



Ericka Kirkpatrick Roubidoux D and Stacey Schultz-Cherry \*D

Department of Infectious Diseases, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN 38105, USA; ericka.roubidoux@stjude.org

\* Correspondence: Stacey.schultz-cherry@stjude.org

**Abstract:** Animal models have been an important tool for the development of influenza virus vaccines since the 1940s. Over the past 80 years, influenza virus vaccines have evolved into more complex formulations, including trivalent and quadrivalent inactivated vaccines, live-attenuated vaccines, and subunit vaccines. However, annual effectiveness data shows that current vaccines have varying levels of protection that range between 40–60% and must be reformulated every few years to combat antigenic drift. To address these issues, novel influenza virus vaccines are currently in development. These vaccines rely heavily on animal models to determine efficacy and immunogenicity. In this review, we describe seasonal and novel influenza virus vaccines and highlight important animal models used to develop them.

Keywords: animal models; vaccines; influenza; ferret; mouse; guinea pig



Citation: Roubidoux, E.K.; Schultz-Cherry, S. Animal Models Utilized for the Development of Influenza Virus Vaccines. *Vaccines* 2021, *9*, 787. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/vaccines9070787

Academic Editor: Lynda Coughlan

Received: 10 June 2021 Accepted: 10 July 2021 Published: 14 July 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

### 1. Introduction

Influenza viruses have caused seasonal epidemics and occasional pandemics for hundreds of years [1]. Influenza A viruses (IAV) were isolated in 1933 [2] and Influenza B viruses (IBV) were subsequently isolated in 1940 [3]. IAVs and IBVs are very diverse and rapidly evolve to evade immune responses, giving them the ability to cause millions of infections as well as thousands of hospitalizations and deaths annually [4,5]. Influenza viruses are categorized based on their two glycoproteins, hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA), into IAV Group 1 (H1, H2, H5, H6, H8, H9, H11, H12, H13, H16, N1, N4, N5 and N8), IAV Group 2 (H3, H4, H7, H10, H14, H15 N2, N3, N6, N7 and N9), IBV B/Yamagata-like lineage and IBV B/Victoria-like lineage. H1N1, H3N2 and both IBV lineage viruses infect humans seasonally [6]. IAVs can be found in many natural hosts including aquatic birds, domesticated poultry, swine, equines and canines [7–10]. Conversely, IBVs primarily infect humans and can be found sparingly in other mammalian hosts, such as the harbor seal [11,12].

Generally, seasonal influenza viruses cause mild to moderate infections in humans. Symptoms can include fever, headache, lethargy, loss of appetite and body aches. Occasionally, these infections are more serious and can lead to hospitalization or death [6]. However, pandemic influenza viruses cause much more severe infections and have significantly higher mortality rates. Pandemic IAVs have zoonotic origins and are introduced into the population by direct interaction with infected poultry, waterfowl or swine. The most notable influenza virus pandemic occurred in 1918 (H1N1 "Spanish Flu") and caused over 21 million deaths world-wide [1]. The most recent influenza virus pandemic occurred in 2009 (H1N1 "Swine Flu"). This outbreak was less severe, causing 200,000 deaths [1]. Humans rarely transmit avian or swine viruses from person-to-person, however the threat of an influenza virus pandemic caused by a zoonotic virus that can transmit between humans is omnipresent. Constant global surveillance is critical to try and identify viruses with pandemic potential [4]. Previous outbreaks of avian H5N1, which can have mortality rate as high as 60%, were the motivation behind creating national stockpiles of seed stocks of pandemic potential influenza virus vaccines [13–15]. If an unexpected IAV subtype was to cause a pandemic, a matching vaccine would need to be developed, leading to a delay in vaccinations and possible high mortality in the population [16,17]. Vaccines are critical for the prevention of influenza virus infections. However, more "universal" influenza virus vaccines that could potentially protect from both epidemics and pandemics are needed. Animal models are the basis for many vaccine studies to establish efficacy, safety, and immunogenicity of a vaccine. This review will discuss the types of influenza virus vaccines under development and describe how animal models can be utilized to further their progress towards clinical trials.

## 2. Seasonal Influenza Virus Vaccines

The primary defense to protect from influenza virus infections are seasonal vaccines. These vaccines have varying efficacy, usually around 40–60% each year. However, during some influenza virus seasons, vaccine efficacy was found to be as low as 20% [4,18]. The first influenza virus vaccine produced was an inactivated form of A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 virus [19]. Monovalent vaccines were the first to be developed after the identification of the H1N1 subtype in humans [19]. Shortly after this, Influenza B virus was identified in humans, leading to a more comprehensive bivalent vaccine [20]. After the 1968 H3N2 pandemic, a trivalent vaccine was developed. This vaccine is composed of three influenza virus strains representing each subtype [1]. In the 1980s it was discovered that there are two co-circulating IBV lineages [21]. Another vaccine approach was adopted in the form of a quadrivalent vaccine which is composed of four representative strains, two for IAV and two for IBV [22–25].

There are several different vaccines currently on the market that fall into three categories- inactivated influenza vaccines (IIV), live-attenuated influenza vaccine (LAIV) and recombinant HA subunit vaccines (Table 1). These vaccines can be monovalent, trivalent (TIV) or quadrivalent (QIV). The HA of influenza virus is responsible for virus attachment and entry, meaning that antibody responses focused on the HA can prevent infection. Because of this, IIVs are standardized based on HA content rather than total protein in the hopes of generating a large, sterilizing immune response that could prevent both infection and transmission of viruses. LAIVs are administered based on an infectious dose, meaning that other viral proteins, such as the NA, are also included. The NA, and other proteins, are present in IIVs, however the amount of protein in a particular vaccine preparation can vary and is not standardized. IAV and IBV viruses are highly diverse, and both the HA and NA undergo rapid antigenic drift leading to the emergence of antigenically distinct variants every few years. To combat this, the vaccines must be reformulated annually. This puts pressure on vaccine manufacturers to constantly ensure that seasonal vaccines will be produced in time for the new influenza season [17,26].

In the northern hemisphere, the influenza season is from October to March with infections peaking between December and February [4]. About six months prior to the onset of each season, a group of experts comprised of representatives from the World Health Organization (WHO) Influenza Virus Collaborating Centers (WHO CCs), essential regulatory laboratories, and other partners, review data generated by the WHO Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System (GISRS) and make recommendations on influenza vaccine composition "seed strains" that will be the best candidates for vaccine production in the following season [17,28–30]. Production time from strain selection to vaccine distribution can take from six to eight months. Seed strains are selected based on several criteria including their ability to grow to high titers in embryonated hen eggs and their antigenic relatedness to prominent circulating strains [29]. Most seed strains are made as reassortant viruses (with internal genes from A/Puerto Rico/8/1934) to ensure that they will grow to high titers in a single egg [30]. Eggs are used extensively for propagating influenza viruses, making them a critical tool for virus and vaccine research [17,31]. Like any system, there are some drawbacks to using eggs as a tool for propagating influenza virus. Because avian species have different sialic acid receptors compared to humans, the viruses can acquire mutations that may alter antibody responses, drastically reducing vaccine effectiveness [32–34]. Approximately one vaccine dose can be produced per egg, meaning that millions of eggs are needed for any given influenza virus vaccine season. The possibility of egg shortages threatens production of influenza virus vaccines, both seasonal and pandemic. Additionally, production of H5N1 vaccines has been challenging in the past due to the high infectivity of avian viruses in embryonated eggs, which can lead to the death of the embryo before high virus titers are reached. This increases the number of eggs needed to prepare pandemic vaccines. To address these concerns and limitations, cell-culture based and recombinant protein based vaccines are available (Table 1) [17].

Trade Name	Manufacturer	Category	Available as	Demographic
AFLURIA	Seqirus Pty. Ltd.	IIV	TIV, QIV	Persons > 6 months of age
Agriflu	Seqirus Inc.	IIV	TIV	Persons > 18 years of age
FLUAD <sup>a</sup>	Seqirus, Inc.	IIV	TIV	Persons $> 65$ years of age
FluMist	MedImmune	LAIV	QIV	Persons 2–49 years of age
Fluarix	GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals	IIV	TIV	Persons > 3 years of age
Fluarix Quadrivalent	GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals	IIV	QIV	Persons > 6 months of age
Flublok	Protein Sciences Corporation	Recombinant HA	TIV, QIV	Persons > 18 years of age
Flucelvax	Seqirus, Inc.	IIV	TIV	Persons $> 4$ years of age
Flucelvax <sup>b</sup>	Seqirus, Inc.	IIV	QIV	Persons $> 4$ years of age
FluLaval	ID Biomedical Corporation of Quebec	IIV	TIV, QIV	Persons > 6 months of age
Fluvirin	Seqirus Vaccines Limited	IIV	TIV	Persons > 4 years of age
Fluzone <sup>c</sup>	Sanofi Pasteur Inc.	IIV	TIV, QIV	Persons > 6 months of age
Influenza Virus Vaccine, H5N1 <sup>d</sup>	Sanofi Pasteur Inc	IIV	Monovalent	Persons 18 through 64 years of age
Influenza A (H5N1) Virus Monovalent Vaccine <sup>e</sup>	ID Biomedical Corporation of Ouebec	IIV	Monovalent	Persons > 6 months of age

Table 1. Influenza virus vaccines currently on the US market [27].

<sup>a</sup> Adjuvanted with MF59<sup>®</sup>; <sup>b</sup> cell-based vaccine; <sup>c</sup> available as a standard dose IM vaccination, high-dose IM vaccination and intradermal vaccination; <sup>d</sup> for national stockpile; <sup>e</sup> adjuvanted with AS03.

Typically, IIVs and recombinant HA vaccines are administered via a single intramuscular (IM) injection. LAIV vaccines are administered as a mist intranasally (IN). IIVs were originally made using whole inactivated virus. Whole virus IIVs could be very reactogenic, leading to the introduction of split-virion and subunit vaccines. Split vaccines are made using detergent to break open the viral particle, exposing both the internal and external proteins of the virus to the immune system. Subunit vaccines are then further purified to increase total HA content [35]. This method was shown to be just as effective, and less reactogenic, compared to whole virus vaccines. IIVs induce protective immune responses without the addition of an adjuvant. However, the use of adjuvants has been discussed to increase immunogenicity in the elderly instead of administering a high dose vaccine. Adding adjuvants could lead to the reduction of HA content in each dose, which could prevent vaccine shortages [17,26]. While they are not commonly used, there are a few adjuvants that are licensed for uses in influenza virus vaccines. Adjuvants have been demonstrated to boost immune responses, especially in high-risk groups that generally have suboptimal vaccine responses [17,36]. Alum salt was one of the first licensed adjuvants however newer adjuvants, such as MF59 and AS03, are oil-in-water emulsions. Many other adjuvants are currently being evaluated in animal models or have moved into clinical trials (detailed reviews in [17,36]). While improvements in seasonal vaccines could be

helpful in curbing annual epidemics, they offer no protection against emerging pandemic influenza viruses. This constant threat has spurred interest into taking a different approach to influenza virus vaccines- going universal instead of strain-specific.

#### 3. Universal Influenza Virus Vaccines

As discussed previously, the threat of pandemic influenza virus outbreaks, along with seasonal antigenic drift, has uncovered faults in current seasonal vaccines. A "universal" approach to influenza virus vaccines has become the primary focus of new strategies. Universal influenza virus vaccines must meet several requirements established by agencies including the WHO and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) [37–39]. These requirements include producing vaccines that cause long-lived immune responses (one to five years), prevent clinical disease caused by IAV and IBV drifted subtypes, are safe for the general population and high-risk groups as well as provide protection from emerging pandemic strains.

The main premise for universal influenza virus vaccines is to boost antibody responses that target conserved, yet less accessible, regions of the virus [40-47]. The HA head domain is the primary target of immune responses after infection and vaccination. Due to the high variability of the HA head domain between strains circulating annually and between groups of IAV/IBV, it may not be the best target for universal vaccines. To overcome the immunodominance of the HA head domain, prime-boost methods and the use of adjuvants have been employed in hopes of diverting the immune response away from the HA head domain and focusing it more on conserved epitopes [48–50]. Additionally, several new approaches and targets have been proposed as universal influenza virus vaccines including; the use of recombinant proteins, virus-like particles (VLPs) [51], viral vectors [52–54], selfassembling nanoparticles [4,18] and nucleic acids (DNA [39] or mRNA [55–58]). A majority of recombinant proteins focus on targeting the HA stalk (chimeric HAs (cHAs) [59–62], stalk-only "headless HAs" [63] or mini-HAs [64]), conserved epitopes in both the head and stalk domains of the HA (mosaic HAs [65,66] and computational optimized broadly reactive antigen (COBRA) [67–70]), the neuraminidase [71–74], the matrix protein (M1) [43,75,76], the matrix 2 ectodomain (M2e) [75–78] and the nucleoprotein (NP) [79,80]. Many technologies have been designed to carry recombinant antigens in ways that boost immunogenicity or mimic natural infection. Virus-like particles have been engineered to carry recombinant antigens such as M2e [42] or recombinant HAs [81]. Viral vectors, such as the modified vaccina virus Ankara and chimpanzee adenovirus, have been engineered to stimulate T cell responses by using conserved epitopes on M1 and NP proteins [75,76,82]. Nanoparticle based vaccines have the advantage of being self-assembling structures that can mimic the structure of an actual virion; however, they are not infectious or self-replicating. They can be designed to express the full-length HA, HA stalk constructs, NA or M2e. The nanoparticles themselves can be quite immunogenetic, however some formulations do contain the adjuvant Matrix-M [24,47,83,84].

Recombinant influenza viruses expressing protein constructs developed for universal vaccine platforms have also been created using reverse genetics. This system allows for the production of live virus that express these proteins instead of traditional viral proteins. The recombinant viruses can replicate similarly to wild-type viruses and can therefore be used as seed strains in both IIVs and LAIVs that contain conserved epitopes not targeted by seasonal IIVs and LAIVs, however they still utilize the existing vaccine production pipeline [28,42,60,69,85,86]. As of 2020, 74 candidates have reached late preclinical development and 22 have reached clinical development with studies undergoing Phase 1–3 clinical trials (see detailed review [44]). This is where preclinical animal models are key. Animal models may indicate whether a vaccine candidate will be protective, and if so, correlates of protection can be determined by evaluating host immune responses in a variety of ways. Each animal model has advantages and disadvantages, which will be discussed in the next section. Choosing an applicable animal model is one of the most critical steps for vaccine studies.

# 4. Animal Models Used in Influenza Virus Vaccine Studies

Animal models have been extensively utilized to study influenza virus pathogenesis and transmission [41,82–103]. They were instrumental in the early isolation of the virus as well as its subsequent propagation. In the late 1930s and early 1940s, scientists began to look at virus pathogenesis in both mice and ferrets [104,105].

While vaccine safety is no longer evaluated for annually reformulated seasonal vaccines, animal models are still used in studies that focus on improving immunogenicity and efficacy. This usually involves testing whether adding novel adjuvants, increasing antigen dosing or using prime-boost methods can induce better immune responses compared to a standard vaccine regimen [93,106–109]. Novel vaccines must show efficacy, immunogenicity and safety in animal models before receiving approval for use in humans. This is especially important for evaluating vaccines focused on viruses with pandemic potential because protection studies cannot be ethically performed in human clinical trials [88]. For most vaccines, a tier of animal models is used to evaluate immunogenicity and efficacy of vaccines. This tier generally moves from smaller and more manageable models, such as mice, to larger ones such as ferrets or non-human primates. There are many factors involved in choosing the correct animal model for any vaccine study. Understanding the utilities of each animal model can aid in developing vaccine candidates that will perform well in clinical trials. The presence of clinical signs, data that can be collected, costs and husbandry requirements should be considered before choosing an animal model for a particular study. Additionally, finding animal models with sialic acid homology to humans is important in understanding not only viral pathogenesis, but also vaccine efficacy. The HA is responsible for host specificity and will bind preferentially to either  $\alpha 2,3$  or  $\alpha 2,6$ sialic acids depending on the subtype. Influenza viruses that infect humans have high affinity for  $\alpha 2,6$  sialic acids, while those that infect avian species preferentially bind to  $\alpha 2,3$ sialic acids. Sialic acids of the respiratory tract in ferrets and swine are predominately  $\alpha 2,6$ linked, which has homology to humans [34,110,111]. Mice have a mix of both  $\alpha$ 2,3 and  $\alpha$ 2,6 sialic acids, with the former being more abundant [112,113]. The upper respiratory tract of guinea pigs is primarily composed of  $\alpha 2,6$  sialic acids, however the lower respiratory tract has more  $\alpha 2,3$  sialic acids [103]. Additionally, animal models can be manipulated to mimic some human comorbidities that are associated with increased risk of severe influenza virus infections or poor vaccine responses [94].

