

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

# Glycemic load, glycemic index, bread and incidence of overweight/obesity in a Mediterranean cohort: the SUN project

Carmen de la Fuente-Arriaga<sup>1,2</sup>, Miguel Angel Martinez-Gonzalez<sup>1,2</sup>, Itziar Zazpe<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Zenaida Vazquez-Ruiz<sup>1,2</sup>, Silvia Benito-Corchon<sup>1</sup> and Maira Bes-Rastrollo<sup>1,2\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** To evaluate prospectively the relationship between white, or whole grain bread, and glycemic index, or glycemic load from diet and weight change in a Mediterranean cohort.

**Methods:** We followed-up 9 267 Spanish university graduates for a mean period of 5 years. Dietary habits at baseline were assessed using a semi-quantitative 136-item food-frequency questionnaire. Average yearly weight change was evaluated according to quintiles of baseline glycemic index, glycemic load, and categories of bread consumption. We also assessed the association between bread consumption, glycemic index, or glycemic load, and the incidence of overweight/obesity.

**Results:** White bread and whole-grain bread were not associated with higher weight gain. No association between glycemic index, glycemic load and weight change was found.

White bread consumption was directly associated with a higher risk of becoming overweight/obese (adjusted OR  $\geq 2$  portions/day) versus ( $\leq 1$  portion/week): 1.40; 95% CI: 1.08-1.81; p for trend: 0.008). However, no statistically significant association was observed between whole-grain bread, glycemic index or glycemic load and overweight/obesity.

**Conclusions:** Consumption of white bread ( $\geq 2$  portions/day) showed a significant direct association with the risk of becoming overweight/obese.

**Keywords:** Glycemic index, Glycemic load, Bread, Food-frequency questionnaire, SUN (Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra) project

## Background

Worldwide, in the last two decades, the prevalence of obesity and obesity-related chronic diseases has increased [1]. Therefore, the identification of simple, cost-effective strategies for the prevention and management of obesity is urgently needed [2].

Habitual diet together with sedentary lifestyles are the major modifiable factors determining body weight gain [3]. Thus, it is hypothesized that habitual consumption of carbohydrate-rich foods may promote the risk of

developing obesity [4]. However the role of carbohydrates in the prevention and management of obesity is not completely clear and the results are inconsistent [2].

Carbohydrates are the main component of the diet and are typically categorized into simple sugars and complex carbohydrates on the basis of their chemical structure. However, their effects on health may be better categorized according to insulin secretion and postprandial glycemia [5].

On one hand, the concept of Glycemic Index (GI), developed in the early 1980s by Jenkins et al. [6], is a quantitative measure of carbohydrate quality based on the blood glucose response after consumption. On the other hand, the concept of Glycemic Load (GL), defined later, has been proposed as a global indicator of the

\* Correspondence: mbes@unav.es

<sup>1</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Navarra, Irunlarrea 1, 31080 Pamplona, Navarra, Spain

<sup>2</sup>CIBER Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBEROBN), Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), Madrid, Spain

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

glucose response and insulin demand induced by a serving of food [7]. GL is calculated as the mathematical product of the GI of a food multiplied by its carbohydrate content.

Few cross-sectional studies and only four longitudinal studies have assessed the relationship between GI or GL and body weight or weight changes [3,8-10].

Their results are not fully consistent [10]. Furthermore, to our knowledge, only two prospective studies have been conducted in a Mediterranean population assessing the effect of bread consumption as a risk factor for obesity: the EPIC cohort [11] and a subsample of the PREDIMED trial [12]. Consequently, the purpose of our prospective analysis was to examine the association between dietary GI, GL or bread consumption and the average weight gain during follow-up (or the risk of becoming overweight/obese) in a large prospective Mediterranean cohort of university graduates.

## Methods

### Study population

The objectives, design, and methods of the SUN ("Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra": University of Navarra follow-up) project have been described elsewhere [13]. The SUN project is a multipurpose, dynamic cohort designed to assess the association between diet and several chronic diseases and health conditions. The recruitment of participants started in December 1999, and additional questionnaires are mailed every 2 years.

Participants who completed a baseline assessment (Q\_0) before February 2006, and therefore were able to provide at least their 2-year follow-up information were eligible for these longitudinal analyses (n = 15 982).

Among them, 1 885 had not answered any of the follow-up questionnaires, and after five more mailings separated by 3 months each, they were considered lost to follow-up. Therefore, we retained 14 097 (88%) of the candidate participants. Among them, participants who had some of the following characteristics were excluded from the analyses: pregnant women at baseline or during follow-up (n = 1 272), those with missing data in variables of interest (n = 14), or with extreme values for total energy intake (<800 or >4 000 kcal/day for men and <500 or >3 500 kcal/day for women) (n = 1 380) [14]. We also excluded those who were following a special diet at baseline (n = 922), and those participants with chronic disease (cardiovascular disease, diabetes or cancer) at baseline or during follow-up (n = 1 242). Finally, data from 9 267 participants remained available for the analyses.

The Institutional Review Board at the University of Navarra approved the study protocol. We considered a response to the initial questionnaire as informed consent to participate in the study.

### Assessment of dietary exposure

Dietary habits at baseline were assessed using a Food-Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) with 136 items, previously validated in Spain [15,16]. This questionnaire assessed food habits in the previous year. There were 9 possible answers (ranging from never/almost never to 6+ times per day). The questionnaire was semi-quantitative, i.e., for each food, a standard portion size was specified. Nutrient intake was calculated by multiplying the frequency of consumption by the nutrient content of the specified portion, using data from Spanish food composition tables [17].

For the purpose of this study, the GI for food and beverage items was estimated by using average values from the 2002 International tables of GI and GL values and expanded in 2008 [18] with glucose as the reference food.

Dietary GL was calculated taking into account the quality and the amount of carbohydrate [GL = (GI x amount of available carbohydrate)/100] [19]. Finally, both dietary GI and dietary GL were categorized into quintiles.

Bread consumption was assessed through two specific questions of the FFQ based on the daily consumption of white bread or whole-grain bread in the previous year. One portion is specified in the FFQ as 60 g or 3 slices. Participants were categorized in 4 groups:  $\leq 1$ /week, 2-6/week, 1/day and  $\geq 2$ /day.

Adherence to the traditional Mediterranean diet was assessed by a 10-point Mediterranean-diet scale that incorporated the salient characteristics of this diet [20].

### Assessment of other variables

The baseline questionnaire also collected information on a wide array of characteristics, including sociodemographic variables, health-related habits, and clinical variables.

We assessed physical activity at baseline using a previously validated questionnaire which included information about 17 activities [21]. The time spent in different activities was multiplied by the MET (Metabolic Equivalent Score) specific to each activity [22], and then the MET score were summed over all activities to obtain a value of overall weekly MET hours.

### Assessment of the outcome

Information on weight was collected at baseline and at each follow-up questionnaire. 1 426 participants were followed-up for 8 years, 3 008 for 6 years, 2 567 for 4 years, and 2 266 for 2 years (mean period of follow-up 5 years). The reproducibility and validity of self-reported weight were assessed in a subsample of the cohort [23].

The outcomes were: 1) average yearly change in body weight (g/year) during follow-up as a continuous variable [(weight in the last answered questionnaire - weight in the baseline questionnaire) / years of follow-up] and 2) incident of overweight or obesity (BMI <25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> at baseline and with a BMI  $\geq 25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> in any point during follow-up).

### Statistical analysis

Multivariable linear regression models were used to assess the association between baseline dietary GI or dietary GL and average weight change per year. Non-conditional logistic regression models were fit to assess the relationship between baseline dietary GI or dietary GL (both categorized in quintiles), categories of bread consumption (4 categories), and the risk of incident overweight/obesity (BMI  $\geq 25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) during the follow-up period for participants with BMI  $< 25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> at baseline.

Tests of linear trend across increasing categories or quintiles of dietary exposures were calculated for the models assessing weight change or the risk of overweight/obesity. To analyse these trends the median value of GI, GL, or bread consumption was imputed for each category or quintile and we considered the new variable as a continuous one.

