

Racecadotril for the treatment of severe acute watery diarrhoea in children admitted to a tertiary hospital in Kenya

Jaspreet Gharial,¹ Ahmed Laving,^{2,3} Fred Were,^{2,3}

To cite: Gharial J, Laving A, Were F. Racecadotril for the treatment of severe acute watery diarrhoea in children admitted to a tertiary hospital in Kenya. *BMJ Open Gastro* 2017;**4**:e000124. doi:10.1136/bmjgast-2016-000124

► Additional material is available. To view please visit the journal (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjgast-2016-000124>)

Received 17 October 2016
Revised 4 December 2016
Accepted 7 December 2016

¹Department of Paediatrics, Gertrude's Children's Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya

²Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, University of Nairobi College of Health Sciences, Nairobi, Kenya

³Department of Paediatrics, Aga Khan University, Nairobi, Kenya

Correspondence to
Dr Jaspreet Gharial;
jaspreetgharial@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Diarrhoea is the second most common cause of death in children under 5 years of age in Kenya. It is usually treated with oral rehydration, zinc and continued feeding. Racecadotril has been in use for over 2 decades; however, there is a paucity of data regarding its efficacy from Africa.

Objectives: The objectives of this study were: to compare the number of stools in the first 48 hours in children with severe gastroenteritis requiring admission and treated with either racecadotril or placebo, to study the impact of racecadotril on duration of inpatient stay as well as duration of diarrhoea and to describe the side effect profile of racecadotril.

Methods: This was a randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. It enrolled children between the age of 3 and 60 months who were admitted with severe acute gastroenteritis. They received either racecadotril or placebo in addition to oral rehydration solution (ORS) and zinc and were followed up daily.

Results: 120 children were enrolled into the study. There were no differences in the demographics or outcomes between the 2 groups. Stools at 48 hours: median (IQR) of 5 (3–7) and 5 (2.5–7.5), respectively; $p=0.63$. The duration of inpatient stay: median (IQR): 4 days (1.5–6.5) and 4.5 (1.8–6.3); $p=0.71$. The duration of illness: 3 days (2–4) and 2 days (1–3); $p=0.77$. The relative risk of a severe adverse event was 3-fold higher in the drug group but was not statistically significant (95% CI 0.63 to 14.7); $p=0.16$.

Conclusions: Racecadotril has no impact on the number of stools at 48 hours, the duration of hospital stay or the duration of diarrhoea in children admitted with severe gastroenteritis and managed with ORS and zinc.

Trial registration number: PACTR201403000694398; Pre-results.

INTRODUCTION

Diarrhoea is one of the most common causes of morbidity and mortality in children under 5 years in the developing world. Kenya is ranked in the top 10 countries bearing the burden of high mortality rates in children suffering from diarrhoeal disease with a

Summary box

What is already known about this subject?

- Current treatment of acute gastroenteritis as recommended by the WHO includes the use of oral rehydration solution and zinc.
- Studies carried out on racecadotril in children have proved its efficacy in reducing duration and severity of diarrhoea.
- The European Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition has stated that racecadotril may be used in the management of acute gastroenteritis in children.

What are the new findings?

- Racecadotril did not significantly impact the duration or severity of diarrhoeal disease in children with severe gastroenteritis.
- One of the first studies using racecadotril to treat children in East Africa.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

- Defining which populations of children with acute gastroenteritis would have a maximum benefit from racecadotril. This could allow recommendations on its use to be refined.

prevalence of 16.7%.^{1 2} In the 1970s–1980s, trends in mortality showed a significant decrease with the advent of oral rehydration solution (ORS). Since then this decline has slowed with current data at 530 000 deaths per year.³

Racecadotril is a diesterified derivative of thiorphan.⁴ Once absorbed after oral administration, it is converted to its parent compound (thiorphan) which acts to increase the half-life of enterocyte methionine-enkephalin (a potent antisecretory agent).⁵ Racecadotril has been in use for the past 20 years in Europe and has been consistently useful in the management of diarrhoeal disease with an acceptable adverse effect profile.^{6–10}

