Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Genomics Data

journal homepage: http://www.journals.elsevier.com/genomics-data/



Data in Brief

Time course transcriptional profiling of senescing barley leaves



Agnieszka Zmienko ^{a,b,*}, Michal Goralski ^a, Anna Samelak-Czajka ^b, Ewa Sobieszczuk-Nowicka ^c, Marek Figlerowicz ^{a,b}

- ^a Molecular and Systems Biology Department, Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poznan, Poland
- ^b Institute of Computing Science, Faculty of Computing, Poznan University of Technology, Poznan, Poland
- ^c Department of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Biology, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznan, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 March 2015 Accepted 17 March 2015 Available online 28 March 2015

Keywords: Microarray Leaf senescence Darkness Gene expression

ABSTRACT

Cell senescence occurs as a part of developmental or stress-induced process. It is tightly regulated and involves a sequence of metabolic and structural alterations, eventually leading to cell death. Dark-induced leaf senescence is a useful model for studying senescence-related events. To facilitate the integration of physiological and molecular studies utilizing this model, we generated the microarray data set providing time course gene expression profiles in senescing barley leaves. Here, we describe the detailed procedures and data analysis scheme of our experiment. The entire data set (available at NCBI/GEO database under GSE62539) has been successively explored to find the genes differentially expressed during the senescence process as well as to identify genes with the invariant expression as reliable references for qPCR or ddPCR experiments.

© 2015 The Authors, Published by Elsevier Inc, This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Specifications Organism/cell line/tissue Hordeum vulgare L. 'Nagrad'/primary leaf Sex Sequencer or array type Barley gene expression microarrays, 4x44K, Agilent Data format Raw: gpr files; analyzed: txt files of log2 expression Experimental factors Time of treatment (incubation in darkness) Experimental features Time course profiling of gene expression in barley leaves incubated in darkness, focused on identification of genes involved in the senescence process

Consent N/A

Sample source location

Direct link to deposited data

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE62539

Experimental design, materials and methods

Experimental scheme

Senescence and cell death are genetically programmed processes turned on in animals and plants as a part of their normal development

E-mail address: akisiel@ibch.poznan.pl (A. Zmienko).

or in response to environmental challenge. A simple and wellcontrolled model for studying physiological and molecular aspects of leaf senescence has been developed [1]. In this model, the process is induced by continued incubation of the seedlings in the darkness. In barley, within 10–12 days of the senescence progress the leaves turn yellow and gradual degradation of cell components, mainly chloroplasts and nuclei can be observed [2]. To facilitate the integration of physiological and molecular studies utilizing the model of dark-induced senescence, we generated the microarray data set providing time course gene expression profiles in senescing barley leaves.

Plant materials, growth conditions and sampling

Barley (Hordeum vulgare L. 'Nagrad') seeds were surface-sterilized with ethanol (70%) and standard bleach (20%) for 10 min, followed with extensive washing. Seedlings were grown in soil under controlled conditions (day/night 16/8 h, 23 °C, light intensity 150 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, 60% humidity). The material for the Day 0 sample was then collected. Light limitation initiated the onset of senescence and allowed the leaves to senesce in the darkness for 3, 7 or 10 days. Plant material was collected at Day 3, Day 7 and Day 10.

The scheme of senescence induction and sample collection experiment is presented in Fig. 1. At a given time point, the Fv/Fm ratio (the maximum quantum yield of PSII in the dark adapted state, indicative of the photosystem's II physiological state) was measured for each plant with FluorPen FP100 (Photon System Instruments). Leaves of 15 plants per time point were then collected, which presented "typical" Fv/Fm values (~0.843 for Day 0, ~0.762 for Day 3, ~0.624 for Day 7 and ~0.116

^{*} Corresponding author at: Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, PAS, Noskowskiego 12/14, 61-704 Poznan, Poland.




Fig. 1. Scheme of plant growth and experimental factor treatment.

for Day 10, as determined in a preliminary study). Leaves were pooled, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-80\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ until use. The entire plant growth and sample collection procedure was repeated 3 times to obtain independent biological replicates of the senescing plants.