For each exposure, we fitted 5 types of models: a) an age- and sex- adjusted model; b) a multivariate- adjusted model controlling for age, sex, baseline BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>, continuous), smoking status (never smoker, ex-smoker and current smoker), physical activity during leisure time (MET-hours/week, continuous), total time of sedentary activities (h/week, continuous) and time spent in TV watching (h/week, continuous); c) a multivariate- adjusted model, adjusted for fiber intake and total energy intake in addition to all the variables mentioned above; d) we additionally adjusted also for protein intake; e) finally, we adjusted for all the variables mentioned above but replacing protein intake for olive oil intake.

In all analyses, the lowest quintile of dietary GI or GL or the lowest category of bread consumption ( $\leq 1$  portion/week) were considered as the reference category.

To evaluate the main source of variability in GI and GL we used the cumulative R<sup>2</sup> values in stepwise regression analysis [24].

All *P* values are two-tailed; *P*  $< 0.05$  was considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed using SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA).

### Results and discussion

The mean age at baseline was 38 years (54% women) and participants were followed for a mean period of 5 years.

The baseline characteristics of the participants across quintiles of dietary GI are presented in Table 1. The mean dietary GI was 52 (SD: 4). Women were more likely than men to be in the lowest quintile. Higher intakes of total energy, whole grain bread, soft drinks and olive oil were associated with a higher dietary GI. Participants with a higher intake of protein, total fat, saturated fat and mono-unsaturated fat reported lower dietary GI.

Table 1 shows also the characteristics of study participants across quintiles of GL. The mean dietary GL was 138 (SD: 29). A high dietary GL was observed among

men, among participants who were more active during leisure time and among never smokers. Energy from carbohydrates and dietary fiber intakes increased in parallel with GL. In addition, participants in the higher quintile of GL had also higher consumption of vegetables, fruits, legumes, whole grain bread, dairy products, pastries and olive oil.

In relation to the Mediterranean dietary pattern, significant differences were observed across quintiles of GI and of GL.

The main characteristics of the participants according to categories of white bread and whole-grain bread are presented in Table 2. Higher white bread consumption was observed among men, older people, among participants with a higher BMI, higher energy intake, higher percentage of carbohydrates and lower of protein and fat, higher fiber, alcohol, dairy products, meat and meat products, processed pastries, and olive oil intake. No differences were observed for physical activity, sedentary habits or smoking status.

Participants in the highest whole-grain bread consumption category, were more like to be older, women, more physically active, and had a lower baseline weight. Moreover, they had a higher total energy intake and the highest intake of fiber and fruits and vegetables consumption.

Referring to the Mediterranean dietary pattern, significant differences (*P*  $< 0.001$ ) were observed across categories of white bread and of whole-grain bread consumption.

The inter-individual variation, in both dietary GI and GL was explained in first place by white bread. White bread explained 42% of the variability in GI and 35% in GL. 51% of the variability in GL was explained by white bread, fried potatoes, and whole grain bread.

The results of the multivariable linear regression models fitted to evaluate the association between baseline dietary GI or GL and yearly weight gain during follow-up, showed that although some point estimates suggested an inverse association between GI and weight gain, none of the adjusted-models found a significant association (*P* for trend = 0.12). In contrast, after adjustment for potential confounding variables (age, sex, physical activity, total time of sedentary activities, smoking status, baseline BMI, time spent in TV watching, fiber intake, energy intake, and olive oil consumption), GL was inversely associated with average yearly weight change. Thus, we found a slightly lower average body weight gain (g per year) among participants in the fifth quintile ( $\beta = -148$ ; 95% CI:  $-252$  to  $-44$ ) compared with those in the lowest quintile after adjusting for potential confounders (*P* for trend = 0.002). However, when we repeated the analyses adjusting also for protein percentage, the results did not remain statistically significant (data not shown).

To examine the association between GI or GL and the risk of becoming overweight/obese, we included 6 496

**Table 1 Main characteristics (mean and standard deviation (s.d.)) of the 9 267 participants of the SUN project according to quintiles of glycemic index and glycemic load**

| <b>Glycemic index</b>                              | <b>Quintile 1</b> | <b>Quintile 2</b> | <b>Quintile 3</b> | <b>Quintile 4</b> | <b>Quintile 5</b> | <b>P<sup>a</sup></b> |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Participants (n)                                   | 1 859             | 1 851             | 1 852             | 1 853             | 1 852             |                      |
| Glycemic index                                     | 45 (2)            | 50 (0.7)          | 52 (0.6)          | 54 (0.7)          | 58 (2)            | <0.001               |
| Age (years)                                        | 39.1 (11.5)       | 37.3 (11.1)       | 36.9 (11.3)       | 37.3 (11.3)       | 38.0 (11.2)       | <0.001               |
| Baseline BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                  | 23.7 (3.3)        | 23.5 (3.3)        | 23.4 (3.2)        | 23.4 (3.2)        | 23.6 (3.3)        | 0.032                |
| Baseline weight (kg)                               | 67.4 (13.5)       | 67.6 (13.4)       | 67.3 (13.1)       | 67.6 (13.1)       | 69.0 (13.2)       | <0.001               |
| Physical activity during leisure time (MET-h/week) | 25.0 (24.1)       | 24.8 (22.3)       | 24.4 (22.0)       | 25.3 (21.6)       | 22.8 (20.4)       | <0.001               |
| Weight change (kg/year)                            | 0.2 (1.0)         | 0.2 (1.0)         | 0.2 (1.0)         | 0.1 (0.9)         | 0.2 (1.0)         | 0.17                 |
| TV (h/day)                                         | 1.6 (1.2)         | 1.6 (1.2)         | 1.6 (1.2)         | 1.6 (1.1)         | 1.6 (1.2)         | 0.54                 |
| Sitting (h/day)                                    | 2.9 (2.3)         | 3.0 (2.4)         | 2.9 (2.3)         | 2.9 (2.4)         | 3.1 (2.4)         | 0.24                 |
| Sex (%)                                            |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   | <0.001               |
| Men                                                | 39.4              | 43.3              | 44.1              | 47.5              | 55.0              |                      |
| Smoking status (%)                                 |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.003                |
| Current smoker                                     | 26.8              | 25.7              | 25.5              | 25.6              | 25.4              |                      |
| Ex-smoker                                          | 30.5              | 28.4              | 25.6              | 27.9              | 27.1              |                      |
| Energy (kcal/day)                                  | 2 130 (608)       | 2 335 (594)       | 2 413 (595)       | 2 512 (601)       | 2 576 (594)       | <0.001               |
| Carbohydrates (% E)                                | 39 (7)            | 42 (6)            | 43 (6)            | 44 (6)            | 47 (6)            | <0.001               |
| Protein (% E)                                      | 20 (3)            | 18 (2)            | 17 (2)            | 16 (2)            | 16 (2)            | <0.001               |
| Fat (% E)                                          | 38 (7)            | 37 (6)            | 37 (5)            | 36 (5)            | 33 (6)            | <0.001               |
| SFA (% E)                                          | 13.4 (3.9)        | 12.9 (3.1)        | 12.9 (2.9)        | 12.4 (2.8)        | 11.5 (2.7)        | <0.001               |
| MUFA (% E)                                         | 16.3 (4.1)        | 15.8 (3.5)        | 15.8 (3.4)        | 15.6 (3.4)        | 14.7 (3.5)        | <0.001               |
| PUFA (% E)                                         | 5.0 (1.5)         | 5.3 (1.5)         | 5.4 (1.6)         | 5.4 (1.6)         | 5.2 (1.6)         | <0.001               |
| Fiber (g/day)                                      | 27.8 (14.0)       | 27.2 (11.6)       | 26.5 (11.3)       | 26.3 (10.6)       | 25.2 (10.7)       | <0.001               |
| Pure alcohol (g/day)                               | 8.6 (13.6)        | 6.8 (10.4)        | 6.5 (9.4)         | 6.6 (9.0)         | 6.6 (10.0)        | 0.84                 |
| Vegetables (g/day)                                 | 637 (425)         | 533 (298)         | 475 (269)         | 442 (245)         | 383 (219)         | <0.001               |
| Fruit (g/day)                                      | 373 (314)         | 364 (313)         | 339 (293)         | 312 (256)         | 251 (208)         | <0.001               |
| Legumes (g/day)                                    | 23 (19)           | 24 (17)           | 23 (18)           | 22 (16)           | 20 (12)           | <0.001               |
| White bread (g/day)                                | 18 (23)           | 35 (28)           | 49 (36)           | 78 (54)           | 143 (90)          | <0.001               |
| Whole grain bread (g/day)                          | 6 (16)            | 9 (21)            | 11 (26)           | 11 (28)           | 16 (46)           | <0.001               |
| Dairy products (g/day)                             | 212 (235)         | 227 (211)         | 230 (203)         | 222 (201)         | 208 (181)         | 0.001                |
| Meat and meat products (g/day)                     | 174 (84)          | 179 (76)          | 179 (72)          | 177 (73)          | 173 (72)          | 0.028                |
| Fish and seafood (g/day)                           | 106 (67)          | 102 (65)          | 93 (54)           | 91 (52)           | 84 (48)           | <0.001               |
| Processed pastries (g/day)                         | 11 (16)           | 15 (22)           | 16 (22)           | 16 (21)           | 15 (22)           | <0.001               |
| Soft-drinks (g/day)                                | 55 (116)          | 63 (130)          | 61 (99)           | 65 (121)          | 66 (138)          | 0.044                |
| Fast-food (g/day)                                  | 19 (21)           | 22 (21)           | 22 (19)           | 21 (19)           | 19 (18)           | <0.001               |
| Olive oil (g/day)                                  | 19 (17)           | 19 (16)           | 19 (16)           | 21 (17)           | 22 (19)           | <0.001               |
| Mediterranean dietary pattern <sup>b</sup>         | 4.2 (1.7)         | 4.1 (1.8)         | 4.1 (1.8)         | 4.3 (1.8)         | 4.2 (1.7)         | 0.017                |
| <b>Glycemic load</b>                               | <b>Quintile 1</b> | <b>Quintile 2</b> | <b>Quintile 3</b> | <b>Quintile 4</b> | <b>Quintile 5</b> |                      |
| Participants (n)                                   | 1 851             | 1 858             | 1 853             | 1 850             | 1 855             |                      |
| Glycemic load                                      | 73 (17)           | 109 (7)           | 134 (7)           | 161 (8)           | 213 (31)          | <0.001               |
| Age (years)                                        | 39.4 (11.5)       | 37.4 (11.2)       | 37.2 (11.1)       | 36.8 (11.3)       | 37.8 (11.5)       | <0.001               |
| Baseline BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                  | 24.0 (3.5)        | 23.5 (3.2)        | 23.4 (3.2)        | 23.2 (3.2)        | 23.5 (3.2)        | <0.001               |
| Baseline weight (kg)                               | 68.3 (13.8)       | 67.5 (13.3)       | 67.0 (12.8)       | 67.0 (13.4)       | 69.1 (13.0)       | <0.001               |
| Physical activity during leisure time (MET-h/week) | 21.3 (18.8)       | 23.4 (19.9)       | 24.5 (22.5)       | 25.8 (23.0)       | 27.3 (25.4)       | <0.001               |

