Research Article



SNPs in folate pathway are associated with the risk of nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate, a meta-analysis

Qiuyan Li^{1,2,3,*}, Lidan Xu^{1,2,*}, Xueyuan Jia^{1,2}, Komal Saleem^{1,2}, Tahir Zaib^{1,2}, Wenjing Sun^{1,2} and () Songbin Fu^{1,2}

¹Laboratory of Medical Genetics, Harbin Medical University, Harbin, China; ²Key Laboratory of Preservation of Human Genetic Resources and Disease Control in China (Harbin Medical University), Ministry of Education, China; ³Editorial Department of International Journal of Genetics, Harbin Medical University, Harbin, China

Correspondence: Songbin Fu (fusb@ems.hrbmu.edu.cn) or Wenjing Sun (sunwj@ems.hrbmu.edu.cn)



Background: Prenatal intake of folic acid is important for prevention of NSCL/P (nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate). Associated genes in folate pathway are major enzymes of folic acid metabolism that is crucial for preventing birth defects. The present meta-analysis aims to investigate the association between four SNPs in folate pathway genes and the risk of NSCL/P.

Methods: Comprehensive bioinformatics analysis was used to predict the functional pathogenicity of genetic variation. The PubMed, Embase database and Google Scholar were searched by two researchers. Stata 11.0 software was used to analyze the results. Subgroup analysis was carried out to assess the influence of genetic background. Sensitivity analysis, regression analysis and publication analysis were also conducted to enhance the strength of our results.

Results: It is estimated that the probability of two missense mutation rs1801133 in *MTHFR* and rs1801394 in *MTRR* are more likely to be damaging by bioinformatics analysis. A significant association between rs1801133 and risk of NSCL/P in two genetic models: TT genotype vs CC genotype (OR = 1.333 95%CI = 1.062–1.674, P = 0.013), and recessive model (OR = 1.325 95%CI = 1.075–1.634, P = 0.008). A significant protective association between rs1801394 GG genotype and NSCL/P in Asian (GG vs AA, OR = 0.520 95%CI = 0.321–0.841, P = 0.008) was observed. Meta-regression, sensitivity analysis, and publication bias analysis confirmed that the results of the present study were statistically significant. **Conclusions:** The present study identified that rs1801133 in *MTHFR* is associated with the risk of NSCL/P, and rs1801394 GG genotype in *MTRR* play a protective role in Asian. Further, larger studies should be performed to confirm these findings.

Background

NSCL/P (Nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate) is one of the most common birth defects, characterized by craniofacial abnormality due to incomplete separation between the nasal and oral cavities [1]. NSCL/P can influence the quality of life by affecting communication problems and contributing to dysphagia. Cleft lip and palate occur in approximately one in 500–700 live births worldwide. Cleft lip is a hereditary disease with polygenic inheritance, however, the underlying genetic cause and fundamental molecular mechanism of the disease remains still elusive. However, the incidence of cleft lip varies substantially across different ethnic groups and geographical areas (http://www.who.int/oral_health/publications/factsheet/en/).

Although folic acid and multivitamin supplementation in prescribed period of pregnancy has been indicated as an effective method to prevent the risk of oral facial cleft. The significance of genetic locus in folate

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received: 19 December 2019 Revised: 18 February 2020 Accepted: 28 February 2020

Accepted Manuscript online: 03 March 2020 Version of Record published: 18 March 2020





Figure 1. Folate pathway

Abbreviations: SAH, S-adenosylhomocysteine; SAM, S-adenosyl methionine;

Table	1	Information	of	four	SNPs	in	the	present	study
-------	---	-------------	----	------	------	----	-----	---------	-------

SNP	Gene	Codon		Polyphen2		SIFT		CADD		PhyloP		LRT
			Score	Prediction	Score	Prediction	Score	Prediction	Score	Prediction	Score	Prediction
rs1801133	MTHFR	C677T	0.998	probability damaging	0.027	damage	25.0	damaging	9.137	conserved	0	deleterious
rs1801394	MTRR	A66G	1	probability damaging	0.064	tolerable	23.3	damaging	0.098	nonconserved	0	deleterious
rs1801198 rs3733890	TCN2 BHMT	C776G G716A	0.315 0.064	benign benign	0.09 0.218	tolerable tolerable	18.9 21.8	tolerable damaging	0.081 2.864	nonconserved conserved	0.027 0.070	neutral neutral

pathway and folate metabolism involved in disease pathogenesis is not clear [2,3]. Recently, many efforts have been made to find the genetic variants in folate pathway genes such as MTHFR (methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase), MTRR (Methionine synthase reductase), TCN2 (transcobalamin 2), and BHMT (betaine-homocysteine methyltransferase) and their susceptibility to cleft lip [4-10]. MTHFR plays an important role in primary circulation of folate and catalyzing the reaction of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate to 5-methyltetrahydrofolate. The substrate and metabolites are important for DNA biosynthesis, cell division and process during development. Currently, there is no targeted therapy for NSCL/P patients carried with MTHFR mutations, while there are some reports on other genetic diseases. In 2017, Martinez Saguer et al. reported successful management of hereditary angioedema during pregnancy in a patient carried with heterozygous MTHFR mutation [11]. Lahiri et al. reported successful conservative treatment of myocardial infarction in a teenager carried with MTHFR mutation [12]. Recently, Al-Eitan et al. also showed that MTHFR polymorphism was associated with treatment response in Jordanian population with epilepsy [13]. MTRR and TCN2 are essential in maintaining the levels of activated vitamin B12, and BHMT is vital for catalyzing betaine to dimethyl glycine (DMG), which are involved in remethylating Hcy (homocysteine) to Met (methionine) (Figure 1). The four genetic missense variations 677C>T in MTHFR (rs1801133), 66A>G in MTRR (rs1801394), 776C>G in TCN2 (rs1801198), and 716 G>A in BHMT (rs3733890) have influence on protein function (Table 1), and have been reported to be associated with cleft lip. However, there are different conclusions regarding the influence of these SNPs in different populations [4–10,14–41].

Here, a comprehensive bioinformatics analysis was used to predict the functional pathogenicity of genetic variation and a systematic review according to PRISMA2009 was performed to provide more precise statistical results. Our study could provide basic data for exploring effective therapeutic strategies for NSCL/P.



