Effect of glutathione S-transferase gene polymorphisms on semen quality in patients with idiopathic male infertility Journal of International Medical Research 49(12) 1–11 © The Author(s) 2021 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/03000605211061045 journals.sagepub.com/home/imr



Hongyan Zhang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Jun He<sup>1,\*</sup>, Yili Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Qifei Wu<sup>2</sup>, Tiejun Zou<sup>3</sup>, Jianhua Sun<sup>4</sup>, Haitao Zhu<sup>4</sup>, Xinyang Wang<sup>2</sup>, Fa Sun<sup>1</sup>, Junping Xing<sup>2</sup> and Kaifa Tang<sup>1,5</sup>

### Abstract

**Objective:** To investigate the relationship between glutathione S-transferase enzyme (GSTM1, T1, and P1) genetic variants and semen quality in men with idiopathic infertility.

**Methods:** Sperm characteristics were measured using computer-assisted sperm analysis. The malondialdehyde (MDA), nitric oxide (NO), and total antioxidant capacity (TAC) activities were detected by spectroscopic analysis, and 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) was detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

**Results:** This study included 246 idiopathic infertile men and 117 controls. The GSTMI(-), TI(-), and MI/TI(-/-) genotype frequencies significantly differed between the groups. The GSTMI(-) and TI(-) genotypes in idiopathic infertile men negatively correlated with sperm concentration, motility, mitochondrial membrane potential, and other parameters. However, these genotypes positively correlated with the amplitude of the lateral head displacement and NO and 8-OHdG levels. The GSTMI(-) genotype positively correlated with mean angular displacement and MDA activity. GSTMI(-) and TI(-) had a synergistic effect on semen quality. Sperm motility, normal morphology, straightness, and TAC were lower and amplitude of lateral

<sup>2</sup>The First Affiliated Hospital of the Medical College of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

<sup>3</sup>People's Hospital of Shaanxi Province, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China <sup>5</sup>Institute of Medical Science of Guizhou Medical University, Guiyang, Guizhou, China

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.

#### **Corresponding author:**

Kaifa Tang, Department of Urology, The Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou Medical University, Guiyi Street, Guiyang, Guizhou 550004, China. Email: tangkaifa@gmc.edu.cn

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou Medical University, Guiyang, Guizhou, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Northwest Women's and Children's Hospital, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

head displacement and MDA were higher in the GSTPI(A/G + G/G) group than in the GSTPI(A/A) group among men with idiopathic infertility.

**Conclusions:** GSTM1, T1, and P1 genetic variants may be risk factors for infertility by affecting the semen quality men with idiopathic oligoasthenospermia.

#### Keywords

Glutathione S-transferase, genetic variant, idiopathic male infertility, sperm, semen, oxidative stress

Date received: 9 June 2021; accepted: 29 October 2021

## Introduction

Male infertility is a complex condition observed globally that is defined as the failure of a couple to achieve pregnancy after at least one year of unprotected, regular sexual intercourse. This condition is estimated to affect 10% to 15% of couples.<sup>1–3</sup> In these cases, the only abnormality is observed by semen analysis, and this phenomenon occurs in approximately 30% of all male infertility cases.<sup>4-6</sup> Moderate reactive oxygen species (ROS) production is essential for sperm-oocyte fusion and normal sperm function, but excessive ROS generation can lead to oxidative stress (OS). This may result in damage to sperm DNA and impair fertilization.<sup>7,8</sup>

Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) comprise а superfamily of ubiquitously expressed multifunctional enzymes that play very important roles in phase II cellular detoxification and bioactivation reactions, as well as in protecting cells against OS. Therefore, these enzymes have been considered antioxidant enzymes. The GSTM1, T1, and P1 genes are located on chromosomes 1p13.3, 22q11, and 11q13, respectively.9,10 GSTM1, T1, and P1 variants can affect the binding affinity or cellular activity of these enzymes. The genotype notations for GSTM1/T1 are (-/-) for homozygotes, (+/-) for heterozygotes, and (+/+) for wild-type. The genotype notations for GSTP1 are (G/G) for homozygotes, (A/G) for heterozygotes, and (A/A) for wild-type.<sup>11,12</sup> Genetic variants in GST genes may influence the activity of these enzymes and further disturb the balance of the detoxification system, thereby increasing individual host susceptibility to OS damage and possibly lead to male infertility.<sup>13-15</sup>

Research has shown that men in Iran or India with the GSTM1(-) and/or GSTT1(-) genotypes displayed an increased risk of developing infertility.<sup>16,17</sup> The GSTT1(-) genotype was related to a reduced sperm count and concentration in semen in men from the USA.<sup>18</sup> Our past studies found that the GSTT1(-) genotype led to a predisposition to sporadic idiopathic oligospermia or azoospermia, and that the GSTM1(-) and GSTT1(-) genotypes may lead to increased oxidative damage in sperm in male infertility cases associated with varicoceles.<sup>19-21</sup>

The purpose of this study was to prospectively examine the effect of metabolic enzyme gene polymorphisms on semen quality in patients with idiopathic male infertility.