**Table 1 Main characteristics (mean and standard deviation (s.d.)) of the 9 267 participants of the SUN project according to quintiles of glycemic index and glycemic load (Continued)**

| Glycemic load                              | Quintile 1  | Quintile 2  | Quintile 3  | Quintile 4  | Quintile 5  |        |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| Weight change (kg/year)                    | 0.2 (1.0)   | 0.2 (0.9)   | 0.2 (0.9)   | 0.2 (1.0)   | 0.1 (0.9)   | 0.31   |
| TV (h/day)                                 | 1.6 (1.1)   | 1.6 (1.2)   | 1.6 (1.2)   | 1.6 (1.2)   | 1.6 (1.2)   | 0.13   |
| Sitting (h/day)                            | 2.9 (2.3)   | 3.0 (2.3)   | 2.9 (2.4)   | 3.0 (2.4)   | 3.1 (2.4)   | 0.05   |
| Sex (%)                                    |             |             |             |             |             | <0.001 |
| Men                                        | 42.9        | 42.4        | 42.8        | 45.6        | 55.6        |        |
| Smoking status (%)                         |             |             |             |             |             | <0.001 |
| Current smoker                             | 27.9        | 26.7        | 25.6        | 25.8        | 23.2        |        |
| Ex-smoker                                  | 31.2        | 29.0        | 28.3        | 26.2        | 24.7        |        |
| Energy (kcal/day)                          | 1 664 (390) | 2 112 (349) | 2 402 (378) | 2 686 (373) | 3 102 (395) | <0.001 |
| Carbohydrates (% E)                        | 37 (7)      | 41 (5)      | 43 (5)      | 45 (5)      | 49 (5)      | <0.001 |
| Protein (% E)                              | 20 (3)      | 18 (2)      | 17 (2)      | 17 (2)      | 15 (2)      | <0.001 |
| Fat (% E)                                  | 39 (7)      | 37 (6)      | 36 (5)      | 35 (5)      | 32 (5)      | <0.001 |
| SFA (% E)                                  | 13.9 (3.7)  | 13.0 (3.1)  | 12.7 (2.9)  | 12.2 (2.7)  | 11.1 (2.6)  | <0.001 |
| MUFA (% E)                                 | 17.2 (4.4)  | 16.1 (3.5)  | 15.6 (3.3)  | 15.2 (3.1)  | 13.9 (2.9)  | <0.001 |
| PUFA (% E)                                 | 5.3 (1.6)   | 5.3 (1.6)   | 5.3 (1.6)   | 5.3 (1.6)   | 5.0 (1.5)   | <0.001 |
| Fiber (g/day)                              | 18 (8)      | 23 (9)      | 25 (8)      | 29 (10)     | 34 (13)     | <0.001 |
| Pure alcohol (g/day)                       | 7.2 (11.4)  | 7.1 (10.2)  | 6.9 (10.2)  | 6.8 (10.3)  | 7.1 (11.1)  | 0.84   |
| Vegetables (g/day)                         | 428 (284)   | 489 (315)   | 491 (285)   | 523 (321)   | 538 (340)   | <0.001 |
| Fruit (g/day)                              | 212 (163)   | 288 (211)   | 324 (234)   | 362 (268)   | 451 (416)   | <0.001 |
| Legumes (g/day)                            | 17 (13)     | 21 (14)     | 23 (15)     | 24 (18)     | 26 (21)     | <0.001 |
| White bread (g/day)                        | 21 (24)     | 39 (34)     | 57 (46)     | 78 (57)     | 128 (97)    | <0.001 |
| Whole grain bread (g/day)                  | 5 (14)      | 8 (20)      | 10 (25)     | 13 (34)     | 17 (43)     | <0.001 |
| Meat and meat products (g/day)             | 154 (75)    | 171 (75)    | 184 (75)    | 188 (73)    | 185 (74)    | <0.001 |
| Fish and seafood (g/day)                   | 88 (65)     | 95 (55)     | 97 (54)     | 96 (53)     | 101 (62)    | <0.001 |
| Processed pastries (g/day)                 | 8 (12)      | 12 (16)     | 15 (20)     | 17 (22)     | 20 (28)     | <0.001 |
| Soft-drinks (g/day)                        | 51 (112)    | 53 (87)     | 64 (120)    | 62 (127)    | 79 (150)    | <0.001 |
| Fast-food (g/day)                          | 15 (16)     | 20 (17)     | 22 (20)     | 24 (21)     | 23 (21)     | <0.001 |
| Olive oil (g/day)                          | 16 (16)     | 19 (17)     | 20 (16)     | 22 (18)     | 22 (18)     | <0.001 |
| Mediterranean dietary pattern <sup>b</sup> | 3.5 (1.6)   | 3.9 (1.7)   | 4.2 (1.8)   | 4.5 (1.7)   | 4.8 (1.7)   | <0.001 |

<sup>a</sup>P value for comparison between-groups calculated by one-factor ANOVA for continuous variables or the  $\chi^2$  test for categorical variables.

