

# Deletion of Rv2571c Confers Resistance to Arylamide Compounds in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*

Catherine D. Shelton,<sup>a\*</sup> Matthew B. McNeil,<sup>a\*</sup> Julie V. Early,<sup>a,c</sup> Thomas R. loerger,<sup>b</sup> <sup>(b</sup> Tanya Parish<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Infectious Disease Research Institute, Seattle, Washington, USA

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR Antimicrobial Agents

MICROBIOLOGY and Chemotherapy®

<sup>b</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA -Center for Global Infectious Disease Research, Seattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle, Washington, USA

**ABSTRACT** Tuberculosis, caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, is an urgent global health problem requiring new drugs, new drug targets, and an increased understanding of antibiotic resistance. We have determined the mode of resistance to be a series of arylamide compounds in M. tuberculosis. We isolated M. tuberculosis resistant mutants to two arylamide compounds which are inhibitory to growth under host-relevant conditions (butyrate as a sole carbon source). Thirteen mutants were characterized, and all had mutations in Rv2571c; mutations included a premature stop codon and frameshifts as well as nonsynonymous polymorphisms. We isolated a further 10 strains with mutations in Rv2571c with resistance. Complementation with a wild-type copy of Rv2571c restored arylamide sensitivity. Overexpression of Rv2571c was toxic in both wild-type and mutant backgrounds. We constructed M. tuberculosis strains with an unmarked deletion of the entire Rv2571c gene by homologous recombination and confirmed that these were resistant to the arylamide series. Rv2571c is a member of the aromatic amino acid transport family and has a fusaric acid resistance domain which is associated with compound transport. Since loss or inactivation of Rv2571c leads to resistance, we propose that Rv2571c is involved in the import of arylamide compounds.

**KEYWORDS** antibacterial, antitubercular, antibiotic resistance, drug uptake

Tuberculosis remains a major global health problem with  $\sim$ 10 million new cases and over 1 million deaths annually (1). The Covid-19 pandemic is likely to exacerbate the situation with fewer cases being detected and treated (1). Antibiotic resistance is a major problem with multidrug-resistant and extremely drug-resistant strains in circulation. There is an urgent need for new drug targets as well as an increased understanding of antibiotic resistance in the causative pathogen *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (2, 3).

In the last decade, numerous high-throughput screening campaigns have been conducted in order to identify a new chemical matter that could be developed as novel antitubercular agents (4). We (and others) have conducted several whole-cell phenotypic screens under different conditions to identify chemical matter with different biological activities (4). In particular, we have used conditions which reflect aspects of the *in vivo* infection environment (4). Since *M. tuberculosis* utilizes fatty acids as a carbon source *in vivo*, we previously developed a whole-cell screen with butyrate as the sole carbon source (5). Using this high-throughput screen, we identified a series of aryl amides with good activity against *M. tuberculosis*. These compounds are of interest because they are only active against *M. tuberculosis* using butyrate as the sole carbon source; in the presence of glucose as the sole carbon source, compounds lose activity. Since the activity is only seen under particular culture conditions, it is unlikely that the

**Citation** Shelton CD, McNeil MB, Early JV, loerger TR, Parish T. 2021. Deletion of Rv2571c confers resistance to arylamide compounds in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 65:e02334-20. https://doi.org/10 .1128/AAC.02334-20.

**Copyright** © 2021 Shelton et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Tanya Parish, tanya.parish@seattlechildrens.org.

\* Present address: Catherine D. Shelton, Department of Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA; Matthew B. McNeil, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand.

Received 11 November 2020 Returned for modification 12 January 2021 Accepted 15 February 2021

Accepted manuscript posted online 22 February 2021 Published 19 April 2021



FIG 1 Compounds selected for this study. (A) Arylamide (AMI) series. (B) Aryl oxadiazole (ARO) series (9).

compounds target a promiscuous target such as MmpL3 or QcrB, which are involved in cell wall biosynthesis and the electron transport chain, respectively (6–8).

We have previously conducted extensive structure-activity relationship and structure-property relationship studies in order to ascertain whether this series was developable (unpublished). In parallel, we were interested in determining the target and mechanism of resistance to the series of compounds. In this study, we demonstrate that resistance is due to a loss of activity of the putative transport system Rv2571c.