## Materials and methods

### Subjects

The present case-control study included male patients diagnosed with primary idiopathic infertility from November 2014 to December 2018 in the First Affiliated Hospital of the Medical College of Xi'an Jiaotong University. These diagnoses were based on the failure to conceive after 1 year of unprotected intercourse and abnormal semen parameters according to the guidelines of the World Health Organization (WHO).<sup>22</sup> The patients were required to provide evidence that their wives were healthy and had no specific characteristics associated with delayed conception. Healthy men whose wives had a history of giving birth in the previous 2 years served as the control group. All individuals provided written informed consent prior to the study. Participants were instructed to complete a brief questionnaire addressing lifestyle variables, any history of disease, and age. According to the medical records and chromosomal examinations, men with varicoceles, sex chromosomal aneuploidy/ mosaicism, or Yq microdeletion were excluded. Patients were excluded from this research if they reported drug consumption, excessive alcohol intake (defined as drinking more than 50 mL of alcohol per day for at least 6 months), smoking, urogenital infections, hypogonadism, or leukocytospermia.<sup>20</sup> This research was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of the Medical College of Xi'an Jiaotong University (Trial registration: Current Controlled Trials ChiCTRIP R14005580, 23 November 2014).

### Sperm collection and analysis

Semen samples were collected by masturbation after sexual abstinence for 3 to 5 days. Leukospermic or viscous semen was excluded. Sperm characteristics were measured by computer-assisted semen analysis (CASA) (WLJY-9000, Weili New Century, Beijing, China) for all participants. Spermatozoa were separated by centrifugation at  $300 \times g$  for 10 minutes, and seminal plasma was used for biochemical assays.<sup>20</sup>

### GST gene variants

An AxyPrep TM Genomic DNA Miniprep Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, USA) was used to isolate genomic DNA from blood samples. The GSTM1 and GSTT1 genotypes were identified by multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using published primer sequences as follows: GSTM1 (forward, 5'-GAA CTC CCT GAA AAG CTA AAG C-3'; reverse, 5'-GTT GGG CTC AAA TAT ACG GTG G-3') and GSTT1 (forward, 5'-TTC CTT ACT GGT CCT CAC ATC TC-3'; reverse, 5'-TCA CCG GAT CAT GGC CAG CA-3'). The PCR products for GSTM1 and GSTT1 were 215 bp and 480 bp, respectively. Additionally, a 400-bp fragment for the β-actin gene (forward, 5'-ACT CCC CAT CCC AAG ACC-3'; reverse, 5'-CCT TAA TGT CAC GCA CGA T-3') was used as an internal control for DNA amplification. The GSTP1 genotype was identified by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP). The GSTP1 primers were as follows: forward, 5'-ACC CCA GGG CTC TAT GGG AA-3'; reverse, 5'-TGA GGG CAC AAG CCC CT-3'. The PCR product was digested with BsmAI. Homozygous Ile-Ile (A/A) individuals had a single 177-bp fragment, homozygous Val-Val (G/G) individuals had 85- and 92-bp fragments, and heterozygous Ile-Val (A/G) individuals had 85-, 92-, and 177-bp fragments, as described previously.<sup>20</sup> Blank control samples used double distilled water in place of DNA in the reactions.

## Measurement of nitric oxide (NO), malondialdehyde (MDA), and total antioxidant capacity (TAC)

MDA and NO activities and the TAC of seminal plasma were measured using commercial assay kits (Jiancheng, Nanjing, China) and by spectroscopic analysis, as described previously.<sup>20,23</sup>

# Detection of 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) levels

The total sperm DNA was extracted by the Chelex method: 200 µL of sperm suspension from each of the patients was extracted by adding 150 µL 5% Chelex-100 resin (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). Samples were vortexed for 10 minutes and spun for 3 minutes at 12,000 rpm. The mixture was incubated at 56°C for 3 to 6 hours, then vortexed for 10 minutes and spun for 3 minutes at 12,000 rpm. Samples were incubated at 100°C for 10 minutes and spun for 3 minutes at 12,000 rpm, then collected and stored at 4°C for a follow-up experiment. Quantitation of total sperm DNA was determined by an ultra-micro spectrophotometer microporous plate (BioTek Epoch Company, Winooski, VT, USA). The levels of 8-OHdG were evaluated using a commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Highly Sensitive 8-OHdG Check ELISA; Fukuroi, Japan), previously Shizuoka, as described 24-25

## Sperm chromatin structure assay (SCSA)

SCSA measures the susceptibility of sperm DNA to acid-induced denaturation *in situ* by using the metachromatic properties of acridine orange (AO). By quantifying this metachromatic shift of AO from green to red after acid treatment using flow cytometry, the extent of DNA denaturation can be determined. The sperm DNA fragmentation index (DFI) was determined by SCSA as previously described.<sup>26,27</sup>

# Sperm mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP)

MMP regulates the intact functional mitochondria and is directly associated with the motility of spermatozoa. The sperm MMP was detected by flow cytometry using 5,5',6,6'-tetrachloro-1,1',3,3'-tetraethyl-benzimidazolyl-carbocyanine iodide (JC-1) molecular probes.<sup>28</sup>

## Statistical analysis

All data are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Data on general sperm parameters, sperm motility parameters, oxidative stress parameters, the DFI, and the 8-OH-dG levels were analyzed using a t-test. The differences in the frequencies of the GST genotypes between groups were analyzed using the chi-square test and the correlations between the GSTs genotypes and semen parameters were examined using regression analysis. The odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) are reported. A two-tailed P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS software version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

## Results

The present case–control study included 246 male patients diagnosed with primary idiopathic infertility. A total of 117 healthy men were included in the control group. The mean age of the patients was  $27.2 \pm 3.6$  years. The mean age of the control group was  $26.7 \pm 4.8$  years. No distinct differences were found between the patients

and the controls concerning age, lifestyle, or environmental exposure. In this study, the levels of testosterone, follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), and luteinizing hormone (LH) were not noticeably different between the patients and healthy controls. The results show that all genotypes examined were consistent with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). The frequencies of alleles GSTM1(+) and GSTM1(-) were consistent with p and q (=1-p). The GSTT1 and GSTP1 genotypes also followed this rule (Table 1).

