



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

a hexavalent vaccine in pregnant women, diaplacental transfer of antibodies, and protection of neonates from disease, disability, or death.

I was part of the EU-funded (7th Framework Programme) project DEVANI (Design of a Vaccine to Immunize Neonates Against GBS Infections through a Durable Maternal Immune Response) for which Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics was part of the project team.

Reinhard Berner  
reinhard.berner@uniklinikum-dresden.de

Department of Pediatrics, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden 01307, Germany

- 1 Absalon J, Segall N, Block SL, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of a novel hexavalent group B streptococcus conjugate vaccine in healthy non-pregnant adults: a phase 1/2, randomised, placebo-controlled, observer-blinded trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020; published online Sept 3. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30478-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30478-3).
- 2 Nanduri SA, Petit S, Smelser C, et al. Epidemiology of invasive early-onset and late-onset group B streptococcal disease in the United States, 2006 to 2015: multistate laboratory and population-based surveillance. *JAMA Pediatr* 2019; **173**: 224–33.
- 3 Francois Watkins LK, McGee L, Schrag SJ, et al. Epidemiology of invasive group B streptococcal infections among nonpregnant adults in the United States, 2008–2016. *JAMA Intern Med* 2019; **179**: 479–88.
- 4 Zürn K, Lander F, Hufnagel M, Monecke S, Berner R. Microarray analysis of group B streptococci causing invasive neonatal early- and late-onset infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2020; **39**: 449–53.
- 5 Baker CJ, Rench MA, Edwards MS, Carpenter RJ, Hays BM, Kasper DL. Immunization of pregnant women with a polysaccharide vaccine of group B streptococcus. *N Engl J Med* 1988; **319**: 1180–85.
- 6 Kasper DL, Paoletti LC, Wessels MR, et al. Immune response to type III group B streptococcal polysaccharide-tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine. *J Clin Invest* 1996; **98**: 2308–14.
- 7 Davies HG, Carreras-Abad C, Le Doare K, Heath PT. Group B streptococcus: trials and tribulations. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2019; **38** (suppl 1): S72–76.
- 8 Vekemans J, Crofts J, Baker CJ, et al. The role of immune correlates of protection on the pathway to licensure, policy decision and use of group B streptococcus vaccines for maternal immunization: considerations from World Health Organization consultations. *Vaccine* 2019; **37**: 3190–98.
- 9 Le Doare K, Kampmann B, Vekemans J, et al. Serocorrelates of protection against infant group B streptococcus disease. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2019; **19**: e162–71.
- 10 Fabbri M, Rigat F, Rinaudo CD, et al. The protective value of maternal group B streptococcus antibodies: quantitative and functional analysis of naturally acquired responses to capsular polysaccharides and pilus proteins in European maternal sera. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; **63**: 746–53.



## Is it time to reconsider measles, mumps, and rubella immunisation strategies?



Rotary Club of Naagar/Flickr

Published Online  
September 1, 2020  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30519-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30519-3)

See **Articles** page 286

Since the late 1990s, measles has continued to be a public health problem, and so WHO launched a global plan for measles and congenital rubella elimination in 1997. Despite the relevant efforts, the goals of elimination have not yet been achieved, and the deadline to reach them has been postponed many times. Moreover, even in areas where high immunisation coverage has been registered, epidemics of measles have occurred in the past 10 years worldwide.<sup>1–4</sup> What can be done to eliminate this disease?

Increased immunisation coverage in children and susceptible individuals continues to be the most important way to reach the elimination objectives. However, it is now evident that vaccination uptake should be encouraged in any suitable way. For example, some countries have adopted effective mandatory vaccination, in order to increase coverage.<sup>5</sup>

In addition, it is also necessary to better understand potential problems of immunogenicity (primary vaccine failure) and the waning protection over time (secondary vaccine failure) of the measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) vaccine.

In *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, Julie Schenk and colleagues<sup>6</sup> did an accurate meta-analysis, which is—to our knowledge—the first of its kind, on the overall data

related to the immunogenicity and antibody persistence after immunisation with trivalent MMR vaccines. Their results show that antibody levels are high (>91%) soon after immunisation, but they decline over time. These data could be very useful for the future assessment of MMR immunisation strategies and their effectiveness. Thus, continuing to vaccinate is imperative, but we must keep in mind that primary and secondary vaccine failure can sometimes occur.

As reported by the authors, their results are also valuable to build more truthful mathematical models representing transmission of infectious diseases. These models will allow us to identify the most relevant susceptible groups in society and, consequently, the most suitable vaccination strategies to achieve the elimination of measles. However, it will also be crucial to recognise that the circulation of wild-type viruses decreases and natural boosters disappear when universal immunisation is implemented. The reduction of natural boosters could have a further relevant impact on the rate of waning of immunity. This issue in particular must be included in any future consideration of strategies for the prevention of measles.