<sup>b</sup>Trichopoulos score (range of scores, 0 to 9, with higher scores indicating greater adherence).

subjects without prevalent overweight or obesity at baseline. After follow-up, we observed 943 new cases of overweight/obesity.

No trends were observed across quintiles of dietary GI for the risk of overweight/obesity (Table 3).

Participants in the fifth quintile of dietary GL had an apparent reduced risk of becoming overweight/obese (OR = 0.81; 95% CI: 0.64 to 1.03) after adjusting for age and sex (*P* for trend = 0.004). However, when we repeated the analyses adjusting for other potential confounding variables, the association remained only marginally significant (*P* for trend = 0.064) (Table 3).

We evaluated the association among baseline consumption of white bread, or whole-grain bread, and the average

early weight gain during follow-up. After adjustment for potential confounding variables, categories of consumption of white bread or whole-grain bread were not associated with average yearly weight gain (data not shown).

Participants in the highest category of white bread consumption ( $\geq 2$  portions/day,  $\geq 6$  slices/day) showed a significantly increased risk of becoming overweight/obese when we adjusted for all potential confounding variables compared to those participants with the lowest consumption ( $\leq 1$  portion/week,  $\leq 3$  slices/week) (OR: 1.40; 95% CI: 1.08 to 1.81; *P* for trend = 0.008) (Table 4).

When we adjusted for other potential confounding variables such as soft drinks and fast-food intake similar results were observed OR: 1.43; 95% CI: 1.11 to 1.86; *P* for

**Table 2 Main characteristics (mean and standard deviation (s.d.)) of the 9 267 participants of the SUN project according to categories of white bread and whole-grain bread consumption<sup>a</sup>**

| <b>White bread</b>                                 | <b>≤ 1/week</b> | <b>2-6/week</b> | <b>1/day</b> | <b>≥ 2/day</b> | <b>P<sup>b</sup></b> |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Participants (n)                                   | 2 474           | 2 010           | 2 680        | 2 103          |                      |
| White bread (g/day)                                | 3 (4)           | 36 (11)         | 60 (0)       | 171 (62)       | <0.001               |
| Age (years)                                        | 37.7 (11.7)     | 37.2 (11.3)     | 37.0 (10.9)  | 39.2 (11.6)    | <0.001               |
| Baseline BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                  | 23.5 (3.4)      | 23.6 (3.3)      | 23.3 (3.2)   | 23.9 (3.4)     | <0.001               |
| Baseline weight (kg)                               | 66.8 (13.4)     | 68.1 (13.5)     | 66.8 (12.8)  | 70.2 (13.4)    | <0.001               |
| Physical activity during leisure time (MET-h/week) | 25.1 (23.1)     | 24.3 22.8       | 24.3 21.8    | 24.3 20.9      | 0.45                 |
| Weight change (kg/year)                            | 0.2 (1)         | 0.3 (1)         | 0.2 (0.9)    | 0.3 (1)        | 0.14                 |
| TV (h/day)                                         | 1.7 (1.3)       | 1.6 (1.2)       | 1.6 (1.3)    | 1.6 (1.2)      | 0.78                 |
| Sitting (h/day)                                    | 2.9 (2.4)       | 3.1 (2.4)       | 3.0 (2.5)    | 3.1 (2.5)      | 0.09                 |
| Sex (%)                                            |                 |                 |              |                | <0.001               |
| Men                                                | 38.4            | 46.8            | 41.6         | 59.2           |                      |
| Smoking status (%)                                 |                 |                 |              |                | 0.32                 |
| Current smoker                                     | 26.8            | 26.8            | 24.6         | 25.2           |                      |
| Ex-smoker                                          | 27.4            | 26.7            | 28.1         | 29.1           |                      |
| Energy (kcal/day)                                  | 2 133 (629)     | 2 261 (570)     | 2 441 (552)  | 2 767 (532)    | <0.001               |
| Carbohydrates (% E)                                | 41 (8)          | 43 (6)          | 44 (6)       | 47 (6)         | <0.001               |
| Protein (% E)                                      | 19 (4)          | 18 (3)          | 18 (3)       | 17 (2)         | <0.001               |
| Fat (% E)                                          | 38 (7)          | 38 (6)          | 37 (6)       | 34 (6)         | <0.001               |
| SFA (% E)                                          | 13.2 (3.8)      | 13.0 (2.9)      | 12.7 (2.8)   | 11.6 (2.5)     | <0.001               |
| MUFA (% E)                                         | 16.2 (4.3)      | 15.6 (3.2)      | 15.8 (3.4)   | 14.7 (3.4)     | <0.001               |
| PUFA (% E)                                         | 5.3 (1.7)       | 5.4 (1.5)       | 5.3 (1.5)    | 5.0 (1.5)      | <0.001               |
| Fiber (g/day)                                      | 27 14)          | 25 (11)         | 27 (11)      | 28 (10)        | <0.001               |
| Pure alcohol (g/day)                               | 6.5 (11.1)      | 6.9 (9.9)       | 6.7 (9.8)    | 8.3 (11.6)     | <0.001               |
| Vegetables (g/day)                                 | 525 (364)       | 468 (283)       | 504 (297)    | 473 (289)      | <0.001               |
| Fruit (g/day)                                      | 343 (313)       | 298 (233)       | 354 (311)    | 307 (249)      | <0.001               |
| Legumes (g/day)                                    | 24 (25)         | 23 (14)         | 22 (12)      | 23 (14)        | <0.001               |
| Whole grain bread (g/day)                          | 21 (41)         | 9 (23)          | 9 (26)       | 6 (22)         | <0.001               |
| Dairy products (g/day)                             | 196 (211)       | 208 (193)       | 237 (210)    | 240 (209)      | <0.001               |
| Meat and meat products (g/day)                     | 167 (84)        | 178 (75)        | 179 (72)     | 185 (71)       | <0.001               |
| Fish and seafood (g/day)                           | 98 (66)         | 97 (57)         | 96 (59)      | 92 (50)        | 0.001                |
| Processed pastries (g/day)                         | 12 (19)         | 15 (20)         | 16 (22)      | 17 (24)        | <0.001               |
| Soft-drinks (g/day)                                | 67 (150)        | 66 (118)        | 59 (95)      | 58 (119)       | 0.033                |
| Fast-food (g/day)                                  | 19 (20)         | 23 (21)         | 22 (20)      | 21 (19)        | <0.001               |
| Olive oil (g/day)                                  | 19 (18)         | 16 (14)         | 22 (17)      | 25 (20)        | <0.001               |
| Mediterranean dietary pattern <sup>b</sup>         | 4.0 (1.8)       | 3.9 (1.8)       | 4.3 (1.8)    | 4.7 (1.7)      | <0.001               |
| <b>Whole-grain bread</b>                           | <b>≤ 1/week</b> | <b>2-6/week</b> | <b>1/day</b> | <b>≥ 2/day</b> | <b>P<sup>b</sup></b> |
| Participants (n)                                   | 7672            | 771             | 603          | 221            |                      |
| Whole grain bread (g/day)                          | 1 (2)           | 32 (10)         | 60 (0)       | 162 (47)       | <0.001               |
| Age (years)                                        | 37.7 (11.4)     | 37.6 (11.1)     | 37.9 (11.6)  | 41.1 (11.6)    | <0.001               |
| Baseline BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                  | 23.6 (3.3)      | 23.5 (3.4)      | 23.2 (3.2)   | 23.3 (3.1)     | 0.006                |
| Baseline weight (kg)                               | 68.2 (13.4)     | 67.1 (13.7)     | 64.8 (11.9)  | 65.6 (12.3)    | <0.001               |
| Physical activity during leisure time (MET-h/week) | 23.9 (21.5)     | 27.4 (26.2)     | 25.8 (22.4)  | 30.3 (25.6)    | <0.001               |
| Weight change (kg/year)                            | 0.23 (0.9)      | 0.26 (1.1)      | 0.23 (1)     | 0.09 (0.82)    | 0.16                 |



