Orthodontic treatment in periodontitis-susceptible subjects: a systematic literature review

Egle Zasciurinskiene^{1,2}, Rune Lindsten^{2,3}, Christer Slotte^{4,5} & Krister Bjerklin³

¹Department of Orthodontics, Medical Academy, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Kaunas, Lithuania

³Department of Orthodontics, Institute for Postgraduate Dental Education, Jönköping, Sweden

⁴Department of Periodontology, Institute for Postgraduate Dental Education, Jönköping, Sweden

⁵Department of Biomaterials, Institute for Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Göteborg, Sweden

Keywords

Alveolar bone loss, chronic periodontitis, humans, orthodontic tooth movement, periodontal pocket depth, periodontal treatment.

Correspondence

Egle Zasciurinskiene, Department of Orthodontics, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Luksos-Daumanto 6, LT-50106, Kaunas, Lithuania. E-mail: eglezas@gmail.com

Received: 30 December 2015; Revised: 21 February 2016; Accepted: 2 March 2016

doi: 10.1002/cre2.28

Abstract

The aim is to evaluate the literature for clinical scientific data on possible effects of orthodontic treatment on periodontal status in periodontitis-susceptible subjects. A systematic literature review was performed on studies in English using PubMed, MEDLINE, and Cochrane Library central databases (1965-2014). By manually searching reference lists of selected studies, we identified additional articles; then we searched these publications: Journal of Periodontology, Periodontology 2000, Journal of Clinical Periodontology, American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Angle Orthodontist, International Journal of Periodontics & Restorative Dentistry, and European Journal of Orthodontics. Search terms included randomized clinical trials, controlled clinical trials, prospective and retrospective clinical studies, case series >5 patients, periodontitis, orthodontics, alveolar bone loss, tooth migration, tooth movement, orthodontic extrusion, and orthodontic intrusion. Only studies on orthodontic treatment in periodontally compromised dentitions were included. One randomized controlled clinical trial, one controlled clinical trial, and 12 clinical studies were included. No evidence currently exists from controlled studies and randomized controlled clinical trials, which shows that orthodontic treatment improves or aggravates the status of periodontally compromised dentitions.

Introduction

Periodontitis is a polymicrobial infection that results in a destructive host response to the supporting apparatus of the dentition (Nishihara and Koseki, 2004). General, behavioral, genetic, and environmental risk factors (e.g., smoking) modify the immunoinflammatory response, which results in more severe periodontal destruction (Page and Kornman, 1997; Kornman, 2008). Local risk factors are associated with wors-ened prognosis of periodontally involved teeth (Hallmon, 1999; Harrel, 2003; Harrel *et al.*, 2006; Harrel and Nunn, 2009).

Chronic periodontitis treatment is complex. Despite new modifications in recent years, supragingival and subgingival deposit and bacterial biofilm removal (through scaling and root planing) are the gold standard of chronic periodontitis treatment (Sanz *et al.*, 2012; Plessas, 2014) that follows the mandatory supragingival plaque control.

Periodontal complications and posterior tooth loss may lead to posterior-occlusion collapse and vertical-dimension reduction – often causing proclination, spacing, and overeruption of anterior teeth. Changes in tooth position may complicate plaque control, traumatize periodontium, and lead to unsatisfactory esthetics and function (Johal and Ide, 1999). Research supporting occlusal interventions as adjunctive treatment of periodontitis in adults is scarce and leads to the conclusion that no evidence is present for or against use of occlusal interventions in clinical practice (Weston *et al.*, 2008).

To test effects of orthodontic tooth movement on reduced periodontium, several experimental animal studies were published. Ericsson *et al.* (1977, 1978) studied orthodontic tooth movement in dogs and concluded that healthy and inflamed periodontal tissues react differently. Movement of teeth – when having reduced but healthy periodontium – did not cause additional attachment loss (Ericsson *et al.*, 1978). Also, mesial movement into infrabony defects in rats (Vardimon *et al.*, 2001; Nemcovsky *et al.*, 2004, 2007) and intrusion movement in monkeys (Melsen *et al.*, 1988; Melsen, 2001), and extrusion movement in dogs (van Venrooy and

162 ©2016 The Authors. *Clinical and Experimental Dental Research* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

²School of Health Sciences, Jönköping University, Jönköping, Sweden

Yukna, 1985), were performed without additional loss of periodontal support, provided oral hygiene was maintained. On the contrary, orthodontic movement of teeth with inflamed infrabony pockets was found to increase loss of connective tissue attachment (Ericsson *et al.*, 1977; Wennstrom *et al.*, 1987; Melsen, 2001).

Findings in animal studies with experimentally induced periodontal disease cannot be easily extrapolated to human conditions because natural periodontal destruction is unknown in monkeys and it occurs in much older dogs than those used in the studies. Attachment loss in humans occurs relatively slowly over a much longer time (Harrel *et al.*, 2006), and underlying modifying host responses possibly influence it. Hence, orthodontic treatment of occlusal discrepancies in chronic periodontal disease cases remains controversial.

