# scientific reports

Check for updates

## **OPEN** Evaluation of corrosion performance of superhydrophobic **PTFE and nanosilica coatings**

Mohammad Haji-Savameri<sup>1,2</sup>, Ahmad Irannejad<sup>3</sup>, Saeid Norouzi-Apourvari<sup>1⊠</sup>, Mahin Schaffie<sup>1</sup> & Abdolhossein Hemmati-Sarapardeh<sup>1,4</sup>

Corrosion protection of metals is of paramount importance in different sectors of industry. One of the emerging techniques to prevent or reduce the damaging effects of this phenomenon is to apply superhydrophobic coatings on the susceptible surfaces. In this study, corrosion protection of steel is investigated by fabricating superhydrophobic coatings, using one-step electrodeposition process of nanosilica hybrid film and spraying process of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) on steel surface and also preparation of micro/nano-composite coatings. The anti-corrosion behavior of the nanosilica hybrid film and PTFE coating with two types of microparticles including Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder and glass beads in primer layer, and overcoat layer with and without SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles is studied. TOEFL polarization and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests are conducted on coated steel samples to examine their corrosion performance in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution at a temperature of 25 °C. The results showed that the combination of superhydrophobic properties and low conductivity significantly improves the corrosion resistance. Evaluating the effect of adding SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles to the overcoat layer in PTFE coating showed that the nanoparticles improve the corrosion resistance of PTFE coatings by sealing up some defects and pores in the coating. Investigation of corrosion resistance of coatings showed that, the corrosion resistance of nanosilica film is lower than that of PTFE coatings. The best sample obtained in this study, namely the PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in overcoat layer, reduced the corrosion rate by nearly 80 times.

Metal is one of the main materials in the human hands and its use in various industries is increasing day by day. They are used in various sectors of the industry such as construction (commercial building, housing, and roads), defense (firearms, ammunition, missiles, tanks, and jets), transportation (marine, aerospace, automobile), and medical (prosthetics, reconstructive surgery and biomedical implant)<sup>1</sup>. Metal structures and equipment are susceptible to corrosion when exposed to adverse environmental conditions and moisture. Corrosion causes loss of performance and ultimately destruction of equipment and metal structures. Surveys in the USA show that corrosion of steels and other metallic materials accounts for approximately 4-5% of the cost of gross domestic product  $(GDP)^2$ .

Different methods have been used to prevent corrosion, the most important of which are: cathodic and anodic protection, corrosion inhibitors, and coatings<sup>3-8</sup>. Each of these methods has its advantages and disadvantages and may be used alone or in combination<sup>9</sup>. Coatings are generally substances used to create a barrier between the corrosive environment and the surface of the piece in question and protect metal parts from moisture, oxidation and chemicals<sup>10</sup>. For a long time, chromating and phosphating have been used as common methods to protect the surface of metals. But these two methods are not environmentally friendly. Toxicity and carcinogenicity of chromium (VI) have been proven for humans today, and phosphorous pollution is one of the important factors in contributing to water eutrophication<sup>11,12</sup>. The use of these materials to protect against corrosion of metals is prohibited in many countries. Much work has been directed towards developing other types of coatings. Different kinds of alternative materials, based on the use of the films of rare earth compounds<sup>13,14</sup>, sol-gel derived films<sup>15-20</sup> and self-assembled layers<sup>21,22</sup>, have shown their ability to protect against corrosion. Studies have also

<sup>1</sup>Department of Petroleum Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran. <sup>2</sup>Department of Petroleum Engineering, School of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) Research Center, Shiraz University, Shiraz 7134851154, Iran. <sup>3</sup>Department of Materials Engineering and Metallurgy, Faculty of Engineering, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran. <sup>4</sup>Key Laboratory of Continental Shale Hydrocarbon Accumulation and Efficient Development, Ministry of Education, Northeast Petroleum University, Daqing 163318, China. <sup>⊠</sup>email: snorouzi@uk.ac.ir; hemmati@uk.ac.ir

shown that coatings with very low electric conductance such as non-conducting Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub> coatings, mix-oxides coating of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, and SiO<sub>2</sub> are very effective in protecting against corrosion<sup>23,24</sup>. The use of superhydrophobic coatings with contact angles (CA) higher than 150° and roll-off angles lower than 10° is an interesting approach to prevent metal corrosion, and have been followed in some research studies<sup>25,26</sup>. Drops slid on these surfaces as they form, and detach from the surface. Therefore, the contact time of the fluid drop (water or any corrosive fluid such as sulfuric acid) on the surface is dramatically reduced. Also, due to the roughness of the nanostructures on the surface, and the presence of air which is trapped between the cavities, the fluid contact time and low contact area), the corrosion resistance of metal surfaces covered with superhydrophobic coatings increases several times<sup>25,27-29</sup>. These coatings prevent the corrosion caused by electrolyte penetration into the metal substrate. Superhydrophobic coatings could be fabricated on many surfaces, especially the surfaces of metals and their alloys, such as Copper<sup>30-32</sup>, Aluminum<sup>33-35</sup>, Zinc<sup>36,37</sup> and Magnesium<sup>38,39</sup>.

Although there are different fabrication methods for PTFE coatings such as spray, electrospray, chemical vapor deposition (CVD), etc., these methods often do not create the superhydrophobic surface or there are various operational limitations in construction of these coatings. For example, the electrospray method may lead to some macro-molecule degradation due to the variation in the operating parameters<sup>40,41</sup>. In some chemical vapor deposition reactions, it is very difficult to control the reactions and consequently the uniformity, and there is a possibility of unwanted reactions in this method, which may sometimes cause serious problems in the deposition process or inside the reactor. It is also possible for the substrate to be destroyed while using this method<sup>42–44</sup>.

In the current study, we produce PTFE superhydrophobic coatings on steel substrates for the purpose of corrosion protection. The PTFE superhydrophobic coating with hierarchical structure is produced by spraying on metal surface. The method which is used in our study to create PTFE coating is very simple and applicable to any type of surface and has no operational limitations associated with other methods. This coating also has superhydrophobic properties. In the construction of this superhydrophobic coating, in order to achieve a hierarchical structure, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> microparticles, glass beads microparticles and silica nanoparticles are used as materials with very low electric conductance. In order to evaluate the corrosion properties of PTFE coating, several superhydrophobic coatings with different specifications are produced and the effect of changing the type of microparticles in the primer layer and also the effect of the presence of nanoparticles in the overcoat layer on corrosion properties are investigated. Among various coating production methods to protect metal corrosion, the electrodeposition technique has been considered as a useful method for coating the metal surface, due to its advantages such as low-cost and the ability to apply on large scale surfaces as well as complex surfaces<sup>45</sup>. This technique has been the subject of much research and laboratory work. Since the comparison of candidate materials is one of the most basic steps in selecting the optimum material for engineering applications, we synthesize nanosilica superhydrophobic coatings via electrodeposition of organic/inorganic hybrid sol gel films from dodecyltrimethoxysilane (DTMS) and tetraethoxysilane (TEOS) mixed sol gel precursors, and we present a comparative study between the corrosion resistance of coatings made by spray and the electrodeposition methods. These coatings are made from low-cost, chemicals and especially from materials with very low electric conductance. In addition, in PTFE coating, the effect of parameters such as the type of microparticles used in the construction of the hierarchical surface and the effect of the presence of nanoparticles on corrosion properties is studied in details.