### Genetic variants of GSTM1, T1, and P1

The frequencies of the various GSTM1, T1, and *P1* polymorphism genotypes in patients and controls are displayed in Table 1. The frequency of the GSTM1(-) genotype was 41.88% in the control group and 60.57% in the patient group (P = 0.001; OR=2.132; 95% CI = 1.363-3.335). The frequency of the GSTT1(-) genotype was 47.86% in the control group and 62.60% in the patient group (P = 0.008;OR=1.832: 95% CI = 1.168 - 2.846). The frequency of the GSTM1/T1(-/-) genotype was 14.53% in the control group and 38.62% in the patient

# Associations between GST variants and general and motility parameters in sperm

The sperm concentration, motility, and viability were lower in the GSTM1(-) and T1(-) genotype groups than in the GSTM1(+)and Tl(+) genotype groups (P < 0.01 for both). The percentage of sperm cells with normal morphology was lower in the GSTM1(-) genotype group than in the GSTM1(+) genotype group (P < 0.01). Furthermore, sperm concentration, sperm motility, sperm viability, and percentage of sperm with normal morphology were conspicuously lower in the GSTM1/T1(-/-) group compared with the GSTM1/ T1(+/+)genotype group (P < 0.01).Sperm motility was lower in the GSTP1 (A/G + G/G) group than in the GSTP1(A/G)A) group (P = 0.015). Linearity (LIN), curvilinear velocity (VCL), path velocity

Group	Controls Number (%) of subjects	Cases Number (%) of subjects	χ2	P-value	OR (95% CI)
Number	117	246	_	_	_
GSTM1(+)	68 (58.12)	97 (39.43)	_	_	_
(-)	49 (41.88)	149 (60.57)	11.170	0.001	2.132 (1.363-3.335)
GSTTI (+)	61 (52.14)	92 (37.40)	_	_	_ ` ` `
(-)	56 (47.86)	154 (62.60)	7.063	0.008	1.823 (1.168–2.846)
GSTM1/T1(+/+)	29 (24.79)	38 (15.45)	_	_	_
(+/-)	39 (33.33)	59 (23.98)	_	_	_
(-/+)	32 (27.35)	54 (21.95)	_	_	_
(-/-)	17 (14.53)	95 (38.62) <sup>a</sup>	21.564	<0.001	3.701 (2.083-6.575)
GSTPI (A/A)	85 (72.65)	167 (67.89)	_	_	
(A/G+G/G)	32 (27.35)	79 (32.11)	0.847	0.357	1.257 (0.772–2.044)

Table 1. The distribution of the glutathione S-transferase (GST) genotypes in patients and controls.

+, wild-type genotype; –, homozygote genotype; a, compared with GSTM1/T1(+/+); OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; GST, glutathione S-transferase.

(VAP), straight-line velocity (VSL), beat cross frequency (BCF), straightness (STR), and wobble (WOB) were significantly lower and the amplitude of lateral head displacement (ALH) was higher in the GSTM1(-), T1(-), and M1/T1(-/-) genotype groups than in the GSTM1(+), T1(+), and M1/T1(+/+) genotype groups (P < 0.01 for all). STR was lower and ALH was higher in the GSTP1(A/G + G/G) genotype group compared with the GSTP1(A/A) genotype group group (P < 0.05) (Tables 2 and 3).

# Associations between GST variants and OS, MMP, and DFI of sperm

NO and 8-OHdG levels were higher in the GSTM1(-), T1(-), and M1/T1(-)genotype groups than those in the GSTM1 (+), TI(+), and MI/TI(+/+) genotype groups (P < 0.01 for both). MDA activity was higher in the GSTT1(-) and M1/T1(-/-) genotype groups than in the GSTT1 (+) and M1/T1(+/+) genotype groups (P < 0.05, P < 0.01, respectively). TAC was much lower in the GSTM1/T(-/-) genotype group than in the GSTM1/T1(+/+)genotype group (P < 0.01). The NO and 8-OHdG levels were higher and TAC was lower in the GSTP1(A/G + G/G) genotype group than in the GSTP1(A|A) genotype group (P < 0.05) (Tables 3 and 4).

The sperm MMP was lower in the GSTM1(-), T1(-), and M1/T1(-/-) genotype groups than in the GSTM1(+), T1(+), and M1/T1(+/+) genotype groups (P < 0.01 for all). However, there was no significant difference between the GSTP1 (A/G+G/G) genotype group and the GSTP1(A/A) genotype group (P=0.085) (Tables 2 and 4).

The sperm DFI was significantly higher in the GSTTI(-) and MI/TI(-/-) genotype groups compared with the GSTTI(+)and MI/TI(+/+) genotype groups (P < 0.01 for both). However, there were no obvious differences between the GSTM1(-) and P1(A/G + G/G) genotype groups or between the GSTM1(-) and P1(A/G + G/G) genotype groups (P = 0.063, P = 0.347, respectively) (Tables 3 and 4).

