

Clinical Research Article

Serum Testosterone to Androstenedione Ratio Predicts Metabolic Health in Normal-Weight Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Women

Daniel A. Dumesic,¹ Ayli Tulberg,¹ Megan McNamara,¹ Tristan R. Grogan,² David H. Abbott,³ Rajanigandha Naik,¹ Gwyneth Lu,¹ and Gregorio D. Chazenbalk¹

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA; ²Department of Medicine Statistics Core, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA; and ³OB/GYN, Wisconsin National Primate Research Center, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53715, USA

ORCiD numbers: 0000-0003-0387-1277 (D. A. Dumesic); 0000-0002-8249-263X (D. H. Abbott).

Abbreviations: A4, androstenedione; AIRg, acute response to glucose; AKR1C3, aldo-keto reductase 1C3; ASC, adipose stem cell; BMI, body mass index; CV, coefficient of variation; DHEAS, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate; DHT, dihydrotestosterone; DI, disposition index; DMEM, Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium; E1, estrone; E2, estradiol; FFA, free fatty acid; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; FSIVGTT, frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance testing; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HMW, high-molecular-weight; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; IR, insulin resistance; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LH, luteinizing hormone; NIH, National Institutes of Health; PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; SC, subcutaneous; Sg, glucose effectiveness; SHBG, sex hormone–binding globulin; Si, insulin sensitivity; T, testosterone; TG, triglyceride; UCLA, University of California, Los Angeles.

Received: 19 July 2021; Editorial Decision: 27 September 2021; First Published Online: 1 October 2021; Corrected and Typeset: 13 October 2021.

Abstract

Context: Increased aldo-keto reductase 1C3 (AKR1C3)-mediated conversion of androstenedione (A4) to testosterone (T) promotes lipid storage in subcutaneous (SC) abdominal adipose in overweight/obese polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) women.

Objective: This work examines whether an elevated serum T/A4 ratio, as a marker of enhanced AKR1C3 activity in SC abdominal adipose, predicts metabolic function in normal-weight PCOS women.

Methods: This prospective cohort study took place in an academic center and comprised 19 normal-weight PCOS women and 21 age- and body mass index–matched controls. Interventions included circulating hormone/metabolic determinations, intravenous glucose tolerance testing, total body dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry, and SC abdominal fat biopsy. Serum T/A4 ratios, hormone/metabolic measures, and *AKR1C3* expression of adipocytes matured in vitro were compared between female types; serum T/A4 ratios were correlated with serum lipids, adipose insulin resistance (adipose-IR), homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and insulin sensitivity (Si).

ISSN 2472-1972

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Endocrine Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com **Results:** Increased serum T/A4 ratios (P = .040) and log adipose-IR values (P = .002) in PCOS women vs controls were accompanied by *AKR1C3* messenger RNA overexpression of PCOS adipocytes matured in vitro (P = .016). Serum T/A4 ratios in PCOS women, but not controls, negatively correlated with log triglycerides (TGs: R = -0.65, P = .002) and the TG index (R = -0.57, P = .011). Adjusting for serum free T, serum T/A4 ratios in PCOS women remained negatively correlated with log TG (R = -0.57, P = .013) and TG index (R = -0.50, P = .036), respectively, without significant relationships with other metabolic measures.

Conclusion: An elevated serum T/A4 ratio, as a marker of enhanced AKR1C3 activity in SC abdominal adipose, predicts healthy metabolic function in normal-weight PCOS women.

Key Words: adipose, polycystic ovary syndrome, adipocyte, AKR1C3, testosterone, androstenedione

As the most common reproductive-metabolic disorder of reproductive-aged women, polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is characterized by ovarian hyperandrogenism from altered hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian function in combination with insulin resistance (IR) [1]. Major reproductive-metabolic manifestations of PCOS include hirsutism and oligoanovulation linked with glucose intolerance, dyslipidemia, adipose insulin resistance (adipose-IR), and preferential abdominal fat deposition [2, 3]. Consequently, most women with PCOS have IR from perturbed insulin receptor signaling, altered adipokine secretion, and abnormal steroid metabolism [1]. Almost one-half of PCOS women in the United States also have metabolic syndrome, which is greater in prevalence than that of age-matched women without PCOS and worsened by obesity [4].

Adipose-specific aldo-keto reductase 1C [AKR1C] enzymes control body fat distribution in humans through local androgen metabolism [5]. As a major AKR1C isoform with significant 17 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase activity, AKR1C3 promotes androstenedione (A4) conversion to testosterone (T), with higher activity in subcutaneous (SC) than intra-abdominal fat [6, 7]. Linked with percentage of trunk fat mass in women [5], increased AKR1C3-mediated conversion of A4 to T in SC abdominal adipose of overweight/obese PCOS women increases lipogenesis (lipid formation) and decreases lipolysis (lipid breakdown) [8]. Such increased AKR1C3-mediated T production in PCOS could promote triglyceride (TG) storage in SC adipose, which normally protects against IR, with intra-abdominal fat deposition having the opposite effect [9].

Such a mechanism favoring SC abdominal fat storage in normal-weight PCOS women with IR [2, 3], however, could also predispose to lipotoxicity through ectopic lipid accumulation with weight gain [8, 10-12]. The present study, therefore, examines whether an elevated serum T/A4 ratio (ie, total T/A4 ratio), as a marker of enhanced AKR1C3 activity in SC abdominal adipose, predicts metabolic function in normal-weight PCOS women compared to age- and body mass index (BMI)-matched normoandrogenic ovulatory (control) women. The study also examines whether enhanced *AKR1C3* gene expression occurs in PCOS stem cells characterized by accelerated lipid accumulation during adipocyte maturation in vitro.

Materials and Methods

Study Participants

Nineteen normal-weight PCOS and 21 control women (aged 19-35 years; BMI = 19-25) who had previously participated in our National Institutes of Health (NIH)-funded study (P50 HD071836) examining adipose dysfunction in PCOS were studied [2, 3, 13, 14]. All participants in the present study were healthy women, as published previously [2, 3, 13, 14].

PCOS was diagnosed by 1990 NIH criteria and biochemical hyperandrogenism, as previously defined by an elevated mean serum total or free T level from 2 separate blood samples more than 2 SD above the normal ranges of the age- and BMI-matched control group [2, 3, 13, 14]. Control women had normal menstrual cycles at 21to 35-day intervals and a luteal-phase progesterone level without signs of androgen excess [1]. Exclusion criteria, including late-onset congenital adrenal hyperplasia, thyroid dysfunction, and hyperprolactinemia, have previously been reported [2, 3, 13, 14]. All studies were performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki after approval by the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Institutional Review Board and signed informed consent by each participant.

Body Fat Distribution

Waist and hip measurements were determined in all participants [2, 3, 13, 14]. Total body dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry scan was measured with a Hologic QDR Discovery A densitometer (Hologic Inc) as previously reported [2, 3, 13, 14]. Android and gynoid fat regions were from the first lumbar vertebra to the top of pelvis and from the femoral head to the mid-thigh, respectively.

Blood Sampling

All blood sampling was performed during the follicular phase (days 5-10 of the menstrual cycle) in control women and during documented oligoanovulation by a low serum follicular-phase progesterone level in PCOS women, as previously reported [2]. Fasting blood samples were collected immediately before frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance testing (FSIVGTT) for total and free T, A4, dihydrotestosterone (DHT), dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS), gonadotropins, estrone (E1), estradiol (E2), glucose, free fatty acid (FFA), insulin, sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), and lipid (total cholesterol, high-density [HDL], low-density lipoprotein [LDL], and triglyceride [TG]) measurements. Fasting blood values were used to calculate adipose-IR (product of fasting circulating FFA [mmol/L] and insulin levels [pmol/L]) and homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR: [insulin (μ U/mL) × glucose (mmol)]/L/22.5), recognizing that adipose-IR positively corelates with hepatic fat content from ectopic fat [15, 16]. The triglyceride glucose index (TG index; ln[TG (mg/dL) × glucose (mg/dL)/2]) also was calculated as previously described [3].

The FSIVGTT was performed in all women using the modified minimal model of Bergman [17], except in one control who declined the study. Briefly, glucose in 50% concentration (0.3 g/kg) and regular human insulin (0.03 units/kg) were injected intravenously under fasting conditions at 0 and 20 minutes, respectively, and blood was collected at -20, -15, -5, 0, 2, 4, 8, 19, 22, 30, 40, 50, 70, 90, and 180 minutes for glucose and insulin determinations. Mathematical modeling of circulating glucose and insulin levels defined: insulin sensitivity (Si, ie, insulin action to accelerate glucose uptake and suppress glucose production), glucose effectiveness (Sg, ie, combined effect of glucose to enhance glucose uptake and suppress endogenous glucose production at fasting insulin levels), acute response to glucose (AIRg, ie, pancreatic β -cell response to glucose infusion), and disposition index (DI, ie, β-cell compensation index [product of Si and AIRg]).

Hormonal and Metabolic Assays

Serum levels of DHEAS, A4, total T, DHT, and E1 were measured by LC-MS/MS (Quest Diagnostics Nichols Institute). The intra-assay coefficient of variation (CVs) were DHEAS, 2.6%; A4, 3.9%; total T, 10.9%; DHT, 7.8%; and E1, 10.2%. The inter-assay CVs were DHEAS, 4.4%; A4, 3.5%; total T, 10.3%; DHT, 7.0%; and E1, 9.5%. Free T was calculated from the concentrations of total T, SHBG (Beckman Coulter catalog No. A48617, RRID:AB_2893035; http://antibodyregistry.org/AB_2893035) [18], and albumin. The intra-assay and interassay CVs for free T were 5.6% and 6.2%, respectively.

Serum measurements of insulin (Roche catalog No. 12017547, RRID:AB_2756877; http://antibodyregistry.org/ AB_2756877) [19], luteinizing hormone (LH; Roche catalog No. 11732234, RRID:AB_2800498; http://antibodyregistry. org/AB_2800498) [20], follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH; Roche catalog No. 11775863, RRID:AB_2800499; http://antibodyregistry.org/AB_2800499) [21], and E2 (Roche, catalog No. 03000079, RRID:AB_2893079; http://antibodyregistry.org/AB_2893079) [22] by electrochemiluminescence; glucose by a hexokinase method; and fasting lipids by spectrophotometry were performed at the UCLA Center for Pathology Research Services. The laboratory intra-assay and interassay CVs also have previously been reported [2, 3, 13, 14] and were less than 7% and 11%, respectively.