#### Materials and experimental procedures

**Coating process.** First, working electrodes with demension of  $2.5 \times 10 \times 0.1$  cm<sup>3</sup> were cut from a carbon steel sheet. The samples were then prepared with 80 grid emery papers, degreased with ethanol and finally washed with distilled water. After initial preparation, superhydrophobic PTFE composite coatings with two different types of microparticles, including Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and glass beads and silica nanoparticles, were generated on the carbon steel substrate. Coatings were applied on the samples by a pressurized pistol. While spraying the PTFE solution, the pressure was adjusted between 50 and 100 psi and the pistol head distance to the surface of the samples was about 20–30 cm. The coating was applied in accordance with the IPS standard. A radiant oven was used and an appropriate time and temperature for PTFE baking was obtained. According to the results of the experiments, suitable conditions for PTFE baking to create hydrophobic property were measured to be 410 °C for a duration of 30 min. The PTFE coating consists of two primer and overcoat layers. The overcoat material with commercial code W6622H-5161P and primer material with commercial code W6622H-5161T were purchased from Qingdao Kaimosi Chemical Co., Ltd. The microparticles used in the generation of PTFE composite coatings include Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> microparticles (Asia Sanat Gangineh Trading Co., Tehran, Iran) and glass beads (Danehaye shishehie Co., Tehran, Iran) with the size of 77–82 microns. Silica nanoparticles with size of 40–50 nm were purchased from US Research Nanomaterials, Inc.

In this study, another superhydrophobic coating was also synthesized by direct electrodeposition of organic/ inorganic hybrid sol gel films from DTMS and TEOS mixed sol gel precursors as a result of the co-generation of low surface energy and high roughness. The specifications of the produced coatings with their average thickness are presented in Table 1. In order to produce silica film coating, TEOS with purity of 98.5% (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) and a DTMS with a purity of more than 93% (Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.) were used. Test solution precursors for electrodeposition operations include 2 ml of TEOS, 2 ml of DTMS, 80 ml of ethanol and 20 ml of 0.2 M KNO<sub>3</sub>. The pH of the sedimentation bath was maintained at pH = 4 and continously monitored by a digital pH meter (model W3B, BEL). Distilled water was also used to make the sol–gel solution. During the deposition process, the sedimentation bath was stirred by a magnetic stirrer in order to maintain the dispersion and uniformity of the material concentration in the sol–gel solution. The electrodeposition process was performed at ambient temperature and pressure. Graphite was used as an anode for the electrodeposition of nanosilica film coatings. The cathode and anode were placed 2 cm apart from

Sample number	Type of coating	Acronyms	Thickness (microns)
1	Nano Silica film coating	Nano Silica	40.15
2	PTFE coating with micro $Al_2O_3$ in primer layer and nano $SiO_2$ in overcoat layer	Micro Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> —with nano SiO <sub>2</sub>	105.36
3	PTFE coating with micro glass beads in primer layer and without nano $SiO_2$ in overcoat layer	Micro glass beads—without nano SiO <sub>2</sub>	115.91
4	PTFE coating with micro glass beads in primer layer and with nano $SiO_2$ in overcoat layer	Micro glass beads—with nano SiO <sub>2</sub>	110.77

Table 1. Specifications of the fabricated coatings with their average thickness.

....

each other in a 80 ml volume container before initiating the process of electrodeposition. The optimum current density and deposition time for nanosilica coating were determined as  $0.3 \text{ mA/cm}^2$  and 15 min, respectively. It should be noted that the synthesis of this coating was done at ambient pressure and temperature of 40 °C.

It is worth mentioning that the sol-gel process, which is also known as chemical solution deposition, is a wet chemical method that is widely used in engineering and materials science for the synthesis of various nanostructures. Therefore, the bonding between the nanosilica superhydrophobic coating components in this process, as is clear from the name of this process, is a chemical bonding. In the case of PTFE superhydrophobic coating, the first layer is a primer or a basecoat, followed by an overcoat layer. As a result of baking this type of coating, a strong bond is created between the metal surface, the primer layer, and the overcoat layer. Therefore, the connection between the various components of this type of coating is a chemical bonding, same as the nanosilica superhydrophobic coating.

Another important point to highlight is that both nanosilica film coating and PTFE coating with micro glass beads in the primer layer and with nano SiO<sub>2</sub> in the overcoat layer (micro glass beads—with nano SiO<sub>2</sub>) have been used previously for experimental study and modeling of asphaltene deposition on metal surfaces<sup>46</sup>. In that research<sup>46</sup>, the synthesis method of nanosilica film coating and also PTFE coating (micro glass beads—with nano SiO<sub>2</sub>) were briefly described. The main discussion of that research<sup>46</sup> was the application of the mentioned two types of coatings in reducing asphaltene deposits as one of the heaviest, polar, and most problematic deposits in crude oil. In the present study, in addition to the two coatings used in the previous study, other types of PTFE coating (including micro Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>—with nano SiO<sub>2</sub> and micro glass beads—without nano SiO<sub>2</sub>) were synthesized and other characteristics of these coatings, such as the thickness of the coatings, water contact angle (WCA) and the sliding angle (SA) of the coatings, AFM parameters, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) for nanosilica film coating, and the SEM images of the surface morphology of four types of coatings is presented in three different magnifications and in more detail. Also, in this study, for the first time, the ability of four types of coating sample.