## RESULTS

We identified the arylamide (AMI) series of compounds from a phenotypic screen against *M. tuberculosis* cultured with butyrate as the sole carbon source (9). Compounds were inhibitory to growth only when *M. tuberculosis* was cultured using butyrate but had no activity in cultures utilizing glucose as the sole carbon source (unpublished data). We were interested to determine mechanism(s) of resistance to this series and/or to determine the intracellular target(s). We selected representative compounds with good activity against wild-type *M. tuberculosis* (Fig. 1 and Table 1).

**Resistant isolates have mutations in Rv2571c.** We previously used the approach of isolating resistant mutants in combination with whole-genome sequencing to identify the bacterial targets of novel compounds (10). We used the same approach to identify spontaneous resistant mutants for the AMI compound series. Since compounds were only active if butyrate was the sole carbon source, we used agar containing butyrate as the sole carbon source to isolate resistant mutants. We isolated resistant mutants to two representative compounds from the series (532309 and 571401) on plates containing  $5 \times \text{MIC}_{99}$  (solid agar). The frequency of resistance was  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-8}$ 

TABLE 1 Activ	ity of	f compo	unds u	ised in t	his :	study <sup>a</sup>
---------------	--------	---------	--------	-----------	-------	--------------------

Compound	Series	MIC <sub>90</sub> (μM)	MIC <sub>99</sub> (μM)
571401	AMI	$0.87\pm0.05$	0.40
571396	AMI	$1.0\pm0.1$	0.80
532310	AMI	$0.79 \pm 0.04$	0.20
532309	AMI	$0.65\pm0.3$	0.40
94588	ARO	$0.79\pm0.5$	ND

 ${}^{a}$ MIC<sub>90</sub> was measured in liquid medium and MIC<sub>99</sub> was measured on solid medium (N = 2) containing butyrate as the sole carbon source (5). AMI, arylamide series. ARO, aryl oxadiazoles (9). ND, no data.

	AMI (MIC <sub>90</sub>	AMI (MIC <sub>90</sub> μM)				ARO (MIC <sub>90</sub> $\mu$ M)	
Strain	571401	571396	532310	532309	94588		
Wild-type	0.87	1.0	0.79	0.65	0.79	-	
LP-0571401-RM1	>20	>20	>20	>20	3.5		
LP-0571401-RM2	>20	>20	>20	>20	3.6		
LP-0571401-RM3	>20	>20	>20	>20	1.6		
LP-0571401-RM4	18	>20	15	9.4	2.0		
LP-0571401-RM5	>20	>20	>20	>20	2.9		
LP-0571401-RM6	>20	>20	>20	>20	5.5		
LP-0571401-RM7	>20	>20	>20	>20	3.8		
LP-0532309-RM1	>20	>20	>20	>20	2.8		
LP-0532309-RM2	>20	>20	>20	>20	4.6		
LP-0532309-RM3	>20	>20	>20	>20	3.1		
LP-0532309-RM4	>20	>20	>20	>20	1.7		
LP-0532309-RM5	>20	>20	>20	>20	2.2		
LP-0532309-RM7	>20	>20	>20	16	2.0		

TABLE 2 M. tuberculosis strains with resistance to arylamide compounds<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Resistant mutants were isolated on plates containing 5× MIC<sub>99</sub> for compounds 571401 and 532309. Resistance was confirmed by streaking onto plates containing  $5 \times MIC_{99}$ .  $MIC_{90}$  was measured in liquid medium (5). AMI, arylamide series. ARO, aryl oxadiazoles.

for both compounds. We confirmed resistance by streaking colonies onto plates containing  $5 \times \text{MIC}_{qq}$ . We confirmed 13 strains with resistance to the parent compounds. We further confirmed that strains were resistant to the class of compounds by determining the MIC<sub>90</sub> in liquid medium (Table 2). All strains showed high resistance to the AMI compounds but were not resistant to another class of compounds with butyratespecific activity (the aryl oxadiazole "ARO" series) (5).