Serum FFAs were measured by quantitative spectrophotometry (ARUP Laboratories). The intra-assay and interassay CVs for FFAs were 1.8% and 1.2%, respectively. Serum levels of high-molecular-weight (HMW) adiponectin by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ALPCO Diagnostics catalog No. 80-ADPHU-E01, RRID:AB_2892778; http:// antibodyregistry.org/AB_2892778) [23] and leptin by radioimmunoassay (Millipore catalog No. HL-81K, RRID:AB_2756879; http://antibodyregistry.org/AB_2756879) [24] were measured at the Endocrine Technologies Support Core Lab, Oregon National Primate Research Center. The intra-assay CVs for HMW adiponectin and leptin were 7.6% and 5.1%, respectively; the interassay CVs for HMW adiponectin and leptin were 8.0% and 10.1%, respectively.

Subcutaneous Abdominal Adipose Stem Cell Differentiation Into Adipocytes In Vitro

AKR1C3 gene expression

Three PCOS and 3 age-BMI–matched control women were selected from the present cohort based on their previously determined SC abdominal stem cell characteristics of enhanced lipid accumulation and *PPAR* γ as well as *CEBP* α overexpression during adipogenesis in vitro [13, 19]. Each participant underwent an SC abdominal fat biopsy as previously described [13, 25]. SC adipose was washed with Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) and digested at 37 °C in DMEM (Corning) containing 0.075% collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich) for 45 to 60 minutes on a shaker. After centrifugation of the digested material, the resulting cell pellet was plated in 60-mm dishes containing

DMEM/10% fetal calf serum, 0.05 U/mL penicillin, 0.05 mg/mL streptomycin, 1.25 mg/mL fungizone, and cultured at 37 °C until confluency in 5% CO₂. Adipose stem cells (ASCs) were then incubated in adipocyte differentiation medium (DMEM/Ham's F-12 (1:1, v/v), HEPES pH 7.4, fetal bovine serum, biotin, pantothenate, human insulin, dexamethasone, 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX), PPAR γ agonist, penicillin, streptomycin, amphotericin B [Zen-Bio]) for 12 days to induce ASC differentiation into adipocytes. At days 0, 3, 7, and 12, RNA was extracted from the cells using RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). RNA sequencing for AKR1C3 was determined as previously described [25].

Lipid staining and immunofluorescence

At day 12, fixed cells were stained with Oil-Red-O (Sigma Aldrich) for 20 minutes at room temperature to visualize lipid droplets. Nuclei were identified by the nuclear staining marker 4',6-diamidino-2-phenoylidole (DAPI; 1:3000 [Invitrogen]). After 4 washes with deionized water, lipid staining was quantified by immunofluorescence. Twenty representative images were taken of fluorescent cells with an EVOS FL Digital Inverted Fluorescence microscope (Westover Scientific Inc), and fluorescence units per cell number were quantified using ImageJ software (NIH).

Statistical Analysis

An unpaired t test compared patient characteristics, clinical hormone/metabolic values between PCOS, and ageand BMI-pair-matched control individuals. Results were presented with mean ± SD unless otherwise noted. Serum DHT levels in 2 controls and 3 PCOS individuals were undetectable and excluded from analysis. Pearson correlation coefficients examined associations by female type between serum total T/A4 ratios and clinical outcomes [26]. As a sensitivity analysis, partial correlations were examined with the same associations after adjusting for free T to determine whether free T was confounding the findings. For significant associations, we also fit linear regression models and the prediction lines were superimposed on the scatterplots. Owing to potential distributional assumption violations (eg, nonnormality), a log transform was applied to some measures before analysis (eg, LH, AIR, TG, adipose-IR). Statistical analyses were run using IBM SPSS v27, and P values less than .05 were considered statistically significant.

For RNA-sequencing analysis, sequencing reads were first mapped to the latest UCSC transcriptome set using Bowtie2 version 2.1.0 [27] and the gene expression level was estimated using RSEM v1.2.15 [28]. TMM (trimmed mean of M-values) was used to normalize gene expression. A 2-way analysis of variance with repeated measures (for time in days) compared *AKR1C3* gene expression and lipid content of cultured stem cells over time by female type, and *P* values less than .05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient and Hormone Characteristics

Age, BMI, waist, and hip measurements were comparable between the PCOS and control women. Total and lean body mass as well as total and percentage of body fat also were comparable between PCOS and control women. The percentage of android fat relative to total body fat and the ratio of android to gynoid fat mass in PCOS women were within the high-normal ranges (Table 1). There were no female-type differences in serum FSH, estrogen, DHEAS, or fasting glucose, insulin, and cholesterol levels.

Serum log LH and total/free T as well as A4 levels were greater in PCOS than control women (LH, P = .004; androgens, P < .001), as were serum DHT levels (P = .007) (see Table 1). The serum total T to A4 ratio was also greater in PCOS than control women (P = .040). HOMA-IR and Si values in PCOS women were within the high-normal and low-normal ranges, respectively, without femaletype differences in Sg, log AIRg, or DI values (Fig. 1). Log adipose-IR values were increased in PCOS vs control women (P = .002), as previously reported [3], due in part to high-normal fasting circulating FFA levels (P = .050). Log fasting serum TG concentrations, TG index, and serum HMW adiponectin as well as leptin levels were similar between female groups.

Clinical Correlations

Polycystic ovary syndrome women

The serum total T/A4 ratio in PCOS women was not significantly correlated with log adipose-IR (R = -0.44, P = .061), despite serum levels of free T, A4, and DHEAS being positively correlated with log adipose-IR (free T: R = +0.51, P = .025; A4: R = +0.46, P = .049; DHEAS: R = +0.49, P = .032) (Fig. 2). In contrast, the serum total T/ A4 ratio in PCOS women negatively correlated with log TG (R = -0.65, P = .002) and TG index (R = -0.57, P = .011)(Fig. 3), whereas serum A4 levels positively correlated with $\log TG (R = +0.52, P = .021)$ and TG index (A4: R = +0.51, P = .020), without other serum and rogen interactions with log TG (total T: R = +0.24, P = .323; free T: R = +0.43, P = .066; DHEAS: R = +0.01, P = .970) or TG index (total T: *R* = +0.29, *P* = .230; free T: *R* = +0.35, *P* = .143; DHEAS: R = +0.02, P = .934). Serum DHT levels were unrelated to log adipose-IR (R = -0.23, P = .390), log TG (R = +0.27, P = .319), or TG index (R = -0.27, P = .303).

Table 1.	Patient characteristics and	serum hormone and	l metabolic levels	in normal-weight control	vs polycystic ovary
syndron	ne women ^{a,b}				