**Table 2 Main characteristics (mean and standard deviation (s.d.)) of the 9 267 participants of the SUN project according to categories of white bread and whole-grain bread consumption<sup>a</sup> (Continued)**

| Whole-grain bread                          | ≤ 1/week   | 2-6/week   | 1/day      | ≥ 2/day    | P <sup>b</sup> |
|--------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| TV (h/day)                                 | 1.6 (1.2)  | 1.6 (1.1)  | 1.7 (1.4)  | 1.7 (1.4)  | 0.21           |
| Sitting (h/day)                            | 3.0 (2.4)  | 2.9 (2.3)  | 2.9 (2.6)  | 2.8 (2.2)  | 0.06           |
| Sex (%)                                    |            |            |            |            | <0.001         |
| Men                                        | 48.4       | 36.6       | 29.0       | 34.8       |                |
| Smoking status (%)                         |            |            |            |            | 0.14           |
| Current smoker                             | 26.4       | 23.3       | 23.2       | 19.5       |                |
| Ex-smoker                                  | 27.3       | 29.1       | 31.0       | 33.9       |                |
| Energy (kcal/day)                          | 2384 (625) | 2323 (580) | 2478 (572) | 2733 (513) | <0.001         |
| Carbohydrates (% E)                        | 44 (7)     | 44 (7)     | 45 (7)     | 49 (7)     | <0.001         |
| Protein (% E)                              | 18 (3)     | 18 (3)     | 18 (3)     | 17 (3)     | <0.001         |
| Fat (% E)                                  | 37 (6)     | 35 (6)     | 35 (7)     | 33 (6)     | <0.001         |
| SFA (% E)                                  | 12.9 (3.1) | 11.9 (3.0) | 11.3 (2.9) | 10.2 (2.5) | <0.001         |
| MUFA (% E)                                 | 15.7 (3.6) | 14.9 (3.4) | 15.1 (3.7) | 14.4 (3.8) | <0.001         |
| PUFA (% E)                                 | 5.3 (1.6)  | 4.9 (1.3)  | 4.9 (1.5)  | 4.6 (1.3)  | <0.001         |
| Fiber (g/day)                              | 25 (11)    | 30 (12)    | 35 (12)    | 44 (13)    | <0.001         |
| Pure alcohol (g/day)                       | 7.2 (10.9) | 6.4 (8.4)  | 5.9 (9.5)  | 6.2 (9.8)  | 0.008          |
| Vegetables (g/day)                         | 475 (306)  | 575 (323)  | 606 (329)  | 588 (315)  | <0.001         |
| Fruit (g/day)                              | 313 (276)  | 365 (280)  | 427 (317)  | 454 (362)  | <0.001         |
| Legumes (g/day)                            | 23 (18)    | 23 (15)    | 23 (15)    | 19 (9)     | 0.016          |
| White bread (g/day)                        | 70 (70)    | 36 (47)    | 43 (52)    | 33 (53)    | <0.001         |
| Dairy products (g/day)                     | 230 (212)  | 179 (185)  | 170 (166)  | 164 (169)  | <0.001         |
| Meat and meat products (g/day)             | 180 (76)   | 154 (76)   | 164 (81)   | 156 (71)   | <0.001         |
| Fish and seafood (g/day)                   | 94 (59)    | 105 (56)   | 105 (59)   | 109 (62)   | <0.001         |
| Processed pastries (g/day)                 | 16 (22)    | 12 (16)    | 10 (14)    | 11 (19)    | <0.001         |
| Soft-drinks (g/day)                        | 64 (123)   | 63 (126)   | 51 (101)   | 42 (118)   | 0.006          |
| Fast-food (g/day)                          | 22 (20)    | 21 (21)    | 18 (17)    | 15 (15)    | <0.001         |
| Olive oil (g/day)                          | 20 (18)    | 19 (15)    | 25 (19)    | 29 (20)    | <0.001         |
| Mediterranean dietary pattern <sup>c</sup> | 4.0 (1.7)  | 4.8 (1.7)  | 5.2 (1.7)  | 5.5 (1.6)  | <0.001         |

<sup>a</sup>One portion of white bread or whole-grain bread was specified as 60 g or 3 slices.

<sup>b</sup>P value for comparison between-groups calculated by one-factor ANOVA for continuous variables or the  $\chi^2$  test for categorical variables.

<sup>c</sup>Trichopoulou score (range of scores, 0 to 9, with higher scores indicating greater adherence).

trend = 0.015 (Table 4). Similarly, when we repeated the analyses including in the model percentage of energy from carbohydrates and from total fat the results were enhanced after adjusting for both macronutrients: adjusted OR: 1.73; 95% CI: 1.30 to 2.29, P for trend = 0.001.

We also adjusted for changes in physical activity after 2 years of follow-up and comparable results were obtained OR: 1.38; 95% CI: 1.06 to 1.79; P for trend = 0.029.

When we took into account duration of follow-up, we also obtained significant results: adjusted relative risk = 1.48; 95% CI: 1.13 to 1.92, P for trend = 0.008 (data not shown).

When we categorized participants according to quintiles of consumption of white bread, and we compared the highest quintile versus the lowest quintile, similar

results were observed (OR: 1.33; 95% CI: 1.01 to 1.74) (data not shown).

A higher consumption of whole-grain bread was inversely associated with the risk of overweight/obesity although the association was not statistically significant.

When we excluded 572 postmenopausal women (n = 8695) similar results were observed both for white bread and for whole grain bread (OR: 1.31; 95% CI: 1.01 to 1.70, P for trend = 0.085 and OR: 0.58; 95% CI: 0.30 to 1.13, P for trend = 0.24, respectively) (data not shown).

Results did not change when we excluded participants with hypertension at baseline, when we stratified the sample by sex or when we excluded participants who had gain more than 3 kg in the last 5 years before entering the cohort (data not shown).

**Table 3 Odds ratios and 95% CI of incident overweight or obesity at follow-up in 6 496 participants of the SUN project according to quintiles of glycemic index and glycemic load**

|                                                | Quintiles |                  |                  |                  |                  | p for trend |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
|                                                | Q1        | Q2               | Q3               | Glycemic Q4      | Index Q5         |             |
| Participants (n)                               | 1 270     | 1 304            | 1 324            | 1 316            | 1 282            |             |
| Incident cases overweight/obesity              | 178       | 189              | 188              | 177              | 211              |             |
| Age- and sex-adjusted OR (95% CI)              | 1 (Ref.)  | 0.98 (0.78-1.22) | 0.93 (0.74-1.17) | 0.82 (0.65-1.03) | 0.95 (0.76-1.19) | 0.342       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>1</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.02 (0.79-1.32) | 0.99 (0.76-1.29) | 0.83 (0.64-1.08) | 1.12 (0.87-1.45) | 0.807       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>2</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.00 (0.77-1.30) | 0.97 (0.74-1.26) | 0.80 (0.61-1.05) | 1.07 (0.82-1.40) | 0.907       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>3</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 0.99 (0.76-1.30) | 0.96 (0.73-1.26) | 0.79 (0.60-1.05) | 1.06 (0.80-1.40) | 0.871       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>4</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.00 (0.77-1.30) | 0.97 (0.74-1.26) | 0.80 (0.61-1.05) | 1.07 (0.80-1.40) | 0.785       |

  

|                                                | Quintiles |                  |                  |                  |                  | p for trend |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
|                                                | Q1        | Q2               | Q3               | Glycemic Q4      | Load Q5          |             |
| Participants (n)                               | 1 186     | 1 321            | 1 318            | 1 368            | 1 303            |             |
| Incident cases overweight/obesity              | 166       | 219              | 187              | 182              | 189              |             |
| Age- and sex-adjusted OR (95% CI)              | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.19 (0.95-1.49) | 0.98 (0.78-1.24) | 0.86 (0.68-1.08) | 0.81 (0.64-1.03) | 0.004       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>1</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.21 (0.93-1.57) | 1.04 (0.80-1.36) | 0.96 (0.74-1.25) | 1.02 (0.78-1.33) | 0.516       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>2</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.12 (0.85-1.47) | 0.91 (0.67-1.24) | 0.79 (0.56-1.12) | 0.77 (0.51-1.18) | 0.075       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>3</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.09 (0.83-1.45) | 0.88 (0.64-1.22) | 0.76 (0.53-1.10) | 0.73 (0.47-1.15) | 0.053       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>4</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.12 (0.85-1.48) | 0.92 (0.67-1.30) | 0.80 (0.56-1.14) | 0.78 (0.51-1.20) | 0.064       |

Q1-Q5: lowest to highest quintile.

