











RESEARCH ARTICLE

Oxidative physiology is weakly associated with pigmentation in birds

Attila Marton^{1,2}  | Csongor I. Vágási¹  | Orsolya Vincze^{1,3}  | Veronika Bókony⁴  | Péter L. Pap¹  | Laura Pătraș⁵  | Janka Péntes¹ | Lőrinc Bărbos⁶ | Attila Fülöp^{1,7}  | Gergely Osváth^{1,8}  | Simon Ducatez⁹  | Mathieu Giraudeau¹⁰ 

¹Evolutionary Ecology Group, Hungarian Department of Biology and Ecology, Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

²Department of Evolutionary Zoology and Human Biology, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

³Institute of Aquatic Ecology, Centre for Ecological Research, Debrecen, Hungary

⁴Lendület Evolutionary Ecology Research Group, Plant Protection Institute, Centre for Agricultural Research, Eötvös Loránd Research Network, Budapest, Hungary

⁵Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

⁶Milvus Group Bird and Nature Protection Association, Târgu Mureş, Romania

⁷MTA-DE Behavioural Ecology Research Group, Department of Evolutionary Zoology and Human Biology, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

⁸Museum of Zoology, Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

⁹Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD) – UMR 241 EIO (UPF, IRD, Ifremer, ILM), Tahiti, French Polynesia

¹⁰Littoral Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 7266 CNRS – La Rochelle Université, La Rochelle, France

Correspondence

Csongor I. Vágási, Evolutionary Ecology Group, Hungarian Department of Biology and Ecology, Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania.
Email: csvagasi@gmail.com

Mathieu Giraudeau, Littoral Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 7266 CNRS – La Rochelle Université, La Rochelle, France.
Email: giraudeau.mathieu@gmail.com

Funding information

Magyar Tudományos Akadémia, Grant/Award Number: K135016; Ministerul Cercetării și Inovării, Grant/Award Number: PN-III-P1-1.1-TE-2021-0502 and PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2016-0404; Nemzeti Kutatási Fejlesztési és Innovációs Hivatal, Grant/Award Number: ÚNKP-21-4-DE-369, ÚNKP-21-5 and ÚNKP-21-5-DE-480

Abstract

The mechanistic link between avian oxidative physiology and plumage coloration has attracted considerable attention in past decades. Hence, multiple proximal hypotheses were proposed to explain how oxidative state might covary with the production of melanin and carotenoid pigments. Some hypotheses underscore that these pigments (or their precursors, e.g., glutathione) have antioxidant capacities or function as molecules storing the toxic excess of intracellular compounds, while others highlight that these pigments can act as pro-oxidants under specific conditions. Most studies addressing these associations are at the intraspecific level, while phylogenetic comparative studies are still scarce, though needed to assess the generality of these associations. Here, we tested whether plumage and bare part coloration were related to oxidative physiology at an interspecific level by measuring five oxidative physiology markers (three nonenzymatic antioxidants and two markers of lipid peroxidative damage) in 1387 individuals of 104 European bird species sampled during the breeding season, and by scoring plumage eumelanin, pheomelanin, and carotenoid content for each sex and species. Only the plasma level of reactive oxygen metabolites

Attila Marton and Csongor I. Vágási are shared first authorship.

Simon Ducatez and Mathieu Giraudeau are shared last authorship.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. *Ecology and Evolution* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

was related to melanin coloration, being positively associated with eumelanin score and negatively with pheomelanin score. Thus, our results do not support the role of antioxidant glutathione in driving variation in melanin synthesis across species. Furthermore, the carotenoid scores of feathers and bare parts were unrelated to the measured oxidative physiology parameters, further suggesting that the marked differences in pigmentation across birds does not influence their oxidative state.

KEYWORDS

antioxidant, carotenoid, eumelanin, glutathione, oxidative stress, pheomelanin

TAXONOMY CLASSIFICATION

Ecophysiology

1 | INTRODUCTION

The large variation in bird plumage coloration among individuals, populations, and species has attracted considerable attention from evolutionary biologists (Hill & McGraw, 2006). However, to better understand how this great variety of plumage colors and patterning evolved, it is essential to determine how these colors are produced and how they relate to the individuals' inner state (Hill & Johnson, 2012; McGraw et al., 2010; Weaver et al., 2017). In recent years, there has been an upsurge of interest in the relationship between oxidative physiology and plumage coloration (e.g., Arai et al., 2017; Castiglione et al., 2020; Fernández-Eslava et al., 2021; Galván, Jorge, et al., 2017; Henschen et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Martínez & Galván, 2020; Tomášek et al., 2016). Empirical and theoretical studies suggest that the balance between the production of pro-oxidant molecules (reactive oxygen species, ROS) and antioxidant defenses can modulate the expression of pigment-based phenotypes, providing a mechanistic link between coloration, individual condition, and health (Garratt & Brooks, 2012; Von Schantz et al., 1999). The two most widespread types of coloration in birds are based on melanin and carotenoid pigments. The synthesis of both pigment types is mechanistically connected with the antioxidant machinery; therefore, melanin- and carotenoid-based plumage colors are expected to be associated to the organism's oxidative status (Henschen et al., 2016; Metcalfe & Alonso-Alvarez, 2010).

Melanin pigments come in two types: eumelanin (conferring black and gray colors) and pheomelanin (conferring rufous, chestnut, and brown colors; Galván et al., 2011). Different mechanisms were suggested to explain the tight connection between the synthesis of both melanin pigment types and oxidative physiology. First, whether the production of melanins from their precursor dopaquinone takes the route toward eumelanin or pheomelanin depends on the amount of the amino acid cysteine or the cysteine-containing antioxidant glutathione (GSH), which act therefore as a master switch (Galván & Solano, 2015). High levels of GSH stimulate pheomelanogenesis, while low levels of GSH stimulate eumelanogenesis (Ducrest et al., 2008; Galván et al., 2012; Henschen et al., 2016; Meyskens et al., 1999). As the antioxidant system neutralizes ROS, the level of

GSH decreases; hence, a more pheomelanin coloration might covary with increased oxidative stress because pheomelanogenesis might lead to diminished antioxidant capacity by depleting the GSH pool (Galván, Inácio, et al., 2017). A second hypothesis is that both plumage pheomelanin pigments and certain antioxidants such as uric acid may serve as deposits to eliminate the excess dietary amino acids such as cysteine, which can be harmful at high levels (Galván, 2017; Klasing, 1998). Third, ROS are produced during the synthesis of eumelanin, while no or only low amounts of ROS are produced during pheomelanin synthesis (Galván et al., 2014; Galván & Solano, 2015). Finally, melanogenesis is governed by pleiotropic genes (melanocortin system), which also regulate the cellular antioxidant responses (Ducrest et al., 2008; Galván & Alonso-Alvarez, 2009; Galván & Solano, 2015; San-Jose & Roulin, 2018).

Carotenoids produce yellow, orange, and red colors (McGraw, 2006a), and unlike melanins, these pigments cannot be synthesized *de novo* by birds, but must be acquired directly from food (Costantini & Møller, 2008). Besides the coloration function, carotenoids are also hypothesized to act as antioxidants and/or immunostimulants (Lozano, 1994; Olson & Owens, 1998; Pérez-Rodríguez, 2009; Svensson & Wong, 2011; Vinkler & Albrecht, 2010; Von Schantz et al., 1999, but see Koch & Hill, 2018). Previous studies suggest that carotenoids are subject to a resource allocation trade-off as their investment into plumage coloration conflicts with their use in physiological processes (the "allocation trade-off hypothesis," Lozano, 1994; Olson & Owens, 1998; Pérez-Rodríguez, 2009; Von Schantz et al., 1999). Consequently, carotenoid coloration might be dependent on nutritional or health condition, while individuals having a balanced redox status are expected to be able to devote substantially more carotenoids to colorize their plumage or integument (Hill & Johnson, 2012; McGraw, 2006a; Møller et al., 2000; Peters et al., 2004). However, this hypothesis has been challenged over the last decade (Hörak et al., 2010; Koch et al., 2018; Koch & Hill, 2018). First, the importance of carotenoids in the antioxidant machinery has been questioned (Costantini & Møller, 2008; Simons et al., 2012). Second, at high concentrations, the antioxidant activity of carotenoids might shift to pro-oxidant activity (Hartley & Kennedy, 2004; Huggins et al., 2010; Martin et al., 1999;

Palozza, 1998; Palozza et al., 1995; Simons et al., 2014), complicating their potential antioxidant function.

