34]	120/129	1	3/120; 5/129	3/120; 5/129		
29	28 not 27	454	IMPACT[35]	20/21	6	1/20; 1/ 21	1/20; 1/ 21		
CEN	TRAL Issue 5 of 12, May 2015		INFUSE AMI [36, 37]	222/207	12	NA	11/222; 15/ 207		
#1	myocardial infarction:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been	17426	[30/37]	218/214	1		0/218; 1/214		
#7	MeSH descriptor: [Infarction] evolode all trees	18	ITTI [38]	52/48	6		1/52; 0/48		
#2 #3	MeSH descriptor: [Myocardial Infarction] explode all trees	8885	Kaltoft 2006	108/107	1	NA	0/108 ; 1/107		
#4	#1 or #2 or #3	17525	[39]		C		1/55.0/56		
#5	thrombus aspiration:ti.ab.kw (Word variations have been	151	[40]	55/50	6	1/55; 0/56	1/55; 0/56		
	searched)	101	REMEDIA[41]	48/48	1	NA	3/48; 3/48		
#6	thromboaspiration:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	10	Shehata 2014 [25]	48/46	8	0/48; 1/46	0/48; 1/46		
#7	aspiration mechanical:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have	251	Sim 2013 [42]	43/43	12	NA	1/43; 0/43		
#8	been searched) thrombectomy:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been	336	TAPAS [43, 44]	530/530	12	19/530; 36/530	25/530; 41/ 530		
#9	aspiration catheter*:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been	293		529/531	1	NA	11/529; 21/ 531		
#10	thrombosuction:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	4	TASTE [26, 27]	3621/3623	12		295/3621; 316/3623		
#11	MeSH descriptor: [Thrombectomy] explode all trees	144		3621/3623	1-12		191/3621;		
#12	#5 or #6 or #7 or #8 or #9 or #10 or #11	860		E032/E030	C	157/5000.174/	202/3023		
#13	#4 and #12	216	101AL [0]	7600/2020	U	5030	174/5030		
	In Trials	195	TROFI [45, 46]	59/61	12	0/59; 1/61	0/59; 1/61		
			VAMPIRE [47]	170/158	8		2/170; 1/158		
			Yin 2011 [48]	73/91	12	NA	2/73; 4/91		

\*Preference for 6-month mortality, then any defined period closest to 6 months, however abstract in-hospital mortality if that is the only one available was excluded from review

Author, year	No. included in analysis (intervention/ control)	Follow-up time (Month)	No. (%) of major bleeding (intervention/ control)	No. (%) of non-fatal stroke (intervention/ control)	No. (%) of recurrent myocardial infarction (intervention/ control)
ADMIT [28]	39/42	6			3(7.7)/3(7)
	42/46	1			2(4.7)/0
	49/51	0			1(2)/0
Bulum 2012 [29]	30/30	6		0/0	0/0
Chao 2008 [30]	37/37				
De Luca 2006 [31]	35/38	6			1/0
EXPIRA [32, 33]	88/87	24			0/1(1.14)
EXPORT [34]	120/129	1			2(0.016)/1(0.77)
IMPACT [35]	20/21	6			
INFUSE AMI [36, 37]	222/207	12	NA	2(0.9)/3(1.4)	1(0.45)/3(1.4)
	218/214	1	2(0.9)/4(1.86)	0/1(0.46)	1(0.45)/2(0.93)
ITTI [38]	52/48	6	0/0	1(1.92)/0(0)	2(3.84)/5(10.41)
Kaltoft 2006 [39]	108/107	1		2(1.85)/0(0)	0/1(0.93)
Liistro 2009 [40]	55/56				3(5.4)/3(5.3)
REMEDIA [41]	48/48	1		1(2)/1(2)	2(4)/2(4)
Shehata 2014 [25]	48/46	8			4(8)/6(13)
Sim 2013 [42]	43/43	12			
TAPAS [43, 44]	529/531	1	20(3.78)/18(3)		4(0.75)/10(1.88)
	530/530	12			12(2.26)/23(4.3)
TASTE [26, 27]	3621/3623	12		19(0.52)/18(0.4)*	96(2.7)/99(2.7)
	3621/3623	1			19(0.52)/31(0.85)
TOTAL [6]	5033/5030	6	79(1.5)/77(1.5)	52(1)/25(0.5)	99(2)/92(1.8)
	5033/5030	1		33(0.65)/16(0.32)	
TROFI [45, 46]	59/61	12	NA	NA	1(1.7)/0
	71/70	0	NA	0/1(1.4)	0/0
VAMPIRE [47]	170/158	8			0/1(0.6)
	178/171	0			0/1(0.6)
Yin 2011 [48]	73/91	12			3(4)/6(6.6)