The aim of this systematic literature review was to identify data on possible effects of orthodontic treatment on periodontal status in periodontitis-susceptible subjects.

Null hypothesis: no evidence-based studies are available on the effect of orthodontic therapy on patients with a history of chronic periodontitis.

Material and methods

We systematically reviewed the literature, based on the PRISMA statement (Liberati *et al.*, 2009), and developed a protocol to describe the population, intervention, comparison, and outcomes format (Richardson *et al.*, 1995). *Types of participants*: Only studies on treatment of adult patients with a periodontal disease history were included. *Types of intervention*: We limited the review to studies that assess changes in periodontal tissues when periodontal and orthodontic treatment was administered in patients with periodontily susceptible subjects, who received various orthodontic interventions, were compared with periodontally healthy subjects. *Outcome measures*: Changes in periodontal pocket depth (PPD), clinical crown height (CCH), bleeding on probing, alveolar bone level, and root resorption.

Literature search strategy

We conducted a detailed search (the 1965–June 2014 period) using the PubMed, MEDLINE, and Cochrane Library central databases. In addition, these journals were searched: *Journal of Periodontology*, *Periodontology 2000*, *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, *Angle Orthodontist*, *International Journal of Periodontics* & *Restorative Dentistry*, and *European Journal of Orthodontics*. A librarian at the Lithuanian University of Health Sciences assisted in developing a search strategy.

Eligibility criteria: Table 1 lists predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. *Search string*: Tables 2 and 3 show the search strategy for the PubMed and MEDLINE search engines with medical subheadings. *Manual searching*: Reference lists of selected articles were studied.

Screening and selection

Reading study titles enabled attainment of the initial number of identified records (via electronic searches). Three authors of the present review (E. Zasciurinskiene, R. Lindsten, and C. Slotte) independently selected titles to obtain the studies' abstracts. As per inclusion criteria, they independently assessed eligibility of selected abstracts in an unblinded manner. Studies were excluded using eligibility criteria, namely, researchers' conclusions and type of study, participants, intervention, and outcome. Full texts of relevant studies were retrieved.

Data extraction

Three authors of the present review (E. Zasciurinskiene, R. Lindsten, and C. Slotte) performed data extraction. These characteristics of included studies were identified for reporting; see Table 4.

- 1 General characteristics: year of study.
- 2 Population studied: adults with chronic periodontal disease.
- 3 Study design: sample size, teeth tested, and presence of periodontally healthy controls. For random clinical trials, allocation method, allocation concealment, blinding, and comparative group characteristics.

Table 1. Systematic literature review analysis inclusion criteria for clinical studies.

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Randomized controlled clinical trials	Case reports or series ≤5 patients
Prospective controlled clinical trials	Animal studies
Prospective cohort studies	Review papers and abstracts
Retrospective cohort studies	Reports
Case series >5 patients	Letters to the editor
English language	Conference abstracts
	Articles with no periodontal disease history Articles with aggressive periodontitis cases

Table 2. Search words and phrases and number of articles found	d.
----------------------------------------------------------------	----

	Search words and phrases	No. of articles
1	("periodontitis"[MeSH]) AND "orthodontics"[MeSH]	447
2	("alveolar bone loss"[MeSH]) AND "orthodontics, corrective"[MeSH]	303
3	("tooth migration" [MeSH]) AND "orthodontics, corrective" [MeSH]	322
4	("tooth movement"[MeSH]) AND "alveolar bone loss"[MeSH]	150
5	"alveolar bone loss"[MeSH] AND ("orthodontic extrusion"[MeSH Terms])	29
	OR ("orthodontic"[All Fields] AND "extrusion"[All Fields]) OR ("orthodontic extrusion"[All Fields])	
6	"alveolar bone loss "[MeSH] AND ("orthodontic intrusion"[MeSH Terms]) OR ("orthodontic"[All Fields] AND "intrusion"[All Fields]) OR ("orthodontic intrusion"[All Fields])	12
7	1 AND 2	80
8	2 AND 3	18

Table 3. Search string for journals in MEDLINE and number of articles found.

	String used in MEDLINE	No. of articles
1	"J Periodontol" [Journal] AND orthodontics AND periodontitis	29
2	"J Periodontol" [Journal] AND orthodontics AND alveolar bone loss	27
3	"J Clin Periodontol" [Journal] AND orthodontics AND periodontitis	21
4	"J Clin Periodontol" [Journal] AND orthodontics AND alveolar bone loss	12
5	"Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop" [Journal] AND orthodontics AND periodontitis	43
6	"Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop" [Journal] AND orthodontics AND alveolar bone loss	87
7	"Angle Orthod"[Journal] AND orthodontics AND periodontitis	20
8	"Angle Orthod" [Journal] AND orthodontics AND alveolar bone loss	28
9	"Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent" [Journal] AND orthodontics AND periodontitis	15
10	"Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent" [Journal] AND orthodontics AND alveolar bone loss	18
11	"Eur J Orthod" [Journal] AND orthodontics AND periodontitis	12
12	"Eur J Orthod" [Journal] AND orthodontics AND alveolar bone loss	21
13	"Periodontol 2000"[Journal] AND orthodontics	13

- 4 Character of intervention, that is, type of periodontal intervention, orthodontic appliances, and movements.
- 5 Outcomes measured: change in CCH, mean probing depth change, proximal bone level change, and adverse effects such as root resorption.
- 6 Clinical conclusions.

