

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Drug Resistance Updates

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/drup

FDA approved drugs with pharmacotherapeutic potential for SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) therapy



Sylwester Drożdżal^a, Jakub Rosik^b, Kacper Lechowicz^c, Filip Machaj^b, Katarzyna Kotfis^c, Saeid Ghavami^d, Marek J. Łos^{e,*}

^a Department of Pharmacokinetics and Monitored Therapy, Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Poland

^b Department of Pathology, Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Poland

^c Department of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Therapy and Acute Intoxications, Pomeranian Medical University in Szczecin, Poland

^d Department of Human Anatomy and Cell Science, Max Rady College of Medicine, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

^e Biotechnology Centre, Silesian University of Technology, Krzywoustego 8 Str., 44-100, Gliwice, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 Chloroquine Lopinavir Remdesivir Ribavirin Ritonavir

ABSTRACT

In December 2019, a novel SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus emerged, causing an outbreak of life-threatening pneumonia in the Hubei province, China, and has now spread worldwide, causing a pandemic. The urgent need to control the disease, combined with the lack of specific and effective treatment modalities, call for the use of FDAapproved agents that have shown efficacy against similar pathogens. Chloroquine, remdesivir, lopinavir/ritonavir or ribavirin have all been successful in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 in vitro. The initial results of a number of clinical trials involving various protocols of administration of chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine mostly point towards their beneficial effect. However, they may not be effective in cases with persistently high viremia, while results on ivermectin (another antiparasitic agent) are not yet available. Interestingly, azithromycin, a macrolide antibiotic in combination with hydroxychloroquine, might yield clinical benefit as an adjunctive. The results of clinical trials point to the potential clinical efficacy of antivirals, especially remdesivir (GS-5734), lopinavir/ ritonavir, and favipiravir. Other therapeutic options that are being explored involve meplazumab, tocilizumab, and interferon type 1. We discuss a number of other drugs that are currently in clinical trials, whose results are not yet available, and in various instances we enrich such efficacy analysis by invoking historic data on the treatment of SARS, MERS, influenza, or in vitro studies. Meanwhile, scientists worldwide are seeking to discover novel drugs that take advantage of the molecular structure of the virus, its intracellular life cycle that probably elucidates unfolded-protein response, as well as its mechanism of surface binding and cell invasion, like angiotensin converting enzymes-, HR1, and metalloproteinase inhibitors.

Introduction

Coronaviruses (CoVs) are single-stranded RNA viruses that belong to the *Coronaviridae* family. They spread among a wide range of hosts, presenting clinically with an array of symptoms, ranging from common cold-like to severe, sometimes lethal, respiratory infection. The new virus, responsible for the pandemic, was initially termed as "2019nCoV", but it has since been renamed "SARS-CoV-2" by the Coronavirus Study Group (CSG), a body that belongs to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV), as it is believed to be familiar with the SARS-CoV, a pathogen that causes severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). The recent SARS-CoV-2 is closely associated with SARS-CoV, sharing 80 % identity in RNA sequence (Gorbalenya et al., 2020; Chan et al., 2020). With first cases in humans being recorded in December 2019, SARS-CoV-2 is responsible for an outbreak of respiratory disease called COVID-19 (Coronavirus Disease 2019). The full spectrum of COVID-19 ranges from benign, self-resolving respiratory distress to severe progressive pneumonia, multiple organ failure, and death (Huang et al., 2020a). The city of Wuhan, in the province of Hubei in central China has been declared as the epicenter of the pandemic, with Huanan seafood market being one of the first locations where SARS-CoV-2 potentially crossed the species barrier at the animal-human interface. Pioneering research undertaken in Shenzhen, near Hong Kong, by a group of clinicians and scientists from the University of Hong Kong, provided the first piece of evidence, that SARS-CoV-2 can been transmitted from human-to-human (Chan et al., 2020). The new threat

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mjelos@gmail.com (M.J. Łos).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drup.2020.100719

Received 4 April 2020; Received in revised form 10 July 2020; Accepted 13 July 2020 Available online 15 July 2020 1368-7646/ Crown Copyright © 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. quickly spread from China and is currently classified as a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO). Many countries are implementing extraordinary measures in order to provide their societies with adequate strategies of disease prevention and monitoring (Chan et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020).

For the time being, there is neither a vaccination or a specific SARS-CoV-2 targeted antiviral treatment available. Multiple countries have attempted varying pharmacologic strategies to combat the disease, involving currently established antivirals, different modes of oxygen therapy or mechanical ventilation. COVID-19 pandemic requires rapid development of efficacious therapeutic strategies, in the pursuit of which three concepts are being applied: (i) The first approach relies on testing currently known antiviral agents and verifying their clinical usefulness (Kim et al., 2016; Lu, 2020). (ii) Another modality is based on molecular libraries and databases, allowing for high computing power and simultaneous verification of millions of potential agents (Lu, 2020; Channappanavar et al., 2017). (iii) Lastly, the third strategy involves targeted therapy, intended to disrupt the genome and functioning of the virus. Precisely designed particles would disrupt the crucial steps of viral infection, such as cell surface binding and internalization. Unfortunately, in vitro activity does not necessarily translate into efficacy in the in vivo setting, due to differing pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties (Lu, 2020; Zumla et al., 2016). The main groups of therapeutic agents that can be useful in COVID-19 treatment involve antiviral drugs, selected antibiotics, antimalarials, and immunotherapeutic drugs. In the present paper, we aim to summarize current progress and insights that have emerged from the use of pharmaceuticals in COVID-19.

Hydroxychloroquine and other antimalarials

In one of the newest dissertations published by a French team of doctors, a positive influence of hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) in patients infected by SARS-CoV-2 was observed (Gautret et al., 2020). Furthermore, another in vitro trial showed that both chloroquine (CQ) and its hydroxylated derivative, HCQ, possess beneficial properties. HCQ, an agent with universally established antimalarial, anti-inflammatory, and analgesic properties, is widely used in the treatment of malaria. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) are currently working on establishing randomized clinical trials that aim to confirm the usefulness of CQ and its derivatives in combating CoV-2 virus infection (Anon, 2020a, b). In the beginning of February 2020, China included CQ with its derivatives as one of the therapeutic options in SARS-CoV-2 treatment, with South Korea soon following this path (Gao et al., 2020; Sung-sun, 2020). The mechanism of action of antimalarial agents has not been well elucidated - it is believed to be pleiotropic, affecting T-cells, cytokine production, and others. Graphical representation of HCQ action can be seen in Fig. 1. Additional anti-inflammatory effect can be attributed to the inhibition of extracellular matrix metalloproteinases (Nowell and Quaranta, 1985; Lafyatis et al., 2006; Wozniacka et al., 2006). In this case, the potential mechanism of action of CQ and its hydroxylated derivative is attributed to the blockade of viral infection via an alkalization of endosomal (and lysosomal) pH; it should be emphasized that the above acidic pH is required for virus-host cell fusion (Adar et al., 2012; Zhitomirsky and Assaraf, 2016, 2015). Furthermore, the agents are believed to disrupt SARS-CoV cell receptor glycosylation (Wang et al., 2020a).

It has been shown that HCQ presents *in vitro* antiviral properties against SARS-CoV (Biot et al., 2006). Its clinical safety profile is superior to that of CQ (in a long-term setting), which allows for higher daily dose, and results in fewer drug-drug interactions (Yao et al., 2020; Marmor et al., 2016). A clinical trial aiming to assess the influence of HCQ on the outcome of patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 by Gautret et al., compared patients receiving HCQ and controls, concentrating on viral load reduction (Gautret et al., 2020) (all clinical trials are summarized in Table 1 and Fig. 2). The study enrolled hospitalized patients with confirmed COVID-19. Patients were stratified into three categories: asymptomatic (16.7 %); upper respiratory tract infection (URTI; 61.1 %), presenting as rhinitis, pharyngitis, or isolated fever and muscle pain; lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI; 22.2 %), who suffered from symptoms of pneumonia or bronchitis. Twenty patients were administered HCQ sulfate orally, and 16 served as the control group. Among patients treated with HCQ, 6 were also treated with azithromycin, in order to prevent superimposed bacterial infection. The percentage of patients with absence of viral loads on nasopharyngeal swab sample RT-PCR was significantly higher in the treatment group than in controls, on days 3, 4, 5 and 6 of follow-up. On day 6, which was considered the endpoint, in 70 % of patients treated with HCQ viral load disappearance was observed, in comparison with 12.5 % in the control group (p = 0.001) (Gautret et al., 2020).

Another study compiling the results of over 100 patients showed that the addition of CQ phosphate is superior to standard supportive care and hence contributing to prevention of the deterioration of pneumonia. Investigators observed improved lung imaging findings, improved negative conversion, and shortening of the disease course. No severe adverse events were noted in the study. CQ phosphate was recommended to be introduced into the next edition of National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China guidelines on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of pneumonia caused by COVID-19 (Gao et al., 2020).

In February 2020, a randomized clinical trial on 62 patients was established in Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University to determine the efficacy of HCQ in patients with COVID-19. The trial involved 5-day HCQ treatment (400 mg/day), during which patients were examined 3 times a day, including temperature measurement and assessment of cough. CT was performed at baseline and once again after 5 days. In the HCQ arm, significantly shorter body temperature normalization and cough remission times were noted. In addition, radiological improvement in pneumonia was observed more frequently in patients from the HCQ group (80.6 % vs 54.8 %). Despite the rather limited sample size, the trial demonstrated that the use of HCQ can improve patient prognosis, accelerate remission, and improve clinical status (Chen et al., 2020a).

Teng et al., showed that administration of HCQ in patients with persistent mild to moderate COVID-19 did not improve the probability of negative conversion, in comparison with standard of care alone. One hundred and fifty patients were included in this study, with 75 assigned to HCQ plus standard of care, whereas the remaining 75 patients were treated with standard of care only. Results of HCQ group did not differ significantly from the results of the standard of care group (Tang et al., 2020).

In a recent study, HCQ administration resulted in earlier recovery, without affecting overall mortality. The study was conducted on a group of 522 patients, 127 of which were symptomatic, while the remaining 395 patients had no clinical manifestations at baseline. Their COVID-19 status was confirmed by RT-PCR. Asymptomatic patients treated with HCQ recovered earlier (average recovery time = 5.4 days) compared to asymptomatic patients who did not receive any treatment (average recovery time = 7.6 days) (Bhandari et al., 2020).

In conclusion: CQ is a cheap and relatively safe drug that has been in clinical use for over 70 years (Ciak and Hahn, 1966; Chu et al., 2018), therefore can be a potential candidate for SARS-CoV-2 treatment (Cortegiani et al., 2020). Despite promising results, it is essential to consider all safety measures and treat with this medication only as a supplementary form of treatment. Moreover, the initial enthusiasm surrounding HCQ and CQ was curbed after both were discontinued from SOLIDARITY trial due to the lack of benefit (WHO, 2020). This, along with other promising treatment schemes that have emerged in the recent months, are summarized in Table 2.