We sequenced the entire genome for two strains (LP-0571401-RM1 and LP-0571401-RM2) and identified genetic variations, including single nucleotide polymorphisms and insertion/deletions. There was one mutation in common between the two strains in MutT1. Mutations were noted in four other genes. Strain LP-0571401-RM1 had MutT1 E252D, EmbB S651R, and Rv2571c A204fs; strain LP-0571401-RM2 had Rv0047c R143C, Rv2011c A118S, and MutT1 E2525D. In order to determine which mutations were associated with resistance, we sequenced all five genes in the 13 resistant isolates (Table 3). All of the strains showed mutations in Rv2571c, although from the sequencing chromatograms it appeared that two of the isolates were not pure. Eleven of the strains were pure isolates with Rv2571c single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Several of the strains had mutations in Rv2571c alone. We found an additional SNP in Rv2571c for one of the strains which had been fully sequenced, which is not unusual if coverage is low or data calls are ambiguous.

<b>TABLE 3</b> Sequence analysis of resistant isolates <sup>a</sup>	
---	--

Strain	MutT1 (Rv2985)	EmbB (Rv3795)	Rv2011c	Rv0047c	Rv2571c
LP-0532309-RM1	None	None	None	None	A311D
LP-0532309-RM2	None	None	None	None	A311D
LP-0532309-RM3	None	None	None	None	R149H
LP-0532309-RM4	None	S651R	None	None	R149H
LP-0532309-RM5	E252D	S651R	None	None	A160P <sup>b</sup>
LP-0532309-RM7	None	S651R	None	None	Q29R <sup>b</sup>
LP-0571401-RM1	E252D	S651R	None	None	A204fs
LP-0571401-RM2	E252D	None	A118S	R143C	V243fs
LP-0571401-RM3	None	S651R	None	None	D152G
LP-0571401-RM4	E252D	None	None	None	Y256 <sup>b</sup>
LP-0571401-RM5	None	None	None	None	V119A
LP-0571401-RM6	None	None	None	None	A140P
LP-0571401-RM7	None	None	None	None	L159Q

aGenes were sequenced in each strain. fs, frameshift.

<sup>b</sup>The chromatogram suggested a mixed population of mutant and wild-type alleles.

Strain	Rv2571 mutation
1	D43 <sup>a</sup>
2	A140P
3	D43 <sup>a</sup>
4	V243C
5	D43 <sup>a</sup>
6	L81P
7	R149L
8	V119A
9	D43 <sup>a</sup>
10	Y256 <sup>a</sup>

TABLE 4 Additional mutations in Rv2571c that confer resistance to arylamides

<sup>a</sup>The chromatogram suggested a mixed population of mutant and wild-type alleles.

We isolated additional resistant mutants on solid plates using compound 571401 and confirmed resistance by growth on plates containing  $5 \times \text{MIC}_{99}$ . We sequenced Rv2571c in 10 resistant isolates, all of which had novel spontaneous mutations in Rv2571c (Table 4). Since all of the mutants had SNPs in Rv2571c, it supported our hypothesis that Rv2571c plays a role in resistance to AMI compounds. Several of the mutations in Rv2571c were frameshifts or premature stop codons, suggesting that inactivation of the gene conferred resistance. Other mutations included those that are predicted to affect protein function; for example, mutations to a proline would be expected to alter protein structure. Some mutations, e.g., V119A and R149H, were minor but could still have an effect on protein structure depending on location.

There is no protein structure available for Rv2571c or any related proteins. A partial model covering amino acids 17 to 271 (P9WL89) based on the structure of the 100 amino acids of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PhoU (SMTL ID: 4q 25.1) is available (11, 12); Rv2571c has 14.5% identity with PhoU (Fig. 2). The protein has six transmembrane helices followed by an intracellular domain as predicted by TOPCONS (13). The mutations we found mapped throughout the protein in the transmembrane helices (TM1: Q29, D43; TM3: L81P; TM4/5 junction: V199A; TM5: A140P; TM6: R149, D152, A160) and the intracellular domain (A204, V243, Y256, A311).



FIG 2 Analysis of Rv2571c. (A) Homology model of Rv2571c residues 17 to 271 (P9WL89) based on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PhoU (SMTL ID: 4q 25.1). (B) Transmembrane prediction using TOPCONS (13).