All the above mechanisms suggest that melanin- and carotenoid-based coloration are functionally linked to oxidative physiology: melanin production depends on antioxidants and entails the production of harmful oxidative byproducts, and carotenoids can function both as antioxidants and pro-oxidants. The mechanistic link between pigmentation and oxidative physiology predicts that the evolution of species-specific pigmentation cannot be independent of the evolution of species-specific oxidative physiology. Therefore, as case studies do not allow for generalization, we provide a comparative study based on a large number of species which markedly differ in their melanin and carotenoid coloration, and in concentration of oxidative physiology markers. Oxidative physiology coevolved with ecological and life-history attributes as part of a pace-of-life syndrome (e.g., Vágási et al., 2019), resulting in species-specific oxidative physiology (Vágási et al., 2016). As pigmentation-based traits are also subjected to a broad range of selective pressures, we expect that, on an interspecific level, the species-specific oxidative state may either facilitate or constrain the evolution of the overall plumage coloration or vice versa (Badyaev & Hill, 2000; Emaresi et al., 2014; Saino et al., 2013). Accordingly, we expect certain species-specific pigmentation phenotypes to evolve in parallel with evolutionary adjustments to the oxidative physiological system (e.g., up- or downregulation of particular antioxidants).

To explore whether variation in plumage coloration is associated with variation in redox physiology across species, we conducted a phylogenetic comparative study by measuring three nonenzymatic antioxidant markers (total antioxidant status, TAS; uric acid, UA; and total glutathione, tGSH) and two markers of lipid peroxidation (malondialdehyde, MDA; and reactive oxygen metabolites, ROM) from samples of 1387 individuals belonging to 104 European bird species. We also quantitatively scored the expression of carotenoid, eumelanin, and pheomelanin plumage coloration (Galván et al., 2011; Galván & Møller, 2011), and the carotenoid coloration of bare parts (beak and legs) for each sex of each species. We expected species with a higher proportion of eumelanin coloration and associated higher eumelanin-mediated ROS production either to evolve an increased antioxidant capacity to preemptively avoid oxidative stress (Ducrest et al., 2008), or to deplete the antioxidant pool while neutralizing ROS. Similarly, we expected species with a higher pheomelanin production either to show elevated levels of GSH, necessary to initiate and sustain pheomelanin production, coupled with an increased protection against oxidative stress as a by-product of elevated GSH levels, or to face higher levels of oxidative stress due to the depletion of the GSH pool caused by the trade-off of this antioxidant between plumage pigmentation and antioxidant defense (Galván et al., 2011). Likewise, carotenoids can act both as anti- and pro-oxidants, resulting in either lower or higher species-specific levels of oxidative stress. Given the above-mentioned predictions, we aimed to explore whether a relationship between pigmentation type and oxidative status exists at all across the sampled species, as any

direction of relationship would provide information on how these traits evolved.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Oxidative physiology data collection

We combined the dataset including 544 individuals from 85 species published in Vágási et al. (2016) with data on an additional 843 individuals from 96 species collected by the same team between 2016 and 2019. Thus, the final oxidative physiology dataset included 1387 individuals belonging to 104 bird species sampled in Romania between 2011 and 2019. Details on the sampling protocol and biochemical assays are provided in Vágási et al. (2016). Briefly, adult individuals were captured and ringed during their breeding season, usually between late April and early July. Most species were captured at multiple locations and/or during multiple years and sampling occasions. We assessed the sex of each individual belonging to sexually dimorphic species based on morphological characters, while most individuals of (apparently) monomorphic species were sexed using molecular methods (for a detailed description of the molecular sexing method see Vincze et al., 2022). We collected blood samples (range 30–300 μ l, depending on body size) by brachial venipuncture into heparinized capillaries as fast as possible after the bird was captured (always within 15 min; mean = 9.87 min, SD = 5.37). Blood samples drawn from small-sized species usually only allowed creating a single aliquot, leading to the measurement of different redox state markers from different samples. Therefore, sample size varies across redox markers within species (see Vágási et al., 2016). All birds were released in good condition after sampling. The samples were kept in dark cooling boxes at around 4 °C for <10 h until centrifuged (for 5 min at 6200g) to separate the plasma and erythrocyte fractions. Plasma was partitioned into aliquots for each marker, and all aliquots and the erythrocytes were stored at –50 °C. All laboratory assays were carried out following the same protocol (by LP, JP, and CIV). Detailed protocols for measuring the three antioxidant markers (TAS, UA, and tGSH) and the two lipid peroxidation markers (ROM and MDA) can be found in the Appendix S1. Briefly, we measured TAS as described by Erel (2004), with modifications described in Sepp et al. (2010): nonenzymatic antioxidants decolorize ABTS+ (2,2'-azino-bis[3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonate]) proportionally with their concentrations in the samples, which can be measured spectrophotometrically at 660 nm, and compared to antioxidants of known concentrations (Trolox, Sigma 2881-3). We measured UA and tGSH concentrations spectrophotometrically with two commercial assay kits (uricase/peroxidase kit: Uric Acid liquicolor kit, Human, Wiesbaden, Germany; tGSH kit: Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). MDA concentrations were measured by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) on a HPLC SUPELCOSIL™ LC-18 column, while ROM levels were assessed spectrophotometrically by measuring the absorbance of peroxy radicals in reaction with N,N-diethyl-para-phenylenediamine. The five markers of oxidative state showed

low, but significant repeatability at the level of species (Table S1), which indicates that variances in these five markers are smaller within species than among species. Trapping by mist nets and blood sampling was performed as licensed by the Romanian Academy of Sciences (permit no. 2257) and in accordance with current animal welfare laws of Romania.

2.2 | Coloration scoring protocol

We measured the proportion of the entire plumage colored by carotenoid, pheomelanin, and eumelanin pigments for males and females separately for each species by applying the method developed by Galván and Møller (2011) and Galván et al. (2011). For each of the three main pigments, we assigned scores ranging from 0 to 5 to estimate the proportion of plumage color they generated (0 = 0%, 1 = 1–20%, 2 = 21–40%, 3 = 41–60%, 4 = 61–80%, 5 = 81–100%), based on the color plates available from the digital resource *Birds of the World* (Billerman et al., 2020; formerly *Handbook of the Birds of the World Alive*, del Hoyo et al., 2016). Pigmentation leads to distinctive plumage coloration with eumelanin responsible for the black and gray, pheomelanin for the chestnut and brown and carotenoids for the yellow, orange, and red colors (Galván et al., 2011; Galván & Møller, 2011; Hill & McGraw, 2006). Both pheomelanin and eumelanin pigments are often simultaneously deposited in feathers (Galván et al., 2011). However, many bird feathers have an overwhelming proportion of either pheomelanin or eumelanin (i.e., one of the two pigments consisting of >90%; Galván et al., 2011). Interpreting black and gray colors as mostly generated by eumelanin, and brown or chestnut colors as mostly generated by pheomelanin, has therefore been considered adequate to roughly score plumage pigmentation for large-scale comparative purposes (Galván et al., 2011; Galván & Møller, 2011). The deposition of several pigments in the same feathers (e.g., carotenoids and pheomelanin or carotenoids and eumelanin) can result in green coloration, therefore we excluded nine species from our initial dataset. Besides carotenoid and melanin pigments, other rare pigments (e.g., porphyrins, psittacofulvins, pterins, flavins, and other, undescribed pigments) can also contribute to plumage coloration (McGraw, 2006b). Given that our database contains only two species of Strigiformes (tawny owl *Strix aluco* and little owl *Athene noctua*) where porphyrins have been reported, these rare pigments are unlikely to distort our results. Although this coloration scoring method only provides a rough estimation of the plumage pigmentation, it is considered appropriate for comparative analyses due to the large across-species variation that ensures repeatability at the level of species (Galván et al., 2011; Galván & Møller, 2011; Owens & Hartley, 1998; Seddon et al., 2010).