**Characterization of samples.** The surface morphology and chemical composition of coatings was investigated using field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, Hitachi S-4160, Japan) and Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDS, Ametek Element). The water contact angle and the sliding angle of coated and uncoated substrates were measured by CA measurement device (Drop Shape Analyzer-DSA100 KRÜSS GmbH, Germany). The contact angle reported in this research is the static contact angle. In this research, a 5  $\mu$ l droplet<sup>47-49</sup> was placed on the sample inside the device. Then, with a high-precision camera, the CA of the drop and its three-phase line was imaged at the point of contact with the surface. Finally, ImageJ software was used to calculate the angles. In a typical SA measurement, the coated or uncoated substrates were placed on a tilt stage at ambient pressure and temperature. A drop of water was then placed on the coated or uncoated substrates and allowed to equilibrate for ten seconds. Then the angle of the desired substrate was increased from the horizontal state (zero angle) at an approximate rate of half a degree per second. The angle at which the drop started to move was recorded as the sliding angle. The CA and SA reported in this study are the average of five measurements at different locations on the surface. Examples of CA images for PTFE and nanosilica superhydrophobic coatings are shown in Fig. 1. The roughness of the best sample of PTFE coating as well as nanosilica coating was measured using atomic force microscope (AFM) (CP II, Veeco-USA). The scanning range in AFM analysis was  $10 \times 10 \,\mu\text{m}^2$ . Table 2 shows some roughness characteristics such as height roughness (Mean Ht), root mean square roughness (RMS Rough) and average surface roughness (Ave Rough) for the best sample of PTFE coating and also nanosilica coating. Figure 2 shows 3D roughness images for these two coatings.

**Evaluation of corrosion performance.** In TOEFL and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests, EG&G M 263 (PARK) electrochemical measurement system was used to study the corrosion behavior of the coated surface and its analysis was performed using power suite software. The three-electrode system uses the coated sample as the working electrode, the calomel electrode as the reference electrode, and the Pt electrode as the counter electrode. The 3.5% NaCl solution is the electrolyte of this system. The rate of potential sweep in TOEFL test was 1 mV/s and the scanning potential range was 250 mV around OCP (open circuit potential). In EIS test the rate of potential sweep was equal to 1 mV/s and the scanning potential range varied from -400 to 400 mV with respect to the OCP. Finally, the polarization and EIS tests were performed on coated samples with dimensions of  $10 \times 10$  mm and the corrosion potential, corrosion current, and anodic and cathodic TOEFL constants were calculated and analyzed using CorrIII software. In this study, equivalent circuit simulation program,



**Figure 1.** A water droplet on sample with PTFE and nanosilica superhydrophobic coatings: (**a**) nano silica<sup>46</sup>, (**b**) micro  $Al_2O_3$ —with nano  $SiO_2$ , (**c**) micro glass beads—without nano  $SiO_2$ , (**d**) micro glass beads—with nano  $SiO_2^{46}$ .

Sample	RMS rough	Ave rough	Mean Ht
Nano silica	611.2 nm	539.8 nm	1.014 µm
Micro glass beads—with nano SiO <sub>2</sub>	1.255 μm	972.1 nm	2.926 µm

**Table 2.** Calculated AFM parameters for nanosilica and PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and with  $SiO_2$  nanoparticles in the overcoat layer.



**Figure 2.** A 3D AFM images for (**a**) micro glass beads—with nano  $SiO_2^{46}$ , (**b**) nano silica<sup>46</sup>.

.....

namely "ZSimpWin version 3.22", was used for fitting of the experimental data, determination of the equivalent circuit, and EIS data analysis.

#### **Results and discussion**

In order to investigate the corrosion properties of PTFE superhydrophobic coating, three types of coatings with different properties were made. Initially, superhydrophobic PTFE coating was made with  $Al_2O_3$  microparticles in the primer layer along with  $SiO_2$  nanoparticles in the overcoat layer, and the effect of this superhydrophobic coating (with a hierarchical structure) on improving corrosion resistance was investigated. Two more samples of PTFE coating were then made with non-conducting glass beads microparticles in the primer layer, one of which has  $SiO_2$  nanoparticles in the overcoat layer and the other lacks of it. The morphology of coatings was first analyzed before presenting the results of the TOEFL and EIS tests.



**Figure 3.** SEM images of nanosilica and PTFE coatings on MS substrate. (**a**–**c**) Nano silica coating<sup>46</sup>. (**d**–**l**) PTFE coatings includes morphological images of primer layer (large image), and primer and overcoat layers (small image): (**d**–**f**) Micro Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>—with nano SiO<sub>2</sub>, (**g**–**i**) Micro glass beads- without nano SiO<sub>2</sub>, (**j**–**l**) micro glass beads- with nano SiO<sub>2</sub><sup>46</sup>.

**Morphology and chemical composition of coatings.** Figure 3 shows field emission scanning electron microscopy images of the coatings made in this study in three different magnifications. Figure 3a–c show the morphology of the nanosilica coating. It is observed that the nanosilica coating has a hierarchical structure. The air is trapped between the holes and heights of the structure and by limiting the contact of the passing fluid with the surface, the corrosion is decreased. The morphology of the coatings produced by the electrodeposition method strongly depends on the current density, electrolyte composition, temperature, deposition time, and the pH of the solution. Among these parameters, current density acts as a key factor in determining the structure of thin deposited layers<sup>50,51</sup> in such a way that by adjusting the coating time and the current density, the size of the protrusions produced on the surface can be controlled. As the current density increases, the effect of cathodic polarization intensifies. As a result, the germination rate increases relative to the growth rate, which leads to the shrinkage of the structure<sup>52</sup>. In this study, the amount of current density and deposition time was obtained by trial and error. The superhydrophobic coating produced at a current density of 0.3 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> and a duration of

Sample	WCA (°)	SA (°)
Without coating	78.50	> 80
Nano silica	166.24	0
Micro $Al_2O_3$ —with nano $SiO_2$	152.45	5
Micro glass beads—without nano ${\rm SiO}_2$	154.40	3
Micro glass beads—with nano $SiO_2$	155.32	3