# Table 7 Outcome data per study





#### Abbreviations

AT, aspiration thrombectomy; CV, cardiovascular; CENTRAL, cochrane controlled trials register; CIs, confidential intervals; GRADE, grading of recommendations assessment development and evaluation; MeSH, medical subject headings; MI, myocardial infarction; PRISMA, preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses statement; PCI, primary percutaneous intervention; RCTs, randomized controlled trials; RevMan, review manager; RRs, risk ratios; STEMI, ST-segment elevation MI; TOTAL, Trial of Routine Aspiration Thrombectomy with PCI versus PCI Alone in Patients with STEMI.

#### Funding

R El Dib received a Brazilian Research Council (CNPq) scholarship (CNPq 310953/2015-4).

#### Authors' contributions

Conceiving the review: GHG, FAS, POV and RED. Undertaking searches: JK. Screening search results: RED, EAS, HG, JK, POV. Organizing retrieval of papers: RED and EAS. Screening retrieved papers against inclusion criteria: RED, EAS, HG, JK and POV. Appraising quality of papers: RED, EAS, HG, JK and POV. Extracting data from papers: RED, EAS, HG, JK and POV. Writing to authors of papers for additional information: RED. Providing additional data about papers: RED. Obtaining and screening data on unpublished studies: RED and EAS. Managing data for the review: RED. Entering data into Review Manager (RevMan): RED. Analyzing RevMan statistical data: RED, FAS, GHG, POV. Interpreting data: RED, FAS, GHG, POV. Making statistical inferences: RED, FAS, GHG, POV. Writing the review: RED, FAS, GHG, POV. Taking responsibility for reading and checking the review before submission: RED, FAS, EAS, HG, JK, GHG, POV. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology, Botucatu Medical School, Unesp – Univ Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>McMaster Institute of Urology, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. <sup>3</sup>Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, McMaster University, St. Joseph's Healthcare - 50 Charlton Avenue East, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. <sup>4</sup>Research Institute - Hospital do Coração (HCor), São Paulo, Brazil. <sup>5</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Tanta Chest Hospital, Tanta, Egypt. <sup>6</sup>Division of Cardiology and Heart Education And Research Training (HEART) Centre, Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, Prince of Wales Hospital, and Institute of Vascular Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong. <sup>7</sup>Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. <sup>8</sup>Department of Medicine, Innlandet Hospital Trust-Division Gjøvik, Oppland, Norway. <sup>10</sup>Institute for Health and Society, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