Quality assessment

Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale

Two authors (Egle Zasciurinskiene and Rune Lindsten) assessed the methodological quality of selected articles using a Newcastle–Ottawa scale for case–control and cohort studies (Wells *et al.*, 2001). After filling in each score sheet, they provided a total assessment of the quality of the reviewed article. The *star* system was applied to each study; it is based on these items (Table 5):

• Selection (i.e., study groups that represented periodontal disease parameters and control groups without periodontally involved adults): maximum of four stars.

- Comparability (comparability of cases and controls as per the study design or analysis): maximum of two stars.
- Exposure of interest (i.e., changes in periodontal parameters): maximum of three stars.
- Statistical analysis (statistical analysis and unit of analysis validities): maximum of two stars.

Studies with 9–11 stars were considered to have high methodological quality; 6–8 stars, medium quality; and less than six stars, low quality. Methodological quality for randomized controlled clinical trials (RCTs) was assessed as described in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins and Green, 2011).

To reach consensus, any conflicts among the authors were resolved via discussion of each study.

Results

The PubMed and MEDLINE searches yielded 1361 article titles; 346 article titles appeared in MEDLINE-indexed journals. From 113 articles found in the Cochrane Library

Reference	Study design	Participants (test patients and teeth)	Type of orthodontic appliances and movement	Periodontal intervention, before orthodontic treatment	Change in clinical crown height	Change in mean PPD before-after orthodontic treatment	Proximal bone level changes before-after orthodontic treatment	Root resorption	Clinical conclusion of orthodontic tooth movement impact on periodontall involved teeth
Eliasson <i>et al.</i> , 1982	Clinical observational	20 adults Test: 71 maxillary incisors Controls: 40 not moved canines or first premolars	Removable appliances Tipping movement	Supragingival and subgingival scaling before Perio-surgery after	- WN	NC ¹	NC in 52% Reduced in 30% Improved in 18%	No root resorption	No significant loss of attachment occurred; did not affect periodontal tissue status
Artun and Urbye, 1988	Retrospective dinical	24 adults Test: maxillary anterior teeth Controls: not moved teeth in opposite jaw	Fixed appliances Bodily movement	Scaling, root planing before Surgery after	¥	ž	Bone loss In test teeth 4.94% In control teeth 2.69% Bone gain 7 sites in test 13 sites in controls	20 teeth in 11 patients	Loss of periodontal bone support may occur.
Boyd <i>et al.</i> , 1989	Comparative dinical	10 perio adults 10 non-perio adults Test: All teeth Controls: All teeth of 20 adolescents	Fixed appliances Bodily movement	Root planing before Flap surgery before	ž	⁻ QN	M	Σz	No loss of attachment, if reduced but healthyperiodontium; not healthy periodontally involved teeth may experience periodontal breakdown and tooth loss
Melsen <i>et al.,</i> 1989	Clinical	30 adultsTest: Maxillary anterior	Four types of fixed appliances Intrusion	Curettage before 50% needed surgery 1 week	1.08 mm reduction	PPD increase of about 3 mm on lingual surfaces	Unaltered or increased in 19 from 30 cases	All cases had root resorption 1–3 mm	In most cases, beneficial effect on periodontal condition at clinical

Table 4. Summarized data of the 14 studies that fulfilled inclusion criteria.

Table 4 (Contin	ued)								
Reference	Study design	Participants (test patients and teeth)	Type of orthodontic appliances and movement	Periodontal intervention, before orthodontic treatment	Change in clinical crown height	Change in mean PPD before–after orthodontic treatment	Proximal bone level changes before-after orthodontic treatment	Root resorption	Clinical conclusion of orthodontic tooth movement impact on periodontall involved teeth
		teeth No controls		before 5 patient needed surgery					and radiographic level
Burch <i>et al.</i> , 1992	Retrospective clinical	16 adults Test: 20 mandibular molars No controls	Limited fixed appliances Uprighting	nuer Not described	Ę	Increased in 35%, decreased in 7.5%, no change in 57.5%	60% mesial bone loss	Ę	About 50% of furcation areas became more severe; loss of attachment mesially due to extrusion of mesial root. Light forces with intrusive component are
Re <i>et al.</i> , 2000	Retrospective clinical	267 adults Test: maxillary anterior teeth- surgery group Controls: maxillary anterior teeth-non surgery group	Fixed appliances Intrusion	129 perio- surgery 128 non surgical 1 week before	Ę	Reduced 2.97 ± 0.78 mm ND between groups.	ž	Ę	recommended. Combination of orthodontic intrusion and periodontal treatment improved condition with reduced periodontal
Melsen, 2001	Prospective clinical	30 adults Test: 4 maxillary incisors No controls	Fixed appliances Intrusion with proclination or retroclination	Widman flap surgery before	Reduced in 28 subjects	Ę	Increased in 25 subjects	Ę	support. Tissue reaction depended on perio-status of the teeth. Intrusion improved perio-status of healthy periodontium.
									(Continues)