The authors analysed humoral immunity only, which is a proxy in the estimation of protection, and could

therefore underestimate the real level of protection, as cellular immunity was not included. In this sense, low antibody concentrations do not necessarily correspond to a lack of protection. However, these are the best data available so far and, if correctly used, could be very useful in the assessment of future public health decisions. Meanwhile, we are waiting for new scientific evidence on the degree of protection via cellular immunity, in people without detectable antibodies.

Data retrieved in this systematic review are from healthy individuals. Thus, it is reasonable to suppose a lower response (such as lower immunogenicity and shorter duration of protection) in individuals with underlying health conditions. Therefore, attention should be paid to identify and protect these target groups.

Standardisation of serological tests for immunity is also desirable. The definition of a gold-standard cutoff level of seropositivity for protection against measles, mumps, and rubella will allow results that are comparable between laboratories and countries to be obtained, and reliable sero-epidemiological profiles of the population to be established,<sup>7</sup> to identify susceptible individuals to whom prevention activities should be addressed.

In the past 10 years, vaccine hesitancy has led to a decrease in the uptake of the MMR vaccine. At present, a further issue to consider is the impact of the current COVID-19 pandemic on vaccination. During this emergency, a general reduction of immunisation coverage is expected worldwide, as shown by preliminary data registered in the USA.<sup>8</sup> In the near future, if these negative trends are confirmed, we can foresee an increase in vaccine-preventable infectious diseases. This concern should be kept in mind when planning future catch-up campaigns to immunise individuals who missed vaccinations during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Because of the aforementioned issues, effective organisation of public health initiatives becomes much more important in each country, to protect susceptible individuals and difficult-to-reach populations. In particular, health-care workers should ensure that they correctly communicate the effectiveness of the MMR vaccine to the general population.<sup>9</sup>

Therefore, in the future, we must reconsider the current MMR immunisation strategies, on the basis of the relevant data on primary and secondary vaccine failure, as reported by Schenk and colleagues.

We declare no competing interests.

\*Sara Boccalini, Angela Bechini  
sara.boccalini@unifi.it

Department of Health Sciences, University of Florence, Florence 50134, Italy (SB, AB)

- 1 Bernard H, Fischer R, Wild F. Ongoing measles outbreak in southern Bavaria, Germany. *Euro Surveill* 2008; **13**: 8002.
- 2 Siani A. Measles outbreaks in Italy: a paradigm of the re-emergence of vaccine-preventable diseases in developed countries. *Prev Med* 2019; **121**: 99-104.
- 3 Smithson R, Irvine N, Hutton C, Doherty L, Watt A. Spotlight on measles 2010: ongoing measles outbreak in Northern Ireland following an imported case, September-October 2010. *Euro Surveill* 2010; **15**: 19698.
- 4 Pan American Health Organization. Region of the Americas is declared free of measles. 2016. [https://www.paho.org/hq/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=12528:region-americas-declared-free-measles&Itemid=1926&lang=en](https://www.paho.org/hq/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=12528:region-americas-declared-free-measles&Itemid=1926&lang=en) (accessed May 27, 2020).
- 5 Bechini A, Boccalini S, Ninci A, et al. Childhood vaccination coverage in Europe: impact of different public health policies. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 2019; **18**: 693-701.
- 6 Schenk J, Abrams S, Theeten H, Van Damme P, Beutels P, Hens N. Immunogenicity and persistence of trivalent measles, mumps, and rubella vaccines: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020; published online Sept 1. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30442-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30442-4).
- 7 Bechini A, Levi M, Boccalini S, et al. Progress in the elimination of measles and congenital rubella in central Italy. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2013; **9**: 649-56.
- 8 Bramer CA, Kimmins LM, Swanson R, et al. Decline in child vaccination coverage during the COVID-19 pandemic - Michigan Care Improvement Registry, May 2016-May 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020; **69**: 630-31.
- 9 Taddei C, Ceccherini V, Nicolai G, et al. Attitude toward immunization and risk perception of measles, rubella, mumps, varicella, and pertussis in health care workers working in 6 hospitals of Florence, Italy 2011. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2014; **10**: 2612-22.

## Concerns and motivations about COVID-19 vaccination

More than 200 COVID-19 vaccines are in development worldwide, with governments securing deals to access advance doses. But access is only one issue. Willingness to accept a COVID-19 vaccine when it becomes available has varied considerably across countries over the course of the pandemic. In *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, we presented data collected in Australia in April, 2020,<sup>1</sup> which suggested 86% of people surveyed (3741 of

4362) would be willing to vaccinate against COVID-19 if a vaccine became available. Furthermore, the COCONEL group<sup>2</sup> showed in March, 2020, that 74% of French citizens would vaccinate. Between April and July, 2020, willingness to vaccinate has ranged from 58% in the USA<sup>3</sup> to 64% in the UK<sup>4</sup> and 74% in New Zealand.<sup>5</sup> The New Zealand data showed that the most commonly reported reasons to get vaccinated were to protect



Flickr - Marco Verch

Published Online  
December 15, 2020  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30926-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30926-9)