



## Assessing the Selectivity of FXR, LXRs, CAR, and RORγ Pharmaceutical Ligands With Reporter Cell Lines

Lucia Toporova<sup>\*</sup>, Marina Grimaldi, Abdelhay Boulahtouf and Patrick Balaguer<sup>\*</sup>

Institut de Recherche en Cancérologie de Montpellier (IRCM), INSERM U1194, ICM, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France

### **OPEN ACCESS**

### Edited by:

Salvatore Salomone, University of Catania, Italy

### Reviewed by:

Alejandro Carazo, Charles University, Czechia Petr Pavek, Charles University, Czechia Jean-Marc A. Lobaccaro, Université Clermont Auvergne, France

> \*Correspondence: Lucia Toporova lucia.toporova@inserm.fr Patrick Balaguer patrick.balaguer@inserm.fr

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Experimental Pharmacology and Drug Discovery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

**Received:** 14 May 2020 **Accepted:** 10 July 2020 **Published:** 24 July 2020

#### Citation:

Toporova L, Grimaldi M, Boulahtouf A and Balaguer P (2020) Assessing the Selectivity of FXR, LXRs, CAR, and RORγPharmaceutical Ligands With Reporter Cell Lines. Front. Pharmacol. 11:1122. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.01122 To characterize human nuclear receptor (NR) specificity of synthetic pharmaceutical chemicals we established stable cell lines expressing the ligand binding domains (LBDs) of human FXR, LXR $\alpha$ , LXR $\beta$ , CAR, and ROR $\gamma$  fused to the yeast GAL4 DNA binding domain (DBD). As we have already done for human PXR, a two-step transfection procedure was used. HeLa cells stably expressing a Gal4 responsive gene (HG5LN cell line) were transfected by Gal4-NRs expressing plasmids. At first, using these cell lines as well as the HG5LN PXR cells, we demonstrated that the basal activities varied from weak (FXR and LXRs), intermediate (PXR), to strong (CAR and RORy), reflecting the recruitment of HeLa co-regulators in absence of ligand. Secondly, we finely characterized the activities of commercially available FXR, LXR $\alpha$ , LXR $\beta$ , CAR, ROR $\gamma$ , and PXR agonists/antagonists GW4064, feraxamine, DY268, T0901317, GW3965, WAY252623, SR9238, SR9243, GSK2033, CITCO, CINPA1, PK11195, S07662, SR1078, SR0987, SR1001, SR2211, XY018, clotrimazole, dabrafenib, SR12813, and SPA70, respectively. Among these compounds we revealed both, receptor specific agonists/antagonists, as well as less selective ligands, activating or inhibiting several nuclear receptors. FXR ligands manifested high receptor selectivity. Vice versa, LXR ligands behaved in non-selective manner, all activating at least PXR. CAR was selectively influenced by their ligands, while it also responded to several LXR ligands. Finally, although PXR was quite selectively activated or antagonized by its own ligands, it responded to several NRs ligands as well. Thus, using these reporter cell lines enabled us to precisely characterize the selectivity of pharmaceutical ligands for different nuclear receptors.

Keywords: reporter cell lines, nuclear receptors, basal activity, selectivity, agonism, antagonism

## INTRODUCTION

The superfamily of nuclear receptors (NRs) roofs the essential biological processes implying cell proliferation, differentiation, development and cell death, in both, normal and pathologic states (Aranda and Pascual, 2001; Evans and Mangelsdorf, 2014). NRs are transcription factors that comprise a modulatory A/B domain on N-terminus, a 'hinge' D domain, a ligand-binding domain (E domain), and

a variable C-terminal F domain (Aranda and Pascual, 2001; Evans and Mangelsdorf, 2014; Weikum et al., 2018). Hydrophobic pocket of LBDs predispose NRs for response to specific ligands containing a range of endogenous hormonal or metabolic substances (bile acids, retinoids, steroid hormones, thyroid hormone, vitamin D), as well as a wide spectrum of ubiquitously present exogenous substances able to mimic or antagonize natural ligands (Weikum et al., 2018; Toporova and Balaguer, 2020). Among 48 members expressed in humans, 24 NRs perform as endogenous, ligandactivated transcription factors that in cooperation with coactivators/corepressors precisely regulate target gene expression. The remaining NRs called orphan receptors lack their regulatory ligands, so their transcriptional activity is alternatively regulated by post-translational events or expression of coregulatory proteins and eventually, by exogenous chemicals. In accordance to small sized, hydrophobic and lipid soluble character of endogenous molecules, there are plenty of suspected chemicals with pharmacological or industrial origin in the environment that potentially interact with NRs. In this regard, we took of interest the human farnesoid X receptor (FXR), the human liver X receptors (LXRa and LXRB) and the human retinoic-acid-receptor-related orphan nuclear receptor  $\gamma$ (RORy), serving as major actors of energy metabolism and circadian rhythm in organisms, transcriptionally regulating bile salt, cholesterol, fatty acid, and glucose homeostasis (Kalaany and Mangelsdorf, 2006; Evans and Mangelsdorf, 2014), as well as promiscuous xenobiotic receptors, the human constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) and the human pregnane X receptor (PXR), sensing to toxic by-products of endogenous metabolism, as well as exogenous chemicals arranging their elimination. As we have already done for other NRs (Seimandi et al., 2005; Grimaldi et al., 2015), we have established stable HG5LN-derived reporter cell lines in which FXR, LXRs, RORy and CAR respective ligands induce luciferase activity. These cells stably express a chimeric protein containing the yeast transactivator GAL4 DBD fused to LBD regions of individual human NRs and contain luciferase reporter gene driven by recognition sequence of GAL4 pentamer in front of β-globin promoter. The GAL4-NR chimeric cell lines provide uniform cellular model differing just in receptor LBDs that eliminates background activities of endogenous receptors and allows accurate comparison of activity among receptors and their subtypes. As a proof of concept, we used these reporter cell lines to compare basal activity of FXR, LXRs, RORy, CAR and PXR and finely characterize the selectivity of 22 commercially available pharmaceutical compounds. Regarding our results, we were able to confirm specific agonist/antagonist for nuclear receptors, reveal their selectivity or contrary, their promiscuity. We clearly demonstrated that in vitro cell-based assay enables an easy and rapid identification of nuclear receptor specific ligands with potential therapeutic applications.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Chemicals

All tested compounds were obtained from Tocris (Bristol, UK) and their chemical structures are showed in supplementary

(**Figure S1**). Chemicals were dissolved and stored as  $10^{-2}$  M stock solutions in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), while the final DMSO concentration never exceeded 0.1% (v/v) of the culture medium during testing.