Methods Literature search

All published studies before April 2019 were searched using the PubMed database, Embase database, and Google Scholar with the following terms: "NSCL/P", "cleft lip", "SNP", "polymorphism", "genetic", "variant", "MTHFR", "MTHFR C677T", "rs1801133", "MTRR", "MTRR A66G", "rs1801394", "TCN2", "TCN2 C776G", "rs1801198", "BHMT", "BHMT G716A", and "rs3733890". Relevant references of related articles were also included.

Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria

All studies were independently reviewed by two researchers. Studies were included in the meta-analysis if they met the following criteria: (1) original study of human participants; (2) an association study between rs1801133 and/or rs1801394 and/or rs1801198 and/or rs3733890 and NSCL/P; (3) case–control study or cohort study; (4) allele data were available; (5) the largest sample size or sufficient useful data were included in duplicate publications from the same population. Studies were excluded if they met the following criteria: (1) allele data were not available; (2) publications duplicate from the same population; and (3) review article and meta-analysis.

Quality score assessment

The quality of research was evaluated to guarantee the strength of results and conclusions. The NOS (Newcastle–Ottawa scale) score was calculated to assess the quality of studies [42]. A maximum of nine scores, including selection, comparability and exposure items, could be awarded, <4, 4–6, and >6 indicate poor, moderate, and good quality, respectively. Any variances in comparison were decided by a third researcher.

Data extraction

The data were extracted independently by two researchers from all included studies using an integrated and standardized form. The following information was extracted: (1) first author name; (2) publication year; (3) population ethnicity; and (4) genotype distribution.

Computational and statistical analysis

Polyphen2, SIFT, CADD, phyloP, and LRT were used for bioinformatics prediction. The HWE (Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium) test was calculated by the chi-square test. The distribution of allelic frequencies in controls were considered to deviate from HWE when P < 0.05. STATA (11.0; Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, U.S.A.) software was used to calculate the results of meta-analysis. Heterogeneity across individual studies was assessed by Cochran's Q test and I^2 statistic (P < 0.10 and $I^2 > 50\%$ indicated evidence of heterogeneity). The fixed-effects model (Mantel–Haenszel method) was used to estimate the pooled OR when there was no evidence of the heterogeneity; otherwise, the random-effects model analyzed by DerSimonian and Laird method was used. Using rs1801133 C>T as an example: (1) allele model, T allele vs. C allele; (2) dominant model, (CT+TT vs. CC); (3) recessive model, (TT vs. CT+CC); and (4) genotype model, (CT vs. CC; TT vs. CC). The same genetic models were performed for "rs1801394", "rs1801198", and "rs3733890". A P value of P < 0.05 was established as the significant difference. Two subgroups, including Caucasian and Asian, based on ethnicity were analyzed to reduce the heterogeneity and influences from the genetic background. Meta-regression, and one-way sensitivity analysis, and Egger's regression test were also performed [43]. The trim and fill method was used when publication bias exists.

Results Study characteristics

According to the search strategy, 926 publications were identified in the initial search. After evaluating the titles and abstracts, 801 publications were excluded, and 125 full-text publications were further reviewed (Figure 2). By applying the inclusion criteria, 34 publications were used for the final meta-analysis. Overall, 30 publications with 5517 cases and 7770 controls were included in the rs1801133 group; ten publications with 1767 cases and 2029 controls were included in the rs1801394 group; six publications with 1815 cases and 898 controls were included in the rs1801198 and five studies with 1253 cases and 1562 controls were included in the rs3733890 group. A total of seven studies in the control group (not excluded) were found to deviate from HWE. The main characteristics of the included publications are shown in Table 2.

													P value of HWE	NOS	Source of	Genotyping
Study	Year	Ethnicity	Genoty	pe in ca	se			Genot	/pe in co	ontrol			test	score	control	method
MTHFR rs1801133			Total	cc	СТ	TT	AF	Total	cc	СТ	TT	AF				
Shaw et al.	1998	Caucasian	310	143	127	40	0.334	383	156	178	49	0.360	0.873	6	PB	PCR-
Tolarova et al.	1998	Caucasian	111	43	49	19	0.392	106	46	52	8	0.321	0.195	6	NA	NA
Gaspar et al.	1999	Caucasian	77	30	39	8	0.357	103	49	49	5	0.286	0.096	6	HB	NA
Wyszynski et al.	2000	Caucasian	259	114	109	36	0.349	327	129	154	44	0.370	0.854	6	PB	Q-PCR, Taqman
Martinelli et al.	2001	Caucasian	64	22	30	12	0.422	106	46	43	17	0.363	0.205	6	PB	PCR
Grunert et al.	2002	Caucasian	66	34	26	6	0.288	184	90	69	25	0.323	0.052	6	PB	PCR
Shotelersuk et al.	2003	Asian	109	84	25	0	0.115	202	154	46	2	0.124	0.478	6	PB	PCR
van Rooij et al.	2003	Caucasian	105	54	45	6	0.271	128	70	54	4	0.242	0.091	6	PB	PCR
Gaspar et al.	2004	Caucasian	644	327	269	48	0.283	424	213	172	39	0.295	0.616	6	HB	PCR
Pezzetti et al.	2004	Caucasian	110	28	58	24	0.482	289	95	151	43	0.410	0.174	6	HB	PCR
Brandalize et al.	2007	Caucasian	114	49	46	19	0.368	100	45	41	14	0.345	0.353	6	HB	PCR
Chevrier et al.	2007	Caucasian	148	66	60	22	0.351	165	51	81	33	0.445	0.935	6	HB	PCR
Little et al.	2008	Caucasian	96	39	47	10	0.349	224	94	101	29	0.355	0.819	6	PB	MS-PCR
Mills et al.	2008	Caucasian	492	217	221	54	0.334	1599	715	721	163	0.327	0.341	7	HB	PCR
Ali et al.	2009	Asian	323	225	87	11	0.169	214	176	36	2	0.093	0.916	6	PB	PCR
Sozen et al.	2009	Caucasian	179	81	80	18	0.324	138	66	65	7	0.286	0.073	6	PB	PCR
Mostowska et al.	2010	Caucasian	163	81	65	17	0.304	171	78	77	16	0.319	0.629	6	PB	PCR
Ebadifar et al.	2010	Asian	61	21	18	22	0.508	215	114	72	29	0.302	0.003	6	PB	PCR
Han et al.	2011	Asian	187	46	106	35	0.471	213	74	110	29	0.394	0.236	6	HB	PCR
Aslar et al.	2013	Caucasian	80	13	57	10	0.481	125	59	62	4	0.280	0.010	6	PB	PCR
Kumari et al.	2013	Asian	467	327	125	15	0.166	469	364	100	5	0.117	0.518	6	Mixed	PCR
Murthy et al.	2014	Asian	123	104	19	0	0.077	141	107	31	3	0.131	0.672	6	HB	PCR
Estandia-Ortega et al.	2014	Caucasian	132	39	55	38	0.496	370	143	172	55	0.381	0.780	7	PB	PCR
Jiang et al.	2015	Asian	204	59	107	38	0.449	226	62	108	56	0.487	0.512	6	PB	Sequenom
Bezerra et al.	2015	Caucasian	140	74	54	12	0.279	175	85	70	20	0.314	0.341	6	PB	PCR
Abdollahi-Fakhim et al.	2015	Asian	121	38	58	25	0.446	103	27	54	22	0.476	0.605	6	PB	PCR
Wang et al.	2016	Asian	147	28	66	53	0.585	129	19	97	13	0.477	< 0.001	5	PB	PCR
Marini et al.	2016	Caucasian	330	119	159	52	0.398	360	148	154	58	0.375	0.097	7	HB	Taqman
Karas Kuzelicki et al.	2018	Caucasian	103	45	45	13	0.345	199	85	96	18	0.332	0.214	7	Mixed	Taqman
Rafik et al.	2019	Africa	52	44	8	0	0.077	182	97	74	11	0.264	0.526	6	PB	PCR
MTRR rs1801394		Total	AA	AG	GG	AF	Total	AA	AG	GG	AF					
Brandalize et al.	2007	Caucasian	114	36	69	9	0.382	100	33	61	6	0.365	0.002	6	HB	PCR
Mostowska et al.	2010	Caucasian	164	31	81	52	0.564	166	34	70	62	0.584	0.089	6	PB	PCR
Aslar et al.	2014	Caucasian	100	14	72	14	0.500	125	13	107	5	0.468	< 0.001	6	PB	PCR
Waltrick-Zambuzzi et al.	2015	Caucasian	342	95	194	53	0.439	401	136	193	72	0.420	0.806	7	HB	Q-PCR