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Patient characteristics	NL (N = 21)	PCOS (N = 19)	Р
BMI 21.6 ± 1.5 22.1 ± 1.9 400 Waist, cm 75.5 ± 4.8 75.3 ± 4.9 840 Waist, cm 88.5 ± 5.9 87.9 ± 5.2 $.706$ Total body mas, kg 60.7 ± 7.8 60.8 ± 6.7 $.863$ Lan body mas, kg 39.1 ± 5.1 $.994 \pm 5.2$ $.843$ Total body fat 19.3 ± 3.2 19.6 ± 2.8 $.833$ Madroid fat, kg 1.1 ± 0.3 $.12 \pm 0.4$ $.213$ Madroid fat 5.5 ± 0.7 6.1 ± 1.3 $.111$ Gynaid fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 $.692$ "gynoid fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 $.692$ "gynoid fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 $.692$ "gynoid fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 $.692$ "gynoid fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 $.692$ "gynoid fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 $.692$ "gynoid fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 $.692$ "gynoid fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 $.692$ "gynoid fat, mas ratio 0.26 ± 0.04 0.7 ± 1.5 $.200$ Android/gynoid fat mas ratio 0.26 ± 0.04 71.8 ± 2.5 $.492$ "gynail." 1.1 ± 0.2 $.14 \pm 0.6$ $.073$ $.574$ Start model $.59 \pm 5.6$ $.57 \pm 6.7$ $.393$ Total T, mg/dL 30.1 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 $.0001$ Tree T, mg/mL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 7.8 $.0002$ T/A tatio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 $.0040$ <td>Age, y</td> <td>27.4 ± 4.8</td> <td>25.2 ± 4.8</td> <td>.161</td>	Age, y	27.4 ± 4.8	25.2 ± 4.8	.161
Waits, cm 75.0 ± 4.8 75.3 ± 4.9 84.9 Hip, cm 88.5 ± 5.9 87.9 ± 5.2 .766Total body mas, kg 60.7 ± 7.8 60.8 ± 6.7 .960Lam body mas, kg 39.1 ± 5.1 39.4 ± 5.2 .843Nody fat 19.3 ± 3.2 19.4 ± 5.2 .843% body fat 31.8 ± 2.9 32.3 ± 3.9 .677Android fat, kg 1.1 ± 0.3 1.2 ± 0.4 .213% android fat 5.5 ± 0.7 6.1 ± 1.3 .111Gynoid fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 .692% gynoid fat 21.4 ± 1.6 20.7 ± 1.5 .200Android/gynoid fat mass ratio 0.26 ± 0.04 0.30 ± 0.07 .078Hormon/metabolic levelsUU.014 \pm 1.6.004Lip, gluth 0.88 ± 4.9 1.1 ± 0.2 .004H, mIU/mL 8.8 ± 4.9 1.4 ± 6.7 .007FSH, mIU/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 .309E1, pg/mL 23 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 <.010	BMI	21.6 ± 1.5	22.1 ± 1.9	.400
Hip, cn $88, 5 = 5.9$ $87, 9 \pm 5.2$.700Total body mas, kg $60, 7 = 7.8$ $60, 8 = 6.7$.960Lan body mas, kg $39.1 = 5.1$ 39.4 ± 5.2 .843Total body fat $19.3 = 3.2$ 19.6 ± 2.8 .833% body fat 31.8 ± 2.9 32.3 ± 3.9 .677Android fat, kg 1.1 ± 0.3 1.2 ± 0.4 .213% android fat 5.5 ± 0.7 6.1 ± 1.3 .111Gynoid fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 .692% gynoid fat 21.4 ± 1.6 20.7 ± 1.5 .200Android/gynoid fat mass ratio 0.26 ± 0.04 0.00 ± 0.07 .078Hormoon/metabolic levelsII.692 \pm 0.2.1.1 \pm 0.2.004LH, mIU/mL 6.89 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.2 .004.04 0.6 .253EH, mIU/mL 6.09 ± 3.3 5.4 ± 1.6 .390.718 \pm 29.5.429EJ, pg/mL 6.04 ± 32.0 71.8 ± 29.5 .429.429EZ, pg/mL 0.02 ± 104.6 77.3 ± 57.4 .339.400DHT, mg/dL 10.2 ± 37.6 20.27 ± 32.0 <.001	Waist, cm	75.0 ± 4.8	75.3 ± 4.9	.843
Total body mass, kg 60.7 ± 7.8 60.8 ± 6.7 9.60 Lean body mass, kg 39.1 ± 5.1 39.4 ± 5.2 843 Total body fat 19.3 ± 3.2 19.6 ± 2.8 843 Nody fat 11.8 ± 2.9 32.3 ± 3.9 677 Android fat, kg 1.1 ± 0.3 1.2 ± 0.4 213 We android fat 5.5 ± 0.7 6.1 ± 1.3 1.11 Grind fat 21.4 ± 1.6 20.7 ± 1.5 200 Android/gynoid fat mass ratio 0.26 ± 0.04 0.30 ± 0.07 0.78 Hormour/metabolic levels U U 0.11 ± 0.2 0.04 Lip, gr/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 390 PSH, mU/mL 8.8 ± 4.9 14.1 ± 6.7 0.07 FSH, mU/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 390 Total T, ng/dL 30.1 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 <001 PSH, gr/mL 0.27 ± 10.46 77.3 ± 57.4 339 Total T, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 007 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 007 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 007 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 007 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 007 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 007 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 007 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 007 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 $12.6 0.5$ 1.61 Hon Maliponecin, ng/mL 2	Hip, cm	88.5 ± 5.9	87.9 ± 5.2	.706
Lean body mass, kg $39, 1 \pm 5.1$ $39, 4 \pm 5.2$ 843 Total body fat $19, 3 \pm 3.2$ $19, 6 \pm 2.8$ 833 We body fat $31, 8 \pm 2.9$ $32, 3 \pm 3.9$ 677 Android fat, kg 1.1 ± 0.3 1.2 ± 0.4 213 % android fat 5.5 ± 0.7 6.1 ± 1.3 1.11 Gynoid fat, kg 41 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 692 % gynoid fat 21.4 ± 1.6 20.7 ± 1.5 200 Android/gynoid fat mass ratio 0.26 ± 0.04 0.30 ± 0.07 0.078 Hormoon/metabolic levels 11 ± 0.2 0.044 0.002 ± 0.04 Let, mit/mL 8.8 ± 4.9 1.1 ± 0.2 0.040 LH, mit/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 390 FSH, mit/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 390 Total T, ng/dl 30.1 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 <0.010 Total T, ng/dl 30.1 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 <0.010 Type/mL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 <0.010 T/A ratio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 0.400 DHTA, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 1.5 ± 7.8 0.070 Type/mL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 <0.010 T/A ratio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 0.400 DHTA, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 1.5 ± 0.2 $.566$ Fasting glucose, mg/dL 85.2 ± 6.0 85.7 ± 6.7 819 Fasting numbrin, $10/mL$ 4.5 ± 1.9 3.24 ± 0.2 $.555$ J, Si x Alfgy100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 $.11$	Total body mass, kg	60.7 ± 7.8	60.8 ± 6.7	.960
Total body fat19.3 \pm 3.219.6 \pm 2.88.835% body fat31.8 \pm 2.932.3 \pm 3.9.677Android fat, kg1.1 \pm 0.31.2 \pm 0.4.213% android fat5.5 \pm 0.76.1 \pm 1.3.111Gynoid fat21.4 \pm 0.84.0 \pm 0.6.692% gynoid fat21.4 \pm 1.620.7 \pm 1.5.200Android/gynoid fat mass ratio0.26 \pm 0.040.30 \pm 0.07.078Hormour/metabolic levelsLog LH, mIU/mL8.8 \pm 4.91.4 \pm 6.7.007FSH, mIU/mL6.0 \pm 2.3E1, pg/mL6.0 \pm 2.3Fee, T, pg/mL102.9 \pm 104.6Augdil.118.2 \pm 37.6Augdil.118.2 \pm 37.6Augdil.178.4 \pm 95.5DHT, ng/dI.9.9 \pm 0.5DHT, ng/dI.9.9 \pm 0.5Augdil.178.4 \pm 95.5DHT, ng/dI.9.9 \pm 0.5DHT, ng/dIAugdil.178.4 \pm 95.5DHTAS, ng/dIAugdil.178.4 \pm 95.5DHT, ng/dIAugdil.178.4 \pm 95.5DHT, ng/dIAugdil<	Lean body mass, kg	39.1 ± 5.1	39.4 ± 5.2	.843
% body far 31.8 ± 2.9 32.3 ± 3.9 6.77 Android far, kg 1.1 ± 0.3 1.2 ± 0.4 2.13 % android far 5.5 ± 0.7 6.1 ± 1.3 1.11 Gynoid far, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 6.922 % gynoid far 21.4 ± 1.6 20.7 ± 1.5 200 Android/gynoid far mass ratio 0.26 ± 0.04 0.30 ± 0.07 0.78 Hormon/metabolic levels 1.1 ± 0.2 0.04 Ly, mIU/mL 8.8 ± 4.9 $1.4.1 \pm 6.7$ 0.07 FSH, mIU/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 3.90 E1, pg/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 3.90 E1, pg/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 3.90 E1, pg/mL 0.2 ± 104.6 77.3 ± 57.4 3.33 Total T, ng/dL 30.1 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 $<.001$ T/A tatio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 0.40 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 0.07 T/A tatio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 0.40 DHT, ng/dL $7.8 \pm 2.6.0$ 85.7 ± 6.7 8.19 Fasting glucose, mg/dL 8.52 ± 6.0 8.57 ± 6.7 8.19 Fasting nusulin, µU/mL 4.6 ± 1.9 5.7 ± 0.2 5.59 Log AlfRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 5.59 J, Si x Alfg/100 20.04 ± 1.4 1.40 ± 7.6 1.13 Log AlfRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 0.55 ± 0.3 0.55 J, Si x 10/min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 8.82 SHBG, mm	Total body fat	19.3 ± 3.2	19.6 ± 2.8	.835
Android fat, kg 1.1 ± 0.3 1.2 ± 0.4 2.13 % android fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 6.52 % gynoid fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 6.52 % gynoid fat $2.1.4 \pm 1.6$ 20.7 ± 1.5 200 Android/gynoid fat mass ratio 0.26 ± 0.04 0.30 ± 0.07 0.78 Hormon/metabolic levels 11 ± 0.2 0.04 0.00 ± 0.07 0.78 Log LH, mlU/mL 0.89 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.2 0.04 LH, mlU/mL 6.9 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 3.90 E1, pg/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 3.90 E1, pg/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 3.90 E1, pg/mL $0.2.9 \pm 104.6$ 77.3 ± 57.4 3.39 Total T, ng/dL 118.2 ± 37.6 202.7 ± 82.0 <0.01 T/A tatio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 0.40 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 0.07 DHEAS, pg/dL 178.4 ± 95.5 228.4 ± 68.2 0.66 Fasting insulin, µU/mL 4.6 ± 1.9 5.7 ± 2.1 1.09 HOM-IR 0.99 ± 0.5 1.2 ± 0.5 1.61 Si, x10 ^{-//} min/µU/mL 5.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 4.51 Log Alle, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 5.55 2.5 ± 0.2 5.55 DI, Si xARg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 1.13 Sg, x10/min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 0.57 Log Alle, µU/mL 2.5 ± 2.4 0.57 0.57 Log Alle, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2	% body fat	31.8 ± 2.9	32.3 ± 3.9	.677
% android fat 5.5 ± 0.7 6.1 ± 1.3 1.11 Gynoid fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 6.62 % ognoid fat 21.4 ± 1.6 20.7 ± 1.5 200 Android/gynoid fat mass ratio 0.26 ± 0.04 0.30 ± 0.07 0.78 Hormor/metaboli 0.89 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.2 0.04 Log LH, mIU/mL 8.8 ± 4.9 14.1 ± 6.7 0.07 FSH, mIU/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 3.90 E1, pg/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 7.8 ± 29.5 4.29 Z, pg/mL 10.2 ± 104.6 $7.7.3 \pm 57.4$ 3.33 Total T, ng/dL $3.0.1 \pm 8.5$ 61.9 ± 18.6 <.001	Android fat, kg	1.1 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.4	.213
Gynoid fat, kg 4.1 ± 0.8 4.0 ± 0.6 $.692$ % gynoid fat mass ratio 21.4 ± 1.6 20.7 ± 1.5 $.200$ Adroid/gynoid fat mass ratio 0.26 ± 0.04 0.30 ± 0.07 $.078$ Hormone/metabolic levels U U U U U Log LH, mU/mL 0.89 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.2 $.004$ LH, mU/mL 8.8 ± 4.9 14.1 ± 6.7 $.007$ SFH, mI//mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 $.390$ E1, pg/mL 64.0 ± 32.0 77.8 ± 29.5 $.429$ E2, pg/mL 102.9 ± 104.6 77.3 ± 57.4 $.339$ Total T, ng/dL 3.0 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 $<.001$ Free T, pg/mL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 $<.001$ A4, ng/dL 118.2 ± 37.6 202.7 ± 82.0 $<.001$ DrtA ratio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 $.040$ DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 $.007$ DHEAS, µg/dL 175.4 ± 95.5 228.4 ± 68.2 $.068$ Fasting lucose, mg/dL 85.2 ± 6.0 85.7 ± 6.7 $.819$ Fasting lucose, mg/dL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 $.559$ DI, Si, $x10^{-1}$ min/µU/mL 5.9 ± 1.53 228.4 ± 68.2 $.068$ Log AIR, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 $.559$ DI, Si $x10g/100$ 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 $.113$ Log AIR, µU/mL 63.5 ± 32.4 22.5 ± 0.2 $.559$ DI, Si $x10g/101$ 60.2 ± 0.2 $.075 \pm 0.3$ $.050$ Log AIR, µU/mL 2	% android fat	5.5 ± 0.7	6.1 ± 1.3	.111
% gynoid fat 21.4 ± 1.6 20.7 ± 1.5 200 Android/gynoid fat mass ratio 0.26 ± 0.04 0.30 ± 0.07 0.78 Hormone/metabolic levels 1.1 ± 0.2 0.04 Log LH, mU/mL 8.8 ± 4.9 1.1 ± 0.2 0.04 LH, mU/mL 8.8 ± 4.9 1.4 ± 6.7 0.07 FSH, mIU/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 390 E1, pg/mL $6.4.0 \pm 32.0$ 7.8 ± 29.5 4.29 E2, pg/mL 102.9 ± 104.6 77.3 ± 57.4 339 Total T, ng/dL $3.0.1 \pm 8.5$ 61.9 ± 18.6 <0.01 Free T, pg/mL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 <0.01 A, ng/dL 118.2 ± 37.6 20.27 ± 82.0 <0.01 T/A ratio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 0.40 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 0.07 PIHEAS, µg/dL 17.8 ± 95.5 22.84 ± 68.2 0.68 Fasting glucose, mg/dL 85.2 ± 6.0 85.7 ± 6.7 819 Fasting insulin, µU/mL 4.6 ± 1.9 5.7 ± 2.1 1.09 HOMA-IR 0.99 ± 0.5 1.2 ± 0.5 1.4 ± 0.2 5.5 J, Si AIRg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 1.118 Log AIRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 5.59 J, Si AIRg/100 20.02 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 1.118 Log AIRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 0.50 Log AIRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.2 0.575 J, Si AIRg/10 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02	Gynoid fat, kg	4.1 ± 0.8	4.0 ± 0.6	.692
Android/gynoid fat mass ratio 0.26 ± 0.04 0.30 ± 0.07 0.78 Hormor/metabolic levelsLog LH, mIU/mL 0.89 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.2 0.04 LH, mIU/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 3.90 E1, pg/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 3.90 E1, pg/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 3.90 Total T, ng/dL 30.1 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 <0.01 Free T, pg/mL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 <0.01 Free T, pg/mL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 <0.01 Af, ng/dL 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 0.40 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 0.07 DHEAS, µg/dL 178.4 ± 95.5 228.4 ± 68.2 0.68 Fasting insulin, µL/mL 4.6 ± 1.9 5.7 ± 6.7 8.19 Fasting insulin, µL/mL 5.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 1.81 Log Alkg, µL/mL 2.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 1.81 Log Alkg, µL/mL 2.00 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 882 SHBG, nmol/L 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 5.59 DI, Si × Alkg/100 20.0 ± 1.44 14.0 ± 7.6 1.13 Log Alkg, µL/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 0.57 DHW adiponectin, ng/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 4.15 Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 0.50 Log alipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 0.02 Log Targe, mg/dL 1.2 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 0.50 <	% gynoid fat	21.4 ± 1.6	20.7 ± 1.5	.200
Hormone/metabolic levelsLog LH, mU/mL 0.89 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.2 0.04 LH, mU/mL 8.8 ± 4.9 14.1 ± 6.7 0.07 FSH, mU/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 3.90 E1, pg/mL 64.0 ± 32.0 71.8 ± 29.5 4.29 E2, pg/mL 102.9 ± 104.6 $7.7.3 \pm 57.4$ 3.39 Total T, ng/dL 30.1 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 $<.001$ Free T, pg/mL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 $<.001$ A4, ng/dL 118.2 ± 37.6 202.7 ± 82.0 $<.001$ T/A ratio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 0.40 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 0.07 DHEAS, µg/dL 178.4 ± 95.5 228.4 ± 68.2 0.68 Fasting glucose, mg/dL 85.2 ± 6.0 85.7 ± 6.7 819 Fasting glucose, mg/dL 5.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 1.81 Log AIR, µL/mL 5.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 1.81 Log AIR, µL/mL 5.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 1.81 Log AIR, µL/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 5.5 ± 2.4 0.57 J, Si × AIRg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 1.73 J, Si × AIRg/101 3212 ± 1653 2887 ± 2021 5.79 J, Si × AIRg/102 4.55 ± 32.4 20.9 ± 7.3 4.55 Total free farty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 0.50 Log AIR, pU/mL 4.54 ± 2.02 0.75 ± 0.3 0.50 Log AIR, pU/mL 4.54 ± 2.02 4.55 ± 2.4 0.79 Log A	Android/gynoid fat mass ratio	0.26 ± 0.04	0.30 ± 0.07	.078
Log LH, mIU/mL 0.89 ± 0.2 1.1 ± 0.2 $.004$ LH, mIU/mL 8.8 ± 4.9 14.1 ± 6.7 $.007$ FSH, mIU/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 $.390$ E1, pg/mL 64.0 ± 32.0 71.8 ± 29.5 $.429$ E2, pg/mL 102.9 ± 104.6 77.3 ± 57.4 $.339$ Total T, ng/dL 30.1 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 $<.001$ Free T, pg/mL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 $<.001$ T/A + ratio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 $.040$ DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 $.007$ DHEAS, µg/dL 178.4 ± 95.5 228.4 ± 68.2 $.068$ Fasting glucose, mg/dL 85.2 ± 6.0 85.7 ± 6.7 $.819$ Fasting insulin, µU/mL 4.6 ± 1.9 5.7 ± 2.1 $.109$ HOMA-IR 0.99 ± 0.5 1.2 ± 0.5 $.161$ Log AlRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 $.559$ DI, Si × AIRg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 $.113$ Sg, s10/min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 $.882$ SHBG, nmol/L 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 $.415$ Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 $.050$ Log and Ng, µU/mL 4.5 ± 1.9 $.51 \pm 10.6$ $.781$ MiW adiponectin, ng/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 $.415$ Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 $.050$ Log adjapose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 $.020$ $.835$ IDL-C, mg/dL 11.8	Hormone/metabolic levels			
LH, mIU/mL 8.8 ± 4.9 14.1 ± 6.7 .007FSH, mIU/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 .390E1, pg/mL 64.0 ± 32.0 71.8 ± 29.5 .429E2, pg/mL 102.9 ± 104.6 77.3 ± 57.4 .339Total T, ng/dL 30.1 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 <.001	Log LH, mIU/mL	0.89 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.2	.004
FSH, mIU/mL 6.0 ± 2.3 5.4 ± 1.6 $.390$ E1, pg/mL 64.0 ± 32.0 71.8 ± 29.5 $.429$ E2, pg/mL 102.9 ± 104.6 77.3 ± 57.4 $.339$ Total T, ng/dL 30.1 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 <001 Fee T, pg/mL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 <001 A4, ng/dL 118.2 ± 37.6 202.7 ± 82.0 <001 TA4 ratio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 $.040$ DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 $.007$ DHEAS, µg/dL 178.4 ± 95.5 228.4 ± 68.2 $.068$ Fasting glucose, mg/dL 85.2 ± 6.0 85.7 ± 6.7 $.819$ Fasting insulin, µU/mL 4.6 ± 1.9 5.7 ± 2.1 $.109$ HOMA-IR 0.99 ± 0.5 1.2 ± 0.5 $.161$ Log AIRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 $.559$ DI, Si $\times AIRg/100$ 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 $.113$ Sg, st O/min/µU/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 $.415$ Ord JU/ML 0.59 ± 0.2 $.075 \pm 0.3$ $.030$ Log aligose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 $.002$ Log TG, mg/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 $.195$ HDL-C, mg/dL 64.1 ± 12.0 65.1 ± 10.6 $.781$ Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 $.835$ LDL-C, mg/dL 16.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 $.874$ Total Gree Mark 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 $.195$ HDL-C, mg/dL 15.4 ± 2.7 $.90 \pm 26.0$ $.835$ <tr< td=""><td>LH, mIU/mL</td><td>8.8 ± 4.9</td><td>14.1 ± 6.7</td><td>.007</td></tr<>	LH, mIU/mL	8.8 ± 4.9	14.1 ± 6.7	.007