### **Plasmids**

The plasmid (GAL4RE)5- $\beta$ Glob-Luc-SVNeo (Chen et al., 1995) contains luciferase gene driven by a pentamer of yeast activator GAL4 binding sites in front of  $\beta$ -globin promoter and a neomycin phosphotransferase gene under the control of SV40 promoter. To construct GAL4-NR chimeras, individual LBDs of human FXR (R193-Q486), LXR $\alpha$  (A187-E447), LXR $\beta$  (G199-E461), CAR (M76-S348), and ROR $\gamma$  (S97-K518) were amplified by PCR with primers containing XhoI and SacI restriction sites (FXR), XhoI and BamHI (LXRs, CAR, ROR $\gamma$ ) and inserted in pSG5-GAL4(DBD)-puromycin plasmid. To construct GAL4-CAR (+ APYLT) (M76-S353), the five amino acids APYLT were inserted by overlap extension PCR between amino acids P270 and D271 of CAR.

### **Cell Lines**

HG5LN and HG5LN PXR cells have already been described (Delfosse et al., 2015). To characterize the specificity of chemicals for human FXR, LXR $\alpha$ , LXR $\beta$ , CAR and ROR $\gamma$ , we established in a similar manner HG5LN FXR, LXRα, LXRβ, CAR WT, CAR (APYLT) and RORy reporter cell lines. HG5LN are HeLa cells stably transfected by the (GAL4RE)5-βGlob-Luc-SVNeo plasmid (Seimandi et al., 2005). These cells were stably transfected by pSG5-GAL4 (DBD)-NRs (LBD)-puromycin plasmid and selected in presence of 0.5 µg/ml puromycin. Three weeks after the initiation of the puromycin selection, maximal luciferase expression of resistant clones was measured in presence of 1 µM GW4064 (HG5LN FXR), 1 µM T0901317 (HG5LN LXRs), DMSO (HG5LN RORy and CAR), 1 µM CITCO (HG5LN CAR + APYLT) and 0.3 µM luciferin for 24h. Two days later, minimal luminescence expression from the same individual clones was measured in presence of 1 µM DY268 (HG5LN FXR), 1 µM SR9238 (HG5LN LXRs), 10 µM SR2211 (HG5LN RORy), 1 µM PK1195 (HG5LN CAR and CAR + APYLT), and 0.3  $\mu$ M luciferin. From each HG5LN NR cells, 5 to 10 clones were chosen for their ligand inducibility of luciferase expression. We noted that the basal activity in absence of ligand strongly differed among NRs. FXR, LXRs, and CAR APYLT had the lowest basal activities, whereas CAR and RORy had the highest. The most inducible clones were expanded and rechecked for inducibility and aliquots were frozen at different passages. One clone per receptor was maintained in culture and used for ligand screening. HG5LN cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM): Nutrient Mixture F-12 (DMEM/F-12) containing phenol red and 1 g/l glucose and supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ ml of penicillin, 100 µg/ml of streptomycin and 1 mg/ml geneticin, in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> humidified atmosphere at 37°C. Stably transfected cell lines derived from HG5LN cells were cultured in the same culture medium supplemented with 0.5 µg/ml puromycin.

### **Transactivation Experiments**

Individual reporter cell lines were seeded at a density of 40,000 cells per well in 96-well white opaque tissue culture plates (Greiner CellStar) in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium: Nutrient Mixture F-12 (DMEM/F-12) without phenol red and 1 g/l glucose and supplemented with 5% stripped fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml of penicillin, 100 µg/ml of streptomycin (test medium). Chemicals to be tested were added 24h later, and cells were incubated at 37°C for 16h. Experiments were performed in quadruplicates. At the end of the incubation period, culture medium was replaced with test medium containing 0.3 mM luciferin solution. Luciferase activity was measured for 2s in intact living cells after 10 min stabilization using a Micro Beta Wallac luminometer (PerkinElmer).  $EC_{50}$  values were calculated using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software Inc).

Chemicals tested for agonism on CAR WT and ROR $\gamma$  were incubated in presence of 1  $\mu$ M PK11195 and 1  $\mu$ M SR2211. Chemicals tested for antagonism on FXR, LXRs, and PXR were incubated in presence of 100 nM GW4064 (HG5LN FXR), 20 nM T0901317 (HG5LN LXR $\alpha$ ), 100 nM T0901317 (HG5LN LXR $\beta$ ) 200 nM SR12813 (HG5LN PXR). Chemicals tested for agonism on FXR, LXRs, CAR (+ APYLT), PXR, and antagonism on CAR and ROR $\gamma$  were tested alone, without addition of any other ligand.

### **Data Analysis and Statistics**

In the transactivation assay, each compound was tested at various concentrations in three independent experiments at least. For each experiment, tests were performed in quadruplicates for each concentration, and data are expressed by means values with standard deviations. Individual agonist dose–response curves, in the absence and presence of antagonist, were fitted using the sigmoid dose-response function of a graphics and statistics software program (GraphPad Prism, version 5.0). EC<sub>50</sub> (effective concentration for half-maximal luciferase activity) and IC<sub>50</sub> (half-maximal inhibitory concentration) values were calculated from equations used to

fit the data in this graphic software. Transactivation data are presented as  $EC_{50}$  and  $IC_{50}$  values for each compound tested. To analyze significances, we compared individual compound treatments with controls using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the help of GraphPad Prism software.

### RESULTS

# Basal and Maximal Activity of HG5LN GAL4-NRs Cells

To evaluate the FXR, LXR $\alpha$ , LXR $\beta$ , PXR, CAR, and ROR $\gamma$ specificity of pharmaceutical chemicals, we established HG5LN reporter cell lines expressing human FXR, LXRa, LXRb, CAR, CAR (+ APYLT), and RORy. Then, we tested the agonistic/ antagonistic potential of 22 commercially available pharmaceutical compounds (Figure S1) on these cells and in the previously established PXR cell line (Delfosse et al., 2015). The 22 chemicals were first tested for non-specific modulation of luciferase expression on the HG5LN parental cell line, which contains the same reporter gene as HG5LN-GAL4-NRs cells, but lacks of Gal4-NRs. We found XY018, SPA70 and clotrimazole, as the most toxic representatives, while GW4064, DY268, GSK2033, WAY252623, and CITCO revealed vice versa, significant nonspecific activation (Figure S2). The basal (in absence of ligand) and the maximal (in presence of NR-specific full agonist) activities of HG5LN and the different HG5LN GAL4-NRs were measured. The maximal activity of FXR, LXRs, and PXR was obtained in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M GW4064 (FXR), 1 µM T0901317 (LXRs), 3 µM SR12813 (PXR), respectively. The expression of FXR induced the slight repression of basal transcription, while both LXRs and PXR had no effect, or just slightly activated the basal transcription (Figure 1). Consequently, the baseline activities were normalized as percentage of maximal activity mediated by full agonists, as follows: 6% for FXR, 8% for LXR $\alpha$ , 9% for LXR $\beta$ ,