Although zinc has been shown to significantly affect the duration and severity of diarrhoea and forms part of the core treatment

recommendations by the WHO, none of the trials studying efficacy of racecadotril have included it in their protocols.^{7 11}

Despite a number of studies performed in different parts of the world, there has been no published data involving racecadotril from the African continent. Of the few studies carried out in the developing world, majority have been inpatient studies with methodological flaws noted in many.⁷

This study was carried out to bridge gaps in knowledge about the use of racecadotril and its usefulness when used with zinc in African children with severe gastroenteritis.

METHODOLOGY

Study design

This was a prospective randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study conducted at the Kenyatta National Hospital. This is a tertiary level, national referral hospital and has four dedicated paediatric wards. Participants were assigned to the control arm or treatment arm with a 1:1 ratio.

Eligibility criteria

The inclusion criterion was: children aged 3–60 months requiring admission for severe acute gastroenteritis, as evidenced by a Vesikari score of >11 (figures 1 and 2) with written parental consent.^{12 13}

Exclusions were: children who had severe vomiting (scoring 3 on the Vesikari score for maximum number of vomiting episodes per day); those with a clinical diagnosis of dysentery or a known diagnosis of liver or renal failure; children who had prescriptions of probiotics or any other antidiarrhoeal medication (loperamide, attapulgite, activated charcoal, diphenoxylate and kaolin).

Interventions

All children were admitted and started on WHO recommended treatment by the attending physician. They received either intravenous fluids as per WHO plan C (30 mL/kg followed by 70 mL/kg over 1 and 5 hours, respectively, in infants and over 30 min and 2.5 hours for those over 12 months of age) or low osmolality ORS as per WHO plan B (75 mL/kg over 4 hours). Zinc was prescribed at 10–20 mg/day.

Participants were recruited within 24 hours of admission after initial hydration had been completed. Eligibility was determined by calculating the Vesikari score based on the information recorded by the primary physician in the patients' chart. This included the patients' history up to and the examination at the time of admission.

A computer program was used to generate random numbers in blocks with varying sizes. These were used to assign patients randomly to either the test or control arm of the study.

Both the drug and placebo were supplied by the manufacturer, Racedot—Macleods (India) and distributed by Sai Pharmaceuticals (Kenya). These were packed in tamper proof brown bags, sealed and labelled by a study pharmacist based off site.

The test arm received racecadotril at a dose recommended by the manufacturer: 10 mg per dose for children below 12 months of age and 30 mg for those over 12 months of age. This was administered as granules dissolved in 10 mL of water and taken three times a day for a maximum duration of 3 days. The control arm had a placebo preparation administered in the same way.

An initial dose of the drug or placebo was given at the time of enrolment. The parents were taught how to administer the drug at this time and this was confirmed by return demonstration.

The participants were followed up using daily interviews asking about: the number of stools, the consistency using a Bristol stool chart,¹⁴ the presence of blood in stool, any new symptoms and the introduction of an antidiarrhoeal or other medication.

Treatment was given either until the stools were formed or for a total of 3 days, whichever came first.

Children who were discharged before complete resolution of symptoms were followed up by phone interviews until recovery.

Outcome measures

The primary outcome measure was the number of stools in the first 48 hours after introduction of the drug. The secondary outcomes included the duration of inpatient stay, the duration of illness and the number of adverse events associated with racecadotril.

Sample size calculation

Estimation of sample size was carried out using the formula for comparison of two sample means. The study was powered at 90% with a significance level of 95%. Assumptions for the formula were based on the study by Cojocararu *et al*⁸ using his outcome of total number of stools at 48 hours. A provision of 10% was made for attrition and a final sample size of 60 participants in each arm was derived. The attached online supplementary file contains the complete sample size calculation and references.