OR Odd Ratio.

CI Confidence Interval.

<sup>1</sup>adjusted by age, sex, physical activity, time spent in TV watching, total time of sedentary activities, smoking status, baseline BMI.

<sup>2</sup>adjusted by age, sex, physical activity, time spent in TV watching, total time of sedentary activities, smoking status, baseline BMI, fiber intake, and total energy intake.

<sup>3</sup>adjusted by age, sex, physical activity, time spent in TV watching, total time of sedentary activities, smoking status, baseline BMI, fiber intake, total energy intake, and protein percentage.

<sup>4</sup>adjusted by age, sex, physical activity, time spent in TV watching, total time of sedentary activities, smoking status, baseline BMI, fiber intake, total energy intake, and olive oil consumption.

In this prospective cohort we have assessed the relationship between GI and GL and subsequent changes in body weight in a Mediterranean country and we have reported a significant association between white bread consumption and the incidence of overweight/obesity in a free-living population. In this considerably slim Mediterranean cohort of young adults completely composed of university graduates, a higher GI was not associated with a higher weight gain. On the contrary, GL was inversely associated with average yearly weight gain. In addition, the risk of overweight/obesity was neither associated with GL or GI.

To our knowledge, only two prospective studies have been conducted in a Mediterranean population, the EPIC cohort [11] and the PREDIMED trial [12]. Results from the EPIC study suggested that a low consumption of white bread may help to prevent abdominal fat accumulation among European men and women. The analysis in a subsample of participants of the PREDIMED trial, after 4 years of follow-up, reported that reducing white bread, but not whole-grain bread consumption, within a Mediterranean-style food pattern setting is associated with lower gains in weight and abdominal fat.

At the moment, although the potential benefits of a low GI and GL diets on weight gain have been hypothesized and that these diets can be useful for weight loss in obese subjects [25], epidemiological studies conducted in humans about this issue, most often with a cross-sectional design [26] have had inconsistent results to support a causal role of GL or GI on long-term body weight control among initially non-obese subjects [26,27]. However, our findings are consistent with several cross-sectional studies and with a few longitudinal studies that have suggested that the GI may be not associated with body weight or weight changes [28]. Similarly, in a Mediterranean cross-sectional study [26] including 8 195 Spanish adults GL was negatively associated with BMI, after adjusting for total energy intake. GI was not associated with BMI in any model. In another cross-sectional study carried out in Italy [27] among 7 724 participants, GI and GL were inversely related to BMI and waist to hip ratio. Finally, a Greek investigation [29] suggested that carbohydrates had no positive association with obesity, in line with the results reported during the 90s by Nelson and Stubbs, although there are plausible mechanisms linking the development of certain chronic diseases with high-GI diets [2]. On the other hand, similarly, results



**Table 4 Odds ratios and 95% CI of incident overweight or obesity at follow-up in 6 496 participants of the SUN project according to categories of white bread and whole-grain bread consumption<sup>a</sup>**

| White bread                                    | Frequency |                  |                  | Consumption      | Categories | p for trend |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|-------------|
|                                                | ≤ 1/week  | 2-6/week         | 1/day            | ≥ 2/day          |            |             |
| Participants (n)                               | 1 755     | 1 411            | 1 939            | 1 391            |            |             |
| Incident cases overweight/obesity              | 214       | 211              | 261              | 257              |            |             |
| Age- and sex-adjusted OR (95% CI)              | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.13 (0.91-1.39) | 1.06 (0.87-1.30) | 1.23 (1.00-1.51) |            | 0.066       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>1</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.14 (0.89-1.45) | 1.10 (0.88-1.38) | 1.39 (1.10-1.76) |            | 0.006       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>2</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.13 (0.89-1.44) | 1.10 (0.87-1.39) | 1.40 (1.08-1.80) |            | 0.011       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>3</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.13 (0.89-1.44) | 1.11 (0.88-1.40) | 1.40 (1.08-1.82) |            | 0.011       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>4</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.14 (0.90-1.46) | 1.11 (0.88-1.40) | 1.40 (1.08-1.81) |            | 0.008       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>5</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.14 (0.90-1.50) | 1.12 (0.89-1.41) | 1.43 (1.11-1.86) |            | 0.015       |
| Whole-grain bread                              | Frequency |                  |                  | Consumption      | Categories | p for trend |
|                                                | ≤ 1/week  | 2-6/week         | 1/day            | ≥ 2/day          |            |             |
| Participants (n)                               | 5 336     | 543              | 456              | 161              |            |             |
| Incident cases overweight/obesity              | 804       | 72               | 52               | 15               |            |             |
| Age- and sex-adjusted OR (95% CI)              | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.01 (0.78-1.33) | 0.87 (0.64-1.19) | 0.63 (0.36-1.10) |            | 0.089       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>1</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.06 (0.78-1.44) | 0.83 (0.58-1.18) | 0.64 (0.35-1.18) |            | 0.112       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>2</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.07 (0.79-1.46) | 0.84 (0.58-1.20) | 0.66 (0.35-1.24) |            | 0.161       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>3</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.07 (0.79-1.46) | 0.83 (0.58-1.20) | 0.66 (0.35-1.23) |            | 0.159       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>4</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.08 (0.79-1.47) | 0.84 (0.58-1.20) | 0.66 (0.35-1.23) |            | 0.200       |
| Multivariate adjusted OR <sup>5</sup> (95% CI) | 1 (Ref.)  | 1.08 (0.79-1.47) | 0.84 (0.58-1.20) | 0.66 (0.35-1.24) |            | 0.210       |

<sup>a</sup>One portion of white bread or whole-grain bread was specified as 60 g or 3 slices.

OR Odd Ratio.

CI Confidence Interval.

<sup>1</sup>adjusted by age, sex, physical activity, time spent in TV watching, total time of sedentary activities, smoking status, baseline BMI.

<sup>2</sup>adjusted by age, sex, physical activity, time spent in TV watching, total time of sedentary activities, smoking status, baseline BMI, fiber intake, and total energy intake.

<sup>3</sup>adjusted by age, sex, physical activity, time spent in TV watching, total time of sedentary activities, smoking status, baseline BMI, fiber intake, total energy intake, and protein percentage.

<sup>4</sup>adjusted by age, sex, physical activity, time spent in TV watching, total time of sedentary activities, smoking status, baseline BMI, fiber intake, total energy intake, and olive oil consumption.

<sup>5</sup>adjusted by age, sex, physical activity, time spent in TV watching, total time of sedentary activities, smoking status, baseline BMI, fiber intake, total energy intake, olive oil consumption, soft-drinks, and fast-food consumption.

of other previous cross-sectional studies on dietary GL and body weight change are consistent with our findings in adults. Thus, the study conducted by Du H, et al. found inverse associations between GL and weight change in the center of Florence [8]. The last cross-sectional study in British adults found independent positive associations of dietary GI and GL with general and central obesity [30]. Besides, in a recent study [31], a higher GL was associated with a healthy BMI.