Animal models have been critical in establishing correlates of protection. Both vaccination and natural infection lead to a strong innate and adaptive immune response including the induction of Type 1 interferons, T cell activation, B cell maturation and antibody production [110,114–116]. Since vaccines are focused on inducing anti-HA antibodies, correlates of protection have primarily been determined by measuring the production of serum HA-specific antibodies that prevent binding to sialic acid receptors [48–50]. This is usually measured using a hemagglutinin inhibition assay (HAI). An HAI titer of >1:40 is considered as a surrogate for a protective antibody response. Interestingly, immune responses post-vaccination can vary compared to those induced by natural infection in regard to which virus proteins (i.e., the HA, NA, NP etc.) are primarily targeted by antibodies [32,33,51,117]. T-cells are also involved in clearing an infection, however traditional vaccines are not designed to induce strong T cell responses, while natural infection is more prone to inducing T-cell responses [111]. Novel influenza virus vaccines that target either the HA stalk, NA, M1, M2e or NP induce antibodies that cannot be detected in a hemagglutinin inhibition assay. With the development in new tools, it has been possible to understand other immune correlates of protection. By comparing antibody responses towards the NA after vaccination and natural infection, Chen et al. found that IIVs do not induce detectable anti-NA antibody titers while natural infection induces immune responses that are more balanced between the HA and NA [51]. This, and work from others, influenced the discovery of different correlates of protection, including anti-HA stalk antibodies and anti-NA antibodies [52–54]. As novel, T-cell based vaccines emerge, there will be more of an opportunity to examine T-cell driven correlates of protection. Information gained in animal models can be used to define primary outcome measures during clinical trials.

The main animal models involved in pre-clinical vaccine research are mice and ferrets, but other models such as the guinea pig, cotton rat, Syrian hamster, swine and non-human primates are also used (Figure 1). However, these models are uncommon and reagents for many downstream analyses are limited.



**Figure 1.** Animal models for influenza virus vaccine development. Mice, ferrets, guinea pigs, cotton rats, swine and non-human primates are important animal models for vaccine development. This figure was created with BioRender.com (accessed on 1 April 2021).

# 4.1. Mice

The mouse model is a staple in influenza virus vaccine and immunity studies. Generally, it is the first model used to investigate vaccine immunogenicity and efficacy. Vaccine studies using mice (*Mus musculus*) began by investigating whether delivering an inactivated form of influenza virus could serve as a surrogate to natural infection [118]. After the confirmation that the vaccine was immunogenic and safe in mice, the study continued and led to the development of the first inactivated influenza virus vaccine [19,119]. Almost all vaccines on the market, as well as those still in development, have been initially evaluated in mice.

While they are not a natural host for influenza viruses, mice can be experimentally infected with a variety of human influenza viruses [88]. However, pathology can vary widely between strains. Early isolates, such as A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (H1N1) and B/Lee/1940 (IBV) are still used today as representative strains due to their high infectivity in mice. However, these viruses were adapted before their use in the mouse model. A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 was passaged 77 times in mice, 717 times in cell culture, 80 times in embry-onated chicken eggs and 5 times in ferrets before it was used as the first inactivated vaccine strain in 1938. B/Lee/1940 was also passaged through embryonated chicken eggs before

its use in the first bivalent vaccine. Both strains have been continuously passaged through eggs over time, making them more adept at causing severe infections in mice [117]

Additionally, many pandemic strains such as the H1N1 2009, H3N2 Hong Kong 1968, H5N1 and H7N9 viruses have been found to be lethal in the mouse model. Recent strains of human H1N1, H3N2 and IBV viruses are either unable to infect or need to be adapted to the mouse model [93,120]. For vaccine studies, a challenge virus that has been recently circulating in the population would be valuable as it would more accurately represent what humans would experience during clinical trials.

Mouse adapting is a key tool used to make challenge virus strains that can easily infect mice and cause clinical signs [121]. This process involves infecting mice with the wild type strain, collecting mouse lungs at one to three days post infection, homogenizing lung tissues and then infecting another mouse with diluted lung homogenates. Some viruses are easily adapted to mice, however other viruses may take several passages before they are fully adapted [122–125]. Mouse adaptation can cause mutations in many viral genes, including the polymerase machinery (PB1, PB2 and PA) as well as the glycoproteins HA and NA [126–128]. However, there has been little evidence of antigenic drift between the parental and mouse adapted viruses. Typically, wild type mouse strains such as BALB/c or C57BL6 mice are used for mouse adaptation. However, more susceptible mice, such as the DBA/2 strain or pharmacologically induced immune-suppressed, have been used to adapt viruses that are unable to infect wild type mice [126].

Mice are used to establish vaccine regimens (single dose, prime-boost and/or the addition of adjuvants), test routes of administration (IN, IM or intradermal), determine protective doses and immunogenicity as well as measure the reduction of morbidity, mortality and viral loads [88,91]. Mice are also often used to evaluate how vaccines can protect against homologous, heterologous and pandemic viral challenge. Vaccine studies are generally set up by immunizing groups of mice with differing regimens. Control groups, such as a standard of care (TIV/QIV), unvaccinated or sublethally infected mice are critical for understanding the differences in immune responses, protection and morbidity are induced by the vaccine and not by other factors. Experiments are designed to follow the vaccine distribution protocol and determine how the vaccine protects mice from lethal virus challenge.

Mice generally begin to show seroconversion approximately three weeks after vaccination, which is similar to the kinetics of seroconversion in humans. Once infected, unvaccinated mice generate high viral titers in their lungs at three days post infection that decrease by six days post infection. Clinical signs for infection in mice include lethargy, anorexia, weight loss and occasionally paralysis. Weight loss, as well as clinical signs, are used to measure morbidity prevented by the vaccine. Survival after viral challenge is recorded to determine the protectiveness of the vaccine [87,93,96,126]. Experimental setups are simple, with mice grouped based on their treatment and co-housed with 5–10 mice per cage, depending on the institution (Figure 2a). To study immune responses after vaccination tissues such as the lungs, trachea, lymph nodes, bone marrow and spleen can be collected. Sera is also collected to evaluate humoral immune responses. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) can be collected to determine viral titers and measure humoral immune responses. Tissues may be used for histology, determining viral titers or investigating T cell and B cell responses. Histology can visualize local inflammation, viral replication and immune infiltration into infected tissues. Determining viral titers gives a glimpse into how well the vaccine is preventing initial infection and further viral replication. By evaluating humoral responses, T cell activation and B cell maturation, we can determine how well the vaccine induces an adaptive immune response. Sera can be collected longitudinally and used to determine longevity of humoral responses. Generally, sera are assessed for binding to viral proteins via an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Mice will also be evaluated for seroconversion using a hemagglutinin inhibition assay (HAI) and microneutralization assay (MN). These three assays are the staple to pre-clinical vaccine development in the mouse model [129].



**Figure 2.** Experimental setups for vaccination experiments: (**a**) mouse vaccination experiments are designed so that each vaccine group is co-housed in a single cage. A maximum of five to ten mice can be placed in a single cage. Morbidity and mortality are monitored for 14 days post challenge. Sera, lungs, trachea and spleens may be collected to measure viral titers, viral spread, B-cell, T-cell and antibody responses; (**b**) ferret transmission and vaccination experimental design. For contact transmission, ferrets are co-housed with a maximum of two animals per cage. For aerosol transmission, ferrets are in two separate cages, spaced far apart enough to prevent direct contact. Airflow goes from the infected to uninfected animal. Tissues and sera are collected and utilized in a similar manner as those collected for mouse experiments. Additionally, ferret nasal wash samples or nasal swabs are collected and can be used to interrogate viral titers, innate immune responses and mucosal immunity. This figure was created with BioRender.com (accessed on 1 April 2021) and Affinity Designer v1.9.

There are few limits to reagents that are available to study host responses to vaccination in the mouse model, which is one of its biggest advantages [120]. In influenza virus research, the wild type strains C57BL/6 and BALB/c are generally used to mimic healthy individuals [88]. These mice have innate and adaptive immune responses like what would be seen in humans. However, some discrepancies in antibody subtypes and Th1/Th2 responses can be observed [130]. Humanized mouse models have emerged as a possible way to better utilize the mouse model for influenza virus vaccine research [131]. In one study, researchers designed a humanized mouse model to identify vaccine constructs that may cause adverse reactions in humans [132]. Another study developed a humanized mouse model to better study T cell mediated immunity by engrafting CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells into severely immunocompromised mice. The T cell repertoire after vaccination closely resembled what is observed in humans making this model viable for evaluating T cell-based vaccines [133].

It has been shown that mice upregulate similar genes as humans in response to infection [96]. DBA/2 mice are more susceptible to infection and may be used to study viruses that are generally not infectious in wild type mice [134,135]. Mouse models are inbred, with little genetic variation between mice of the same strain, which can have some benefits in vaccine studies such as the increased reliability that results obtained in one laboratory can be comparable to results reported elsewhere.

#### 4.2. Ferrets

Ferrets (*Mustela putorius furo*) have been used in influenza virus research since the virus was first identified [2,3,20,109,112]. Ferrets are the most biologically relevant small animal model to study influenza virus pathogenesis, transmission and vaccinology [83–86,99]. There are many factors that make ferrets a more biologically relevant model including their ability to be naturally infected with human isolates, their sialic acid distribution homology with humans, their ability to transmit viruses through the air and their ability to mount protective immune responses after vaccination. They are also used extensively for risk assessment of viruses with pandemic potential to determine how infectious and transmissible a particular isolate is [89,136,137].

Like humans, the ferret respiratory track is lined with  $\alpha 2,6$  sialic acids [34,138]. When infected with seasonal viruses, ferrets display clinical signs similar to humans including nasal discharge, anorexia, fever, sneezing and coughing [84,99]. Infection with zoonotic viruses can cause severe weight loss, pneumonia and a prolonged high fever. Additionally, ferrets are a unique model because they can transmit influenza viruses through direct contact and aerosols [83–86]. Clinical signs, along with quantifying viral loads in nasal secretions and body tissues, are used to determine how well a given vaccine protects from infection. Because ferrets' signs and symptoms are like those in humans, these animals provide better clarity as to how the vaccine will behave in humans.

Experimental setups can vary depending on what questions are being asked about a particular vaccine [56,92,106,109,136,137,139–155] (Figure 2b). Ferrets are commonly used to assess how well a vaccine protects from morbidity and transmission. Additionally, host antibody responses can be evaluated to determine whether a vaccine induced protective HAI titers [113,116,156]. Ferrets are typically infected via direct administration of virus into each nostril [85]. For transmission experiments, ferrets will be separated into three groups, the donor (directly infected), contact recipient (uninfected) and aerosol recipient (uninfected). The vaccine can be administered to the donor ferret to assess whether vaccination prevents initial infection and further transmission to a naïve ferret. Alternatively, recipient ferrets can receive the vaccination to assess how well the vaccine protects from transmissible influenza virus. Contact recipients are co-housed with donor ferrets so that transmission occurs through frequent interactions and shared space. Influenza viruses can readily transmit through the direct contact route. Aerosol transmission is modeled by placing ferrets in separate cages with enough space between them to prevent physical contact. Airflow is designed to travel from the donor to the recipient ferret to aid in transmission, which can vary in effectiveness depending on the virus strain. For efficient transmission, ferrets should be housed with controlled temperature and humidity settings (ideally 23 °C with 30% relative-humidity), however, these practices are not yet standardized [157]. To closely mimic human vaccination strategies, ferrets are generally vaccinated following the same protocol that will be used in future clinical trials. Ferrets are infected intranasally by either using a pipet to drip virus into their nasal cavity or via aerosols, however the former is more efficient despite it being an unnatural route of infection [84,85].

In addition to monitoring clinical signs, many different specimens can be collected from ferret challenge studies allowing for an in-depth examination of vaccine efficacy. Prior to viral challenge, blood is collected from vaccinated animals to determine pre-infection antibody titers. Nasal washes or swabs can be collected throughout infection to determine viral titers, investigate innate immune responses in the form of cytokine and chemokine expression, and measure mucosal antibody titers [84]. The larger size of ferrets allows for the collection of tissues from many different anatomic compartments such as lobes of the lung, the trachea, and the nasal cavity allowing for the determination of viral spread within the animal [89,90]. This gives insight into how well a vaccine protects from both initial infection and virus spread by measuring viral titers as well as inflammation and infiltration of immune cells into infected tissues (histopathology). Collection of sera and BALF allows for the quantification of immune responses including innate immune responses (cytokine and chemokine expression), antibody levels towards viral proteins and vaccine antigens

as well as the induction of cellular responses (for a detailed review see [84]). Previous vaccination experiments have confirmed that ferrets can mount strong, broadly reactive and relatively long-lasting immune responses to universal vaccine candidates. With promising results in the mouse and ferret models, vaccine candidates can be further tested in clinical trials [143,145,146,149–151,155]. In addition, because of their long life-span, it is possible to reuse ferrets from previous vaccine responses [158]. Ferrets are larger animals than mice, so the increased requirements for husbandry and handling of these animals must be considered. Additional ethical and technical restrictions limit the number of animals that can be included in a particular study, reducing the amount of treatment groups that can be tested.

There are less tools developed for studying ferret immune responses to vaccination compared to those for the mouse model. Much of the previous information gleaned about ferret immune responses was done using reagents made for canine research [159]. However, significant progress has been made in recent years to increase the availability of species specific reagents for more accurate analyses of ferret immune responses [82]. After the full ferret genome was sequenced, technologies to study genes contributing to host immune responses were developed [160]. Using the sequenced genome, scientists were able to express recombinant, full-length ferret proteins, such as IFN- $\gamma$  [161–163]. Using hybridoma technologies, monoclonal antibodies that target key proteins in ferret immune responses, such as immunoglobins, interferons, cytokines and chemokines, have now been produced and are available commercially [87,164,165]. Additionally, probes for flow cytometry and ELISpot assays have been developed [166]. These tools are important for evaluating the mechanism of protection given by the vaccine and for determining any possible correlates of protection. Aside from the increased training and husbandry costs required for ferrets, these animals are a good model for influenza virus vaccine studies [82].

### 4.3. Guinea Pigs

Guinea pigs (Cavia porcellus) are primarily used to study influenza virus transmission [102,167,168]. They do not exhibit any clinical signs when infected with influenza virus making them poor models for assessing how a vaccine prevents morbidity. However, virus can easily be detected in nasal washes and guinea pigs can transmit most influenza viruses through direct contact or aerosol transmission [72,102,168,169]. Transmission studies for guinea pigs are set up just like ferrets, with an infected donor guinea pig being either co-housed (contact transmission) or separated (aerosol transmission) with uninfected guinea pigs (Figure 2b). It has been well established that cooler temperatures (ranging from 4 °C to 23 °C) and 20–30% relative humidity are optimal [97]. Typically, nasal washes are performed every other day after infection, up to 10 days post infection. Sera is also collected prior to vaccination and at various timepoints during the study. It can be used to verify seroconversion and to measure the levels of vaccine specific antibody titers [156]. One study utilized guinea pigs to determine that intranasal vaccination using recombinant NA protein was sufficient to prevent transmission from an infected to naïve animal [72]. Additionally, tissues from the respiratory tract can be collect for histology staining or quantifying virus titers [97]. Guinea pigs do have an advantage of being inbred, meaning that there is little variation between animals regarding gene expression and immune responses. Fewer reagents are available to interrogate guinea pig immune responses. A dearth of reagents, along with no clinical manifestations of disease make this model unrealistic for a well-rounded evaluation of vaccine induced immunity.