Reference	Study design	Participants (test patients and teeth)	Type of orthodontic appliances and movement	Periodontal intervention, before orthodontic treatment	Change in clinical crown height	Change in mean PPD before-after orthodontic treatment	Proximal bone level changes before-after orthodontic treatment	Root resorption	Clinical conclusion of orthodontic tooth movement impact on periodontall involved teeth
Cardaropoli et al., 2001 ²	Clinical	10 adults Test: Maxillary incisors No controls	Fixed appliances Intrusion	Open flap surgery 7–10 days before	Reduction 1.05 ± 0.5 mm	Reduced by 4.35 ± 0.42 mm	Reduction of bone defect by 4.36 mm	No root resorption	Intrusion of maxillary incisors after surgery may be a reliable method in patients with extrusion and the presence of angular bony
Corrente <i>et al.</i> , 2003 ²	Clinical	10 adults Test: maxillary incisors No controls	Fixed appliances Intrusion	Open flap surgery 7–10 days before	C AL gain 5.50 ± 1.75 mm	Reduction of 4.35 ± 1.33 mm	Bone fill of 1.35 ± 0.75 mm vertically 1.40 ± 0.88 mm horizontally	No root resorption	ucreact. Combined orthodontic- periodontic treatment resulted in radiological bone fill, CAL gain, PPD, and recession
Re <i>et al.,</i> 2004 ³	Clinical	28 adults Test: maxillary incisors No controls	Fixed appliances Intrusion	Open flap surgery 7–10 days before	Reduction by 1.71 mm mesially 0.96 mm	Mesial PPD reduced by 4.29 mm	ž	ž	Positive outcome of parameters examined
Cardaropoli et al., 2004 ³	Clinical	28 adults Test: maxillary incisors No controls	Fixed appliances Intrusion	Open flap surgery 7–10 days before	Reduction by 1.71 mm mesially 0.96 mm buccally	Reduced by 4.29 mm	ž	Ž	Midline papilla reconstruction was positive in 82% of treated patients and favored
Ghezzi e <i>t al.,</i> 2008	Comparative clinical	14 adults Test: maxillary	Fixed appliances Intrusion and	GTR procedure EMD for three-wall	N.	PPD reduction by 5.57 mm, 1 year after	MN	WN	esureucs. General improvement of PPD. CAL. and (Continues)

Table 4 (Continued)

Reference	Study design	Participants (test patients and teeth)	Type of orthodontic appliances and movement	Periodontal intervention, before orthodontic treatment	Change in clinical crown height	Change in mean PPD before-after orthodontic treatment	Proximal bone level changes before-after orthodontic treatment	Root resorption	conclusion of orthodontic tooth movement impact on periodontall involved teeth
		incisors No controls	bodily movement	defects. Bone graft for one-wall and/or two-wall defect 1 year before		GTR. Additionally reduced by 0.07 mm after orthodontic treatment			esthetic parameters occurred. Papilla enhancement in nine of 14 patients.
Ogihara and Wang, 2010	Randomized parallel clinical trial	47 adults Test: ortho txEMD/DFDBA (<i>n</i> = 24) Controls: EMD/DFDBA (<i>n</i> = 23)	Segmentally fixed appliances Extrusion	Perio-surgery + EMD/DFDBA 4 weeks before	WN	Both groups PPD reduction	Gain in both groups for the two-wall defect sites	WN	Both treatments EMD/DFDBA and Ortho/EMD/DFDBA were effective.
Attia <i>et al.</i> , 2012	Controlled dinical trial	I gr-5-ortho tx immediately after surgery; II gr-5-ortho tx 2 months after surgery C ontrols: 5 - surgery without ortho tx	Segmented arch technique Towards bony defect	Scaling root planing before + flap surgery filled with bio- glass and collagen membrane before	Q	PPD reductionall groups	Significantly increased in all groups	ž	Combined orthodontic regenerative therapy resulted in favorable clinical and radiographic outcomes

Orthodontics in Periodontal Patients

168

Table 4 (Continued)

 1 NM, not measured; ND, no difference; NC, no change. 2 These two studies used the same patient material. 3 These two studies used the same patient material.

Tab	le 5.	The method	dological	quality	evaluation	of inc	luded stud	ies.
-----	-------	------------	-----------	---------	------------	--------	------------	------

Reference	Selection	Comparability	Exposure	Statistics	Sum
Eliasson <i>et al.</i> , 1982	**	_	*	**	5
Artun and Urbye, 1988	-	_	*	*	2
Boyd et al., 1989	**	*	*	*	5
Melsen <i>et al.</i> , 1989	*	_	*	-	2
Burch <i>et al</i> ., 1992	**	_	*	-	3
Re <i>et al.</i> , 2000	**	_	*	*	5
Melsen, 2001	*	_	*	-	2
Cardaropoli <i>et al</i> ., 2001	**	_	*	*	4
Corrente et al., 2003	**	_	*	*	4
Re <i>et al.</i> , 2004	**	_	*	**	5
Cardaropoli <i>et al.</i> , 2004	**	_	*	**	5
Ghezzi <i>et al.</i> , 2008	**	_	*	*	4
Attia <i>et al.</i> , 2012	* *	_	*	*	4

central database, only 12 matched inclusion criteria. Two articles of the 12 were identified for inclusion in the review (these also came up in the PubMed–MEDLINE search).