19% for PXR (Table 1). On the contrary, the basal activity was increased by the expression of CAR and RORy. Due to the inherent constitutive activity, we determined this activity equal to 100% as the specific agonists were not able to reveal their transactivation potential alone, but they were able to reverse the inhibition caused by antagonists/reverse agonists. To characterize easily CAR agonists, we used cell line expressing a variant of CAR (CAR + APYLT) with reduced basal (Auerbach et al., 2003). In this cell line, the baseline activity (11%) was normalized as percentage of maximal activity mediated by full agonist CITCO (Table 1) (Maglich et al., 2003). The difference in baseline activities of different NRs potentially results from receptor-specific recruitment of endogenous corepressors and/ or coactivators presented in their apo forms. Subsequently, we tested all selected pharmaceutical compounds on our stable HG5LN GAL4-NRs reporter cell lines.

### NRs Response to FXR Chemicals

FXR (NR1H4) was characterized as a nuclear receptor weakly activated by farnesol metabolites and by primary bile acids (Forman et al., 1995; Makishima et al., 1999). FXR also responds to lipophilic signaling molecules, including endocrine hormones, vitamins, xenobiotics, and dietary lipids. Three FXR ligands, two agonists (GW4064, feraxamine), and one antagonist (DY268) were tested, in HG5LN GAL4-FXR cells (**Figures 2A**, **B**). The full agonist GW4064 (Merk et al., 2019) acted as the most potent and efficacious FXR agonist with half-maximal effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) equal to 41 nM (**Table 1**), which was in

accordance with Zhang and co-workers (2015) obtained on HEK293T cells. Contrary to GW4064, feraxamine recently described as partial agonist (Merk et al., 2019) did not reach maximal FXR agonistic potential with an EC<sub>50</sub> equal to 612 nM (**Figure 2A, Table 1**). Consistent with its partial agonist profile, feraxamine inhibited agonist-induced reporter activity of FXR chimeric receptor with corresponding concentration required to produce 50% of inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub>) calculated to 687 nM (**Figure 2B, Table 2**). As some full FXR agonists decreased the level of high-density lipoprotein (HDL), while increasing the level of low-density lipoprotein (LDL), leading finally to cardiovascular-related adverse effects, a partial agonists/antagonists could be promisingly less toxic than full agonists.

Finally, DY268, trisubstituted-pyrazol carboxamide (IC<sub>50</sub> of 468.5 nM in FXR cell-based antagonistic assays published by Yu et al., 2014), behaved as a full FXR antagonist, able to inhibit FXR activity with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 148 nM (**Figure 2B**, **Table 2**). Interestingly, all these compounds showed full FXR selectivity, as they did not activated/ inhibited the other tested NRs (**Tables 1** and **2**). FXR ligand specificity is the important observation, considering the beneficial effects of FXR ligands in cholestasis and hypercholesterolemia (Jonker et al., 2012; Amano et al., 2018; Keitel et al., 2019).

### **NRs Response to LXRs Chemicals**

LXR $\alpha$  and LXR $\beta$  (also known as NR1H3 and NR1H2) originally considered to be orphan nuclear receptors, were "adopted" following the discovery that metabolites of cholesterol bind to and activate these receptors at physiological concentrations and

TABLE 1 | Maximal activity and half maximal effective concentration (EC50) of the chemicals on FXR, LXRs, RORy, CAR and PXR.

NR/Chemical		FXR		LXRα		LXRβ		RORγ (SR2211 1 mM)		CAR (APYLT)		PXR		
		% max act	EC50	% max act	EC50	% max act	EC50	% max act	EC50	% max act	EC50	% max act	EC50	
	DMSO	6 ± 1	_	8 ± 1	_	9 ± 2	_	56 ± 5	_	11 ± 3	_	19 ± 2	_	
Ligand	ls													
FXR	GW4064*	100 41 ± 11		NE		NE		NE		NE		NE		
	Feraxamine	33 612 ± 188		NE		NE		NE		NE		NE		
	DY268	-	-	Ν	IE	1	NE	Ν	١E	1	NE		NE	
LXR	T0901317	-	-	100	9 ± 2	100	$34 \pm 8$	20	-	1	NE	100	$128 \pm 12$	
	GW3965	-	-	98	$176 \pm 48$	100	$15 \pm 6$	Ν	١E	1	NE	88	1258 ± 67	
	WAY252623**	1	NE	67	$595 \pm 68$	72	$321 \pm 71$	Ν	١E	1	NE	99	$979 \pm 91$	
	SR9238	NE		-	-	-	-	Ν	١E	NE		93	207 ± 13	
	SR9243	1	NE	-	-	-	-	Ν	١E	1	NE	87	$546 \pm 89$	
	GSK2033	1	NE	-	-	-	-	Л	١E	1	νE	92	$505 \pm 90$	
RORγ	SR1078**	NE		NE		NE		75	$2091 \pm 131$	NE			NE	
	SR0987	NE		NE		NE		81	$3186 \pm 159$	NE		75	209 ± 19.8	
	SR1001	NE		NE		NE		-	-	NE		NE		
	SR2211**	NE		NE		NE		-	-	NE		NE		
	XY018*	1	NE		NE		NE		-	NE		NE		
CAR	CITCO*	1	NE		NE		NE		NE		$380 \pm 37.1$	54	$1293 \pm 103$	
	CINPA1	NE		NE		NE		NE		NE		67	$6853 \pm 964$	
	PK11195	NE		NE		NE		NE		NE		80	$726 \pm 56$	
	S07662	NE		NE		NE		NE		NE		NE		
PXR	Clotrimazole*	NE		NE		NE		NE		23 > 10000		55	$1278 \pm 91$	
	Dabrafenib	NE		NE		NE		NE		NE		94	$115 \pm 16$	
	SR12813	1	NE		NE		NE		NE		NE		$153 \pm 52$	
	SPA70	1	NE	Ν	IE	1	NE	٩	١E	1	νE	5	-	

Values are the mean standard deviations from at least three separate experiments. Maximal activity of luciferase activity was determined at 10<sup>-5</sup> M excepted for GW4064, WAY252623, SR1078, XY018, CITCO, and clotrimazole. For these compounds presenting nonspecific activation of the luciferase expression or toxicity, the maximal concentration tested was 10<sup>-6</sup> M (GW4064, clotrimazole, CITCO and XY018)\* or 3 10<sup>-6</sup> M (WAY252623, SR1078, SR2211)\*\*. EC<sub>50</sub> was expressed in nM. NE, No effect.