There are two reasons that might explain our results. First, previous studies have suggested that in the context of a Mediterranean dietary pattern, such as the diet of our participants, rich in fruit, vegetable, cereals and legumes with high GL, the association between GI and GL and obesity may be null or inverse [25,26,29]. Thus, a high-GL diet may be a generally a more healthy diet, than a low-GL diet, because the possible effect of dietary GL alone on body weight change is less important than the overall dietary pattern or than individual nutrients

or foods with higher GI or GL in this diet [28]. At the same time, the Mediterranean-type dietary pattern has been suggested as a healthy dietary pattern to prevent weight gain over time [32]. In addition, GL in a context of a Mediterranean dietary pattern was associated with fiber intake becoming from vegetables, fruits, and legumes. Fiber, as well as a better conformity with the overall Mediterranean dietary pattern, has been suggested to be a protective factor against weight gain. Second, the effect of high GL or GI diets on weight loss may be more marked in individuals with abdominal obesity than in individuals with very low baseline BMI, because in the first case they will likely have insulin resistant and a in consequence a higher GI/GL diet will have effect on weight control, while in the second case, the effect may be negligible [33]. However, when we analysed adjusting for protein percentage, results did not remain statistically significant. More studies in normal-weight subjects are needed to examine the relationship between GI or GL

body weight and obesity development. In addition, in this same Mediterranean cohort of free-living participants, a high consumption of a single food item responsible for the main variability of the GI and GL, white bread, was significantly associated with overweight/obesity. Bread, especially whole-grain bread, was a fundamental food in the traditional Mediterranean diet and it was consumed in all meals. Although, in last decades bread consumption has decreased in Spain from 62 kg/person/year in 1987 to 52 in 2007 [34], the minimally processed whole grain products, typical of Mediterranean diet, are being replaced with refined grains. In the SUN cohort for example the consumption of white bread is significantly higher than the consumption of whole-grain bread (65 and 11 g/day respectively). Recent data of bread consumption in the general Spanish population showed that bread is the cereal with the highest consumption and the difference between white and whole-grain bread consumption was even higher: 77 and 6 g/day respectively [34]. This fact might have negative effects on several disease or conditions, including weight gain [33]. A potential mechanism to explain this association may be based on the extra calories ingested by participants with high consumption of white bread. It seems that to evaluate health effects of food rich in carbohydrates dietary GI or GL should never be used in isolation. Nature of carbohydrates, rather than the quantity, and the content of fiber and other micronutrients present in whole grain products, such as whole grain bread, are clearly important [33]. Several studies have suggested that, the change from white bread to whole-grain bread could reduce the risk of diabetes [35].

Strengths of this study included: its prospective design, the previous validation of the methods used to assess weight and physical activity, the large population-based size, the long follow-up period, and the control for an important number of potential confounders.

Also, there are some potential limitations in our study to take into account. First, we assessed associations between dietary GL or GI and obesity, through weight change, because other measures of adiposity were not available for the whole sample. Nevertheless, when we conducted additional analyses in a subsample of the participants included in the study with available information for waist circumference ( $n = 3,157$ ) to assess central adiposity the results were very similar, although they did not achieve statistical significance. Second, the computation of the GI of the habitual diet was calculated by using only values from the GI tables of Atkinson, et al. [18] and not from Spanish tables. Third, we assessed dietary GL and GI using data from FFQs. Fourth, dietary assessment was conducted only at baseline. However, in the case that some participants may have changed their dietary habits, this misclassification is most likely expected to be non-differential

and therefore would most probably underestimate the true relationship between bread consumption and overweight/obesity. Furthermore, we have conducted the analysis for white bread consumption and incidence of overweight/obesity restricting our follow-up only to the first two years, and the results were very similar: adjusted OR: 1.35; 95% CI: 1.09-1.67 for those who consumed  $\geq 2$  servings/day versus  $\leq 1$  serving/week. Therefore, even when the dietary assessment was closer to the incidence of overweight/obesity our results remained fairly robust and there is no need for an assumption on unchanged dietary habits in the long term.

Finally, it is not only the consumption of white bread but also the consumption of other foods with white bread that might increase the risk of overweight/obesity.

## Conclusions

Despite evidence that low-GI and/or low-GL diets are independently associated with a reduced risk of certain chronic diseases [2], our results suggest that dietary GI and dietary GL were not associated with increased weight gain or an increased risk of overweight/obesity development in a Mediterranean cohort of young adults with a low average BMI and with a high intake of fruits and vegetables. In contrast, a high consumption of white bread was a risk factor for overweight/obesity in the same population. However, further studies, in special intervention studies, are needed before including these measures in the dietary recommendations for healthy populations.

## Abbreviations

GI: Glycemic index; GL: Glycemic load; FFQ: Food-frequency questionnaire; SUN: Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra; MET: Metabolic equivalent score.

## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Authors' contributions

All authors have participated in the concept and design, interpretation of data, drafting or revising of the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript. MAMG, CFA, IZG, MBR were responsible for study concept and design. CFA and MBR were responsible for acquisition and analysis of the data. MBR, ZVR, SBC, and MAMG were responsible for critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. MAMG and MBR obtained funding.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the participants of the SUN study for their continued cooperation and participation and all members of the SUN Study Group. The SUN Study has received funding from the Spanish Government (Grants P110/02658, P110/02293, P113/00615, RD06/0045, G03/140 and 87/2010), the Navarra Regional Government (45/2011, 27/2011) and the University of Navarra.

The authors thank all members of the SUN Study Group: Alonso A, Barrio López MT, Basterra-Gortari FJ, Beunza JJ, Cervantes S, Carlos Chillerón S, Carmona L, de Irala Estévez J, de la Rosa PA, Delgado Rodríguez M, Donat Vargas CL, Fernández Montero A, Galbete Ciáurritz C, García López M, Gea Sánchez A, Goñi Ochandorena E, Guillén Grima F, Hernández A, Lahortiga F, Llorca J, López del Burgo C, Marí Sanchís A, Martí del Moral A, Martín Calvo N, Martínez JA, Núñez-Córdoba JM, Pimenta AM, Ruiz-Canela M, Ruiz Zambrana A, Sánchez Adán D, Sánchez-Villegas A, Sayón Orea C, Toledo Atucha E, Toledo Atucha J.

We are also grateful to the members of the Department of Nutrition of the Harvard School of Public Health (Willett WC, Hu FB, and Ascherio A) who helped us to design the SUN study.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Navarra, Irunlarrea 1, 31080 Pamplona, Navarra, Spain. <sup>2</sup>CIBER Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBERObn), Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), Madrid, Spain. <sup>3</sup>Department of Nutrition and Food Sciences, and Physiology, University of Navarra, Irunlarrea 1, 31080 Pamplona, Navarra, Spain.