All species were scored within a large-scale plumage pigmentation scoring endeavor comprising more than 7000 species, by one of two observers (SD or MG). To evaluate the consistency of the scoring, 216 randomly chosen species were scored by both observers. All three pigmentation scores were highly repeatable between the two observers (weighted Cohen's Kappa for ordinal variables,

eumelanin: Kappa = 0.824; pheomelanin: Kappa = 0.833; carotenoid: Kappa = 0.914; all $p < .001$). The presence of carotenoids in the beak and legs was also assessed using the same plates by the same observers. Species received a score of 0 if carotenoids were absent, and a score of 1 if carotenoids were present in the beak (repeatability = 0.983 ± 0.002) or legs (repeatability = 0.797 ± 0.056 ; repeatability estimates and likelihood ratio test results obtained using the generalized linear mixed model and logit link-scale approximation with the *rpt* function for binary data in the R package “rptR,” Stoffel et al., 2017). Pigmentation scores are not independent of each other, as each of the three scores expresses the proportion of the plumage colored by a given pigment (e.g., if 80–100% of a bird plumage is colored by pheomelanin, then carotenoid/eumelanin score can only reach 0–20%). We thus conducted a principal components analysis (PCA) to extract independent variables describing plumage pigmentation. The PCA was based on the proportion of the three pigments and simultaneously included data from the two sexes (i.e., including one datum per sex per species). Two components with eigenvalues >1 were extracted from the PCA on the pigmentation scores. The first component (PC1) explained 62.39% and the second (PC2) 32.38% of the total variance in pigmentation. The two melanin pigments loaded on PC1, with eumelanin having a positive (0.908) and pheomelanin a negative loading (−0.958). Carotenoid coloration only weakly loaded on the PC1 (0.358), but it was the only variable with a strong positive loading on the PC2 (0.930). Therefore, PC1 is interpreted as the melanin-based coloration axis, and PC2 as the carotenoid-based coloration axis.

2.3 | Confounding variables

We included body mass and diet as potentially confounding variables in the analyses (see below), as these were previously shown to affect the antioxidant status of wild animals (Cohen et al., 2009; Costantini, 2008; Olson & Owens, 2005; Tella et al., 2004; Vágási et al., 2019). Body mass data for each sex of each species was extracted from Storchová and Hořák (2018), with minor modifications due to differences in mass between subspecies (changes are highlighted in the data frame indicated in the Data Accessibility Statement). Diet during the breeding season was also collected from Storchová and Hořák (2018) and categorized into a three-level factor coded as animal-based, plant-based, or omnivorous (i.e., both animal- and plant-based food present in the breeding diet).

2.4 | Phylogenetic comparative analyses

To test for associations between oxidative physiology and pigmentation, we built phylogenetic linear mixed models (PLMMs) based on Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) estimations, as implemented in the “MCMCglmm” package (Hadfield, 2010) in the R statistical and computing environment (v. 4.1.1; R Core Team, 2020). Individual levels of TAS, UA, MDA, and ROM values were square-root

transformed, while tGSH was log₁₀-transformed to provide the best model fit for each, and were used as response variables in separate models, while coloration variables were used as explanatory variables. We chose this approach for several reasons. First, since we have individual-level data for oxidative markers, using individuals as the unit of analysis with the oxidative markers as response variables yields the most powerful way of maximizing information gain and not ignoring within-species variation (Garamszegi, 2014). Second, using oxidative markers as explanatory variables could lead to multicollinearity and thereby unreliable results (Graham, 2003). To assess the degree of multicollinearity, we constructed linear models with oxidative parameters used as response variables, and with PC1 and PC2 describing plumage pigmentation, presence of carotenoids in the beak and in the legs, diet, body mass (log₁₀-transformed), and sex (as a three-level factor: female, male, or unknown) as explanatory variables (see R Markdown file in the Appendix S1). However, variation inflation factor (VIF) values for our models were <2, which suggests that multicollinearity could not distort our results. Third, the phylogenetic signal of all oxidative markers was low (Table S2), suggesting that the correlation between each marker and coloration can be tested equally well by taking either variable as response (as described in Appendix S3 of Liker et al., 2021). Note that the direction of cause–effect relationships may go both ways, that is, while oxidative physiology may constrain the evolution of coloration, selection on coloration may also drive the evolution of oxidative physiology, and PLMMs can only test for evolutionary correlations.

Each PLMM included the species' PC1 and PC2 describing plumage pigmentation, presence of carotenoids in the beak and in the legs, diet, body mass (log₁₀-transformed), and sex as explanatory variables. In the model of TAS, UA was additionally included as covariate because UA has a large contribution to TAS (Cohen et al., 2008). Since for most species several individuals were sampled from several years, species identity and year were included in the models as random intercepts. In addition, we controlled for phylogenetic relationships among species by including phylogeny in the random structure of the models. For this phylogenetic control we used a consensus tree, created by applying the *consensus.edges* function of the “phytools” R package (Revell, 2012) on 1000 phylogenies sampled from the pseudo-posterior distribution available on www.birdtree.org (Jetz et al., 2012). MCMC chains were run for 2,500,001 iterations with a burn-in interval of 50,000. A total of 10,000 iterations were sampled (i.e., each 245th iteration) to estimate parameters for each model. Autocorrelation levels among sampled iterations were lower than the more conservative threshold level of 0.1, and we used graphic visualization of all posterior distributions to assess model convergence. We used Gaussian distributions and priors that equally partitioned the variance in each oxidative state marker (i.e., response variable) between the four random terms: phylogeny, species, year, and residual variance.

We tested the robustness of our results by re-analysis in three ways: (1) using a subset of data excluding species with iridescent plumage (eight species), (2) using a subset of data containing only species with a sample size of $N \geq 3$, or (3) using an alternative

phylogenetic tree (Cooney et al., 2017). Moreover, we performed further sensitivity analyses for the two response variables for which we had directional predictions (tGSH and ROM), by replacing PC1 and PC2 with either raw eumelanin or raw pheomelanin scores and re-running all four models described above (i.e., two models on the full dataset with two different phylogenetic trees, and two models with subsets of data containing species without iridescent plumage and with a sample size of $N \geq 3$).