Figure 1 illustrates the search process. The search strategy resulted in 1820 article titles. After combining the aforementioned results with medical subheadings results (from screening titles and removing duplicates), we excluded 1726 titles and selected 94 studies for further evaluation. When evaluating abstracts of the selected 94 studies (as per inclusion and exclusion criteria), the aforementioned reviewers determined that 13 studies (plus three additional manually searched studies, identified via manual searches of reference lists in selected articles) were relevant for the present review.

In total, 81 studies were excluded (as per exclusion criteria) after screening the abstracts. Figure 1 contains reasons for exclusion. Full texts of the 16 relevant studies were retrieved. After reading these 16 articles, the Panwar *et al.* (2014) study was excluded because of (i) unclear information about applied periodontal and orthodontic therapies and (ii) lack of relevant, measured periodontal parameters. After discussion among the aforementioned authors, the Khorsand *et al.* (2013) study was also excluded because only aggressive periodontitis cases were studied. Consequently, 14 full-text articles were included for final evaluation; see Table 4.

Reviewed studies' designs and treatment methods

Only one study was an RCT (Ogihara and Wang, 2010), and one was a controlled clinical trial (Attia *et al.*, 2012). The RCT investigated the effect of segmented orthodontics, combined with reconstructive surgery, on premolar or molar teeth with two-wall or three-wall infrabony defects. The controlled clinical trial studied effectiveness of different timing for initiating active orthodontic treatment after surgical reconstructive procedures, when treating infrabony defects. Nine studies were prospective studies, and three were retrospective clinical studies.

All 14 studies investigated periodontal changes during orthodontic treatment in periodontally compromised dentitions; Table 4 summarizes these studies.

In 10 clinical studies, periodontal surgery was performed before orthodontic treatment (three of these studies used guided tissue regeneration). Eliasson *et al.* (1982) and Artun and Urbye (1988), however, performed corrective periodontal surgery after orthodontic treatment. The molar uprighting study (Burch *et al.*, 1992) did not describe periodontal treatment. The Attia *et al.* (2012) study reported that no significant difference occurred in PPD reduction among groups that were assigned various timings for the start of orthodontic treatment after periodontal regeneration; note: this study had very few participants in the groups (Table 4).

Papilla presence index improvements (before and after surgical-orthodontic treatment) were evaluated only in two studies (Cardaropoli *et al.*, 2004; Ghezzi *et al.*, 2008).

Tipping, uprighting, intrusion, and extrusion

One of the 14 studies (Eliasson *et al.*, 1982) described periodontal changes when treating patients with removable orthodontic appliances and tipping movement. The remaining 13 studies used fixed orthodontic appliances; 11 of the 14 studies investigated periodontal and orthodontic treatment of anterior teeth for pathologic migration, spacing, and marginal bone loss. Intrusion was the most common orthodontic movement (investigated in eight of the 14 studies).

One study evaluated periodontal changes when uprighting molars, and one study evaluated the impact of extrusion of premolar or molar teeth on the periodontal support.

Significant improvement in periodontal status was found in 11 of the 14 studies. Two studies (Eliasson *et al.*, 1982; Artun and Urbye, 1988) reported deterioration and improvement of



Figure 1. Search-and-analysis process and results (*n*) from each stage.

periodontal status; these two studies did not involve periodontal surgery before orthodontic treatment.

In a molar uprighting study (Burch *et al.*, 1992), 35% of molars with increased PPD after orthodontic treatment were found, and 60% showed an increase in distance between bone crest and the cemento-enamel junction at the mesial surface.

Assessment of the studies

Table 5 summarizes the methodological quality of the 13 clinical studies. The inter-examiner agreement on each aspect of the Newcastle–Ottawa scale was reached via consensus. The present review observed a consistent finding in eight of the 13 studies, namely, *absence of control groups* (not periodontally involved adults). The Boyd *et al.* (1989) study had a control group that consisted of adolescents, but the study could not be considered *for comparability*. All 13 studies were judged to have low methodological quality.

The RCT study (Ogihara and Wang, 2010) implemented a parallel prospective clinical trial. All patients received initial surgery that applied combined reconstructive approaches using enamel matrix derivative and demineralized freezedried bone allograft on premolar or molar teeth with two-wall or three-wall infrabony defects. Following this, patients were assigned to a segmented orthodontic treatment group or no orthodontic treatment group. Teeth in the orthodontic treatment group had extensive subgingival caries and needed crown placement. No mention was made to describe the non-orthodontic group. The authors did not describe randomization. This was assessed as being inadequate. Allocation concealment (masking of patients and clinicians) was not reported. The authors reported the same number of patients (n = 47) had started and had completed the study; no patient was lost. No data were presented regarding adverse effects such as root resorption.

