Prognostic Value of Tumor Infiltrating Lymphocytes in Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Patients: Meta-Analysis

Technology in Cancer Research & Treatment Volume 20: 1-13 © The Author(s) 2021 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/15330338211034265 journals.sagepub.com/home/tct



Birhanu Aberha Berele, MM¹[®], Yuxiang Cai, MM¹, and Guifang Yang, PhD¹

Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the prognostic value of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) patients. Method: Meta-analysis was performed on eligible studies that was identified by systematic searching of Google scholar, MEDLINE, CNKI, Scopus, PubMed, PMC, Embase and Web of Science databases. The study protocol was registered in International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols-INPLASY (registration number: INPLASY202160014). Databases were searched from inception to January 20, 2020 to identify eligible studies. Those studies that evaluated survival in the form of hazard ratio (HR) in TILs of NPC patients was analyzed. All statistical analysis was performed by using STATA version 16.0 software. Result: Fourteen studies with a total of 3025 patients was analyzed. The pooled result showed that high TILs was significantly associated with favorable overall survival (OS) (HR = 0.55; 95%CI = 0.39-0.77; P = 0.001) and disease free survival (DFS) (HR = 0.60; 95%CI = 0.44-0.81; P = 0.04). Interestingly, high intratumoral TILs had relatively better OS (HR = 0.45; 95%CI = 0.35-0.58; P = 0.006) than stromal TILs (HR = 0.59; 95%CI = 0.36-0.97; P = 0.03). Moreover, an increased level of CD4+ cells infiltration was correlated with favorable OS (HR = 0.4; 95%CI = 0.18-0.85; P = 0.01). CD3+, CD8+ and FoxP3+ lymphocyte's better prognosis was not statistically significant for OS (P = 0.09; P = 0.07; P = 0.52) and for DFS (P = 0.13; P = 0.29) respectively. However, subgroup analysis of intratumoral CD3+ (HR = 0.48; 95%CI = 0.33-0.70; P = 0.05) and intratumoral CD8+ (HR = 0.32; 95%CI = 0.16-0.62; P = 0.001) was significantly associated with improved OS, but not significant in stromal CD3+ (HR = 0.66; 95%CI = 0.20-2.20; P = 0.62). **Conclusion:** TILs were variably correlated with better prognosis depending on their microanatomic location and subset of TILs in NPC patients. CD4+, intratumoral CD3+ and intratumoral CD8+ lymphocytes could predict favorable patient outcome which suggest that their role in mediating antitumor immune response could potentially be exploited in the treatment of NPC patients. Future large study on the prognostic value of microanatomic location of TILs is needed for confirmation.

Keywords

TILs, prognosis, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, meta-analysis, hazard ratio

Received: February 28, 2021; Revised: June 15, 2021; Accepted: June 30, 2021.

Introduction

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is a common malignancy in head and neck with distinct racial and regional prevalence.¹⁻³ Due to its highly invasive and relatively high metastatic potential of the disease, NPC has unfavorable prognosis.^{1,4,5} Evidences show that approximately 20%-30% of cases would recur, despite substantial improvement in radiotherapy and the widespread use of concurrent chemotherapy for localized therapy exists.^{4,6}

Recent advances in the characterization of immune status in the tumor microenvironment (TME) is becoming a breakthrough in treating recurrent or metastatic NPC patients. Particularly, tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) as a rapidly emerging powerful prognostic tool to guide treatment, their

Corresponding Author:

Guifang Yang, PhD, Department of Pathology, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, 169-East Lake Road, Wuchang District, Wuhan 430071, China. Email: yanggf@whu.edu.cn

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹ Department of Pathology, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China



Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram for retrieved articles.

presence is associated with favorable outcome in different types of cancers.^{7,8} However, different subset of lymphocytes have different or even opposing biological function in tumor microenvironment which suggest that TILs have dual role by conducting both host defense and tumor progression.^{1,8} For instance, evidences show that high CD3+ or CD8+ infiltration was an independent factor for favorable overall survival (OS) and disease specific survival (DSS) in head and neck cancer.9-11 In contrast, as regulatory T-cells' main role is maintaining immunological tolerance, therefore are considered suppressors of antitumor immune response.¹² Furthermore, according to Lei et al, the prognostic value of CD3+ and CD8+ T-cells could depend on lymphocytes infiltration pattern.¹³ Here, it is the TILs that are within tumor cells or located with close proximity to tumor parenchyma that determines the prognosis.¹³ Contrarily, some studies indicated that stromal TILs didn't exhibit prognostic value.^{14,15} Therefore, TILs as the complicated functional heterogeneity and as the most

important monitor of immune response, are a focus of research in NPC. A number of studies on the association of TILs and NPC had conducted, however, their locational prognosis in intratumoral and stromal TILs remain controversial. Therefore, we conducted meta-analysis on prognostic value of TILs in NPC patients which was expressed as hazard ratio for overall survival and disease free survival.