### 4.4. Cotton Rats

Cotton rats (*Sigmodon hispidus* or *Sigmodon fulviventer*) are not commonly used to study influenza virus pathogenesis or vaccinology. Unlike mice, cotton rats are susceptible to a variety of Influenza A and B viruses and can be infected with natural isolates without prior adaptation [94,95,105]. Upon infection, cotton rats exhibit increases respiratory rates,

weight loss and hypothermia. Clinical signs, along with quantifying virus titers in various tissues, are used to evaluate candidate vaccines. While cotton rats are a slightly better model compared to mice in regard to susceptibility to infection, they also cannot transmit viruses between each other [94,95,105]. This, coupled with a lack of reagents to examine immune responses, has limited the number of vaccine studies conducted with cotton rats. However, in recent years, many new reagents have been developed to evaluate immune responses to infection and vaccination [89]. Vaccine studies in cotton rats have been primarily focused on increasing seasonal vaccine efficacy. One study used cotton rats to determine that a single dose of a whole inactivated influenza virus vaccine induces lower levels of serum antibodies compared to a prime-boost method. However, the quality of immune response was blunted so that animals in both groups exhibited similar morbidity despite differences in antibody titers [170]. Another study evaluated how well a seasonal TIV (2006–2007 season) could protect from drifted viral strains, which provided valuable information regarding strain selection for seasonal vaccine reformulations [107]. Few studies have examined universal influenza virus vaccines; however, it has been shown that cotton rats mount a cross-protective immune response when infected with heterosubtypic virus strains [171]. When combining data from other animal studies, cotton rats can be a valuable addition to evaluating candidate vaccines.

### 4.5. Hamsters

The Syrian hamster (*Mesocricetus auratus*) model has been infrequently used to evaluate influenza virus vaccines. Studies in the 1970s–1980s used hamsters to characterize cold-adapted LAIVs and whole-virus IIVs [164,172–177]. In humans, the upper respiratory tract is approximately 33 °C while the lower respiratory tract is 37 °C. Therefore, cold-adapted viruses would be restricted to only the nasal cavity and could not cause lower respiratory tract infections like wild-type viruses. Hamsters were considered a good model because their upper and lower respiratory tracts were also 33 °C and 37 °C, respectively [165]. These animals also share sialic acid homology with humans [178–181]. In addition to studying LAIVs, hamsters were used extensively to understand heterosubtypic immunity and the implications of immune history (either from vaccination or natural infection) on vaccine responses [182–184].

To evaluate vaccine responses, sera, nasal washes and respiratory tissues can be collected [185]. Efficacy was measured by the ability of a vaccine to induce serum hemagglutinin inhibition titers along with the reduction of virus titers in nasal washes and respiratory tissues [164,172–177]. Now, Syrian hamsters are an uncommon animal model for influenza virus research, especially for vaccine studies.

Hamsters have several advantages including their natural susceptibility to most human influenza viruses and their ability to transmit via direct contact or aerosol routes [164,185]. These animals are also relatively small and easy to maintain, making them an attractive animal model. However, they do not exhibit clinical signs, although some pdmH1N1 viruses cause mild weight loss at high doses [185]. There are also few reagents available to investigate immune responses to vaccination. In recent years, work has begun to develop genetically modified hamsters to mimic human diseases [167]. Immunological tools, such as antibodies targeting specific hamster immune response proteins are also being created [168]. Once improved tools are available, the hamster model could become an important small animal model for vaccine research. However, they share many similarities with other rodent models, such as guinea pigs or cotton rats, making their utility redundant for many studies.

#### 4.6. Swine

After the outbreak of swine-origin pdmH1N1 in 2009, there became a renewed interest in evaluating domestic swine (*Sus scrofa domesticus*) as a model for influenza vaccine research [95,169]. There are more than 10 different H1 and H3 clusters of viruses that co-circulate in North American swine [101]. Additionally, there is frequent avian-to-swine and human-to-swine IAV transmission events, leading to significant viral diversity in the swine population [186,187]. If co-infected with human and swine IAVs, swine can act as a "mixing vessel" where there is a chance of reassortant strains emerging that carry both swine-origin and human-origin viral genes [178,182,183,188]. While these reassortant strains are rare, one emerged in 2009 that contained swine, avian and human-origin genes, leading to the 2009 H1N1 pandemic [184]. Like avian species, there is constant global surveillance of viruses circulating in swine in an attempt to curb the emergence of future viruses with pandemic potential [183]. Swine can transmit influenza viruses to each other, and humans, through direct contact and aerosols. Swine present mild to moderate symptoms when infected including nasal discharge, labored breathing, fever, coughing and weight loss [100,106,189]. Infections are rarely fatal, however swine influenza virus vaccines are available to prevent outbreaks that could have an economic impact on the swine industry [179]. Like in humans, swine mount cross-reactive antibody responses when immunized, however unlike humans, their responses are not as cross-protective [180,190]. Studies have suggested that this phenomenon is caused by vaccine-associated enhanced respiratory disease (VAERD), where vaccinated swine exhibit worse morbidity compared to unvaccinated counterparts. VAERD has been primarily associated with heterologous viral challenge after receiving inactivated whole influenza virus vaccines [191]. While not yet observed in humans or other animal models, swine remain a good model and are increasingly used to evaluate vaccines [120].

### 4.7. Non-Human Primates

Non-human primates are not a natural host for influenza viruses, however they can be experimentally infected with human isolates [87]. Many different species have been used to study influenza virus pathogenesis and immunology including African green monkeys (Chlorocebus sabaeus), cynomolgus macaques (Macaca fascicularis), pigtail macaques (Macaca nemestrina), rhesus macaques (Macaca mulatta) and common marmosets (Callithrix *jacchus*) [181,192–196]. As primates, this animal model is the most like humans and can be used to determine the future success of vaccine candidates in human clinical trials. However, the use of non-human primates is limited because of the expensive husbandry costs and specialized facilities needed to house the animals. In addition, experimental sample sizes are kept to a minimum because of ethical concerns. These limitations are why non-human primates are not a staple in influenza virus vaccine research. They have been used to evaluate pandemic influenza virus vaccines, since those cannot be tested in humans [197–199]. Universal influenza virus vaccines have also been evaluated in nonhuman primates for similar reasons. While the protective efficacy of universal influenza virus vaccines can be determined in humans for seasonal viruses, the non-human primate model can evaluate both seasonal and pandemic influenza viral challenge.

Non-human primates exhibit similar signs and symptoms as humans when productively infected with influenza virus. Viral loads can be detected in nasal washes and in respiratory tissues after infection. Studies have found that non-human primates are able to mount cross-reactive and protective immune responses like what is seen in other animal models and human clinical data. In one study, a single DNA vaccination in combination with a seasonal vaccine booster was able to induce broadly reactive antibodies that protected from drifted H1N1 viruses [200]. Interestingly, not all non-human primates can transmit influenza viruses through the air. It was shown that the common marmoset can transmit viruses to each other, while rhesus macaques do not [194,201]. Without transmission events, the non-human primate model does not truly recapitulate the human population making other models, such as the ferret model, more applicable. Almost all candidates that have been evaluated using the non-human primate model have first been assessed in either a mouse or ferret model to ensure vaccine quality and determine effective dosages. Several universal influenza virus vaccine candidates have been evaluated in the non-human primate model [57,102,189,192,200,202–204].

### 5. Pre-Clinical Animal Models of High-Risk Populations

After the H1N1 2009 pandemic, it was found that pregnant and obese individuals had higher rates of hospitalization and death compared to the general population [205–208]. It has also been observed that malnourished, diabetic, young children and elderly individuals exhibit increased morbidity and mortality from influenza virus infections. Conversely, these populations also have reduced vaccine responses compared to healthy adults [197,209–212]. To better meet the needs of these vulnerable populations, vaccines need to be optimized by either increasing antigen doses, adding adjuvants or implementing prime-boost strategies. The field of modeling conditions related to decreased vaccine responses in animals is still being developed, however early vaccine studies in high-risk hosts have been conducted using mice, ferrets and non-human primates.

#### 5.1. Mice

Mice are the easiest small animal model to use when mimicking human genetic or lifestyle related high-risk conditions. They can be easily manipulated to generate genespecific knockout strains. For example, mice have been used to model genetic obesity by having either their leptin receptor (db/db) or leptin (ob/ob) genes knocked down [98,198]. C3-/- mice are compliment deficient and can be used to look at the contribution of antibody dependent cellular toxicity pathways to protection from infection [199]. This is important because many universal influenza virus vaccines induce antibodies that are non-neutralizing and rely on other cell-mediated immune mechanisms to provide protection [59,144]. Vitamin deficient mouse models have been used to evaluate immune responses in malnourished hosts [213,214]. One study reported that vitamin supplements given at the time of vaccination improved vaccine responses in deficient mice [215]. Mice can also be used to examine other human conditions such as infancy [216], malnutrition [215,217–221], aging [197,222–224], diet-induced obesity [103,219,225,226] or pregnancy [205,212,227–233]. The variety of populations that the mouse model can mimic allows for vaccines to be evaluated for multitude of individuals. Increased pathogenesis was recapitulated in obese and pregnant mouse models, showing that immune-compromised hosts mount suboptimal immune responses while having increased pathology after infection [208]. This leads to more severe disease and poor immune memory, leaving the host suspectable to more infections. Pregnant mice have been used to study maternal antibody transfer of antibodies from TIV vaccinated dams to their pups [232]. Aging mice have been used extensively to understand immune responses in elderly populations. Findings from these experiments include the fact that T cell function declines with age, reducing the effectiveness of vaccines [224]. Additionally, B cell function is dysregulated in aging mice, leading to lowered antibody responses and retardation of immune memory [234]. These observations led to new recommendations of high-dose vaccines for individuals  $\geq$ 65 years of age [197,235–237]. Using these various mouse models, scientists have been able to not only determine immune pathways that are important during infection, but also determine how to exploit these pathways to generate stronger immune responses after vaccination.

## 5.2. Ferrets

Ferrets are a more complex model compared to mice, limiting the amount of characterized high-risk models. In addition, while a few transgenic ferret models have been developed [238,239], it is difficult to make specific genetic knockout strains to be as readily available as those for the mouse model. However, human conditions not influenced exclusively by genetics, such as immunocompromised states [142,240], diet-induced obesity [98], aging [241] and pregnancy [225,242] can be modeled in ferrets. Just like humans, ferrets that have comorbidities exhibit higher morbidity and mortality when infected with seasonal influenza viruses [99,103,220,221,226,243–245]. Chemotherapy treated ferrets have been used to characterize the safety and immunogenicity of an LAIV vaccine in an immunocompromised model [142]. Additionally, aged ferrets were used to study impaired immune responses after sequential infections with H1N1 viruses [241]. Novel vaccines are not frequently assessed in high-risk ferret models as of now, but these studies are expected to increase once vaccine candidates prepare for clinical trials.

#### 5.3. Non-Human Primates

The non-human primate model for high-risk hosts is relatively underdeveloped. Few models have been characterized and utilized in influenza virus vaccine research. Nonetheless, vaccine research in neonatal and aged non-human primate models has helped in identifying the relative efficacy of a given vaccine for the population. Elderly rhesus macaques were used to examine whether the addition of an adjuvant to a traditional TIV vaccine improved immunogenicity [226]. Another elderly non-human primate model using cynomolgus macaques was used to characterize the pathogenesis of H7N9, a virus with pandemic potential [243]. Neonate African green monkey models have been used to characterize immune responses to novel adjuvants and natural infection [244,246,247]. Additionally, neonatal and adult African green monkeys have been used to characterize humoral responses after natural infection with a seasonal influenza virus, A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 [248]. While an obese non-human primate model is being developed, [249] there has not yet been research into how influenza vaccines behave in this model. Continued work in high-risk non-human primate models will increase the reliability of results found in both mouse and ferret models, allowing for more confident predictions on how vaccines will behave in high-risk humans.

#### 6. Conclusions

When designing vaccination studies, scientists must decide on which animal model will best address their hypotheses for a particular stage of vaccine development. Many Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC) encourage researchers to limit animals used and, when possible, try other methods to address their hypotheses. To that end, unconventional models are being developed for future influenza virus vaccine studies. One study used primary human nasal epithelial cells to evaluate the differences in innate immune responses after infection with wild type H3N2 or a matched LAIV [250]. By identifying key innate immunity pathways induced after exposure to influenza virus vaccine strains, a connection can be made to pathways that will lead to protective adapted immune responses. Further development of primary cell technologies may lead to a reduction in animals used in influenza virus research. It is also possible to conduct initial risk assessments using human and ferret primary cells isolated from different respiratory tract tissues to determine if there is any susceptibility to avian isolates [251–256]. If infection occurs, then ferrets would be used to examine transmission potential. However, ex vivo systems cannot replace the complexity required for a protective immune response to vaccination. While animal models have limitations, they remain invaluable for preclinical vaccine development.

Advances in the safety and immunogenicity of universal influenza virus vaccine candidates has reinvigorated the human challenge model [92,245,257–270]. Human challenge studies are conducted by immunizing participants with vaccine candidates and monitoring for any side effects or adverse reactions. After a minimum of four weeks, participants will be experimentally infected with influenza virus intranasally. Signs, symptoms and nasal washes and are collected for two weeks following challenge to evaluate efficacy of the vaccine. Participants can also be monitored longitudinally with sera collected every few months to determine long term immunity induced by the vaccine [262]. If vaccine formulations have proved to be safe and immunogenic in preliminary experiments, they could hypothetically move into the human challenge model instead of going through the tier of animal models.