3 | RESULTS

Plumage and bare part pigmentation were not significantly associated with any of the tested markers of oxidative status, except for ROM levels (Table 1). Plasma ROM concentrations were positively associated with a more eumelanin and less pheomelanin coloration (i.e., higher PC1 values), but were not related to carotenoid coloration (i.e., PC2 values). In models where PC1 was substituted with raw eumelanin and pheomelanin scores, species with proportionally higher eumelanin coloration exhibited marginally significantly higher ROM levels and species with more pheomelanin plumage exhibited marginally significantly lower ROM levels (Table 2). The relationship between ROM and PC1 or melanin scores was significantly strong and marginally nonsignificant, respectively, with an alternative phylogeny. This relationship weakened when species with iridescent plumage were excluded (marginally significant between ROM levels and PC1, and nonsignificant between ROM and raw eumelanin or pheomelanin scores), and stronger for all three melanin variables when only species with $N \geq 3$ individuals were included in the models (Tables S3–S9). All other relationships between coloration variables and oxidative markers remained nonsignificant in the sensitivity analyses (see Tables S3–S9).

Predictors other than coloration were significantly related to oxidative state markers as shown in Table 1. Species with a plant-based diet had lower MDA but higher tGSH levels than carnivorous species. MDA and ROM decreased significantly with body mass, while tGSH levels were positively associated with body mass, similarly to previous results described in Vágási et al. (2016, 2019). TAS levels were related only to UA levels. These results remained qualitatively unchanged in the sensitivity analyses (see Tables S3–S9).

4 | DISCUSSION

Our study suggests that avian coloration and oxidative physiology are only weakly associated across species and thus evolved largely independently of each other. Melanin-based coloration was associated with a marker of oxidative stress as species with a higher proportion of eumelanin plumage (and lower proportion of pheomelanin plumage) had higher ROM levels. However, this relationship was marginally significant when the principal component axis of melanin-based coloration was substituted with raw eumelanin and pheomelanin scores, and these relationships were relatively weak,

TABLE 1 Results of Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) phylogenetic linear mixed models showing the relationship of three antioxidant markers (total antioxidant status, TAS; uric acid, UA; and total glutathione, tGSH) and two markers of lipid peroxidation (malondialdehyde, MDA; and reactive oxygen metabolites, ROM) with plumage melanin (PC1) and carotenoid (PC2) pigment scores, diet, and body mass. Model parameters (posterior mean with 95% credibility intervals, CrI) were estimated based on 10,000 iterations of each model. Fixed effects with $p_{\text{MCMC}} < .05$ are highlighted in bold.

	TAS			UA			tGSH			p_{MCMC}		
	Post. Mean	Lower CrI	Upper CrI	Post. Mean	Lower CrI	Upper CrI	Post. Mean	Lower CrI	Upper CrI			
	p_{MCMC}			p_{MCMC}			p_{MCMC}					
Fixed effects												
Intercept	0.900	0.585	1.180	<0.001	4.924	3.927	5.960	<0.001	0.607	0.332	0.882	<0.001
UA	0.073	0.057	0.091	<0.001								
PC1	0.001	-0.018	0.020	0.903	-0.044	-0.125	0.038	0.291	0.004	-0.016	0.024	0.690
PC2	0.003	-0.024	0.035	0.840	-0.042	-0.167	0.075	0.502	0.002	-0.027	0.032	0.914
Beak carotenoid	0.055	-0.040	0.141	0.242	-0.123	-0.525	0.260	0.542	0.004	-0.091	0.101	0.928
Leg carotenoid	-0.067	-0.177	0.047	0.244	0.008	-0.482	0.477	0.985	-0.021	-0.130	0.104	0.723
Body mass	-0.007	-0.105	0.091	0.894	-0.369	-0.786	0.077	0.095	0.125	0.027	0.230	0.018
Diet (omnivore)	-0.058	-0.155	0.041	0.248	-0.180	-0.598	0.266	0.407	0.077	-0.021	0.182	0.128
Diet (herbivore)	0.028	-0.086	0.140	0.630	-0.511	-1.039	0.030	0.063	0.158	0.033	0.277	0.013
Sex (male)	0.009	-0.035	0.056	0.701	0.078	-0.087	0.226	0.331	-0.001	-0.043	0.043	0.968
Sex (unknown)	0.007	-0.078	0.093	0.861	-0.242	-0.530	0.060	0.109	-0.097	-0.179	-0.023	0.014
Random effects												
Phylogeny	0.007	0.002	0.014		0.186	0.030	0.403		0.012	0.003	0.023	
Species	0.005	0.002	0.009		0.175	0.065	0.287		0.006	0.002	0.011	
Year	0.067	0.013	0.160		0.197	0.029	0.496		0.044	0.009	0.104	
MDA												
ROM												
Post. mean												
Lower CrI												
Upper CrI												
p_{MCMC}												
Post. mean												
Lower CrI												
Upper CrI												
p_{MCMC}												
Fixed effects												
Intercept	2.230	1.791	2.659	<0.001	1.651	1.194	2.110	<0.001	1.194	0.004	2.110	<0.001
PC1	-0.010	-0.037	0.016	0.457	0.040	0.004	0.075	0.024	0.004	0.075	0.075	0.024
PC2	-0.015	-0.054	0.023	0.450	0.032	-0.020	0.083	0.226	-0.020	0.083	0.083	0.226
Beak carotenoid	0.002	-0.122	0.133	0.973	0.002	-0.173	0.166	0.984	-0.173	0.166	0.166	0.984
Leg carotenoid	0.088	-0.073	0.253	0.292	0.080	-0.130	0.283	0.444	-0.130	0.283	0.283	0.444
Body mass	-0.183	-0.320	-0.041	0.010	-0.193	-0.365	-0.013	0.029	-0.365	-0.013	-0.013	0.029
Diet (omnivore)	-0.107	-0.250	0.030	0.134	0.152	-0.016	0.326	0.085	-0.016	0.326	0.326	0.085
Diet (herbivore)	-0.215	-0.389	-0.037	0.022	0.079	-0.116	0.273	0.434	-0.116	0.273	0.273	0.434
Sex (male)	-0.015	-0.068	0.035	0.565	-0.005	-0.100	0.091	0.916	-0.100	0.091	0.091	0.916

TABLE 1 (Continued)

	MDA				ROM			
	Post. mean	Lower CrI	Upper CrI	P_{MCMC}	Post. mean	Lower CrI	Upper CrI	P_{MCMC}
Sex (unknown)	0.005	-0.089	0.107	0.920	0.108	-0.025	0.238	0.109
Random effects								
Phylogeny	0.027	0.007	0.052		0.017	0.004	0.037	
Species	0.014	0.005	0.024		0.010	0.003	0.018	
Year	0.156	0.030	0.360		0.073	0.004	0.215	

particularly when the species with iridescent plumage (7.7% of the initial dataset, which also have a more eumelanic plumage) were excluded from the analyses. The extent of eumelanin coloration was associated neither with an increase in antioxidant defense levels, nor with the depletion of the antioxidant pool. Neither was the extent of pheomelanin coloration associated with reductions in the levels of glutathione across species. The extent of carotenoid coloration of the plumage and of bare parts was unrelated to markers of oxidative physiology, in line with findings of recent intraspecific studies, which together align with the idea that carotenoids are not a key component of the antioxidant machinery (Hörak et al., 2010; Koch et al., 2018; Koch & Hill, 2018).

