

Chemical composition and biological evaluation of essential oils of *Pulicaria jaubertii*

Ghada A. Fawzy^{1,2}, Hanan Y. Al Ati¹, Ali A. El Gamal^{1,3}

¹Department of Pharmacognosy, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, P.O. Box 22452, Riyadh 11495, KSA, ²Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University, Cairo 11562, ³Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

Submitted: 23-01-2012

Revised: 05-03-2012

Published: 05-03-2013

ABSTRACT

Background: The present study reports and compares the results of Gas Chromatographic-Mass analyses of *Pulicaria jaubertii* leaf (P-1) and root (P-2) essential oils, as well as their *in vitro* antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities. **Materials and Methods:** The chemical composition of P-1 and P-2 essential oils of *P. jaubertii*, was investigated by GC-MS. Moreover, the essential oils were evaluated for their antimicrobial activity using the broth micro-dilution assay for minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC). The crystal violet staining method (CVS) was used for evaluation of their cytotoxic activity on HEPG-2 and MCF-7 human cell lines. **Results:** This investigation led to the identification of 16 constituents in P-1, and 23 constituents in P-2, representing 99.92% and 94.74% of the oils respectively. Oxygenated monoterpenes were found to be the major group in both P-1 (99.47%) and P-2 (89.88%). P-1 consists almost entirely of *p*-Menth-6-en-2-one (Carvotanacetone, 98.59%). P-2 is characterized by high contents of each of Dimethoxydurene (38.48%), Durenol (26.89%) and 2',4'-Dimethoxy-3'-methylacetophenone (20.52%). Both oils showed moderate antimicrobial activity against the Gram-positive strains and *C. albicans*. However, no activity was shown against Gram-negative bacteria. P-1 showed a significant cytotoxic activity against both MCF-7 and HEPG-2 (IC₅₀ = 3.8 and 5.1 µg/ml, respectively), while P-2 showed selective cytotoxic activity against MCF-7 cell line (IC₅₀ = 9.3 µg/ml). **Conclusion:** The potent cytotoxic and moderate antimicrobial activities of P-1 may be attributed to its high content of Carvotanacetone.

Keywords: Carvotanacetone, cytotoxicity, essential oil, *Pulicaria jaubertii*

INTRODUCTION

Genus *Pulicaria*, belonging to the tribe Inuleae of the Asteraceae family, consists of ca. 100 species distributed in Europe, North Africa and Asia.^[1] The genus is represented in Saudi Arabia by eight species. *Pulicaria jaubertii* Gamal-Eldin [syn. *Pulicaria orientalis* Jaub.] is a perennial fragrant herb with erect branches up to 50 cm high. It is known in Arabic as “Eter Elraee”.^[2] The *Pulicaria* species proved various activities such as anti-inflammatory, antileukemic,^[3] potential cancer chemopreventive and cytotoxic agents.^[4] Previous investigations reported that *P. Jaubertii* showed antimicrobial, antifungal, antimalarial and insecticidal properties.^[5] Different species of *Pulicaria* have been studied

to establish the composition of their essential oils.^[6-9] In this study, we report and compare the results of GC-MS analyses of *P. jaubertii* leaf (P-1) and root (P-2) essential oils, as well as their *in vitro* antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

P. jaubertii was collected in March 2011 from Jazan, South of Saudi Arabia. The plant was identified by Professor Mohammed Youssef, Department of Pharmacognosy, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, where a voucher specimen (no. 15715 A) has been deposited.

Extraction of the essential oil

The freshly cut leaves and roots (400g of each) were separately subjected to hydrodistillation for 6h using a Clevenger-type apparatus according to the method

Access this article online

Website:

www.phcog.com

DOI:

10.4103/0973-1296.108133

Quick Response Code:**Address for correspondence:**

Dr. Ghada A. Fawzy, Ministry of Higher Education, King Saud University, Medical Studies and Sciences Sections, Riyadh 11495, P.O. Box 22452, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
E-mail: gfawzy54@yahoo.com

recommended in the European Pharmacopoeia.^[10] The obtained oils were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate and stored in air-tight, amber colored glass vials at 4°C.