**FIGURE 2** | Dose-response curves of specific agonists (A) and antagonist (B) in HG5LN-hFXR cell line. Cells were incubated for 24 h with various concentrations of GW4064 (A), Feraxamine (A, B) and DY268 (B). The lines represent the best fit to the sigmoid dose-response curve equation of at least three separate experiments. The 100% value was obtained in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M GW4064 and for respective antagonistic experiments 100 nM GW4064 corresponding to 80% of maximal activity was used. Results are expressed as percentages of luciferase activity measured in the wells. Values represent the means and standard deviations of three separate experiments.

TABLE 2 | Minimal activity and half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) of the chemicals on FXR, LXRs, RORY, CAR, and PXR.

NR/Chemical		FXR (GW4064 100 nM)		LXRα (T0901317 20 nM)		LXRβ (T0901317 100 nM)		RORγ		CAR WT		PXR (SR12813 300 nM)		
		% min act	IC50	% min act	IC50	% min act	IC50	% min act	IC50	% min act	IC50	% min act	IC	50
	DMSO	80 ± 10	-	80 ± 5	_	80 ± 7	_	100 ± 5	-	100 ± 2	_	80 ± 9		_
Ligand	ds													
FXR	GW4064*			NE		NE		NE		NE		NE		
	Feraxamine	33 687 ± 103		NE		NE		NE		NE		NE		
	DY268	14	$148 \pm 68$	Ν	١E	N	E	NE			NE	1	١E	
LXR	T0901317	28	$5300 \pm 234$	-	-	-	-	20	1418 ± 177	40	$4641 \pm 597$	-		_
	GW3965	25	$5796 \pm 313$	-	-	-	-		NE		NE	-		_
	WAY252623**		NE	-	-	-	-		NE		NE	-		_
	SR9238	NE		2	$26 \pm 5$	1	$5 \pm 1$	NE		77	> 10000	-		_
	SR9243		NE	2	$560 \pm 99$	2	$59 \pm 13$		NE	65	8747 ± 3691	-		_
	GSK2033		NE	2	$22 \pm 6$	2	$10 \pm 3$		NE	21	$556 \pm 24$	-		_
RORγ	SR1078**	NE		NE		NE					NE	1	ΝE	
	SR0987	NE		NE		NE				NE		-		_
	SR1001	NE		NE		NE		54	54 7385 ± 2111		NE		ΝE	
	SR2211**	NE		NE		NE		26	$505 \pm 238$	NE		1	ΝE	
	XY018*	NE		NE		NE		58	$1312 \pm 329$		NE	1	ΝE	
CAR	CITCO*	NE		NE		NE		NE		-	-	-		-
	CINPA1	NE		NE		NE		NE		19	$251 \pm 15$	-		_
	PK11195	NE		NE		NE		NE		23	$306 \pm 21$	-		-
	S07662	NE		NE		NE		NE		21	$1056 \pm 63$	1	١E	
PXR	Clotrimazole*	NE		NE		NE		NE		64	$2184 \pm 181$	-		_
	Dabrafenib	NE		NE		NE		NE		NE		-		_
	SR12813	NE		NE		NE		NE		NE		-		-
	SPA70		NE	٢	NE	Ν	E		NE		NE	5	278	± 35

Values are the mean standard deviations from at least three separate experiments. Maximal activity of luciferase activity was determined at 10<sup>-5</sup> M excepted for GW4064, WAY252623, SR1078, XY018, CITCO and Clotrimazole. For these compounds presenting non specific activation of the luciferase expression or toxicity, the maximal concentration tested was 10<sup>-6</sup> M (GW4064, clotrimazole, CITCO and XY018)\* or 3 10<sup>-6</sup> M (WAY252623, SR1078, SR2211)\*\*. IC50 was expressed in nM. NE, No effect.

induce the expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism (Willy, 1995; Gill et al., 2008). Six LXR ligands, three agonists (T0901317, GW3965 and WAY252623) and three antagonists (SR9238, SR9243 and GSK2033) were tested in HG5LN GAL4-LXRs cells (**Figures 3** and **4**). T0901317 was settled as reference 100% value of activity (1  $\mu$ M) for both, LXR $\alpha$  and LXR $\beta$ .

T0901317 revealed mild subtype specificity favoring  $\alpha$  subtype with EC<sub>50</sub> values corresponding to 9 nM (LXR $\alpha$ ) and 34 nM (LXR $\beta$ ) (**Figures 3A** and **4A**, **Table 1**). Indeed, T0901317 is the agonist for multiple targets, which possesses EC<sub>50</sub> values of 20 nM for LXR $\alpha$  and lesser, micromolar extent for LXR $\beta$ , respectively, in HEK293 cells (Schultz et al., 2000; Kanno et al.,

2013). GW3965 was also a potent full agonist that revealed 12fold LXR $\beta$  specificity (**Figures 3A** and **4A**, **Table 1**). EC<sub>50</sub> values corresponded to 176 nM (LXR $\alpha$ ) and 15 nM (LXR $\beta$ ). WAY252623 activated LXRs with lower potency and almost no subtype specificity (EC<sub>50</sub> values of 595 and 321 nM for LXR $\alpha$  and LXR $\beta$  (**Figures 3A** and **4A**, **Table 1**). In accordance with our results, tertiary amine GW3965, activated a luciferase reporter gene containing LXR response elements in HEK293 cells expressing LXR $\alpha$  or LXR $\beta$ , while revealed nanomolar LXR $\beta$ agonistic potency (Peng et al., 2011).