TABLE 5 Complementation with functional Rv2571c restor	res sensitivity to arylamides <sup>a</sup>
--	--

	MIC (μM)					
Strain	571401	571396	532310	532309		
LP-0532309-RM1	>200	>200	>200	>200		
LP-0532309-RM1 (pOE:Rv2571)	$\textbf{0.55}\pm\textbf{0.6}$	$1.6\pm0.07$	$1.2\pm0.7$	nt		

 ${}^{a}$ MIC<sub>90</sub> for AMI compounds was determined in liquid medium containing butyrate as the sole carbon source. LP-0532309 carries Rv2571c<sub>A311D</sub>, pOE:Rv2571c is a plasmid carrying wild-type Rv2571c under the control of an ATc-inducible promoter. Data are average  $\pm$  standard deviation for two independent runs. nt, not tested.

**Restoration of Rv2571c function leads to AMI sensitivity.** In order to determine if Rv2571c inactivation was responsible for resistance, we complemented one of the resistant strains (LP-0532309-RM1 carrying Rv2571c<sub>A311D</sub> allele) with a functional copy using an extrachromosomal plasmid in which expression of the gene was under the control of a tetracycline-inducible promoter (pOE:Rv2571c) (14, 15). Introduction of the plasmid was sufficient to restore sensitivity to AMI compounds, confirming that resistance was due to a lack of Rv2571c activity (Table 5). This occurred even in the absence of anhydrotetracycline (ATc), since this system has leaky expression (14, 15). We were not able to determine MICs in the presence of ATc due to toxicity (see below). Introduction of the Rv2571c expression plasmid into the wild-type background had no effect on MIC (data not shown).

Since we noted low growth in the strains expressing Rv2571c in the presence of ATc, we determined whether overexpression was toxic. We looked at the effect of overexpression of Rv2571c on the growth rate of the wild-type and resistant mutant strains (Fig. 3). We induced expression of Rv2571c using the ATc-inducible system, allowing us to titrate expression (higher expression as ATc is increased). When butyrate was used as the sole carbon source, there was a decrease in growth rate in both the wild-type and the knockout strains even in the absence of ATc. The effect became more pronounced with higher expression levels, demonstrating significant growth inhibition at the highest concentrations of ATc (Fig. 3A and B). When glucose was used as a sole carbon source, there was also a reduction in growth rate. Again, the effect was ATc concentration-dependent with both wild-type and knockout strains showing a marked reduction in growth at 100 ng/ml ATc (Fig. 3C and D).

**Deletion of Rv2571c confers resistance to AMI compounds.** In order to confirm that Rv2571c inactivation leads to resistance to AMI compounds, we constructed an unmarked in-frame deletion mutant strain by homologous recombination (16). We isolated double crossover (DCO) strains containing either wild-type or deletion allele. Strains were screened by PCR and confirmed by Southern analysis (Fig. 4). We determined whether Rv2571c was essential for growth using butyrate as the sole carbon source (Fig. 5); the deletion strain showed no reduction in growth rate using either glucose or butyrate as the sole carbon source. This confirms that Rv2571c is not essential for growth utilizing butyrate.

We tested the deletion strain for resistance to the compound by streaking onto agar plates containing  $5 \times \text{MIC}_{99}$  compound and obtained growth. We further confirmed resistance by testing in liquid medium (Table 6). Two strains carrying a deletion allele (KO1 and KO7) were resistant, whereas a DCO carrying the wild-type copy (KO18) was as sensitive as the wild-type parental strain. These data confirm that Rv2571c is required for sensitivity to AMI compounds and that its absence leads to resistance.

## DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated that inactivation of Rv2571c leads to resistance to arylamide compounds. Rv2571c is not essential under conditions in which the compounds are active, suggesting that inhibition leading to loss of function is not the mode of action of this compound series.