Gas chromatography analysis

GC-MS analyses of the volatile oils were carried out using Focus GC/DSQ II mass spectrometer. The Column used was Trace TR-5 (30m × 0.25mm i.d., film thickness 0.25µm). Helium was used as carrier gas at flow rate of 1 ml/min. in split mode 20%. The oven program started with an initial temperature of 50°C for 1 min, and then it was raised to 250°C with 4°C/min. rate and finally held for 2 min. at this temperature. Kovat's retention indices were calculated using co-chromatographed standard hydrocarbons. The individual compounds were identified by comparing their retention indices relative to C8-C26 n-alkanes and by comparing their mass spectra and retention times with data already available in the NIST (National Institute of Standardization and Technology) library and literature.^[11]

Determination of antimicrobial activity

Test organisms

The following strains of pathogenic microorganisms were used for the antimicrobial assay: *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC 26633, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853. The yeast strain used in this study was *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231. The microbial strains were obtained from American type culture collection (ATCC).

Broth micro-dilution assay for minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

The broth micro-dilution technique was used to determine the MIC values.^[12] All of the experiments were performed in Mueller Hinton broth (Hi Media, Mumbai) for the bacterial strains and RPMI 1640 medium for the fungal strain. Two-fold serial dilution of the essential oils was prepared in a 96-well microtiter plate up to 2mg/ml. The prepared microtiter plates containing the microorganisms and the essential oils were then incubated at 37°C for 24h for bacterial growth and at 27°C for 48h for fungal growth. The growth of organisms was observed as turbidity, which was visually observed. Controls were set up with equivalent quantities of dimethyl sulfoxide 10% solution, which was used as a solvent for the essential oils. Amoxicillin, Gentamicin and Nystatin (Sigma, USA) were used as positive controls. All of the experiments were performed in triplicate.

Cytotoxicity assay

Cell culture

Mammalian cell lines: MCF-7 cells (human breast cancer cell line) and HEPG-2 (human liver cancer cell line), were obtained from VACSERA Tissue Culture Unit. The cells were propagated in Dulbeccos modified Eagles Medium

(DMEM) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo, USA), 1% L-glutamine, HEPES buffer and 50 µg/ml gentamycin (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo, USA). All cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ and were sub-cultured two times a week.

Evaluation of cellular cytotoxicity

The cytotoxic activity was evaluated by the crystal violet staining (CVS) method described by Saotome *et al.*^[13] and modified by Itagaki *et al.*^[14] Briefly, in a 96-well tissue culture microplate, the cells were seeded at a cell concentration of 1×10⁴ cells per well in 100µl of growth medium. Fresh medium containing different concentrations of **P-1** and **P-2** were added after 24h of seeding at 37°C. Serial twofold dilutions of the tested oils were added to confluent cell monolayers dispensed into 96-well, flat-bottomed microtiter plates using a multichannel pipette. The microtiter plates were incubated at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ for a period of 48h. Three wells were used for each concentration of the test sample. Control cells were incubated without test sample and with or without DMSO. The little percentage of DMSO present in the wells was found not to affect the experiment. After the 48 h incubation period, the viable cells yield was determined by a colorimetric method. In brief, after the end of the incubation period, media were aspirated and the crystal violet solution (1%) was added to each well for at least 30 minutes. The stain was removed and the plates were rinsed using distilled water. Glacial acetic acid (30%) was then added to all wells and mixed thoroughly. The quantitative analysis (colorimetric evaluation of fixed cells) was performed by measuring the absorbance in an automatic Microplate reader (TECAN, Inc.) at 595nm. All results were corrected for background absorbance detected in wells without added stain. Treated samples were compared with the cell control in the absence of the tested oils. All experiments were carried out in triplicate. The effect on cell growth was calculated as the difference in absorbance percentage in presence and absence of the tested oils and illustrated in a dose-response curve. The concentration at which the growth of cells was inhibited to 50% of the control (IC₅₀) was obtained from this dose-response curve. The standard antitumor drug used was vinblastine sulfate.