Received: 31 January 2014 Accepted: 13 October 2014  
Published: 22 October 2014

#### References

1. WHO: *Obesity and Overweight. Report 311*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2013.
2. Barclay AW, Petocz P, McMillan-Price J, Flood VM, Prvan T, Mitchell P, Brand-Miller JC: **Glycemic index, glycemic load, and chronic disease risk—a meta-analysis of observational studies.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008, **87**:627–637.
3. Ma Y, Bertone ER, Stanek EJ 3rd, Reed GW, Hebert JR, Cohen NL, Merriam PA, Ockene IS: **Association between eating patterns and obesity in a free-living US adult population.** *Am J Epidemiol* 2003, **158**:85–92.
4. Livesey G: **Low-glycaemic diets and health: implications for obesity.** *Proc Nutr Soc* 2005, **64**:105–113.
5. Augustin LS, Franceschi S, Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, La Vecchia C: **Glycemic index in chronic disease: a review.** *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2002, **56**:1049–1071.
6. Jenkins DJ, Wolever TM, Taylor RH, Barker H, Fielden H, Baldwin JM, Bowling AC, Newman HC, Jenkins AL, Goff DV: **Glycemic index of foods: a physiological basis for carbohydrate exchange.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 1981, **34**:362–366.
7. Salmeron J, Ascherio A, Rimm EB, Colditz GA, Spiegelman D, Jenkins DJ, Stampfer MJ, Wing AL, Willett WC: **Dietary fiber, glycemic load, and risk of NIDDM in men.** *Diabetes Care* 1997, **20**:545–550.
8. Du H, van der A DL, van Bakel MM, Slimani N, Forouhi NG, Wareham NJ, Halkjaer J, Tjønneland A, Jakobsen MU, Overvad K, Schulze MB, Buijsse B, Boeing H, Palli D, Masala G, Sørensen TI, Saris WH, Feskens EJ: **Dietary glycaemic index, glycaemic load and subsequent changes of weight and waist circumference in European men and women.** *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2009, **33**:1280–1288.
9. Romaguera D, Ångquist L, Du H, Jakobsen MU, Forouhi NG, Halkjaer J, Feskens EJ, van der A DL, Masala G, Steffen A, Palli D, Wareham NJ, Overvad K, Tjønneland A, Boeing H, Riboli E, Sørensen TI: **Dietary determinants of changes in waist circumference adjusted for body mass index - a proxy measure of visceral adiposity.** *PLoS One* 2010, **5**:e11588.
10. Hare-Bruun H, Nielsen BM, Grau K, Oxlund AL, Heitmann BL: **Should glycemic index and glycemic load be considered in dietary recommendations?** *Nutr Rev* 2008, **66**:569–590.
11. Romaguera D, Ångquist L, Du H, Jakobsen MU, Forouhi NG, Halkjaer J, Feskens EJ, van der A DL, Masala G, Steffen A, Palli D, Wareham NJ, Overvad K, Tjønneland A, Boeing H, Riboli E, Sørensen TI: **Food composition of the diet in relation to changes in waist circumference adjusted for body mass index.** *PLoS One* 2011, **6**:e23384.
12. Bautista-Castaño I, Sánchez-Villegas A, Estruch R, Martínez-González MA, Corella D, Salas-Salvadó J, Covas MI, Schröder H, Alvarez-Pérez J, Quilez J, Lamuela-Raventós RM, Ros E, Arós F, Fiol M, Lapetra J, Muñoz MA, Gómez-Gracia E, Tur J, Pintó X, Ruiz-Gutiérrez V, Portillo-Baqueado MP, Serra-Majem L: **Changes in bread consumption and 4-year changes in adiposity in Spanish subjects at high cardiovascular risk.** *Br J Nutr* 2013, **110**:337–346.
13. Seguí-Gomez M, de la Fuente C, Vazquez Z, de Irala J, Martínez-González MA: **Cohort profile: the 'Seguimiento universidad de Navarra' (SUN) study.** *Int J Epidemiol* 2006, **35**:1417–1422.
14. Willett WC: **Issues in Analysis and Presentation of Dietary Data.** In *Nutritional Epidemiology*. 2nd edition. Edited by Willett WC. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998:322.
15. Martín-Moreno JM, Boyle P, Gorgojo L, Maisonneuve P, Fernández-Rodríguez JC, Salvini S, Willett WC: **Development and validation of a food frequency questionnaire in Spain.** *Int J Epidemiol* 1993, **22**:512–519.
16. De la Fuente-Arillaga C, Vázquez Ruiz Z, Bes-Rastrollo M, Sampson L, Martínez-González MA: **Reproducibility of an FFQ validated in Spain.** *Public Health Nutr* 2010, **28**:1–9.
17. Moreiras O, Carbajal A, Cabrera L, Cuadrado C: *Tablas de Composición de Alimentos (Food Composition Tables)*. 15th edition. Madrid: Pirámide; 2011. in Spanish.
18. Atkinson FS, Foster-Powell K, Brand-Miller JC: **International tables of glycemic index and glycemic load values: 2008.** *Diabetes Care* 2008, **31**:2281–2283.
19. Liu S, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB, Franz M, Sampson L, Hennekens CH, Manson JE: **A prospective study of dietary glycemic load, carbohydrate intake, and risk of coronary heart disease in US women.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 2000, **71**:1455–1461.
20. Trichopoulou A, Costacou T, Bamia C, Trichopoulos D: **Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and survival in a Greek population.** *N Engl J Med* 2003, **348**:2599–2608.
21. Martínez-González MA, Lopez-Fontana C, Varo JJ, Sánchez-Villegas A, Martínez JA: **Validation of the Spanish version of the physical activity questionnaire used in the nurses' health study and the health professionals' follow-up study.** *Public Health Nutr* 2005, **8**:920–927.
22. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Whitt MC, Irwin ML, Swartz AM, Strath SJ, O'Brien WL, Bassett DR Jr, Schmitz KH, Emplaincourt PO, Jacobs DR Jr, Leon AS: **Compendium of physical activities: an update of activity codes and MET intensities.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000, **32**(Suppl 9):498–504.
23. Bes-Rastrollo M, Perez JR, Sánchez-Villegas A, Alonso A, Martínez-González MA: **Validation of self-reported weight and body mass index in a cohort of university graduates in Spain.** *Rev Esp Obes* 2005, **3**:352–358.
24. Willett WC: **Food-Frequency Methods.** In *Nutritional Epidemiology*. 2nd edition. Edited by Willett WC. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998:77.
25. Thomas DE, Elliott EJ, Baur L: **Low glycaemic index or low glycaemic load diets for overweight and obesity.** *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007, **18**:CD005105.
26. Mendez MA, Covas MI, Marrugat J, Vila J, Schröder H: **Glycemic load, glycemic index, and body mass index in Spanish adults.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009, **89**:316–322.
27. Rossi M, Bosetti C, Talamini R, Lagiou P, Negri E, Franceschi S, La Vecchia C: **Glycemic index and glycemic load in relation to body mass index and waist to hip ratio.** *Eur J Nutr* 2010, **49**:459–464.
28. Hare-Bruun H, Flint A, Heitmann BL: **Glycemic index and glycemic load in relation to changes in body weight, body fat distribution, and body composition in adult Danes.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006, **84**:871–879.
29. Trichopoulou A, Gnardellis C, Benetou V, Lagiou P, Bamia C, Trichopoulos D: **Lipid, protein and carbohydrate intake in relation to body mass index.** *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2002, **56**:37–43.
30. Murakami K, McCaffrey TA, Livingstone MB: **Associations of dietary glycaemic index and glycaemic load with food and nutrient intake and general and central obesity in British adults.** *Br J Nutr* 2013, **110**:2047–2057.
31. Van Bakel MM, Kaaks R, Feskens EJ, Rohmann S, Welch AA, Pala V, Avloniti K, van der Schouw YT, van der A DL, Du H, Halkjaer J, Tormo MJ, Cust AE, Brighenti F, Beulens JW, Ferrari P, Biessy C, Lentjes M, Spencer EA, Panico S, Masala G, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Peeters PH, Trichopoulou A, Psaltopoulou T, Clavel-Chapelon F, Touvier M, Skeie G, Rinaldi S, Sonestedt E, et al: **Dietary glycaemic index and glycaemic load in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition.** *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2009, **63**(Suppl 4):188–205.
32. Beunza JJ, Toledo E, Hu FB, Bes-Rastrollo M, Serrano-Martínez M, Sánchez-Villegas A, Martínez JA, Martínez-González MA: **Adherence to the Mediterranean diet, long-term weight change, and incident overweight or obesity: the Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra (SUN) cohort.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010, **92**:1484–1493.
33. Hu FB, van Dam RM, Liu S: **Diet and risk of Type II diabetes: the role of types of fat and carbohydrate.** *Diabetologia* 2001, **44**:805–817.
34. Agencia Española de Seguridad Alimentaria: *Encuesta Nacional de Ingesta Dietética Española*. Madrid: Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad, Gobierno de España; 2011. in Spanish. [http://aesan.msssi.gob.es/AESAN/docs/docs/notas\\_prensa/Presentacion\\_ENIDE.pdf](http://aesan.msssi.gob.es/AESAN/docs/docs/notas_prensa/Presentacion_ENIDE.pdf).
35. Hodge AM, English DR, O'Dea K, Giles GG: **Glycemic index and dietary fiber and the risk of type 2 diabetes.** *Diabetes Care* 2004, **27**:2701–2706.

doi:10.1186/1471-2458-14-1091

Cite this article as: de la Fuente-Arillaga et al.: Glycemic load, glycemic index, bread and incidence of overweight/obesity in a Mediterranean cohort: the SUN project. *BMC Public Health* 2014 **14**:1091.