Materials and Methods

Search Strategy

We used the strategy of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) guideline.¹⁶ The protocol for this study has been registered in the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols-INPLASY (registration number: INPLASY202 160014). We systematically searched the Google Scholar, MED-LINE, Scopus, PubMed, Embase, Web of Science and PMC

					A an function	Eello				
Study	Country	TIL subtype	Stage	Sample size	Age (range, meman or mean) yr	ronow up (month, median)	Cutoff point	Outcome	Compartment analyzed	SON
Almangush A.(2018) ¹⁸	Finland	Total type	VI-I	115	58	60	I-TIL > 5% S-TIL > 10%	OS, DFS	Intratumor, stroma	٢
Al-Rajhi N.(2020) ¹⁹	Saudi Arabia	CD3+, CD8+	III-IVA	83	45	09	10%	OS, DFS	NR	9
Wang <i>et al</i> $(2020)^{20}$	China	CD3+, CD8+	VI-I	336	45	NR	X-tile analysis	OS, DFS	Intratumor, stroma	9
Minichsdorfer et al (2019) ²¹	Austria	CD8+	I-IV	55	56	NR	Median	OS, DFS	NR	9
Ono <i>et al</i> $(2018)^{22}$	Japan	CD3+, CD4+, CD8+	I-IV	66	59.5	48.2	ROC curves	OS	NR	٢
Lu <i>et al</i> (2018) ²³	China	CD8+, FoxP3+	I-IV	197	45.2	51	Median	OS	NR	9
Wang <i>et al</i> $(2018)^{24}$	China	total type	I-IV	1490	45	58	I-TIL > 10%	OS, DFS	Intratumor, stroma	7
							S-TIL > 70%			
Ooft et al (2017) ²⁵	Netherland	CD4+, CD8+	I-IV	92	53	57	ROC curves	OS, DFS	Intratumor	9
Zhu <i>et al</i> $(2017)^{26}$	China	CD3+	VI-I	209	52,20-75	73	Median	OS, DFS	Intratumor, stroma	
Zhang <i>et al</i> $(2010)^{27}$	China	FoxP3+	VI	106	49, 22-73	NR	Median	SO	Peritumoral	S
Larbcharoensub et al (2018) ²⁸	Thailand	CD8+	I-IV	114	65	54.7	NR	OS	Tumor infiltrative front	9
Jiang <i>et al</i> $(2019)^{29}$	China	FOXP3+, CD8+	IVa-b	60	47	43	X-tile	OS	Intratumoral, stromal	2
Ben-Haj-Ayed (2016) ³⁰	Tunisia	CD3+	I-IV	66	47	96	NR	OS, DFS	NR	9
Ogino et al $(2007)^5$	Japan	CD8+	VI-I	36	63	NR	NR	DFS	NR	S
Abbreviations: OS, Overall survivi quality assessment scale; NR, not	ıl; DFS, Disease f reported.	ree survival; ROC, Receive	r operating	characteristic cu	ırve; TIL, tumor infiltrat	ing lymphocyte; S-T	IL, stromal TIL; I-	TIL, intratu	noral TIL; NOS, Newcastle-O	ttawa

Table 1. Characteristics of Included Studies in the Meta-Analysis.



Figure 2. Forest plot of the relationship between TILs (A) OS, and (B) DFS in total NPC populations. TILs indicates tumor infiltrating lymphocytes; NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; OS, overall survival; DFS, disease free survival; HR, hazard ratio.

databases to identify and include potential studies before January 20, 2020. The search was performed using the following keywords: "prognosis OR survival" AND "tumor infiltrating lymphocytes OR lymphocytes, tumor infiltrating OR TILs" and "nasopharyngeal carcinoma."

The following eligibility criteria were used to include studies in the meta-analysis: (a) published original articles. (b) Studies that evaluated the prognostic value of TILs in NPC. (c) Studies that reported disease free survival (DFS) or overall survival (OS) expressed in the form of HR with 95% confidence interval (CI), or that reported Kaplan Meier (KM) curve. (d) Studies that used immunohistochemistry (IHC). Studies that did not provide enough data for analysis such as conference abstracts, case reports and non-English articles were excluded.

Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

The retrieved published articles were sorted by EndNote 7.0 (Thomson Reuters). Two pathologist extracted the data based on the established inclusion and exclusion criteria. Consensus was reached when discrepancies appeared. The extracted data from the included studies were: first author, publication year, country, sample size, cutoff value, lymphocytes subtypes,



Figure 3. Forest plot of the relationship between TILs location and (A) OS; (B) DFS in NPC. TILs indicates tumor infiltrating lymphocytes; NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; OS, overall survival; DFS, disease free survival; HR, hazard ratio.

location of infiltrating lymphocytes, disease stage, follow-up time, age range and outcome.

We evaluated the quality of each study by using Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale (NOS). Studies with score of ≥ 6 were regarded as high quality.

Statistical Analysis

Each study's hazard ratio along with its 95%CI was extracted. If only Kaplan Meier curve was provided, then the survival data

were extracted from it by using WebPlotDigitizer version 4.4 (https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer).¹⁷ Statistical heterogeneity was assessed by Chi-square (I²) test and visual inspection forest plot. I² > 50% indicated heterogeneity. Random effect model was used if hazard ratio showed heterogeneity, otherwise fixed effect model was used. In order to identify the source of heterogeneity and evaluate the influence of different adjustment factors or confounders, subgroup analysis was conducted accordingly. If HR estimate was reported in opposite direction, we inverted the HR and 95% CI. All statistical



Figure 4. Forest plot of EBV+ NPC for DFS and OS. EBV+, Epstein-Barr Virus positive; NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; OS, overall survival; DFS, disease free survival; HR, hazard ratio.

analysis was evaluated using STATA version 16.0 software. P-value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Study Characteristics

PRISMA flow diagram of identified studies for the meta-analysis is shown in Figure 1. Thus, 14 studies from Austria, China, Finland, Japan, Netherland, Saudi Arabia, and Thailand, Tunisia countries were included in the analysis (see the Table 1). All studies we identified were retrospective cohort studies.