Statistical analyses

Data were expressed as means ± S.D. For multi-variable comparisons, one-way ANOVA was conducted, followed by Tukey-Kramer testing using the GraphPad InStat (ISI Software) computer program. Differences were considered significant at *P* values of less than 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Composition of the essential oils

Hydrodistillation of the leaves of *P. jaubertii* gave a pale yellow oil **P-1**, with a strong pleasant aromatic odor (yield 0.5% v/w), while hydrodistillation of the roots gave a dark yellow oil, **P-2** (yield 0.43% v/w). The chemical compositions of the investigated oils are presented in Table 1, where the identified components are listed in order of their elution on the Trace TR-5 column with their retention indices and percentages. A total of 16 volatile constituents were identified in **P-1**, while 23 components were identified in **P-2**, representing 99.92% and 94.74% of oils respectively. The results of the GC-MS analyses of the two oils revealed some important variations between them. Oxygenated monoterpenes were found to be the major group in both **P-1** and **P-2**, constituting

99.47% and 89.88% of the oils, respectively. **P-1** consists almost entirely of *p*-Menth-6-en-2-one (Carvotanacetone, 98.59%), which was also found to be the major constituent of the essential oils of *Pulicaria undulate*^[15] and *Pulicaria mauritanica*.^[16] **P-2** is characterized by high contents of each of Dimethoxydurene (38.48%), Durenol (26.89%) and 2',4'-Dimethoxy-3'-methylacetophenone (20.52%). Both oils contain small percentages of monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes and oxygenated sesquiterpenes.

To the best of our knowledge this work represents the first GC-MS analysis of *P. jaubertii* root oil. Previous GC-MS study of *P. jaubertii* aerial parts oil,^[6] confirmed the presence of oxygenated monoterpenes such as thujone and linalool, in addition to presence of mono- and sesquiterpenes but their percentages were not reported.

Table 1: Chemical composition of P-1 and P-2

Compound	RI*	Percentage P-1	Percentage P-2
α -Thujene	932	-	0.05
α -Pinene	940	0.05	0.05
Camphene	953	0.03	0.25
α -Phellandrene	1005	0.02	0.02
3-Carene	1012	0.07	-
<i>p</i> -Methylanisole	1019	-	4.11
Limonene	1031	0.05	-
<i>m</i> -Cymene	1084	-	0.34
Linalyl butyrate	1130	0.01	-
Camphor	1143	0.27	0.19
Menthone	1154	0.04	-
Isoborneol	1156	-	0.01
Borneol	1166	0.06	-
<i>p</i> -Cymen-8-ol	1183	-	0.62
Methyl chavicol	1195	-	2.19
Pulegone	1237	-	0.01
<i>p</i> -Menth-1(7)-en-2-one	1238	-	0.01
<i>p</i> -Menth-6-en-2-one (Carvotanacetone)	1245	98.59	0.14
4-Hydroxy-2-methylacetophenone	1271	-	0.01
Borneol acetate	1285	-	0.03
Thymol	1290	-	0.23
Dimethoxydurene	1295	-	38.48
2',4'-Dimethoxy-3'-methylacetophenone	1312	0.37	20.52
Durenol	1319	0.13	26.89
Nerol acetate	1365	-	0.52
α -Ionone	1426	-	0.03
Cinnamic acid	1438	-	0.01
α -Sesquiphellandrene	1524	-	0.03
Caryophyllene oxide	1581	0.04	-
α -Cadinol	1653	0.01	-
14-Hydroxy- δ -cadinene	1799	0.01	-
1-Docosene	2195	0.17	-
Total percentages		99.92	94.74

*RI, retention indices relative to C8-C26 n-alkanes on the Trace TR-5 column

Antimicrobial activity

The antimicrobial activity of the investigated oils was evaluated by determining MIC values against two Gram-positive and two Gram-negative bacteria as well as against one fungal strain. The results of the assay are shown in Table 2. The results exhibited that the oils had varying degrees of growth inhibition against the Gram-positive strains and *C. albicans*. However, no activity was shown against Gram-negative bacteria. **P-1** demonstrated a higher antibacterial activity (MIC range 0.5-1 mg/ml) than **P-2** (MIC 2 mg/ml) against *Bacillus subtilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. **P-1** showed antifungal activity against *C. albicans* at 1 mg/ml, while **P-2** did not show any antifungal activity.