Due to the level of heterogeneity of methodology of the included studies, the reviewers found it impossible to run a meta-analysis.

Discussion

This review was limited to periodontal changes when treating patients with chronic periodontal disease. Previous periodontal studies reported that elimination of occlusal traumatic forces improves periodontal tissue healing after periodontal therapy (Burgett *et al.*, 1992; McGuire and Nunn, 1996a, 1996b; Harrel and Nunn, 2001a, 2001b). Orthodontic treatment is one modality used to correct traumatic occlusal contacts and to reestablish function and esthetics. Neustadt (1930) and Dummett (1951) recommended orthodontic corrective treatment to eliminate pathologic migration of teeth when managing patients with periodontal disease. Scopp and Bien (1952) reported osseous changes after a tooth extrusion or intrusion, and these changes were related to periodontal disease treatment.

Despite interest in orthodontic treatment on periodontally compromised patients, no studies report rigorous scientific evidence that supports such treatment (Tables 4 and 5).

The selected studies mainly evaluated PPD changes of maxillary anterior teeth. Two studies (Eliasson *et al.*, 1982; Artun and Urbye, 1988) reported both – deterioration and improvement of periodontal status – these two studies did not involve periodontal surgery before orthodontic treatment, and this could influence these results. Nine clinical studies showed significant improvement in the post-treatment status regarding PPDs and/or CCH; see Table 4. Significant PPD reduction was found in studies where intrusion was used to correct extruded maxillary incisors - when comparing baseline and postsurgical-orthodontic treatment PPD values. The studies (Cardaropoli et al., 2001; Corrente et al., 2003; Re et al., 2004) used open-flap surgery before orthodontic treatment and used varying techniques for orthodontic intrusion to correct extruded incisors. All three studies (Cardaropoli et al., 2001; Corrente et al., 2003; Re et al., 2004) were performed by the same research group and included patients with migrated and extruded maxillary incisors with radiological presence of infrabony defects and probing depths ≥6 mm (Table 4). The improvement of PPD was related to intrusion, retrusion, and mesial movement of periodontally stable incisors because of previous flaring and/or pathologic overeruption. The positive changes in CCH and PPD during orthodontic movement showed healing of periodontal tissues. But it still remains questionable if a new connective tissue attachment could be created.

Other studies (Melsen et al.1989, Melsen, 2001) also discussed the impact of intrusion to the attachment level changes. They suggested that the fact of PPD improvement could not imply that a new attachment was created, even if histologic studies on monkeys (Melsen et al.1988) may support the possibility. In addition, in the study by Melsen et al. (1989), orthodontically intruded upper anterior teeth had developed pockets of about 3 mm, in all cases localized to the lingual surface. At the same time, the measurement of clinical crown length demonstrated a reduction, which was most pronounced lingually. It seems logical, that during intrusion and retrusion of upper incisors, the remodeling of gingival tissues occurs mostly on the lingual aspect of the tooth. However, the clinical parameters, such as PPD and CCH, do not explain the question about new attachment level.

Root resorption due to orthodontic tooth movement is important to document (Lund *et al.*, 2012). But in nine of the 14 studies, root resorption was not measured. In the remaining five studies that investigated changes in root length, root resorption was found in two studies (Artun and Urbye, 1988; Melsen *et al.*, 1989), and root resorption was not found in three studies; see Table 4.

Even if the methodological quality of included clinical articles is low, the results of this review suggest important information on data available of orthodontic treatment effect on periodontal tissues. Orthodontic treatment, especially intrusion, may help to preserve or even improve the periodontal tissue support around anterior teeth in chronic periodontitis patients. Oral hygiene has to be maintained after active periodontal treatment. As a consequence of intrusion, root resorption may happen. Guided tissue regeneration combined with orthodontic movement suggests better improvement of vertical bone defects around anterior teeth (Table 4). Because the selected studies report very little information on methodological quality levels, clinical results should be considered with caution.

Going forward, bias-protected well-controlled clinical studies are necessary. They should include clinical examinations that cover oral hygiene and periodontal and radiological parameters measured before, during, and after orthodontic treatment – to clarify the safest, most effective method for managing periodontally compromised dentitions. The present review found one RCT, but this study did not present adequate information about randomization procedure, used only segmented orthodontic treatment, and could not provide scientific evidence to answer the research question.

Conclusions

No evidence currently exists from controlled studies and RCTs, which show that orthodontic treatment improves or aggravates the status of periodontally compromised dentitions.

The null hypothesis was accepted.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge support from Åsa Zetterling, librarian at the Institute for Postgraduate Dental Education in Jönköping and from Lina Saferiene, head librarian at the Lithuanian University of Health Sciences. Judy Petersen, Ph.D., American Writing & Editing AB, Lidingö, Sweden, copyedited a draft of this article.