Animal models have been the foundation for many of the new vaccine approaches in clinical trials today. When developing new vaccine technologies and evaluating correlates of protection, it is important to understand the benefits and limitations of each animal model. The husbandry abilities of animal facilities at research institutions, availability of reagents and other factors need to be considered. If a vaccine candidate is in early developments, it may be best to use the mouse or cotton rat for dosing, immunogenicity and efficacy of the vaccine. As the product is more polished, it will be important to move into a more biologically relevant model such as the ferret or non-human primates.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, E.K.R. and S.S.-C.; writing—original draft preparation, E.K.R.; writing—review and editing, S.S.-C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases under HHS contracts HHSN27220140006C for the St. Jude Center of Excellence for Influenza Research and Surveillance and 75N93019C0052 for the Center for Influenza Vaccine Research for High Risk Populations (CIVR-HRP) Collaborative Influenza Vaccine Innovation Center, National Institute of Allergy and ALSAC.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Ana Vazquez-Pagan for her help with designing the figures used in the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Barberis, I.; Myles, P.; Ault, S.K.; Bragazzi, N.L.; Martini, M. History and evolution of influenza control through vaccination: From the first monovalent vaccine to universal vaccines. *J. Prev. Med. Hyg.* **2016**, *57*, E115–E120.
- 2. Smith, W.; Andrewes, C.H.; Laidlaw, P.P. A virus obtained from influenza patients. Lancet 1933, 222, 66–68. [CrossRef]
- 3. Francis, T. A new type of virus from epidemic influenza. Science 1940, 92, 405. [CrossRef]
- 4. Flu Activity & Surveillance. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/fluactivitysurv.htm (accessed on 1 February 2021).
- 5. Molinari, N.-A.M.; Ortega-Sanchez, I.R.; Messonnier, M.L.; Thompson, W.W.; Wortley, P.M.; Weintraub, E.; Bridges, C.B. The annual impact of seasonal influenza in the US: Measuring disease burden and costs. *Vaccine* **2007**, *25*, 5086–5096. [CrossRef]
- 6. Bouvier, N.M.; Palese, P. The biology of influenza viruses. Vaccine 2008, 26 (Suppl. 4), D49–D53. [CrossRef]
- Hay, A.J.; Gregory, V.; Douglas, A.R.; Lin, Y.P. The evolution of human influenza viruses. *Philos. Trans. R Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 2001, 356, 1861–1870. [CrossRef]
- 8. Parrish, C.R.; Murcia, P.R.; Holmes, E.C. Influenza Virus Reservoirs and Intermediate Hosts: Dogs, Horses, and New Possibilities for Influenza Virus Exposure of Humans. *J. Virol.* **2015**, *89*, 2990. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Webster, R.G.; Yoon, S.; Webby, R.J. Evolution and ecology of influenza a viruses. *Microbiol. Rev.* **1992**, *56*, 152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Reperant, L.A.; Kuiken, T.; Osterhaus, A.D.M.E. Influenza viruses. Hum. Vaccines Immunother. 2012, 8, 7–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Bodewes, R.; Morick, D.; de Mutsert, G.; Osinga, N.; Bestebroer, T.; van der Vliet, S.; Smits, S.L.; Kuiken, T.; Rimmelzwaan, G.F.; Fouchier, R.A.M.; et al. Recurring influenza B virus infections in seals. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2013**, *19*, 511–512. [CrossRef]
- 12. Osterhaus, A.D.; Rimmelzwaan, G.F.; Martina, B.E.; Bestebroer, T.M.; Fouchier, R.A. Influenza B virus in seals. *Science* 2000, *288*, 1051–1053. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hulse-Post, D.J.; Sturm-Ramirez, K.M.; Humberd, J.; Seiler, P.; Govorkova, E.A.; Krauss, S.; Scholtissek, C.; Puthavathana, P.; Buranathai, C.; Nguyen, T.D.; et al. Role of domestic ducks in the propagation and biological evolution of highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza viruses in Asia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2005, *102*, 10682–10687. [CrossRef]
- Hui, D.S. Review of clinical symptoms and spectrum in humans with influenza A/H5N1 infection. *Respirology* 2008, 13 (Suppl. 1), S10–S13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. De Vries, R.D.; Herfst, S.; Richard, M. Avian Influenza A Virus Pandemic Preparedness and Vaccine Development. *Vaccines* **2018**, *6*, 46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Hampson, A.W. Vaccines for pandemic influenza. The history of our current vaccines, their limitations and the requirements to deal with a pandemic threat. *Ann. Acad. Med. Singap.* **2008**, *37*, 510–517.
- 17. Soema, P.C.; Kompier, R.; Amorij, J.P.; Kersten, G.F. Current and next generation influenza vaccines: Formulation and production strategies. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* **2015**, *94*, 251–263. [CrossRef]
- Flannery, B.; Kondor, R.J.G.; Chung, J.R.; Gaglani, M.; Reis, M.; Zimmerman, R.K.; Nowalk, M.P.; Jackson, M.L.; Jackson, L.A.; Monto, A.S.; et al. Spread of Antigenically Drifted Influenza A(H3N2) Viruses and Vaccine Effectiveness in the United States During the 2018–2019 Season. J. Infect. Dis. 2019, 221, 8–15. [CrossRef]
- 19. Francis, T.; Salk, J.E.; Pearson, H.E.; Brown, P.N. Protective effect of vaccination against induced influenza A. *J. Clin. Investig.* **1945**, *24*, 536–546. [CrossRef]
- 20. Francis, T., Jr.; Salk, J.E.; Brace, W.M. The protective effect of vaccination against epidemic influenza B. J. Am. Med. Assoc. 1946, 131, 275–278. [CrossRef]

- 21. Rota, P.A.; Wallis, T.R.; Harmon, M.W.; Rota, J.S.; Kendal, A.P.; Nerome, K. Cocirculation of two distinct evolutionary lineages of influenza type B virus since 1983. *Virology* **1990**, *175*, 59–68. [CrossRef]
- 22. Ambrose, C.S.; Levin, M.J. The rationale for quadrivalent influenza vaccines. Hum. Vaccines Immunother. 2012, 8, 81-88. [CrossRef]
- 23. Belshe, R.B. The need for quadrivalent vaccine against seasonal influenza. *Vaccine* **2010**, *28*, D45–D53. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Boyoglu-Barnum, S.; Ellis, D.; Gillespie, R.A.; Hutchinson, G.B.; Park, Y.-J.; Moin, S.M.; Acton, O.J.; Ravichandran, R.; Murphy, M.; Pettie, D.; et al. Quadrivalent influenza nanoparticle vaccines induce broad protection. *Nature* **2021**, *592*, 623–628. [CrossRef]
- 25. Lee, B.Y.; Bartsch, S.M.; Willig, A.M. The economic value of a quadrivalent versus trivalent influenza vaccine. *Vaccine* **2012**, *30*, 7443–7446. [CrossRef]
- 26. Gianchecchi, E.; Trombetta, C.; Piccirella, S.; Montomoli, E. Evaluating influenza vaccines: Progress and perspectives. *Future Virol.* **2016**, *11*, 379–393. [CrossRef]
- 27. Influenza Virus Vaccine Safety & Availability. 18 September 2018. Available online: https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-bloodbiologics/safety-availability-biologics/influenza-virus-vaccine-safety-availability (accessed on 13 July 2021).
- 28. Weir, J.P.; Gruber, M.F. An overview of the regulation of influenza vaccines in the United States. *Influenza Other Respir. Viruses* **2016**, *10*, 354–360. [CrossRef]
- 29. Schultz-Cherry, S.; Jones, J.C. Influenza vaccines: The good, the bad, and the eggs. *Adv. Virus Res.* **2010**, 77, 63–84. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stöhr, K.; Bucher, D.; Colgate, T.; Wood, J. Influenza virus surveillance, vaccine strain selection, and manufacture. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2012, 865, 147–162. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Brauer, R.; Chen, P. Influenza virus propagation in embryonated chicken eggs. JoVE 2015, 97, e52421. [CrossRef]
- Zost, S.J.; Parkhouse, K.; Gumina, M.E.; Kim, K.; Diaz Perez, S.; Wilson, P.C.; Treanor, J.J.; Sant, A.J.; Cobey, S.; Hensley, S.E. Contemporary H3N2 influenza viruses have a glycosylation site that alters binding of antibodies elicited by egg-adapted vaccine strains. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2017, 114, 12578–12583. [CrossRef]
- Gouma, S.; Zost, S.J.; Parkhouse, K.; Branche, A.; Topham, D.J.; Cobey, S.; Hensley, S.E. Comparison of Human H3N2 Antibody Responses Elicited by Egg-Based, Cell-Based, and Recombinant Protein-Based Influenza Vaccines During the 2017–2018 Season. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2020, 71, 1447–1453. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. van Riel, D.; Munster, V.J.; de Wit, E.; Rimmelzwaan, G.F.; Fouchier, R.A.M.; Osterhaus, A.D.M.E.; Kuiken, T. Human and avian influenza viruses target different cells in the lower respiratory tract of humans and other mammals. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2007**, 171, 1215–1223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Wong, S.S.; Webby, R.J. Traditional and new influenza vaccines. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2013, 26, 476–492. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Tregoning, J.S.; Russell, R.F.; Kinnear, E. Adjuvanted influenza vaccines. Hum. Vaccines Immunother. 2018, 14, 550–564. [CrossRef]
- 37. Ending the Pandemic Threat: A Grand Challenge for Universal Influenza Vaccine Development. Available online: https://gcgh. grandchallenges.org/challenge/ending-pandemic-threat-grand-challenge-universal-influenza-vaccine-development (accessed on 14 July 2021).
- 38. WHO Preferred Product Characteristics for Next Generation Influenza Vaccines; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.
- Erbelding, E.J.; Post, D.J.; Stemmy, E.J.; Roberts, P.C.; Augustine, A.D.; Ferguson, S.; Paules, C.I.; Graham, B.S.; Fauci, A.S. A Universal Influenza Vaccine: The Strategic Plan for the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2018, 218, 347–354. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Hashem, A.M. Prospects of HA-based universal influenza vaccine. Biomed. Res. Int. 2015, 2015, 414637. [CrossRef]
- 41. Henry, C.; Palm, A.E.; Krammer, F.; Wilson, P.C. From Original Antigenic Sin to the Universal Influenza Virus Vaccine. *Trends Immunol.* **2018**, *39*, 70–79. [CrossRef]
- 42. Lee, Y.-T.; Kim, K.-H.; Ko, E.-J.; Lee, Y.-N.; Kim, M.-C.; Kwon, Y.-M.; Tang, Y.; Cho, M.-K.; Lee, Y.-J.; Kang, S.-M. New vaccines against influenza virus. *Clin. Exp. Vaccine Res.* 2014, *3*, 12–28. [CrossRef]
- 43. Neu, K.E.; Henry Dunand, C.J.; Wilson, P.C. Heads, stalks and everything else: How can antibodies eradicate influenza as a human disease? *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* **2016**, *42*, 48–55. [CrossRef]
- 44. Ostrowsky, J.; Arpey, M.; Moore, K.; Osterholm, M.; Friede, M.; Gordon, J.; Higgins, D.; Molto-Lopez, J.; Seals, J.; Bresee, J. Tracking progress in universal influenza vaccine development. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* **2020**, *40*, 28–36. [CrossRef]
- 45. Sangesland, M.; Lingwood, D. Antibody Focusing to Conserved Sites of Vulnerability: The Immunological Pathways for 'Universal' Influenza Vaccines. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Sautto, G.A.; Kirchenbaum, G.A.; Ross, T.M. Towards a universal influenza vaccine: Different approaches for one goal. *Virol. J.* **2018**, *15*, 17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Wang, Y.; Deng, L.; Kang, S.M.; Wang, B.Z. Universal influenza vaccines: From viruses to nanoparticles. *Expert Rev. Vaccines* 2018, 17, 967–976. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Hobson, D.; Curry, R.L.; Beare, A.S.; Ward-Gardner, A. The role of serum haemagglutination-inhibiting antibody in protection against challenge infection with influenza A2 and B viruses. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **1972**, *70*, 767–777. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Potter, C.W.; Oxford, J.S. Determinants of immunity to influenza infection in man. *Br. Med. Bull.* **1979**, *35*, 69–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Ohmit, S.E.; Petrie, J.G.; Cross, R.T.; Johnson, E.; Monto, A.S. Influenza Hemagglutination-Inhibition Antibody Titer as a Correlate of Vaccine-Induced Protection. J. Infect. Dis. 2011, 204, 1879–1885. [CrossRef]

- Chen, Y.Q.; Wohlbold, T.J.; Zheng, N.Y.; Huang, M.; Huang, Y.; Neu, K.E.; Lee, J.; Wan, H.; Rojas, K.T.; Kirkpatrick, E.; et al. Influenza Infection in Humans Induces Broadly Cross-Reactive and Protective Neuraminidase-Reactive Antibodies. *Cell* 2018, 173, 417–429.e410. [CrossRef]
- 52. Monto, A.S.; Petrie, J.G.; Cross, R.T.; Johnson, E.; Liu, M.; Zhong, W.; Levine, M.; Katz, J.M.; Ohmit, S.E. Antibody to Influenza Virus Neuraminidase: An Independent Correlate of Protection. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2015**, *212*, 1191–1199. [CrossRef]
- Nachbagauer, R.; Choi, A.; Hirsh, A.; Margine, I.; Iida, S.; Barrera, A.; Ferres, M.; Albrecht, R.A.; García-Sastre, A.; Bouvier, N.M.; et al. Defining the antibody cross-reactome directed against the influenza virus surface glycoproteins. *Nat. Immunol.* 2017, 18, 464–473. [CrossRef]
- Ng, S.; Nachbagauer, R.; Balmaseda, A.; Stadlbauer, D.; Ojeda, S.; Patel, M.; Rajabhathor, A.; Lopez, R.; Guglia, A.F.; Sanchez, N.; et al. Novel correlates of protection against pandemic H1N1 influenza A virus infection. *Nat. Med.* 2019, 25, 962–967. [CrossRef]
- Freyn, A.W.; Ramos da Silva, J.; Rosado, V.C.; Bliss, C.M.; Pine, M.; Mui, B.L.; Tam, Y.K.; Madden, T.D.; de Souza Ferreira, L.C.; Weissman, D.; et al. A Multi-Targeting, Nucleoside-Modified mRNA Influenza Virus Vaccine Provides Broad Protection in Mice. *Mol. Ther.* 2020, 28, 1569–1584. [CrossRef]
- Pardi, N.; Parkhouse, K.; Kirkpatrick, E.; McMahon, M.; Zost, S.J.; Mui, B.L.; Tam, Y.K.; Karikó, K.; Barbosa, C.J.; Madden, T.D.; et al. Nucleoside-modified mRNA immunization elicits influenza virus hemagglutinin stalk-specific antibodies. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, *9*, 3361. [CrossRef]
- Liang, F.; Lindgren, G.; Lin, A.; Thompson, E.A.; Ols, S.; Röhss, J.; John, S.; Hassett, K.; Yuzhakov, O.; Bahl, K.; et al. Efficient Targeting and Activation of Antigen-Presenting Cells In Vivo after Modified mRNA Vaccine Administration in Rhesus Macaques. *Mol. Ther.* 2017, 25, 2635–2647. [CrossRef]
- 58. Wong, S.S.; Webby, R.J. An mRNA vaccine for influenza. Nat. Biotechnol. 2012, 30, 1202–1204. [CrossRef]
- 59. Krammer, F.; Pica, N.; Hai, R.; Margine, I.; Palese, P. Chimeric Hemagglutinin Influenza Virus Vaccine Constructs Elicit Broadly Protective Stalk-Specific Antibodies. J. Virol. 2013, 87, 6542. [CrossRef]
- 60. Nachbagauer, R.; Feser, J.; Naficy, A.; Bernstein, D.I.; Guptill, J.; Walter, E.B.; Berlanda-Scorza, F.; Stadlbauer, D.; Wilson, P.C.; Aydillo, T.; et al. A chimeric hemagglutinin-based universal influenza virus vaccine approach induces broad and long-lasting immunity in a randomized, placebo-controlled phase I trial. *Nat. Med.* 2021, 27, 106–114. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Margine, I.; Krammer, F.; Hai, R.; Heaton, N.S.; Tan, G.S.; Andrews, S.A.; Runstadler, J.A.; Wilson, P.C.; Albrecht, R.A.; García-Sastre, A.; et al. Hemagglutinin stalk-based universal vaccine constructs protect against group 2 influenza A viruses. *J. Virol.* 2013, *87*, 10435–10446. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ermler, M.E.; Kirkpatrick, E.; Sun, W.; Hai, R.; Amanat, F.; Chromikova, V.; Palese, P.; Krammer, F. Chimeric Hemagglutinin Constructs Induce Broad Protection against Influenza B Virus Challenge in the Mouse Model. *J. Virol.* 2017, 91, e00286-17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Steel, J.; Lowen, A.C.; Wang, T.T.; Yondola, M.; Gao, Q.; Haye, K.; García-Sastre, A.; Palese, P. Influenza Virus Vaccine Based on the Conserved Hemagglutinin Stalk Domain. *mBio* **2010**, *1*, e00018-10. [CrossRef]
- 64. van der Lubbe, J.E.M.; Verspuij, J.W.A.; Huizingh, J.; Schmit-Tillemans, S.P.R.; Tolboom, J.; Dekking, L.; Kwaks, T.; Brandenburg, B.; Meijberg, W.; Zahn, R.C.; et al. Mini-HA Is Superior to Full Length Hemagglutinin Immunization in Inducing Stem-Specific Antibodies and Protection Against Group 1 Influenza Virus Challenges in Mice. *Front. Immunol.* 2018, *9*, 2350. [CrossRef]
- 65. Sun, W.; Kirkpatrick, E.; Ermler, M.; Nachbagauer, R.; Broecker, F.; Krammer, F.; Palese, P. Development of Influenza B Universal Vaccine Candidates Using the "Mosaic" Hemagglutinin Approach. *J. Virol.* **2019**, *93*, e00333-19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Broecker, F.; Liu, S.T.H.; Suntronwong, N.; Sun, W.; Bailey, M.J.; Nachbagauer, R.; Krammer, F.; Palese, P. A mosaic hemagglutininbased influenza virus vaccine candidate protects mice from challenge with divergent H3N2 strains. *NPJ Vaccines* 2019, 4, 31. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Carter, D.M.; Darby, C.A.; Lefoley, B.C.; Crevar, C.J.; Alefantis, T.; Oomen, R.; Anderson, S.F.; Strugnell, T.; Cortés-Garcia, G.; Vogel, T.U.; et al. Design and Characterization of a Computationally Optimized Broadly Reactive Hemagglutinin Vaccine for H1N1 Influenza Viruses. J. Virol. 2016, 90, 4720. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Allen, J.D.; Ross, T.M. Next generation methodology for updating HA vaccines against emerging human seasonal influenza A(H3N2) viruses. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 4554. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Allen, J.D.; Ray, S.; Ross, T.M. Split inactivated COBRA vaccine elicits protective antibodies against H1N1 and H3N2 influenza viruses. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0204284. [CrossRef]
- Crevar, C.J.; Carter, D.M.; Lee, K.Y.; Ross, T.M. Cocktail of H5N1 COBRA HA vaccines elicit protective antibodies against H5N1 viruses from multiple clades. *Hum. Vaccines Immunother.* 2015, *11*, 572–583. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wohlbold, T.J.; Nachbagauer, R.; Xu, H.; Tan, G.S.; Hirsh, A.; Brokstad, K.A.; Cox, R.J.; Palese, P.; Krammer, F. Vaccination with Adjuvanted Recombinant Neuraminidase Induces Broad Heterologous, but Not Heterosubtypic, Cross-Protection against Influenza Virus Infection in Mice. *mBio* 2015, 6, e02556-14. [CrossRef]
- 72. McMahon, M.; Kirkpatrick, E.; Stadlbauer, D.; Strohmeier, S.; Bouvier, N.M.; Krammer, F. Mucosal Immunity against Neuraminidase Prevents Influenza B Virus Transmission in Guinea Pigs. *mBio* 2019, 10, e00560-19. [CrossRef]