Sample size of each study ranged from 55 to 1490 patients which totaled 3025 patients. HR and its corresponding 95%CI for OS and DFS was extracted directly from the given data of most studies, while few remaining studies were calculated from KM curve.

Meta-Analysis Results

Fourteen studies^{5,18-30} were pooled and evaluated for analysis of TILs lymphocytes for OS and DFS in NPC patients. Wang *et al*²⁴ have analyzed a large cohort and validated it with an independent cohort focusing on the total intensity of TILs,

however most the rest of the studies focused on a set of phenotypic subtypes of immune cells (including CD3, CD4, CD8, regulatory T cells and macrophages). The pooled result showed that TILs were favorable prognostic markers for DFS (HR = 0.60; 95%CI = 0.44-0.81; P = 0.04) and OS (HR = 0.55; 95%CI = 0.39-0.77; P = 0.001) in overall population (Figure 2). Favorable OS was also found in intratumoral TILs (HR = 0.44; 95%CI = 0.34-0.57; P = 0.006) and stromal TILs (HR = 0.59; 95%CI = 0.36-0.97; P = 0.003) (Figure 3A). Moreover, improved DFS showed in intratumoral TILs (HR = 0.55; 95%CI = 0.41-0.74; P = 0.005), but not statistical significant in stromal TILs (HR = 0.75; 95%CI = 0.36-1.55; P = 0.13) (Figure 3B).

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) tended to be associated with better outcome in NPC patients, though the correlation was not statistical significant (HR = 0.92, 95%CI = 0.38-2.26, P = 0.48 for DFS; HR = 0.77, 95%CI = 0.28-2.09, P = 0.24 for OS) (Figure 4).

Subgroup Analysis of TILs Subsets

CD3+. Four studies evaluating the prognostic value of CD3+ in NPC were included in our study. The pooled result revealed that high CD3+ lymphocytes tended to improved OS



Figure 5. Forest plot of the relationship between subset of TILs and OS in NPC. TILs indicates tumor infiltrating lymphocytes; NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio.

(HR = 0.45; 95%CI = 0.16-1.25; P = 0.09) (Figure 5). Further subgroup analysis indicated that patients with high intratumoral CD3+ lymphocytes infiltration was correlated with better OS (HR = 0.48; 95%CI = 0.33-0.70; P = 0.005), while stromal CD3+ had no statistical significant correlation for DFS (HR = 0.66; 95%CI = 0.20-2.20; P = 0.62) (Figure 6).

CD4+. Data from only 2 studies were pooled to analyze the impact of CD4+ cells infiltration on OS. These 2 studies were

conducted on EBV-associated NPC study. Here, high CD4+ infiltrate was associated with better OS (HR = 0.4; 95%CI = 0.18-0.85; P = 0.01) (Figure 5). This suggest that CD4+ immunity could contribute for EBV control.

CD8+. Most of the included studies reported the prognostic value of CD8+ TILs. The pooled result showed that high infiltration of CD8+ cells seem to have improved prognosis (HR = 0.57, 95%CI = 0.26 -1.24, P = 0.07 for OS;

os		HR with 95% CI	Weight (%)
Intratumoral CD3			
Wang Y.Q(2020)		0.39 [0.21, 0.73]	9.30
Zhu Q.(2017)		0.54 [0.34, 0.86]	12.31
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.07$, $I^2 = 22.03\%$, $H^2 = 4.7$	-	0.48 [0.33, 0.70]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(1) = 0.66, p = 0.05			
Intratumoral CD8			
Wang Y.Q(2020)	_	0.41 [0.20, 0.83]	8.18
Ooft M.L(2017)		0.20 [0.07, 0.55]	5.04
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.09$, $I^2 = 23.10\%$, $H^2 = 1.30$		0.32 [0.16, 0.62]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(1) = 1.30, p = 0.001			
Intratumoral unspecified TILs			
Wang Y.Q(2018)		0.54 [0.32, 0.91]	11.16
Almangush A(2018)		0.39 [0.24, 0.63]	11.94
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.13$, $I^2 = 19.25\%$, $H^2 = 1.64$	-	0.45 [0.32, 0.65]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(1) = 0.80, p = 0.007			
Stromal CD3			
Wang Y.Q(2020)		0.33 [0.13, 0.84]	5.67
Zhu Q.(2017)		- 1.14 [0.73, 1.78]	12.69
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.63$, $I^2 = 81.89\%$, $H^2 = 5.52$		0.66 [0.20, 2.20]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(1) = 5.52, p = 0.62			
Stromal unspecified TILs			
Almangush A(2018)		0.49 [0.30, 0.80]	11.78
Wang Y.Q(2018)		0.52 [0.32, 0.84]	11.94
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.02$, $I^2 = 11.52\%$, $H^2 = 1.58$	-	0.51 [0.36, 0.71]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(1) = 0.03, p = 0.003			
Overall	•	0.49[0.38, 0.64]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.09$, $I^2 = 52.52\%$, $H^2 = 2.11$			
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(9) = 18.96, p = 0.001			
	1/9 1/4 1/2 1	_	
	Favorable prognosis	Unfavorable program	sis
andom-effects DerSimonian-Laird model			010

Figure 6. Forest plot of the relationship between locational subtype of TILs and OS in NPC. TILs indicates tumor infiltrating lymphocytes; NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio.