LXRs antagonists were tested in presence of T0901317 (20 and 100 nM for LXR $\alpha$  and LXR $\beta$  respectively). The IC<sub>50</sub> of SR9238 was 26 nM for LXR $\alpha$  and 5 nM for LXR $\beta$  showing high LXR potency and 5-fold LXR  $\beta$ -subtype specificity to the detriment of  $\alpha$  subtype (**Table 2**). SR9243 antagonized T0901317-induced luciferase expression in both, HG5LN GAL4-LXR $\alpha$  and LXR $\beta$  cell lines with higher potency for LXR $\beta$  (IC<sub>50</sub> of 560 and 59 nM for LXR $\alpha$  and LXR $\beta$ , respectively) (**Figures 3B** and **4B**, **Table 2**). GSK2033 resulted as well, in high potent inhibition of LXR $\alpha$  and 10 nM for  $\beta$  subtype (Figures 3B and 4B, Table 2). Remarkably, these three chemicals repressed the basal activities of LXR $\alpha$  and LXR $\beta$  that clearly correspond to their inverse agonistic potential, which is in accordance with previous studies (Griffett et al., 2013; Flaveny et al., 2015).

LXRs ligands appeared to be remarkably nonspecific among the tested molecules. Previous studies showed that T0901317 activated/repressed several tested receptors (Houck et al., 2004; Xue et al., 2007; Kanno et al., 2013). Indeed, it appeared to be the least selective compound (Figure 5A), since apart from its agonistic activity on LXRs was able to dose-dependently activate PXR (EC50 of 128 nM), inhibit agonist-induced reporter activity of FXR (IC<sub>50</sub> of 5300 nM) and even repress constitutive activities of CAR (IC<sub>50</sub> of 4641 nM) and RORy (IC<sub>50</sub> of 1418 nM) (Figure 5A, Tables 1 and 2). With lower extent, GW3965 showed additional agonistic properties against PXR and even antagonized FXR (Tables 1 and 2). LXR antagonists, SR9238, SR9243 and GSK2033 behaved in the similar manner, as they did not only efficiently inhibited T0901317-induced LXR activity under receptor basal level, but all of them, with SR9238 on the



FIGURE 3 | Dose-response curves of specific agonists (A) and antagonists (B) in HG5LN- LXRα cell line. Cells were incubated for 24 h with various concentrations of agonists T0901317, GW3965 and WAY252623 (A), as well as antagonists SR9238, SR9243, and GSK2033 (B). The lines represent the best fit to the sigmoid dose-response curve equation of three separate experiments. The 100% value was obtained in the presence of 1 µM T0901317 and for respective antagonistic experiments 20 nM T0901317 corresponding to 80% of maximal activity was used. Results are expressed as percentages of luciferase activity measured in the wells. Values represent the means and standard deviations of three separate experiments.







**FIGURE 5** | Dose–response curves of the most promiscuous chemicals T0901317 (**A**) and SR9238 (**B**) in HG5LN-NR cell lines. Cells were incubated for 24 h with ligands ranging in 0.1 nM - 10  $\mu$ M. The 100% value for LXRs was obtained in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M T0901317. The 100% value for PXR was obtained in the presence of 3  $\mu$ M SR12813. The 100% value for FXR was obtained in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M GW4064. The 100% value for CAR and ROR $\gamma$  was settled as receptor constitutive activity without addition of ligand. For FXR antagonistic experiments, 100 nM GW4064 corresponding to 80% of maximal activity was used. For LXR $\alpha$  antagonistic experiments, 20 nM T0901317 corresponding to 80% of maximal activity was used. The lines represent the best fit to the sigmoid dose–response curve equation of three separate experiments. Results are expressed as percentages of luciferase activity measured in the wells. Values represent the means and standard deviations of three separate experiments.

forefront, activated PXR and slightly repressed constitutive activity of CAR WT (**Figure 5B**, **Tables 1** and **2**). We also observed substantial receptor non-specificity of GSK2033, activating PXR with  $EC_{50}$  equal to 505 nM on the one hand (**Table 1**), and repressing constitutive activity of CAR with  $IC_{50}$  of 556 nM on the other (**Table 2**). In accordance with our observations, recently published hypothesis believes in promiscuity of GSK2033, as it potentially targets several receptors (Griffett and Burris, 2016).

### NRs Response to RORy Chemicals

ROR $\gamma$  (NR1F3) binds and is preferentially modulated by oxysterol derivatives and not by retinoic acid (Fauber and Magnuson, 2014). SR1078 and SR0987 were identified as chemicals that induced the expression of the ROR target genes, where SR1078 showed concentration dependent induction of reporter gene expression with EC<sub>50</sub> of 800 nM, while its synthetic analogue SR0987 demonstrated better efficacy in full-length ROR $\gamma$ t transfected cells (The correct citation is: Rene et al., 2014; Chang et al., 2016). By applying referred agonists, SR1078 and SR0987 in combination with inverse agonist SR2211 (1  $\mu$ M) on our chimeric reporter cell line containing just LBD of ROR $\gamma$ , both SR1078 and SR0987 revealed agonistic properties with corresponding EC<sub>50</sub> of 2,091 and 3,186 nM (**Figure 6A, Table 1**).

Due to the constitutive activity of ROR $\gamma$ , the antagonists SR2211, SR1001 and XY018 inhibited the constitutive activity of ROR $\gamma$  with IC<sub>50</sub>s of 505, 1,312, and 7,385 nM for SR2211, XY018 and SR1001, respectively (**Figure 6B, Table 2**). It is noteworthy, that administration of the inverse ROR $\gamma$  agonists has proven pharmaceutical potential, as SR1001 significantly





reduced diabetes incidence and insulitis in a type 1 diabetes model mice (Solt et al., 2015) and SR2211 has been reported to inhibit inflammation in a collagen-induced arthritis mouse model (Xue et al., 2016). ROR $\gamma$  ligands appeared relatively specific among tested molecules, as only SR0987 activated PXR and CAR in the submicromolar concentrations (**Tables** 1 and 2).

### NRs Response to CAR Chemicals

CAR (NR1I3) similarly to PXR is a key regulator of metabolism and play a major role in detoxification. The constitutive activity of CAR and its constitutive nuclear localization in cancer cell lines did not allow to test directly chemicals with agonistic activity. To reveal the agonistic activity of 22 chemicals in our HG5LN GAL4-hCAR cell line, we tested their ability to reverse the inhibitory effect of PK11195 (1 µM), one of the most potent CAR antagonist. In these conditions, only the well know CAR agonist CITCO (EC50 of 49 nM in the CAR/SRC-1 FRET assay published by Maglich et al., 2003) showed strong transactivation potential with  $EC_{50}$ of 253 nM (data not shown). As ligand-dependency of CAR is increased in a CAR variant having an insertion of five amino acids (APYLT) residues into the ligand binding domain (Auerbach et al., 2003), we generated a HG5LN cells expressing this CAR variant (HG5LN CAR + APYLT). In accordance with our expectations, CITCO fully activated our chimeric CAR+APYLT cell line with EC<sub>50</sub> equal to 380 nM (Figure 7A, Table 1). It is noteworthy, that the insertion of five amino acids with consequent decrease of receptor constitutive activity, facilitates the screening of CAR agonists.