Table 2 Characteristics of included studies about associations between four SNPs of folate pathway gene and NSCL/P

Continued over

PRESS PRESS

Study	Year	Ethnicity	Genoty	/pe in ca	se			Genoty	ype in co	ontrol			P value of HWE test	NOS score	Source of control	Genotyping method
MTHFR rs1801133			Total	CC	СТ	TT	AF	Total	CC	СТ	TT	AF				
Jiang et al.	2015	Asian	204	123	71	10	0.223	226	124	84	18	0.265	0.480	6	PB	Sequenom
Bezerra et al.	2015	Caucasian	140	98	37	5	0.168	175	112	60	3	0.189	0.111	6	PB	PCR
Murthy et al.	2015	Asian	123	42	81	0	0.329	141	65	76	0	0.270	< 0.001	5	HB	PCR
Wang et al.	2016	Asian	147	71	26	50	0.429	129	29	59	41	0.547	0.380	5	PB	PCR
Marini et al.	2016	Caucasian	330	160	134	36	0.312	367	175	161	31	0.304	0.478	7	HB	Sequenom
Karas Kuzelicki et al.	2018	Caucasian	103	14	56	33	0.592	199	30	111	58	0.570	0.051	7	Mixed	PCR
TCN 2 rs1801198		Total	CC	CG	GG	AF	Total	CC	CG	GG	AF					
Martinelli et al.	2006	Caucasian	218	85	110	23	0.358	289	89	150	50	0.433	0.330	5	PB	PCR
Mills et al.	2008	Caucasian	316	99	153	64	0.445	1097	347	532	218	0.441	0.243	7	HB	Taqman
Mostowska et al.	2010	Caucasian	163	46	88	29	0.448	181	48	103	30	0.450	0.044	6	HB	Sequenom
Jin et al.	2015	Asian	429	76	215	138	0.572	461	75	231	155	0.587	0.475	5	PB	Sequenom
Waltrick-Zambuzzi et al.	2015	Caucasian	359	139	160	60	0.390	440	179	199	62	0.367	0.576	7	Mixed	Sequenom
Marini et al.	2016	Caucasian	330	135	140	55	0.379	366	160	155	51	0.351	0.177	7	PB	PCR
BHMT rs3733890		Total	GG	GA	AA	AF	Total	GG	GA	AA	AF					
Mostowska et al.	2010	Caucasian	174	95	76	3	0.236	176	82	75	19	0.321	0.766	6	HB	PCR
Hu et al.	2011	Asian	166	90	56	20	0.289	268	130	118	20	0.295	0.334	5	HB	PCR
Jin et al.	2015	Asian	481	219	202	60	0.335	554	265	245	44	0.301	0.222	5	PB	PCR
Marini et al.	2016	Caucasian	330	140	150	40	0.348	366	156	163	47	0.351	0.665	7	PB	Sequenom
Karas Kuzelicki et al.	2018	Caucasian	102	42	51	9	0.338	198	98	84	16	0.293	0.734	7	HB	Q-PCR

Table 2 Characteristics of included studies about associations between four SNPs of folate pathway gene and NSCL/P (Continued)

Note: AF, allele frequency of minor allele; HB, hospital based; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; PB, population based.





Figure 2. Study flow diagram

Associations between the four SNPs of folate pathway gene and NSCL/P in the overall population

The meta-analysis results showed that there was a significant association between rs1801133 and NSCL/P risk in two genetic models: TT genotype vs CC genotype (OR 1.333 95% CI=1.062–1.674, P= 0.013) and recessive model (OR=1.325 95%CI= 1.075–1.634, P= 0.008) (Table 3, Figures 3 and 4). There was no statistically significant association between rs1801394 of the *MTRR*, rs1801198 of the *TCN2*, rs3733890 of the *BHMT* and NSCL/P risk in the overall population (Tables 4-6).

Subgroup analysis

To decrease the heterogeneity, and a subgroup analysis was conducted according to genetic backgroud (i) Asian and (ii) Caucasian. The results showed that there was a significant association between rs1801394 and NSCL/P risk in Asian (GG genotype vs AA genotype, OR=0.520 95% CI=0.321-0.841, P= 0.008), but no associations in Caucasian (Table 4), which confers a protective role of GG genotype in Asian.