HR = 0.71, 95%CI = 0.22-2.33, P = 0.29 for DFS), although the association was statistical insignificant (Figures 5 and 7) respectively. However, in subgroup analysis, CD8+ cells infiltrated in the intratumoral site showed significantly improved OS (HR = 0.31; 95%CI = 0.16-0.62; P = 0.001) (Figure 6). Because only one study, Wang *et al*,²⁰ reported on the association of CD8+ stromal TILs with OS, we did not perform meta-analysis on this subgroup. FoxP3+. Only 3 articles analyzed the association between FoxP3+ and OS. The pooled result indicated that FoxP3+ lymphocytes did not show statistical significant for prediction of OS (HR = 0.84; 95%CI = 0.28-2.54; P = 0.52) (Figure 5).

Geographical region. According to the geographical locations of the included studies, high TILs in endemic (HR = 0.63; 95%CI = 0.42-0.93; P = 0.03) and sporadic (HR = 0.40;

					HR	Weight
DFS				wit	h 95% CI	(%)
CD3						
Al-Rajhi N.(2020)				0.12 [0.03, 0.46]	11.93
Ahlem(2016)			—	0.64 [0.27, 1.52]	18.14
Zhu Q.(2017)		-	H	0.73 [0.48, 1.11]	25.39
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.37$, $I^2 = 68.42\%$, $H^2 = 3.17$	7		-	0.47 [0.20, 1.09]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 6.33, p = 0.07						
CD8						
Al-Rajhi N.(2020)				0.27 [0.11, 0.66]	17.70
Minichsdorfer C.(2019)				1.67 [0.59, 4.70]	15.67
Ogino(2007)] 88.0	0.21, 3.64]	11.16
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.78$, $I^2 = 71.67\%$, $H^2 = 3.53$	3			0.71 [0.22, 2.33]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 7.06, p = 0.29						
Overall		-		0.56 [0.31, 1.03]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.33$, $I^2 = 62.67\%$, $H^2 = 2.68$	8					
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(5) = 13.39, p = 0.06						
			<u> </u>			
	1/16	1/4	1 4			
	Favorable pro	gnosis	Unfa	vorable	e prognosis	
Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model						

Figure 7. Forest plot of the relationship between subset of TILs and DFS in NPC. TILs indicates tumor infiltrating lymphocytes; NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; DFS, disease free survival; HR, hazard ratio.

95%CI = 0.21-0.74; P = 0.01) NPC patients was variably associated with improved OS; while in sporadic NPC populations was statistical insignificant for DFS (HR = 0.79; 95%CI = 0.322-1.93; P = 0.49) (Figure 8).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis that evaluated the prognostic value of TILs in different micronatomic locations of NPC patients. Our pooled analysis revealed that high TILs was significantly associated with better OS and DFS in NPC patients. The result was consistent with previous meta-analysis studies in TILs of nasopharyngeal carcinoma,³¹ head and neck squamous cell carcinoma,⁸ oral squamous cell carcinoma,³² colorectal cancer,³³ breast cancer,³⁴ ovarian cancer,^{15,35} esophagus cancer,³⁶ pancreatic cancer,³⁷ hepatocellular carcinoma,³⁸ non-small cell lung cancer,³⁹ and in cohort study of head and neck carcinoma.^{7,9} Our study is different from the previous meta-analysis study on NPC³¹ that we have evaluated on the microanatomic location of TILs in predicting prognosis for NPC patients.

NPC, particularly non-keratinizaing NPC is associated with EBV. And it is associated with high lymphocytes infiltration in tumor stroma which is considered a target for immunotherapy.³ Our pooled result indicated that EBV was related with improved outcome for NPC patients, though it was not statistical significant. Some reasons might be explained why the

observed association was not significant. First, as TILs could be significantly different EBV negative and positive, it is especially the composition of the lymphocytes infiltrate that determines the prognosis.²⁵ The other reason could be attributed due to the functional heterogeneity of EBV strains that lead to variety interactions with TILs in NPC patients. Therefore, multiclassification analysis might be feasible and reasonable. For instance, our meta-analysis result on 2 EBV-associated NPC studies revealed that high CD4+ was associated with improved OS. This suggests that CD4+ lymphocytes recognition of EBV products may mediate protective immunity against EBV-associated NPC. Moreover, as the studies included in our meta-analysis were characterized by different baseline patient characteristics (such as age, tumor stage, and treatment regimen), sample size, follow-up time, scoring method, we should cautiously interpret these results.

Furthermore, to clarify the role of TILs location for prognosis, we found that an increase in both intratumoral and stromal TILs was related with improved OS. This was in line with previous cohort studies on ovarian cancer⁴⁰ for both TIL sites, and melanoma⁴¹ for intratumoral sites only. However, this partialy contradicts with studies on bladder cancer¹⁴ and ovarian cancer¹⁵ that stromal TILs were reported as statistically insignificant to predict OS.