Statistical methods

Baseline data for the two groups were collected and analysed by comparing proportions to determine the presence of any significant differences. Once the data were collected and analysed, it was noted to be positively skewed. Medians with IQRs were calculated for the outcome measures and the two groups were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test according to intention-to-treat analysis. STATA V.11.0 software was used for the above.

Figure 1 The Vesikari clinical severity scoring system parameters and scores. Table adapted from Vesikari Clinical Severity Scoring System Manual.¹³

Parameter	1	2	3
Diarrhoea			
Maximum Number Stools per Day	1-3	4-5	≥6
Diarrhoea Duration (Days)	1-4	5	≥6
Vomiting			
Maximum Number Vomiting Episodes per Day	1	2-4	≥5
Vomiting Duration (Days)	1	2	≥3
Temperature	37.1-38.4	38.5-38.9	≥39.0
Dehydration	N/A	1-5%	≥6%
Treatment	Rehydration	Hospitalisation	N/A

Ethical consideration

The study was conducted after the approval of the Ethics and Research Committees of the Aga Khan University Hospital and the Kenyatta National Hospital/University of Nairobi. The development of known side effects, suspected adverse effects or new symptoms were communicated to a Drug Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB). Adverse events were graded based on the Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (DAIDS) table for grading the severity of adult and paediatric adverse events summary sheet.¹⁵

RESULTS

A total of 154 children with severe gastroenteritis were screened for the study during the data collection period from 3 February to 26 March 2014. The details of recruitment and allocation are shown in figure 3. Analysis included all 60 participants in each group. Three participants from the drug group and two from the placebo group that did not complete the protocol were included in the analysis. All results for the outcome measures (except adverse events) are given as medians with IQRs.

Baseline characteristics

There was a statistically significant predominance of girls in the drug group ($p=0.04$) despite an overall male prevalence in the study. This difference was believed to arise due to chance as randomisation was carried out according to protocol.

The difference in dehydration levels between the groups was not statistically significant ($p=0.25$). It was noted that most children in the study were mild to

Severity Category			Maximum Score
Mild	Moderate	Severe	
<7	7-10	≥11	20

Figure 2 Grading of severity of diarrhoea according to the Vesikari score. Table adapted from Vesikari Clinical Severity Scoring System Manual.¹³

moderately dehydrated with severe dehydration present in only 15% of the drug group and 27% of the placebo group. This finding was attributed to use of the Vesikari score as an inclusion criteria. Similar results were found in the study carried out by Schnadower *et al.*¹⁶ Baseline data are summarised in table 1.

Number of stools at 48 hours

The difference in the median number of stools on intention-to-treat analysis revealed no statistically significant difference. Median (IQR) 5³⁻⁷ stools for the drug and 5 (2.5-7.5) for the placebo ($p=0.63$).




Figure 3 Flow diagram showing progress through phases of the trial. The final participants analysed are on ITT and PPA basis. ITT, intention to treat; PPA, per protocol analysis.

Table 1 Table showing baseline characteristics of the participants enrolled in the trial and the p value between the drug and placebo groups to show presence of statistical significance

Variable	Racecadotril n (%)	Placebo n (%)	p Value*
Sex: male	27 (45)	38 (63)	0.04†
Female	33 (55)	22 (37)	
Malnutrition			
None	34 (57)	28 (47)	0.27
Mild to moderate	17 (28)	16 (27)	
Severe	09 (15)	16 (27)	
Duration of diarrhoea‡ (days)			
≤4	31 (51)	32 (53)	0.97
5	15 (23)	14 (23)	
≥6	14 (23)	14 (23)	
Dehydration			
No	3 (5)	4(6)	0.43
Some	26 (43)	32 (53)	
Severe	31 (51)	24 (40)	

*Pearson constant on χ^2 test.

†Significant difference in sex ratio between the placebo and drug groups, suspected to be due to chance.

‡Duration of diarrhoea given as the number of patients (and %) who fell into each of the three groups as given by the Vesikari score.