#### Received: 29 January 2016 Accepted: 14 May 2016 Published online: 02 June 2016

#### References

- Keeley EC, Boura JA, Grines CL. Primary angioplasty versus intravenous thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: a quantitative review of 23 randomised trials. Lancet. 2003;361(9351):13–20.
- Stone GW, Peterson MA, Lansky AJ, Dangas G, Mehran R, Leon MB. Impact of normalized myocardial perfusion after successful angioplasty in acute myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002;39(4):591–7.
- Kumbhani DJ, Bavry AA, Desai MY, Bangalore S, Bhatt DL. Role of aspiration and mechanical thrombectomy in patients with acute myocardial infarction undergoing primary angioplasty: an updated meta-analysis of randomized trials. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62(16):1409–18.
- Kumbhani DJ, Bavry AA, Desai MY, Bangalore S, Byrne RA, Jneid H, et al. Aspiration thrombectomy in patients undergoing primary angioplasty: totality of data to 2013. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2014;84(6):973–7.
- Spitzer E, Heg D, Stefanini GG, Stortecky S, Rutjes AW, Räber L, Blöchlinger S, Pilgrim T, Jüni P, Windecker S. Aspiration Thrombectomy for Treatment of ST-segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction: a Meta-analysis of 26 Randomized Trials in 11 943 Patients. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed). 2015;68(9):746–52.

- Jolly SS, Cairns JA, Yusuf S, Meeks B, Pogue J, Rokoss MJ, Kedev S, Thabane L, Stankovic G, Moreno R, Gershlick A, Chowdhary S, Lavi S, Niemelä K, Steg PG, Bernat I, Xu Y, Cantor WJ, Overgaard CB, Naber CK, Cheema AN, Welsh RC, Bertrand OF, Avezum A, Bhindi R, Pancholy S, Rao SV, Natarajan MK, ten Berg JM, Shestakovska O, Gao P, Widimsky P, Džavík V. Randomized trial of primary PCI with or without routine manual thrombectomy. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(15):1389–98.
- Ferreira-Gonzalez I, Busse JW, Heels-Ansdell D, Montori VM, Akl EA, Bryant DM, Alonso-Coello P, Alonso J, Worster A, Upadhye S, Jaeschke R, Schünemann HJ, Permanyer-Miralda G, Pacheco-Huergo V, Domingo-Salvany A, Wu P, Mills EJ, Guyatt GH. Problems with use of composite end points in cardiovascular trials: systematic review of randomised controlled trials. BMJ. 2007;334(7597):786.
- Lim E, Brown A, Helmy A, Mussa S, Altman DG. Composite outcomes in cardiovascular research: a survey of randomized trials. Ann Intern Med. 2008;149(9):612–7.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. BMJ. 2009;339:b2535.
- Moher D, Cook DJ, Eastwood S, Olkin I, Rennie D, Stroup DF. Improving the quality of reports of meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials: the QUOROM statement. Quality of Reporting of Meta-analyses. Lancet. 1999;354(9193):1896–900.
- Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org
- Jolly SS, Cairns JA, Yusuf S, Meeks B, Gao P, Hart RG, Kedev S, Stankovic G, Moreno R, Horak D, Kassam S, Rokoss MJ, Leung RC, El-Omar M, Romppanen HO, Alazzoni A, Alak A, Fung A, Alexopoulos D, Schwalm JD, Valettas N, Džavík V. Stroke in the TOTAL trial: a randomized trial of routine thrombectomy vs. percutaneous coronary intervention alone in ST elevation myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J. 2015;36(35):2364–72.
- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, Savovic J, Schulz KF, Weeks L, Sterne JA. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2011;343:d5928.
- 14. Guyatt GH, Busse JW. Modification of Cochrane Tool to assess risk of bias in randomized trials. http://distillercer.com/resources/.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, Schünemann HJ. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ. 2008;336:924–6.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, Montori V, Akl EA, Djulbegovic B, Falck-Ytter Y, Norris SL, Williams JW Jr, Atkins D, Meerpohl J, Schünemann HJ. GRADE guidelines: 4. Rating the quality of evidence—study limitations (risk of bias). J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64:407–15.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, Rind D, Devereaux PJ, Montori VM, Freyschuss B, Vist G, Jaeschke R, Williams JW Jr, Murad MH, Sinclair D, Falck-Ytter Y, Meerpohl J, Whittington C, Thorlund K, Andrews J, Schünemann HJ. GRADE guidelines 6. Rating the quality of evidence—imprecision. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64:1283–93.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Woodcock J, Brozek J, Helfand M, Alonso-Coello P, Glasziou P, Jaeschke R, Akl EA, Norris S, Vist G, Dahm P, Shukla VK, Higgins J, Falck-Ytter Y, Schünemann HJ. GRADE guidelines: 7. Rating the quality of evidence—inconsistency. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64:1294–302.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Woodcock J, Brozek J, Helfand M, Alonso-Coello P, Glasziou P, Jaeschke R, Akl EA, Norris S, Vist G, Dahm P, Shukla VK, Higgins J, Falck-Ytter Y, Schünemann HJ. GRADE guidelines: 8. Rating the quality of evidence—indirectness. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64:1303–10.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Montori V, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, Djulbegovic B, Atkins D, Falck-Ytter Y, Williams JW Jr, Meerpohl J, Norris SL, Akl EA, Schünemann HJ. GRADE guidelines: 5. Rating the quality of evidence—publication bias. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64:1277–82.
- The Nordic Cochrane Centre. The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager (RevMan). 5.3. Copenhagen: The NordicCochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011.
- Orlic D, Ostojic M, Beleslin B, Borovic M, Tesic M, Milasinovic D, et al. The randomized physiologic assessment of thrombus aspiration in patients with ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction trial (PATA STEMI) [abstract]. Eur Heart J. 2014;35:Abstract Supplement, 45.
- Woo SI, Park SD, Kim DH, Kwan J, Shin SH, Park KS, Kim SH, Ko KY, Hwang TH, Yoon GS, Choi WG, Kim SH. Thrombus aspiration during primary percutaneous coronary intervention for preserving the index of microcirculatory resistance: A randomised study. EuroIntervention. 2014;9(9):1057–62.