For subtypes of TILs, although FoxP3+ tregs suppress immunity which might lead to worse prognosis, however, our

os	HK with 95% CI	(%)
Endemic		
Wang Y.Q(2020)	0.34 [0.18, 0.64]	9.23
Ono T.(2018)	0.49 [0.18, 1.32]	6.31
Lu J.(2018)	——— 1.70 [1.03, 2.79]	10.52
Wang Y.Q(2018)	0.51 [0.33, 0.78]	11.14
Zhu Q.(2017)	0.74 [0.47, 1.16]	10.98
Jiang F.(2019)	0.49 [0.14, 1.71]	4.82
Zhang Y.L(2010)	0.37 [0.17, 0.82]	7.77
Larbcharoensub N.(2018)	0.80 [0.47, 1.38]	10.09
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.21, I ² = 69.24%, H ² = 3.25	0.63 [0.42, 0.93]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(7) = 22.76, p = 0.03		
Sporadic		
Almangush A.(2018)	0.44 [0.26, 0.76]	10.09
Al-Rajhi N.(2020)	0.25 [0.05, 1.23]	3.43
Minichsdorfer Chr.(2019)	1.53 [0.45, 5.22]	4.92
Ooft M.L.(2017)	0.22 [0.07, 0.67]	5.59
Ahlem B.(2016) —	0.23 [0.07, 0.76]	5.10
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.21, I ² = 42.66%, H ² = 1.74	0.40 [0.21, 0.74]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(4) = 6.98, p = 0.01		
Overall	• 0.55 [0.39, 0.77]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.22$, $I^2 = 65.05\%$, $H^2 = 2.86$		
1/16 Favorable p	1/4 1 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis	
1/16 Favorable p tandom-effects DerSimonian-Laird model	1/4 1 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis	Weight
1/16 Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model	1/4 1 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% Cl	Weight (%)
1/16 Favorable p tandom-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic	1/4 1 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% Cl	Weight (%)
1/16 Favorable p tandom-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020)	1/4 1 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76]	Weight (%) 8.35
1/16 Favorable p tandom-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020)	1/4 1 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86
1/16 Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020) Zhu Q.(2017) Wang Y.Q(2018)	1/4 1 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11] 0.52 [0.36, 0.75]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86 29.39
1/16 Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020) Zhu Q.(2017) Wang Y.Q(2018) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$, $I^2 = 45.84\%$, $H^2 = 1.85$	1/4 1 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11] 0.52 [0.36, 0.75] 0.55 [0.37, 0.83]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86 29.39
$\frac{1}{1/16}$ Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model FS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020) Zhu Q.(2017) Wang Y.Q(2018) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$, $I^2 = 45.84\%$, $H^2 = 1.85$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 3.69, p = 0.04	1/4 1 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11] 0.52 [0.36, 0.75] 0.55 [0.37, 0.83]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86 29.39
$\frac{1}{1/16}$ Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020) Zhu Q.(2017) Wang Y.Q(2018) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$, $I^2 = 45.84\%$, $H^2 = 1.85$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 3.69, p = 0.04 Sporadic	1/4 1 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11] 0.52 [0.36, 0.75] 0.55 [0.37, 0.83]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86 29.39
$\frac{1}{1/16}$ Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020) Zhu Q.(2017) Wang Y.Q(2018) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$, $I^2 = 45.84\%$, $H^2 = 1.85$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 3.69, p = 0.04 Sporadic Almangush A.(2018)	1/4 1 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11] 0.52 [0.36, 0.75] 0.55 [0.37, 0.83] 0.44 [0.25, 0.78]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86 29.39 20.51
$\frac{1}{1/16}$ Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020) Zhu Q.(2017) Wang Y.Q(2018) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$, $l^2 = 45.84\%$, $H^2 = 1.85$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 3.69, p = 0.04 Sporadic Almangush A.(2018) Al-Rajhi N.(2020)	I/4 I 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11] 0.52 [0.36, 0.75] 0.55 [0.37, 0.83] 0.44 [0.25, 0.78] 1.67 [0.59, 4.70]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86 29.39 20.51 9.35
$\frac{1}{1/16}$ Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020) Zhu Q.(2017) Wang Y.Q(2018) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$, $l^2 = 45.84\%$, $H^2 = 1.85$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 3.69, p = 0.04 Sporadic Almangush A.(2018) Al-Rajhi N.(2020) Ogino(2007)	I/4 I 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11] 0.52 [0.36, 0.75] 0.55 [0.37, 0.83] 0.44 [0.25, 0.78] 1.67 [0.59, 4.70] 0.88 [0.21, 3.64]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86 29.39 20.51 9.35 5.54
$\frac{1}{1/16}$ Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020) Zhu Q.(2017) Wang Y.Q(2018) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$, $l^2 = 45.84\%$, $H^2 = 1.85$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 3.69, p = 0.04 Sporadic Almangush A.(2018) Al-Rajhi N.(2020) Ogino(2007) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.38$, $l^2 = 61.19\%$, $H^2 = 2.58$	I/4 I 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11] 0.52 [0.36, 0.75] 0.55 [0.37, 0.83] 0.44 [0.25, 0.78] 0.88 [0.21, 3.64] 0.79 [0.32, 1.93]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86 29.39 20.51 9.35 5.54
$\frac{1}{1/16}$ Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020) Zhu Q.(2017) Wang Y.Q(2018) Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 0.06$, $l^2 = 45.84\%$, $H^2 = 1.85$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 3.69, p = 0.04 Sporadic Almangush A.(2018) Al-Rajhi N.(2020) Ogino(2007) Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 0.38$, $l^2 = 61.19\%$, $H^2 = 2.58$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 5.15, p = 0.49	I/4 I 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11] 0.52 [0.36, 0.75] 0.55 [0.37, 0.83] 0.44 [0.25, 0.78] 0.88 [0.21, 3.64] 0.79 [0.32, 1.93]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86 29.39 20.51 9.35 5.54
$\frac{1}{1/16}$ Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020) Zhu Q.(2017) Wang Y.Q(2018) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$, $l^2 = 45.84\%$, $H^2 = 1.85$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 3.69, p = 0.04 Sporadic Almangush A.(2018) Al-Rajhi N.(2020) Ogino(2007) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.38$, $l^2 = 61.19\%$, $H^2 = 2.58$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 5.15, p = 0.49 Overall	1/4 1 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11] 0.52 [0.36, 0.75] 0.55 [0.37, 0.83] 0.44 [0.25, 0.78] 1.67 [0.59, 4.70] 0.88 [0.21, 3.64] 0.79 [0.32, 1.93]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86 29.39 20.51 9.35 5.54
$\frac{1}{1/16}$ Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020) Zhu Q.(2017) Wang Y.Q(2018) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$, $l^2 = 45.84\%$, $H^2 = 1.85$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_i$: Q(2) = 3.69, p = 0.04 Sporadic Almangush A.(2018) Al-Rajhi N.(2020) Ogino(2007) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.38$, $l^2 = 61.19\%$, $H^2 = 2.58$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_i$: Q(2) = 5.15, p = 0.49 Overall Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.08$, $l^2 = 44.13\%$, $H^2 = 1.79$	I/4 I 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11] 0.52 [0.36, 0.75] 0.55 [0.37, 0.83] 0.44 [0.25, 0.78] 1.67 [0.59, 4.70] 0.79 [0.32, 1.93] 0.59 [0.42, 0.85]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86 29.39 20.51 9.35 5.54
$\frac{1}{1/16}$ Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020) Zhu Q.(2017) Wang Y.Q(2018) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$, $l^2 = 45.84\%$, $H^2 = 1.85$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 3.69, p = 0.04 Sporadic Almangush A.(2018) Al-Rajhi N.(2020) Ogino(2007) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.38$, $l^2 = 61.19\%$, $H^2 = 2.58$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 5.15, p = 0.49 Overall Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.08$, $l^2 = 44.13\%$, $H^2 = 1.79$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(5) = 8.95, p = 0.01	I/4 I 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11] 0.52 [0.36, 0.75] 0.55 [0.37, 0.83] 0.44 [0.25, 0.78] 0.48 [0.21, 3.64] 0.79 [0.32, 1.93] 0.59 [0.42, 0.85]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86 29.39 20.51 9.35 5.54
$\frac{1}{1/16}$ Favorable p Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model DFS Endemic Wang Y.Q(2020) Zhu Q.(2017) Wang Y.Q(2018) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$, $I^2 = 45.84\%$, $H^2 = 1.85$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 3.69, p = 0.04 Sporadic Almangush A.(2018) Al-Rajhi N.(2020) Ogino(2007) Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.38$, $I^2 = 61.19\%$, $H^2 = 2.58$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(2) = 5.15, p = 0.49 Overall Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.08$, $I^2 = 44.13\%$, $H^2 = 1.79$ Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(5) = 8.95, p = 0.01	1/4 1 4 rognosis Unfavorable prognosis HR with 95% CI 0.25 [0.08, 0.76] 0.73 [0.48, 1.11] 0.52 [0.36, 0.75] 0.55 [0.37, 0.83] 0.44 [0.25, 0.78] 0.44 [0.25, 0.78] 0.59 [0.42, 0.85]	Weight (%) 8.35 26.86 29.39 20.51 9.35 5.54