The antiparasitic agent ivermectin is another drug worth exploring further. In an *in vitro* study, it showed a 99.98 % reduction in viral load



Fig. 1. Graphical representation of HCQ action.

It is believed that most important pathways involve lysosomal enzyme stabilization, antigen presentation suppression, T-cell stimulation inhibition, or cytokine cascade blockade. HCQ inhibits the proliferation of T-cells and monocytes, and decreases the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Il-6, Il-17, IFN- α , IFN- λ , TNF- α). Additionally, it inhibits antibody and prostaglandin (PG) production. It decreases thrombocyte aggregation, lipid levels, insulin secretion, as well as oxidative stress (Nowell and Quaranta, 1985). Another mechanism that contributes to its antimalarial properties involves the inhibition of toll-like-receptors, namely TLR-3, TLR-7, and TLR-9, in response to microbial antigens that under normal conditions induce inflammatory response. Furthermore, antimalarial drugs inhibit PG production and lipid peroxidation. Decreasing PG production involves the inhibition of phospholipase A2 activity.

after 48 h of treatment (Caly et al., 2020). The drug is not toxic at a standard dose, and is safe for pregnant women, which makes it a strong candidate for evaluation in clinical trials (Caly et al., 2020). So far, one study has been established to verify its clinical efficacy, in combination with HCQ (NCT04343092) (US National Library of Medicine, 2020).

Corticosteroids

The WHO states in his recommendations that systemic steroids should not be routinely administered in treatment of viral pneumonia or acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), unless recommended for other medical reasons, or as part of a clinical trial (World Health, 2020). In a systemic review of observational studies that focused on the effects of corticoid administration to patients with SARS, no clinical benefit was noted in terms of overall survival. In the case of influenza, steroid administration was associated with higher mortality rate and superimposed infections (Hui et al., 2018). General quality of evidence advocating for the use of steroids is considered weak. Another study, adjusted for confounding factors, did not present any association of steroid therapy with lower mortality rates. Finally, the latest study on steroids administration in patients with MERS, no effect on survival was disclosed, but steroids may have been responsible for halting the disease progression in severe forms of LRTI. The use of steroids was associated with delayed clearance of viral RNA from the respiratory tract (Arabi et al., 2018a) and blood (Lee et al., 2004). Given the evidence presently available, it is recommended to avoid the routine administration of steroids, unless recommended for the treatment of another comorbidity, e.g. shock or as continuation of treatment (Who, 2020; Russell et al., 2020).

Antibiotics

There are several studies that present potential benefits of antibiotic therapy in coronavirus infection. It is challenging to elucidate the potential underlying mechanism of action that might be of benefit in monotherapy, therefore most researchers turn their attention to combination therapy. Azithromycin, a macrolide antibiotic, in combination with HCQ, might yield clinical benefit as an adjunctive. The insights from the French study (described in the section concerning CQ) presents the thesis that azithromycin potentiates the effects of therapy (Gautret et al., 2020). Among patients treated with HCQ, 6 of them were given azithromycin (500 mg initially, then 250 mg per day for the next 4 days), in order to prevent superimposed bacterial infections. When comparing HCQ monotherapy to combination therapy with azithromycin, the percentage of patients who presented with negative PCR viral load was significantly different, at 3, 4, 5 and 6 days of follow-up, in the favor of dual therapy. On day 6, 100 % of patients were declared viral load-negative, in comparison with 57.1 % in HCQ monotherapy group and 12.5 % in control group (p < 0.001). The effect of treatment was significantly more pronounced in patients with URTI and LRTI in comparison with asymptomatic patients (p < 0.05) (Gautret et al., 2020).

Teicoplanin is a glycopeptide antibiotic routinely used in the treatment of bacterial infections. In an in vitro setting it exerts anti-SARS-CoV activity. Therefore, it might be used as one of potential therapeutic agents against COVID-19. While it is most commonly used in Gram-positive bacterial infections, especially of Staphylococcal etiology, it did present some anti-viral properties in past studies. It is effective in vitro against Ebola virus, Influenza virus, Flavivirus, Hepacivirus C (HCV), human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), and coronaviruses - MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV (Baron et al., 2020). In 2016, a patent application was submitted for the use of teicoplanin in MERS-CoV infection. According to Zhou et al., teicoplanin influences the early stages of viral replication cycle, inhibiting viral detachment, thereby preventing the release of viral RNA, halting further virus-cycle progression (Baron et al., 2020). Latest studies carried out by the same researchers, suggested that it is likewise effective against SARS-CoV-2 (as the target sequence, the molecular target for cathepsin L is identical to that of SARS-CoV). The teicoplanin concentration that is required to inhibit viral replication by 50 % (IC₅₀; 50 % inhibitory concentration) in vitro was $1.66\,\mu\text{M}$, a value significantly lower than that reached in human blood (8.78 µM for a daily dose of 400 mg). These results require further confirmation in randomized clinical trials (Baron et al., 2020).

Table 1

Summary of the clinical trials on COVID-19 treatment to date (16th of April 2020).

Therapeutic agents	Clinical Trial ID	Number of participants	Comments
Adalimumab	ChiCTR20000 30089	60	compared to standard treatment
Adamumab + Tozumab	ChiCTR20000 30580	60	compared to standard treatment
Anakinra	NCT04341584	240	-
Anakinra	NCT04339712	20	compared to tocilizumab
Anakinra	NCT04324021	54	compared to emanalumab and standard treatment
Angiotensin 1-7	NCT04332666	60	-
ASCO9	NCT04261270	60	compared to ritonavir: combined with oseltamivir
45009	NCT04261907	160	compared to Intonavir, combined with oscilarity
Atovaguone	NCT04201507	25	combined with azithromycin
Agithromyoin	NCT04333420	23 E00	compared to chloroquino and hudrovuchloroquino
Azithromycin	NCT04341727	1500	compared to chloroquine and nydroxychloroquine
Azithromycin	NCT04324403	240	compared to chloroquine
Azithioniyeni	NCT04339810	160	combined with hydroxychloroguine
Azitifoliyeli	NG104330332	100	hydroxychloroquine
Azithromycin	NCT04332107	2271	-
Azithromycin + Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04322123	630	compared to hydroxychloroquine
Azithromycin + Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04321278	440	compared to hydroxychloroquine
Azundine	ChiCTP20000 20853	20	compared to standard treatment
Azvudine	ChiCTP20000 20041	20	compared to standard treatment
Azvudine	ChiCTR20000 20424	20	
Azvudine	ChiCTR20000 30424	30	-
Azvudine	ChiCTR20000 3048/	10	-
Baloxavir marboxil	ChiCTR20000 29544	30	compared to favipiravir and standard treatment
Baloxavir marboxil	ChiCTR20000 29548	30	compared to favipiravir and lopinavir/ritonavir
Baricitinib	NCT04320277	60	-
Baricitinib	NCT04340232	80	
Baricitinib	NCT04321993	1000	compared to hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir/ritonavir and
			sarilumab
BLD-2660	NCT04334460	120	
Camostat Mesylate	NCT04321096	180	
CD24Fc	NCT04317040	230	
CD24Fc	NCT04317040	230	
Chloroquine	ChiCTR20000 29542	20	compared to standard treatment
Chloroquine	ChiCTR20000 29609	200	compared to lopinavir/ritonavir
Chloroquine	ChiCTR20000 29741	112	compared to lopinavir/ritonavir
Chloroquine	ChiCTB20000 29826	45	
Chloroquine	ChiCTB20000 29837	120	
Chloroquine	ChiCTR20000 29935	100	_
Chloroquine	ChiCTR20000 29933	100	-
Chloroquine	ChiCTD20000 20075	100	compared to standard treatment
Chloroquine	ChiCTD20000 20000	10	-
Chloroquine	CHICTR20000 29988	80 100	compared to standard treatment
Chloroquine	CIIIC1R20000 29992	100	bydroxychloroquine
Chloroquine	ChiCTR20000 30031	120	-
Chloroquine	ChiCTR20000 30417	30	-
Chloroquine	ChiCTB20000 30718	80	compared to standard treatment
Chloroquine	ChiCTB20000 29898	100	compared to hydroxychloroguine
Chloroquine	ChiCTR20000 29890	100	compared to hydroxychloroquine
Chloroquine	NCT04341727	500	compared to azithromycin and hydroxychlorquine
Chloroquine	NCT04224462	1500	compared to azithromycin and nydroxychiorquine
Chloroquine	NCT04222527	440	compared to azititioniyeni
Chloroquine	NCT04323527	440	-
Chloroquine	NCT04221600	210	compared to standard treatment
chloroquine	NG104331600	400	-
Chloroquine	NC104328493	250	compared to standard treatment
Ciclesonide	NCT04330586	141	compared to standard treatment; combined with
Colchicine	NCT04229490	2500	-
Colchicine	NCT04222602	6000	
Colchicine	NCT0422202	100	-
CSA0001	ING 1 04322505	100	-
	CHICT R20000 30939	10	-
Danoprevir/Ritonavir	ChiGTR20000 30000	50	compared to IFN- α , peginterteron α -2a and standard treatment
Danoprevir/Ritonavir	ChiCTR20000 30259	60	compared to standard treatment
Danoprevir/Ritonavir	ChiCTR20000 30472	20	compared to standard treatment
Darunavir/Cobicistat	NCT04252274	30	compared to standard treatment
Darunavir/Cobicistat	NCT04304053	3040	
Darunavir/Ritonavir	NCT04291729	50	compared to IFN- α , lopinavir/ritonavir and peginterferon α -2a;
			combined with IFN-α
DAS181	NCT04324489	4	
Deferoxamine	NCT04333550	50	compared to standard treatment
Defibrotide	NCT04335201	50	-
Dexamethasone	2020-001113-21	2000	compared to IFN β-1a and lopinavir/ritonavir
	(EU-CTR)		
Dexamethasone	NCT04327401	290	
			(