To reveal the antagonistic activity of 22 chemicals, we tested their ability to inhibit effect the basal activity in our HG5LN GAL4-CAR WT cell line. In this regard, the potency of the most efficacious reference compounds S07662, CINPA1 and PK11195 was sought in dose-response. These experiments resulted in significant suppression of receptor constitutive activity with  $IC_{50}$ s of 251, 306 and 1056 nM for CINPA1, PK11195 and S07662, respectively (**Figure 7B, Table 2**). Except S07662, all of the CAR ligands behaved as PXR agonists, while CITCO being the most potent with an  $EC_{50}$  for PXR of 1.3  $\mu$ M, as it was recently published (Anderson et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2020).

### NRs Response to PXR Chemicals

PXR, another xenobiotic sensor among nuclear receptors, is commonly known to be activated by a very structurally diverse array of endogenous and exogenous molecules referring its expansive (~1,300 Å3), hydrophobic, roughly spherical ligand binding pocket with the flexibility to accommodate large molecules. This fact was fully supported by our experiments, where several tested pharmaceutics unveiled agonistic properties for PXR.

SR12813 referred as high affinity ligand for PXR (EC<sub>50</sub> of 0.44 µM in hPXR LBD assay performed by Shukla et al., 2011) showed strong PXR selectivity with corresponding EC<sub>50</sub> of 153 nM in our experiments. Dabrafenib (Creusot et al., manuscript in preparation) was little more potent with an  $EC_{50}$  of 115 nM, while less potency revealed clotrimazole with EC<sub>50</sub> of 1,278 nM (Figure 8A, Table 1). Plenty of drugs bind to and activate PXR while upregulating drug-metabolizing enzymes, decrease drug efficacy and increase resistance. Taking together, PXR antagonists could have therapeutic exploitation. Indeed, SPA70 was reviewed as potent and selective PXR antagonist (IC<sub>50</sub> of 510 nM in the cell-based hPXR antagonistic assay), while inhibited PXR activities in human hepatocytes or humanized mouse models and enhanced the chemosensitivity of cancer cells, consistent with the role of PXR in drug resistance (Lin et al., 2017). In our hands, SPA70 was able to inhibit agonist-induced reporter activity with an IC50 of 278 nM and displayed a strong selectivity for PXR (Figure 8B, Table 2).

### DISCUSSION

Selective agonistic and antagonistic ligands are the powerful tools for study the functions of nuclear receptors. Although several







corresponding to 80% of maximal activity was used. Results are expressed as percentages of luciferase activity measured in the wells. Values represent the means and standard deviations of three separate experiments.

synthetic NR ligands are commercially available, their selectivity among nuclear receptors has not always been thoroughly evaluated. For this purpose we developed cellular assay for identification and characterization of FXR, LXRs, ROR $\gamma$ , PXR, and CAR ligands. We employed GAL4-NR chimeric receptors, because this assay format eliminates the background activities of endogenous receptors and allows the comparison of different NRs activities with the same reporter gene.

Using these cells, we observed that the expression of individual FXR, LXRs, ROR $\gamma$ , PXR, and CAR differentially modulated the reporter gene basal activities, thus providing important information of recruitment the HeLa-specific coregulators by NRs. Indeed, the baseline activities were different in the HG5LN FXR, LXRs, ROR $\gamma$ , PXR, and CAR cell lines. Specifically, the expression of FXR and CAR + APYLT induced a repression of luciferase expression, whereas the expression of CAR WT and ROR $\gamma$  was increased. It is noteworthy that ROR $\gamma$  and CAR WT adopt an active conformation in their apo form and thus are constitutively activated in absence of ligand (Jin et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2017; Pham et al., 2019). Contrary, the expression of LXRs and PXR had no effect on the basal transcription in our experimental set-up (**Figure 1**).

Then we tested the selectivity of chemicals for their receptors. The full agonist GW4064, the partial agonist feraxamine and the full antagonist DY268 are perfectly selective for FXR, as they did not modulate the activity of the other tested NRs. In a similar manner, the full agonist SR12813 and the full antagonist SPA70 are perfectly selective for PXR, as they did not modulate the activity of the other NRs. It is remarkable that Lin et al. (2017) were able to discover a selective antagonist with good affinity for PXR, since it was believed for a long time that this receptor could not be antagonized due to its ligand promiscuity (Chai et al., 2019). ROR $\gamma$  agonist and antagonists are also relatively selective. Contrary, LXR agonists and antagonists are much less selective, as they modulated the activities of PXR and CAR.

Clearly, further efforts are necessary, to develop the chemicals with better selectivity for these receptors. In a similar manner, CAR ligands except S07662 activated PXR. As PXR and CAR are known to regulate an overlapping set of genes (Banerjee et al., 2015; Buchman et al., 2018; Chai et al., 2019), it is important to develop more selective CAR ligands.

Among the different NRs tested in our study, PXR is the most promiscuous. Higher promiscuity of PXR compared to FXR, LXRs and RORy is certainly linked to its major roles in the modulation of enzymes involved in the biotransformation, metabolism and elimination of xenobiotics and endobiotics (Buchman et al., 2018). Moreover, PXR is notable for having a flexible, dynamic and hydrophobic LBD that can accommodate a wide range of ligands with diverse structural and physicochemical properties. Crystallographic studies have revealed that PXR possesses a large LBP (>1150 Å3) that can accommodate compounds with larger volumes than that of classical NR ligands, and accordingly, several loops of the LBD confer a high plasticity allowing the receptor to adopt different shapes due to the bound ligands. In this context regarding the biological involvement in xenobiotic metabolism, together with not so much smaller and less flexible size of CAR ligand-binding cavity of (~675 Å3), we could possibly expect comparable promiscuity of CAR with PXR.