E1, pg/mL 64.0 ± 32.0 71.8 ± 29.5 $.429$ E2, pg/mL 102.9 ± 104.6 77.3 ± 57.4 $.339$ Total T, ng/dL 30.1 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 $<.001$ Free T, pg/mL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 $<.001$ A4, ng/dL 118.2 ± 37.6 202.7 ± 82.0 $<.001$ T/A4 ratio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 0.400 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 0.007 DHEAS, pg/dL 178.4 ± 95.5 228.4 ± 68.2 0.68 Fasting glucose, mg/dL 85.2 ± 6.0 85.7 ± 6.7 819 Fasting nulin, µU/mL 4.6 ± 1.9 5.7 ± 2.1 109 HOMA-IR 0.99 ± 0.5 1.2 ± 0.5 1.61 Si, x10 ^{-//} min/µU/mL 5.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 181 Log AIRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 559 DI, Si x AIRg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 113 Sig, x10/min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 882 SHBG, nmol/L 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 $.415$ Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 $.415$ Log atfopose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 0.02 0.02 Log atfopose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 0.02 Log TG, mg/dL 64.1 ± 12.0 65.1 ± 10.6 $.781$ Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 $.835$ LDL-C, mg/dL 16.7 ± 29.9 15.52 ± 29.8 $.721$ Total (free fatty acids, mmol/L <td< td=""><td>FSH, mIU/mL</td><td>6.0 ± 2.3</td><td>5.4 ± 1.6</td><td>.390</td></td<>	FSH, mIU/mL	6.0 ± 2.3	5.4 ± 1.6	.390
E2, pg/mL 102.9 ± 104.6 7.3 ± 57.4 $.339$ Total T, ng/dL 30.1 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 <001 Free T, pg/mL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 <001 A4, ng/dL 118.2 ± 37.6 202.7 ± 82.0 <001 T/A 4 ratio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 $.040$ DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 $.007$ DHEAS, µg/dL 178.4 ± 95.5 228.4 ± 68.2 $.068$ Fasting glucose, mg/dL 85.2 ± 6.0 85.7 ± 6.7 $.819$ Fasting sulin, µU/mL 4.6 ± 1.9 5.7 ± 2.1 $.109$ HOMA-IR 0.99 ± 0.5 1.2 ± 0.5 $.161$ Si, ×10 ⁻⁴ /min/µU/mL 5.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 $.181$ Log AIRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 $.559$ DI, Si × AIRg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 $.113$ Sg, ×10/min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 $.882$ SHBG, nmo//L 68.5 ± 32.4 22.9 ± 7.3 $.415$ Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 $.14 \pm 0.2$ $.002$ Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 $.002$ $.051$ Log fung/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 $.195$ $.195$ HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 $.835$ LDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 $.835$ LDL-C, mg/dL 81.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 $.835$ LDL-C, mg/dL 81.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 $.835$ LDL-C, mg/dL 81.8 ± 27.7 <	E1, pg/mL	64.0 ± 32.0	71.8 ± 29.5	.429
Total T, ng/dL 30.1 ± 8.5 61.9 ± 18.6 <.001Free T, pg/nL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 <.001	E2, pg/mL	102.9 ± 104.6	77.3 ± 57.4	.339
Free T, pg/mL 2.3 ± 1.0 5.9 ± 1.8 < .001A4, ng/dL 118.2 ± 37.6 202.7 ± 82.0 <.001	Total T, ng/dL	30.1 ± 8.5	61.9 ± 18.6	< .001
A4, ng/dL 118.2 ± 37.6 202.7 ± 82.0 <.001T/A 4 ratio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 .040DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 .007DHEAS, µg/dL 178.4 ± 95.5 228.4 ± 68.2 .068Fasting glucose, mg/dL 85.2 ± 6.0 85.7 ± 6.7 .819Fasting insulin, µU/mL 4.6 ± 1.9 5.7 ± 2.1 .109HOMA-IR 0.99 ± 0.5 1.2 ± 0.5 .161Si, ×10 ⁻⁴ /min/µU/mL 5.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 .181Log AIRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 .559DI, Si × AIRg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 .113Sg, ×10/min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 .882SHBG, nmol/L 68.5 ± 32.4 2.87 ± 20.21 .579Leptin, ng/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 .415Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 .050Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 .002Log TG, mg/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 .195HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 .871Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 24.2 77.3 ± 26.2 .721Total C, mg/dL 15.7 ± 29.9 15.5 ± 29.8 .874TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 .246	Free T, pg/mL	2.3 ± 1.0	5.9 ± 1.8	< .001
T/A4 ratio 0.27 ± 0.08 0.34 ± 0.13 0.40 DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 007 DHEAS, µg/dL 178.4 ± 95.5 228.4 ± 68.2 068 Fasting glucose, mg/dL 85.2 ± 6.0 85.7 ± 6.7 819 Fasting insulin, µU/mL 4.6 ± 1.9 5.7 ± 2.1 109 HOMA-IR 0.99 ± 0.5 1.2 ± 0.5 161 Si, ×10 ⁻⁴ /min/µU/mL 5.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 181 Log AIRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 559 J, Si x AIRg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 113 Sg, ×10/min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 882 SHBG, nmol/L 68.5 ± 32.4 52.5 ± 28.4 107 HMW adiponectin, ng/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 415 Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 050 Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 002 Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 002 Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 195 HDL-C, mg/dL 64.1 ± 12.0 65.1 ± 10.6 $.781$ Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 835 LDL-C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 $.874$ Total (re, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 $.874$ Total (re, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 $.874$	A4, ng/dL	118.2 ± 37.6	202.7 ± 82.0	< .001
DHT, ng/dL 9.7 ± 2.7 15.9 ± 7.8 .007DHEAS, µg/dL 178.4 ± 95.5 228.4 ± 68.2 .068Fasting glucose, mg/dL 85.2 ± 6.0 85.7 ± 6.7 .819Fasting insulin, µU/mL 4.6 ± 1.9 5.7 ± 2.1 .109HOMA-IR 0.99 ± 0.5 1.2 ± 0.5 .161Si, ×10 ⁻⁴ /min/µU/mL 5.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 .181Log AIRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 .559DI, Si × AIRg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 .113Sg, ×10/min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 .882SHBG, nmol/L 68.5 ± 32.4 52.5 ± 28.4 .107HMW adiponectin, ng/mL 3212 ± 1653 2887 ± 2021 .579Leptin, ng/mL 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 .050Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 .002Log adipose-IR 1.5 ± 2	T/A4 ratio	0.27 ± 0.08	0.34 ± 0.13	.040
DHEAS, $\mu g/dL$ 178.4 \pm 95.5228.4 \pm 68.2.068Fasting glucose, mg/dL85.2 \pm 6.085.7 \pm 6.7.819Fasting insulin, $\mu U/mL$ 4.6 \pm 1.95.7 \pm 2.1.109HOMA-IR0.99 \pm 0.51.2 \pm 0.5.161Si, $\times 10^{-4}/min/\mu U/mL$ 5.9 \pm 5.04.3 \pm 1.9.181Log AIRg, $\mu U/mL$ 2.5 \pm 0.22.5 \pm 0.2.559DI, Si \times AIRg/10020.0 \pm 14.414.0 \pm 7.6.113Sg, $\times 10/min$ 0.02 \pm 0.010.02 \pm 0.02.882SHBG, nmol/L68.5 \pm 32.452.5 \pm 28.4.107HAW adiponectin, ng/mL3212 \pm 16532887 \pm 2021.579Leptin, ng/mL0.59 \pm 0.20.75 \pm 0.3.002Log adipose-IR1.2 \pm 0.21.4 \pm 0.2.002Log TG, mg/dL1.7 \pm 0.21.8 \pm 0.1.195HDL-C, mg/dL91.8 \pm 27.790 \pm 26.0.835LDL-C, mg/dL156.7 \pm 29.9155.2 \pm 29.8.874TG index3.4 \pm 0.23.4 \pm 0.1.246	DHT, ng/dL	9.7 ± 2.7	15.9 ± 7.8	.007
Fasting glucose, mg/dL 85.2 ± 6.0 85.7 ± 6.7 $.819$ Fasting insulin, µU/mL 4.6 ± 1.9 5.7 ± 2.1 $.109$ HOMA-IR 0.99 ± 0.5 1.2 ± 0.5 $.161$ Si, ×10 ⁻⁴ /min/µU/mL 5.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 $.181$ Log AIRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 $.559$ DI, Si × AIRg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 $.113$ Sg, ×10/min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 $.882$ SHBG, nmol/L 68.5 ± 32.4 52.5 ± 28.4 $.107$ HMW adiponectin, ng/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 $.415$ Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 $.050$ Log aTG, mg/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 $.002$ Log TG, mg/dL 64.1 ± 12.0 65.1 ± 10.6 $.781$ Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 $.835$ LDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 24.2 $.77.3 \pm 26.2$ $.721$ Total C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 $.874$ TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 $.246$	DHEAS, µg/dL	178.4 ± 95.5	228.4 ± 68.2	.068
Fasting insulin, μ U/mL4.6 ± 1.95.7 ± 2.1.109HOMA-IR0.99 ± 0.51.2 ± 0.5.161Si, ×10 ⁻⁴ /min/ μ U/mL5.9 ± 5.04.3 ± 1.9.181Log AIRg, μ U/mL2.5 ± 0.22.5 ± 0.2.559DI, Si × AIRg/10020.0 ± 14.414.0 ± 7.6.113Sg, ×10/min0.02 ± 0.010.02 ± 0.02.882SHBG, nmol/L68.5 ± 32.452.5 ± 28.4.107HMW adiponectin, ng/mL3212 ± 16532887 ± 2021.579Leptin, ng/mL23.7 ± 13.220.9 ± 7.3.415Total free fatty acids, mmol/L0.59 ± 0.20.75 ± 0.3.050Log adipose-IR1.2 ± 0.21.4 ± 0.2.002Log TG, mg/dL1.7 ± 0.21.8 ± 0.1.195HDL-C, mg/dL91.8 ± 27.790 ± 26.0.835LDL-C, mg/dL80.1 ± 24.277.3 ± 26.2.721Total C, mg/dL156.7 ± 29.9155.2 ± 29.8.874TG index3.4 ± 0.23.4 ± 0.1.246	Fasting glucose, mg/dL	85.2 ± 6.0	85.7 ± 6.7	.819
HOMA-IR 0.99 ± 0.5 1.2 ± 0.5 1.61 Si, ×10 ⁻⁴ /min/µU/mL 5.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 1.81 Log AIRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 5.59 DI, Si × AIRg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 1.13 Sg, ×10/min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 882 SHBG, nmol/L 68.5 ± 32.4 52.5 ± 28.4 1.07 HMW adiponectin, ng/mL 3212 ± 1653 2887 ± 2021 5.79 Leptin, ng/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 415 Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 0.002 Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 0.02 Log TG, mg/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 1.95 HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 835 LDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 24.2 77.3 ± 26.2 721 Total C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 874 TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 246	Fasting insulin, µU/mL	4.6 ± 1.9	5.7 ± 2.1	.109
Si, ×10 ⁻⁴ /min/µU/mL 5.9 ± 5.0 4.3 ± 1.9 .181Log AIRg, µU/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 .559DI, Si × AIRg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 .113Sg, ×10/min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 .882SHBG, nmol/L 68.5 ± 32.4 52.5 ± 28.4 .107HMW adiponectin, ng/mL 3212 ± 1653 2887 ± 2021 .579Leptin, ng/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 .415Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 .050Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 .002Log TG, mg/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 .195HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 .835LDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 24.2 77.3 ± 26.2 .721Total C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 .874TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 .246	HOMA-IR	0.99 ± 0.5	1.2 ± 0.5	.161
Log AIRg, μ U/mL 2.5 ± 0.2 2.5 ± 0.2 5.59 DI, Si \times AIRg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 .113Sg, $\times 10$ /min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 .882SHBG, nmol/L 68.5 ± 32.4 52.5 ± 28.4 .107HMW adiponectin, ng/mL 3212 ± 1653 2887 ± 2021 .579Leptin, ng/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 .415Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 .050Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 .002Log TG, ng/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 .195HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 .835LDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 24.2 77.3 ± 26.2 .721Total C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 .874TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 .246	Si, ×10 ⁻⁴ /min/μU/mL	5.9 ± 5.0	4.3 ± 1.9	.181
DI, Si × AIRg/100 20.0 ± 14.4 14.0 ± 7.6 $.113$ Sg, ×10/min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 $.882$ SHBG, nmol/L 68.5 ± 32.4 52.5 ± 28.4 $.107$ HMW adiponectin, ng/mL 3212 ± 1653 2887 ± 2021 $.579$ Leptin, ng/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 $.415$ Total free fatty acids, nmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 $.050$ Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 $.002$ Log TG, mg/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 $.195$ HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 $.835$ LDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 24.2 $.77.3 \pm 26.2$ $.721$ Total C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 $.874$ TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 $.246$	Log AIRg, μU/mL	2.5 ± 0.2	2.5 ± 0.2	.559
Sg, x10/min 0.02 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.02 .882SHBG, nmol/L 68.5 ± 32.4 52.5 ± 28.4 .107HMW adiponectin, ng/mL 3212 ± 1653 2887 ± 2021 .579Leptin, ng/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 .415Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 .050Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 .002Log TG, mg/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 .195HDL-C, mg/dL 64.1 ± 12.0 65.1 ± 10.6 .781Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 .835LDL-C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 .874TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 .246	DI, Si × AIRg/100	20.0 ± 14.4	14.0 ± 7.6	.113
SHBG, nmol/L 68.5 ± 32.4 52.5 ± 28.4 .107HMW adiponectin, ng/mL 3212 ± 1653 2887 ± 2021 .579Leptin, ng/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 .415Total free fatty acids, nmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 .050Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 .002Log TG, mg/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 .195HDL-C, mg/dL 64.1 ± 12.0 65.1 ± 10.6 .781Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 .835LDL-C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 .874TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 .246	Sg, ×10/min	0.02 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.02	.882
HMW adiponectin, ng/mL 3212 ± 1653 2887 ± 2021 $.579$ Leptin, ng/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 $.415$ Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 $.050$ Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 $.002$ Log TG, mg/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 $.195$ HDL-C, mg/dL 64.1 ± 12.0 65.1 ± 10.6 $.781$ Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 $.835$ LDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 24.2 $.77.3 \pm 26.2$ $.721$ Total C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 $.874$ TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 $.246$	SHBG, nmol/L	68.5 ± 32.4	52.5 ± 28.4	.107
Leptin, ng/mL 23.7 ± 13.2 20.9 ± 7.3 .415Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 .050Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 .002Log TG, mg/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 .195HDL-C, mg/dL 64.1 ± 12.0 65.1 ± 10.6 .781Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 .835LDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 24.2 77.3 ± 26.2 .721Total C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 .874TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 .246	HMW adiponectin, ng/mL	3212 ± 1653	2887 ± 2021	.579
Total free fatty acids, mmol/L 0.59 ± 0.2 0.75 ± 0.3 0.50 Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 0.02 Log TG, mg/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 1.95 HDL-C, mg/dL 64.1 ± 12.0 65.1 ± 10.6 $.781$ Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 $.835$ LDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 24.2 77.3 ± 26.2 $.721$ Total C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 $.874$ TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 $.246$	Leptin, ng/mL	23.7 ± 13.2	20.9 ± 7.3	.415
Log adipose-IR 1.2 ± 0.2 1.4 ± 0.2 0.02 Log TG, mg/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 1.95 HDL-C, mg/dL 64.1 ± 12.0 65.1 ± 10.6 $.781$ Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 $.835$ LDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 24.2 77.3 ± 26.2 $.721$ Total C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 $.874$ TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 $.246$	Total free fatty acids, mmol/L	0.59 ± 0.2	0.75 ± 0.3	.050
Log TG, mg/dL 1.7 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.1 $.195$ HDL-C, mg/dL 64.1 ± 12.0 65.1 ± 10.6 $.781$ Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 $.835$ LDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 24.2 77.3 ± 26.2 $.721$ Total C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 $.874$ TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 $.246$	Log adipose-IR	1.2 ± 0.2	1.4 ± 0.2	.002
HDL-C, mg/dL 64.1 ± 12.0 65.1 ± 10.6 .781Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 .835LDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 24.2 77.3 ± 26.2 .721Total C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 .874TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 .246	Log TG, mg/dL	1.7 ± 0.2	1.8 ± 0.1	.195
Non-HDL-C, mg/dL 91.8 ± 27.7 90 ± 26.0 .835 LDL-C, mg/dL 80.1 ± 24.2 77.3 ± 26.2 .721 Total C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 .874 TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 .246	HDL-C, mg/dL	64.1 ± 12.0	65.1 ± 10.6	.781
LDL-C, mg/dL80.1 ± 24.277.3 ± 26.2.721Total C, mg/dL156.7 ± 29.9155.2 ± 29.8.874TG index3.4 ± 0.23.4 ± 0.1.246	Non–HDL-C, mg/dL	91.8 ± 27.7	90 ± 26.0	.835
Total C, mg/dL 156.7 ± 29.9 155.2 ± 29.8 .874 TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 .246	LDL-C, mg/dL	80.1 ± 24.2	77.3 ± 26.2	.721
TG index 3.4 ± 0.2 3.4 ± 0.1 .246	Total C, mg/dL	156.7 ± 29.9	155.2 ± 29.8	.874
	TG index	3.4 ± 0.2	3.4 ± 0.1	.246