## Discussion

The underlying importance of GSTs in male reproductive function has been demonstrated by their presence in testis, seminiferous tubule fluid, and sperm.<sup>18,29</sup> Developing and maturing sperm were also shown to be hypersensitive to lipid peroxidative and DNA damage caused by ROS, and this damage has been related to male infertility.<sup>30,31</sup> Significant differences in the distribution of GST gene variants have been demonstrated among various populations and in patients with different diseases.<sup>32</sup> According to the current study, the distribution of variants in the GSTM1, T1, and M1/T1 genes were significantly different between the patient and control groups. Previous work suggested that the GSTT1 (-) genotype had strong effects on the sperm concentration and count, suggesting the importance of this enzyme.<sup>18</sup> Other studies have shown that participants with the GSTM1(-) genotype had reduced sperm motility and concentration compared with participants with the GSTM1(+) genotype in both the patient and control groups.<sup>15,17</sup> However, sperm concentration and motility were significantly lower in patients with the GSTM1-null genotype compared with patients with the GSTM1 wild-type genotype, but this was not observed in controls.<sup>16,33</sup> In this research, the sperm concentration, motility, and viability, percentage of sperm with normal morphology, VCL, VSL, VAP, LIN, STR, BCF, and WOB values were lower and the ALH value was higher in patients with the GSTM1(-), T1(-), and M1/T1(-/-) genotypes compared with patients with the GSTM1(+), T1(+), and M1/T1(+/+) genotypes. Patients simultaneously carrying the

Table 2. The ge	meral sperm par	Table 2. The general sperm parameters and motility parameters of each group.	tility parameters	of each group.				
Group	ц	Age (years)	Semen volume (mL)	Sperm concentration (×10 <sup>6</sup> cells/mL)	Sperm viability (%)	Sperm motility (%)	Normal sperm morphology (%)	
Cases GSTM1(+) (-) GSTT1(+) (-) (-) GSTM1/T1(+/+) (-/+) (-/+) (-/+) (-/+) (-/-) (-	246 97 149 92 154 38 59 55 167 79 79 VSL (µm/s) 79 VSL (µm/s) 22.54 ± 8.61 24.99 ± 9.30 20.94 ± 7.75* 24.69 ± 9.30 20.94 ± 7.75* 21.25 ± 7.87* 23.03 ± 8.40 19.75 ± 7.14* 23.03 ± 8.40 19.75 ± 7.14* 23.03 ± 8.40 19.75 ± 7.14* 23.03 ± 8.40 19.75 ± 7.14*	$\begin{array}{c} 27.2 \pm 3.6\\ 27.5 \pm 4.7\\ 27.5 \pm 4.7\\ 27.5 \pm 4.7\\ 27.6 \pm 2.6\\ 26.8 \pm 4.0\\ 25.9 \pm 4.7\\ 28.6 \pm 4.4\\ 27.5 \pm 3.3\\ 28.6.7 \pm 2.1\\ 26.7 \pm 2.1\\ 26.9 \pm 4.5\\ 27.5 \pm 3.3\\ 26.7 \pm 2.1\\ 26.9 \pm 4.5\\ 27.64 \pm 9.93\\ 26.12 \pm 4.5\\ 28.1 \pm 2.2\\ VAP \left(\mu m/s\right)\\ 26.12 \pm 9.69\\ 21.23 \pm 9.46*\\ 25.12 \pm 7.90\\ 25.01 \pm 9.46*\\ 25.12 \pm 7.90\\ 23.05 \pm 10.56\\ \end{array}$	3.1 ± 1.4 3.1 ± 1.3 3.0 ± 1.6 3.2 ± 1.1 3.0 ± 1.6 3.2 ± 1.1 3.0 ± 1.5 3.2 ± 1.1 3.0 ± 1.5 3.2 ± 1.1 3.0 ± 1.2 3.2 ± 1.6 MAD (°) MAD (°) 55.46 ± 11.49 59.15 ± 9.53 59.15 ± 9.53 59.15 ± 9.53 59.15 ± 11.20 58.18 ± 10.46 56.34 ± 11.71 60.74 ± 7.66* 59.84 ± 12.05	Gases         246 $27.2\pm3.6$ $31\pm1.4$ $11.67\pm5.33$ $38.81\pm11.71$ $23.36\pm11.98$ $42.78\pm14.35$ $G5TTI(+)$ $97$ $27.5\pm4.7$ $31\pm1.3$ $13.48\pm5.11$ $43.40\pm10.62$ $34.98\pm9.7$ $46.90\pm14.76$ $G5TTI(+)$ $97$ $27.5\pm4.7$ $31\pm1.3$ $13.48\pm5.11$ $43.40\pm10.62$ $34.98\pm9.7$ $46.90\pm14.76$ $G5TTI(+)$ $92$ $25.62\pm4.3$ $3.2\pm1.1$ $13.35\pm5.32$ $41.06\pm14.11$ $G5TTI(+)$ $54$ $27.5\pm3.3$ $3.0\pm1.6$ $0.04\pm4.5.13$ $35.6\pm11.16$ $40.46\pm16.01$ $(-)$ $59$ $28.6\pm4.4$ $31\pm1.5$ $11.24\pm5.19$ $33.2\pm1.14$ $11.24\pm5.19$ $30.75-4.16.01$ $(-)$ $59$ $28.6\pm4.4$ $31\pm1.5$ $90.9\pm4.46.05$ $50.5\pm1.12.9^4$ $(-)$ $55$ $267\pm4.21$ $3.0\pm1.6$ $90.4\pm1.12.88$ $39.67\pm1.2.19^4$ $(-)$ $56.9\pm4.7$ $31\pm1.6$ $11.24\pm5.39$ $30.7\pm1.2.19^4$ $30.7\pm1.2.19^4$ $(-)$ $55$ $28.12.2$ $30.4\pm1.1.20$	38.81 ± 11.71 38.81 ± 11.71 43.40 ± 10.62 35.82 ± 11.45* 44.11 ± 10.92* 35.64 ± 11.03 51.44 ± 4.55 38.23 ± 10.19 38.96 ± 11.16 34.04 ± 11.28* 37.61 ± 10.31 LIN (%) 57.90 ± 12.76 63.58 ± 12.02 54.19 ± 11.86* 62.75 ± 12.45 55.00 ± 12.07* 71.66 ± 9.20 58.39 ± 10.71 56.20 ± 11.17 56.20 ± 11.17 56.20 ± 11.17 56.23 ± 12.43* 56.33 ± 13.73 e velocity, VAP, patl	29.36 ± 11.98 34.98 ± 9.97 25.70 ± 11.79* 35.37 ± 9.79* 25.76 ± 11.75 41.48 ± 6.05 30.79 ± 9.77 31.07 ± 9.68 22.64 ± 11.84* 30.83 ± 8.78 27.91 ± 9.87# VVOB (%) 52.58 ± 11.05 55.69 ± 11.05 55.69 ± 11.05 55.69 ± 11.04 50.71 ± 10.65* 61.02 ± 11.04 51.96 ± 8.67 49.86 ± 11.20* 51.96 ± 8.67 49.86 ± 11.20* 51.62 ± 11.20* 51.62 ± 11.20*	42.78 ± 14.39 46.90 ± 14.76 40.10 ± 13.53* 44.65 ± 14.74 11.66 ± 14.11 50.05 ± 11.41 44.87 ± 16.34 40.64 ± 16.01 39.67 ± 12.19* 43.81 ± 12.81 41.28 ± 13.48 ALH (μm) 16.46 ± 5.02 15.45 ± 4.61 17.12 ± 5.18* 17.12 ± 5.18* 17.12 ± 5.18* 17.12 ± 5.18* 17.12 ± 5.18* 17.12 ± 5.18* 17.12 ± 5.15 17.68 ± 5.36* 14.92 ± 5.70 17.68 ± 5.35* 17.68 ± 5.35*	$\begin{array}{c} \text{BCF}(\text{s}^{-1})\\ \text{BCF}(\text{s}^{-1})\\ 12.04\pm5.23\\ 13.14\pm5.49\\ 11.32\pm4.95*\\ 13.41\pm5.33\\ 13.41\pm5.33\\ 13.24\pm5.79\\ 11.32\pm5.02*\\ 14.34\pm5.79\\ 12.37\pm5.19\\ 12.37\pm5.19\\ 12.36\pm4.93\\ 12.90\pm5.36\\ 12.90\pm5.36\\ 11.82\pm4.41\\ \text{ment; STR,} \end{array}$
straightness; LIN, li	nearity; WOB, wc	obble; ALH, lateral	head displacement	straightness; LIN, linearity; WOB, wobble; ALH, lateral head displacement; BCF, beat cross frequency.	quency.			