A second alternative is that Rv2571c encodes an uptake system required for import of the compound into the cell, since deletion of such a system would result in



**FIG 3** Overexpression of Rv2571C is toxic. *M. tuberculosis* strains carrying an ATc-inducible, Rv2571c expression plasmid (pOE:Rv2571c) were grown using either butyrate or glucose as the sole carbon source. The control was empty plasmid. Growth was monitored by OD<sub>590</sub> in increasing concentrations of ATc (ng/ml). Data are the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of three independent cultures. (A and B) Butyrate as the carbon source. (C and D) Glucose as the carbon source. WT, wild-type strain Rv2571c<sub>wr</sub>; A311D, strain LP-0532309-RM1 Rv2571c<sub>A311D</sub>.

resistance. Rv2571c is predicted to encode a transmembrane protein of the aromatic amino acid exporter family and contains a FUSC2 (fusaric acid resistance protein-like) domain (E value of  $1.1e^{-13}$ ) (17). These proteins are involved in export of fusaric acid (18); thus, it seems likely that Rv2571c is a membrane transporter protein. Since resistance was confirmed by deletion of Rv2571c, we hypothesize that this protein is involved in the import of compounds rather than efflux. In this scenario, mutations or deletion could lead to reduced uptake of the compound and lack of access to the intracellular target. In this scenario, the selectivity of the compounds would result from the



**FIG 4** Selection and confirmation of Rv2571c deletion strains. (A) PCR and (B) Southern blotting were used to confirm the presence of the wild-type or deletion strains in DCOs. (A) PCR amplification from confirmed DCOs. H37RvLP, wild-type strain. Numbers, double crossover strains. Yellow highlights indicate deletion strains. L, 1kb ladder (Promega). (B) Southern analysis for two deletion strains. DNA was digested with Ncol and the hybridization probe was the entire gene. Expected sizes were (A) wild-type 2.5 kb and deletion 1.3 kb and (B) wild-type 3.5 kb and deletion 6.7 kb.

conditional essentiality of the target; i.e., the target is only essential when the bacteria are grown using butyrate as the sole carbon source.

Of interest, another membrane protein, MmpL3, has also been reported as a compound importer in *M. tuberculosis*, and in this case, mutations also lead to resistance to the tetrahydropyrazo-pyrimidine-carboxamide compounds. However, since MmpL3 is an essential protein, deletion strains were not available for confirmation, but the true target was identified as EchA6 by pulldown assays. Future work to identify the target could include a number of approaches, including pulldown assays, use of an overexpression library or transcriptome, and metabolome studies (19).

The apparent toxicity during increased expression of Rv2571c could result from protein aggregation or as a general stress response. We think it more likely that it reflects the fact that the protein is normally expressed at a low level. Indeed, Rv2571c is under the negative control of the two-component regulatory system TcrA (14) and is one of the least abundant proteins in the cell, with only ~30 copies/cell in exponentially growing bacteria (glucose as the carbon source) (20). Alternatively, it could suggest that the mutant allele still has residual function.



**FIG 5** Rv2571c is not essential for growth in butyrate. *M. tuberculosis* strains were grown using either (A) butyrate or (B) glucose as the sole carbon source. Data are the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of three independent cultures.

Strain	Rv2571 allele	MIC <sub>90</sub> (μM)				
		571401	571396	532310	532309	
H37RvLP	Wild-type	1.2	2.1	0.9	0.6	
DCO1	Deletion	>20	>20	>20	>20	
DCO7	Deletion	>20	>20	>20	>20	
DCO18	Wild-type	1.2	1.4	0.6	0.6	

**TABLE 6** Deletion of Rv2571 leads to resistance to arylamide compounds<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>MIC<sub>90</sub> was determined in liquid medium containing butyrate as the sole carbon source.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that Rv2571c is required for the activity of the arylamide series against *M. tuberculosis* using butyrate as a sole carbon source. We hypothesize that Rv2571c is required for uptake of these compounds.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Culture of M. tuberculosis.** M. tuberculosis H37Rv-LP (ATCC 25618) was cultured in Middlebrook 7H9 medium containing 10% vol/vol OADC (oleic acid, albumen, dextrose, catalase) supplement and 0.05% wt/vol Tween (7H9-Tw-OADC) or Middlebrook 7H9 medium supplemented with 5 g/liter bovine serum albumin (BSA) fraction V, 0.8 g/liter NaCl, 0.05% vol/vol Tyloxapol, and sodium butyrate (7H9-Ty-BT) at 5 mM (7H9-Ty-5BT) or 10 mM (7H9-Ty-10BT). Agar plates were prepared with Middlebrook 7H10 base supplemented with 5 g/liter BSA fraction V, 0.8 g/liter NaCl, and 5 mM butyrate. Where required, kanamycin was added at  $20 \mu$ g/ml, hygromycin was added at  $100 \mu$ g/ml, sucrose was added at 2% wt/vol, and anhydrotetracycline (ATc) was added at 5 to  $100 \mu$ g/ml.