Mean ± SD. Conversion to SI units: T (× 0.0347 nmol/L), free T (× 3.47 pmol/L), A4 (× 0.0349 nmol/L), DHT (× 0.0344 nmol/L), DHEAS (× 0.0271 µmol/L), E1 (× 3.699 pmol/L), E2 (× 3.67 pmol/L), LH (× 1.0 IU/L), FSH (× 1.0 IU/L), glucose (× 0.0555 mmol/L), insulin (× 7.175 pmol/L, HMW adiponectin (× 0.001 µg/L), leptin (× 1.0 µg/L), total C (× 0.0259 mmol/L), HDL-C (× 0.0259 mmol/L), LDL-C (× 0.0259 mmol/L), non-HDL-C (× 0.259 mmol/L), TG (× 0.0113 mmol/L). Abbreviations: A4, androstenedione; AIRg, acute response to glucose; BMI, body mass index; C, cholesterol; DHEAS, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate; DHT, dihydrotestosterone; DI, disposition index; E1, estrone; E2, estradiol; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HMW, high-molecular-weight; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; IR, insulin resistance; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LH, luteinizing hormone; NL, normal-weight control; PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; Sg, glucose effectiveness; SHBG, sex hormone–binding globulin; Si, insulin sensitivity; T, testosterone; TG, triglycerides.