Oxygenated monoterpenes were reported to be responsible for the antimicrobial activity of several essential oils.^[17] Moreover the predominance of Carvotanacetone (98.59%) in **P-1** could contribute to the observed antimicrobial activity. It has been reported that Gram-positive bacteria are more susceptible to essential oils than Gram-negative bacteria.^[18] Resistance of Gram-negative bacteria against essential oils has been attributed to the presence of a hydrophilic outer membrane containing a hydrophilic polysaccharide chain which acts as a barrier to the hydrophobic essential oil.^[19]

In vitro antitumor evaluation

The antitumor activity of **P-1** and **P-2** against MCF-7 and HEPG-2 carcinoma cell lines, was determined using CVS method and vinblastine as a reference drug. The response parameter (IC₅₀) was calculated for each cell line [Tables 3 and 4].

P-2 showed a lower cytotoxic activity (IC₅₀ = 9.3 and 18.3 μ g/ml) than **P-1**, but it could be seen that both **P-1** and **P-2** showed concentration-dependent decrease in surviving fractions of MCF-7 and HEPG-2 cells. **P-1** is

Table 2: Antimicrobial activity of the investigated essential oils P-1 and P-2

Tested sample	MIC ^a				
	<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>B. subtilis</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	<i>C. albicans</i>
P-1	1000	500	r	r	1000
P-2	2000	2000	r	r	r
Amoxicillin	3.5	3.5	nt	nt	nt
Gentamicin	nt	nt	3.5	7	nt
Nystatin	nt	nt	nt	nt	3.5

^aMinimum inhibitory concentration values are given as µg/ml. nt: not tested. r: resistant at 2000 µg/ml of tested oil

Table 3: *In vitro* antitumor activities of P-1 and P-2 on MCF-7

Tumor cell line	Sample concentration (µg/ml)	Viability % ± S.D. [#]		
		P-1	P-2	Vinblastine sulfate (reference drug)
MCF-7	50	23.68 ± 1.64 ^a	29.78 ± 2.25 ^{a**}	7.82 ± 0.98
	25	35.12 ± 0.98 ^a	37.44 ± 1.98 ^a	15.18 ± 1.23
	12.5	41.36 ± 2.14 ^b	45.26 ± 2.36 ^a	29.26 ± 2.74
	6.25	46.93 ± 2.45	53.32 ± 3.12 ^b	42.35 ± 2.21
	3.125	51.08 ± 1.88	59.9 ± 2.96 ^c	56.54 ± 1.96
	1.56	58.22 ± 2.36 ^c	67.62 ± 3.22 ^c	67.24 ± 2.94
	0	100.00	100.00	100
^{**} IC ₅₀		3.8 µg/ml	9.3 µg/ml	4.6 µg/ml

[#]Mean of surviving fraction ± S.D.; mean of three assays ± standard deviation, ^aP < 0.001, ^bP < 0.01, ^cP < 0.05 compared to reference drug, *P < 0.01, **P < 0.05 compared to P-1. ^{**}IC₅₀ sample concentration required to inhibit tumor cell proliferation by 50%