Conflict of Interest

We declare that we have no conflicts of interest in this study.

Funding Information

No external funding – except support from the authors' institution – was available for this study.

References

- Artun, J., Urbye, K.S., 1988. The effect of orthodontic treatment on periodontal bone support in patients with advanced loss of marginal periodontium. Am. J. Orthod. Dentofacial Orthop. 93, 143–148.
- Attia, M.S., Shoreibah, E.A., Ibrahim, S.A., Nassar, H.A., 2012. Regenerative therapy of osseous defects combined with orthodontic tooth movement. J. Int. Acad. Periodontol. 14, 17–25.
- Boyd, R.L., Leggott, P.J., Quinn, R.S., Eakle, W.S., Chambers, D., 1989. Periodontal implications of orthodontic treatment in adults with reduced or normal periodontal tissues versus those of adolescents. Am. J. Orthod. Dentofacial Orthop. 96, 191–198.

- Burch, J.G., Bagci, B., Sabulski, D., Landrum, C., 1992. Periodontal changes in furcations resulting from orthodontic uprighting of mandibular molars. Quintessence Int. 23, 509–513.
- Burgett, F.G., Ramfjord, S.P., Nissle, R.R., Morrison, E.C., Charbeneau, T.D., Caffesse, R.G., 1992. A randomized trial of occlusal adjustment in the treatment of periodontitis patients. J. Clin. Periodontol. 19, 381–387.
- Cardaropoli, D., Re, S., Corrente, G., Abundo, R., 2001. Intrusion of migrated incisors with infrabony defects in adult periodontal patients. Am. J. Orthod. Dentofacial Orthop. 120, 671–675 quiz 677.
- Cardaropoli, D., Re, S., Corrente, G., Abundo, R., 2004. Reconstruction of the maxillary midline papilla following a combined orthodontic-periodontic treatment in adult periodontal patients. J. Clin. Periodontol. 31, 79–84.
- Corrente, G., Abundo, R., Re, S., Cardaropoli, D., Cardaropoli, G., 2003. Orthodontic movement into infrabony defects in patients with advanced periodontal disease: a clinical and radiological study. J. Periodontol. 74, 1104–1109.
- Dummett, C.O., 1951. Orthodontics and periodontal disease. J. Periodontol. 22, 34–41.
- Eliasson, L.A., Hugoson, A., Kurol, J., Siwe, H., 1982. The effects of orthodontic treatment on periodontal tissues in patients with reduced periodontal support. Eur. J. Orthod. 4, 1–9.
- Ericsson, I., Thilander, B., Lindhe, J., Okamoto, H., 1977. The effect of orthodontic tilting movements on the periodontal tissues of infected and non-infected dentitions in dogs. J. Clin. Periodontol. 4, 278–293.
- Ericsson, I., Thilander, B., Lindhe, J., 1978. Periodontal conditions after orthodontic tooth movements in the dog. Angle Orthod. 48, 210–218.
- Ghezzi, C., Masiero, S., Silvestri, M., Zanotti, G., Rasperini, G., 2008. Orthodontic treatment of periodontally involved teeth after tissue regeneration. Int. J. Periodontics Restorative Dent. 28, 559–567.
- Hallmon, W.W., 1999. Occlusal trauma: effect and impact on the periodontium. Ann. Periodontol. 4, 102–108.
- Harrel, S.K., Nunn, M.E., 2001a. The effect of occlusal discrepancies on periodontitis. II. Relationship of occlusal treatment to the progression of periodontal disease. J. Periodontol. 72, 495–505.
- Harrel, S.K., Nunn, M.E., 2001b. Longitudinal comparison of the periodontal status of patients with moderate to severe periodontal disease receiving no treatment, non-surgical treatment, and surgical treatment utilizing individual sites for analysis.J. Periodontol. 72, 1509–1519.
- Harrel, S.K., 2003. Occlusal forces as a risk factor for periodontal disease. Periodontology 2000 32, 111–117.
- Harrel, S.K., Nunn, M.E., Hallmon, W.W., 2006. Is there an association between occlusion and periodontal destruction?: yes – occlusal forces can contribute to periodontal destruction. J. Am. Dent. Assoc. 137, 1380, 1382, 1384 passim.
- Harrel, S.K., Nunn, M.E., 2009. The association of occlusal contacts with the presence of increased periodontal probing depth. J. Clin. Periodontol. 36, 1035–1042.

Higgins, J.P.T, Green, S. (2011) Cohrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.cochranehandbook.org.

Johal, A., Ide, M., 1999. Orthodontics in the adult patient, with special reference to the periodontally compromised patient. Dent. Update 26, 101–104 106-108.

Khorsand, A., Paknejad, M., Yaghobee, S., Ghahroudi, A.A., Bashizadefakhar, H., Khatami, M., Shirazi, M., 2013. Periodontal parameters following orthodontic treatment in patients with aggressive periodontitis: a before–after clinical study. Dent. Res. J. 10, 744–751.

Kornman, K.S., 2008. Mapping the pathogenesis of periodontitis: a new look. J. Periodontol. 79, 1560–1568.