Figure 8. Forest plot of NPC by geographical region for OS & DFS. NPC indicates nasopharyngeal carcinoma; OS, overall survival; DFS, disease free survival; HR, hazard ratio.

pooled result indicated that FoxP3+ presence did not show statistical significant for prediction of OS. This might be due to in our included studies, contrasting studies had assessed the FoxP3+ cells which might affect the overall result, and that calls further large study in this area. The other reason might be partly due to the fact that CD4+ co-receptor is also expressed on FoxP3+ tregs.⁴² Here, our pooled result showed that higher infiltration of CD4+ cells was related with better OS. This

seems CD4+ lymphocytes aid in antitumor activity, either by direct elimination of MHC II+ tumor cells or directly through modulation of tumor the microenvironment.^{43,44}

Additionally, we investigated that high CD3+ and CD8+ cells infiltration indicated favorable outcome, though the result wasn't statistical significant. Nonetheless, subgroup analysis of both intratumoral CD3+ and CD8+, but not stromal CD3+, was correlated for predicting favorable prognosis. This might

suggest that different CD3+ and/or CD8+ lymphocytes infiltration location has contrasting role within tumor microenvironment in NPC patients. In other words, the different microanatomic localization of TILs in various tumor tissues could have distinct clinical role in determining their correlation to disease prognosis.^{41,45,46} Our favorable prognosis result on intratumoral CD8+ infiltration was consistent with previous cohort study on breast cancer,⁴⁷ colorectal cancer,^{48,49} as well as with the study on ovarian cancer,^{15,35} and hepatocellular carcinoma³⁸ for both intratumoral CD3+ and CD8+ cells. This was in contrast to the study that reported worse DFS to intratumoral CD8+ lymphocytes in breast cancer.⁵⁰

Interestingly, in the present study, we found that intratumoral TILs had better OS than stromal TILs in NPC patients. Here, the better prognostic of intratumoral TILs might be due to by the fact that the biological rationale T-lymphocytes activation necessitates physical contact with tumor cells so as to engage the T-lymphocytes receptor.^{40,51} More importantly, understanding the biological properties of the suppressed and activated immunophenotypes of intratumoral and stromal TILs in different tumor microenvironment is important to in order to rationally modulate their behavior to enhance antitumor immunity.