	GSTMI	(-)			GSTTI (-	-)			GSTMI	/TI(-/-)	
Outcome	β	P-value	95% CI		β	P-value	95% CI		β	P-value	95% CI
Volume	-0.034	0.598	-0.472	0.272	-0.049	0.444	-0.552	0.229	-0.060	0.352	-0.550 0.196
Concentration	-0.275	<0.001	-4.312	-1.672	-0.226	< 0.001	-3.832	-1.131	-0.265	< 0.001	-4.244 -1.567
Vitality	-0.317	< 0.001	-10.442	-4.722	-0.351	$<\!0.001$	-11.323	-5.681	-0.324	< 0.001	-10.639 - 4.912
Motility	-0.379	< 0.001	-12.134	-6.424	-0.389	$<\!0.001$	-12.478	-6.736	-0.445	< 0.001	-13.712 $-8.166$
Morphology	-0.23 I	< 0.001	-10.404	-3.194	-0.101	0.116	-6.709	0.738	-0.172	0.007	-8.728 -1.399
VCL	-0.246	< 0.001	-10.753	-3.620	-0.181	0.004	-8.989	-1.678	-0.244	< 0.001	-10.737 $-3.573$
VSL	-0.230	< 0.001	-6.205	-1.890	-0.194	0.002	-5.636	-1.243	-0.257	< 0.001	-6.868 - 2.385
VAP	-0.256	< 0.001	-7.860	-2.785	-0.207	0.001	-6.942	-1.754	-0.243	< 0.001	-7.625 -2.512
MAD	0.122	0.056	-0.069	5.111	0.206	0.001	1.720	6.879	0.203	0.001	1.653 5.783
STR	-0.341	< 0.001	-10.684	-5.176	-0.284	<0.00 l	-9.494	-3.818	-0.282	< 0.001	-9.391 -3.747
LIN	-0.361	< 0.001	-12.454	-6.327	-0.295	<0.00 l	-10.924	-4.584	-0.312	< 0.001	-11.285 - 5.020
WOB	-0.023	< 0.001	-7.907	-2.368	-0.219	0.001	-7.789	-2.183	-0.203	0.001	-7.394 -1.804
ALH	0.162	0.011	0.388	2.939	0.129	0.044	0.039	2.629	0.193	0.002	0.716 3.263
BCF	-0.170	0.007	-3.150	-0.494	-0.203	0.001	-3.528	-0.862	-0.233	< 0.001	-3.817 -1.186
DFI	0.119	0.063	-0.155	5.696	0.207	0.001	1.964	7.787	0.194	0.002	1.639 7.441
MMP	-0.286	< 0.001	-13.372	-5.421	-0.265	$<\!0.001$	-12.856	-4.777	-0.404	< 0.001	-17.151 - 9.533
8-OH-dG	0.455	< 0.001	20.130	33.330	0.347	<0.001	13.555	27.597	0.494	<0.001	22.686 35.620
MDA	0.101	0.114	-0.418	3.891	0.135	0.034	0.175	4.509	0.127	0.046	0.035 4.345
NO	0.294	<0.001	3.022	7.212	0.241	< 0.001	2.083	6.380	0.310	< 0.001	3.326 7.509
TAC	-0.125	0.051	-3.107	0.004	-0.117	0.066	-3.046	0.099	-0.121	0.058	-3.073 0.051