**Determination of MICs.** MICs in liquid medium were determined as described (5, 21).  $MIC_{90}$  was defined as the concentration required to inhibit growth by 90%.  $MIC_{99}$  on solid medium was determined as the lowest concentration which prevented the growth of 99% of colonies.

**Isolation of resistant mutants.** We isolated resistant mutants as previously described (10). Resistant mutants were isolated on plates containing  $5 \times MIC_{99}$  for compounds 571401 and 532309. Whole-genome sequencing was performed as described (22). Mutations were confirmed by sequencing specific genes.

**Construction and complementation of deletion strains.** We constructed an unmarked deletion strain of Rv2571c as previously described (16). Briefly, a suicide vector containing ~1 kb of sequence upstream and downstream of the gene was constructed (unmarked deletion) carrying hyg, kan, sacB, and *lacZ* genes. The upstream region was amplified using primers TCAGCAACGTAAGGAGT and TACCGCGACGAGGACTT; the downstream region was amplified using primers GCCGAGATCGAGGATT and GGATCCAGGTAGCCCGACACATA. Single crossover strains were generated by electroporation; double crossover strains were selected/screened on sucrose and X-Gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- $\beta$ -D-galacto-pyranoside) agar plates and confirmed by PCR amplification of the gene using primers AAACC GGAATGGGAGGAC and GTTGCTGAGCGGTAATGG. Deletion strains were confirmed by Southern blotting and sequencing. The deletion strain carried a precise deletion of the entire gene (start to stop codon). The complementing vector was generated by cloning a PCR product generated with primers TTAAT TAAATAGATGCCCAGCCCA and TTAATTAATGGCCGAGATCGAGGTT into pDTCF or pDTNF (14).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Steven Mullen, Devon Dennison, and David Roberts for technical assistance.

This study was funded in part by Eli Lilly and Company in support of the mission of the Lilly TB Drug Discovery Initiative and with grant OPP1024038 from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.

### REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. 2020. Global tuberculosis report 2019.
- Gordon SV, Parish T. 2018. Microbe profile: Mycobacterium tuberculosis: humanity's deadly microbial foe. Microbiology (Reading) 164:437–439. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000601.
- Parish T. 2019. Steps to address anti-microbial drug resistance in today's drug discovery. Expert Opin Drug Discov 14:91–94. https://doi.org/10 .1080/17460441.2019.1550481.
- Parish T. 2020. In vitro drug discovery models for Mycobacterium tuberculosis relevant for host infection. Expert Opin Drug Discov 15:349–358. https://doi.org/10.1080/17460441.2020.1707801.
- Early JV, Casey A, Martinez-Grau MA, Valcarcel ICG, Vieth M, Ollinger J, Bailey MA, Alling T, Files M, Ovechkina Y, Parish T. 2016. Oxadiazoles have butyrate-

https://doi.org/10 Rep 7:43495. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43495.

 Campaniço A, Moreira R, Lopes F. 2018. Drug discovery in tuberculosis. New drug targets and antimycobacterial agents. Eur J Med Chem 150:525–545. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.03.020.

specific conditional activity against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Antimicrob

Kordulakova J, Cole ST, Manganelli R. 2017. Essentiality of MmpL3 and

impact of its silencing on Mycobacterium tuberculosis gene expression. Sci

Agents Chemother 60:3608–3616. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02896-15.

6. Degiacomi G, Benjak A, Madacki J, Boldrin F, Provvedi R, Palù G,

 Goldman RC. 2013. Why are membrane targets discovered by phenotypic screens and genome sequencing in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*? Tuberculosis (Edinb) 93:569–588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tube.2013.09.003.