^aModified from references [2, 3, 13] and [14].

^bTotal body dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry studies (NL = 18, PCOS = 16); DHT values (NL = 19, PCOS = 16 because of undetectable levels in 2 controls and 3 PCOS individuals); frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance testing studies, log adipose-IR, and TG index studies (NL = 20, PCOS = 19).

Simultaneously, the serum total T/A4 ratio in PCOS women was not significantly correlated with fasting insulin (R = -0.38, P = .112) or HOMA-IR (R = -0.39, P = .102) (Fig. 4), although serum free T levels positively correlated with fasting insulin levels (R = +0.55, P = .015) and HOMA-IR values (R = +0.53, P = .020). Other serum androgen levels were unrelated to fasting insulin (total T: R = +0.11, P = .651; A4: R = +0.24, P = .313; DHT: R = -0.13, P = .638; DHEAS: R = +0.24, P = .319) or HOMA-IR (total T: R = +0.16, P = .520; A4: R = +0.29, P = .224; DHT: R = -0.16, P = .542; DHEAS: R = +0.26, P = .289).



Figure 1. Relationships between Si and AIRg in 19 normal-weight polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) women and 20 age- and body mass index-matched normoandrogenic women (controls [CTL]). AIRg, acute insulin response to glucose (pancreatic β -cell response to glucose infusion); Si, insulin sensitivity (insulin action to accelerate glucose uptake and suppress glucose production). Open circles, controls; filled circles, PCOS women.

Similarly, the serum total T/A4 ratio in PCOS women was not significantly correlated with the android to gynoid fat mass ratio (R = -0.43, P = .098), whereas serum free T levels positively correlated to this ratio (free T: R = +0.53, P = .036; A4: R = +0.45, P = .080; DHT: R = -0.15, P = .600; DHEAS: R = +0.01, P = .991).

Partial correlations were examined after adjusting for serum free T to determine whether hyperandrogenism per se was confounding the findings. Adjusting for serum free T, the serum total T/A4 ratio in PCOS women remained negatively correlated with log TG (R = -0.57, P = .013) and TG index (R = -0.50, P = .036), respectively. The relationships between serum total T/A4 ratio and basal insulin (R = -0.18, P = .476), HOMA-IR (R = -0.20, P = .420), log adipose IR (R = -0.26, P = .270), and android to gynoid fat mass ratio (R = -0.26, P = .354) were not significant after adjusting for serum free T.

Control women

The serum total T/A4 ratio in control women was unrelated to log adipose-IR (R = -0.32, P = .164), log TG (R = -0.28, P = .226), TG index (R = -0.32, P = .165), HOMA-IR (R = -0.24, P = .314), fasting insulin levels (R = -0.27, P = .241) or android to gynoid fat mass ratio (R = +0.18, P = .473). Instead, it positively correlated with serum HMW adiponectin levels (R = +0.49, P = .026) (Fig. 5) without other significant serum androgen interactions (total T: R = +0.15, P = .504; free T: R = -0.20, P = .386; A4: R = -0.27, P = .242; DHT: R = -0.06, P = .792; DHEAS: R = -0.05, P = .831). The serum total T/A4 ratio in control women also negatively correlated with log AIRg (R = -0.52, P = .019) and positively correlated with SHBG (R = +0.52,



Figure 2. Correlations of log adipose-IR with serum A, total T/A4 ratio; B, total T; C, free T; D, A4; and E, DHEAS levels in normal-weight polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) women. Filled circles, PCOS women. All x-axes for log adipose-IR are identical in scale. A4, androstenedione; DHEAS, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate; log adipose-IR, log adipose insulin resistance; T, testosterone.