**Table 3.** Regression analysis of the GSTMI(-), TI(-), and semen quality in idiopathic oligozoospermic infertility.

GST, glutathione S-transferase; VCL, curvilinear velocity; VSL, straight-line velocity; VAP, path velocity; MAD, mean angular displacement; STR, straightness; LIN, linearity; WOB, wobble; ALH, lateral head displacement; BCF, beat cross frequency; DFI, DNA fragmentation index; MMP, mitochondrial membrane potential; MDA, malondialdehyde; NO, nitric oxide; TAC, total antioxidant capacity; 8-OH-dG, 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

GSTM1(-) and T1(-) genotypes showed effects on both the general parameters and motility parameters of the sperm. A mutation in GSTP1 may cause sperm motility and STR to decrease and ALH to increase.

Sperm viability could be easily evaluated by determining the inner MMP in sperm cells. The energy status of the mitochondria is indicated by the MMP, which regulates the function of mitochondria and is directly related to the motility of sperm.<sup>34,35</sup> In this study, we found that the sperm MMP was lower in the GSTM1(-), T1(-), and M1/T1(-/-) genotype groups, which may indicate a significant negative correlation between the GSTM1(-) and T1(-) genotypes and MMP.

Previous epidemiological studies have suggested that the GSTM1(-) and T1(-)

genotypes that give rise to a lack of functional protein were related to an increased susceptibility to diseases associated with OS. They also indicated that sperm were vulnerable to oxidative damage and that excessive ROS generation may result in male subfertility or infertility.<sup>36,37</sup> Barati et al. analyzed the GSTM1 and GSTT1 null genotypes that could be considered genetic risk factors for male infertility, interfering with some oxidative stress markers in infertile men. Their findings are consistent with our research results.38 In this study, the GSTM1(-), T1(-), and PI(A/G + G/G) genotypes were associated with increased NO and 8-OHdG levels, and the GSTT1(-) genotype was associated with increased MDA levels. While patients concurrently carrying the GSTM1 and T1

			•				
<u> </u>		MDA	NO	TAC	8-OH-dG	JC-I <sup>+</sup> (%)	
Group	n	(nmol/mL)	(nmol/mL)	(units/mL)	(pg/mL)	(MMP)	DFI (%)
Cases	246	$\textbf{24.34} \pm \textbf{8.41}$	$\textbf{32.46} \pm \textbf{8.5I}$	$15.83 \pm 6.09$	$144.25\pm28.78$	49.38 $\pm$ 16.11	$\textbf{24.09} \pm \textbf{11.44}$
						$55.07 \pm 12.98$	$\textbf{22.41} \pm \textbf{9.82}$
GSTM1(+)	97	$\textbf{23.29} \pm \textbf{8.68}$	$\textbf{29.36} \pm \textbf{7.82}$	$16.77 \pm 5.53$	$128.06\pm24.09$	45.68 $\pm$ 16.89*	$25.18 \pm 12.29^{\#}$
(-)	149	$\textbf{25.03} \pm \textbf{8.19}^{\#}$	$\bf 34.48 \pm 8.36^{**}$	$15.22\pm6.37^{\#}$	$154.79 \pm 26.67^{**}$	54.90 $\pm$ 14.43	$\textbf{21.04} \pm \textbf{9.83}$
GSTT1(+)	92	$\textbf{22.88} \pm \textbf{7.23}$	$\textbf{29.81} \pm \textbf{8.66}$	$16.75\pm5.76$	$131.26\pm24.46$	46.09 $\pm$ 16.20*	$\textbf{25.91} \pm \textbf{11.97}^{*}$
(-)	154	$\textbf{25.22} \pm \textbf{8.95}^{\#}$	$\textbf{34.05} \pm \textbf{8.04}^{\texttt{**}}$	$15.28\pm6.23^{\#}$	$151.94 \pm 28.48^{*\!*}$	$\textbf{56.80} \pm \textbf{14.85}$	$\textbf{19.38} \pm \textbf{10.79}$
GSTM1/	38	$\textbf{21.60} \pm \textbf{6.72}$	$\textbf{26.45} \pm \textbf{9.06}$	$\textbf{17.85} \pm \textbf{6.18}$	$116.47 \pm 19.45$	53.96 $\pm$ 11.62	$\textbf{24.36} \pm \textbf{8.69}$
TI(+/+)							
(+/-)	59	$\textbf{24.46} \pm \textbf{9.54}$	$31.24 \pm 6.30$	$\textbf{15.90} \pm \textbf{5.28}$	$135.52\pm23.98$	53.57 $\pm$ 14.12	$\textbf{22.20} \pm \textbf{9.01}$
(-/+)	54	$\textbf{23.87} \pm \textbf{7.39}$	$\textbf{32.18} \pm \textbf{7.60}$	$15.77\pm5.66$	$141.85\pm22.18$	$\textbf{41.19} \pm \textbf{16.76}^{*}$	$\textbf{26.88} \pm \textbf{I3.57}^{*}$
(-/-)	95	$\textbf{25.58} \pm \textbf{8.64}^{*}$	$35.79 \pm 8.53^{*\!*}$	$14.90\pm6.75^*$	162.14 $\pm$ 26.29**	$\textbf{51.28} \pm \textbf{15.98}$	$\textbf{23.89} \pm \textbf{9.64}$
GSTP1(A/A)	167	$\textbf{23.29} \pm \textbf{9.85}$	$\textbf{30.70} \pm \textbf{10.10}$	$\textbf{16.75} \pm \textbf{5.92}$	$140.51 \pm 24.83$	$47.51 \pm 16.10^{\#}$	$\textbf{25.15} \pm \textbf{10.11}^{\#}$
(A/G+G/G)	79	$\textbf{25.21} \pm \textbf{8.13}^{\#}$	$\textbf{34.05} \pm \textbf{7.56}^{\texttt{**}}$	$14.33 \pm 6.51^{**}$	148.04 $\pm$ 22.89*		