- Martinez-Grau MA, Valcarcel ICG, Early JV, Gessner RK, de Melo CS, de la Nava EMM, Korkegian A, Ovechkina Y, Flint L, Gravelle A, Cramer JW, Desai PV, Street LJ, Odingo J, Masquelin T, Chibale K, Parish T. 2018. Synthesis and biological evaluation of aryl-oxadiazoles as inhibitors of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 28:1758–1764. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.04.028.
- loerger TR, O'Malley T, Liao R, Guinn KM, Hickey MJ, Mohaideen N, Murphy KC, Boshoff HIM, Mizrahi V, Rubin EJ, Sassetti CM, Barry CE, Sherman DR, Parish T, Sacchettini JC. 2013. Identification of new drug targets and resistance mechanisms in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. PLoS One 8:e75245. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0075245.
- Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, Studer G, Tauriello G, Gumienny R, Heer FT, de Beer TAP, Rempfer C, Bordoli L, Lepore R, Schwede T. 2018. SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. Nucleic Acids Res 46:W296–W303. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky427.
- Lee SJ, Park YS, Kim S-J, Lee B-J, Suh SW. 2014. Crystal structure of PhoU from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a negative regulator of the Pho regulon. J Struct Biol 188:22–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2014.08.010.
- Tsirigos KD, Peters C, Shu N, Käll L, Elofsson A. 2015. The TOPCONS web server for consensus prediction of membrane protein topology and signal peptides. Nucleic Acids Res 43:W401–W407. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gkv485.
- Minch KJ, Rustad TR, Peterson EJR, Winkler J, Reiss DJ, Ma S, Hickey M, Brabant W, Morrison B, Turkarslan S, Mawhinney C, Galagan JE, Price ND, Baliga NS, Sherman DR. 2015. The DNA-binding network of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Nat Commun 6:5829. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6829.
- Melief E, Kokoczka R, Files M, Bailey MA, Alling T, Li H, Ahn J, Misquith A, Korkegian A, Roberts D, Sacchettini J, Parish T. 2018. Construction of an overexpression library for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Biol Methods Protoc 3:bpy009. https://doi.org/10.1093/biomethods/bpy009.
- Parish T, Stoker NG. 2000. Use of flexible cassette method to generate a double unmarked Mycobacterium tuberculosis tlyA plcABC mutant by

gene replacement. Microbiology 146:1969–1975. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-146-8-1969.

- Utsumi R, Yagi T, Katayama S, Katsuragi K, Tachibana K, Toyoda H, Ouchi S, Obata K, Shibano Y, Noda M. 1991. Molecular cloning and characterization of the fusaric acid-resistance gene from *Pseudomonas cepacia*. Agric Biol Chem 55:1913–1918.
- Crutcher FK, Puckhaber LS, Stipanovic RD, Bell AA, Nichols RL, Lawrence KS, Liu J. 2017. Microbial resistance mechanisms to the antibiotic and phytotoxin fusaric Acid. J Chem Ecol 43:996–1006. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-017 -0889-x.
- Cox JAG, Abrahams KA, Alemparte C, Ghidelli-Disse S, Rullas J, Angulo-Barturen I, Singh A, Gurcha SS, Nataraj V, Bethell S, Remuiñán MJ, Encinas L, Jervis PJ, Cammack NC, Bhatt A, Kruse U, Bantscheff M, Fütterer K, Barros D, Ballell L, Drewes G, Besra GS. 2016. THPP target assignment reveals EchA6 as an essential fatty acid shuttle in mycobacteria. Nat Microbiol 1:15006. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2015.6.
- Schubert OT, Ludwig C, Kogadeeva M, Zimmermann M, Rosenberger G, Gengenbacher M, Gillet LC, Collins BC, Rost HL, Kaufmann SHE, Sauer U, Aebersold R. 2015. Absolute proteome composition and dynamics during dormancy and resuscitation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Cell Host Microbe 18:96–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.06.001.
- Ollinger J, Bailey MA, Moraski GC, Casey A, Florio S, Alling T, Miller MJ, Parish T. 2013. A dual read-out assay to evaluate the potency of compounds active against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. PLoS One 8:e60531. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060531.
- loerger TR, Feng Y, Ganesula K, Chen X, Dobos KM, Fortune S, Jacobs WR, Mizrahi V, Parish T, Rubin E, Sassetti C, Sacchettini JC. 2010. Variation among genome sequences of H37Rv strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculo*sis from multiple laboratories. J Bacteriol 192:3645–3653. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/JB.00166-10.