**Table 3.** Water contact angle (WCA) and sliding angle (SA) of bare sample and sample with superhydrophobic coatings.





15 min, had excellent stability over other produced samples and was therefore selected as a suitable coating for the corrosion process. Figure 3a shows that the surface of the coating is completely covered by spherical protrusions. The higher magnification images (Fig. 3b, c) show that on the spherical protrusions, many nanostructured protrusions are irregularly distributed. These results indicate that the nanosilica coating has a micro-nanometer hierarchical structure. This coating has a superhydrophobic property with a WCA of 166.24° and a SA of 0°. Figure 1a shows the CA of the water on the surface of this coating. Examination of Fig. 3d-l for PTFE coating also shows the hierarchical structure for the three coatings made in this study. In these figures, the larger images show the morphology of the primer layer with microparticles and the smaller images show the morphology of the overcoat layer on the surface of the primer layer. Figure 3d-f show PTFE coating with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> microparticles in the primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer. This figure shows structures with angular shapes on a micrometer scale that have other protrusions on them. A comparison of the morphology of the overcoat layer and the primer layer in Fig. 3e and f shows that after applying the overcoat layer on the primer layer, the surface morphology gets a wormlike structure on a nanometer scale. Figure 3g-i show the surface morphology of PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer, which is coated by the overcoat layer without SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Figure 3g shows that this coating has a spherical structure on a micrometer scale. As can be seen in this figure, the placement of the overcoat layer on the primer layer results a wormlike structure at on the surface. Figure 3i-l shows the surface morphology of PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer. A comparison of morphological figures of this coating with PTFE coating containing glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and without SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer shows not much visual difference between these two coatings. A closer look at the overcoat layer in Fig. 3f, i, and I shows that the addition of SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles to the overcoat layer has no significant effect on the surface morphology of the overcoat layer. Investigating the corrosion behavior of these three samples can reveal the effect of adding  $SiO_2$  nanoparticles as well as the low conductivity of the materials used in the construction of rough surfaces in changing the corrosion process. These PTFE coatings have superhydrophobic properties with CAs of more than 150° and SAs of less than 5°. The exact details of the CA and SA of these PTFE coatings, along with the nanosilica coating and the uncoated sample, are listed in Table 3. Figure 1b-d show the CA for these PTFE coatings. According to the above explanations, all the coatings fabricated here have a hierarchical and rough structure. Roughness plays an important role in the wettability properties of the surface and thus improving corrosion resistance. As shown in Table 2, the surface roughness in the selected PTFE and nanosilica coatings is 1.255 µm and 611.2 nm, respectively. Figure 4 shows the energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy of the nanosilica coating. As can be seen in this figure, the elements N, O, Si, K and Fe are present in this coating. The atomic percentages of N, O, Si, K and Fe are 4.3, 50.9, 32.9, 6.3 and 5.6, respectively. According to these values, the atomic oxygen/silica ratio is 1.54, which is close to 2. This emphasizes that the coating is made of SiO<sub>2</sub>.



Figure 5. Comparison of potentiodynamic polarization curves of coated and uncoated samples.

Sample	$\beta_a (mV)$ anodic constant	$\beta_c$ (mV) cathodic constant	i <sub>corr</sub> (μA/cm <sup>2</sup> ) corrosion current density	E <sub>corr</sub> (mV) corrosion potential	R <sub>p</sub> (Ωcm <sup>2</sup> ) polarization resistance
Without coating	22.102	81.049	19.80	- 677.490	447.061
Silica film	77.991	151.413	1.294	- 611.432	16,544.886
Micro Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> —with nano SiO <sub>2</sub>	78.541	376.573	0.5750	- 577.656	55,598.428
Micro glass beads— without nano SiO <sub>2</sub>	247.750	314.784	0.3629	- 396.574	160,523.464
Micro glass beads— with nano SiO <sub>2</sub>	306.226	231.804	0.2560	- 346.737	220,922.779

Table 4. Potentiodynamic corrosion test results in 3.5% NaCl solution.

**TOEFL extrapolation test.** Potentiodynamic polarization curves, for coated and uncoated substrates are shown in Fig. 5. From these curves, the corrosion potential, corrosion current density, and anodic and cathodic TOEFL constants can be extracted as listed in Table 4. The polarization resistance can be determined by the Stern-Geary equation (Eq. 1), which is based on the almost linear polarization behavior around the OCP point<sup>53</sup>.

$$R_p = \frac{\beta_a \beta_c}{2.303(\beta_a + \beta_c)} \times \frac{1}{I_{corr}}$$
(1)

where,  $I_{corr}$  is the corrosion current density,  $R_p$  is the polarization resistance,  $\beta_a$  and  $\beta_c$  are the anode and cathode pabet. The TOEFL constant, which is a kinetic parameter, shows the rate of change of the anode and cathode potential. The higher the TOEFL coefficient, results in faster polarization and lower corrosion rate. Conversely, the lower TOEFL coefficient, results in slower polarization and greater corrosion<sup>54</sup>. By knowing the values of current density, the corrosion behavior of the samples can be evaluated. The lower the corrosion current density, the higher the polarization resistance and higher corrosion resistance of the coating<sup>55</sup>. As shown in Table 4, the corrosion resistance of all coated samples is much higher than that of uncoated samples and PTFE coatings with glass beads and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> microparticles perform better than silica film coating. This could be attributed to the lower thickness of the silica film coating compared to that of the PTFE coatings. Thicker coatings show lower corrosion current densities and consequently higher corrosion resistance<sup>56</sup>. The average thickness of the silica film coating is half of the average thickness of the PTFE coatings (Table 1). Coatings can increase corrosion resistance by increasing the charge transfer resistance in the metal-electrolyte interface, limiting the absorption of aggressive ions and increasing the substrate potential<sup>57</sup>. It can be seen in Table 4, that the PTFE coating with  $Al_2O_3$  microparticles in the primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer has a higher corrosion current density than the PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles (with and without SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer). This can be attributed to the semiconductor nature of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> microparticles. Non-insulation Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> microparticles has a higher corrosion density than insulating glass beads microparticles, and therefore its corrosion resistance is lower than that of PTFE coatings with glass beads microparticles.





.....

A closer look at the results in Table 4 shows that, the PTFE coating with non-conducting glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and without nanoparticles in the overcoat layer, has much higher corrosion resistance than PTFE coating with semiconducting  $Al_2O_3$  microstructures in primer layer and nanoparticles in the overcoat layer. The results of this study show that the low conductivity of the coating has a significant effect on reducing corrosion resistance. A comparison between the corrosion behavior of PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and without SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer, and PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer, shows that adding nanoparticles in the overcoat layer, although does not make a difference in the surface morphology of the overcoat layer, but it has a great effect on improving corrosion resistance. The corrosion current density of PTFE superhydrophobic coating with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in overcoat layer (Table 4) is about 0.2560 µA/cm<sup>2</sup>, which is approximately 1.41 times less than PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and overcoat layer without SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Comparison of this coating with the uncoated sample shows a decrease in corrosion rate by more than 77 times. According to Table 4, it is observed that the corrosion potential has been transferred to noble values when the surface of the coating becomes superhydrophobic. Improving corrosion resistance can be attributed to the existence of holes and heights in the superhydrophobic surface, which causes air trapping between the depressions and limiting the surface exposure to corrosive solution. This superhydrophobic layer prevents the penetration of water and chloride-invading ions (Cl<sup>-</sup>) on the substrate surface and can ultimately play a much more effective protective role for the substrate. In the following sections, the effect of adding SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on improving corrosion resistance for PTFE superhydrophobic coatings will be discussed further.

**Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy test.** In order to further investigate the corrosion behavior of the obtained coatings, the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy test was performed in 3.5% NaCl solution in open circuit potential. Nyquist plots and Bode plots for coated and uncoated samples are shown in Figs. 6 and 5, respectively. The frequency-dependent impedance modulus and phase angle graphs (Figs. 7a, b) show the characteristic changes in the morphological and electrochemical properties and the heterogeneity of the samples



**Figure 7.** Bode (**a**) and Bode-phase (**b**) plots from EIS data of PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in overcoat layer (circle symbols), PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in primer layer and without SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in overcoat layer (diamond symbols), PTFE coating with  $Al_2O_3$  microparticles in primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in overcoat layer (star symbol), nanosilica coating (triangle symbols), and uncoated sample (square symbols). Impedance spectra contain experimental data (scatter plot marked by symbols) and theoretical fitting curves (lines), which simulate the experimental results by means equivalent electrical circuits.

.....



**Figure 8.** Equivalent circuit used for experimental impedance data fitting. (a) Uncoated sample, (b) nanosilica coating, (c, d) PTFE coating: (c) micro glass beads—without nano  $SiO_2$ , (d) micro  $Al_2O_3$ —with nano  $SiO_2$  and micro glass beads—with nano  $SiO_2$ .

as a result of the formation of different layers on their surfaces<sup>58</sup>. The electrical equivalent circuits (EEC) used to fit the experimental data are shown in Fig. 8.

The Nyquist diagram for uncoated sample is illustrated with an inductive loop at low frequencies and a capacitive loop (semicircle) at intermediate and high frequencies (Fig. 6). The inductive performance at low frequencies is a result of adsorption of intermediate products in the pitting corrosion procedure<sup>58</sup>. The presence of capacitive loop is related with capacitance of the double electrical layer at the electrolyte/electrode interface and also resistance to charge transfer. The spectrum of the uncoated sample could be fitted by an EEC with one  $R_1$ -CPE<sub>1</sub> circuit (Fig. 8a). In this EEC,  $R_2$  is the charge transfer resistance, and CPE<sub>2</sub> is a double layer capacitance. The Bode spectrum of the nanosilica coating show two-time constants (Fig. 7b). The first one with the maximum phase angle of  $31.1^{\circ}$  located near  $1.08 \times 10^{4}$  Hz and another maximum phase angle of  $27.5^{\circ}$  is located at the frequency of  $4.89 \times 10^{-1}$  Hz. The EIS spectrum measured for nanosilica coating, could be acceptably fitted with the  $\overline{EEC}$  in Fig. 8b. The parameters  $R_1$  and  $CPE_1$  explain the processes performed in the coating layer and electrolyte.  $CPE_1$  and  $R_1$  are the constant phase element of the coating layer and the pore resistance due to penetration of electrolyte, respectively. The parameters  $R_2$  and  $CPE_2$  explain the processes at the substrate layer and the electrolyte interface, respectively. CPE2 and R2 are the constant phase element and the charge transfer resistance at the electrolyte/substrate layer interface, respectively. Figure 7b shows that the Bode spectrum of the PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and without SiO2 nanoparticles in the overcoat layer also has two-time constants. The first-time constant has a maximum phase angle of  $21.2^{\circ}$  located near  $5.30 \times 10^{-2}$  Hz and the second time constant has a maximum phase angle of  $62.4^{\circ}$ , which is located near  $5.74 \times 10^{4}$  Hz. PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and without SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer has two loops (semicircles) (Fig. 6) at high and low frequencies. In this case, both loops have capacitive properties. The

		CPE <sub>1</sub>			CPE <sub>2</sub>			CPE <sub>3</sub>	
Sample	$R_1 (\Omega \text{ cm}^2)$	$Q_1 (/\Omega \ cm^2 S^n)$	<b>n</b> <sub>1</sub>	$R_2 (\Omega \text{ cm}^2)$	$Q_2 (/\Omega \ cm^2 S^n)$	<b>n</b> <sub>2</sub>	$R_3 (\Omega \text{ cm}^2)$	$Q_3 (/\Omega \ cm^2 S^n)$	n <sub>3</sub>
Without coating	-	-	-	118.5	$1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	0.88	-	-	-
Nanosilica	1483	$1.06 \times 10^{-4}$	0.32	$1.17 \times 10^{+4}$	$1.96 \times 10^{-4}$	0.48	-	-	-
Micro Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> —with nano SiO <sub>2</sub>	2137	$1.01 \times 10^{-8}$	0.86	$5.71 \times 10^{+4}$	$2.29 \times 10^{-6}$	0.56	$8.28 \times 10^{+4}$	$1.64 \times 10^{-6}$	0.88
	$5.59 \times 10^{+4}$	1.06×10-9	0.86	2.29×10+6	$1.91 \times 10^{-5}$	0.38	-	-	-
	$6.05 \times 10^{+4}$	3.01×10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.97	$4.52 \times 10^{+4}$	3.36×10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.69	$8.54 \times 10^{+5}$	$6.51 \times 10^{-6}$	0.92

Table 5. Equivalent circuit parameters obtained by modeling the data of the coatings impedance spectroscopy.

.....

spectra of this coating can be fitted by an EEC with two R-CPE circuits that is shown in Fig. 8c. In this circuit,  $R_1$ is coating layer resistance and R, is corrosion polarization resistance, which is related to the corrosion in defective and porous areas. CPE<sub>1</sub> represents the non-ideal coating capacity and CPE<sub>2</sub> represents the non-ideal capacity of the double layer of electrolyte on the metal surface, the ion permeation process in the holes, and the charge transfer process at the bottom of the holes<sup>59,60</sup>. Considering the results obtained for this coating and the significant increase in corrosion resistance, it can be concluded that most of these pores have not reached the surface and the superhydrophobic properties have improved corrosion resistance. The quantitative parameters of the electrical equivalent circuits for PTFE coatings were calculated by fitting experimental impedance spectra using EEC with three R-CPE circuits (Fig. 8d). In this circuit, the parameters of R<sub>1</sub>, CPE<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub> and CPE<sub>2</sub> are coating layer resistance, non-ideal coating capacity, corrosion polarization resistance, and non-ideal capacity of the double layer of electrolyte on the metal surface, respectively. The emergence of a third time constant  $(R_3-CPE_3)$  could be related to better sealing up of pores on the surface of PTFE coating using SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Figures 6 and 7 show impedance spectra including experimental data and model fit curves, which simulate the experimental ones with high accuracy. There is a CPE instead of pure capacitance in the presented electrical equivalent circuits. In systems that are inhomogeneous, constant phase quantities are used instead of capacitors<sup>48</sup>. In other words, CPE is used to indicate processes that have some dissipative properties in addition to memory properties (such as capacitors whose charge and discharge in them is memory processes)<sup>49</sup>. The CPE impedance value is defined by two parameters *n* and *Q*, and its value is calculated using Eq. (2).

$$Z_{CPE} = \frac{1}{Q(j\omega)^n}$$
(2)

where *j* is an imaginary unit,  $\omega$  is angular frequency ( $\omega = 2\pi f$ ), *Q* and *n* are frequency independent constant and the exponential coefficient, respectively. The measured electrical equivalent circuit parameters obtained with fitting appropriate circuits to the experimental EIS data are reported in Table 5.