- 24. Shehata M. Impact of successful manual thrombus aspiration during primary PCI in diabetic patients: Angiographic and clinical follow-up [abstract]. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2014;83:S3.
- Shehata M. Angiographic and clinical impact of successful manual thrombus aspiration in diabetic patients undergoing primary PCI. Int J Vasc Med 2014b; 263926 doi:10.1155/2014/263926.
- Lagerqvist B, Fröbert O, Olivecrona GK, Gudnason T, Maeng M, Alström P, Andersson J, Calais F, Carlsson J, Collste O, Götberg M, Hårdhammar P, Ioanes D, Kallryd A, Linder R, Lundin A, Odenstedt J, Omerovic E, Puskar V, Tödt T, Zelleroth E, Östlund O, James SK. Outcomes 1 year after thrombus aspiration for myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(12):1111–20.
- Frobert O, Lagerqvist B, Olivecrona GK, Omerovic E, Gudnason T, Maeng M, Aasa M, Angerås O, Calais F, Danielewicz M, Erlinge D, Hellsten L, Jensen U, Johansson AC, Kåregren A, Nilsson J, Robertson L, Sandhall L, Sjögren I, Ostlund O, Harnek J, James SK. Thrombus aspiration during st-segment elevation myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:1587–97.
- Turgeman Y, Bushari LI, Antonelli D, Feldman A, Yahalom M, Bloch L, Suleiman K. Catheter Aspiration after Every Stage during Primary Percutaneous Angioplasty, ADMIT Trial. Intl J Angiol. 2014;23(1):29–40.
- 29. Bulum J, Ernst A, Strozzi M. The impact of successful manual thrombus aspiration on in-stent restenosis after primary PCI: Angiographic and clinical follow-up. Coron Artery Dis. 2012;23:487–91.
- Chao CL, Hung CS, Lin YH, Lin MS, Lin LC, Ho YL, Liu CP, Chiang CH, Kao HL. Time-dependent benefit of initial thrombosuction on myocardial reperfusion in primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Int J Clin Pract. 2008;62:555–61.
- De Luca L, Sardella G, Davidson CJ, De Persio G, Beraldi M, Tommasone T, Mancone M, Nguyen BL, Agati L, Gheorghiade M, Fedele F. Impact of intracoronary aspiration thrombectomy during primary angioplasty on left ventricular remodeling in patients with anterior ST elevation myocardial infarction. Heart. 2006;92:951–7.
- 32. Sardella G, Mancone M, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Agati L, Scardala R, Carbone I, et al. Thrombus aspiration during primary percutaneous coronary intervention improves myocardial reperfusion and reduces infarct size: The EXPIRA (thrombectomy with export catheter in infarct-related artery during primary percutaneous coronary intervention) prospective, randomized trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;53:309–15.
- Sardella G, Mancone M, Canali E, Di Roma A, Benedetti G, Stio R, Badagliacca R, Lucisano L, Agati L, Fedele F. Impact of thrombectomy with export catheter in infarct-related artery during primary percutaneous coronary intervention (EXPIRA trial) on cardiac death. Am J Cardiol. 2010;106:624–9.
- Chevalier B, Gilard M, Lang I, Commeau P, Roosen J, Hanssen M, Lefevre T, Carrié D, Bartorelli A, Montalescot G, Parikh K. Systematic primary aspiration in acute myocardial percutaneous intervention: A multicentre randomised controlled trial of the Export aspiration catheter. EuroIntervention. 2008;4:222–8.
- 35. Hoole SP, Jaworski C, Brown AJ, McCormick LM, Agrawal B, Clarke SC, West NE. Serial assessment of the index of microcirculatory resistance during primary percutaneous coronary intervention comparing manual aspiration catheter thrombectomy with balloon angioplasty (IMPACT study): a randomised controlled pilot study. Open Heart. 2015;2(1):e000238.
- 36. Stone GW, Maehara A, Witzenbichler B, Godlewski J, Parise H, Dambrink JH, Ochala A, Carlton TW, Cristea E, Wolff SD, Brener SJ, Chowdhary S, El-Omar M, Neunteufl T, Metzger DC, Karwoski T, Dizon JM, Mehran R, Gibson CM. Intracoronary abciximab and aspiration thrombectomy in patients with large anterior myocardial infarction: The INFUSE-AMI randomized trial. JAMA. 2012;307:1817–26.
- Stone GW, Witzenbichler B, Godlewski J, Dambrink JH, Ochala A, Chowdhary S, et al. Intralesional abciximab and thrombus aspiration in patients with large anterior myocardial infarction: One-year results from the INFUSE-AMI trial. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2013;6:527–34.
- Liu CP, Lin MS, Chiu YW, Lee JK, Hsu CN, Hung CS, Kao HL. Additive benefit of glycoprotein Ilb/Illa inhibition and adjunctive thrombus aspiration during primary coronary intervention: results of the Initial Thrombosuction and Tirofiban Infusion (ITTI) trial. Int J Cardiol. 2012;156(2):174–9.
- Kaltoft A, Bøttcher M, Nielsen SS, Hansen HH, Terkelsen C, Maeng M, Kristensen J, Thuesen L, Krusell LR, Kristensen SD, Andersen HR, Lassen JF, Rasmussen K, Rehling M, Nielsen TT, Bøtker HE. Routine thrombectomy in percutaneous coronary intervention for acute ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction: A randomized, controlled trial. Circulation. 2006;114:40–7.