The chemical pathway of pheomelanogenesis consumes cysteine that is free or provided by the most powerful intracellular nonenzymatic antioxidant, the cysteine-containing tripeptide glutathione (Potterf et al., 1999). Intraspecific studies suggest that the production of pheomelanin may involve the depletion of cysteine via glutathione (e.g., Arai et al., 2017; Galván et al., 2015; Leclaire et al., 2019; Rodríguez-Martínez & Galván, 2019; Schallreuter et al., 2008). However, our interspecific results do not support this hypothesis, as we found no relationship between glutathione levels (tGSH) and pheomelanin coloration expressed either as PC1 or as raw pheomelanin scores. This lack of association is unlikely to be due to small statistical power given the large sample size for glutathione levels (1387 individuals of 104 species). This lack of association might stem from the fact that we measured total glutathione levels, which contains the amount of both reduced and oxidized glutathione (GSH and GSSG, respectively, see Appendix S1 of Vágási et al., 2016). The contribution of GSSG to the tGSH concentration is however negligible, suggesting that measuring tGSH is unlikely to bias our results. Indeed, in physiological conditions, the GSH:GSSG molar ratio is between 100:1 and 1000:1 (discussed in detail by Monostori et al., 2009), while the proportion of GSSG in erythrocytes represents less than 0.5% of the GSH concentration (Reinbold et al., 2014). For future studies, the GSH:GSSG ratio might still bring additional information regarding the availability of glutathione to act as an antioxidant (Galván et al., 2014). In conclusion, our results suggest that the mechanisms linking pheomelanogenesis, glutathione, and cysteine may be more complex than a simple allocation trade-off of cysteine between pheomelanin synthesis and GSH-mediated antioxidant defense.

A toxic excess in cysteine occurs when food cysteine content is higher than the amount needed for protein synthesis, exerting a negative influence on physiological pathways and growth, and causing metabolic acidosis and eggshell thinning (Galván, 2017; Klasing, 1998). Thus, species with a diet rich in cysteine might have evolved increased pheomelanin coloration to remove the surplus cysteine from the body and to deposit it into their feathers, which are dead structures (Galván, 2017; Galván et al., 2012). Under this hypothesis, an interspecific relationship between the extent of pheomelanin coloration and glutathione levels is not expected. Importantly, however, excess dietary amino acids are also diverted to the synthesis of uric acid, the main product of protein breakdown

TABLE 2 Results of Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) phylogenetic linear mixed models showing a positive association between plumage eumelanin score and plasma reactive oxygen metabolites (ROM), and negative association between plumage pheomelanin score and plasma ROM. Model parameters (posterior mean with 95% credibility intervals, CrI) were estimated based on 10,000 iterations of each model. Fixed effects with $p_{\text{MCMC}} < .05$ are highlighted in bold.

	ROM in relation to eumelanin				ROM in relation to pheomelanin			
	Post. Mean	Lower CrI	Upper CrI	p_{MCMC}	Post. Mean	Lower CrI	Upper CrI	p_{MCMC}
Fixed terms								
Intercept	1.656	1.194	2.098	<.001	1.735	1.266	2.193	<.001
Eumelanin	0.035	0.000	0.069	.051				
Pheomelanin					-0.028	-0.056	0.000	.052
Beak carotenoid	-0.011	-0.183	0.160	.892	-0.007	-0.180	0.162	.935
Leg carotenoid	0.094	-0.116	0.304	.388	0.082	-0.127	0.292	.445
Body mass	-0.238	-0.411	-0.064	.008	-0.203	-0.369	-0.030	.020
Diet (omnivore)	0.192	0.022	0.358	.026	0.176	0.011	0.345	.039
Diet (herbivore)	0.109	-0.089	0.296	.264	0.108	-0.094	0.291	.266
Sex (male)	0.001	-0.100	0.095	.993	0.007	-0.086	0.107	.882
Sex (unknown)	0.105	-0.035	0.236	.128	0.109	-0.025	0.247	.119
Random terms								
Phylogeny	0.019	0.004	0.040		0.019	0.004	0.040	
Species	0.010	0.003	0.019		0.010	0.003	0.019	
Year	0.077	0.003	0.225		0.076	0.003	0.223	

and excretion in birds (Klasing, 1998). In accordance with this hypothesis, we found that species with a carnivorous diet exhibited on average higher uric acid levels. Our study also reveals that species with more pheomelanin pigments in their plumage do not circulate significantly higher levels of uric acid, suggesting that species potentially suffering from excess cysteine might not use either the synthesis of pheomelanin or an increased production of uric acid to limit cysteine toxicity. Although we found that species with a plant-based diet, which is low in both proteins and cysteine, exhibited higher tGSH levels (i.e., a larger amount of bound cysteine), future studies should investigate if species with increased pheomelanin plumage coloration also feed on a diet rich in cysteine.

The finding that species with a higher proportion of eumelanin coloration showed higher concentration of ROM across species supports the hypothesis put forward by García-Molina et al. (2005) and tested experimentally by Galván and Alonso-Alvarez (2008, 2009). According to this hypothesis, some ROS (e.g., H_2O_2 , a principal component of the ROM assay) promote eumelanin production in the early stages of melanogenesis. In these experiments performed on red-legged partridges *Alectoris rufa* and great tits *Parus major*, the expression of eumelanin-based plumage signals was enhanced by the α -melanocyte-stimulating hormone and by GSH inhibition (Galván & Alonso-Alvarez, 2008), externally induced oxidative stress, or the additive effect of these two manipulations (Galván & Alonso-Alvarez, 2009). Plumage melanin pigmentation is at least partly attributed to variation in melanocortin levels, and melanocortins have pleiotropic effects (Ducrest et al., 2008): α -MSH is known to inhibit GSH-peroxidase in keratinocyte and melanoma cell lines (Haycock

et al., 2000). Therefore, by inhibiting the GSH-peroxidase, α -MSH could also contribute to elevated ROS levels. However, further studies are needed to ascertain the generality and mechanisms of the relationships between oxidative stress and melanin-based coloration, because the interspecific correlations we found between ROM and melanin colorations showed some degree of uncertainty (e.g., sensitivity to excluding species with iridescent coloration), and we detected no correlation between melanization and MDA, another marker of oxidative damage. The reasons for this heterogeneity would be best addressed by a combination of experimental work and cross-species comparisons, because comparative studies can neither assess causality, nor can they explicitly test for trade-offs due to the “big house – big car” paradox (Reznick et al., 2000).

It is important to note that the samples we used to measure oxidative physiology were taken during the breeding season for all individuals, while coloration is developed during molt. Given that these two energetically demanding activities rarely overlap, the lack of association could originate from species-specific differences in the seasonal changes in oxidative physiology. However, if species-specific life histories entail a certain species-specific redox state (as shown in Vágási et al., 2016, 2019), and this redox state constrains the evolution of coloration (or vice versa), within species differences in redox state due to seasonal changes should be smaller than the magnitude of differences detected across species. Future studies should explore the seasonal change in oxidative physiology across bird species and test for the potential associations between oxidative stress markers during the molting season and the resulting plumage melanin pigmentation. Note that seasonal color change

cannot explain the lack of relationship between oxidative physiology and carotenoid-based coloration of bare parts, because the latter is not generated during the molting season.

Carotenoid-based traits are considered to honestly signal individual quality, because carotenoids may be limited in nature and thus birds may face a trade-off when allocating carotenoids for pigmentation and for building and maintaining immune and antioxidant defenses (Olson & Owens, 2005; Simons et al., 2012, 2014; Svensson & Wong, 2011). However, a growing body of within-species experimental studies (e.g., Hőrak et al., 2010; Koch et al., 2018) and meta-analyses (Costantini & Møller, 2008; Simons et al., 2012) challenges the importance of carotenoids as antioxidants (reviewed by Hill, 2011; Koch & Hill, 2018) and suggests instead that carotenoid signal honesty is maintained through the cellular respiratory system (Johnson & Hill, 2013; Hill, 2014). Here, we found no association between the circulating antioxidant levels and plumage or integument carotenoid pigmentation on an interspecific level, which seems to support the idea that carotenoid-based traits are not tightly linked with antioxidative physiology. However, the correlative nature of interspecific comparative studies did not allow us to directly test for the existence of the hypothesized allocation trade-off, and intraspecific studies likely remain the key to further elucidate this question.