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Therapeutic agents	Clinical Trial ID	Number of participants	Comments
Dihydroartemisinin/Piperaquine	ChiCTR20000 30082	40	compared to IFN- α + umifenovir; combined with antiviral
Fhastine	ChiCTR20000 30535	100	combined with IEN-q and lopinavir
Fmanalumah	NCT04324021	54	compared to anakinra and standard treatment
Emaparuman Emtricitabine /Tenofovir + Loninavir /Pitonavir	ChiCTP20000 20468	120	compared to anakina and standard treatment
Faviniravir	ChiCTR20000 29544	30	compared to balovavir marbovil and standard treatment
Favipitavit	ChiCTR20000 29544	30	compared to balovavir marbovil and loninguir (ritenguir
Favipitavit	ChiCTR20000 29546	30	compared to baloxavir inarboxir and iophiavir/fitonavir
Favipitavii	ChiCTR20000 29000	90	compared to topinavit/monavit, combined with IFN-d
Favipiravir	CHICI R20000 29996	00	-
Favipiravir	ChiCTR20000 30113	20	compared to ritonavir
Favipiravir	ChiCTR20000 30254	240	compared to umifenovir
Favipiravir	ChiCTR20000 30987	150	combined with chloroquine
Favipiravir	JPRN	86	-
	jRCTs041190120		
Favipiravir	NCT04273763	60	combined with bromohexine, IFN α -2b and umitenovir
Favipiravir	NCT04310228	150	compared to tocilizumab; combined with tocilizumab
Favipiravir	NCT04336904	100	-
Fingolimod	NCT04280588	30	compared to standard treatment
Fluvoxamine	NCT04342663	152	
GD31	ChiCTR20000 29895	160	-
Hydroxychloroquine	2020-000890-25	25	-
	(EU-CTR)		
Hydroxychloroquine	ChiCTR20000 29559	300	
Hydroxychloroquine	ChiCTR20000 29740	78	compared to standard treatment
Hydroxychloroquine	ChiCTR20000 29868	200	compared to standard treatment
Hydroxychloroquine	ChiCTR20000 29898	100	compared to chloroquine
Hydroxychloroquine	ChiCTR20000 29899	100	compared to chloroquine
Hydroxychloroquine	ChiCTR20000 30054	100	compared to standard treatment
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04261517	30	compared to standard treatment
5 5 1			1
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04315896	500	-
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04315948	3100	compared to IFNB-1a, lopinavir/ritonavir and remdesivir
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04316377	202	compared to standard treatment
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04342221	220	-
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04340544	2700	
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04338698	500	compared to azithromycin and oseltamivir
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04335552	500	compared with azithromycin hydroxychloroquine and standard
Hydroxyemoloquine	1010400002	500	treatment: combined with azithromycin
Hudrowshloroguing	NCT04224E12	600	aomhined with azithromyein
Hydroxychloroguine	NGT04334312	1550	combined with azithromychi
Hydroxychioroquine	NG104334382	1550	combined with azithromycin
Hydroxychioroquine	NC104329832	300	combined with azithromycin
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04329572	400	combined with azithromycin
Hydroxychloroquine	NC104328272	75	combined with azithromycin
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04323631	1116	compared to standard treatment
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04321993	1000	compared to baricitinib, lopinavir/ritonavir and sarilumab
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04342169	400	-
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04341727	500	compared to azithromycin and chloroquine
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04341493	86	compared to nitazoxanide
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04334967	1250	compared to standard treatment
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04333654	210	compared to standard treatment
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04332991	510	-
Hydroxychloroquine	NCT04321616	700	compared to remdesivir and standard treatment
Hydroxychloroquine + IFN β-1b + Lopinavir/Ritonavir	IRCT20100228	30	
	003449N27		
Hydroxychloroquine + IFN β -1b + Lopinavir/Ritonavir	IRCT20100228	30	-
	003449N28		
Hydroxychloroquine + Lopinavir/Ritonavir	JPRN	50	
	jRCTs031190227		
Hydroxychloroquine + Lopinavir/Ritonavir + Sofosbuvir/Ledipasvir	IRCT20100228	50	-
	003449N29		
Hydroxychlorquine + Camostat Mesylate	NCT04338906	334	-
IFN a-1b	ChiCTR20000 29989	300	-
IFN a-1b	NCT04293887	328	compared to standard treatment
IFN α-1b + Lopinavir/Ritonavir + Ribavirin	ChiCTR20000 29387	108	
IFN α-2b	NCT04273763	60	combined with bromohexine, favipiravir and umifenovir
IFN α -2b + Lopinavir/Ritonavir	ChiCTR20000 30166	20	-
IFN β-1a	2020-001023-14	400	_
F	(EU-CTR)		
IFN B-1a	2020-000936-23	3000	compared to lopinavir/ritonavir and remdesivir
	(FII-CTR)	3000	compared to topinavit/ monavit and remuestivit
IFN 8-1a	2020-001113-21	2000	compared to dexamethasone and loninavir/ritonavir
	(FU_CTR)	2000	compared to descance and topinavit/11001avit
	(10-0110)		

(continued on next page)

-

Table 1 (continued)

Therapeutic agents	Clinical Trial ID	Number of participants	Comments
IFN β-1a	NCT04343768	60	compared to hydroxychloroquine + lopinavir / ritonavir and IFN β -1b; combined with hydroxychloroquine + lopinavir / ritonavir
IFN β-1b	NCT04343768	60	compared to hydroxychloroquine + lopinavir / ritonavir and IFN β -1a; combined with hydroxychloroquine + lopinavir / ritonavir
IFN β-1b + Ribavirin	NCT04276688	70	combined with lopinavir/ritonavir
IFN-a	ChiCTR20000 29496	90	compared to lopinavir/ritonavir; combined with lopinavir/
	C1 :CTTD 00000 00000	00	ritonavir
IFN-α IFN ~	ChiCTR20000 29600	90	compared to lopinavir/ritonavir and favipiravir
IFN-α IFN-α	ChiCTR20000 29638	50	compared to dapoprevir/ritopavir pegipterferon α_2 and
11 14-04	CIIIC1120000 50000	50	standard treatment
IFN-α	NCT04291729	11	compared to darunavir/ritonavir, lopinavir/ritonavir and peginterferon $\alpha\text{-}2a$
IFN-α and Lopinavir/Ritonavir	NCT04251871	150	-
IFN-α and Lopinavir/Ritonavir	NCT04275388	348	-
IFX-1	NCT04333420	130	compared to standard treatment
Interleukin-2	ChiCTR20000 30167	80 50	compared to standard treatment
Iverinectine	NU104343092	50 40	compared to antiviral therapy: combined with antiviral therapy
Leflunomide	ChiCTR20000 30058	200	compared to standard treatment
Leronlimab	NCT04343651	70	-
Levamisole	NCT04331470	30	compared to standard treatment; combined with budesonide,
			formeterol and hydoxychloroquine + lopinavir/ritonavir
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	2020-000936-23 (EU-CTR)	3000	compared to IFN $\beta\mbox{-}1a$ and remdesivir
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	2020-001113-21 (EU-CTR)	2000	compared to dexame thasone and IFN $\beta\text{-}1a$
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	ChiCTR20000 29308	160	compared to standard treatment
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	ChiCTR20000 29400	60	-
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	ChiCTR20000 29496	90	compared to IFN- α ; combined with IFN- α
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	ChiCTR20000 29539	328	compared to standard treatment
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	ChiCTR20000 29548	30	compared to baloxavir marboxil and favipiravir
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	ChiCTR20000 29573	480 90	compared to faviniravir: combined with IEN_{α}
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	ChiCTR20000 29609	200	compared to chloroquine
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	ChiCTR20000 30187	60	compared to standard treatment
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	ChiCTR20000 30218	80	-
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	NCT04252885	125	compared to standard treatment and umifenovir
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	NCT04255017	400	compared to oseltamivir and umifenovir
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	NCT04261907	160	compared to ASC09
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	NCT04291729	11	compared to darunavir/ritonavir, IFN- α and peginterferon α -2a
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	NCT04315948	3100	compared to hydroxychloroquine and remdesivir; combined with IFN β -1a
Lopinavii/Ritonavir	NCT04330090	1000	compared to baricitinib, bydrovychlorocuine and carilymeb
Losartan	NCT04340557	200	-
LY3127804	NCT04342897	200	-
Meplazumab	NCT04275245	28	-
Methylprednisolone	NCT04263402	100	
Methylprednisolone	ChiCTR20000 29386	48	compared to standard treatment
Methylprednisolone	ChiCTR20000 29656	100	compared to standard treatment
Methylprednisolone	NCT04244591	80	compared to standard treatment
wetnyiprednisolone Methylprednisolone	NCT04273321	400	compared to standard treatment
Naproven	NCT04323592 NCT04325633	104 584	compared to standard treatment
Nitazoxanide	NCT04341493	36- 86	compared to hydroxychloroquine
Nivolumab	NCT04343144	92	compared to standard treatment
Oseltamivir	NCT04255017	400	compared to lopinavir/ritonavir and umifenovir
Oseltamivir	NCT04261270	60	compared to ASC09 and ritonavir
Oseltamivir	NCT04303299	80	compared to favipiravir, lopinavir/ritonavir and standard treatment; combined with chloroquine, darunavir/ritonavir and
			lopinavir/ritonavir
PD-1 monoclonal antibody	ChiCTR20000 30028	40	compared to standard treatment
PD-1 monoclonal antibody	NCT04268537	120	compared to standard treatment and thymosin
Peginterieron Lambda-1a	NCT04331899	120	-
regulation $α$ -2a	UNICTO 1001700	50	compared to danoprevir/ritonavir, $I+N-\alpha$ and standard treatment
reginterteron α-2a	NCT04291729	11	compared to darunavir/ritonavir, IFN- α and lopinavir/ritonavir
Picinaenoson Dolvinosinia polvavtidylia acid	NU104333472 ChiCTP20000 20776	40	compared to standard treatment
PIII042	NCT04312907	100	-
Remdesivir	2020-000841-15 (EU-CTR)	400	compared to standard treatment

Table 1 (continued)