Table 2 Table showing the number of adverse events in the drug and placebo groups, respectively

Event	Drug group (frequency)	Placebo group (frequency)
Skin rashes	6	8
Pruritus	0	0
Angiooedema	2	1
Tonsillitis	1	3
Mortality*	2	2
Others (convulsions*)	3	0
Total	14	14

*Severe adverse events as given by the DAIDS table. No statistical difference between the drug and placebo group: relative risk 3.0 (CI 0.63 to 14.27); p=0.16.

DAIDS, Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.

Duration of inpatient stay

This was measured as the number of days from the start of the medication to the day of discharge as determined by the attending physician. The duration was shorter in children in the drug group: median (IQR) of 4 (1.5–6) days as compared with placebo: 4.5 (1.8–6.3) days. The results were not statistically significant (p=0.71).

Duration of illness

This was defined as the duration from the time of introduction of the drug to the appearance of ≤3 formed stools in 24 hours. This was noted to be lower in the placebo group: median (IQR) of 2^{1–3} days and 3 (2.5–3.5) days for

the drug. The results were not statistically significant (p=0.77).

Adverse events

The proportion of patients experiencing any adverse event was similar for the two groups (23%). There were four mortalities overall, two in each group. Convulsions were noted in three children who were on the drug (5%) but none in children on the placebo. All three children were investigated for concurrent meningitis and this diagnosis was confirmed in one. Overall, the proportions of children who had serious adverse reactions were higher in the racecadotril group, although this was not statistically significant: relative risk 3.0 (CI 0.63 to 14.27); p=0.16. Non-serious adverse events: relative risk 0.81 (CI 0.36 to 1.83); p=0.62. The adverse events are listed in table 2.

Analysis of the data using means and SD, with comparison using the Student's t-test, was also carried out. The results showed no significant difference. Linear regression analysis revealed malnutrition was a significant factor for duration of in-hospital stay. This analysis is presented in the online supplementary file.

DISCUSSION

This study, carried out in children with severe acute gastroenteritis who were admitted to hospital and on treatment with zinc and ORS, compared the impact of racecadotril to a placebo. There was no difference noted between the group on racecadotril and that on placebo for the outcomes measured. While this result is consistent with that seen by Santos *et al*¹⁷ in their study carried out in 2009, it differs from most other studies carried out on the subject.^{7 8 18–20}

The Vesikari score made up part of the inclusion criteria for our study, thus ensuring all children recruited had severe gastroenteritis. This is a composite score that was originally developed by Ruuska and Vesikari.^{12 13} It incorporates the patient's temperature, level of dehydration, need for hospitalisation as well as the duration and frequency of vomiting and diarrhoea. Although the Vesikari score was originally used to determine severity of gastroenteritis at the conclusion of illness, it was shown by Schnadower *et al* to be useful for grading episodes at the point of first contact and during follow-up. They recommended its use in clinical trials involving acute gastroenteritis disease.¹⁶ This recommendation was later endorsed by the European Society of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) in their 2014 update on the guidelines for management of acute gastroenteritis in children.²¹ To the best of our knowledge, the incorporation of the Vesikari score in the inclusion criteria has never been performed before in any studies on racecadotril and this may have led to the lack of effect seen with the medication in this study. In their review carried out in 2011, Leher *et al*⁷ alluded to the same noting that baseline level of dehydration was a significant negative predictor

for duration of diarrhoea after introduction of racecadotril.

Previous studies on racecadotril have included children with diarrhoea lasting <5 days.⁷ The WHO defines persistent diarrhoea as that which lasts 14 days or longer and children with disease duration less than this are treated for acute gastroenteritis.¹¹ Almost half of the participants included in this study had diarrhoea of 5 days or more (46% in both groups). Continued damage to the intestinal mucosa results in an osmotic effect which contributes to the mechanism of diarrhoeal causation. Racecadotril has a minimal effect on this mechanism of diarrhoea and this may have contributed to the results in this study.⁵

Another difference in the population studied was the inclusion of children with malnutrition. In a trial carried out by Jean *et al*,¹⁹ children with weight for age <80% were excluded. In this study, children with severe undernutrition made up 15% of the drug group and 26% of the placebo group. However, the mean weight of participants in this study was 7.4 kg which was similar to a study carried out by Savitha²⁰ (as quoted in the Lehert *et al*'s⁷ review). In both the Jean *et al* and Savitha studies, there was a significant improvement in the stool output for children on racecadotril.