In conclusion, our study highlights that species-specific oxidative physiology is largely unrelated to plumage pigmentation despite multiple predictions for a tight link between the antioxidants and pigment synthesis. Nevertheless, species with more eumelanin and less pheomelanin plumage may experience higher oxidative stress as measured by the concentration of reactive oxygen metabolites. Given the complexity of the pathways in which both melanin and carotenoid pigments interact with other physiological pathways, the nature of associations between coloration and physiology needs further correlative and experimental research to help us understand their co-evolution across the tree of life.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Attila Marton: Data curation (equal); formal analysis (supporting); funding acquisition (supporting); resources (equal); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (lead). **Orsolya Vincze:** Data curation (equal); formal analysis (equal); funding acquisition (equal); resources (equal); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Veronika Bókonyi:** Formal analysis (equal); funding acquisition (supporting); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Péter L. Pap:** Funding acquisition (equal); resources (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Laura Pátraş:** Resources (equal). **Janka Pénez:** Funding acquisition (equal); resources (equal). **Lórinç Bărbos:** Resources (equal). **Attila Fülöp:** Resources (equal); writing – review and editing (supporting). **Gergely Osváth:** Resources (equal); writing – review and editing (supporting). **Simon Ducatez:** Conceptualization (equal); data curation (equal); methodology (equal); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Mathieu Giraudeau:** Conceptualization (lead); data curation (equal); methodology (equal); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Csongor I. Vágási:**

Conceptualization (lead); data curation (lead); funding acquisition (equal); methodology (equal); project administration (equal); resources (equal); supervision (lead); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to many students and colleagues who faithfully helped during fieldwork. This work was supported by two grants of the Romanian Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization (CNCS - UEFISCDI; project no. PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2016-0404 to PLP, CIV, JP and OV and project no. PN-III-P1-1.1-TE-2021-0502 to CIV, AM, OV and AF). OV, PLP, and VB were supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. OV, AM, and VB were supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund (ÚNKP-21-5-DE-480 to OV, ÚNKP-21-4 grant to AM, and K135016 and ÚNKP-21-5 grants awarded to VB). SD was supported by a research grant from the Fondation Fyssen, Paris. The authors thank the Associate Editor and two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments on a previous version of the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

OPEN RESEARCH BADGES



This article has earned Open Data, Open Materials and Preregistered Research Design badges. Data, materials and the preregistered design and analysis plan are available at <https://figshare.com/s/49d792c230cd3e1d08fb>, DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.20309556.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The dataset used for this study is freely available for download from Figshare (<https://figshare.com/s/49d792c230cd3e1d08fb>, DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.20309556). The R Markdown file generated for the analysis and visualization of the data is included in the Appendix S1.

ORCID

Attila Marton <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8768-2248>

Csongor I. Vágási <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8736-2391>

Orsolya Vincze <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5789-2124>

Veronika Bókonyi <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2136-5346>

Péter L. Pap <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3659-7684>

Laura Pátraş <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6981-3881>

Attila Fülöp <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5337-336X>

Gergely Osváth <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1542-9128>

Simon Ducatez <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2865-4674>

Mathieu Giraudeau <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8563-1810>

REFERENCES

Arai, E., Hasegawa, M., Makino, T., Hagino, A., Sakai, Y., Ohtsuki, H., Wakamatsu, K., & Kawata, M. (2017). Physiological conditions and

- genetic controls of pheomelanin pigmentation in nestling barn swallows. *Behavioral Ecology*, 28, 706–716. <https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/axx012>
- Badyaev, A. V., & Hill, G. E. (2000). Evolution of sexual dichromatism: Contribution of carotenoid- versus melanin-based coloration. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 69, 153–172. <https://doi.org/10.1006/bij.1999.0350>
- Billerman, S. M., Keeney, B. K., Rodewald, P. G., & Schulenberg, T. S. (Eds.). (2020). *Birds of the world*. Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology. <https://birdsoftheworld.org/bow/home>
- Castiglione, G. M., Xu, Z., Zhou, L., & Duh, E. J. (2020). Adaptation of the master antioxidant response connects metabolism, lifespan and feather development pathways in birds. *Nature Communications*, 11, 2476. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16129-4>
- Cohen, A. A., McGraw, K. J., & Robinson, W. D. (2009). Serum antioxidant levels in wild birds vary in relation to diet, season, life history strategy, and species. *Oecologia*, 161, 673–683. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-009-1423-9>
- Cohen, A. A., McGraw, K. J., Wiersma, P., Williams, J. B., Robinson, W. D., Robinson, T. R., Brawn, J. D., & Ricklefs, R. E. (2008). Interspecific associations between circulating antioxidant levels and life-history variation in birds. *American Naturalist*, 172, 178–193. <https://doi.org/10.1086/589456>
- Cooney, C., Bright, J., Capp, E., Chira, A. M., Hughes, E. C., Moody, C. J. A., Nouri, L. O., Varley, Z. K., & Thomaset, G. H. (2017). Mega-evolutionary dynamics of the adaptive radiation of birds. *Nature*, 542, 344–347. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21074>
- Costantini, D. (2008). Oxidative stress in ecology and evolution: Lessons from avian studies. *Ecology Letters*, 11, 1238–1251. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01246.x>
- Costantini, D., & Møller, A. P. (2008). Carotenoids are minor antioxidants for birds. *Functional Ecology*, 22, 367–370. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01366.x>
- del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., Sargatal, J., Christie, D. A., & de Juana, E. (2016). *Handbook of the birds of the world alive*. Lynx Editions. <https://www.hbw.com/>
- Ducrest, A. L., Keller, L., & Roulin, A. (2008). Pleiotropy in the melanocortin system, coloration and behavioural syndromes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 23, 502–510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.06.001>
- Emaresi, G., Bize, P., Altwegg, R., Henry, I., van den Brink, V., Gasparini, J., & Roulin, A. (2014). Melanin-specific life-history strategies. *American Naturalist*, 183, 269–280. <https://doi.org/10.1086/674444>
- Erel, O. (2004). A novel automated direct measurement method for total antioxidant capacity using a new generation, more stable ABTS radical cation. *Clinical Biochemistry*, 37, 277–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2003.11.015>
- Fernández-Eslava, B., Alonso, D., & Alonso-Alvarez, C. (2021). An age-related decline in the expression of a red carotenoid-based ornament in wild birds. *Evolution*, 75, 3142–3153. <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14378>
- Galván, I. (2017). Condition-dependence of pheomelanin-based coloration in nuthatches *Sitta europaea* suggests a detoxifying function: Implications for the evolution of juvenile plumage patterns. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 9138. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09771-4>
- Galván, I., & Alonso-Alvarez, C. (2008). An intracellular antioxidant determines the expression of a melanin-based signal in a bird. *PLoS One*, 3, e3335. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003335>
- Galván, I., & Alonso-Alvarez, C. (2009). The expression of melanin-based plumage is separately modulated by exogenous oxidative stress and a melanocortin. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 276, 3089–3097. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.0774>
- Galván, I., Bonisoli-Alquati, A., Jenkinson, S., Ghanem, G., Wakamatsu, K., Mousseau, T. A., & Møller, A. P. (2014). Chronic exposure to low-dose radiation at Chernobyl favours adaptation to oxidative stress in birds. *Functional Ecology*, 28, 1387–1403. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12283>
- Galván, I., Ghanem, G., & Møller, A. P. (2012). Has removal of excess cysteine led to the evolution of pheomelanin? *BioEssays*, 34, 565–568. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201200017>
- Galván, I., Inácio, Â., Romero-Haro, A. A., & Alonso-Alvarez, C. (2017). Adaptive downregulation of pheomelanin-related Slc7a11 gene expression by environmentally induced oxidative stress. *Molecular Ecology*, 26, 849–858. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13952>
- Galván, I., Jorge, A., & García-Gil, M. (2017). Pheomelanin molecular vibration is associated with mitochondrial ROS production in melanocytes and systemic oxidative stress and damage. *Integrative Biology*, 9, 751–761. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ib00107j>
- Galván, I., & Møller, A. P. (2011). Brain size and the expression of pheomelanin-based colour in birds. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 24, 999–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2011.02232.x>
- Galván, I., Mousseau, T. A., & Møller, A. P. (2011). Bird population declines due to radiation exposure at Chernobyl are stronger in species with pheomelanin-based coloration. *Oecologia*, 165, 827–835. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1860-5>
- Galván, I., & Solano, F. (2015). Melanin chemistry and the ecology of stress. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 88, 352–355. <https://doi.org/10.1086/680362>
- Galván, I., Wakamatsu, K., Camarero, P. R., Mateo, R., & Alonso-Alvarez, C. (2015). Low-quality birds do not display high-quality signals: The cysteine-pheomelanin mechanism of honesty. *Evolution*, 69, 26–38. <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12549>
- Garamszegi, L. Z. (Ed.). (2014). *Modern phylogenetic comparative methods and their application in evolutionary biology*. Springer-Verlag.
- García-Molina, F., Fenoll, L. G., Morote, J. C., García-Ruiz, P. A., Rodríguez-López, J. N., García-Cánovas, F., & Tudela, J. (2005). Opposite effects of peroxidase in the initial stages of tyrosinase-catalysed melanin biosynthesis. *International Journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology*, 37, 1179–1196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2004.11.009>
- Garratt, M., & Brooks, R. C. (2012). Oxidative stress and condition-dependent sexual signals: More than just seeing red. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 279, 3121–3130. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.0568>
- Graham, M. H. (2003). Confronting multicollinearity in ecological multiple regression. *Ecology*, 84, 2809–2815. <https://doi.org/10.1890/02-3114>
- Hadfield, J. (2010). MCMC methods for multi-response generalised linear mixed models: The MCMCglmm R package. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 33, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.22635>
- Hartley, R. C., & Kennedy, M. W. (2004). Are carotenoids a red herring in sexual display? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 19, 353–354. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2004.04.002>
- Haycock, J. W., Rowe, S. J., Cartledge, S., Wyatt, A., Ghanem, G., Morandini, R., Rennie, I. G., & MacNeil, S. (2000). Alpha-melanocyte-stimulating hormone reduces impact of proinflammatory cytokine and peroxide-generated oxidative stress on keratinocyte and melanoma cell lines. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 275, 15629–15636. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.275.21.15629>
- Henschen, A. E., Whittingham, L. A., & Dunn, P. O. (2016). Oxidative stress is related to both melanin- and carotenoid-based ornaments in the common yellowthroat. *Functional Ecology*, 30, 749–758. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12549>
- Hill, G. E. (2011). Condition-dependent traits as signals of the functionality of vital cellular processes. *Ecology Letters*, 14, 625–634. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01622.x>
- Hill, G. E. (2014). Cellular respiration: The nexus of stress, condition, and ornamentation. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 54, 645–657. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/ucu029>
- Hill, G. E., & Johnson, J. D. (2012). The vitamin A-redox hypothesis: A biochemical basis for honest signaling via carotenoid pigmentation. *American Naturalist*, 180, 127–150. <https://doi.org/10.1086/667861>