Therapeutic agents	Clinical Trial ID	Number of participants	Comments
Remdesivir	2020-000842-32	600	compared to standard treatment
Remdesivir	(EU-CTR) 2020-000936-23 (EU-CTR)	3000	compared to IFN β -1a and lopinavir/ritonavir
Remdesivir	NCT04252664	308	
Remdesivir	NCT04257656	453	
Remdesivir	NCT04280705	394	
Remdesivir	NCT04292730	600	compared to standard treatment
Remdesivir	NCT04292899	400	compared to standard treatment
Remdesivir	NCT04315948	3100	compared to hydroxychloroquine, IFN β -1a and lopinavir/ ritonavir
Remdesivir	NCT04321616	700	compared to hydroxychloroquine and standard treatment
RhACE2 APN01	NCT04335136	200	-
rhG-CSF	ChiCTR20000 30007	200	compared to standard treatment
Ribavirin	ChiCTR20000 30922	30	combined with IFN α -2a and umifenovir
Ritonavir	ChiCTR20000 30113	20	compared to favipiravir
rSIFN-co	ChiCTR20000 29638	100	compared to IFN-a
Ruxolitinib	NCT04338958	200	
Ruxolitinib	NCT04331665	64	
Sarilumab	NCT04327388	300	
Sarilumab	NCT04322773	200	compared to standard treatment and tacilizumab
Sarilumab	NCT04341870	60	combined with azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine; compared with sarilumab
Sarilumab	NCT04315298	400	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sarilumab	NCT04321993	1000	compared to baricitinib, hydroxychloroquine and lopinavir/
Sildenafil	NCT04304313	10	-
Siltuximab	NCT04329650	100	compared to methylprednisolone
Sirolimus	NCT04341675	30	-
Sofosbuvir/Daclatasvir	IRCT20200128 046294N2	70	compared to standard treatment
Tacrolimus	NCT04341038	84	compared to standard treatment; combined with methylprednisolone
Thymosin	ChiCTR20000 29541	100	combined with darunavir/cobicistat or lopinavir/ritonavir
Thymosin	ChiCTR20000 29806	120	compared to Camrelizumab and conventional treatment
TJ003234	NCT04341116	144	
Tocilizumab	ChiCTR20000 29765	188	compared to standard treatment
Tocilizumab	ChiCTR20000 30196	60	
Tocilizumab	ChiCTR20000 30442	100	
Tocilizumab	NCT04310228	150	compared to favipiravir; combined with favipiravir
Tocilizumab	NCT04315480	30	
Tocilizumab	NCT04317092	400	
Tocilizumab	NCT04339712	20	compared to anakinra
Tocilizumab	NCT04331808	240	
Tocilizumab	NCT04322773	200	compared to sarilumab and standard treatment
Tocilizumab	NCT04335305	24	compared to standard treatment; combined with pembrolizumab
Tocilizumab	NCT04335071	100	
Tocilizumab	NCT04332913	30	
Tocilizumab	NCT04332094	276	compared with azithromycin + hydroxychloroquine; combined with azithromycin + hydroxychloroquine
Tocilizumab	NCT04331795	50	•
Tocilizumab	NCT04330638	342	compared with anakinra and siltuximab; combined with anakinra and siltuximab
Tocilizumab	NCT04320615	330	
Tofacitinib	NCT04332042	50	
Tradipitant	NCT04326426	300	
Tranexamic acid	NCT04338126	60	
Tranexamic acid	NCT04338074	100	
Tranilast	ChiCTR20000 30002	60	compared to standard treatment
Triazavirin	ChiCTR20000 30001	240	compared to standard treatment
Ulinastatin	ChiCTR20000 30779	100	compared to standard treatment
Umifenovir	ChiCTR20000 29573	480	combined with IFN- α and lopinavir/ritonavir
Umifenovir	ChiCTR20000 29621	380	compared to standard treatment
Umifenovir	ChiCTR20000 29993	40	
Umifenovir	ChiCTR20000 30254	240	compared to favipiravir
Umifenovir	NCT04252885	125	compared standard treatment and tolopinavir/ritonavir
Umifenovir	NCT04254874	100	combined with peginterferon α -2a
Umifenovir	NCT04255017	400	compared to lopinavir/ritonavir and oseltamivir
Umifenovir	NCT04273763	60	combined with bromohexine, favipiravir and IFN α -2b
Valsartan	NCT04335786	651	

IFN - interferon

rSIFN-co - Recombinant Super-Compound IFN

RhACE2 - Recombinant Human Angiotensin-converting Enzyme 2

rhG-CSF - Recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor



Therapeutic agent

Fig. 2. Summary of clinical trials on COVID-19 treatment.

The chart summarizes the clinical trials to date (16th of April 2020), which verify the effectiveness of different potential anti – COVID therapeutic agents, with regard to the therapeutic agent and the number of participating patients.

Viral entry inhibitors

Angiotensin converting enzyme 1 (ACE1) is a monocarboxypeptidase, which is responsible primarily for the conversion of angiotensin I (ATI) into angiotensin II (ATII), while angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is an enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of ATII into Angiotensin 1–7 that possesses vasodilatory properties. Type 2 pneumocytes present in the alveoli belong to the group of ACE2 expressing cells (Hamming et al., 2004). Full-length ACE2 contains a structural transmembrane domain, which anchors its extracellular domain to the plasma membrane. The extracellular domain has been demonstrated as a receptor for the spike (S) protein of SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 3) (Batlle et al., 2020).

ACE inhibitors (ACE-I) are the basis for the treatment of heart failure with impaired left ventricular systolic function (ejection fraction < 40 %) of classes II–IV according to the New York Heart Association (Ponikowski et al., 2016). They owe their popularity in clinical practice to well-established effects on reducing all-cause mortality and heart failure hospitalization rate (Ponikowski et al., 2016; Schwartz et al., 2003; Effects of enalapril on mortality in severe congestive heart failure, 1987). An alternative to ACE-I, mainly used in the case of side effects associated with inhibition of bradykinin degradation - including persistent dry cough, are AT1 receptor antagonists (AT1-A). Both groups belong to the most basic drugs used in the treatment of hypertension, which makes them two of the most commonly used medications in the world, especially in the elderly population.

Recent analysis of SARS-CoV-2 infected populations presents a relationship between increased age of the population and more severe disease course (Guan et al., 2020). Some researchers associate this phenomenon with the universal use of drugs that affect the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAA). In the early stages of the pandemic, a hypothesis was proposed where chronic use of ACE-I and AT1-A could lead to an increase in ACE2 in the pulmonary circulation, which in turn increases the number of receptors available for the virus (Ferrario et al., 2005), thus the risk of severe COVID-19 increases (Diaz, 2020; Xu et al., 2020a). However, the results of the recent animal and human studies do not support this theory (Cappuccio and Siani, 2020; Sriram and Insel, 2020; Morales et al., 2020; Fosbøl et al., 2020; Alexandre et al., 2020).

On the other hand, a hypothesis has been proposed that the attachment of the virus to ACE2 during the development of pneumonia disrupts the homeostasis by violating the RAA system, which further aggravates the patient's condition. Thus, when used in patients developing fully-blown COVID-19, ACE-I and AT1-A can reduce symptoms and even reduce mortality (Dhama et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020). A trial on 651 patients (NCT04335786) aiming to verify whether the antihypertensive agent valsartan influences COVID-19 treatment outcomes is currently in progress (Gommans et al., 2020). The knowledge gained

Table 2

10-day treatment algorithms of COVID-19, according to 6th edition of Guidelines for the Prevention, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Novel Coronavirus-induced Pneumonia (Dong et al., 2020; China, 2020).

Drug	Dose
Chloroquine phosphate	500 mg every 12 hours, orally
IFN-α	5 million units every 12 hours, nebulized solution
Ribavirin	500 mg every 8 - 12 hours, iv - in combination with IFN-α (5 million units every 12 hours, nebulized solution) or lopinavir/ritonavir (200 mg/50 mg every 12 hours, orally)
Umifenovir	200 mg every 8 hours, orally

IFN- α - interferon- α .



Fig. 3. Molecular targets of therapeutic agents disrupting viral invasion. ACE2 (angiotensin converting enzyme 2) - the virus fuses with host cells and multiplies by binding to the ACE2 membrane receptor, with the aid of the receptor binding domain encoded in the SARS-fusion protein S (Spike) 2-CoV (Ou et al., 2020); TMPRSS2 – the protease activates the process of cell fusion when protein S binds to ACE2; it induces receptor-dependent syncytium formation [143]; CD147 – potential alternative mediator of invasion of host cells (its role is not well established) (Wang et al., 2020c).

thus far does not allow stating that long-term therapy with drugs inhibiting the cascade of reactions in the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone (RAA) system is associated with worse patient prognosis, while their immediate supply can save the patient. There is no reason to discontinue therapy with these groups of drugs after the infection is diagnosed (Cappuccio and Siani, 2020; Sriram and Insel, 2020; Morales et al., 2020; Fosbøl et al., 2020; Alexandre et al., 2020; American Heart, 2020).

The information obtained from mechanistic studies concerning the entry of the virus into cells, as well as the presumed association between the use of ACE-I and AT1-A with the cases, leads to the hypothesis implying potential effectiveness of controlling the virus by supplying the soluble form of ACE2. Soluble ACE2 would competitively compete for SARS-CoV attachment with receptors present on cell surfaces, preventing virion invasion of pneumocytes. *In vitro* studies support the above assumptions - soluble ACE2 limited the proliferation of SARS-CoV on the Vero-E6 cell line (Li et al., 2003; Ksiazek et al., 2003). In *in vitro* tests, ACE2 combined with the Fc fragment of the antibody neutralized the virus (Lei et al., 2020). The method described is currently not feasible, with numerous obstacles that need to be removed before this therapy can enter human testing phase. The development of bioinformatics sparks hope that the analysis of protein data banks will

allow for faster discovery of a receptor for which SARS-CoV-2 proteins will be a high-affinity ligand (Morse et al., 2020). Currently, studies on an animal model are not being carried out, however, transgenic mice expressing the human form of ACE2 are achievable and it is likely a matter of time before research in this model begins (Batlle et al., 2020).

The inhibitor for transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2) would act similarly to the described soluble ACE2. The enzyme, together with the virus receptor (ACE2) is responsible for the virion's entry into the cell (Fig. 3) (Hoffmann et al., 2020a).

Another point of focus for COVID-19 treatment associated with the mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 entry into the cell may be HR1 - a fragment of the S protein that is important for the virus in order to attach to the cell. For now, the results of *in vitro* and animal model tests are encouraging. OC43-HR2P peptide successfully inhibits coronavirus invasion. Its modified form - EK1 possesses even more desirable properties. Intranasal peptide administration has been shown to be effective in a murine model, while not causing any organ dysfunction (Xia et al., 2019).

Nucleotide and nucleoside analogs

This group of drugs has been routinely used in the treatment of viral infections for many years (Luo et al., 2018; Keam, 2007; Rachlis, 1990; Jordan et al., 2018; Churchill et al., 2016; Organization, 2018). It is characterized by high affinity to viral enzymes and low affinity to human enzymes. Because of that feature, nucleotide and nucleoside analogs are capable of inhibiting viral DNA replication, reverse transcription, and virion protein biosynthesis. This effect is possible due to many mechanisms, of which premature termination and inhibition of nitrogenous bases synthesis are most notable (Lu, 2020; Arabi et al., 2018b).

SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 RNA-dependent RNA polymerases are structurally similar – they share 95 % identity in amino acid sequence (Morse et al., 2020). This fact accelerates research, as some substances previously tested during SARS epidemic might be found equally effective against COVID (Morse et al., 2020).

Remdesivir (GS-5734) is widely known from trials on patients infected with Ebola virus (Weston and Frieman, 2020; Sheahan et al., 2017; Brown et al., 2019). This adenosine analog binds to viral RNA, leading to premature termination (Warren et al., 2016; Ko et al., 2020). Its effectiveness has already been proven *in vitro* (Wang et al., 2020a). Remdesivir was used in the rhesus macaque model of MERS infection. It was effective if administered either before or after MERS-CoV infection. Remdesivir restricted lung injury, inhibited viral replication and improved medical condition (Yuen et al., 2020; de Wit et al., 2020). It was more effective than combined therapy lopinavir/ritonavir and interferon-1 β in the animal model (Sheahan et al., 2017). Remdesivir was further introduced into clinical trials. Preliminary results suggest that it is safe for humans (Lu, 2020; Agostini et al., 2018). The first COVID-19 patient in the USA presented clinical improvement following remdesivir administration (Holshue et al., 2020).