According to Fig. 7a, it can be concluded that the superhydrophobic coatings fabricated in this study (especially PTFE coatings) have led to a significant increase in the impedance modulus  $|Z|f \rightarrow 0$  Hz, compared to the uncoated sample. The high value of the impedance modulus at low frequency,  $|Z|f \rightarrow 0$  Hz, indicates the high protective characteristics for superhydrophobic coatings. It is observed that PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer has better performance than other samples. The electrode/electrolyte interface for this sample has a capacitive character according to the behavior of the impedance spectra. This consequence shows that the coating is homogeneous and there is no cracks and defects in its structure. Obviously, all these features are due to sealing up of defects and pores in this coating. After this coating, PTFE coatings with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and without SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer, PTFE coatings with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> microparticles in the primer layer and with SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer, and finally nanosilica coating are placed according to their performance, respectively. Examination of EECs parameters (Table 5) for coated samples shows an increase in  $R_1$  and a decrease in  $Q_1$  (these parameters determine the porous layers of the coating). As can be seen, PTFE coatings have more R<sub>1</sub> and less Q<sub>1</sub>. This could be due to the increased thickness of the coating as a result of the application of micro-nanoparticles as well as PTFE coating layers compared to nanosilica coating. A comparison of the results obtained in Table 5 shows that among PTFE coatings, the sample with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer has the highest  $R_1$  and the lowest  $Q_1$ . The increase in exponential coefficient (*n*1) indicates an increase in the homogeneity of PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer, compared to the other two types of PTFE coatings as well as nanosilica coating. The high value of the electrical resistance  $R_3$  and the low value  $Q_3$  for two PTFE coatings, including PTFE coatings with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> microparticles in the primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer, and PTFE coatings with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer (Table 5) prove that these two coatings are homogeneous. For these two coatings, the exponential coefficient (n3)is equal to 0.88 and 0.92, respectively. This shows that these two coatings are very homogeneous and the pores in the coating are well closed by applying SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer. Based on the results obtained from Table 5, it can be concluded that, the PTFE coating with the glass beads microparticles have much higher resistance than the other two samples. Also, among two samples with glass beads microparticles, the sample containing  $SiO_2$  nanoparticles has more resistance than the sample without  $SiO_2$  nanoparticles, and this confirms what mentioned in the preceding sections.

The results of this study suggest that reducing the surface area in contact with corrosive solutions can be a very effective way to increase corrosion resistance. Bico et al.<sup>61</sup> attributed the imprisonment of air bubbles to holes and heights of surface as a factor in creating a quasi-stable state, according to Eq. (3).

$$\cos\theta \le \frac{f_1 - 1}{\gamma - f_1} \tag{3}$$

where  $\theta$  is CA,  $\gamma$  is surface roughness rate, and fI the fraction of the solid/liquid interface in contact with the droplet. Based on this equation, if  $\theta$  is greater than 90°, air bubbles can be trapped in the solid/liquid interface. It has also been reported that when  $\theta$  is greater than 90°, the possibility of absorption of corrosive species such as Cl<sup>-</sup> ions on solid surfaces is reduced and corrosion resistance is greatly increased. The coatings synthesized in this study have superhydrophobic properties and a combination of superhydrophobic properties with low electrical conductivity materials significantly increased corrosion resistance. The results of the EIS test confirm the accuracy of the polarization test results. It should be noted that the numbers obtained for the resistance in both tests are not the same, but their changes are similar. The mismatch of numbers can be attributed to the occurrence of uneven corrosion (to calculate the R<sub>p</sub> the corrosion must be uniform), as well as the error of using the equivalent circuit.

#### Conclusions

In this study, the corrosion behavior of different samples including uncoated sample, nanosilica coating, PTFE coating with  $Al_2O_3$  microparticles in the primer layer and  $SiO_2$  nanoparticles in the overcoat layer, PTFE coatings with glass beads microparticles in the primer layer and an overcoat with and without  $SiO_2$  nanoparticles, were analyzed by TOEFL polarization and EIS tests in 3.5% NaCl solution. The results of this study are as follows:

- 1. The corrosion resistance of all coated samples is much higher than that of uncoated samples and among them the PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles has the highest corrosion resistance.
- 2. Electrical resistance and penetration rate are two important issues in the corrosion behavior of the specimens. Increasing the thickness of the coatings, decreasing the amount of electrolyte penetration into the coating and also the insulation of the coatings, increase the corrosion resistance. In this regard, PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles has higher corrosion resistance than silica film coating and PTFE coating with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> microparticles. This could be attributed to lower thickness of the silica film and conductivity of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder. Also, PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in overcoat layer has higher corrosion resistance than PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in the overcoat layer.
- 3. Superhydrophobic property along with low conductivity feature is an important factor in increasing corrosion resistance. Also, the presence of SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in PTFE superhydrophobic coating improves corrosion protection properties by sealing up the defects and pores in the coating. In this study, PTFE coating with glass beads microparticles in primer layer and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in overcoat layer (the best coating obtained in this study) compared to the uncoated sample, reduced the corrosion rate by almost 80 times.