Table 4: *In vitro* antitumor activities of P-1 and P-2 on HEPG-2

Tumor cell line	Sample concentration (µg/ml)	Viability % ± S.D. [#]		
		P-1	P-2	Vinblastine sulfate
HEPG-2	50	8.59 ± 1.64 ^c	25.64 ± 2.16 ^{a*}	14.38 ± 1.41
	25	14.28 ± 2.06	36.50 ± 2.50 ^{a*}	16.13 ± 2.24
	12.5	27.62 ± 2.98	58.79 ± 1.96 ^{a*}	24.25 ± 2.96
	6.25	43.35 ± 2.63	73.14 ± 2.38 ^{a*}	45.13 ± 2.04
	3.125	61.50 ± 3.02 ^c	84.71 ± 2.13 ^{a*}	55.00 ± 2.33
	1.56	82.54 ± 2.24 ^b	97.28 ± 2.04 ^{a*}	72.13 ± 3.06
	0	100	100	100
^{**} IC ₅₀		5.1 µg/ml	18.3 µg/ml	4.6 µg/ml

[#]Mean of surviving fraction ± S.D.; mean of three assays ± standard deviation, ^aP < 0.001, ^bP < 0.01, ^cP < 0.05 compared to reference drug, *P < 0.001 compared to P-1. ^{**}IC₅₀ sample concentration required to inhibit tumor cell proliferation by 50%.

more potent as a cytotoxic agent, than **P-2**. It exhibited a significant cytotoxic activity against both cell lines. In case of MCF-7, IC₅₀ of **P-1** (IC₅₀ = 3.8 µg/ml) was less than that of the reference drug used (IC₅₀ = 4.6 µg/ml) revealing its higher cytotoxic potency. As for HEPG-2 carcinoma cell lines IC₅₀ of **P-1** (IC₅₀ = 5.1 µg/ml) was close to that of the reference drug (IC₅₀ = 4.6 µg/ml).

The potent cytotoxic effect of **P-1** may be attributed to its high content of Carvotanacetone, which was previously reported to have anticarcinogenic and chemopreventive activity.^[20] **P-2** showed a selective cytotoxic activity against

MCF-7 cell line (IC₅₀ = 9.3 µg/ml) compared with the reference drug (IC₅₀ = 4.6 µg/ml). It seems from our results that the human breast cancer (MCF-7) cell line is the most sensitive to the studied essential oils.

CONCLUSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on either the chemical composition or bioactivity of the root essential oil and on the bioactivity of the leaf essential oil of *Pulicaria jaubertii*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are very thankful to Dr. Adnan J. Al-Rehaily, Professor of Pharmacognosy, for providing the plant material. This research project was supported by a grant from the "Research Center of the Center for Female Scientific and Medical Colleges", Deanship of Scientific Research, King Saud University.