Grein et al., reported on the results of a clinical trial with remdesivir, which began on January 25th, and ended on March 7th 2020. Remdesivir was given to patients with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection and oxygen saturation ≤ 94 % (either breathing atmospheric air or receiving oxygen support). Patients were treated with remdesivir intravenously for 10 days - 200 mg on the first day, and 100 mg daily over the next 9 days. Sixty-one patients from the USA, Canada, Japan, and Europe were initially included in the treatment group, 8 of which were subsequently excluded. During the median follow-up of 18 days, 36 patients (68 %) displayed an improved oxygen maintenance class. Moreover, 17 of 30 patients (57 %) assisted by mechanical ventilation were extubated. A total of 25 patients (47 %) were discharged and 7 patients (13 %) died. The mortality rate was 18 % (6 out of 34) among patients receiving invasive ventilation. The risk of death was greater in patients aged 70 years or older (risk ratio compared to patients under 70 years old, 11.34; 95 % confidence interval (CI): 1.36–94.17) and among patients with higher serum creatinine at baseline (risk ratio per milligram per deciliter, 1.91; 95 % CI: 1.22–2.99). The risk ratio for patients receiving invasive ventilation compared to patients receiving non-invasive oxygen support was 2.78 (95 % CI: 0.33–23.19). Clinical improvement was seen in 36 of 53 patients (68 %) (Grein et al., 2020). However, other researchers have raised concerns regarding the methodology of this study and question its results (Compassionate Use of Remdesivir in Covid-19, 2020).

Beigel et al., verified the effectiveness of remdesivir in a randomized trial involving a group of 1063 patients (NCT04280705). Their preliminary results are promising, as patients receiving this agent recovered significantly sooner than those who received placebo (Beigel et al., 2020). Moreover, remdesivir has a positive recommendation of The European Medicines Agency in the treatment of COVID-19 (Wise, 2020). However, not all trials (NCT04257656) reported such favorable results – in 237 patients, remdesivir was not associated with any clinical benefits (Wang et al., 2020b).

Another drug - favipiravir (T-705, Avigan, Favipira) has been under investigation since mid-February 2020. Clinical Medical Research Center of the National Infectious Diseases, together with the Third People's Hospital of Shenzhen reported the first promising results. The trial conducted on 80 patients with COVID-19 indicated better results in patients treated with favipiravir than the group treated with lopinavir/ ritonavir (Cai et al., 2020). Additionally, less side effects were noted in the treatment group (Cai et al., 2020; Dong et al., 2020). Pharmacokinetics of favipiravir are a cause of concern. This agent reaches significantly lower serum concentrations in critically ill patients than in healthy individuals (Irie et al., 2020). Nevertheless, favipiravir seems to be a safe therapeutic option (Pilkington et al., 2020). Other nucleotide analogs, which are under investigation for their potential effectiveness against SARS-CoV-19 include triazavirin, emtricitabine, and tenofovir (Table 1) (Lythgoe and Middleton, 2020).

Lopinavir/ritonavir

The protease inhibitor lopinavir and its booster ritonavir were verified in trial ChiCTR2000029308 on 199 patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 infection. Cao et al., did not observe any benefit of lopinavir/ritonavir treatment in comparison with standard care (Cao et al., 2020). Adverse effects, such as nausea, vomiting, and hypokalemia might lead to deterioration of the clinical condition, consequently causing discontinuation of treatment (Cao et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Dybul et al., 2002). Nevertheless, it is too soon to reject lopinavir/ritonavir/ritonavir altogether (Trial of, 2020; Osborne et al., 2020).

This drug might be by far more effective if combined with ribavirin or interferon-1 β to reduce side effects and increase therapeutic potential (Xie et al., 2020). The first of aforementioned combinations has proven its effectiveness against SARS (Chu et al., 2004). The second led to better results than no antiviral treatment in an animal model (Chan et al., 2015). It is also under scrutiny in the MIRACLE trial, which seeks for an effective medication against highly fatal MERS (Dhama et al., 2020; Arabi et al., 2018b). A phase 2 trial (NCT04276688) including 127 COVID-19 patients showed superiority of triple therapy (lopinavir/ ritonavir, ribavirin and interferon- β 1b) over lopinavir/ritonavir. The combined therapy alleviated symptoms sooner and accelerated viral clearance (Hung et al., 2020). Most recently, the WHO has announced that it will be discontinuing its lopinavir/ritonavir arm of SOLIDARITY trial, due to no clinical benefit in terms of mortality reduction (WHO, 2020).

Since the beginning of 2020 another HIV protease inhibitor - darunavir has been in the process of verification, with early results being promising (Dong et al., 2020).

Umifenovir

Umifenovir (arbidol) has been investigated in the past as a potential drug for SARS and MERS (Lu, 2020). Its mechanism of action is similar to Imatinib, an Abelson kinase inhibitor (Abl), the anchor drug in the treatment of Chronic Myeloid Leukemia. Both of these molecules prevent virus binding to the cell membrane (Dong et al., 2020; Coleman et al., 2016).

A trial on 33 adults with laboratory proven COVID-19, who had not been invasively ventilated has reached encouraging favorable results joint therapy of umifenovir and lopinavir/ritonavir was more efficacious than lopinavir/ritonavir only (Deng et al., 2020). Patients treated not only with protease inhibitor, but also with umifenovir became sooner SARS-CoV-19-negative (nasopharyngeal specimens) and more of them were found to improve radiologically, according to CT scans (Deng et al., 2020). As reported by Deng et al., umifenovir might decrease both the risk of SARS-CoV-19 transmission and the risk of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (Deng et al., 2020). Other studies on the effectiveness of umifenovir showed its superiority in comparison with lopinavir/ritonavir (Zhu et al., 2020), potency to reduce COVID-19 symptoms and accelerate the recovery time (Chen et al., 2020b), but also underlined the lack of significant differences between umifenovir combined with IFN- α 2b and IFN- α 2b alone (Xu et al., 2020b) or lack of SARS-CoV-2 clearance acceleration (Lian et al., 2020). However, metaanalysis of 12 studies with 1052 patients reached statistical significance only in higher negative rate of PCR after 14 days of treatment (RR = 1.27, 95 % CI = 1.04–1.55). Huang et al., concluded that there is no evidence that umifenovir improves COVID-19 outcomes (Huang et al., 2020b).

TMPRSS2 inhibitor (Camostat mesylate)

SARS-CoV-2 infection depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 host cell factors (Fig. 3) (Zhang et al., 2020a). TMPRSS2 is believed to be involved in the process of S protein priming, a vital step in SARS-CoV-2 viral entry (Hoffmann et al., 2020a, b). This cellular protease can be blocked by the clinically proven protease inhibitor camostat mesylate. This drug is theoretically capable of preventing viral infection of the host cell. Thus, it should be considered as a potential therapeutic agent for COVID-19 infection (Lei et al., 2020). Camostat mesylate is approved in Japan for the treatment of pancreatitis. During a study on SARS-CoV-2 isolated from a patient, camostat mesylate managed to prevent the virus from entering lung cells (Hoffmann et al., 2020b). Currently, seven clinical trials (NCT4353284, NCT04455815, NCT04435015, NCT04321096, NCT04338906, NCT04374019. NCT04355052; earliest estimated completion date: December 2020) are ongoing that evaluate its clinical efficacy.

Tocilizumab

Roche Pharmaceuticals reported on a collaboration with FDA to launch a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III clinical trial to assess the safety and efficacy of tocilizumab with standard care in hospitalized adult COVID-19 patients with severe pneumonia, compared to placebo in combination with standard care. Tocilizumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody against interleukin-6, is an immunosuppressive drug intended primarily for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (Cna, 2020). In China, it is expected to have a beneficial effect on coronavirus patients with severe lung damage and elevated interleukin 6 levels (Cna, 2020; Harrison, 2020).

A non-randomized, open-label clinical study involved 21 patients with severe or critical COVID-19 infection treated intravenously with tocilizumab. The clinical stage of four patients was classified as critical (19%). All patients received standard of care, including lopinavir and methylprednisolone, as well as tocilizumab at a dose of 400 mg intravenously either in one or two doses. Eighteen patients (85.7%)

received tocilizumab once, and three patients (14.3 %) received tocilizumab twice, with the second dose being administered due to recurrent fever within 12 h of first administration. After receiving tocilizumab, all patients experienced fever resolution within 24 h, with reported improvement of clinical symptoms. In 15 out of 20 patients (75 %), there was a statistically significant decrease in oxygen demand from the fifth day after receiving tocilizumab. Additionally, in 19 patients (90.5 %), CT scan showed resolution of radiological abnormalities and mean CRP levels markedly decreased on day 5. None of the patients died during the course of the study. Nineteen patients (90.5 %) survived until discharge, and 2 remained in the hospital until the end of the follow-up period. During the clinical trial period, no significant adverse reactions related to tocilizumab treated were reported (Xu et al., 2020c).

In a retrospective cohort study on 51 COVID–19 patients, individuals with lung infiltrates and elevated inflammatory markers received a single dose of tocilizumab, if no contraindications were present. Additionally, systemic steroid, HCQ, and azithromycin were concomitantly used for the majority of patients. During the course of the study, 28 patients (55 %) received tocilizumab and 23 (45 %) did not receive. Tocilizumab cohort required more invasive ventilation (68 % vs 22 %) at baseline, as well as during the entire time of hospitalization (75 % vs 48 %). The median duration of vasopressor support and invasive mechanical ventilation in tocilizumab vs no tocilizumab cohorts was 2 days (IQR: 1.75–4.25 days) vs 5 days (IQR: 4–8 days), p = 0.039. Similar rates of hospital–acquired infections occurred in both cohorts. The authors concluded that tocilizumab administration was followed by rapid clinical improvement of COVID-19 pneumonia with ARDS (Toniati et al., 2020).

Meplazumab

H. Bian et al., have recently published the results of a clinical trial investigating the new humanized anti-CD147 monoclonal antibody - meplazumab. CD147 (extracellular matrix metalloproteinase inducer – EMMPRIN; basigin), a protein crucial for *Plasmodium falciparum* invasion (Crosnier et al., 2011), possibly plays a role in the interaction between spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 and lung epithelial cells (Fig. 3) (Wang et al., 2020c). In the study, 17 patients were given 10 mg meplazumab intravenously on day 1, 2 and 5, while 11 patients served as the control group. Patients treated with meplazumab, were discharged significantly faster and the severity of the disease was decreased. The time to negative viral load was also reduced. No side effects were noted during the study. Due to the small group, this drug requires further research, but the initial results are promising (Bian et al., 2020).