**Table 4.** The oxidative stress (OS) level, mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP), and DNA fragmentation index (DFI) of each group.

#P > 0.05 vs. wild-type; \*P < 0.05 vs. wild-type; \*\*P < 0.01 vs wild-type; GST, glutathione S-transferase; MDA, malondialdehyde; NO, nitric oxide; TAC, total antioxidant capacity; 8-OH-dG, 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine; JC-1, 5,5',6,6'-Tetrachloro-1,1',3,3'-tetraethyl-benzimidazolyl-carbocyanine iodide; DFI, DNA fragmentation index.

(-) genotypes could show evidence of increased OS, they could also show a reduction in antioxidant substances.

Sperm DNA fragmentation may negatively affect fertilization, embryogenesis, implantation, and pregnancy.<sup>39-41</sup> In the present study, the sperm DFI was significantly higher in patients with the *GSTT1* (-) and *M1/T1*(-/-) genotypes with idiopathic male infertility than in patients with the *GSTT1*(+) and *M1/T1*(+/+) genotypes without idiopathic male infertility. However, the *GSTM1*(-) and *P1*(A/G+G/G) genotypes had fewer effects on idiopathic male infertility than other genotypes.

## Conclusion

Our results suggest that genetic variants in GSTM1, T1, and P1 may be risk factors for men with idiopathic infertility by affecting semen quality. Significant differences in semen quality resulted from variants in each gene. The patients concurrently carrying the GSTM1(-) and T1(-) genotypes could show increased effects. However, this study is limited by being a single-center

study and having a relatively small sample size. Additionally, we did not completely exclude other genetic abnormalities that may also result in semen abnormalities.

#### **Declaration of conflicting interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

#### Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and publication of this article: Financial support was received from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81660263).

#### **ORCID** iDs

Jun He b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0919-3094 Qifei Wu b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7439-2831 Kaifa Tang b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7578-0679

#### References

1. Gnoth C, Godehardt E, Frank-Herrmann P, et al. Definition and prevalence of

subfertility and infertility. *Hum Reprod* 2005; 20: 1144–1147.

- Coutton C, Fissore RA, Palermo GD, et al. Male Infertility: Genetics, Mechanism, and Therapies. *Biomed Res Int* 2016; 2016: 7372362.
- Agarwal A, Mulgund A, Hamada A, et al. A unique view on male infertility around the globe. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2015; 13: 37.
- 4. Abid S, Maitra A, Meherji P, et al. Clinical and laboratory evaluation of idiopathic male infertility in a secondary referral center in India. *J Clin Lab Anal* 2008; 22: 29–38.
- Cavallini G. Male idiopathic oligoasthenoteratozoospermia. *Asian J Androl* 2006; 8: 143–157.
- Aydos SE, Taspinar M, Sunguroglu A, et al. Association of CYP1A1 and glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms with male factor infertility. *Fertil Steril* 2009; 92: 541–547.
- Alahmar AT. Role of Oxidative Stress in Male Infertility: An Updated Review. *J Hum Reprod Sci* 2019; 12: 4–18.
- Shamsi MB, Venkatesh S, Kumar R, et al. Antioxidant levels in blood and seminal plasma and their impact on sperm parameters in infertile men. *Indian J Biochem Biophys* 2010; 47: 38–43.
- 9. Hayes JD, Flanagan JU and Jowsey IR. Glutathione transferases. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 2005; 45: 51–88.
- Mann CL, Davies MB, Boggild MD, et al. Glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms in MS: their relationship to disability. *Neurology* 2000; 54: 552–557.
- 11. Strange RC, Spiteri MA, Ramachandran S, et al. Glutathione-S-transferase family of enzymes. *Mutat Res* 2001; 482: 21–26.
- Trang NT, Huyen VT, Tuan NT, et al. Association of N-acetyltransferase-2 and glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms with idiopathic male infertility in Vietnam male subjects. *Chem Biol Interact* 2018; 286: 11–16.
- Xu XB, Liu SR, Ying HQ, et al. Null genotype of GSTM1 and GSTT1 may contribute to susceptibility to male infertility with impaired spermatogenesis in Chinese population. *Biomarkers* 2013; 18: 151–154.