- 73. Job, E.R.; Ysenbaert, T.; Smet, A.; Christopoulou, I.; Strugnell, T.; Oloo, E.O.; Oomen, R.P.; Kleanthous, H.; Vogel, T.U.; Saelens, X. Broadened immunity against influenza by vaccination with computationally designed influenza virus N1 neuraminidase constructs. *NPJ Vaccines* **2018**, *3*, 55. [CrossRef]
- 74. Eichelberger, M.C.; Wan, H. Influenza neuraminidase as a vaccine antigen. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **2015**, *386*, 275–299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Fiers, W.; De Filette, M.; Bakkouri, K.E.; Schepens, B.; Roose, K.; Schotsaert, M.; Birkett, A.; Saelens, X. M2e-based universal influenza A vaccine. *Vaccine* 2009, 27, 6280–6283. [CrossRef]
- 76. Saelens, X. The Role of Matrix Protein 2 Ectodomain in the Development of Universal Influenza Vaccines. J. Infect. Dis. 2019, 219, S68–S74. [CrossRef]
- 77. Mezhenskaya, D.; Isakova-Sivak, I.; Rudenko, L. M2e-based universal influenza vaccines: A historical overview and new approaches to development. *J. Biomed. Sci.* 2019, *26*, 76. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Watkins, H.C.; Rappazzo, C.G.; Higgins, J.S.; Sun, X.; Brock, N.; Chau, A.; Misra, A.; Cannizzo, J.P.B.; King, M.R.; Maines, T.R.; et al. Safe Recombinant Outer Membrane Vesicles that Display M2e Elicit Heterologous Influenza Protection. *Mol. Ther.* 2017, 25, 989–1002. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Coughlan, L.; Sridhar, S.; Payne, R.; Edmans, M.; Milicic, A.; Venkatraman, N.; Lugonja, B.; Clifton, L.; Qi, C.; Folegatti, P.M.; et al. Heterologous Two-Dose Vaccination with Simian Adenovirus and Poxvirus Vectors Elicits Long-Lasting Cellular Immunity to Influenza Virus A in Healthy Adults. *EBioMedicine* 2018, 29, 146–154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Antrobus, R.D.; Coughlan, L.; Berthoud, T.K.; Dicks, M.D.; Hill, A.V.; Lambe, T.; Gilbert, S.C. Clinical assessment of a novel recombinant simian adenovirus ChAdOx1 as a vectored vaccine expressing conserved Influenza A antigens. *Mol. Ther.* 2014, 22, 668–674. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pillet, S.; Aubin, É.; Trépanier, S.; Bussière, D.; Dargis, M.; Poulin, J.-F.; Yassine-Diab, B.; Ward, B.J.; Landry, N. A plant-derived quadrivalent virus like particle influenza vaccine induces cross-reactive antibody and T cell response in healthy adults. *Clin. Immunol.* 2016, 168, 72–87. [CrossRef]
- 82. Albrecht, R.A.; Liu, W.-C.; Sant, A.J.; Tompkins, S.M.; Pekosz, A.; Meliopoulos, V.; Cherry, S.; Thomas, P.G.; Schultz-Cherry, S. Moving Forward: Recent Developments for the Ferret Biomedical Research Model. *mBio* **2018**, *9*, e01113-18. [CrossRef]
- Belser, J.A.; Barclay, W.; Barr, I.; Fouchier, R.A.M.; Matsuyama, R.; Nishiura, H.; Peiris, M.; Russell, C.J.; Subbarao, K.; Zhu, H.; et al. Ferrets as Models for Influenza Virus Transmission Studies and Pandemic Risk Assessments. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2018, 24, 965–971. [CrossRef]
- 84. Belser, J.A.; Eckert, A.M.; Huynh, T.; Gary, J.M.; Ritter, J.M.; Tumpey, T.M.; Maines, T.R. A Guide for the Use of the Ferret Model for Influenza Virus Infection. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2020, *190*, 11–24. [CrossRef]
- 85. Belser, J.A.; Eckert, A.M.; Tumpey, T.M.; Maines, T.R. Complexities in Ferret Influenza Virus Pathogenesis and Transmission Models. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2016, *80*, 733–744. [CrossRef]
- 86. Belser, J.A.; Katz, J.M.; Tumpey, T.M. The ferret as a model organism to study influenza A virus infection. *Dis. Models Mech.* 2011, 4, 575–579. [CrossRef]
- 87. Berendt, R.F. Simian model for the evaluation of immunity to influenza. Infect. Immun. 1974, 9, 101–105. [CrossRef]
- Bodewes, R.; Rimmelzwaan, G.F.; Osterhaus, A.D. Animal models for the preclinical evaluation of candidate influenza vaccines. Expert Rev. Vaccines 2010, 9, 59–72. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 89. Boukhvalova, M.S.; Prince, G.A.; Blanco, J.C. The cotton rat model of respiratory viral infections. *Biologicals* **2009**, *37*, 152–159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 90. Eichelberger, M.C. The cotton rat as a model to study influenza pathogenesis and immunity. *Viral Immunol.* **2007**, *20*, 243–249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 91. Eichelberger, M.C.; Green, M.D. Animal models to assess the toxicity, immunogenicity and effectiveness of candidate influenza vaccines. *Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol.* 2011, 7, 1117–1127. [CrossRef]
- 92. Fullen, D.J.; Noulin, N.; Catchpole, A.; Fathi, H.; Murray, E.J.; Mann, A.; Eze, K.; Balaratnam, G.; Borley, D.W.; Gilbert, A.; et al. Accelerating Influenza Research: Vaccines, Antivirals, Immunomodulators and Monoclonal Antibodies. The Manufacture of a New Wild-Type H3N2 Virus for the Human Viral Challenge Model. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0145902. [CrossRef]
- Groves, H.T.; McDonald, J.U.; Langat, P.; Kinnear, E.; Kellam, P.; McCauley, J.; Ellis, J.; Thompson, C.; Elderfield, R.; Parker, L.; et al. Mouse Models of Influenza Infection with Circulating Strains to Test Seasonal Vaccine Efficacy. *Front. Immunol.* 2018, *9*, 126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 94. Honce, R.; Wohlgemuth, N.; Meliopoulos, V.A.; Short, K.R.; Schultz-Cherry, S. Influenza in High-Risk Hosts-Lessons Learned from Animal Models. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* **2020**, 10. [CrossRef]
- 95. Iwatsuki-Horimoto, K.; Nakajima, N.; Shibata, M.; Takahashi, K.; Sato, Y.; Kiso, M.; Yamayoshi, S.; Ito, M.; Enya, S.; Otake, M.; et al. The Microminipig as an Animal Model for Influenza A Virus Infection. *J. Virol.* **2017**, *91*. [CrossRef]
- 96. Kollmus, H.; Pilzner, C.; Leist, S.R.; Heise, M.; Geffers, R.; Schughart, K. Of mice and men: The host response to influenza virus infection. *Mamm. Genome* 2018, 29, 446–470. [CrossRef]
- 97. Lowen, A.C.; Bouvier, N.M.; Steel, J. Transmission in the guinea pig model. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **2014**, *385*, 157–183. [CrossRef]
- 98. Lutz, T.A.; Woods, S.C. Overview of animal models of obesity. Curr. Protoc. Pharmacol. 2012, 5, 61. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Maher, J.A.; DeStefano, J. The Ferret: An Animal Model to Study Influenza Virus. Lab. Animal 2004, 33, 50–53. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 100. Ottolini, M.G.; Blanco, J.C.G.; Eichelberger, M.C.; Porter, D.D.; Pletneva, L.; Richardson, J.Y.; Prince, G.A. The cotton rat provides a useful small-animal model for the study of influenza virus pathogenesis. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2005, *86*, 2823–2830. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 101. Rajao, D.S.; Vincent, A.L. Swine as a model for influenza A virus infection and immunity. *Ilar. J.* 2015, *56*, 44–52. [CrossRef]
- 102. Rimmelzwaan, G.F.; Baars, M.; van Beek, R.; van Amerongen, G.; Lövgren-Bengtsson, K.; Claas, E.C.; Osterhaus, A.D. Induction of protective immunity against influenza virus in a macaque model: Comparison of conventional and iscom vaccines. *J. Gen. Virol.* **1997**, *78 Pt* **4**, 757–765. [CrossRef]
- 103. Sun, Y.; Bi, Y.; Pu, J.; Hu, Y.; Wang, J.; Gao, H.; Liu, L.; Xu, Q.; Tan, Y.; Liu, M.; et al. Guinea pig model for evaluating the potential public health risk of swine and avian influenza viruses. *PLoS ONE* **2010**, *5*, e15537. [CrossRef]
- 104. Taylor, R.M. Experimental infection with influenza a virus in mice: The increase in intrapulmonary virus after inoculation and the influence of various factors thereon. *J. Exp. Med.* **1941**, *73*, 43–55. [CrossRef]
- 105. Francis, T. Quantitative relationships between the immunizing dose of epidemic influenza virus and the resultant immunity. *J. Exp. Med.* **1939**, *69*, 283–300. [CrossRef]
- Pearce, M.B.; Belser, J.A.; Houser, K.V.; Katz, J.M.; Tumpey, T.M. Efficacy of seasonal live attenuated influenza vaccine against virus replication and transmission of a pandemic 2009 H1N1 virus in ferrets. *Vaccine* 2011, 29, 2887–2894. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Yim, K.; Miles, B.; Zinsou, R.; Prince, G.; Boukhvalova, M. Efficacy of trivalent inactivated influenza vaccines in the cotton rat Sigmodon hispidus model. *Vaccine* **2012**, *30*, 1291–1296. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 108. Hamouda, T.; Sutcliffe, J.A.; Ciotti, S.; Baker, J.R. Intranasal Immunization of Ferrets with Commercial Trivalent Influenza Vaccines Formulated in a Nanoemulsion-Based Adjuvant. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* **2011**, *18*, 1167. [CrossRef]
- Ellebedy, A.H.; Ducatez, M.F.; Duan, S.; Stigger-Rosser, E.; Rubrum, A.M.; Govorkova, E.A.; Webster, R.G.; Webby, R.J. Impact of prior seasonal influenza vaccination and infection on pandemic A (H1N1) influenza virus replication in ferrets. *Vaccine* 2011, 29, 3335–3339. [CrossRef]
- 110. Iwasaki, A.; Pillai, P.S. Innate immunity to influenza virus infection. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2014, 14, 315–328. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 111. L'Huillier, A.G.; Ferreira, V.H.; Hirzel, C.; Nellimarla, S.; Ku, T.; Natori, Y.; Humar, A.; Kumar, D. T-cell responses following Natural Influenza Infection or Vaccination in Solid Organ Transplant Recipients. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 10104. [CrossRef]
- Ning, Z.Y.; Luo, M.Y.; Qi, W.B.; Yu, B.; Jiao, P.R.; Liao, M. Detection of expression of influenza virus receptors in tissues of BALB/c mice by histochemistry. *Vet. Res. Commun.* 2009, 33, 895–903. [CrossRef]
- 113. Jia, N.; Barclay, W.S.; Roberts, K.; Yen, H.-L.; Chan, R.W.Y.; Lam, A.K.Y.; Air, G.; Peiris, J.S.M.; Dell, A.; Nicholls, J.M.; et al. Glycomic characterization of respiratory tract tissues of ferrets: Implications for its use in influenza virus infection studies. J. Biol. Chem. 2014, 289, 28489–28504. [CrossRef]
- 114. Clemens, E.B.; van de Sandt, C.; Wong, S.S.; Wakim, L.M.; Valkenburg, S.A. Harnessing the Power of T Cells: The Promising Hope for a Universal Influenza Vaccine. *Vaccines* **2018**, *6*, 18. [CrossRef]
- 115. Krammer, F. The human antibody response to influenza A virus infection and vaccination. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2019**, *19*, 383–397. [CrossRef]
- 116. Chen, X.; Liu, S.; Goraya, M.U.; Maarouf, M.; Huang, S.; Chen, J.-L. Host Immune Response to Influenza A Virus Infection. *Front. Immunol.* **2018**, *9*, 320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 117. Hannoun, C. The evolving history of influenza viruses and influenza vaccines. *Expert Rev. Vaccines* **2013**, *12*, 1085–1094. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 118. Francis, T., Jr.; Pearson, H.E.; Sullivan, E.R.; Brown, P.M. The Effect of Subcutaneous Vaccination with Influenza Virus upon the Virus.Inactivating Capacity of Nasal Secretions. *Am. J. Hyg.* **1943**, *37*, 294–300.
- 119. Francis, T., Jr. Vaccination against influenza. Bull. World Health Organ. 1953, 8, 725–741.
- 120. Margine, I.; Krammer, F. Animal models for influenza viruses: Implications for universal vaccine development. *Pathogens* **2014**, *3*, 845–874. [CrossRef]
- 121. Xu, L.; Bao, L.; Li, F.; Lv, Q.; Ma, Y.; Zhou, J.; Xu, Y.; Deng, W.; Zhan, L.; Zhu, H.; et al. Adaption of seasonal H1N1 influenza virus in mice. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e28901. [CrossRef]
- 122. Hu, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Wang, J.; Tong, Q.; Wang, M.; Sun, H.; Pu, J.; Liu, C.; Liu, J.; et al. Mouse-adapted H9N2 avian influenza virus causes systemic infection in mice. *Virol. J.* **2019**, *16*, 135. [CrossRef]
- 123. Narasaraju, T.; Sim, M.K.; Ng, H.H.; Phoon, M.C.; Shanker, N.; Lal, S.K.; Chow, V.T. Adaptation of human influenza H3N2 virus in a mouse pneumonitis model: Insights into viral virulence, tissue tropism and host pathogenesis. *Microbes. Infect.* 2009, 11, 2–11. [CrossRef]
- 124. Prokopyeva, E.; Kurskaya, O.; Sobolev, I.; Solomatina, M.; Murashkina, T.; Suvorova, A.; Alekseev, A.; Danilenko, D.; Komissarov, A.; Fadeev, A.; et al. Experimental Infection Using Mouse-Adapted Influenza B Virus in a Mouse Model. *Viruses* 2020, 12, 470. [CrossRef]
- 125. Prokopyeva, E.A.; Sobolev, I.A.; Prokopyev, M.V.; Shestopalov, A.M. Adaptation of influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 virus in experimental mouse models. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **2016**, *39*, 265–271. [CrossRef]
- 126. Baz, M.; M'Hamdi, Z.; Carbonneau, J.; Lavigne, S.; Couture, C.; Abed, Y.; Boivin, G. Synergistic PA and HA mutations confer mouse adaptation of a contemporary A/H3N2 influenza virus. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 16616. [CrossRef]