Finally, these reporter cell lines that we developed allowed us to characterize the potency, efficacy and selectivity of 22 synthetic NRs ligands in a standardized, high-throughput screening technique using 96-well plates. In addition, the HG5LN parental cell line, which expresses only the GAL4driven reporter gene, was used to ascertain that the activation of the HG5LN GAL4-NR reporter gene was mediated by NRs. We conclude that these reporter cell lines in addition with previously developed HG5LN GAL4-PPARs cells (Seimandi et al., 2005) allow specific and sensitive measurement of NR ligand activities and are a high-throughput, cell-based screening tool for identifying and characterizing ligands for the main NRs, the targets of pharmaceuticals. Following work will consist of study the activity and selectivity of these ligands *in vivo*, in order to reveal their bioavailability and metabolism.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

LT and MG performed experiment. LT and AB established reporter cell lines. LT and PB wrote the article. PB supervised the work. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## REFERENCES

- Amano, Y., Yamakawa, H., Yonemori, K., Shimada, M., and Tozawa, R. (2018). Farnesoid X receptor antagonist exacerbates dyslipidemia in mice. *Pharmacol. Rep.* 70 (1), 172–177. doi: 10.1016/j.pharep.2017.07.010
- Anderson, L. E., Dring, A. M., Hamel, L. D., and Stoner, M. A. (2011). Modulation of constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) and pregnane X receptor (PXR) by 6-arylpyrrolo[2,1-d][1,5]benzothiazepine derivatives, ligands of peripheral benzodiazepine receptor (PBR).Toxicol. *Lett.er* 202 (2), 148–154. doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2011.02.004
- Aranda, A., and Pascual, A. (2001). Nuclear Hormone Receptors and Gene Expression. *Physiol. Rev.* 81 (3), 1269–1304. doi: 10.1152/physrev. 2001.81.3.1269
- Auerbach, S. S., Ramsden, R., Stoner, M. A., Verlinde, C., Hassett, C., and Omiecinski, C. J. (2003). Alternatively spliced isoforms of the human constitutive androstane receptor. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31 (12), 3194–3207. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkg419
- Banerjee, M., Robbins, D., and Chen, T. (2015). Targeting xenobiotic receptors PXR and CAR in human diseases. *Drug Discovery Today* 20 (5), 618–628. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2014.11.011
- Buchman, C. D., Chai, S. C., and Chen, T. (2018). A current structural perspective on PXR and CAR in drug metabolism. *Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol.* 14 (6), 635–647. doi: 10.1080/17425255.2018.1476488
- Chai, S. C., Wright, W. C., and Chen, T. (2019). Strategies for developing pregnane X receptor antagonists: Implications from metabolism to cancer. *Med. Res. Rev.* 24 (3), 906–915. doi: 10.1002/med.21648
- Chang, M. R., Dharmarajan, V., Doebelin, C., Garcia-Ordonez, R. D., Novick, S. J., Kuruvilla, D. S., et al. (2016). Synthetic RORyt Agonists Enhance Protective Immunity. ACS Chem. Biol. 11 (4), 1012–1018. doi: 10.1021/acschembio.5b00899
- Chen, J. Y., Penco, S., Ostrowski, J., Balaguer, P., Pons, M., Starrett, J. E., et al. (1995). RAR-specific agonist/antagonists which dissociate transactivation and AP1 transrepression inhibit an chorage-independent cell proliferation. *EMBO J.* 14 (6), 1187–1197. doi: 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1995.tb07102.x
- Delfosse, V., Dendele, B., Huet, T., Grimaldi, M., Boulahtouf, A., Gerbal-Chaloin, S., et al. (2015). Synergistic activation of human pregnane X receptor by binary cocktails of pharmaceutical and environmental compounds. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8089. doi: 10.1038/ncomms9089
- Evans, R. M., and Mangelsdorf, D. J. (2014). Nuclear Receptors, RXR, and the Big Bang. Cell 157 (1), 255–266. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.012
- Fauber, B. P., and Magnuson, S. (2014). Modulators of the Nuclear Receptor Retinoic Acid Receptor-Related Orphan Receptor-γ (RORγ or RORc) J. Med. Chem. 57 (14), 5871–5892. doi: 10.1021/jm401901d
- Flaveny, C. A., Griffett, K., El-Gendy, B. E. D. M., Kazantzis, M., Sengupta, M., Amelio, A. L., et al. (2015). Broad Anti-tumor Activity of a Small Molecule that

### FUNDING

This work was supported by ANSES (project TOXCHEM 2018/1/ 095), Environment-cancer (project SYNERPXR and SYNERPXR 2), PNRPE (PESTR and SYNEPEST), and ANR (Project SYNERACT R18083FF) grants.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2020. 01122/full#supplementary-material

FIGURE S1 | Chemical structure of tested pharmaceutical compounds.

FIGURE S2 | Nonspecific activation/inhibition of luciferase expression by the tested pharmaceuticals in the parental cell line HG5LN.

Selectively Targets the Warburg Effect and Lipogenesis. Cancer Cell. 28 (1), 42– 56. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2015.05.007

- Forman, B. M., Goode, E., Chen, J., Oro, A. E., Bradley, D. J., Perlmann, T., et al. (1995). Identification of a nuclear receptor that is activated by farnesol metabolites. *Cell* 81 (5), 687–693. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(95)90530-8
- Gill, S., Chow, R., and Brown, A. J. (2008). Sterol regulators of cholesterol homeostasis and beyond: the oxysterol hypothesis revisited and revised. *Prog. Lipid Res.* 47 (6), 391–404. doi: 10.1016/j.plipres.2008.04.002
- Griffett, K., and Burris, T. P. (2016). Promiscuous activity of the LXR antagonist GSK2033 in a mouse model of fatty liver disease. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 479 (3), 424–428. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.09.036
- Griffett, K., Solt, L. A., El-Gendy, B. E., Kamenecka, T. M., and Burris, T. P. (2013). A liver-selective LXR inverse agonist that suppresses hepatic steatosis. ACS Chem. Biol. 8 (3), 559–567. doi: 10.1021/cb300541g
- Grimaldi, M., Boulahtouf, A., Delfosse, V., Thouennon, E., Bourguet, W., and Balaguer, P. (2015). Reporter Cell Lines for the Characterization of the Interactions between Human Nuclear Receptors and Endocrine Disruptors. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne)* 6:62. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2015.00062
- Houck, K. A., Borchert, K. M., Hepler, C. D., Thomas, J. S., Bramlett, K. S., Michael, L. F., et al. (2004). T0901317 is a dual LXR/FXR agonist. *Mol. Gen. Metab.* 83 (1-2), 184–187. doi: 10.1016/j.ymgme.2004.07.007
- Jin, L., Martynowski, D., Zheng, S., Wada, T., Xie, W., and Li, Y. (2010). Structural basis for hydroxycholesterols as natural ligands of orphan nuclear receptor RORgamma. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 24 (5), 923–929. doi: 10.1210/me.2009-0507
- Jonker, J. W., Liddle, C., and Downes, M. (2012). FXR and PXR: potential therapeutic targets in cholestasis. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 130 (3-5), 147–158. doi: 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2011.06.012
- Kalaany, N. Y., and Mangelsdorf, D. J. (2006). LXRS AND FXR: The Yin and Yang of Cholesterol and Fat Metabolism. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 68, 159–191. doi: 10.1146/annurev.physiol.68.033104.152158
- Kanno, Y., Tanuma, N., Takahashi, A., and Inouye, Y. (2013). T0901317, a potent LXR agonist, is an inverse agonist of CAR. J. Toxicol. Sci. 38 (3), 309–315. doi: 10.2131/jts.38.309
- Keitel, V., Dröge, C., and Häussinger, D. (2019). Targeting FXR in Cholestasis. Handb. Exp. Pharmacol. 256, 299–324. doi: 10.1007/164\_2019\_231
- Lee, K., You, H., Choi, J., and No, K. T. (2017). Development of pharmacophorebased classification model for activators of constitutive androstane receptor. *Drug Metab. Pharmacokinet.* 32 (3), 172–178. doi: 10.1016/j.dmpk.2016.11.005
- Lin, W., Wang, Y. M., Chai, S. C., Lv, L., Zheng, J., Wu, J., et al. (2017). SPA70 is a potent antagonist of human pregnane X receptor. *Nat. Commun.* 8 (1), 741. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-00780-5
- Lin, W., Bwayi, M., Wu, J., Li, Y., Chai, S. C., Huber, A. D., et al. (2020). CITCO directly binds to and activates human Pregnane X Receptor. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 97 (3), 180–190. doi: 10.1124/mol.119.118513