Meta-regression and influence analysis

Publication year, sample size and HWE were considered as covariates for meta-regression. The results showed that the above factors have no influence on the results (P > 0.05). To avoid one single study affected the overall OR estimates, one-way sensitivity analysis was performed. The results showed that no study was found to exert an excessive influence on the pooled effect.



Table 3 Association between the rs1801133 (CC/CT/TT*) and NSCL/P

Genetic model	<i>I</i> ² (%)	P for heterogeneity	OR (95% CI)	P value	P for publication bias	Effects model
T allele vs C allele						
Overall	73.1	0	1.111 (0.992–1.244)	0.069	0.820	random
Caucasian	58.5	0.001	1.085 (0.976–1.206)	0.131	0.361	random
Asian	79.1	0	1.244 (0.961–1.611)	0.098	0.438	random
TT vs CC						
Overall	62.6	0	1.333 (1.062–1.674)	0.013#	0.102	random
Caucasian	56.2	0.001	1.230 (0.976–1.551)	0.080	0.239	random
Asian	70.5	0.001	1.701 (0.949–3.049)	0.075	0.365	random
CT vs CC						
Overall	57.6	0	1.026 (0.901–1.169)	0.696	0.587	random
Caucasian	40.3	0.033	1.027 (0.904–1.166)	0.686	0.287	random
Asian	62.4	0.006	1.081 (0.821–1.422)	0.580	0.041	random
Dominant model						
Overall	66.2	0	1.075 (0.936–1.234)	0.305	0.804	random
Caucasian	52.8	0.003	1.067 (0.932–1.223)	0.347	0.208	random
Asian	67.9	0.002	1.172 (0.883–1.557)	0.272	0.089	random
Recessive model						
Overall	62.5	0	1.325 (1.075–1.634)	0.008#	0.220	random
Caucasian	40.9	0.030	1.190 (0.989–1.433)	0.066	0.434	random
Asian	79.1	0	1.737 (0.940–3.210)	0.078	0.404	random

Note: *wild homozygote (CC), heterozygote (CT), mutation (TT); # indicates statistically significance.

Table 4 Association between rs1801394 (AA/AG/GG*) and NSCL/P

Genetic model	l ² (%)	P for heterogeneity	OR (95% CI)	P value	P for publication bias	Effects model
G allele vs A allele						
Overall	36.0	0.120	0.986 (0.895–1.085)	0.766	0.713	fixed
Caucasian	0	0.938	1.037 (0.928–1.158)	0.520	0.401	fixed
Asian	77.7	0.011	0.863 (0.569–1.309)	0.488	0.480	random
GG vs AA						
Overall	30.7	0.172	0.977 (0.781–1.223)	0.841	0.405	fixed
Caucasian	0	0.816	1.176 (0.909–1.520)	0.217	0.218	fixed
Asian	0	0.820	0.520 (0.321–0.841)	0.008#	_	fixed
AG vs AA						
Overall	78.3	0	0.879 (0.630–1.227)	0.449	0.374	random
Caucasian	31.9	0.184	1.037 (0.872–1.234)	0.679	0.443	fixed
Asian	93.2	0	0.644 (0.211–1.968)	0.441	0.599	random
Dominant model						
Overall	69.4	0.001	0.921 (0.704–1.205)	0.550	0.586	random
Caucasian	0	0.514	1.047 (0.886–1.236)	0.591	0.353	fixed
Asian	90.3	0	0.746 (0.351–1.768)	0.506	0.908	random
Recessive model						
Overall	37.8	0.117	1.032 (0.853–1.248)	0.748	0.164	fixed
Caucasian	45.1	0.090	1.062 (0.858–1.315)	0.581	0.073	fixed
Asian	39.6	0.198	0.922 (0.605–1.406)	0.707	-	fixed

Note: *wild homozygote (AA), heterozygote (AG), mutation (GG); [#]indicates statistically significance.

Table 5 Association between rs1801198 (CC/CG/GG*) and NSCL/P

Genetic model	<i>l</i> ² (%)	P for heterogeneity	OR (95% CI)	P value	P for publication bias	Effects model
G allele vs C allele	38.8	0.147	0.990 (0.907–1.080)	0.821	0.541	fixed
GG vs CC	43.4	0.116	0.987 (0.824–1.181)	0.883	0.438	fixed
CG vs CC	0	0.820	0.966 (0.840-1.112)	0.631	0.196	fixed
Dominant model	0	0.428	0.976 (0.855–1.114)	0.717	0.248	fixed
Recessive model	27.4	0.229	1.002 (0.860–1.167)	0.982	0.747	fixed

Antibution 30.27198 at an (Ger) a content of the Biochemical Society and distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).



D	OR (95% CI)	Weigh
		Weigh
5haw et al. (1998)	0.89 (0.55, 1.43)	4.89
Γolarova et al. (1998)	2.54 (1.01, 6.41)	3.07
Gaspar et al. (1999)	2.61 (0.78, 8.73)	2.26
Nyszynski et al. (2000)	0.93 (0.56, 1.54)	4.75
Aartinelli et al. (2001)	1.48 (0.60, 3.62)	3.16
Grunert et al. (2002)	0.64 (0.24, 1.68)	2.91
van Rooij et al. (2003)	1.94 (0.52, 7.24)	2.02
Gaspar et al. (2004)	0.80 (0.51, 1.27)	4.98
Pezzetti et al. (2004)	1.89 (0.99, 3.64)	4.11
Brandalize et al. (2007)	1.25 (0.56, 2.77)	3.52
Chevrier et al. (2007)	0.52 (0.27, 0.99)	4.12
ittle et al. (2008)	0.83 (0.37, 1.87)	3.48
Aills et al. (2008)	1.09 (0.77, 1.54)	5.45
ozen et al. (2009)	2.10 (0.83, 5.32)	3.05
Nostowska et al. (2010)	1.02 (0.48, 2.17)	3.71
Aslar et al. (2013)	11.35 (3.07, 41.87)	2.04
standia–Ortega et al. (2014)	- 2.53 (1.47, 4.37)	4.59
Bezerra et al. (2015)	0.69 (0.32, 1.50)	3.59
Narini et al. (2016)	1.12 (0.71, 1.74)	5.02
(aras Kuzelicki et al. (2018)	1.36 (0.61, 3.04)	3.52
hotelersuk et al. (2003)	0.37 (0.02, 7.71)	0.51
Ali et al. (2009)	♦ 4.30 (0.94, 19.66)	1.65
badifar et al. (2010)	↓ 4.12 (2.00, 8.49)	3.82
lan et al. (2011)	1.94 (1.05, 3.59)	4.28
(umari et al. (2013)	3.34 (1.20, 9.29)	2.76
Aurthy et al. (2014)	0.15 (0.01, 2.88)	0.53
iang et al. (2015)	0.71 (0.41, 1.23)	4.59
Abdollahi–Fakhim et al. (2015)	0.81 (0.38, 1.72)	3.69
Vang et al. (2016)	2.77 (1.19. 6.41)	3.36
tafik et al. (2019)	0.10 (0.01, 1.65)	0.58
Overall (I-squared = 62.6%, p = 0.000)	1.33 (1.06, 1.67)	100.00
IOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		