- 127. Qin, J.; Peng, O.; Shen, X.; Gong, L.; Xue, C.; Cao, Y. Multiple amino acid substitutions involved in the adaption of three avian-origin H7N9 influenza viruses in mice. *Virol. J.* **2019**, *16*, 3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 128. Wu, R.; Zhang, H.; Yang, K.; Liang, W.; Xiong, Z.; Liu, Z.; Yang, X.; Shao, H.; Zheng, X.; Chen, M.; et al. Multiple amino acid substitutions are involved in the adaptation of H9N2 avian influenza virus to mice. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2009, *138*, 85–91. [CrossRef]
- 129. Matsuoka, Y.; Lamirande, E.W.; Subbarao, K. The Mouse Model for Influenza. *Curr. Protoc. Microbiol.* **2009**, *13*, 15G.3.1–15G.3.30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 130. Mestas, J.; Hughes, C.C. Of mice and not men: Differences between mouse and human immunology. *J. Immunol.* **2004**, 172, 2731–2738. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 131. Brehm, M.A.; Shultz, L.D.; Greiner, D.L. Humanized mouse models to study human diseases. *Curr. Opin. Endocrinol. Diabetes Obes.* **2010**, *17*, 120–125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sasaki, E.; Momose, H.; Hiradate, Y.; Furuhata, K.; Mizukami, T.; Hamaguchi, I. Development of a preclinical humanized mouse model to evaluate acute toxicity of an influenza vaccine. *Oncotarget* 2018, *9*, 25751–25763. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yu, C.I.; Gallegos, M.; Marches, F.; Zurawski, G.; Ramilo, O.; García-Sastre, A.; Banchereau, J.; Palucka, A.K. Broad influenzaspecific CD8+ T-cell responses in humanized mice vaccinated with influenza virus vaccines. *Blood* 2008, 112, 3671–3678. [CrossRef]
- 134. Dengler, L.; May, M.; Wilk, E.; Bahgat, M.M.; Schughart, K. Immunization with live virus vaccine protects highly susceptible DBA/2J mice from lethal influenza A H1N1 infection. *Virol. J.* **2012**, *9*, 212. [CrossRef]
- 135. Pica, N.; Iyer, A.; Ramos, I.; Bouvier, N.M.; Fernandez-Sesma, A.; García-Sastre, A.; Lowen, A.C.; Palese, P.; Steel, J. The DBA.2 mouse is susceptible to disease following infection with a broad, but limited, range of influenza A and B viruses. *J. Virol.* 2011, 85, 12825–12829. [CrossRef]
- 136. Jeong, J.H.; Kim, E.H.; Lloren, K.K.S.; Kwon, J.J.; Kwon, H.I.; Ahn, S.J.; Kim, Y.I.; Choi, W.S.; Si, Y.J.; Lee, O.J.; et al. Preclinical evaluation of the efficacy of an H5N8 vaccine candidate (IDCDC-RG43A) in mouse and ferret models for pandemic preparedness. *Vaccine* 2019, 37, 484–493. [CrossRef]
- 137. Jiang, W.; Wong, J.; Tan, H.X.; Kelly, H.G.; Whitney, P.G.; Barr, I.; Layton, D.S.; Kent, S.J.; Wheatley, A.K.; Juno, J.A. Screening and development of monoclonal antibodies for identification of ferret T follicular helper cells. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 1864. [CrossRef]
- 138. Jayaraman, A.; Chandrasekaran, A.; Viswanathan, K.; Raman, R.; Fox, J.G.; Sasisekharan, R. Decoding the distribution of glycan receptors for human-adapted influenza A viruses in ferret respiratory tract. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e27517. [CrossRef]
- 139. Cherukuri, A.; Servat, E.; Woo, J. Vaccine-specific antibody secreting cells are a robust early marker of LAIV-induced B-cell response in ferrets. *Vaccine* 2012, *30*, 237–246. [CrossRef]
- 140. Cox, R.J.; Major, D.; Hauge, S.; Madhun, A.S.; Brokstad, K.A.; Kuhne, M.; Smith, J.; Vogel, F.R.; Zambon, M.; Haaheim, L.R.; et al. A cell-based H7N1 split influenza virion vaccine confers protection in mouse and ferret challenge models. *Influenza Other Respir. Viruses* **2009**, *3*, 107–117. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 141. Fan, J.; Liang, X.; Horton, M.S.; Perry, H.C.; Citron, M.P.; Heidecker, G.J.; Fu, T.M.; Joyce, J.; Przysiecki, C.T.; Keller, P.M.; et al. Preclinical study of influenza virus A M2 peptide conjugate vaccines in mice, ferrets, and rhesus monkeys. *Vaccine* 2004, 22, 2993–3003. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 142. Huber, V.C.; McCullers, J.A. Live attenuated influenza vaccine is safe and immunogenic in immunocompromised ferrets. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2006**, *193*, 677–684. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 143. Isakova-Sivak, I.; Matyushenko, V.; Kotomina, T.; Kiseleva, I.; Krutikova, E.; Donina, S.; Rekstin, A.; Larionova, N.; Mezhenskaya, D.; Sivak, K.; et al. Sequential Immunization with Universal Live Attenuated Influenza Vaccine Candidates Protects Ferrets against a High-Dose Heterologous Virus Challenge. *Vaccines* 2019, 7, 61. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Krammer, F.; Hai, R.; Yondola, M.; Tan, G.S.; Leyva-Grado, V.H.; Ryder, A.B.; Miller, M.S.; Rose, J.K.; Palese, P.; García-Sastre, A.; et al. Assessment of influenza virus hemagglutinin stalk-based immunity in ferrets. *J. Virol.* **2014**, *88*, 3432–3442. [CrossRef]
- 145. Liu, W.C.; Nachbagauer, R.; Stadlbauer, D.; Solórzano, A.; Berlanda-Scorza, F.; García-Sastre, A.; Palese, P.; Krammer, F.; Albrecht, R.A. Sequential Immunization With Live-Attenuated Chimeric Hemagglutinin-Based Vaccines Confers Heterosubtypic Immunity Against Influenza A Viruses in a Preclinical Ferret Model. *Front. Immunol.* 2019, 10, 756. [CrossRef]
- 146. Liu, W.C.; Nachbagauer, R.; Stadlbauer, D.; Strohmeier, S.; Solórzano, A.; Berlanda-Scorza, F.; Innis, B.L.; García-Sastre, A.; Palese, P.; Krammer, F.; et al. Chimeric Hemagglutinin-Based Live-Attenuated Vaccines Confer Durable Protective Immunity against Influenza A Viruses in a Preclinical Ferret Model. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 40. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 147. Ljungberg, K.; Kolmskog, C.; Wahren, B.; van Amerongen, G.; Baars, M.; Osterhaus, A.; Linde, A.; Rimmelzwaan, G. DNA vaccination of ferrets with chimeric influenza A virus hemagglutinin (H3) genes. *Vaccine* 2002, 20, 2045–2052. [CrossRef]
- 148. Marriott, A.C.; Dove, B.K.; Whittaker, C.J.; Bruce, C.; Ryan, K.A.; Bean, T.J.; Rayner, E.; Pearson, G.; Taylor, I.; Dowall, S.; et al. Low dose influenza virus challenge in the ferret leads to increased virus shedding and greater sensitivity to oseltamivir. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e94090. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 149. McMahon, M.; Asthagiri Arunkumar, G.; Liu, W.C.; Stadlbauer, D.; Albrecht, R.A.; Pavot, V.; Aramouni, M.; Lambe, T.; Gilbert, S.C.; Krammer, F. Vaccination With Viral Vectors Expressing Chimeric Hemagglutinin, NP and M1 Antigens Protects Ferrets Against Influenza Virus Challenge. *Front. Immunol.* 2019, *10*, 2005. [CrossRef]
- Nachbagauer, R.; Krammer, F.; Albrecht, R.A. A Live-Attenuated Prime, Inactivated Boost Vaccination Strategy with Chimeric Hemagglutinin-Based Universal Influenza Virus Vaccines Provides Protection in Ferrets: A Confirmatory Study. *Vaccines* 2018, 6, 47. [CrossRef]

- 151. Nachbagauer, R.; Liu, W.C.; Choi, A.; Wohlbold, T.J.; Atlas, T.; Rajendran, M.; Solórzano, A.; Berlanda-Scorza, F.; García-Sastre, A.; Palese, P.; et al. A universal influenza virus vaccine candidate confers protection against pandemic H1N1 infection in preclinical ferret studies. *NPJ Vaccines* **2017**, *2*, 26. [CrossRef]
- Nachbagauer, R.; Miller, M.S.; Hai, R.; Ryder, A.B.; Rose, J.K.; Palese, P.; García-Sastre, A.; Krammer, F.; Albrecht, R.A. Hemagglutinin Stalk Immunity Reduces Influenza Virus Replication and Transmission in Ferrets. J. Virol. 2015, 90, 3268–3273. [CrossRef]
- 153. Ou, H.; Yao, W.; Wu, N.; Wang, F.X.; Weng, T.; Han, C.; Lu, X.; Yu, D.; Wu, H.; Cheng, L.; et al. Preclinical evaluation of the safety and pathogenicity of a live attenuated recombinant influenza A/H7N9 seed strain and corresponding MF59-adjuvanted split vaccine. *Oncotarget* **2016**, *7*, 81012–81025. [CrossRef]
- 154. Romanova, J.; Krenn, B.M.; Wolschek, M.; Ferko, B.; Romanovskaja-Romanko, E.; Morokutti, A.; Shurygina, A.P.; Nakowitsch, S.; Ruthsatz, T.; Kiefmann, B.; et al. Preclinical evaluation of a replication-deficient intranasal DeltaNS1 H5N1 influenza vaccine. PLoS ONE 2009, 4, e5984. [CrossRef]
- 155. Vidaña, B.; Brookes, S.M.; Everett, H.E.; Garcon, F.; Nuñez, A.; Engelhardt, O.; Major, D.; Hoschler, K.; Brown, I.H.; Zambon, M. Inactivated pandemic 2009 H1N1 influenza A virus human vaccines have different efficacy after homologous challenge in the ferret model. *Influenza Other Respir. Viruses* 2021, 15, 142–153. [CrossRef]
- 156. Bushnell, R.V.; Tobin, J.K.; Long, J.; Schultz-Cherry, S.; Chaudhuri, A.R.; Nara, P.L.; Tobin, G.J. Serological characterization of guinea pigs infected with H3N2 human influenza or immunized with hemagglutinin protein. *Virol. J.* **2010**, *7*, 200. [CrossRef]
- 157. Gustin, K.M.; Belser, J.A.; Veguilla, V.; Zeng, H.; Katz, J.M.; Tumpey, T.M.; Maines, T.R. Environmental Conditions Affect Exhalation of H3N2 Seasonal and Variant Influenza Viruses and Respiratory Droplet Transmission in Ferrets. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, 10, e0125874. [CrossRef]
- 158. O'Donnell, C.D.; Wright, A.; Vogel, L.; Boonnak, K.; Treanor, J.J.; Subbarao, K. Humans and ferrets with prior H1N1 influenza virus infections do not exhibit evidence of original antigenic sin after infection or vaccination with the 2009 pandemic H1N1 influenza virus. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* **2014**, *21*, 737–746. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 159. Rutigliano, J.A.; Doherty, P.C.; Franks, J.; Morris, M.Y.; Reynolds, C.; Thomas, P.G. Screening monoclonal antibodies for cross-reactivity in the ferret model of influenza infection. *J. Immunol. Methods* **2008**, *336*, 71–77. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Peng, X.; Alföldi, J.; Gori, K.; Eisfeld, A.J.; Tyler, S.R.; Tisoncik-Go, J.; Brawand, D.; Law, G.L.; Skunca, N.; Hatta, M.; et al. The draft genome sequence of the ferret (Mustela putorius furo) facilitates study of human respiratory disease. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2014, 32, 1250–1255. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 161. Nakata, M.; Itou, T.; Sakai, T. Molecular cloning and phylogenetic analysis of inflammatory cytokines of the ferret (Mustela putorius furo). *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 2008, *70*, 543–550. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 162. Ochi, A.; Danesh, A.; Seneviratne, C.; Banner, D.; Devries, M.E.; Rowe, T.; Xu, L.; Ran, L.; Czub, M.; Bosinger, S.E.; et al. Cloning, expression and immunoassay detection of ferret IFN-gamma. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **2008**, *32*, 890–897. [CrossRef]
- 163. Danesh, A.; Seneviratne, C.; Cameron, C.M.; Banner, D.; Devries, M.E.; Kelvin, A.A.; Xu, L.; Ran, L.; Bosinger, S.E.; Rowe, T.; et al. Cloning, expression and characterization of ferret CXCL10. *Mol. Immunol.* 2008, 45, 1288–1297. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 164. Ali, M.J.; Teh, C.Z.; Jennings, R.; Potter, C.W. Transmissibility of influenza viruses in hamsters. *Arch. Virol.* **1982**, 72, 187–197. [CrossRef]
- 165. Bouvier, N.M.; Lowen, A.C. Animal Models for Influenza Virus Pathogenesis and Transmission. *Viruses* **2010**, *2*, 1530–1563. [CrossRef]
- 166. DiPiazza, A.; Richards, K.; Batarse, F.; Lockard, L.; Zeng, H.; García-Sastre, A.; Albrecht, R.A.; Sant, A.J. Flow Cytometric and Cytokine ELISpot Approaches To Characterize the Cell-Mediated Immune Response in Ferrets following Influenza Virus Infection. J. Virol. 2016, 90, 7991. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, R.; Miao, J.; Fan, Z.; Song, S.; Kong, I.K.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Z. Production of Genetically Engineered Golden Syrian Hamsters by Pronuclear Injection of the CRISPR/Cas9 Complex. J. Vis. Exp. 2018, 56263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Miao, J.; Chard, L.S.; Wang, Z.; Wang, Y. Syrian Hamster as an Animal Model for the Study on Infectious Diseases. *Front. Immunol.* 2019, 10, 2329. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 169. Lange, E.; Kalthoff, D.; Blohm, U.; Teifke, J.P.; Breithaupt, A.; Maresch, C.; Starick, E.; Fereidouni, S.; Hoffmann, B.; Mettenleiter, T.C.; et al. Pathogenesis and transmission of the novel swine-origin influenza virus A/H1N1 after experimental infection of pigs. J. Gen. Virol. 2009, 90, 2119–2123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 170. Bhide, Y.; Dong, W.; Meijerhof, T.; de Vries-Idema, J.; Niesters, H.G.; Huckriede, A. Characterization of humoral immune responses and degree of protection induced by influenza vaccine in cotton rats: Effects of low vaccine dose and single vs booster vaccination. *Immun. Inflamm. Dis.* **2020**, *8*, 279–291. [CrossRef]
- 171. Straight, T.M.; Ottolini, M.G.; Prince, G.A.; Eichelberger, M.C. Evidence of a cross-protective immune response to influenza A in the cotton rat model. *Vaccine* **2006**, *24*, 6264–6271. [CrossRef]
- 172. Potter, C.W.; Jennings, R.; Rees, R.C.; McLaren, C. Antibody Response of Hamsters to A2/Hong Kong Virus Vaccine after Priming by Heterotypic Virus Infection. *Infect. Immun.* **1973**, *8*, 137. [CrossRef]
- 173. Smith, G.L.; Murphy, B.R.; Moss, B. Construction and characterization of an infectious vaccinia virus recombinant that expresses the influenza hemagglutinin gene and induces resistance to influenza virus infection in hamsters. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1983**, *80*, 7155. [CrossRef]
- 174. Jennings, R.; Potter, C.W. Enhanced response to influenza A vaccines in hamsters primed by prior heterotype influenza infection. *Arch. Gesamte Virusforsch* **1973**, 42, 197–206. [CrossRef]