There were certain limitations that must be acknowledged. First, patients had received different treatment regimen before and after surgery. Second, due to insufficient data, this study did not evaluate the effect of subtypes of NPC and clinicopathological factors which may determine the prognostic value of TILs. Third, there was also differences in antibody used, cell-scoring criteria and cutoff value in those included studies. Finally, the analysis was exclusively based on studies published in English.

Conclusion

TILs were variably correlated with better prognosis depending on microanatomic location and subsets of TILs in NPC patients. CD4+, intratumoral CD3+ and intartumoral CD8+ lymphocytes could predict favorable patient outcome which suggests that their role in mediating antitumor immune response could potentially be exploited in the treatment of NPC patients. However, in terms of the limitations mentioned before, further well-designed study are needed to confirm our results and highlight the prognostic value of microanatomic location of TILs.

Abbreviations

CI, confidence interval; DFS, disease free survival; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; HR, hazard ratio; NPC, nasopharyngeal carcinoma; OS, overall survival; TILs, tumor infiltrating lymphocytes.

Authors' Note

This is meta-analysis that data sources are publicly available, so, no ethical approval was required.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This research was supported by fund by Joint fund of Hubei Health and Family Planning Commission (Number: WJ2018H0018).

ORCID iD

Birhanu Aberha Berele, MM D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3475-1075

References

- Luo MS, Huang GJ, Liu BX. Immune infiltration in nasopharyngeal carcinoma based on gene expression. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2019;98(39):e17311.
- Chen YP, Chan ATC, Le QT, Blanchard P, Sun Y, Ma J. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Lancet*. 2019;394(10192):64-80.
- Le QT, Colevas AD, O'Sullivan B, et al. Current treatment landscape of nasopharyngeal carcinoma and potential trials evaluating the value of immunotherapy. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2019;111(7): 655-663.
- Chow JC, Ngan RK, Cheung KM, Cho WC. Immunotherapeutic approaches in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2019;19(11):1165-1172.
- Ogino T, Moriai S, Ishida Y, et al. Association of immunoescape mechanisms with Epstein-Barr virus infection in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Int J Cancer*. 2007;120(11):2401-2410.
- Au KH, Ngan RKC, Ng AWY, et al. Treatment outcomes of nasopharyngeal carcinoma in modern era after intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) in Hong Kong: a report of 3328 patients (HKNPCSG 1301 study). Oral Oncol. 2018;77:16-21.
- Spector ME, Bellile E, Amlani L, et al; University of Michigan Head and Neck SPORE Program. Prognostic value of tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2019;145(11): 1012-1019.
- de Ruiter EJ, Ooft ML, Devriese LA, Willems SM. The prognostic role of tumor infiltrating T-lymphocytes in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Oncoimmunology*. 2017;6(11):e1356148.
- Xu Q, Wang C, Yuan X, Feng Z, Han Z. Prognostic value of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes for patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Transl Oncol.* 2017;10(1):10-16.
- Zhang XM, Song LJ, Shen J, et al. Prognostic and predictive values of immune infiltrate in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Hum Pathol*. 2018;82:104-112.
- Balermpas P, Michel Y, Wagenblast J, et al. Tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes predict response to definitive chemoradiotherapy in head and neck cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2014;110(2):501-509.
- Sakaguchi S, Yamaguchi T, Nomura T, Ono M. Regulatory T cells and immune tolerance. *Cell*. 2008;133(5):775-787.

- Lei Y, Xie Y, Tan YS, et al. Telltale tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) in oral, head & neck cancer. *Oral Oncol.* 2016;61: 159-165.
- Rouanne M, Betari R, Radulescu C, et al. Stromal lymphocyte infiltration is associated with tumour invasion depth but is not prognostic in high-grade T1 bladder cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2019;108:111-119.
- Li J, Wang J, Chen R, Bai Y, Lu X. The prognostic value of tumor-infiltrating T lymphocytes in ovarian cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(9):15621-15631.
- Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*. 2015;350:g7647.
- Rohatgi A. WebPlotDigitizer version 4.4. https://automeris.io/ WebPlotDigitizer
- Almangush A, Ruuskanen M, Hagström J, et al. Tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes associate with outcome in nonendemic nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a multicenter study. *Hum Pathol*. 2018;81:211-219.
- 19. Al-Rajhi N, Soudy H, Ahmed SA, et al. CD3+T-lymphocyte infiltration is an independent prognostic factor for advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *BMC Cancer*. 2020;20(1):240.
- Wang YQ, Chen L, Mao YP, et al. Prognostic value of immune score in nasopharyngeal carcinoma using digital pathology. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2020;8(2):e000334.
- Minichsdorfer C, Oberndorfer F, Krall C, et al. PD-L1 expression on tumor cells is associated with a poor outcome in a cohort of Caucasian nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients. *Front Oncol.* 2019;9:1334.
- Ono T, Azuma K, Kawahara A, et al. Prognostic stratification of patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma based on tumor immune microenvironment. *Head Neck.* 2018;40(9): 2007-2019.
- Lu J, Chen XM, Huang HR, et al. Detailed analysis of inflammatory cell infiltration and the prognostic impact on nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Head Neck.* 2018;40(6):1245-1253.
- Wang YQ, Chen YP, Zhang Yet al. Prognostic significance of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in nondisseminated nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a large-scale cohort study. *Int J Cancer*. 2018; 142(12):2558-2566.
- Ooft ML, van Ipenburg JA, Braunius WW, Zuur CI, Koljenović S, Willems SM. Prognostic role of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes in EBV positive and EBV negative nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Oral Oncol.* 2017;71:16-25.
- 26. Zhu Q, Cai MY, Chen CL, et al. Tumor cells PD-L1 expression as a favorable prognosis factor in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients with pre-existing intratumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. *Oncoimmunology*. 2017;6(5):e1312240.
- Zhang YL, Li J, Mo HY, et al. Different subsets of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes correlate with NPC progression in different ways. *Mol Cancer*. 2010;9:4.
- Larbcharoensub N, Mahaprom K, Jiarpinitnun C, et al. Characterization of PD-L1 and PD-1 expression and CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte in Epstein-Barr virus-associated nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Am J Clin Oncol.* 2018;41(12):1204-1210.