- Liistro F, Grotti S, Angioli P, Falsini G, Ducci K, Baldassarre S, Sabini A, Brandini R, Capati E, Bolognese L. Impact of thrombus aspiration on myocardial tissue reperfusion and left ventricular functional recovery and remodeling after primary angioplasty. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2009;2:376–83.
- 41. Burzotta F, Trani C, Romagnoli E, Mazzari MA, Rebuzzi AG, De Vita M, Garramone B, Giannico F, Niccoli G, Biondi-Zoccai GG, Schiavoni G, Mongiardo R, Crea F. Manual thrombus-aspiration improves myocardial reperfusion: The randomized evaluation of the effect of mechanical reduction of distal embolization by thrombus-aspiration in primary and rescue angioplasty (REMEDIA) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;46:371–6.
- Sim DS, Ahn Y, Kim YH, Lee D, Seon HJ, Park KH, Yoon HJ, Yoon NS, Kim KH, Hong YJ, Park HW, Kim JH, Jeong MH, Cho JG, Park JC. Effect of manual thrombus aspiration during primary percutaneous coronary intervention on infarct size: Evaluation with cardiac computed tomography. Int J Cardio. 2013;168:4328–30.
- Svilaas T, Vlaar PJ, van der Horst IC, Diercks GF, de Smet BJ, van den Heuvel AF, Anthonio RL, Jessurun GA, Tan ES, Suurmeijer AJ, Zijlstra F. Thrombus aspiration during primary percutaneous coronary intervention. N Engl J Med. 2008;358:557–67.
- 44. Vlaar PJ, Svilaas T, van der Horst IC, Diercks GF, Fokkema ML, de Smet BJ, van den Heuvel AF, Anthonio RL, Jessurun GA, Tan ES, Suurmeijer AJ, Zijlstra F. Cardiac death and reinfarction after 1 year in the thrombus aspiration during percutaneous coronary intervention in acute myocardial infarction study (tapas): A 1-year follow-up study. Lancet. 2008;371:1915–20.
- 45. Onuma Y, Thuesen L, van Geuns RJ, van der Ent M, Desch S, Fajadet J, Christiansen E, Smits P, Holm NR, Regar E, van Mieghem N, Borovicanin V, Paunovic D, Senshu K, van Es GA, Muramatsu T, Lee IS, Schuler G, Zijlstra F, Garcia-Garcia HM, Serruys PW. Randomized study to assess the effect of thrombus aspiration on flow area in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: an optical frequency domain imaging study—TROFI trial. European Heart J. 2013;34:1050–60.
- Garcia-Garcia HM, Muramatsu T, Nakatani S, Lee IS, Holm NR, Thuesen L, van Geuns RJ, van der Ent M, Borovicanin V, Paunovic D, Onuma Y, Serruys PW. Serial optical frequency domain imaging in STEMI patients: the follow-up report of TROFI study. European Heart J – Cardiovascular Imaging. 2014;15(9):987–95.
- 47. Ikari Y, Sakurada M, Kozuma K, Kawano S, Katsuki T, Kimura K, Suzuki T, Yamashita T, Takizawa A, Misumi K, Hashimoto H, Isshiki T. Upfront thrombus aspiration in primary coronary intervention for patients with ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction: Report of the VAMPIRE (vacuum aspiration thrombus removal) trial. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2008;1:424–31.
- Yin D, Zhu H, Zhou X, Huang R, Wang J, Zheng Z. Thrombus aspiration before angiography during percutaneous coronary intervention in acute myocardial infarction. J Dalian Med Univ. 2011;33:235–9.
- 49. D'Ascenzo F, Barbero U, Moretti C, Palmerini T, Della Riva D, Mariani A, Omedè P, DiNicolantonio JJ, Biondi-Zoccai G, Gaita F. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary artery bypass graft for stable angina: meta-regression of randomized trials. Contemp Clin Trials. 2014;38(1):51–8.
- Elgendy IY, Huo T, Bhatt DL, Bavry AA. Is Aspiration Thrombectomy Beneficial in Patients Undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention? Meta-Analysis of Randomized Trials. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2015;8(7).
- Barkagan M, Steinvil A, Berchenko Y, Finkelstein A, Keren G, Banai S, Halkin A. Impact of routine manual aspiration thrombectomy on outcomes of patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction: A meta-analysis. Int J Cardiol. 2016;204:189–95.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