- 175. Jennings, R.; Brand, C.M.; McLaren, C.; Shepherd, L.; Potter, C.W. The immune response of hamsters to purified haemagglutinins and whole influenza virus vaccines following live influenza virus infection. *Med. Microbiol. Immunol.* **1974**, 160, 295–309. [CrossRef]
- 176. Brundage-Anguish, L.J.; Holmes, D.F.; Hosier, N.T.; Murphy, B.R.; Massicott, J.G.; Appleyard, G.; Coggins, L. Live temperaturesensitive equine influenza virus vaccine: Generation of the virus and efficacy in hamsters. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* **1982**, *43*, 869–874.
- 177. Jennings, R.; Potter, C.W.; McLaren, C.; Brady, M. A new, surface-antigen-adsorbed influenza virus vaccine. I. Studies on immunogenicity in hamsters. J. Hyg. 1975, 75, 341–352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 178. Nelson, M.I.; Worobey, M. Origins of the 1918 Pandemic: Revisiting the Swine "Mixing Vessel" Hypothesis. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 2018, 187, 2498–2502. [CrossRef]
- 179. Mancera Gracia, J.C.; Pearce, D.S.; Masic, A.; Balasch, M. Influenza A Virus in Swine: Epidemiology, Challenges and Vaccination Strategies. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 2020, 7, 647. [CrossRef]
- Vincent, A.L.; Lager, K.M.; Janke, B.H.; Gramer, M.R.; Richt, J.A. Failure of protection and enhanced pneumonia with a US H1N2 swine influenza virus in pigs vaccinated with an inactivated classical swine H1N1 vaccine. *Vet. Microbiol.* 2008, 126, 310–323. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 181. Broadbent, A.J.; Santos, C.P.; Paskel, M.; Matsuoka, Y.; Lu, J.; Chen, Z.; Jin, H.; Subbarao, K. Replication of live attenuated cold-adapted H2N2 influenza virus vaccine candidates in non human primates. *Vaccine* 2015, 33, 193–200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 182. Ma, W.; Kahn, R.E.; Richt, J.A. The pig as a mixing vessel for influenza viruses: Human and veterinary implications. *J. Mol. Genet. Med.* **2008**, *3*, 158–166. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 183. Sun, H.; Xiao, Y.; Liu, J.; Wang, D.; Li, F.; Wang, C.; Li, C.; Zhu, J.; Song, J.; Sun, H.; et al. Prevalent Eurasian avian-like H1N1 swine influenza virus with 2009 pandemic viral genes facilitating human infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2020, 117, 17204–17210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 184. Mena, I.; Nelson, M.I.; Quezada-Monroy, F.; Dutta, J.; Cortes-Fernández, R.; Lara-Puente, J.H.; Castro-Peralta, F.; Cunha, L.F.; Trovão, N.S.; Lozano-Dubernard, B.; et al. Origins of the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic in swine in Mexico. *eLife* 2016, *5*, e16777. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 185. Iwatsuki-Horimoto, K.; Nakajima, N.; Ichiko, Y.; Sakai-Tagawa, Y.; Noda, T.; Hasegawa, H.; Kawaoka, Y. Syrian Hamster as an Animal Model for the Study of Human Influenza Virus Infection. *J. Virol.* **2018**, *92*, e01693-17. [CrossRef]
- 186. Nelson, M.I.; Gramer, M.R.; Vincent, A.L.; Holmes, E.C. Global transmission of influenza viruses from humans to swine. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2012, 93, 2195–2203. [CrossRef]
- 187. Nelson, M.I.; Wentworth, D.E.; Culhane, M.R.; Vincent, A.L.; Viboud, C.; LaPointe, M.P.; Lin, X.; Holmes, E.C.; Detmer, S.E. Introductions and Evolution of Human-Origin Seasonal Influenza A Viruses in Multinational Swine Populations. *J. Virol.* 2014, 88, 10110. [CrossRef]
- 188. Ito, T.; Couceiro, J.N.; Kelm, S.; Baum, L.G.; Krauss, S.; Castrucci, M.R.; Donatelli, I.; Kida, H.; Paulson, J.C.; Webster, R.G.; et al. Molecular basis for the generation in pigs of influenza A viruses with pandemic potential. *J. Virol.* 1998, 72, 7367–7373. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 189. Kreijtz, J.H.; Suezer, Y.; de Mutsert, G.; van den Brand, J.M.; van Amerongen, G.; Schnierle, B.S.; Kuiken, T.; Fouchier, R.A.; Löwer, J.; Osterhaus, A.D.; et al. Recombinant modified vaccinia virus Ankara expressing the hemagglutinin gene confers protection against homologous and heterologous H5N1 influenza virus infections in macaques. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2009, 199, 405–413. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 190. Reeth, K.V.; Brown, I.; Essen, S.; Pensaert, M. Genetic relationships, serological cross-reaction and cross-protection between H1N2 and other influenza A virus subtypes endemic in European pigs. *Virus Res.* **2004**, *103*, 115–124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 191. Rajão, D.S.; Chen, H.; Perez, D.R.; Sandbulte, M.R.; Gauger, P.C.; Loving, C.L.; Shanks, G.D.; Vincent, A. Vaccine-associated enhanced respiratory disease is influenced by haemagglutinin and neuraminidase in whole inactivated influenza virus vaccines. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2016, *97*, 1489–1499. [CrossRef]
- 192. Carroll, T.D.; Jegaskanda, S.; Matzinger, S.R.; Fritts, L.; McChesney, M.B.; Kent, S.J.; Fairman, J.; Miller, C.J. A Lipid/DNA Adjuvant-Inactivated Influenza Virus Vaccine Protects Rhesus Macaques From Uncontrolled Virus Replication After Heterosubtypic Influenza A Virus Challenge. J. Infect. Dis. 2018, 218, 856–867. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 193. Jegaskanda, S.; Amarasena, T.H.; Laurie, K.L.; Tan, H.X.; Butler, J.; Parsons, M.S.; Alcantara, S.; Petravic, J.; Davenport, M.P.; Hurt, A.C.; et al. Standard trivalent influenza virus protein vaccination does not prime antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity in macaques. J. Virol. 2013, 87, 13706–13718. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 194. Moncla, L.H.; Ross, T.M.; Dinis, J.M.; Weinfurter, J.T.; Mortimer, T.D.; Schultz-Darken, N.; Brunner, K.; Capuano, S.V., 3rd; Boettcher, C.; Post, J.; et al. A novel nonhuman primate model for influenza transmission. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e78750. [CrossRef]
- 195. Davis, A.S.; Taubenberger, J.K.; Bray, M. The use of nonhuman primates in research on seasonal, pandemic and avian influenza, 1893–2014. *Antivir. Res.* 2015, 117, 75–98. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Miller, L.A.; Royer, C.M.; Pinkerton, K.E.; Schelegle, E.S. Nonhuman Primate Models of Respiratory Disease: Past, Present, and Future. *ILAR J.* 2017, *58*, 269–280. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 197. Reber, A.J.; Chirkova, T.; Kim, J.H.; Cao, W.; Biber, R.; Shay, D.K.; Sambhara, S. Immunosenescence and Challenges of Vaccination against Influenza in the Aging Population. *Aging Dis.* **2012**, *3*, 68–90. [PubMed]
- 198. Tartaglia, L.A.; Dembski, M.; Weng, X.; Deng, N.; Culpepper, J.; Devos, R.; Richards, G.J.; Campfield, L.A.; Clark, F.T.; Deeds, J.; et al. Identification and expression cloning of a leptin receptor, OB-R. *Cell* **1995**, *83*, 1263–1271. [CrossRef]

- 199. Jegerlehner, A.; Schmitz, N.; Storni, T.; Bachmann, M.F. Influenza A vaccine based on the extracellular domain of M2: Weak protection mediated via antibody-dependent NK cell activity. *J. Immunol.* **2004**, *172*, 5598–5605. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 200. Wei, C.J.; Boyington, J.C.; McTamney, P.M.; Kong, W.P.; Pearce, M.B.; Xu, L.; Andersen, H.; Rao, S.; Tumpey, T.M.; Yang, Z.Y.; et al. Induction of broadly neutralizing H1N1 influenza antibodies by vaccination. *Science* **2010**, *329*, 1060–1064. [CrossRef]
- 201. Mooij, P.; Koopman, G.; Mortier, D.; van Heteren, M.; Oostermeijer, H.; Fagrouch, Z.; de Laat, R.; Kobinger, G.; Li, Y.; Remarque, E.J.; et al. Pandemic Swine-Origin H1N1 Influenza Virus Replicates to Higher Levels and Induces More Fever and Acute Inflammatory Cytokines in Cynomolgus versus Rhesus Monkeys and Can Replicate in Common Marmosets. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0126132. [CrossRef]
- 202. Itoh, Y.; Ozaki, H.; Ishigaki, H.; Sakoda, Y.; Nagata, T.; Soda, K.; Isoda, N.; Miyake, T.; Ishida, H.; Okamoto, K.; et al. Subcutaneous inoculation of a whole virus particle vaccine prepared from a non-pathogenic virus library induces protective immunity against H7N7 highly pathogenic avian influenza virus in cynomolgus macaques. *Vaccine* 2010, 28, 780–789. [CrossRef]
- 203. Koday, M.T.; Leonard, J.A.; Munson, P.; Forero, A.; Koday, M.; Bratt, D.L.; Fuller, J.T.; Murnane, R.; Qin, S.; Reinhart, T.A.; et al. Multigenic DNA vaccine induces protective cross-reactive T cell responses against heterologous influenza virus in nonhuman primates. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0189780. [CrossRef]
- 204. Koopman, G.; Mortier, D.; Michels, S.; Hofman, S.; Fagrouch, Z.; Remarque, E.J.; Verschoor, E.J.; Mooij, P.; Bogers, W. Influenza virus infection as well as immunization with DNA encoding haemagglutinin protein induces potent antibody-dependent phagocytosis (ADP) and monocyte infection-enhancing responses in macaques. J. Gen. Virol. 2019, 100, 738–751. [CrossRef]
- 205. Marcelin, G.; Aldridge, J.R.; Duan, S.; Ghoneim, H.E.; Rehg, J.; Marjuki, H.; Boon, A.C.; McCullers, J.A.; Webby, R.J. Fatal outcome of pandemic H1N1 2009 influenza virus infection is associated with immunopathology and impaired lung repair, not enhanced viral burden, in pregnant mice. J. Virol. 2011, 85, 11208–11219. [CrossRef]
- 206. Kim, Y.H.; Kim, J.K.; Kim, D.J.; Nam, J.H.; Shim, S.M.; Choi, Y.K.; Lee, C.H.; Poo, H. Diet-induced obesity dramatically reduces the efficacy of a 2009 pandemic H1N1 vaccine in a mouse model. J. Infect. Dis. 2012, 205, 244–251. [CrossRef]
- 207. Ren, Y.Y.; Yin, Y.Y.; Li, W.Q.; Lin, Y.; Liu, T.; Wang, S.; Zhang, S.Y.; Li, Z.; Wang, X.J.; Bi, Z.Q. Risk factors associated with severe manifestations of 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) infection in China: A case-control study. *Virol. J.* 2013, 10, 149. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 208. Milner, J.J.; Beck, M.A. The impact of obesity on the immune response to infection. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 2012, 71, 298–306. [CrossRef]
- 209. Bradley, S.F. Influenza in the elderly. Prevention is the best strategy in high-risk populations. *Postgrad. Med.* **1996**, *99*, 138–139. [PubMed]
- 210. Green, W.D.; Beck, M.A. Obesity Impairs the Adaptive Immune Response to Influenza Virus. *Ann. Am. Thorac. Soc.* 2017, 14, S406–S409. [CrossRef]
- Whitley, R.J.; Monto, A.S. Prevention and treatment of influenza in high-risk groups: Children, pregnant women, immunocompromised hosts, and nursing home residents. J. Infect. Dis. 2006, 194 (Suppl. 2), S133–S138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 212. van Riel, D.; Mittrucker, H.W.; Engels, G.; Klingel, K.; Markert, U.R.; Gabriel, G. Influenza pathogenicity during pregnancy in women and animal models. *Semin. Immunopathol.* **2016**, *38*, 719–726. [CrossRef]
- 213. Gottesman, M.E.; Quadro, L.; Blaner, W.S. Studies of vitamin A metabolism in mouse model systems. *BioEssays* 2001, 23, 409–419. [CrossRef]
- 214. Seldeen, K.L.; Pang, M.; Rodríguez-Gonzalez, M.; Hernandez, M.; Sheridan, Z.; Yu, P.; Troen, B.R. A mouse model of vitamin D insufficiency: Is there a relationship between 25(OH) vitamin D levels and obesity? *Nutr. Metab.* 2017, 14, 26. [CrossRef]
- 215. Surman, S.L.; Penkert, R.R.; Jones, B.G.; Sealy, R.E.; Hurwitz, J.L. Vitamin Supplementation at the Time of Immunization with a Cold-Adapted Influenza Virus Vaccine Corrects Poor Mucosal Antibody Responses in Mice Deficient for Vitamins A and D. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* 2016, 23, 219–227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 216. Yasui, H.; Kiyoshima, J.; Hori, T. Reduction of influenza virus titer and protection against influenza virus infection in infant mice fed Lactobacillus casei Shirota. *Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol.* **2004**, *11*, 675–679. [CrossRef]
- Pollett, M.; Mackenzie, J.S.; Turner, K.J. The effect of protein-deprivation on the susceptibility to influenza virus infection: A murine model system. *Aust. J. Exp. Biol. Med. Sci.* 1979, 57, 151–160. [CrossRef]
- Penkert, R.R.; Iverson, A.; Rosch, J.W.; Hurwitz, J.L. Prevnar-13 vaccine failure in a mouse model for vitamin A deficiency. *Vaccine* 2017, 35, 6264–6268. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ritz, B.W.; Aktan, I.; Nogusa, S.; Gardner, E.M. Energy restriction impairs natural killer cell function and increases the severity of influenza infection in young adult male C57BL/6 mice. J. Nutr. 2008, 138, 2269–2275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 220. Stephensen, C.B.; Blount, S.R.; Schoeb, T.R.; Park, J.Y. Vitamin A deficiency impairs some aspects of the host response to influenza A virus infection in BALB/c mice. *J. Nutr.* **1993**, *123*, 823–833. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 221. Taylor, A.K.; Cao, W.; Vora, K.P.; De La Cruz, J.; Shieh, W.J.; Zaki, S.R.; Katz, J.M.; Sambhara, S.; Gangappa, S. Protein energy malnutrition decreases immunity and increases susceptibility to influenza infection in mice. J. Infect. Dis. 2013, 207, 501–510. [CrossRef]
- 222. Hernandez-Vargas, E.A.; Wilk, E.; Canini, L.; Toapanta, F.R.; Binder, S.C.; Uvarovskii, A.; Ross, T.M.; Guzmán, C.A.; Perelson, A.S.; Meyer-Hermann, M. Effects of Aging on Influenza Virus Infection Dynamics. J. Virol. 2014, 88, 4123. [CrossRef]
- 223. Lu, J.; Duan, X.; Zhao, W.; Wang, J.; Wang, H.; Zhou, K.; Fang, M. Aged Mice are More Resistant to Influenza Virus Infection due to Reduced Inflammation and Lung Pathology. *Aging Dis.* **2018**, *9*, 358–373. [CrossRef]