Figure 3. Correlations of A, serum logTG level, and B,TG index value with serum totalT/A4 ratio in normal-weight polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) women. Filled circles, PCOS women. A4, androstenedione; LogTG, log triglyceride; testosterone, T;TG index, triglyceride glucose index.



Figure 4. Correlations of A and C, fasting serum insulin level, and B and D, HOMA-IR value with A and B, serum totalT/A4 ratio, and C and D, serum free T level in normal-weight polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) women. Filled circles, PCOS women. A4, androstenedione; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; T, testosterone.



Figure 5. Correlations of serum A, HMW adiponectin (N = 21); B) log AIRg (N = 20); C, SHBG (N = 21); and D, HDL (N = 21) values with serum total T/ A4 ratios in normal-weight controls. One control declined frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance testing. AIRg, acute insulin response to glucose; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HMW, high-molecular-weight; SHBG, sex hormone–binding globulin.

P = .015) values, with opposite relationships of individual serum androgen levels with log AIRg (free T: R = +0.40, P = .077; A4: R = +0.44, P = .053) and SHBG (free T: R = -0.55, P = .009) values. The serum total T/A4 ratio in control women also positively correlated with serum HDL levels (R = +0.48, P = .027), with serum HDL and DHEAS levels positively interrelated (R = +0.51, P = .017).

The serum total T/A4 ratio in control women remained related to serum HMW adiponectin levels (R = +0.48, P = .034), log AIRg (R = -0.51, P = .026), SHBG (R = +0.56, P = .009), and serum HDL levels (R = +0.55, P = .012), after adjusting for serum free T.

Subcutaneous abdominal stem cell characteristics

Significant female-type differences in AKR1C3 gene expression occurred over time during SC abdominal stem cell development to adipocytes in vitro. In all 3 pairmatched cell samples, AKR1C3 gene expression rose from day 3 to day 7 to reach maximal levels on day 12 (day effect, P = .002), with greater AKR1C3 messenger RNA expression in PCOS than control stem cells (PCOS effect, P = .016; interaction, P = .137) (Fig. 6). Lipid accumulation also rose from day 3 to day 12 (day effect, P = .003), with greater lipid content in PCOS then control stem cells (PCOS effect, P = .009; interaction, P = .068) (Fig. 6). In PCOS women, the lowest and highest preadipocyte AKR1C3 gene expressions corresponded with the lowest and highest serum T/A4 ratios, respectively (R = 0.98), N = 3; in controls, this relationship between preadipocyte AKR1C3 gene expression and serum T/A4 ratio was not evident (R = 0.46, N = 3). Statistical testing (P values) were not performed because of the small sample size, but these results are suggestive.

Discussion

Adipose-specific AKR1C enzymes control body fat distribution in humans, with AKR1C3 expression being greater in SC than intra-abdominal adipose [6, 7]. In overweight/ obese women with PCOS, increased AKR1C3-mediated T generation in SC abdominal adipose promotes lipid storage through increased lipogenesis and decreased lipolysis, implicating increased intra-adipose AKR1C3 activity with metabolic function in PCOS [8]. Our present findings reaffirm these prior results by showing that an elevated serum total T to A4 ratio, as a marker of SC-enhanced abdominal adipose AKR1C3 activity, in normal-weight women with PCOS accompanies *AKR1C3* overexpression in their SC abdominal stem cells during adipocyte maturation in vitro.

An elevated serum total T to A4 ratio, as a marker of enhanced AKR1C3 activity in SC abdominal adipose in our PCOS women, offers a link between androgen inhibition of catecholamine-stimulated lipolysis in SC abdominal adipose [29] and catecholamine lipolytic resistance as reported in other PCOS women [30-32]. Such a mechanism in PCOS to promote SC lipid storage could lower circulating FFA levels via lipolytic suppression to diminish hepatic glucose production, according to the "single gateway hypothesis" [33], and thereby counterbalance increased adipose-IR to reduce hepatic fat content through decreased ectopic fat deposition [15, 16]. At a cellular level, therefore, SC abdominal adipocytes of PCOS women could simultaneously maintain lipid storage through acetyl-CoA carboxylase (as a crucial enzyme governing lipid metabolism) despite diminished glucose uptake from androgen inhibition of PKC zeta phosphorylation [34] and/or reduced GLUT-4 expression [35].

In support of this concept, the elevated serum total T/A4 ratio in normal-weight PCOS women negatively



Figure 6. A, *AKR1C3* messenger RNA levels, and B, lipid accumulation in polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) (N = 3) vs control (N = 3) subcutaneous (SC) abdominal stem cells during adipocyte maturation in vitro. These 3 PCOS and 3 age-body mass index–matched control women were selected from the present cohort based on their previously determined SC abdominal stem cell characteristics of enhanced lipid accumulation and *PPAR*_Y as well as *CEBPa* overexpression during adipogenesis in vitro [13, 25]. Mean \pm SD (day effect: **P* < .005; PCOS effect: ¶*P* < .025; ¶¶*P* < .01).

correlated with log serum TG levels and TG index values, and remained so after adjusting for serum free T. These correlations coincide with our previous findings in normalweight PCOS women of enhanced lipid accumulation in SC abdominal ASCs during adipocyte maturation in vitro that both positively correlates with hyperandrogenemia and predicts reduced serum FFA levels and improves systemic insulin sensitivity in vivo [13, 14]. That such PCOS ASC behavior accompanies *AKR1C3* overexpression during its normal rise during adipocyte maturation in vitro [36] suggests that reduced SC abdominal TG turnover in normalweight PCOS women favors Si, given that increased TG turnover in this adipose depot accompanies IR, preferential intra-abdominal fat accumulation, and fatty liver in obese girls [11, 12].

Importantly, *AKR1C3* gene expression and activity are greater in preadipocytes and adipose of gluteal compared to omental fat, with gluteal fat favoring androgen activation, and omental cells favoring androgen inactivation [7]. These differential actions of AKR1C3-mediated androgen activation by fat depot, combined with a positive association of hyperandrogenemia with intra-abdominal fat accumulation in normal-weight PCOS women [2], likely obscured any relationship of serum total T/A4 ratio with android to gynoid fat mass ratio in PCOS individuals.

Unlike the findings in women with PCOS, the serum total T/A4 ratio in controls positively correlated with serum adiponectin levels. As an insulin-sensitizing adipokine with higher expression in SC abdominal than omental fat [37], circulating adiponectin levels in humans suppress hepatic glucose production independent of glucose tolerance [38], presumably through inhibition of gluconeogenic enzyme expression as seen in mice [39, 40]. Adiponectin actions on hepatic function in controls may also underlie the other positive correlations of the serum total T to A4 ratio with SHBG and HDL levels [41]. In humans, serum adiponectin levels positively correlate with plasma HDL cholesterol levels [41] by inducing apo-AI and adenosine 5'-triphosphate-binding cassette transporter A1 during HDL assembly [42]; they also positively correlate with serum SHBG levels [43], likely though adiponectin-induced SHBG production, as seen in HepG2 cells [44]. Moreover, HDL induces DHEAS production in adrenocorticotropinstimulated adult human adrenocortical cells in vitro [45], underlying the positive relationship of serum total T to A4 ratio with serum DHEAS level.

An important strength of this study was the use of healthy, normal-weight PCOS women according to NIH criteria who had a mild PCOS phenotype [46, 47] and were age- and BMI-matched to controls to eliminate the confounding effects of age, obesity, and referral bias on metabolic outcomes [15, 46-48], including Si [49, 50]. Our experimental design also allowed us to study the relationship of the serum total T to A4 ratio, as a marker of SC abdominal AKR1C3 activity, with metabolic outcomes in PCOS women with both high-normal HOMA-IR levels and low-normal Si values, adjusting for the confounding effects of circulating free T levels on glucose and lipid metabolism.

An important limitation of our study, however, was the use of serum, rather than adipose, to measure the total T to A4 ratio as a marker of SC abdominal AKR1C3 activity, which may have led to underestimations in our findings, given that the elevation of this ratio is greater in the adipose than blood of PCOS women [8]. The small number of PCOS patients also diminished the statistical power to examine subtle interactions between various adipokines and clinical outcomes in vivo, and limited the applicability of our data to women of different PCOS phenotypes, ethnicity, adiposity, or age. Moreover, other AKR1C enzymes and hepatic insulin actions as well as their interactions with other steroids were not assessed and could have influenced the interactions examined. Also, we did not assess the role of TG catabolism, the effect of AKR1C3 on 11-oxygenated androgen synthesis of adrenal origin [51], nor the circulating levels of 11-oxygenated androgen, which are elevated in overweight/obese women with PCOS [52]. Finally, ARK1C3 overexpression in SC abdominal adipose PCOS stem cells was based on their enhanced cellular lipid accumulation and PPAR γ as well as CEBP α overexpression during adipocyte maturation in vitro [13, 25], which may not apply to similar stem cells from other women with PCOS.

Our findings nevertheless suggest that, in healthy, normal-weight PCOS women, an elevated serum total T/ A4 ratio as a marker of enhanced AKR1C3 activity in SC abdominal adipose favors lipid storage. Such a metabolic adaptation in normal-weight PCOS women with lownormal Si may simultaneously enhance SC fat storage and increase circulating glucose availability as energy substrate for crucial target tissues, including brain and muscle.

Acknowledgments

We thank Karla Largaespada for subject recruitment strategies and administrative responsibilities that were crucial for the success of these studies.