- Maglich, J. M., Parks, D. J., Moore, L. B., Collins, J. L., Goodwin, B., Billin, A. N., et al. (2003). Identification of a novel human constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) agonist and its use in the identification of CAR target genes. J. Biol. Chem. 278 (19), 17277–17283. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M300138200
- Makishima, M., Okamoto, A. Y., Repa, J. J., Tu, H., Learned, R. M., Luk, A., et al. (1999). Identification of a nuclear receptor for bile acids. *Science* 21;284 (5418), 1362–1365. doi: 10.1126/science.284.5418.1362
- Merk, D., Sreeramulu, S., Kudlinzki, D., Saxena, K., Linhard, V., Gande, S. L., et al. (2019). Molecular tuning of farnesoid X receptor partial agonism. *Nat. Commun.* 10 (1), 2915. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-10853-2
- Peng, D., Hiipakka, R. A., Xie, J. T., Dai, Q., Kokontis, J. M., Reardon, C. A., et al. (2011). A novel potent synthetic steroidal liver X receptor agonist lowers plasma cholesterol and triglycerides and reduces atherosclerosis in LDLR(-/-) mice. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 162 (8), 1792–1804. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01202.x
- Pham, B., Arons, A. B., Vincent, J. G., Fernandez, E. J., and Shen, T. (2019). Regulatory Mechanics of Constitutive Androstane Receptors: Basal and Ligand-Directed Actions. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 59 (12), 5174–5182. doi: 10.1021/acs.jcim.9b00695
- Rene, O., Fauber, B. P., de Boenig, G. L., Burton, B., Eidenschenk, C., Everett, C., et al. (2014). Minor Structural Change to Tertiary SulfonamideRORC Ligands Led to Opposite Mechanisms of Action. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 6 (3), 276–281. doi: 10.1021/ml500420y
- Schultz, J. R., Tu, H., Luk, A., Repa, J. J., Medina, J. C., Li, L., et al. (2000). Role of LXRs in control of lipogenesis. *Genes Dev.* 14, 2831–2838. doi: 10.1101/gad.850400
- Seimandi, M., Lemaire, G., Pillon, A., Perrin, A., Carlavan, I., Voegel, J. J., et al. (2005). Differential responses of PPARalpha, PPARdelta, and PPARgamma reporter cell lines to selective PPAR synthetic ligands. *Anal. Biochem.* 344 (1), 8–15. doi: 10.1016/j.ab.2005.06.010
- Shukla, S. J., Sakamuru, S., Huang, R., Moeller, T. A., Shinn, P., Vanleer, D., et al. (2011). Identification of clinically used drugs that activate pregnane X receptors. *Drug Metab. Dispos.: Biol. Fate Chem.* 39 (1), 151–159. doi: 10.1124/dmd.110.035105
- Solt, L. A., Banerjee, S., Campbell, S., Kamenecka, T. M., and Burris, T. P. (2015). ROR inverse agonist suppresses insulitis and prevents hyperglycemia in a mouse model of type 1 diabetes. *Endocrinology* 156 (3), 869–881. doi: 10.1210/en.2014-1677

- Toporova, L., and Balaguer, P. (2020). Nuclear receptors are the major targets of endocrine disrupting chemicals. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 502:110665. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2019.110665
- Weikum, E. R., Liu, X., and Ortlund, E. A. (2018). The nuclear receptor superfamily: A structural perspective. *Protein Sci.* 27 (11), 1876–1892. doi: 10.1002/pro.3496
- Willy, P. J. (1995). LXR, a nuclear receptor that defines a distinct retinoid response pathway. *Genes Dev.* 9 (9), 1033–1045. doi: 10.1101/gad.9.9.1033
- Xue, Y., Chao, E., Zuercher, W. J., Willson, T. M., Collins, J. L., and Redinbo, M. R. (2007). Crystal structure of the PXR-T1317 complex provides a scaffold to examine the potential for receptor antagonism. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 15 (5), 2156–2166. doi: 10.1016/j.bmc.2006.12.026
- Xue, X., Soroosh, P., De Leon-Tabaldo, A., Luna-Roman, R., Sablad, M., Rozenkrants, N., et al. (2016). Pharmacologic modulation of RORyt translates to efficacy in preclinical and translational models of psoriasis and inflammatory arthritis. *Sci. Rep.* 6:37977. doi: 10.1038/srep37977
- Yu, D. D., Lin, W., Forman, B. M., and Chen, T. (2014). Identification of trisubstituted-pyrazol carboxamide analogs as novel and potentant agonists of farnesoid X receptor. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 22 (11), 2919–2938. doi: 10.1016/ j.bmc.2014.04.014
- Zhang, S., Pan, X., and Jeong, H. (2015). GW4064, an agonist of farnesoid X receptor, represses CYP3A4 expression in human hepatocytes by inducing small heterodimer partner expression. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 43 (5), 743–748. doi: 10.1124/dmd.114.062836

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Toporova, Grimaldi, Boulahtouf and Balaguer. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.