- Maue, A.C.; Yager, E.J.; Swain, S.L.; Woodland, D.L.; Blackman, M.A.; Haynes, L. T-cell immunosenescence: Lessons learned from mouse models of aging. *Trends Immunol.* 2009, 30, 301–305. [CrossRef]
- 225. Yoon, S.W.; Wong, S.S.; Zhu, H.; Chen, R.; Li, L.; Zhang, Y.; Guan, Y.; Webby, R.J. Dysregulated T-Helper Type 1 (Th1):Th2 Cytokine Profile and Poor Immune Response in Pregnant Ferrets Infected With 2009 Pandemic Influenza A(H1N1) Virus. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2018, 217, 438–442. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 226. Carroll, T.D.; Matzinger, S.R.; Barry, P.A.; McChesney, M.B.; Fairman, J.; Miller, C.J. Efficacy of Influenza Vaccination of Elderly Rhesus Macaques Is Dramatically Improved by Addition of a Cationic Lipid/DNA Adjuvant. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2013**, 209, 24–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 227. Chan, K.H.; Zhang, A.J.; To, K.K.; Chan, C.C.; Poon, V.K.; Guo, K.; Ng, F.; Zhang, Q.W.; Leung, V.H.; Cheung, A.N.; et al. Wild type and mutant 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) viruses cause more severe disease and higher mortality in pregnant BALB/c mice. *PLoS ONE* **2010**, *5*, e13757. [CrossRef]
- 228. Engels, G.; Hierweger, A.M.; Hoffmann, J.; Thieme, R.; Thiele, S.; Bertram, S.; Dreier, C.; Resa-Infante, P.; Jacobsen, H.; Thiele, K.; et al. Pregnancy-Related Immune Adaptation Promotes the Emergence of Highly Virulent H1N1 Influenza Virus Strains in Allogenically Pregnant Mice. *Cell Host Microbe* **2017**, *21*, 321–333. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 229. Ilievski, V.; Lu, S.J.; Hirsch, E. Activation of toll-like receptors 2 or 3 and preterm delivery in the mouse. *Reprod. Sci.* 2007, 14, 315–320. [CrossRef]
- Kim, J.C.; Kim, H.M.; Kang, Y.M.; Ku, K.B.; Park, E.H.; Yum, J.; Kim, J.A.; Kang, Y.K.; Lee, J.S.; Kim, H.S.; et al. Severe pathogenesis of influenza B virus in pregnant mice. *Virology* 2014, 448, 74–81. [CrossRef]
- 231. Littauer, E.Q.; Esser, E.S.; Antao, O.Q.; Vassilieva, E.V.; Compans, R.W.; Skountzou, I. H1N1 influenza virus infection results in adverse pregnancy outcomes by disrupting tissue-specific hormonal regulation. *PLoS Pathog.* 2017, *13*, e1006757. [CrossRef]
- 232. van der Lubbe, J.E.M.; Vreugdenhil, J.; Damman, S.; Vaneman, J.; Klap, J.; Goudsmit, J.; Radošević, K.; Roozendaal, R. Maternal antibodies protect offspring from severe influenza infection and do not lead to detectable interference with subsequent offspring immunization. *Virol. J.* **2017**, *14*, 123. [CrossRef]
- Vermillion, M.S.; Nelson, A.; Vom Steeg, L.; Loube, J.; Mitzner, W.; Klein, S.L. Pregnancy preserves pulmonary function following influenza virus infection in C57BL/6 mice. Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol. 2018, 315, L517–L525. [CrossRef]
- 234. Powers, D.C.; Belshe, R.B. Effect of Age on Cytotoxic T Lymphocyte Memory as well as Serum and Local Antibody Responses Elicited by Inactivated Influenza Virus Vaccine. *J. Infect. Dis.* **1993**, *167*, 584–592. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 235. Bosaeed, M.; Kumar, D. Seasonal influenza vaccine in immunocompromised persons. *Hum. Vaccines Immunother.* 2018, 14, 1311–1322. [CrossRef]
- 236. DiazGranados, C.A.; Dunning, A.J.; Kimmel, M.; Kirby, D.; Treanor, J.; Collins, A.; Pollak, R.; Christoff, J.; Earl, J.; Landolfi, V.; et al. Efficacy of high-dose versus standard-dose influenza vaccine in older adults. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2014**, *371*, 635–645. [CrossRef]
- 237. Robertson, C.A.; DiazGranados, C.A.; Decker, M.D.; Chit, A.; Mercer, M.; Greenberg, D.P. Fluzone<sup>®</sup> High-Dose Influenza Vaccine. *Expert Rev. Vaccines* **2016**, *15*, 1495–1505. [CrossRef]
- 238. Sun, X.; Yan, Z.; Yi, Y.; Li, Z.; Lei, D.; Rogers, C.S.; Chen, J.; Zhang, Y.; Welsh, M.J.; Leno, G.H.; et al. Adeno-associated virus-targeted disruption of the CFTR gene in cloned ferrets. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2008**, *118*, 1578–1583. [CrossRef]
- Kou, Z.; Wu, Q.; Kou, X.; Yin, C.; Wang, H.; Zuo, Z.; Zhuo, Y.; Chen, A.; Gao, S.; Wang, X. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome engineering of the ferret. *Cell Res.* 2015, 25, 1372–1375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Min, J.-Y.; Vogel, L.; Matsuoka, Y.; Lu, B.; Swayne, D.; Jin, H.; Kemble, G.; Subbarao, K. A Live Attenuated H7N7 Candidate Vaccine Virus Induces Neutralizing Antibody That Confers Protection from Challenge in Mice, Ferrets, and Monkeys. *J. Virol.* 2010, 84, 11950. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 241. Paquette, S.G.; Huang, S.S.H.; Banner, D.; Xu, L.; León, A.; Kelvin, A.A.; Kelvin, D.J. Impaired heterologous immunity in aged ferrets during sequential influenza A H1N1 infection. *Virology* **2014**, *464*, 177–183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 242. Paquette, S.G.; Banner, D.; Huang, S.S.; Almansa, R.; Leon, A.; Xu, L.; Bartoszko, J.; Kelvin, D.J.; Kelvin, A.A. Influenza Transmission in the Mother-Infant Dyad Leads to Severe Disease, Mammary Gland Infection, and Pathogenesis by Regulating Host Responses. *PLoS Pathog.* 2015, *11*, e1005173. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 243. Fukuyama, S.; Iwatsuki-Horimoto, K.; Kiso, M.; Nakajima, N.; Gregg, R.W.; Katsura, H.; Tomita, Y.; Maemura, T.; da Silva Lopes, T.J.; Watanabe, T.; et al. Pathogenesis of Influenza A(H7N9) Virus in Aged Nonhuman Primates. J. Infect. Dis. 2020, 222, 1155–1164. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 244. Holbrook, B.C.; Kim, J.R.; Blevins, L.K.; Jorgensen, M.J.; Kock, N.D.; D'Agostino, R.B.; Aycock, S.T.; Hadimani, M.B.; King, S.B.; Parks, G.D.; et al. A Novel R848-Conjugated Inactivated Influenza Virus Vaccine Is Efficacious and Safe in a Neonate Nonhuman Primate Model. J. Immunol. 2016, 197, 555–564. [CrossRef]
- Killingley, B.; Enstone, J.; Booy, R.; Hayward, A.; Oxford, J.; Ferguson, N.; Nguyen Van-Tam, J. Potential role of human challenge studies for investigation of influenza transmission. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2011, 11, 879–886. [CrossRef]
- 246. Holbrook, B.C.; D'Agostino, R.B.; Tyler Aycock, S.; Jorgensen, M.J.; Hadimani, M.B.; Bruce King, S.; Alexander-Miller, M.A. Adjuvanting an inactivated influenza vaccine with conjugated R848 improves the level of antibody present at 6months in a nonhuman primate neonate model. *Vaccine* 2017, 35, 6137–6142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 247. Holbrook, B.C.; D'Agostino, R.B.; Parks, G.D.; Alexander-Miller, M.A. Adjuvanting an inactivated influenza vaccine with flagellin improves the function and quantity of the long-term antibody response in a nonhuman primate neonate model. *Vaccine* **2016**, *34*, 4712–4717. [CrossRef]

- Holbrook, B.C.; Hayward, S.L.; Blevins, L.K.; Kock, N.; Aycock, T.; Parks, G.D.; Alexander-Miller, M.A. Nonhuman primate infants have an impaired respiratory but not systemic IgG antibody response following influenza virus infection. *Virology* 2015, 476, 124–133. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 249. Bauer, S.A.; Arndt, T.P.; Leslie, K.E.; Pearl, D.L.; Turner, P.V. Obesity in Rhesus and Cynomolgus Macaques: A Comparative Review of the Condition and Its Implications for Research. *Comp. Med.* **2011**, *61*, 514–526. [PubMed]
- 250. Forero, A.; Fenstermacher, K.; Wohlgemuth, N.; Nishida, A.; Carter, V.; Smith, E.A.; Peng, X.; Hayes, M.; Francis, D.; Treanor, J.; et al. Evaluation of the innate immune responses to influenza and live-attenuated influenza vaccine infection in primary differentiated human nasal epithelial cells. *Vaccine* **2017**, *35*, 6112–6121. [CrossRef]
- Gerlach, R.L.; Camp, J.V.; Chu, Y.-K.; Jonsson, C.B. Early Host Responses of Seasonal and Pandemic Influenza A Viruses in Primary Well-Differentiated Human Lung Epithelial Cells. *PLoS ONE* 2013, *8*, e78912. [CrossRef]
- 252. Hauser, M.J.; Dlugolenski, D.; Culhane, M.R.; Wentworth, D.E.; Tompkins, S.M.; Tripp, R.A. Antiviral Responses by Swine Primary Bronchoepithelial Cells Are Limited Compared to Human Bronchoepithelial Cells Following Influenza Virus Infection. PLoS ONE 2013, 8, e70251. [CrossRef]
- 253. Ilyushina, N.A.; Ikizler, M.R.; Kawaoka, Y.; Rudenko, L.G.; Treanor, J.J.; Subbarao, K.; Wright, P.F. Comparative Study of Influenza Virus Replication in MDCK Cells and in Primary Cells Derived from Adenoids and Airway Epithelium. J. Virol. 2012, 86, 11725. [CrossRef]
- 254. Jones, J.C.; Baranovich, T.; Marathe, B.M.; Danner, A.F.; Seiler, J.P.; Franks, J.; Govorkova, E.A.; Krauss, S.; Webster, R.G. Risk Assessment of H2N2 Influenza Viruses from the Avian Reservoir. J. Virol. 2014, 88, 1175. [CrossRef]
- 255. Martinez-Sobrido, L.; Blanco-Lobo, P.; Rodriguez, L.; Fitzgerald, T.; Zhang, H.; Nguyen, P.; Anderson, C.S.; Holden-Wiltse, J.; Bandyopadhyay, S.; Nogales, A.; et al. Characterizing Emerging Canine H3 Influenza Viruses. *PLoS Pathog.* 2020, 16, e1008409. [CrossRef]
- 256. Schultz-Cherry, S.; Thomas, P.G. Assessing the fitness of distinct clades of influenza A (H9N2) viruses. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* **2013**, *2*, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- 257. Bueno de Mesquita, P.J.; Noakes, C.J.; Milton, D.K. Quantitative aerobiologic analysis of an influenza human challengetransmission trial. *Indoor Air* 2020, *30*, 1189–1198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 258. Han, A.; Czajkowski, L.M.; Donaldson, A.; Baus, H.A.; Reed, S.M.; Athota, R.S.; Bristol, T.; Rosas, L.A.; Cervantes-Medina, A.; Taubenberger, J.K.; et al. A Dose-finding Study of a Wild-type Influenza A(H3N2) Virus in a Healthy Volunteer Human Challenge Model. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2019, 69, 2082–2090. [CrossRef]
- 259. Han, A.; Poon, J.L.; Powers, J.H., 3rd; Leidy, N.K.; Yu, R.; Memoli, M.J. Using the Influenza Patient-reported Outcome (FLU-PRO) diary to evaluate symptoms of influenza viral infection in a healthy human challenge model. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2018, 18, 353. [CrossRef]
- Innis, B.L.; Berlanda Scorza, F.; Blum, J.S.; Jain, V.K.; Older Aguilar, A.; Post, D.J.; Roberts, P.C.; Wairagkar, N.; White, J.; Bresee, J. Meeting report: Convening on the influenza human viral challenge model for universal influenza vaccines, Part 1: Value; challenge virus selection; regulatory, industry and ethical considerations; increasing standardization, access and capacity. *Vaccine* 2019, 37, 4823–4829. [CrossRef]
- Killingley, B.; Enstone, J.E.; Greatorex, J.; Gilbert, A.S.; Lambkin-Williams, R.; Cauchemez, S.; Katz, J.M.; Booy, R.; Hayward, A.; Oxford, J.; et al. Use of a human influenza challenge model to assess person-to-person transmission: Proof-of-concept study. J. Infect. Dis. 2012, 205, 35–43. [CrossRef]
- 262. Lambkin-Williams, R.; Noulin, N.; Mann, A.; Catchpole, A.; Gilbert, A.S. The human viral challenge model: Accelerating the evaluation of respiratory antivirals, vaccines and novel diagnostics. *Respir. Res.* **2018**, *19*, 123. [CrossRef]
- Liebowitz, D.; Gottlieb, K.; Kolhatkar, N.S.; Garg, S.J.; Asher, J.M.; Nazareno, J.; Kim, K.; McIlwain, D.R.; Tucker, S.N. Efficacy, immunogenicity, and safety of an oral influenza vaccine: A placebo-controlled and active-controlled phase 2 human challenge study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2020, 20, 435–444. [CrossRef]
- 264. McBride, J.M.; Lim, J.J.; Burgess, T.; Deng, R.; Derby, M.A.; Maia, M.; Horn, P.; Siddiqui, O.; Sheinson, D.; Chen-Harris, H.; et al. Phase 2 Randomized Trial of the Safety and Efficacy of MHAA4549A, a Broadly Neutralizing Monoclonal Antibody, in a Human Influenza A Virus Challenge Model. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2017, 61. [CrossRef]
- 265. Memoli, M.J.; Shaw, P.A.; Han, A.; Czajkowski, L.; Reed, S.; Athota, R.; Bristol, T.; Fargis, S.; Risos, K.; Powers, J.H.; et al. Evaluation of Antihemagglutinin and Antineuraminidase Antibodies as Correlates of Protection in an Influenza A/H1N1 Virus Healthy Human Challenge Model. *mBio* 2016, 7, e00417-16. [CrossRef]
- 266. Nguyen-Van-Tam, J.S.; Killingley, B.; Enstone, J.; Hewitt, M.; Pantelic, J.; Grantham, M.L.; Bueno de Mesquita, P.J.; Lambkin-Williams, R.; Gilbert, A.; Mann, A.; et al. Minimal transmission in an influenza A (H3N2) human challengetransmission model within a controlled exposure environment. *PLoS Pathog.* 2020, *16*, e1008704. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 267. Park, J.K.; Xiao, Y.; Ramuta, M.D.; Rosas, L.A.; Fong, S.; Matthews, A.M.; Freeman, A.D.; Gouzoulis, M.A.; Batchenkova, N.A.; Yang, X.; et al. Pre-existing immunity to influenza virus hemagglutinin stalk might drive selection for antibody-escape mutant viruses in a human challenge model. *Nat. Med.* 2020, 26, 1240–1246. [CrossRef]
- Pharo, E.A.; Williams, S.M.; Boyd, V.; Sundaramoorthy, V.; Durr, P.A.; Baker, M.L. Host-Pathogen Responses to Pandemic Influenza H1N1pdm09 in a Human Respiratory Airway Model. *Viruses* 2020, 12, 679. [CrossRef]

- Sherman, A.C.; Mehta, A.; Dickert, N.W.; Anderson, E.J.; Rouphael, N. The Future of Flu: A Review of the Human Challenge Model and Systems Biology for Advancement of Influenza Vaccinology. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* 2019, *9*, 107. [CrossRef]
  Teunis, P.F.; Brienen, N.; Kretzschmar, M.E. High infectivity and pathogenicity of influenza A virus via aerosol and droplet
- transmission. *Epidemics* **2010**, *2*, 215–222. [CrossRef]