Other therapeutic options

The use of interferon α and β is heavily disputed (Sallard et al., 2020). Both substances are associated with serious side effects. While their administration in the early stages of the disease is associated with the expected positive effect, a delayed administration may intensify the cytokine storm, causing inflammation and consequentially worsening the patient's condition (Yuen et al., 2020).

Coronaviruses require two proteases for successful protein biosynthesis: 3CLpro and PLpro (Nascimento et al., 2020). Without them, replication and generation of virions is impossible. These proteins, like RNA polymerases, are characterized by great sequence similarity between the forms found in SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 (Morse et al., 2020). The use of inhibitors to these proteases, previously tested in the context of SARS, is currently under consideration (Kumar et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2015). Summary of research into the most important drugs is presented in Table 3.

Statins are some of the most commonly prescribed drugs, especially in elderly patients. They induce ACE2 expression, which raises concerns about potentially increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Zhang et al.,

Table 3

Summary of the progress in research on	COVID-19 drugs.	Source:	drugvirus.info
(Fan et al., 2020).			

Drug	Stage of research	Source
umifenovir lopinavir/ritonavir hydroxychloroquine remdesivir favipiravir chloroquine ribavirin cepharanthine mefloquine	IV phase III/IV phase III phase III phase II phase research on cell lines research on cell lines research on cell lines	(Deng et al., 2020) (Cao et al., 2020) (Gautret et al., 2020) (Ko et al., 2020) (Dong et al., 2020) (Anon, 2020a; Anon, 2020b) (Dhama et al., 2020) (Coutard et al., 2020) (Coutard et al., 2020)

conducted a retrospective study in which they showed that the risk for 28-day all-cause mortality was 5.2 % and 9.4 % in the matched statin and non-statin groups of COVID-19 patients, respectively, with an adjusted hazard ratio of 0.58 (Zhang et al., 2020b).

In March 2020, the pharmaceutical company PharmaMar announced that Aplidine (Plitidepsin), a medicine commonly used to treat multiple myeloma, has antiviral activity (Pharmamar, 2020). *In vitro* studies showed that Plitidepsin affects EF1A (eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha 1), which is key to multiplication and spread of the virus (Pharmamar, 2020). The antiviral activity of plitidepsin was initially analyzed in a human hepatoma cell line infected with the HCoV-229E-GFP virus, which is similar to SARS-CoV-2. The preliminary results are promising, but a multicenter, randomized proof of concept (Phase 1) clinical trial is ongoing and patients are currently being recruited (NCT04382066) (PharmaMar, 2020).

Scientists are beginning to consider utilizing immunomodulatory therapies to treat COVID-19 infection (Lythgoe and Middleton, 2020). The use of drugs that increase the inflammatory response and reactivity of leukocytes can, on one hand, aid in combating the infection, but, on the other hand, could expose the body to the negative effects due to exacerbation of the inflammatory response. There are numerous clinical trials investigating drugs such as anti-PD1 antibodies, recombinant IL-2, recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (rhG-CSF), all of which are summarized in Table 1. Previously used in cancer therapy, they can alter the inflammatory response, thereby reducing the negative effects of infection such as pulmonary fibrosis or sepsis.

Concluding remarks and future perspectives

In addition to the drugs discussed in the current review, many antiviral drugs have been explored for COVID-19 treatment for several months, without any positive effect. Neuraminidase inhibitors, known from influenza therapy: baloxavir marboxil, oseltamivir, paramivir, and zanamivir were used, especially in the first weeks of the epidemic (Lythgoe and Middleton, 2020; Li et al., 2020). Other drugs tested to date include thymidine kinase inhibitors (acyclovir and ganciclovir), translation-inhibiting mRNA encapsulation inhibitor - ribavirin, nafamostat - successful in the treatment of MERS, nitazoxanide - used to control helminthiasis and currently tested for viability in viral infections, another nucleotide analog - penciclovir, as well as drugs known from HCV therapy (azvudine, danoprevir/ritonavir, sofosbuvir/daclatasvir, and sofosbuvir/ledipasvir) (Wang et al., 2020a; Dhama et al., 2020; Lythgoe and Middleton, 2020). None of the above drugs is currently recommended for the treatment and support of treatment in SARS-CoV-2 infection (Li et al., 2020). Due to the lack of an effective COVID-19 therapeutic protocol, prevention of infection is pivotal.

In addition to isolating the sources of infection and following thorough hygienic measures, it seems essential to focus on the development of a vaccine. Effective inactivated or recombinant vaccines, possibly developed in one of currently conducted trials (NCT04283461, NCT04299724 or NCT04313127), that could also be used in immunocompromised individuals, thanks to the advancement of biotechnology, are likely to be achieved much sooner than the registration of the first SARS-CoV-2 drugs. The insights gained by researchers during the development of vaccines against MERS and SARS might prove invaluable. All of these ideas are very compelling, but more research is needed, especially on large, randomized and controlled trials to confirm the efficacy of agents in the combat against the new coronavirus.

The COVID-19 pandemic is an unprecedented health, economic and humanitarian crisis that has major and ongoing impact on people in every country around the world. It is also an example of unprecedented cooperation of scientists from every country united to find a cure and vaccine against one single pathogen, but also searching for future solutions. Apart from finding efficacious treatments against COVID-19, it is necessary to accommodate the needs of patients suffering from the complications of COVID-19, including pulmonary fibrosis (Lechowicz et al., 2020), central and peripheral neuropathies, delirium (Kotfis et al., 2020a, b), depression and many other complications. Further research towards in-depth understanding of the pathological mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 in humans is necessary to develop novel therapeutic drugs for COVID-19.

The complex aspects of SARS-CoV-2 infection mandates collaboration of scientists from different disciplines including basic, clinical and engineering fields to enhance the probability of success against this lifethreatening pandemic (Moradian et al., 2020). As viral infection hijacks fundamental mechanisms of mammalian cell physiology (Alavian et al., 2011; Yeganeh et al., 2015), besides potential vaccine development strategy, combination of antiviral treatment in the presence of targeting these pathways could have the highest rate of success on overcoming this COVID-19 pandemic. Among these mechanisms, autophagy and unfolded protein response (UPR) received the attention of many research groups and important commentary papers and suggestions have been recently published (Shojaei et al., 2020a; Bonam et al., 2020; Vallamkondu et al., 2020; Sureda et al., 2020). SARS-CoV-2 infection probably involves autophagy pathway like many other respiratory viral infections (Yeganeh et al., 2018, 2013). Some useful adjuvant therapy strategies like chloroquine or statins has common effects including regulation of cytokine storm and inflammation and inhibition of autophagy flux (Shojaei et al., 2020a; Bonam et al., 2020; Shojaei et al., 2020b). On the other hand, SARS-CoV-12 infection probably induces UPR in the infected cells as it significantly increases protein biosynthesis in the infected cells like other coronaviruses (Sureda et al., 2020). UPR is involved in regulation of autophagy and in the cellular secretome (Ghavami et al., 2014; Logue et al., 2018). Therefore, simultaneous targeting of autophagy/UPR pathway using FDA-approved compounds including chloroquine, statins, or drugs that are on their Phase-I or Phase-II clinical trials (MKC8866 to target IRE1 RNase activity) and antiviral therapy regimens could be an ideal strategy to control COVID-19 pandemic and help high risk patients to increase survival. This strategy will also potentially decrease significant amount of cost for taking care of COVID-19 patients in ICU units and decrease the demand for ventilators. The COVID-19 pandemic is likely to be controlled in the future with close collaboration of different science disciplines.

The WHO SOLIDARITY trial is ongoing and the results of this trial are anxiously expected by both researchers and clinicians. This large international multicenter study with thousands of COVID-19 patients will have the statistic power to finally prove or reject many hypotheses about COVID-19 therapy (Kupferschmidt and Cohen, 2020). This SOLIDARITY trial will test remdesivir, lopinavir/ritonavir, lopinavir/ritonavir combined with interferon- β , HCQ/CQ. As of 5th of July 2020, two of those arms have been discontinued – lopinavir/ritonavir and HCQ/CQ, due to the lack of benefit (WHO, 2020).