Figure 3. Forest plot for pooled ORs for the associations between TT vs CC model of rs1801133 and NSCL/P risk

Table 6 Association between rs3733890 (GG/GA/AA*) and NSCL/P

A allele vs G allele 61.0 0.036 0.994 (0.820-1.204) 0.948 0.409 random AA vs GG 73.6 0.004 0.993 (0.558-1.764) 0.980 0.182 random GA vs GG 23.7 0.264 0.968 (0.826-1.133) 0.685 0.961 fixed Dominant model 33.2 0.200 0.994 (0.856-1.155) 0.940 0.709 fixed	Genetic model	<i>l</i> ² (%)	P for heterogeneity	OR (95% CI)	P value	P for publication bias	Effects model
AA vs GG 73.6 0.004 0.993 (0.558–1.764) 0.980 0.182 random GA vs GG 23.7 0.264 0.968 (0.826–1.133) 0.685 0.961 fixed Dominant model 33.2 0.200 0.994 (0.856–1.155) 0.940 0.709 fixed	A allele vs G allele	61.0	0.036	0.994 (0.820-1.204)	0.948	0.409	random
GA vs GG 23.7 0.264 0.968 (0.826-1.133) 0.685 0.961 fixed Dominant model 33.2 0.200 0.994 (0.856-1.155) 0.940 0.709 fixed	AA vs GG	73.6	0.004	0.993 (0.558–1.764)	0.980	0.182	random
Dominant model 33.2 0.200 0.994 (0.856–1.155) 0.940 0.709 fixed	GA vs GG	23.7	0.264	0.968 (0.826–1.133)	0.685	0.961	fixed
	Dominant model	33.2	0.200	0.994 (0.856–1.155)	0.940	0.709	fixed
Recessive model 75.1 0.003 1.002 (0.569–1.767) 0.994 0.192 random	Recessive model	75.1	0.003	1.002 (0.569–1.767)	0.994	0.192	random

Publication bias

There was publication bias for rs1801133 in the Asian population in genotype model CT vs CC (Table 3). Trim and fill results showed that the adjusted risk estimate unchanged, which confirmed that the results of present study are statistically reliable.

© 2020 The Author(s). This is an open access article published by Portland Press Limited on behalf of the Biochemical Society and distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).



ID	OR (95% CI)	Weigh
Shaw et al. (1009)	1 01 (0.65, 1.59)	1 00
Tolarova et al. (1998)	2 53 (1 06 6 06)	3.00
Gespar et al. (1998)	2.55 (1.00, 0.00)	2.15
Wyszynski et al. (2000)	1.04 (0.65, 1.67)	4.75
Martinelli et al. (2000)	1.04 (0.03, 1.07)	3.22
	0.64 (0.25, 1.63)	2.22
van Rooii et al. (2002)	1.88 (0.52, 6.84)	1.95
Gaspar et al. (2004)		1.05
Perzetti et al. (2004)	1.60 (0.92, 2.79)	4.91
Brandalize et al. (2007)	1.00 (0.92, 2.79)	3.48
	0.70 (0.20, 1.26)	1 10
Little et al. (2007)	0.70 (0.39, 1.20)	4.10
Mills et al. (2008)	1.00 (0.78, 1.50)	5.45
Sozon et al. (2008)	2.00 (0.85, 5.16)	2.45
Mostowska et al. (2010)	2.09 (0.05, 5.10) 1 12 (0.55, 2.22)	2.90
	4 22 (1 21 14 20)	2.06
Estandia Ortoga et al. (2014)	4.32 (1.31, 14.23)	4.75
Pererra et al. (2014)	2.32 (1.44, 3.72)	4.75
Maxini at al. (2015)	0.73 (0.34, 1.54)	5.40
Marini et al. (2018)	0.97 (0.63, 1.46)	3.07
Karas Kuzelicki et al. (2018)	- 1.45 (0.68, 3.10)	3.45
	0.37 (0.02, 7.70)	0.44
All et al. (2009)	3.74 (0.82, 17.03)	1.46
Ebadifar et al. (2010)	3.62 (1.88, 6.95)	3.90
Han et al. (2011)	1.46 (0.85, 2.50)	4.44
Kumari et al. (2013)	3.08 (1.11, 8.54)	2.52
Murthy et al. (2014)	- 0.16 (0.01, 3.13)	0.46
Jiang et al. (2015)	0.69 (0.44, 1.11)	4.80
Abdollahi–Fakhim et al. (2015)	0.96 (0.50, 1.83)	3.93
Wang et al. (2016)	5.03 (2.59, 9.78)	3.84
	0.14 (0.01, 2.45)	0.50
Overall (1–squared = 62.5%, $p = 0.000$)	1.33 (1.07, 1.63)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
00819 1	122	

Figure 4. Forest plot for pooled ORs for the associations between recessive model of rs1801133 and NSCL/P risk

Discussion

NSCL/P is a multifactorial disease caused by genetic and environmental factors. In previous years, various genomic susceptibility regions have been identified in association studies, linkage studies, family sequencing studies, and animal experiments suggesting that gene mutations influence the development of maxillofacial area. However, the underlying biological mechanisms remain unclear.

Folic acid is an important factor that influences the metabolism and the synthesis of nucleotides and amino acids. Previous studies have suggested that folic acid plays an important role in decreasing the risk of NSCL/P [2,3]. Folic acid metabolism is a complex process and many genes are involved in the pathway, such as *MTHFR*, *MTRR*, *TCN2*, and *BHMT*. However, there are no consistent results regarding the association between the genetic variations of these genes and NSCL/P in different populations. To clarify these inconsistent results, we carried out the meta-analysis in this study.