REFERENCES

- Williams CA, Harborne JB, Greenham JR, Grayer RJ, Kite GC, Eagles J. Variations in lipophilic and vacuolar flavonoids among European *Pulicaria* species. *Phytochem*. 2003; 64: 275-83.
- Chaudhary SA, Al Jowaid AA. Vegetation of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: Ministry of Agriculture & Water, National Agriculture and Water Research Center; 1999.
- Al Yahya A, Khafagy M, John F, Mikhail D, John M. Phytochemical and biological screening of Saudi medicinal plants. Part 6. Isolation of 2 α -hydroxyalantolactone the antileukemic principle of *Francoeuria crispa*. *J Nat Prod*. 1984; 47: 1013-17.
- Al Yahya A, El Sayed AM, Mossa JS, Koziowski JF, Antoun MD, Ferin M, et al. Potential cancer chemopreventive and cytotoxic agents from *Pulicaria crispa*. *J Nat Prod*. 1988; 51: 321-4.
- Dubaie AS, El Khulaidi AA. Medicinal and aromatic plants in Yemen. Ebadi Center for Studies and Publishing, Sana'a-Yemen; 2005.
- Alkathalan HZ, Al Hazimi HM. Chemical constituents of *T. aurilactum*, *R. vesicarius*, *P. orientalis*, *P. somalensis* and *A. abyssinica* grown in Saudi Arabia. *J Chem Soc Pak*. 1996;18: 309-12.
- Weyerstahl A, Marschall H, Wahlburg H, Christiansen C, Rustaiyan A, Mirdjalili F. Constituents of the essential oil of *Pulicaria gnapholdes* from Iran. *Flavour Frag J*. 1999; 14: 121-30.
- Al Yousuf M, Bashir A, Veres K, Dobos A, Nagy G, Mathe I, et al. Essential oil of *Pulicaria glutinosa* Jaub. From the United Arab Emirates. *JEOR*. 2001; 13: 454-5.
- Hanbali FEL, Akssira M, Ezoubeiri A, Gadhi CE, Mellouki F, Benherraif A, et al. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of essential oil of *Pulicaria odora* L. *J Ethnopharmacol*. 2005; 99: 399-401.
- European Pharmacopoeia. Council of Europe. 5th ed. Strasbourg Cedex; 2004. p. 217-8.
- Adams RP. Identification of essential oils by Ion Trap Mass Spectroscopy. New York, London; Academic Press;1989.
- NCCLS (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Proceedings of the 9th international supplement M100-S9. Wayne, PA: NCCLS; 1999.
- Saotome K, Morita H, Umeda M. Cytotoxicity test with simplified crystal violet staining method using microtitre plates and its application to injection drugs. *Toxicol In Vitro*. 1989;3: 317-21.
- Itagaki H, Hagino S, Kato S, Kobayashi T, Umeda M. An *in vitro* alternative to the draize eye-irritation test: Evaluation of the crystal violet staining method. *Toxicol In Vitro*. 1991; 5: 139-43.
- EL Kamali HH, Yousif MO, Ahmed OI, Sabir SS. Phytochemical analysis of the essential oil from aerial parts of *Pulicaria undulata* (L.) Kostel from Sudan. *Ethno Leaflets*. 2009; 13:467-71.
- Cristofari G, Znini M, Majidi L, Bouyanzer A, Al Deyab SS, Paolini J, et al. Chemical composition and anti-corrosive activity of *Pulicaria mauritanica* essential oil against the corrosion of mild steel in 0.5 M H₂SO₄. *Int J Electrochem*. 2011; 6: 6699-717.
- Carson CF, Riley TV. Antimicrobial activity of the major components of the essential oil of *Melaleuca alternifolia*. *J Appl Bacteriol*. 1995; 78: 264-9.
- Burt S. Essential oil: Their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2004; 94: 223-53.
- Kalemba D, Kunicka A. Antibacterial and antifungal properties of essential oils. *Curr Med Chem*. 2003; 10: 813-29.
- Zheng GQ, Kenney PM, Luke KT. Effects of carvone compounds on glutathione S-transferase activity in A/J mice. *J Agr Food Chem*. 1992; 40: 751-5.

Cite this article as: Fawzy GA, AlAti HY, El Gamal AA. Chemical composition and biological evaluation of essential oils of *Pulicaria jaubertii*. *Phcog Mag* 2013;9:28-32.

Source of Support: This research project was supported by a grant from the research centre of the centre for Female Scientific and Medical Colleges in the King Saud University. **Conflict of Interest:** No.

Author Help: Online submission of the manuscripts

Articles can be submitted online from <http://www.journalonweb.com>. For online submission, the articles should be prepared in two files (first page file and article file). Images should be submitted separately.

1) First Page File:

Prepare the title page, covering letter, acknowledgement etc. using a word processor program. All information related to your identity should be included here. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files.

2) Article File:

The main text of the article, beginning with the Abstract to References (including tables) should be in this file. Do not include any information (such as acknowledgement, your names in page headers etc.) in this file. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files. Limit the file size to 1 MB. Do not incorporate images in the file. If file size is large, graphs can be submitted separately as images, without their being incorporated in the article file. This will reduce the size of the file.

3) Images:

Submit good quality color images. Each image should be less than **4096 kb (4 MB)** in size. The size of the image can be reduced by decreasing the actual height and width of the images (keep up to about 6 inches and up to about 1200 pixels) or by reducing the quality of image. JPEG is the most suitable file format. The image quality should be good enough to judge the scientific value of the image. For the purpose of printing, always retain a good quality, high resolution image. This high resolution image should be sent to the editorial office at the time of sending a revised article.

4) Legends:

Legends for the figures/images should be included at the end of the article file.