Financial Support: This work was supported by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health & Human Development, National Institutes of Health (NIH; grant Nos. P50HD071836 and P51ODO11092 for the Endocrine Technologies Support Core [ETSC] through the Oregon National Primate Research Center); statistical analyses by the NIH National Center for Advancing Translational Science (NCATS) UCLA CTSI (grant No. UL1TR001881); and the Santa Monica Bay Woman's Club. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Additional Information

Correspondence: Daniel A. Dumesic, MD, Department Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California, Los Angeles, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, 10833 Le Conte Ave, Rm 22-178 CHS, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA. Email: ddumesic@mednet.ucla.edu.

Disclosures: D.A.D. has consulted for Spruce Biosciences, Inc. A.T., M.M., R.N., G.L., G.D.C., T.R.G., and D.H.A have nothing to disclose.

Data Availability: Some or all data sets generated during and/or analyzed during the present study are not publicly available but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- Dumesic DA, Oberfield SE, Stener-Victorin E, Marshall JC, Laven JS, Legro RS. Scientific statement on the diagnostic criteria, epidemiology, pathophysiology, and molecular genetics of polycystic ovary syndrome. *Endocr Rev.* 2015;36(5):487-525.
- Dumesic DA, Akopians AL, Madrigal VK, et al. Hyperandrogenism accompanies increased intra-abdominal fat storage in normal weight polycystic ovary syndrome women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016;101(11):4178-4188.
- Dumesic DA, Phan JD, Leung KL, et al. Adipose insulin resistance in normal-weight women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2019;104(6):2171-2183.
- Moran LJ, Misso ML, Wild RA, Norman RJ. Impaired glucose tolerance, type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome in polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2010;16(4):347-363.
- Ostinelli G, Vijay J, Vohl MC, Grundberg E, Tchernof A. AKR1C2 and AKR1C3 expression in adipose tissue: association with body fat distribution and regulatory variants. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2021;527:111220.
- Blouin K, Veilleux A, Luu-The V, Tchernof A. Androgen metabolism in adipose tissue: recent advances. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2009;301(1-2):97-103.
- Quinkler M, Sinha B, Tomlinson JW, Bujalska IJ, Stewart PM, Arlt W. Androgen generation in adipose tissue in women with simple obesity—a site-specific role for 17beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 5. J Endocrinol. 2004;183(2):331-342.
- O'Reilly MW, Kempegowda P, Walsh M, et al. AKR1C3mediated adipose androgen generation drives lipotoxicity in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102(9):3327-3339.
- McLaughlin T, Lamendola C, Liu A, Abbasi F. Preferential fat deposition in subcutaneous versus visceral depots is associated with insulin sensitivity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96(11):E1756-E1760.
- Saponaro C, Gaggini M, Carli F, Gastaldelli A. The subtle balance between lipolysis and lipogenesis: a critical point in metabolic homeostasis. *Nutrients*. 2015;7(11):9453-9474.
- 11. Nouws J, Fitch M, Mata M, et al. Altered in vivo lipid fluxes and cell dynamics in subcutaneous adipose tissues are associated with the unfavorable pattern of fat distribution in obese adolescent girls. *Diabetes*. 2019;68(6):1168-1177.
- 12. Umano GR, Shabanova V, Pierpont B, et al. A low visceral fat proportion, independent of total body fat mass, protects obese

adolescent girls against fatty liver and glucose dysregulation: a longitudinal study. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2019;43(4):673-682.

- Fisch SC, Nikou AF, Wright EA, et al. Precocious subcutaneous abdominal stem cell development to adipocytes in normalweight women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril*. 2018;110(7):1367-1376.
- Dumesic DA, Tulberg A, Leung KL, et al. Accelerated subcutaneous abdominal stem cell adipogenesis predicts insulin sensitivity in normal-weight women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril*. 2021;116(1):232-242.
- Søndergaard E, Espinosa De Ycaza AE, Morgan-Bathke M, Jensen MD. How to measure adipose tissue insulin sensitivity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102(4):1193-1199.
- Gastaldelli A, Harrison SA, Belfort-Aguilar R, et al. Importance of changes in adipose tissue insulin resistance to histological response during thiazolidinedione treatment of patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology*. 2009;50(4):1087-1093.
- Steil GM, Volund A, Kahn SE, Bergman RN. Reduced sample number for calculation of insulin sensitivity and glucose effectiveness from the minimal model. Suitability for use in population studies. *Diabetes*. 1993;42(2):250-256.
- 18. RRID:AB_2893035. http://antibodyregistry.org/AB_2893035
- 19. RRID:AB_2756877. http://antibodyregistry.org/AB_2756877
- 20. RRID:AB_2800498. http://antibodyregistry.org/AB_2800498
- 21. RRID:AB_2800499. http://antibodyregistry.org/AB_2800499
- 22. RRID:AB_2893079. http://antibodyregistry.org/AB_2893079
- 23. RRID:AB_2892778. http://antibodyregistry.org/AB_2892778
- 24. RRID:AB_2756879. http://antibodyregistry.org/AB_2756879
- 25. Leung KL, Sanchita S, Pham CT, et al. Dynamic changes in chromatin accessibility, altered adipogenic gene expression, and total versus de novo fatty acid synthesis in subcutaneous adipose stem cells of normal-weight polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) women during adipogenesis: evidence of cellular programming. *Clin Epigenetics*. 2020;**12**(1):181.
- Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ. Biometry, the Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research. 3rd ed. WH Freeman and Co; 1995.
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 2012;9(4):357-359.
- Li B, Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2011;12:323.
- Dicker A, Rydén M, Näslund E, et al. Effect of testosterone on lipolysis in human pre-adipocytes from different fat depots. *Diabetologia*. 2004;47(3):420-428.
- Arner P. Effects of testosterone on fat cell lipolysis. Species differences and possible role in polycystic ovarian syndrome. *Biochimie*. 2005;87(1):39-43.
- Faulds G, Rydén M, Ek I, Wahrenberg H, Arner P. Mechanisms behind lipolytic catecholamine resistance of subcutaneous fat cells in the polycystic ovarian syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2003;88(5):2269-2273.
- 32. Ek I, Arner P, Bergqvist A, Carlström K, Wahrenberg H. Impaired adipocyte lipolysis in nonobese women with the polycystic ovary syndrome: a possible link to insulin resistance? J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1997;82(4):1147-1153.
- Bergman RN, Iyer MS. Indirect regulation of endogenous glucose production by insulin: the single gateway hypothesis revisited. *Diabetes*. 2017;66(7):1742-1747.

- Corbould A. Chronic testosterone treatment induces selective insulin resistance in subcutaneous adipocytes of women. J Endocrinol. 2007;192(3):585-594.
- Rosenbaum D, Haber RS, Dunaif A. Insulin resistance in polycystic ovary syndrome: decreased expression of GLUT-4 glucose transporters in adipocytes. *Am J Physiol.* 1993;264(2 Pt 1): E197-E202.
- Blouin K, Nadeau M, Mailloux J, et al. Pathways of adipose tissue androgen metabolism in women: depot differences and modulation by adipogenesis. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2009;296(2):E244-E255.
- Vardhana PA, Dicken C, Tortoriello DV, Chu M, Carmina E, Lobo RA. Increasing adiposity in normal ovulatory women affects adipocytokine expression in subcutaneous and visceral abdominal fat. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2009;104(2):121-124.
- Stefan N, Stumvoll M, Vozarova B, et al. Plasma adiponectin and endogenous glucose production in humans. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26(12):3315-3319.
- Trujillo ME, Scherer PE. Adiponectin—journey from an adipocyte secretory protein to biomarker of the metabolic syndrome. *J Intern Med.* 2005;257(2):167-175.
- Combs TP, Berg AH, Obici S, Scherer PE, Rossetti L. Endogenous glucose production is inhibited by the adipose-derived protein Acrp30. *J Clin Invest*. 2001;108(12):1875-1881.
- 41. Tschritter O, Fritsche A, Thamer C, et al. Plasma adiponectin concentrations predict insulin sensitivity of both glucose and lipid metabolism. *Diabetes*. 2003;52(2):239-243.
- Yanai H, Yoshida H. Beneficial effects of adiponectin on glucose and lipid metabolism and atherosclerotic progression: mechanisms and perspectives. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019;20(5):1190.
- Yasui T, Tomita J, Miyatani Y, et al. Associations of adiponectin with sex hormone-binding globulin levels in aging male and female populations. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2007;386(1-2):69-75.

- Simó R, Saez-Lopez C, Lecube A, Hernandez C, Fort JM, Selva DM. Adiponectin upregulates SHBG production: molecular mechanisms and potential implications. *Endocrinology*. 2014;155(8):2820-2830.
- 45. Higashijima M, Nawata H, Kato K, Ibayashi H. Studies on lipoprotein and adrenal steroidogenesis: I. Roles of low density lipoprotein- and high density lipoprotein-cholesterol in steroid production in cultured human adrenocortical cells. *Endocrinol* Jpn. 1987;34(5):635-645.
- Ezeh U, Yildiz BO, Azziz R. Referral bias in defining the phenotype and prevalence of obesity in polycystic ovary syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013;98(6):E1088-E1096.
- 47. Mumusoglu S, Yidiz BO. Polycystic ovary syndrome phenotypes and prevalence: differential impact of diagnostic criteria and clinical versus unselected population. *Curr Opin Endocr Metab Res.* 2020;12:66-71.
- Hershkop K, Besor O, Santoro N, Pierpont B, Caprio S, Weiss R. Adipose insulin resistance in obese adolescents across the spectrum of glucose tolerance. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;101(6):2423-2431.
- Kakoly NS, Khomami MB, Joham AE, et al. Ethnicity, obesity and the prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes in PCOS: a systematic review and meta-regression. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2018;24(4):455-467.
- Palaniappan LP, Carnethon MR, Fortmann SP. Heterogeneity in the relationship between ethnicity, BMI, and fasting insulin. *Diabetes Care*. 2002;25(8):1351-1357.
- Nakamura Y, Hornsby PJ, Casson P, et al. Type 5 17betahydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (AKR1C3) contributes to testosterone production in the adrenal reticularis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2009;94(6):2192-2198.
- 52. O'Reilly MW, Kempegowda P, Jenkinson C, et al. 11-Oxygenated C19 steroids are the predominant androgens in polycystic ovary syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102(3):840-848.