The present meta-analysis results demonstrated a significant association between rs1801133 and NSCL/P risk in two genetic models: TT genotype vs CC genotype (OR=1.333 95% CI=1.062–1.674, P= 0.013) and recessive model (OR=1.325 95%CI = 1.075–1.634, P = 0.008). There were a significant protective association between rs1801394 GG genotype and NSCL/P in Asian (GG genotype vs AA genotype, OR=0.520 95% CI=0.321–0.841, P= 0.008).

TCN2, encode transcobalamin2, transports vitamin B12 to cells, have been reported to be associated with multiple diseases, such as cancer, Alzheimer and other congenital abnormalities [44–46]. In 2006, Martinelli et al. found that the C776G in *TCN2* was associated with risk of cleft lip, but subsequent studies didn't get the significant results [10]. Similarly, the present study didn't find the significant association between the C776G and NSCL/P.

BHMT, a zinc dependent cytosolic enzyme, is important for homocysteine metabolism and methionine synthesis. In 2010, Mostowska et al. first found that rs3733890 of the *BHMT* was associated with NSCL/P, and other studies also indicated its association with coronary artery disease and neural tube defects [23]. In the present study, we found no evidence showing rs3733890 playing any significant role [23]. We inferred several factors may contribute to the result. First, we found a relative high value of heterogeneity among studies, which cause a different distribution of genotype. Second, the number of included studies and sample size are relatively small. So, the subgroup analysis was not conducted based on ethnicity.

MTRR plays a vital role in functional regeneration of methionine synthase, and it may be associated with increasing the congenital heart disease risk [18]. But the meta-analysis conducted by Zhang et al. in 2013 and Lei et al. in 2018 showed no association between rs1801394 and the risk of NSCL/P [47,48]. In the present study, we found a significant protective association between rs1801394 GG genotype and the NSCL/P risk in Asian, but no association in Caucasian. Considering the different background, we also summarized the data from 1000 genomes and ExAC database (S-Table 1), and we found the allelic frequencies vary in different background groups, and no significant association study between rs1801394 and the NSCL/P was found in Caucasian [6,14,25]. However, the sample size of the MTRR analysis is a limitation, and the present study did not consider the possibility of linkage disequilibrium, so further well-designed studies are required to establish these findings.

MTHFR is an important enzyme in homocysteine metabolism and C677T rs1801133 is one of the most important functional polymorphisms. Prediction by bioinformatics tools showed that the change of genetic variant will influence the protein function and predispose to cause the disease (Table 1). The allelic frequencies vary in different ethnic groups and the minor allele frequency (MAF) of *MTHFR* rs1801133 in Asian are lower than that in European and American, so it is very valuable to summarize and analyze by systematic statistical methods. In 1998, Tolarava found TT genotype of rs1801133 increase the risk of CL/P, later on, several studies also found the associations between rs1801133 and NSCL/P in different population [19,29,34]. However, there were several studies failed to find association between rs1801133 and the risk of NSCL/P [38,41]. In the present study, we included 30 studies including 5517 cases and 7770 controls and found TT genotype can increase the risk of NSCL/P.

The strength of this meta-analysis is that it expands to a large number of related studies, and the most updated publications were included. A strict procedure for search strategy, literature inclusion, data extraction, and quality assessment by two researchers was performed to guarantee the quality. Meta-regression and sensitivity analysis were also performed to strengthen the conclusions. We confirmed the previous investigation by summarizing a larger number of closely related studies.

There are some limitations in the present meta-analysis. First, studies published only in English were included in the meta-analysis, and studies published in other languages were excluded. Second, environmental factors also contribute to NSCL/P, and in the present study, non-genetic factors and other potential interactions such as age, sex, folate level were not included in the analysis due to insufficient information.

Conclusion

In the present study, we successfully identified rs1801133 in *MTHFR* is associated with the increasing risk of NSCL/P, and GG of rs1801394 in *MTRR* confers a protective role in Asian. Further well-designed studies are required to establish these findings.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program [grant number #2016YFC1000504 (to S.F.)].

Author Contribution

All authors have contributed to the paper. Q.L., L.X., W.S., and S.F. conceived and designed the study; Q.L., L.X., X.J., K.S., and T.Z. extracted and analyzed the data. Q.L., L.X., X.J., K.S., T.Z., W.S., and S.F. drafted the manuscript. All authors revised and approved the final draft.



Data Availability

All the data in the present research is contained in this manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Thank you for the invaluable devotion of all participants in this study.

Abbreviations

BHMT, betaine-homocysteine methyltransferase; HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; MAF, minor allele frequency; *MTHFR*, methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase; *MTRR*, methionine synthase reductase; NSCL/P, nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate; *TCN2*, transcobalamin.