The difference in the length of inpatient stay between the two groups constituted about half a day. This was not statistically significant but was comparable with other studies carried out at the same site. Osano *et al*²² studied admissions of children with acute gastroenteritis at the Kenyatta National Hospital and noted that the average duration of stay was 4.2 days. None of the other inpatient studies carried out in children on racecadotril have described differences in duration of stays for their participants. Cojocar *et al* stated that the effect of racecadotril on duration of hospitalisation could not be commented on due to the short patient stay and follow-up in his study.⁸

The use of zinc in this study may have contributed to similar durations of illness between the two groups. Previous studies have shown a link between the use of zinc and the duration of illness in developing countries.^{11 23} In most studies performed, racecadotril has been used alongside ORS although none have incorporated zinc into the treatment protocol.⁷

Clinically significant adverse events were equally distributed between the two groups. The results are similar to those in other studies including the review carried out by Lehert *et al*.⁷ Adverse events in this study involved mainly the skin and upper respiratory tract (13%), which are comparable to those found in the literature.^{6 7} Convulsions were noted in the drug group of this study, a finding that has not been reported in studies with racecadotril thus far. The significance of this finding cannot be commented on due to the sample size.

Limitations

Although the number of stools was recorded on a daily basis, measurement of stool weights may have been a

better measure to determine stool output as has been done in similar studies on the subject. The recording of number of stools as reported by the parents/guardians may have introduced a recall bias that affected the results. This may have been avoided by investigators observing the number of stools rather than parents providing information. The presence of comorbid conditions was not considered when the participants were recruited to the trial. Some of these conditions (especially HIV) may have had an impact on the results.

CONCLUSION

This study does not demonstrate a significant reduction in the number of stools at 48 hours for children admitted with severe gastroenteritis after introduction of racecadotril. Furthermore, it does not reduce the duration of inpatient stay or the duration of diarrhoea when compared with placebo.

Acknowledgements The authors thank the Aga Khan University Hospital Nairobi—financial and logistical support to carry out the study; University of Nairobi, Kenyatta National Hospital—permission to use facility as study site; Sai Pharmaceuticals, Nairobi, Kenya—provision of drug (Racecadot) and placebo for the trial. The authors also thank research assistants: Gurpreet Rooprai, Benjamin Matei and Shimona Menezes; and Drug Safety Monitoring Board: Dalton Wamalwa, Bonface Osano, Peter Ngwatu and Hussein Dossajee.

Contributors JG (primary investigator for the study) took part in design, execution, analysis and presentation of the study results. AL (content expert) and FW (statistics expert) took part in design and analysis of the study with input on manuscript preparation and presentation of results.

Funding Aga Khan University, Nairobi; Sai Pharmaceutical Distributors, Nairobi, Kenya.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval Ethics Review Board of the Aga Khan University Hospital, Nairobi, and Scientific and Ethics Committee of the Kenyatta National Hospital/University of Nairobi.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

REFERENCES

1. Organisation WH. *Global burden of disease estimates*. Geneva: World Health Organisation, 2007.
2. Onyango D, Angienda P. Epidemiology of waterborne diarrheal diseases among children aged 6–36 months old in Busia—Western Kenya. *Int Sci Index* 2010;4:1001–8.
3. UNICEF. Statistics by topic. Child health. Diarrhoeal disease. Current status and progress. (Updated June 2016). <http://data.unicef.org/child-health/diarrhoeal-disease.html> (accessed 8 Aug 2016)
4. Matheson AL, Noble S. Racecadotril. *Drugs* 2000;59:829–35.
5. Christophe F. Role of anti-diarrhoeal drugs as adjunctive therapies for acute diarrhoea in children. *Int J Pediatr* 2013;2013:1–14.
6. Limited Abbot Health Products. SPC, Hidrasec Infant 10mg granules for oral suspension. 2014. (Updated 9 April 2014) https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/27109/SPC/Hidrasec+Infant+10mg+granules+for+oral+suspension/#PHARMACOKINETIC_PROPS (accessed 25 May 2014).