#### Data availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this article.

Received: 21 April 2022; Accepted: 19 September 2022 Published online: 12 October 2022

#### References

- 1. Ghali, E., Sastri, V. S. & Elboujdaini, M. Corrosion Prevention and Protection: Practical Solutions (Wiley, 2007).
- Manoj, A., Ramachandran, R. & Menezes, P. L. Self-healing and superhydrophobic coatings for corrosion inhibition and protection. Int. J. Adv. Manufact. Technol. 106, 2119–2131 (2020).
- Attarchi, M., Ormellese, M. & Brenna, A. Cathodic protection simulation of linear anode alongside coated and uncoated pipe. Corrosion 76, 385–397 (2020).
- 4. Ren, B. et al. Rational design of metallic anti-corrosion coatings based on zinc gluconate@ ZIF-8. Chem. Eng. J. 384, 123389 (2020).
- 5. Saji, V. S. Corrosion and Fouling Control in Desalination Industry 225–247 (Springer, 2020).
- Yabuki, A. & Fathona, I. W. Advances in Smart Coatings and Thin Films for Future Industrial and Biomedical Engineering Applications 99–133 (Elsevier, 2020).
- 7. Zabula, A. V. et al. Screening of molecular lanthanide corrosion inhibitors by a high-throughput method. Corrosion Sci. 165, 108377 (2020).
- Dobruchowska, E. *et al.* Al-Mn based coatings deposited by cathodic arc evaporation for corrosion protection of AISI 4140 alloy steel. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* 362, 345–354 (2019).
- 9. Revie, R. W. Corrosion and Corrosion Control: An Introduction to Corrosion Science and Engineering (Wiley, 2008).
- Njuguna, J. & Pielichowski, K. J. J. O. M. S. Recent developments in polyurethane-based conducting composites. J. Mater. Sci. 39, 4081–4094 (2004).
- 11. Haiyang, F., Bo, G., Yingwei, Z. & Pengfei, X. Effects of silanes on the structure and properties of chromium-free passivation. *Sci. Adv. Mater.* **12**, 1012–1018 (2020).
- Wu, L.-K. *et al.* Oxidation behavior of Ti45Al8.5Nb alloy anodized in NH4F containing solution. *Corrosion Sci.* 166, 108447 (2020).
   Deyab, M., El-Rehim, S. A., Hassan, H. & Shaltot, A. M. Impact of rare earth compounds on corrosion of aluminum alloy (AA6061) in the marine water environment. *J. Alloy. Compd.* 820, 153428 (2020).

- Manh, T. et al. Corrosion inhibition of steel in naturally-aerated chloride solution by rare-earth 4-hydroxycinnamate compound. J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng. 103, 177–189 (2019).
- Ashassi-Sorkhabi, H., Moradi-Alavian, S., Jafari, R., Kazempour, A. & Asghari, E. Effect of amino acids and montmorillonite nanoparticles on improving the corrosion protection characteristics of hybrid sol-gel coating applied on AZ91 Mg alloy. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 225, 298–308 (2019).
- Conde, A., Durán, A. & De Damborenea, J. Polymeric sol-gel coatings as protective layers of aluminium alloys. Prog. Org. Coat. 46, 288–296 (2003).
- Dias, S., Lamaka, S., Nogueira, C., Diamantino, T. & Ferreira, M. Sol–gel coatings modified with zeolite fillers for active corrosion protection of AA2024. Corrosion Sci. 62, 153–162 (2012).
- Kesmez, Ö. Hydrophobic, organic-inorganic hybrid sol-gel coatings containing boehmite nanoparticles for metal corrosion protection. Chem. Pap. 74, 673–688 (2020).
- Tiringer, U., Milošev, I., Durán, A. & Castro, Y. Hybrid sol–gel coatings based on GPTMS/TEOS containing colloidal SiO2 and cerium nitrate for increasing corrosion protection of aluminium alloy 7075–T6. J. Sol-Gel. Sci. Technol. 85, 546–557 (2018).
- 20. Wang, D. & Bierwagen, G. P. Sol-gel coatings on metals for corrosion protection. Prog. Org. Coat. 64, 327-338 (2009).
- Zhu, H., Chen, S., Čhen, Y., Zhu, Z. & Yin, Y. J. J. Investigation of the corrosion resistance of n-tetradecanoic acid and its hybrid film with bis-silane on copper surface in seawater. J. Mol. Struct. 928, 40–45 (2009).
- Korrapati, V. K., Scharnagl, N., Letzig, D. & Zheludkevich, M. L. Self-assembled layers for the temporary corrosion protection of magnesium-AZ31 alloy. *Corrosion Sci.* 169, 108619 (2020).
- Shen, G., Chen, Y., Lin, L., Lin, C. & Scantlebury, D. Study on a hydrophobic nano-TiO2 coating and its properties for corrosion protection of metals. *Electrochim. Acta* 50, 5083-5089 (2005).
- Nie, X. et al. Abrasive wear/corrosion properties and TEM analysis of Al2O3 coatings fabricated using plasma electrolysis. Surf. Coat. Technol. 149, 245–251 (2002).
- Figueira, R. B., Sousa, R. & Silva, C. J. Advances in Smart Coatings and Thin Films for Future Industrial and Biomedical Engineering Applications 57–97 (Elsevier, 2020).
- Xue, Y., Wang, S., Zhao, G., Taleb, A. & Jin, Y. Fabrication of NiCo coating by electrochemical deposition with high super-hydrophobic properties for corrosion protection. Surf. Coat. Technol. 363, 352–361 (2019).
- Ishizaki, T., Hieda, J., Saito, N., Saito, N. & Takai, O. Corrosion resistance and chemical stability of super-hydrophobic film deposited on magnesium alloy AZ31 by microwave plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition. *Electrochim. Acta* 55, 7094–7101 (2010).
- Kang, Y. et al. Preparation of porous super-hydrophobic and super-oleophilic polyvinyl chloride surface with corrosion resistance property. Appl. Surf. Sci. 258, 1008–1013 (2011).
- Liu, T., Yin, Y., Chen, S., Chang, X. & Cheng, S. Super-hydrophobic surfaces improve corrosion resistance of copper in seawater. *Electrochim. Acta* 52, 3709–3713 (2007).
- Wang, P., Zhang, D. & Qiu, R. J. C. S. Liquid/solid contact mode of super-hydrophobic film in aqueous solution and its effect on corrosion resistance. *Corrosion Sci.* 54, 77–84 (2012).
- 31. Liu, T. et al. Corrosion behavior of super-hydrophobic surface on copper in seawater. Electrochim. Acta 52, 8003-8007 (2007).
- Xu, W., Rajan, K., Chen, X. G. & Sarkat, D. Facile electrodeposition of superhydrophobic aluminum stearate thin films on copper substrates for active corrosion protection. Surf. Coat. Technol. 364, 406–415 (2019).
- Yin, Y., Liu, T., Chen, S., Liu, T. & Cheng, S. J. A. S. S. Structure stability and corrosion inhibition of super-hydrophobic film on aluminum in seawater. Appl. Surface Sci. 255, 2978–2984 (2008).
- Yin, B. et al. Novel strategy in increasing stability and corrosion resistance for super-hydrophobic coating on aluminum alloy surfaces. Appl. Surface Sci. 258, 580–585 (2011).
- He, T., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Xu, T. & Liu, T. J. C. S. Super-hydrophobic surface treatment as corrosion protection for aluminum in seawater. *Corrosion Sci.* 51, 1757–1761 (2009).
- Wu, X., Zheng, L. & Wu, D. J. L. Fabrication of superhydrophobic surfaces from microstructured ZnO-based surfaces via a wetchemical route. *Langmuir* 21, 2665–2667 (2005).
- Liu, H., Szunerits, S., Xu, W. & Boukherroub, R. J. Preparation of superhydrophobic coatings on zinc as effective corrosion barriers. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 1, 1150–1153 (2009).
- 38. Xu, W. *et al.* Rapid fabrication of large-area, corrosion-resistant superhydrophobic Mg alloy surfaces. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interface* 3, 4404–4414 (2011).
- Ishizaki, T., Masuda, Y. & Sakamoto, M. J. L. Corrosion resistance and durability of superhydrophobic surface formed on magnesium alloy coated with nanostructured cerium oxide film and fluoroalkylsilane molecules in corrosive NaCl aqueous solution. *Langmuir* 27, 4780–4788 (2011).
- 40. Yurteri, C. U., Hartman, R. P. & Marijnissen, J. C. Producing pharmaceutical particles via electrospraying with an emphasis on nano and nano structured particles-a review. *Kona Powder Part. J.* 28, 91–115 (2010).
- 41. Tan, Z. Mechanisms Involved in the Electrospray of Biological Macromolecules (Springer, 2011).
- Bhattacharjee, C. R. & Nath, A. Chemical vapour deposition (CVD) technique and the synthesis of carbon nanomaterials (CNMs). J. Chem. Pharm. Res. 4, 706–713 (2012).
- 43. Jones, A. C. & Hitchman, M. L. Chemical Vapour Deposition: Precursors, Processes and Applications (Royal Society of Chemistry, 2009).
- Kumar, M. & Ando, Y. Chemical vapor deposition of carbon nanotubes: A review on growth mechanism and mass production. J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 10, 3739–3758 (2010).
- Sheu, H.-H., Syu, J.-H., Liu, Y.-M., Hou, K.-H. & Ger, M.-D. A comparison of the corrosion resistance and wear resistance behavior of Cr-C, Ni-P and Ni-B coatings electroplated on 4140 alloy steel. J. Electrochem. Sci 13, 3267–3278 (2018).
- 46. Haji-Savameri, M. *et al.* Experimental study and modelling of asphaltene deposition on metal surfaces with superhydrophobic and low sliding angle inner coatings. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 1–22 (2021).
- Eifert, A., Paulssen, D., Varanakkottu, S. N., Baier, T. & Hardt, S. Simple fabrication of robust water-repellent surfaces with low contact-angle hysteresis based on impregnation. Adv. Mater. Interfaces 1, 1300138 (2014).
- Xiang, Y., Fulmek, P., Platz, D. & Schmid, U. Temperature dependence of water contact angle on teflon AF1600. Langmuir 38, 1631–1637 (2022).
- 49. Zaman, M. W., Han, J. & Zhang, X. Evaluating wettability of geotextiles with contact angles. *Geotext. Geomembr.* 50, 825–833 (2022).
- Brassard, J.-D., Sarkar, D. K., Perron, J., Audibert-Hayet, A. & Melot, D. Nano-micro structured superhydrophobic zinc coating on steel for prevention of corrosion and ice adhesion. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 447, 240–247 (2015).
- Qiu, R., Zhang, D., Wang, P., Zhang, X. L. & Kang, Y. S. Tunable electrochemical preparation of cobalt micro/nanostructures and their morphology-dependent wettability property. *Electrochim. Acta* 58, 699–706 (2011).
- Liang, J., Li, D., Wang, D., Liu, K. & Chen, L. Preparation of stable superhydrophobic film on stainless steel substrate by a combined approach using electrodeposition and fluorinated modification. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 293, 265–270 (2014).
- Nie, X. et al. Abrasive wear/corrosion properties and TEM analysis of Al2O3 coatings fabricated using plasma electrolysis. Surface Coat. Technol. 149, 245–251 (2002).
- 54. Van Deventer, C. G. In Guidelines for Predicting the Remaining Life of Underground Pipe Networks that are Subjected to the Combined Effects of External Corrosion and Internal Pressure, University of Pretoria (2006).