References

- Adar, Y., et al., 2012. Imidazoacridinone-dependent lysosomal photodestruction: a pharmacological Trojan horse approach to eradicate multidrug-resistant cancers. Cell Death Dis. 3, e293. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2012.30.
- Agostini, M.L., et al., 2018. Coronavirus susceptibility to the antiviral remdesivir (GS-5734) is mediated by the viral polymerase and the proofreading exoribonuclease. mBio 9 (2).
- Alavian, S.M., et al., 2011. Virus-triggered autophagy in viral hepatitis possible novel strategies for drug development. J. Viral Hepat. 18 (12), 821–830.
- Alexandre, J., et al., 2020. Drugs acting on renin angiotensin system and use in ill patients with COVID-19. Therapie p. \$0040-5957(20)30099-8.
- American Heart, A., 2020. HFSA/ACC/AHA Statement Addresses Concerns Re: Using RAAS Antagonists in COVID-19.
- Anon 2020 https://www.thecardiologyadvisor.com/home/topics/practice-management/ fda-studies-underway-to-evaluate-chloroquine-for-covid-19/.
- Anon 2020 https://www.edc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/therapeutic-options.html. Arabi, Y.M., et al., 2018a. Corticosteroid therapy for critically ill patients with middle east respiratory syndrome. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 197 (6), 757–767.
- Arabi, Y.M., et al., 2018b. Treatment of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome with a combination of lopinavir-ritonavir and interferon-beta1b (MIRACLE trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials 19 (1), 81.
- Baron, S.A., et al., 2020. Teicoplanin: an alternative drug for the treatment of coronavirus COVID-19? Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents p. 105944-105944. The antibiotic teicoplanin that displays activity against other coronaviruses retained its activity against SARS-CoV-2 *in vitro*. This study presents the principles, which suggest that this agent has a potential to succeed in clinical trials.
- Batlle, D., Wysocki, J., Satchell, K., 2020. Soluble angiotensin-converting enzyme 2: a potential approach for coronavirus infection therapy? Clin. Sci. 543–545 (c) 2020 The Author(s). England.
- Beigel, J.H., et al., 2020. Remdesivir for the treatment of Covid-19 preliminary report. N. Engl. J. Med p. NEJMoa2007764.
- Bhandari, S., et al., 2020. Characteristics, treatment outcomes and role of hydroxychloroquine among 522 COVID-19 hospitalized patients in Jaipur City: an epidemioclinical study. J. Assoc. Physicians India 68 (6), 13–19.
- Bian, H., et al., 2020. Meplazumab treats COVID-19 pneumonia: an open-labelled, concurrent controlled add-on clinical trial. medRxiv p. 2020.03.21.20040691. Novel study on the use of meplazumab in COVID in 17 Chinese patients suggests that blocking the infection of SARS-CoV-2 might be a potent therapeutic approach. It should be noted that host-cell-expressed CD147 could bind the pike protein of SARS-CoV-2 which is involved in host cell invasion. Meplazumab, a humanized an antibody against CD147, could block the infection of SARS-CoV-2.
- Biot, C., et al., 2006. Design and synthesis of hydroxyferroquine derivatives with antimalarial and antiviral activities. J. Med. Chem. 49 (9), 2845–2849.
- Bonam, S.R., et al., 2020. Autophagy as an emerging target for COVID-19: lessons from an old friend, chloroquine. Autophagy 1–7.
- Brown, A.J., et al., 2019. Broad spectrum antiviral remdesivir inhibits human endemic and zoonotic deltacoronaviruses with a highly divergent RNA dependent RNA polymerase. Antiviral Res. 169, 104541.
- Cai, Q., et al., 2020. Experimental treatment with Favipiravir for COVID-19: an open-label control study. Engineering.
- Caly, L., et al., 2020. The FDA-approved Drug Ivermectin inhibits the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in vitro. Antiviral Res. 104787.
- Cao, B., et al., 2020. A trial of Lopinavir-Ritonavir in adults hospitalized with severe Covid-19. N. Engl. J. Med. 382 (19), 1787–1799.
- Cappuccio, F.P., Siani, A., 2020. Covid-19 And Cardiovascular Risk: Susceptibility to Infection to SARS-CoV-2, Severity and Prognosis of Covid-19 and Blockade of the Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone System. An Evidence-based Viewpoint. Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases : NMCD p. S0939-4753(20)3026-4.
- Chan, J.F., et al., 2015. Treatment with Lopinavir/Ritonavir or Interferon-beta1b improves outcome of MERS-CoV infection in a nonhuman primate model of common marmoset. J. Infect. Dis. 212 (12), 1904–1913.
- Chan, J.F., et al., 2020. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. Lancet 395 (10223), 514–523.
- Channappanavar, R., et al., 2017. Sex-based differences in susceptibility to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. J. Immunol. 198 (10), 4046–4053.
- Chen, Z., et al., 2020a. Efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in patients with COVID-19: results of a randomized clinical trial. medRxiv p. 2020.03.22.20040758.
- Chen, W., et al., 2020b. A study on clinical effect of Arbidol combined with adjuvant therapy on COVID-19. J. Med. Virol. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26142.
- T.N.H.C.O.T.P.S.R.O. China, 2020. Guidelines for the Prevention, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Novel Coronavirus-induced Pneumonia (6 Th Edition). drugvirus.info.
- Chu, C.M., et al., 2004. Role of lopinavir/ritonavir in the treatment of SARS: initial virological and clinical findings. Thorax 59 (3), 252–256.
- Chu, C.S., et al., 2018. Comparison of the cumulative efficacy and safety of chloroquine, artesunate, and chloroquine-primaquine in Plasmodium vivax malaria. Clin. Infect. Dis. 67 (10), 1543–1549.
- Churchill, D., et al., 2016. British HIV Association guidelines for the treatment of HIV-1positive adults with antiretroviral therapy 2015. HIV Med. 17 (S4), s2–s104.

Ciak, J., Hahn, F.E., 1966. Chloroquine: mode of action. Science 151 (3708), 347–349. Cna, 2020. China Approves Use of Roche arthritis Drug for COVID-19 Patients.

Coleman, C.M., et al., 2016. Abelson kinase inhibitors are potent inhibitors of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus and middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus fusion. J. Virol. 90 (19), 8924–8933. Compassionate Use of Remdesivir in Covid-19, 2020. New England Journal of Medicine. **382**(25): p. e101.

Cortegiani, A., et al., 2020. A systematic review on the efficacy and safety of chloroquine for the treatment of COVID-19. J. Crit. Care.

- Coutard, B., et al., 2020. The spike glycoprotein of the new coronavirus 2019-nCoV contains a furin-like cleavage site absent in CoV of the same clade. Antiviral Res. 176, 104742.
- Crosnier, C., et al., 2011. Basigin is a receptor essential for erythrocyte invasion by Plasmodium falciparum. Nature 480 (7378), 534–537.
- de Wit, E., et al., 2020. Prophylactic and therapeutic remdesivir (GS-5734) treatment in the rhesus macaque model of MERS-CoV infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
- Deng, L., et al., 2020. Arbidol combined with LPV/r versus LPV/r alone against Corona Virus Disease 2019:a retrospective cohort study. J. Infect.
- Dhama, K., et al., 2020. COVID-19, an emerging coronavirus infection: advances and prospects in designing and developing vaccines, immunotherapeutics, and therapeutics. Hum. Vaccin. Immunother. 1–7.
- Diaz, J.H., 2020. Hypothesis: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers may increase the risk of severe COVID-19. J. Travel Med.
- Dong, L., Hu, S., Gao, J., 2020. Discovering drugs to treat coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Drug Discov. Ther. 14 (1), 58–60 Excellent review providing insight into the use of drugs, in the early stages of the pandemic, that rely on inhibition of receptors that are utilized by the virus to enter the host cell (ACE2, TMPRSS2, and CD147).
- Dybul, M., et al., 2002. Guidelines for using antiretroviral agents among HIV-infected adults and adolescents. Recommendations of the Panel on Clinical Practices for Treatment of HIV. MMWR Recomm. Rep. 51 (Rr-7), 1–55.
- Effects of enalapril on mortality in severe congestive heart failure, 1987. Results of the cooperative north scandinavian enalapril survival study (CONSENSUS). N. Engl. J. Med. 316 (23), 1429–1435.
- Fan, H.H., et al., 2020. Repurposing of clinically approved drugs for treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 in a 2019-novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) related coronavirus model. Chin Med J (Engl).
- Ferrario, C.M., et al., 2005. Effect of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition and angiotensin II receptor blockers on cardiac angiotensin-converting enzyme 2. Circulation 111 (20), 2605–2610.
- Fosbøl, E.L., et al., 2020. Association of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker use with COVID-19 diagnosis and mortality. JAMA.
- Gao, J., Tian, Z., Yang, X., 2020. Breakthrough: chloroquine phosphate has shown apparent efficacy in treatment of COVID-19 associated pneumonia in clinical studies. Biosci. Trends 14 (1), 72–73.
- Gautret, P., et al., 2020. Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of COVID-19: results of an open-label non-randomized clinical trial. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 1–24 One of the first clinical trials in the world that evaluated HCQ in the treatment of COVID by limiting the release of proinflammatory cytokines and increasing the endosomal and lysosomal pH. Its combination with azithromycin may become one of pivotal therapeutic strategies.
- Ghavami, S., et al., 2014. Airway mesenchymal cell death by mevalonate cascade inhibition: integration of autophagy, unfolded protein response and apoptosis focusing on Bcl2 family proteins. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1843 (7), 1259–1271.
- Gommans, D.H.F., et al., 2020. Rationale and design of the PRAETORIAN-COVID trial: a double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial with valsartan for PRevention of Acute rEspiraTORy dIstress syndrome in hospitAlized patieNts with SARS-COV-2 Infection Disease. Am. Heart J. 226. 60–68.
- Gorbalenya, A.E., et al., 2020. Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: the species and its viruses a statement of the Coronavirus Study Group. bioRxiv.
- Grein, J., et al., 2020. Compassionate use of remdesivir for patients with severe Covid-19. N. Engl. J. Med This paper reports on the results of one of the first clinical trials assessing the use of remdesivir in a clinical setting, conducted on 61 patients, with median follow up of 18 days.
- Guan, W.J., et al., 2020. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N. Engl. J. Med.
- Hamming, I., et al., 2004. Tissue distribution of ACE2 protein, the functional receptor for SARS coronavirus. A first step in understanding SARS pathogenesis. J. Pathol. 203 (2), 631–637.
- Harrison, C., 2020. Coronavirus Puts Drug Repurposing on the Fast Track.
- Hoffmann, M., et al., 2020a. The novel coronavirus 2019 (2019-nCoV) uses the SARScoronavirus receptor ACE2 and the cellular protease TMPRSS2 for entry into target cells. bioRxiv p. 2020.01.31.929042.
- Hoffmann, M., et al., 2020b. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. Cell.
- Holshue, M.L., et al., 2020. First case of 2019 novel coronavirus in the United States. N. Engl. J. Med. 382 (10), 929–936.
- Huang, C., et al., 2020a. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 395 (10223), 497–506.
- Huang, D., et al., 2020b. Efficacy and safety of umifenovir for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Med. Virol n/a(n/a).
- Hui, D.S., et al., 2018. The role of adjuvant immunomodulatory agents for treatment of severe influenza. Antiviral Res. 150, 202–216.
- Hung, I.F.-N., et al., 2020. Triple combination of interferon beta-1b, lopinavir-ritonavir, and ribavirin in the treatment of patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19: an open-label, randomised, phase 2 trial. Lancet (London, England) 395 (10238), 1695–1704.
- Irie, K., et al., 2020. Pharmacokinetics of Favipiravir in critically ill patients with COVID-19. Clin. Transl. Sci n/a(n/a).
- Jordan, P.C., Stevens, S.K., Deval, J., 2018. Nucleosides for the treatment of respiratory RNA virus infections. Antivir. Chem. Chemother. 26 p. 2040206618764483.

Keam, S.J., 2007. Telbivudine. Drugs 67 (13), 1917-1929.

- Kim, Y., et al., 2016. Reversal of the progression of fatal coronavirus infection in cats by a broad-spectrum coronavirus protease inhibitor. PLoS Pathog. 12 (3), e1005531.
- Ko, W.C., et al., 2020. Arguments in favour of remdesivir for treating SARS-CoV-2 infections. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 105933.
- Kotfis, K., et al., 2020a. COVID-19: what do we need to know about ICU delirium during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic? Anaesthesiol. Intensive Ther. 52 (2), 132–138.
- Kotfis, K., et al., 2020b. COVID-19: ICU delirium management during SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Crit. Care 24 (1), 176.
- Ksiazek, T.G., et al., 2003. A novel coronavirus associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 348 (20), 1953–1966.
- Kumar, V., et al., 2017. Identification and evaluation of potent Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) 3CL(Pro) inhibitors. Antiviral Res. 141, 101–106.
- Kupferschmidt, K., Cohen, J., 2020. WHO launches global megatrial of the four most promising coronavirus treatments. Science 22.
- Lafyatis, R., York, M., Marshak-Rothstein, A., 2006. Antimalarial Agents: Closing the Gate on Toll-like Receptors? pp. 3068–3070.
- Lechowicz, K., et al., 2020. COVID-19: the potential treatment of pulmonary fibrosis associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. J. Clin. Med. 9 (6), 1917.
- Lee, N., et al., 2004. Effects of early corticosteroid treatment on plasma SARS-associated Coronavirus RNA concentrations in adult patients. J. Clin. Virol. 31 (4), 304–309.
- Lei, C., et al., 2020. Potent neutralization of 2019 novel coronavirus by recombinant ACE2-Ig. bioRxiv p. 2020.02.01.929976.
- Li, W., et al., 2003. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. Nature 426 (6965), 450–454.
- Li, H., et al., 2020. [Potential antiviral therapeutics for 2019 Novel Coronavirus]. Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi 43 (3), 170–172.
- Lian, N., et al., 2020. Umifenovir treatment is not associated with improved outcomes in patients with coronavirus disease 2019: a retrospective study. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 26 (7), 917–921.
- Liu, F., et al., 2020. Patients of COVID-19 may benefit from sustained lopinavir-combined regimen and the increase of eosinophil may predict the outcome of COVID-19 progression. Int. J. Infect. Dis.
- Logue, S.E., et al., 2018. Inhibition of IRE1 RNase activity modulates the tumor cell secretome and enhances response to chemotherapy. Nat. Commun. 9 (1), 3267.
- Lu, H., 2020. Drug treatment options for the 2019-new coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Biosci. Trends 14 (1), 69–71.
- Luo, A., Jiang, X., Ren, H., 2018. Lamivudine plus tenofovir combination therapy versus lamivudine monotherapy for HBV/HIV coinfection: a meta-analysis. Virol. J. 15 (1) p. 139-139.
- Lythgoe, M.P., Middleton, P., 2020. Ongoing clinical trials for the management of the COVID-19 pandemic. Trends Pharmacol. Sci.