7. Leher P, Chéron GA, Guillermo A, *et al.* Racecadotril for childhood gastroenteritis: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *Dig Liver Dis* 2011;43:707–13.
8. Cojocaru B, Bocquet N, Timsit S, *et al.* Effect of racecadotril in the management of acute diarrhea in infants and children. *Arch Pediatr* 2002;8:774–9.
9. Baumer P, Joulin Y. Pre- and post-marketing safety profiles of racecadotril sachets, a “new” antidiarrhoeal drug. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2009;48(Suppl 3):E99–E100.
10. Group BMJ. BNF for children: the Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain, and RCPCH Publications Ltd, 2013.
11. World Health Organization DoCaAHaD. *The treatment of diarrhoea, a manual for physicians and other senior health workers—4th rev.* Geneva: World Health Organization, Department of Child and Adolescent Health and Development, Development DoCaAHa, 2005.
12. Ruuska T, Vesikari T. Rotavirus disease in Finnish children: use of numerical scores for clinical severity of diarrhoeal episodes. *Scand J Infect Dis* 1990;22:259–67.
13. Lewis K. Vesikari Clinical Severity Scoring System Manual. PATH, 2011 2nd May 2011. Report No.: Version 1.3.
14. Lewis S, Heaton K. Stool form scale as a useful guide to intestinal transit time. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1997;32:920–4.
15. Regulatory Support Center (RSC) OfPiCROO, of the Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (DAIDS). *Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases table for grading the severity of adult and pediatric adverse events.* Regulatory support center (RSC), Medical Research Council, (DAIDS) DoAaID, 2004. clarification 2009 version 1.0.
16. Schnadower D, Tarr PI, Gorelick MH, *et al.* Validation of the Modified Vesikari Score in Children with Gastroenteritis in 5 U.S. Emergency Departments. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2013;57:514–19.
17. Santos M, Rafael M, Miguez C, *et al.* Use of racecadotril as outpatient treatment for acute gastroenteritis: a prospective, randomized, parallel study. *J Pediatr* 2009;155:62–7.
18. Salazar-Lindo E, Santisteban-Ponce J, Chea-Woo E, *et al.* Racecadotril in the treatment of acute watery diarrhea in children. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:463–7.
19. Jean P, François D, Meyer M, *et al.* Efficacy and tolerability of racecadotril in acute diarrhea in children. *Gastroenterology* 2001;120:799–805.
20. Savita MR. Racecadotril—a novel drug for treatment of acute watery diarrhea in Indian children. Karnataka Pedicon 2005; Karnataka, India: Pediatric on call. 2005. (Updated 1 Jan 2006). http://www.pediatriconcall.com/for-doctor/Conference_abstracts/racecadotril.asp (accessed 20 Sep 2013).
21. Alfred G, Shai A, Dominique G, *et al.* European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition/European Society for pediatric infectious diseases. Evidence-based guidelines for the management of acute gastroenteritis in children in Europe: update 2014. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2014;59:132–52.
22. Osano B, Kamenwa R, Wamalwa D, *et al.* Short term clinical outcome of children with rotavirus infection at Kenyatta National Hospital Nairobi. *East Afr Med J* 2010;87:242–7.
23. Alfredo G, Christophe D, Alexander V, *et al.* The management of acute diarrhea in children in developed and developing areas: from evidence base to clinical practice. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 2012;13:17–26.