- Jiang F, Yu W, Zeng F, et al. PD-1 high expression predicts lower local disease control in stage IV M0 nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *BMC Cancer*. 2019;19(1):503.
- Ben-Haj-Ayed A, Moussa A, Ghedira R, et al. Prognostic value of indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase activity and expression in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Immunol Lett.* 2016;169:23-32.
- Liu W, Chen G, Zhang C, et al. Prognostic significance of tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes and macrophages in nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 2021. doi:10.1007/s00405-021-06879-2
- Huang Z, Xie N, Liu H, et al. The prognostic role of tumourinfiltrating lymphocytes in oral squamous cell carcinoma: a meta-analysis. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 2019;48(9):788-798.
- Kong JC, Guerra GR, Pham T, et al. Prognostic impact of tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes in primary and metastatic colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2019;62(4):498-508.
- Wang K, Xu J, Zhang T, Xue D. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in breast cancer predict the response to chemotherapy and survival outcome: a meta-analysis. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(28): 44288-44298.
- Hwang WT, Adams SF, Tahirovic E, Hagemann IS, Coukos G. Prognostic significance of tumor-infiltrating T cells in ovarian cancer: a meta-analysis. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2012;124(2):192-198.
- Zheng X, Song X, Shao Y, et al. Prognostic role of tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes in esophagus cancer: a meta-analysis. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* 2018;45(2):720-732.
- Orhan A, Vogelsang RP, Andersen MB, et al. The prognostic value of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes in pancreatic cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer*. 2020;132: 71-84.
- Ding W, Xu X, Qian Y, et al. Prognostic value of tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes in hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2018;97(50):e13301.
- 39. Yu Y, Zeng D, Ou Q, et al. Association of survival and immunerelated biomarkers with immunotherapy in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis and individual patient-level analysis. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2(7):e196879.
- 40. James FR, Jiminez-Linan M, Alsop J, et al. Association between tumour infiltrating lymphocytes, histotype and clinical outcome in epithelial ovarian cancer. *BMC Cancer*. 2017;17(1):657.
- Park CK, Kim SK. Clinicopathological significance of intratumoral and peritumoral lymphocytes and lymphocyte score based on the histologic subtypes of cutaneous melanoma. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(9):14759-14769.
- Takeuchi Y, Nishikawa H. Roles of regulatory T cells in cancer immunity. *Int Immunol.* 2016;28(8):401-409.
- Ahrends T, Borst J. The opposing roles of CD4(+) T cells in anti-tumour immunity. *Immunology*. 2018;154(4):582-592.
- 44. Kennedy R, Celis E. Multiple roles for CD4+ T cells in antitumor immune responses. *Immunol Rev.* 2008;222:129-144.
- 45. Salgado R, Denkert C, Demaria S, et al; International TILs Working Group 2014. The evaluation of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in breast cancer: recommendations by an International TILs Working Group 2014. *Ann Oncol.* 2015; 26(2):259-271.

- 46. Denkert C, Wienert S, Poterie A, et al. Standardized evaluation of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in breast cancer: results of the ring studies of the International Immuno-Oncology Biomarker Working Group. *Mod Pathol*. 2016;29(10):1155-1164.
- Chen Z, Chen X, Zhou E, et al. Intratumoral CD8⁺ cytotoxic lymphocyte is a favorable prognostic marker in node-negative breast cancer. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(4):e95475.
- Ling A, Edin S, Wikberg ML, Öberg Å, Palmqvist R. The intratumoural subsite and relation of CD8(+) and FOXP3(+) T lymphocytes in colorectal cancer provide important prognostic clues. *Br J Cancer*. 2014;110(10):2551-2559.
- Nazemalhosseini-Mojarad E, Mohammadpour S, Torshizi Esafahani A, et al. Intratumoral infiltrating lymphocytes correlate with improved survival in colorectal cancer patients: independent of oncogenetic features. *J Cell Physiol*. 2019;234(4): 4768-4777.
- Catacchio I, Silvestris N, Scarpi E, Schirosi L, Scattone A, Mangia A. Intratumoral, rather than stromal, CD8+ T cells could be a potential negative prognostic marker in invasive breast cancer patients. *Transl Oncol.* 2019;12(3):585-595.
- 51. Joyce JA, Fearon DT. T cell exclusion, immune privilege, and the tumor microenvironment. *Science*. 2015;348(6230):74-80.