Marmor, M.F., et al., 2016. Recommendations on screening for chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine retinopathy (2016 revision). Ophthalmology 123 (6), 1386–1394.

Moradian, N., et al., 2020. The urgent need for integrated science to fight COVID-19 pandemic and beyond. J. Transl. Med. 18 (1), 205.

- Morales, D.R., et al., 2020. Renin-angiotensin system blockers and susceptibility to COVID-19: a multinational open science cohort study. medRxiv : the preprint server for health sciences p. 2020.06.11.20125849.
- Morse, J.S., et al., 2020. Learning from the past: possible urgent prevention and treatment options for severe acute respiratory infections caused by 2019-nCoV. ChemBioChem 21 (5), 730–738.
- Nascimento Junior, J.A.C., et al., 2020. SARS, MERS and SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) treatment: a patent review. Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 1–13.
- Nowell, J., Quaranta, V., 1985. Chloroquine affects biosynthesis of Ia molecules by inhibiting dissociation of invariant (gamma) chains from alpha-beta dimers in B cells. J. Exp. Med. 162 (4), 1371–1376.
- Organization, W.H., 2018. Guidelines for the Care and Treatment of Persons Diagnosed With Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Infection.
- Osborne, V., et al., 2020. Lopinavir-ritonavir in the treatment of COVID-19: a dynamic systematic benefit-risk assessment. Drug Saf. 1–13.
- Ou, X., et al., 2020. Characterization of spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. Nat. Commun. 11 (1), 1620.
- Pharmamar, 2020. PharmaMar Reports Positive Results for Aplidin [®] Against Coronavirus HCoV-229E. Madrit p. 1-1.
- PharmaMar, 2020. Proof of Concept Study to Evaluate the Safety Profile of Plitidepsin in Patients With COVID-19 (APLICOV-PC).
- Pilkington, V., Pepperrell, T., Hill, A., 2020. A review of the safety of favipiravir–a potential treatment in the COVID-19 pandemic? J. Virus Erad. 6 (2), 45.
- Ponikowski, P., et al., 2016. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur. J. Heart Fail. 18 (8), 891–975.
- Rachlis, A.R., 1990. Zidovudine (Retrovir) update. CMAJ 143 (11), 1177-1185.
- Russell, C.D., Millar, J.E., Baillie, J.K., 2020. Clinical evidence does not support corticosteroid treatment for 2019-nCoV lung injury. Lancet 395 (10223), 473–475.
- Sallard, E., et al., 2020. Type 1 interferons as a potential treatment against COVID-19. Antiviral Res. 104791.
- Schwartz, J.S., et al., 2003. High- versus low-dose angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor therapy in the treatment of heart failure: an economic analysis of the Assessment of Treatment with Lisinopril and Survival (ATLAS) trial. Am. J. Manag. Care 9 (6), 417–424.
- Sheahan, T.P., et al., 2017. Broad-spectrum antiviral GS-5734 inhibits both epidemic and zoonotic coronaviruses. Sci. Transl. Med. 9 (396).
- Shojaei, S., et al., 2020a. Autophagy and SARS-CoV-2 infection: apossible smart targeting

of the autophagy pathway. Virulence 11 (1), 805-810.

- Shojaei, S., et al., 2020b. Simvastatin increases temozolomide-induced cell death by targeting the fusion of autophagosomes and lysosomes. FEBS J. 287 (5), 1005–1034.
- Sriram, K., Insel, P.A., 2020. Risks of ACE inhibitor and ARB usage in COVID-19: evaluating the evidence. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.1863.
- Sun, M.L., et al., 2020. [Inhibitors of RAS might Be a good choice for the therapy of COVID-19 pneumonia]. Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi 43 (3), 219–222.
 Kwak Sung-sun Physicians work out treatment guidelines for coronavirus. [cited 2020 27.
- 03]; http://www.koreabiomed.com/news/articleView.html?idxno=7428.
- Sureda, A., et al., 2020. Endoplasmic reticulum as a potential therapeutic target for covid-19 infection management? Eur. J. Pharmacol. 882, 173288.
- Tang, W., et al., 2020. Hydroxychloroquine in patients with mainly mild to moderate coronavirus disease 2019: open label, randomised controlled trial. BMJ 369 p. m1849-m1849.
- Toniati, P., et al., 2020. Tocilizumab for the treatment of severe COVID-19 pneumonia with hyperinflammatory syndrome and acute respiratory failure: a single center study of 100 patients in Brescia, Italy. Autoimmun. Rev. 19 (7) p. 102568-102568.
- Trial of, A., 2020. Lopinavir–Ritonavir in Covid-19. N. Engl. J. Med. 382 (21), e68. US National Library of Medicine, 2020. ClinicalTrials.gov.
- Vallamkondu, J., et al., 2020. SARS-CoV-2 pathophysiology and assessment of coronaviruses in CNS diseases with a focus on therapeutic targets. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis 165889.
- Wang, M., et al., 2020a. Remdesivir and Chloroquine Effectively Inhibit the Recently Emerged Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Vitro. Cell Res., England, pp. 269–271.
- Wang, Y., et al., 2020b. Remdesivir in adults with severe COVID-19: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. Lancet 395 (10236), 1569–1578.
- Wang, K., et al., 2020c. SARS-CoV-2 invades host cells via a novel route: CD147-spike protein. bioRxiv p. 2020.03.14.988345.
- Warren, T.K., et al., 2016. Therapeutic efficacy of the small molecule GS-5734 against Ebola virus in rhesus monkeys. Nature 531 (7594), 381–385.
- Weston, S., Frieman, M.B., 2020. COVID-19: knowns, unknowns, and questions. mSphere 5 (2).
- Who, 2020. Clinical Management of Severe Acute Respiratory Infection When Novel Coronavirus (nCoV) Infection Is Suspected. pp. 1–21.
- WHO, 2020. WHO Discontinues Hydroxychloroquine and lopinavir/ritonavir Treatment Arms for COVID-19. [cited 2020 5th of July 2020]; Available from: https://www. who.int/news-room/detail/04-07-2020-who-discontinues-hydroxychloroquine-andlopinavir-ritonavir-treatment-arms-for-covid-19.
- Wise, J., 2020. Covid-19: remdesivir is recommended for authorisation by European Medicines Agency. BMJ 369, m2610.
- World Health, O., 2020. Clinical Management of COVID-19.
- Wozniacka, A., et al., 2006. Chloroquine treatment influences proinflammatory cytokine levels in systemic lupus erythematosus patients. Lupus 15 (5), 268–275.

- Drug Resistance Updates 53 (2020) 100719
- Xia, S., et al., 2019. A pan-coronavirus fusion inhibitor targeting the HR1 domain of human coronavirus spike. Sci. Adv. 5 (4) p. eaav4580.
- Xie, X., et al., 2020. Combination antiviral therapy with lopinavir/ritonavir. arbidol and interferon-α1b for COVID-19.
- Xu, X., et al., 2020a. Evolution of the novel coronavirus from the ongoing Wuhan outbreak and modeling of its spike protein for risk of human transmission. Sci. China Life Sci. 457–460 China.
- Xu, P., et al., 2020b. Arbidol/IFN-α2b therapy for patients with corona virus disease 2019: a retrospective multicenter cohort study. Microbes Infect. 22 (4-5), 200–205.
- Xu, X., et al., 2020c. Effective treatment of severe COVID-19 patients with tocilizumab. ChinaXiv 202003 (00026), v1.
- Yao, X., et al., 2020. In vitro antiviral activity and projection of optimized dosing design of hydroxychloroquine for the treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Clin. Infect. Dis.
- Yeganeh, B., et al., 2013. Asthma and influenza virus infection: focusing on cell death and stress pathways in influenza virus replication. Iran. J. Allergy Asthma Immunol. 12 (1), 1–17.
- Yeganeh, B., et al., 2015. Suppression of influenza A virus replication in human lung epithelial cells by noncytotoxic concentrations bafilomycin A1. Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol. 308 (3), L270–86.
- Yeganeh, B., et al., 2018. Autophagy activation is required for influenza A virus-induced
- apoptosis and replication. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res 1865 (2), 364–378.
 Yuen, K.S., et al., 2020. SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: the most important research questions. Cell Biosci. 10, 40.
- Zhang, H., et al., 2020a. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as a SARS-CoV-2 receptor: molecular mechanisms and potential therapeutic target. Intensive Care Med.
- Zhang, X.-J., et al., 2020b. In-hospital use of statins is associated with a reduced risk of mortality among individuals with COVID-19. Cell Metab p. S1550-4131(20)30316-30318.
- Zhitomirsky, B., Assaraf, Y.G., 2015. Lysosomal sequestration of hydrophobic weak base chemotherapeutics triggers lysosomal biogenesis and lysosome-dependent cancer multidrug resistance. Oncotarget 6 (2), 1143–1156.
- Zhitomirsky, B., Assaraf, Y.G., 2016. Lysosomes as mediators of drug resistance in cancer. Drug Resist. Updat. 24, 23–33.
- Zhou, Y., et al., 2015. Protease inhibitors targeting coronavirus and filovirus entry. Antiviral Res. 116, 76–84.
- Zhou, P., et al., 2020. Discovery of a Novel Coronavirus Associated With the Recent Pneumonia Outbreak in Humans and Its Potential Bat Origin.
- Zhu, Z., et al., 2020. Arbidol monotherapy is superior to lopinavir/ritonavir in treating COVID-19. J. Infect. 81 (1), e21–e23.
- Zumla, A., et al., 2016. Coronaviruses drug discovery and therapeutic options. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 15 (5), 327–347.