- Malayoglu, U., Tekin, K. C. & Shrestha, S. Influence of post-treatment on the corrosion resistance of PEO coated AM50B and AM60B Mg alloys. Surface Coat. Technol. 205, 1793–1798 (2010).
- Sonmez, S., Aksakal, B. & Dikici, B. Influence of hydroxyapatite coating thickness and powder particle size on corrosion performance of MA8M magnesium alloy. J. Alloy Compd. 596, 125–131 (2014).
- Shokouhfar, M., Dehghanian, C., Montazeri, M. & Baradaran, A. J. A. S. S. Preparation of ceramic coating on Ti substrate by plasma electrolytic oxidation in different electrolytes and evaluation of its corrosion resistance: Part II. *Appl. Surface Sci.* 258, 2416–2423 (2012).
- 58. Gnedenkov, S. et al. Composite fluoropolymer coatings on the MA8 magnesium alloy surface. Corrosion Sci. 111, 175–185 (2016).
- Barik, R. *et al.* Corrosion, erosion and erosion-corrosion performance of plasma electrolytic oxidation (PEO) deposited Al2O3 coatings. *Surface Coat. Technol.* 199, 158–167 (2005).
   Control and Coating and C
- Barchiche, C.-E., Rocca, E. & Hazan, J. J. S. Corrosion behaviour of Sn-containing oxide layer on AZ91D alloy formed by plasma electrolytic oxidation. *Surface Coat. Technol.* 202, 4145–4152 (2008).
- 61. Bico, J., Thiele, U. & Quéré, D. Wetting of textured surfaces. Colloids Surf. A 206, 41-46 (2002).

#### **Author contributions**

M.H.S.: investigation, formal analysis, data curation, visualization, writing-original draft, A.I.: supervision, validation, conceptualization, writing-review & editing, S.N.-A.: conceptualization, supervision, writing-review & editing, M.S.: writing-review & editing, supervision. A.H.-S.: methodology, validation, supervision, writingreview & editing.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

### Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.N.-A. or A.H.-S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022