- Wu W, Lu J, Tang Q, et al. GSTM1 and GSTT1 null polymorphisms and male infertility risk: an updated meta-analysis encompassing 6934 subjects. *Sci Rep* 2013; 3: 2258.
- Roshdy OH, Hussein TM, Zakaria NH, et al. Glutathione S-transferase Mu-1 gene polymorphism in Egyptian patients with idiopathic male infertility. *Andrologia* 2015; 47: 587–593.
- Safarinejad MR, Shafiei N and Safarinejad S. The association of glutathione-S-transferase gene polymorphisms (GSTM1, GSTT1, GSTP1) with idiopathic male infertility. *J Hum Genet* 2010; 55: 565–570.
- 17. Tirumala Vani G, Mukesh N, Siva Prasad B, et al. Role of glutathione S-transferase Mu-1 (GSTM1) polymorphism in oligospermic infertile males. *Andrologia* 2010; 42: 213–217.
- Olshan AF, Luben TJ, Hanley NM, et al. Preliminary examination of polymorphisms of GSTM1, GSTT1, and GSTZ1 in relation to semen quality. *Mutat Res* 2010; 688: 41–46.
- Wu QF, Xing JP, Tang KF, et al. Genetic polymorphism of glutathione S-transferase T1 gene and susceptibility to idiopathic azoospermia or oligospermia in northwestern China. *Asian J Androl* 2008; 10: 266–270.
- Tang K, Xue W, Xing Y, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of glutathione S-transferase M1, T1, and P1, and the assessment of oxidative damage in infertile men with varicoceles from northwestern China. *J Androl* 2012; 33: 257–263.
- Wang H, Sun F, Xing JP, et al. Correlation between Glutathione S-transferase Polymorphisms and Sperm DNA Integrity in Male Patients with Idiopathic Infertile. *Journal of China Medical University* 2015; 44: 1075–1078.
- 22. World Health Organization. Laboratory manual of the WHO for the examination of human semen and sperm-cervical mucus interaction. *Ann Ist Super Sanita* 2001; 37: 1–123.
- Wu Q, Xing J, Xue W, et al. Influence of polymorphism of glutathione S-transferase T1 on Chinese infertile patients with varicocele. *Fertil Steril* 2009; 91: 960–962.

- Walsh PS, Metzger DA and Higushi R. Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material. BioTechniques 10(4): 506–13 (April 1991). *Biotechniques* 2013; 54: 134–139.
- Niedzwiedz A, Borowicz H, Januszewska L, et al. Serum 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine as a marker of DNA oxidative damage in horses with recurrent airway obstruction. *Acta Vet Scand* 2016; 58: 38.
- Bungum M, Humaidan P, Axmon A, et al. Sperm DNA integrity assessment in prediction of assisted reproduction technology outcome. *Hum Reprod* 2007; 22: 174–179.
- Spanò M, Bonde JP, Hjøllund HI, et al. Sperm chromatin damage impairs human fertility. The Danish First Pregnancy Planner Study Team. *Fertil Steril* 2000; 73: 43–50.
- Troiano L, Ferraresi R, Lugli E, et al. Multiparametric analysis of cells with different mitochondrial membrane potential during apoptosis by polychromatic flow cytometry. *Nat Protoc* 2007; 2: 2719–2727.
- Hemachand T, Gopalakrishnan B, Salunke DM, et al. Sperm plasma-membraneassociated glutathione S-transferases as gamete recognition molecules. *J Cell Sci* 2002; 115: 2053–2065.
- Turner TT and Lysiak JJ. Oxidative stress: a common factor in testicular dysfunction. *J Androl* 2008; 29: 488–498.
- Aitken RJ, De Iuliis GN and McLachlan RI. Biological and clinical significance of DNA damage in the male germ line. *Int J Androl* 2009; 32: 46–56.
- 32. Xiong DK, Chen HH, Ding XP, et al. Association of polymorphisms in glutathione S-transferase genes (GSTM1, GSTT1, GSTP1) with idiopathic azoospermia or oligospermia in Sichuan, China. Asian J Androl 2015; 17: 481–486.

- 33. Aydemir B, Onaran I, Kiziler AR, et al. Increased oxidative damage of sperm and seminal plasma in men with idiopathic infertility is higher in patients with glutathione S-transferase Mu-1 null genotype. *Asian J Androl* 2007; 9: 108–115.
- Agnihotri SK, Agrawal AK, Hakim BA, et al. Mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) regulates sperm motility. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim* 2016; 52: 953–960.
- 35. Marchetti C, Jouy N, Leroy-Martin B, et al. Comparison of four fluorochromes for the detection of the inner mitochondrial membrane potential in human spermatozoa and their correlation with sperm motility. *Hum Reprod* 2004; 19: 2267–2276.
- Bolt HM and Thier R. Relevance of the deletion polymorphisms of the glutathione S-transferases GSTT1 and GSTM1 in pharmacology and toxicology. *Curr Drug Metab* 2006; 7: 613–628.
- Bohanec Grabar P, Logar D, Tomsic M, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of glutathione S-transferases and disease activity of rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2009; 27: 229–236.
- Barati E, Karimian M and Nikzad H. Oxidative stress markers in seminal plasma of idiopathic infertile men may be associated with glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 null genotypes. *Andrologia* 2020; 52: e13703.
- Agarwal A and Said TM. Role of sperm chromatin abnormalities and DNA damage in male infertility. *Hum Reprod Update* 2003; 9: 331–345.
- 40. Lewis SE, John Aitken R, Conner SJ, et al. The impact of sperm DNA damage in assisted conception and beyond: recent advances in diagnosis and treatment. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2013; 27: 325–337.
- Aitken RJ and Krausz C. Oxidative stress, DNA damage and the Y chromosome. *Reproduction* 2001; 122: 497–506.