References

- 1 Zucchero, T.M., Cooper, M.E., Maher, B.S., Daack-Hirsch, S., Nepomuceno, B., Ribeiro, L. et al. (2004) Interferon regulatory factor 6 (IRF6) gene variants and the risk of isolated cleft lip or palate. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **351**, 769–780, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa032909
- 2 Tolarova, M.M. (1998) A commom mutation in the MTHFR gene is a risk factor for nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate anomalies. *Am. J. Hum. Genet* **63**, A27
- 3 Wehby, G.L. and Murray, J.C. (2010) Folic acid and orofacial clefts: a review of the evidence. *Oral Dis.* **16**, 11–19, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-0825.2009.01587.x
- 4 Wang, W., Jiao, X.H., Wang, X.P., Sun, X.Y. and Dong, C. (2016) MTR, MTRR, and MTHFR Gene Polymorphisms and Susceptibility to Nonsyndromic Cleft Lip With or Without Cleft Palate. *Genet Test Mol. Biomarkers* **20**, 297–303, https://doi.org/10.1089/gtmb.2015.0186
- 5 Aslar, D., Ozdiler, E., Altug, A.T. and Tastan, H. (2013) Determination of Methylenetetrahydrofolate Reductase (MTHFR) gene polymorphism in Turkish patients with nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* **77**, 1143–1146, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2013.04.022
- 6 Bezerra, J.F., Oliveira, G.H., Soares, C.D., Cardoso, M.L., Ururahy, M.A., Neto, F.P. et al. (2015) Genetic and non-genetic factors that increase the risk of non-syndromic cleft lip and/or palate development. *Oral Dis.* 21, 393–399, https://doi.org/10.1111/odi.12292
- 7 Waltrick-Zambuzzi, M., Tannure, P.N., Vieira, T.C., Antunes, L.S., Romano, F.L., Zambuzzi, W.F. et al. (2015) Genetic Variants in Folate and Cobalamin Metabolism-Related Genes in Nonsyndromic Cleft Lip and/or Palate. *Braz. Dent. J.* 26, 561–565, https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-6440201300394
- 8 Karas Kuzelicki, N., Smid, A., Kek, T., Eberlinc, A., Gersak, K. and Mlinaric-Rascan, I. (2018) Common polymorphism in the glycine N-methyltransferase gene as a novel risk factor for cleft lip with or without cleft palate. *Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg.* 47, 1381–1388, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2018.06.001
- 9 Hu, Y., Chen, E., Mu, Y., Li, J. and Chen, R. (2011) BHMT gene polymorphisms as risk factors for cleft lip and cleft palate in a Chinese population. *Biomed. Environ. Sci.* 24, 89–93
- 10 Martinelli, M., Scapoli, L., Palmieri, A., Pezzetti, F., Baciliero, U., Padula, E. et al. (2006) Study of four genes belonging to the folate pathway: transcobalamin 2 is involved in the onset of non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate. *Hum. Mutat.* 27, 294, https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.9411
- 11 Martinez Saguer, I. and Escuriola Ettingshausen, C. (2017) Successful management of hereditary angioedema during pregnancy in a patient with heterozygous MTHFR mutation. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* **118**, 734–735, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2017.04.001
- 12 Lahiri, S., Cuglievan, B., Gutierrez, J.L. and Pefkarou, A. (2017) Successful conservative treatment of myocardial infarction in a teenager with MTHFR mutation. *Int. J. Cardiol. Heart Vasc.* **15**, 24–25
- 13 Al-Eitan, L.N., Al-Dalalah, I.M., Mustafa, M.M., Alghamdi, M.A., Elshammari, A.K., Khreisat, W.H. et al. (2019) Effects of MTHFR and ABCC2 gene polymorphisms on antiepileptic drug responsiveness in Jordanian epileptic patients. *Pharmgenomics Pers. Med.* **12**, 87–95
- 14 Brandalize, A.P., Bandinelli, E., Borba, J.B., Felix, T.M., Roisenberg, I. and Schuler-Faccini, L. (2007) Polymorphisms in genes MTHFR, MTR and MTRR are not risk factors for cleft lip/palate in South Brazil. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* **40**, 787–791, https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-879X2006005000112
- 15 Jin, L.L., Chen, E.J., Hou, W., Liu, X.H. and Hu, Y. (2015) The Association between Folate Pathway Genes and Cleft Lip With or Without Cleft Palate in a Chinese Population. *Biomed. Environ. Sci.* 28, 136–139
- 16 Aşlar, D. and Taştan, H. (2014) Prevalence of MTHFR, MTR and MTRR gene polymorphisms in Turkish patients with nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate. *Gene Ther. Mol. Biol.* **16**, 115–129
- 17 Wyszynski, D.F. and Diehl, S.R. (2000) Infant C677T mutation in MTHFR, maternal periconceptional vitamin use, and risk of nonsyndromic cleft lip. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* **92**, 79–80, https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-8628(20000501)92:1%3c79::AID-AJMG14%3e3.0.C0;2-H
- 18 Verkleij-Hagoort, A., Bliek, J., Sayed-Tabatabaei, F., Ursem, N., Steegers, E. and Steegers-Theunissen, R. (2007) Hyperhomocysteinemia and MTHFR polymorphisms in association with orofacial clefts and congenital heart defects: a meta-analysis. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **143A**, 952–960, https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.31684
- 19 Sozen, M.A., Tolarova, M.M. and Spritz, R.A. (2009) The common MTHFR C677T and A1298C variants are not associated with the risk of non-syndromic cleft lip/palate in northern Venezuela. *J. Genet. Genomics* **36**, 283–288, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1673-8527(08)60116-2
- 20 Shotelersuk, V., Ittiwut, C., Siriwan, P. and Angspatt, A. (2003) Maternal 677CT/1298AC genotype of the MTHFR gene as a risk factor for cleft lip. *J. Med. Genet.* **40**, e64, https://doi.org/10.1136/jmg.40.5.e64
- 21 Rafik, A., Rachad, L., Kone, A.S. and Nadifi, S. (2019) MTHFR C677T polymorphism and risk of nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate in the Moroccan population. *Appl. Clin. Genet* 12, 51–54, https://doi.org/10.2147/TACG.S194166