- Hill, G. E., & McGraw, K. J. (Eds.). (2006). *Bird coloration: Function and evolution* (Vol. 2). Harvard University Press.
- Hörak, P., Sild, E., Soomets, U., Sepp, T., & Kilik, K. (2010). Oxidative stress and information content of black and yellow plumage coloration: An experiment with greenfinches. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 213, 2225–2233. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.042085>
- Huggins, K. A., Navara, K. J., Mendonça, M. T., & Hill, G. E. (2010). Detrimental effects of carotenoid pigments: The dark side of bright coloration. *Naturwissenschaften*, 97, 637–644. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-010-0679-6>
- Jetz, W., Thomas, G. H., Joy, J. B., Hartmann, K., & Mooers, A. O. (2012). The global diversity of birds in space and time. *Nature*, 491, 444–448. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11631>
- Johnson, J. D., & Hill, G. E. (2013). Is carotenoid ornamentation linked to the inner mitochondria membrane potential? A hypothesis for the maintenance of signal honesty. *Biochimie*, 95, 436–444. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2012.10.021>
- Klasing, K. C. (1998). *Comparative avian nutrition*. Cab International.
- Koch, R. E., & Hill, G. E. (2018). Do carotenoid-based ornaments entail resource trade-offs? An evaluation of theory and data. *Functional Ecology*, 32, 1908–1920. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13122>
- Koch, R. E., Kavazis, A. N., Hasselquist, D., Hood, W. R., Zhang, Y., Toomey, M. B., & Hill, G. E. (2018). No evidence that carotenoid pigments boost either immune or antioxidant defenses in a songbird. *Nature Communications*, 9, 491. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-02974-x>
- Leclaire, S., Perret, S., Galván, I., & Bonadonna, F. (2019). Pheomelanin-based coloration is related to individual quality and oxidative stress in blue petrels. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 33, 873–887. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-019-10010-7>
- Liker, A., Bókony, V., Pipoly, I., Lemaître, J. F., Gaillard, J. M., Székely, T., & Freckleton, R. P. (2021). Evolution of large males is associated with female-skewed adult sex ratios in amniotes. *Evolution*, 75, 1636–1649. <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14273>
- Lozano, G. A. (1994). Carotenoids, parasites, and sexual selection. *Oikos*, 70, 309. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3545643>
- Martin, H. D., Jäger, C., Ruck, C., Schmidt, M., Walsh, R., & Paust, J. (1999). Anti- and prooxidant properties of carotenoids. *Journal für Praktische Chemie*, 341, 302–308. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1521-3897\(199904\)341:3<302:AID-PRAC302>3.0.CO;2-6](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3897(199904)341:3<302:AID-PRAC302>3.0.CO;2-6)
- McGraw, K. J. (2006a). Mechanics of uncommon colors: Pterins, porphyrins, and psittacofulvins. In G. E. Hill & K. J. McGraw (Eds.), *Bird coloration, Vol. 1: Mechanisms and measurements* (pp. 354–359). Harvard University Press.
- McGraw, K. J. (2006b). Dietary carotenoids mediate a trade-off between egg quantity and quality in Japanese quail. *Ethology Ecology and Evolution*, 18, 247–256. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijoe.v9iS8.3378>
- McGraw, K. J., Cohen, A. A., Costantini, D., & Hörak, P. (2010). The ecological significance of antioxidants and oxidative stress: A marriage between mechanistic and functional perspectives. *Functional Ecology*, 24, 947–949. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01772.x>
- Metcalfe, N. B., & Alonso-Alvarez, C. (2010). Oxidative stress as a life-history constraint: The role of reactive oxygen species in shaping phenotypes from conception to death. *Functional Ecology*, 24, 984–996. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01750.x>
- Meyskens, F. L., Buckmeier, J. A., McNulty, S. E., & Tohidian, N. B. (1999). Activation of nuclear factor- κ B in human metastatic melanoma cells and the effect of oxidative stress. *Clinical Cancer Research*, 5, 1197–1202.
- Møller, A. P., Biard, C., Blount, J. D., Houston, D. C., Ninni, P., Saino, N., & Surai, P. F. (2000). Carotenoid-dependent signals: Indicators of foraging efficiency, immunocompetence or detoxification ability? *Avian and Poultry Biology Reviews*, 11, 137–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ciresp.2018.06.017>
- Monostori, P., Wittmann, G., Karg, E., & Túri, S. (2009). Determination of glutathione and glutathione disulfide in biological samples: An in-depth review. *Journal of Chromatography B*, 877, 3331–3346. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2009.06.016>
- Olson, V. A., & Owens, I. P. F. (1998). Costly sexual signals: Are carotenoids rare, risky or required? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 13, 510–514. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347\(98\)01484-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(98)01484-0)
- Olson, V. A., & Owens, I. P. F. (2005). Interspecific variation in the use of carotenoid-based coloration in birds: Diet, life history and phylogeny. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 18, 1534–1546. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2005.00940.x>
- Owens, I. P. F., & Hartley, I. R. (1998). Sexual dimorphism in birds: why are there so many different forms of dimorphism? *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 265, 397–407. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1998.0308>
- Palozza, P. (1998). Prooxidant actions of carotenoids in biologic systems. *Nutrition Reviews*, 56, 257–265. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-4887.1998.tb01762.x>
- Palozza, P., Calviello, G., & Bartoli, G. M. (1995). Prooxidant activity of β -carotene under 100% oxygen pressure in rat liver microsomes. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 19, 887–892. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-5849\(95\)00094-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-5849(95)00094-E)
- Pérez-Rodríguez, L. (2009). Carotenoids in evolutionary ecology: Re-evaluating the antioxidant role. *BioEssays*, 31, 1116–1126. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.200900070>
- Peters, A., Denk, A. G., Delhey, K., & Kempnaers, B. (2004). Carotenoid-based bill colour as an indicator of immunocompetence and sperm performance in male mallards. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 17, 1111–1120. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2004.00743.x>
- Potterf, S. B., Virador, V., Wakamatsu, K., Furumura, M., Santis, C., Ito, S., & Hearing, V. J. (1999). Cysteine transport in melanosomes from murine melanocytes. *Pigment Cell Research*, 12, 4–12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0749.1999.tb00502.x>
- R Core Team. (2020). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <http://www.r-project.org/>
- Reinbold, J., Koehler, P., & Rychlik, M. (2014). Quantitation of glutathione and its oxidation products in erythrocytes by multiple-label stable-isotope dilution. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 445, 41–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2013.09.029>
- Revell, L. J. (2012). Phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 3, 217–223. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00169.x>
- Reznick, D., Nunney, L., & Tessier, A. (2000). Big houses, big cars, superfleas and the costs of reproduction. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 15, 421–425. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347\(00\)01941-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)01941-8)
- Rodríguez-Martínez, S., & Galván, I. (2019). Exposure to a competitive social environment activates an epigenetic mechanism that limits pheomelanin synthesis in zebra finches. *Molecular Ecology*, 28, 3698–3708. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15171>
- Rodríguez-Martínez, S., & Galván, I. (2020). A source of exogenous oxidative stress improves oxidative status and favors pheomelanin synthesis in zebra finches. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 228, 108667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2019.108667>
- Saino, N., Canova, L., Costanzo, A., Rubolini, A., Roulin, A., & Møller, A. P. (2013). Immune and stress responses covary with melanin-based coloration in the barn swallow. *Evolutionary Biology*, 40, 521–531. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11692-013-9228-5>
- San-Jose, L. M., & Roulin, A. (2018). Toward understanding the repeated occurrence of associations between melanin-based coloration and multiple phenotypes. *American Naturalist*, 192, 111–130. <https://doi.org/10.1086/698010>
- Seddon, N., Tobias, J. A., Eaton, M., & Ödeen, A. (2010). Human vision can provide a valid proxy for avian perception of sexual dichromatism. *The Auk*, 127, 283–292. <https://doi.org/10.1525/auk.2009.09070>
- Sepp, T., Sild, E., & Hörak, P. (2010). Hematological condition indexes in greenfinches: effects of captivity and diurnal variation.