Liberati, A., Altman, D.G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gotzsche, P.C., Ioannidis, J.P., Clarke, M., Devereaux, P.J., Kleijnen, J., Moher, D., 2009. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. PLoS Med. 6, e1000100.

Lund, H., Grondahl, K., Hansen, K., Grondahl, H.G., 2012. Apical root resorption during orthodontic treatment. A prospective study using cone beam CT. Angle Orthod. 82, 480–487.

McGuire, M.K., Nunn, M.E., 1996a. Prognosis versus actual outcome. II. The effectiveness of clinical parameters in developing an accurate prognosis. J. Periodontol. 67, 658–665.

McGuire, M.K., Nunn, M.E., 1996b. Prognosis versus actual outcome. III. The effectiveness of clinical parameters in accurately predicting tooth survival. J. Periodontol. 67, 666–674.

Melsen, B., Agerbaek, N., Eriksen, J., Terp, S., 1988. New attachment through periodontal treatment and orthodontic intrusion. Am. J. Orthod. Dentofacial Orthop. 94, 104–116.

Melsen, B., Agerbaek, N., Markenstam, G., 1989. Intrusion of incisors in adult patients with marginal bone loss. Am. J. Orthod. Dentofacial Orthop. 96, 232–241.

Melsen, B., 2001. Tissue reaction to orthodontic tooth movement – a new paradigm. Eur. J. Orthod. 23, 671–681.

Nemcovsky, C.E., Beny, L., Shanberger, S., Feldman-Herman, S., Vardimon, A., 2004. Bone apposition in surgical bony defects following orthodontic movement: a comparative histomorphometric study between root- and periodontal ligament-damaged and periodontally intact rat molars. J. Periodontol. 75, 1013–1019.

Nemcovsky, C.E., Sasson, M., Beny, L., Weinreb, M., Vardimon, A. D., 2007. Periodontal healing following orthodontic movement of rat molars with intact versus damaged periodontia toward a bony defect. Eur. J. Orthod. 29, 338–344. Neustadt, E., 1930. The orthodontist's responsibility in the prevention of periodontal disease. J. Am. Dent. Assoc. 17, 1329.

Nishihara, T., Koseki, T., 2004. Microbial etiology of periodontitis. Periodontology 2000 36, 14–26.

Ogihara, S., Wang, H.L., 2010. Periodontal regeneration with or without limited orthodontics for the treatment of 2- or 3-wall infrabony defects. J. Periodontol. 81, 1734–1742.

Page, R.C., Kornman, K.S., 1997. The pathogenesis of human periodontitis: an introduction. Periodontology 2000 14, 9–11.

Panwar, M., Jayan, B., Arora, V., Singh, S., 2014. Orthodontic management of dentition in patients with periodontally compromised dentition. J. Indian Soc. Periodontol. 18, 200–204.

Plessas, A., 2014. Nonsurgical periodontal treatment: review of the evidence. J. Oral Health Dent. Manag. 13, 71–80.

Re, S., Corrente, G., Abundo, R., Cardaropoli, D., 2000. Orthodontic treatment in periodontally compromised patients: 12-year report. Int. J. Periodontics Restorative Dent. 20, 31–39.

Re, S., Cardaropoli, D., Abundo, R., Corrente, G., 2004. Reduction of gingival recession following orthodontic intrusion in periodontally compromised patients. Orthod. Craniofac. Res. 7, 35–39.

Sanz, I., Alonso, B., Carasol, M., Herrera, D., Sanz, M., 2012. Nonsurgical treatment of periodontitis. J. Evid. Based Dent. Pract. 12, 76–86.

Scopp, I.W., Bien, S.M., 1952. The principles of correction of simple malocclusion in the treatment of periodontal disease. J. Periodontol. 23, 135–143.

Richardson, W.S., Wilson, M.C., Nishikawa, J., Hayward, R.S., 1995. The well-built clinical question: a key to evidence-based decisions. ACP J. Club 123, A12–13.

van Venrooy, J.R., Yukna, R.A., 1985. Orthodontic extrusion of single-rooted teeth affected with advanced periodontal disease. Am. J. Orthod. 87, 67–74.

Vardimon, A.D., Nemcovsky, C.E., Dre, E., 2001. Orthodontic tooth movement enhances bone healing of surgical bony defects in rats. J. Periodontol. 72, 858–864.

Wells, G.A., Shea, B., O'Connell, D., Peterson, J., Welch, V., Losos, M., Tugwell, P. (2001) The Newcastle–Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. University of Ottawa, Available at http://www.ohri.ca/programs/ clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp (accessed on 18 March 2015).

Wennstrom, J.L., Lindhe, J., Sinclair, F., Thilander, B., 1987. Some periodontal tissue reactions to orthodontic tooth movement in monkeys. J. Clin. Periodontol. 14, 121–129.

Weston, P., Yaziz, Y.A., Moles, D.R., Needleman, I., 2008. Occlusal interventions for periodontitis in adults. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev., CD004968. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004968.pub2.