- 22 Murthy, J., Gurramkonda, V.B., Karthik, N. and Lakkakula, B.V. (2014) MTHFR C677T and A1298C polymorphisms and risk of nonsyndromic orofacial clefts in a south Indian population. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol.* 78, 339–342, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2013.12.005
- 23 Mostowska, A., Hozyasz, K.K., Wojcicki, P., Dziegelewska, M. and Jagodzinski, P.P. (2010) Associations of folate and choline metabolism gene polymorphisms with orofacial clefts. J. Med. Genet. 47, 809–815, https://doi.org/10.1136/jmg.2009.070029
- 24 Mills, J.L., Molloy, A.M., Parle-McDermott, A., Troendle, J.F., Brody, L.C., Conley, M.R. et al. (2008) Folate-related gene polymorphisms as risk factors for cleft lip and cleft palate. *Birth Defects Res. A Clin. Mol. Teratol.* 82, 636–643, https://doi.org/10.1002/bdra.20491
- 25 Marini, N.J., Yang, W., Asrani, K., Witte, J.S., Rine, J., Lammer, E.J. et al. (2016) Sequence variation in folate pathway genes and risks of human cleft lip with or without cleft palate. Am. J. Med. Genet. A 170, 2777–2787, https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.37874
- 26 Little, J., Gilmour, M., Mossey, P.A., Fitzpatrick, D., Cardy, A., Clayton-Smith, J. et al. (2008) Folate and clefts of the lip and palate–a U.K.-based case-control study: Part II: Biochemical and genetic analysis. *Cleft Palate Craniofac. J.* **45**, 428–438, https://doi.org/10.1597/06-151.1
- 27 Kumari, P., Ali, A., Sukla, K.K., Singh, S.K. and Raman, R. (2013) Lower incidence of nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate in females: is homocysteine a factor? J. Biosci. 38, 21–26, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12038-013-9298-7
- 28 Jiang, C., Yin, N., Zhao, Z., Wu, D., Wang, Y., Li, H. et al. (2015) Lack of Association Between MTHFR, MTR, MTRR, and TCN2 Genes and Nonsyndromic CL+/-P in a Chinese Population: Case-Control Study and Meta-Analysis. *Cleft Palate Craniofac. J.* 52, 579–587, https://doi.org/10.1597/14.067
- 29 Han, Y., Pan, Y., Du, Y., Tong, N., Wang, M., Zhang, Z. et al. (2011) Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T and A1298C polymorphisms and nonsyndromic orofacial clefts susceptibility in a southern Chinese population. DNA Cell Biol. 30, 1063–1068, https://doi.org/10.1089/dna.2010.1185
- 30 Gaspar, D.A., Pavanello, R.C., Zatz, M., Passos-Bueno, M.R., Andre, M., Steman, S. et al. (1999) Role of the C677T polymorphism at the MTHFR gene on risk to nonsyndromic cleft lip with/without cleft palate: results from a case-control study in Brazil. Am. J. Med. Genet. 87, 197–199, https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-8628(19991119)87:2%3c197::AID-AJMG15%3e3.0.CO;2-M
- 31 Estandia-Ortega, B., Velazquez-Aragon, J.A., Alcantara-Ortigoza, M.A., Reyna-Fabian, M.E., Villagomez-Martinez, S. and Gonzalez-Del Angel, A. (2014) 5,10-Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase single nucleotide polymorphisms and gene-environment interaction analysis in non-syndromic cleft lip/palate. *Eur. J. Oral Sci.* **122**, 109–113, https://doi.org/10.1111/eos.12114
- 32 Ebadifar, A., KhorramKhorshid, H.R., Kamali, K., Salehi Zeinabadi, M., Khoshbakht, T. and Ameli, N. (2015) Maternal Supplementary Folate Intake, Methylenetetrahydrofolate Reductase (MTHFR) C677T and A1298C Polymorphisms and the Risk of Orofacial Cleft in Iranian Children. *Avicenna J. Med. Biotechnol.* **7**, 80–84
- 33 Chevrier, C., Perret, C., Bahuau, M., Zhu, H., Nelva, A., Herman, C. et al. (2007) Fetal and maternal MTHFR C677T genotype, maternal folate intake and the risk of nonsyndromic oral clefts. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **143A**, 248–257, https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.31462
- 34 Ali, A., Singh, S.K. and Raman, R. (2009) MTHFR 677TT alone and IRF6 820GG together with MTHFR 677CT, but not MTHFR A1298C, are risks for nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate in an Indian population. *Genet Test Mol. Biomarkers* 13, 355–360, https://doi.org/10.1089/gtmb.2008.0115
- 35 Abdollahi-Fakhim, S., Asghari Estiar, M., Varghaei, P., Alizadeh Sharafi, M., Sakhinia, M. and Sakhinia, E. (2015) Common Mutations of the Methylenetetrahydrofolate Reductase (MTHFR) Gene in Non-Syndromic Cleft Lips and Palates Children in North-West of Iran. *Iran J. Otorhinolaryngol.* 27, 7–14
- 36 Murthy, J. (2015) Genetic variant in MTRR A66G, but not MTR A2756G, is associated with risk of non-syndromic cleft lip and palate in Indian population. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. Med. Pathol. 27, 782–785, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajoms.2015.04.008
- 37 Gaspar, D.A., Matioli, S.R. and de Cassia Pavanello, R. (2004) Maternal MTHFR interacts with the offspring's BCL3 genotypes, but not with TGFA, in increasing risk to nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **12**, 521–526, https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201187
- 38 Grunert, R.R., Braune, A. and Schnackenberg, E. (2002) Genetic differences in enzymes of folic acid metabolism in patients with lip-jaw-palate clefts and their relatives. *Mund Kiefer Gesichtschir.* **6**, 131–133, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10006-001-0361-4
- 39 Martinelli, M., Scapoli, L. and Pezzetti, F. (2001) C677T variant form at the MTHFR gene and CL/P: a risk factor for mothers? *Am. J. Med. Genet.* **98**, 357–360, https://doi.org/10.1002/1096-8628(20010201)98:4%3c357::AID-AJMG1108%3e3.0.C0;2-F
- 40 Pezzetti, F., Martinelli, M. and Scapoli, L. (2004) Maternal MTHFR variant forms increase the risk in offspring of isolated nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate. *Hum. Mutat.* **24**, 104–105, https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.9257
- 41 Shaw, G.M., Rozen, R. and Finnell, R.H. (1998) Infant C677T mutation in MTHFR, maternal periconceptional vitamin use, and cleft lip. Am. J. Med. Genet. 80, 196–198, https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-8628(19981116)80:3%3c196::AID-AJMG2%3e3.0.CO;2-V
- 42 Stang, A. (2010) Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* **25**, 603–605, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z
- 43 Egger, M., Davey Smith, G., Schneider, M. and Minder, C. (1997) Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* **315**, 629–634, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629
- 44 Hsu, F.C., Sides, E.G., Mychaleckyj, J.C., Namour, F. and Gueant, J.L. (2011) Transcobalamin 2 variant associated with poststroke homocysteine modifies recurrent stroke risk. *Neurology* 77, 1543–1550, https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e318233b1f9
- 45 Oussalah, A., Levy, J., Filhine-Tresarrieu, P., Namour, F. and Gueant, J.L. (2017) Association of TCN2 rs1801198 c.776G>C polymorphism with markers of one-carbon metabolism and related diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis of genetic association studies. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **106**, 1142–1156
- 46 Pawlik, P., Mostowska, A., Lianeri, M., Sajdak, S., Kedzia, H. and Jagodzinski, P.P. (2012) Folate and choline metabolism gene variants in relation to ovarian cancer risk in the Polish population. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **39**, 5553–5560, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-011-1359-0
- 47 Zhang, T., Lou, J., Zhong, R., Wu, J., Zou, L., Sun, Y. et al. (2013) Genetic variants in the folate pathway and the risk of neural tube defects: a meta-analysis of the published literature. *PLoS ONE* 8, e59570, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0059570



48 Lei, W., Xia, Y., Wu, Y., Fu, G. and Ren, A. (2018) Associations Between MTR A2756G, MTRR A66G, and TCN2 C776G Polymorphisms and Risk of Nonsyndromic Cleft Lip With or Without Cleft Palate: A Meta-Analysis. *Genet Test Mol. Biomarkers* 22, 465–473, https://doi.org/10.1089/gtmb.2018.0037