- Physiological and Biochemical Zoology, 83, 276–282. <https://doi.org/10.1086/648580>
- Schallreuter, K. U., Kothari, S., Chavan, B., & Spencer, J. D. (2008). Regulation of melanogenesis – Controversies and new concepts. *Experimental Dermatology*, 17, 395–404. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0625.2007.00675.x>
- Simons, M. J. P., Cohen, A. A., & Verhulst, S. (2012). What does carotenoid-dependent coloration tell? Plasma carotenoid level signals immunocompetence and oxidative stress state in birds—a meta-analysis. *PLoS One*, 7, e43088. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043088>
- Simons, M. J. P., Maia, R., Leenknegt, B., & Verhulst, S. (2014). Carotenoid-dependent signals and the evolution of plasma carotenoid levels in birds. *American Naturalist*, 184, 741–751. <https://doi.org/10.1086/678402>
- Stoffel, M. A., Nakagawa, S., & Schielzeth, H. (2017). rptR: Repeatability estimation and variance decomposition by generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 8, 1639–1644. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12797>
- Storchová, L., & Hořák, D. (2018). Life-history characteristics of European birds. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 27, 400–406. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12709>
- Svensson, P. A., & Wong, B. B. M. (2011). Carotenoid-based signals in behavioural ecology: A review. *Behaviour*, 148, 131–189. <https://doi.org/10.1163/000579510X548673>
- Tella, J. L., Figuerola, J., Negro, J. J., Blanco, G., Rodríguez-Estrella, R., Forero, M. G., Blázquez, M. C., Green, A. J., & Hiraldo, F. (2004). Ecological, morphological and phylogenetic correlates of interspecific variation in plasma carotenoid concentration in birds. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 17, 156–164. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.2003.00634.x>
- Tomášek, O., Gabrielová, B., Kačer, P., Maršík, P., Svobodová, J., Syslová, K., Vinkler, M., & Albrecht, T. (2016). Opposing effects of oxidative challenge and carotenoids on antioxidant status and condition-dependent sexual signalling. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 23546. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23546>
- Vágási, C. I., Vincze, O., Pătraș, L., Osváth, G., Marton, A., Bărbos, L., Sol, D., & Pap, P. L. (2016). Large-brained birds suffer less oxidative damage. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 29, 1968–1976. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12920>
- Vágási, C. I., Vincze, O., Pătraș, L., Osváth, G., Péntzes, J., Hausmann, M. F., Barta, Z., & Pap, P. L. (2019). Longevity and life history co-evolve with oxidative stress in birds. *Functional Ecology*, 33, 152–161. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13228>
- Vincze, O., Vágási, C. I., Péntzes, J., Szabó, K., Magonyi, N. M., Cziráj, G. Á., & Pap, P. L. (2022). Sexual dimorphism in immune function and oxidative physiology across birds: The role of sexual selection. *Ecology Letters*, 25, 958–970. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13973>
- Vinkler, M., & Albrecht, T. (2010). Carotenoid maintenance handicap and the physiology of carotenoid-based signalisation of health. *Naturwissenschaften*, 97, 19–28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-009-0595-9>
- Von Schantz, T., Bensch, S., Grahn, M., Hasselquist, D., & Wittzell, H. (1999). Good genes, oxidative stress and condition-dependent sexual signals. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 266, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1999.0597>
- Weaver, R. J., Koch, R. E., & Hill, G. E. (2017). What maintains signal honesty in animal colour displays used in mate choice? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, B: Biological Sciences*, 372, 20160343. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0343>

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Marton, A., Vágási, C. I., Vincze, O., Bókony, V., Pap, P. L., Pătraș, L., Péntzes, J., Bărbos, L., Fülöp, A., Osváth, G., Ducatez, S., & Giraudeau, M. (2022). Oxidative physiology is weakly associated with pigmentation in birds. *Ecology and Evolution*, 12, e9177. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.9177>