Total RNA isolation and quality control

Total RNA extraction was performed using spin-columns (RNeasy Plant Mini Kit, QIAGEN), following the manufacturer's guidelines. For the common reference sample, the material from all time points and all replicates was pooled before RNA isolation. Up to 100 mg frozen material finely ground in liquid nitrogen with mortar and pestle was used per column. The RNA was eluted with $2\times75\,\mu l$ pure water, quality checked on Nanodrop 2000 and immediately subjected to DNase treatment using TURBO DNA-free kit (Ambion). Final RNA quality was again determined using Nanodrop 2000. All of the samples used for this study were of excellent purity (A260/A280 ≥ 1.9 ; A260/A230 ≥ 2) and showed no visible signs of degradation in 2100 Bioanalyzer RNA-Nano assay (Agilent). RNA samples were stored at $-80\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ at a concentration of $\sim 0.5\,\mu g/\mu l$.

Microarray experiments

Hybridization to microarrays was performed according to a common reference design: Cy5-labeled experimental samples representing barley leaf transcriptome in subsequent experimental time points (Day 0, Day 3, Day 7, Day 10) were hybridized each against Cy3-labeled common reference sample.

Amplified labeled cRNA samples were prepared from 200 ng total RNA each, using Quick Amp Labeling Kit (Agilent) and the manufacturer's guidelines. RNA Spike-In Kit (Agilent) was included in each total RNA sample before labeling, following the manufacturer's guidelines

regarding the spike relative concentrations in Cy3 and Cy5 samples (Table 1). Labeled cRNA (825 ng) was used for the hybridization to Barley Gene Expression Microarrays, 4x44K (Agilent). A total number of 12 microarrays were used, as each condition was represented by 3 biological replicates. The hybridization, washing and drying steps were performed in A4x44k Quad Chambers in an HS 4800 Pro (Tecan) automatic hybridization station, according to the manufacturer's guidelines developed directly for that type of device [3]. Gene Expression Hybridization Kit (Agilent) and Gene Expression Wash Buffer Kit solutions (Agilent) were used for the hybridization and washing steps, respectively. The intensity data were collected with 4200AL GenePix scanner and GenePix Pro 6.1 software at 5 µm resolution, at green and red channel. Each microarray was scanned twice with auto PMT adjusted at low (0.01%) or high (1%) spot saturation levels.

Data processing

The scans were processed with GenePix Pro 6.1 software using morphological opening background method. Spots with >10% saturated pixels in both channels were flagged as "bad" and not considered during the differential analysis. All subsequent steps were conducted using R/Bioconductor limma package [4]. Both low- and high-saturation scanned data sets were background-corrected ("subtract," offset = 10), and the scans with low and high intensity were merged with mergeScansRG function. Data were normalized within arrays ("loess" method) and between arrays ("Aquantile" method). A series of quality plots were generated to evaluate the data normalization performance and assess the microarray data accuracy and dynamic range (Fig. 2). The analysis of Spike-in controls confirmed that the generated data set well reflected the theoretical Cy5/Cy3 RNA ratios across a broad range (up to 200-fold dilution, see Table 1) of template copy numbers (Fig. 3). It is therefore a reliable resource of information regarding transcripts of both high and low abundance in the experimental samples. Raw and normalized gene expression data were deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus repository [5] and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE62539.

Senescence-responsive genes

In order to identify the set of genes with differential expression during the dark-induced senescence, Baesian linear modeling was applied in a separate channel analysis mode. Time course profiles were generated by measuring gene expression at Day 3, Day 7 and Day 10, in comparison with Day 0. Additionally, early and late senescence stages were directly compared (Day 10 versus Day 3). Of 43,603 unique oligonucleotide probes present on the microarray, 3,014 exhibited differential gene expression, with moderated F-statistic p value < 0.0005 (after applying Benjamini and Hochberg's method to control the false discovery rate). For simplicity, we further assume that the number of differentially regulated genes equals the number of probes, although it cannot be

Table 1Relative amounts of Spike-in controls added to total RNA before labeling.

	•		o .	
Spike-in control	Relative copy number in Cy3 sample	Relative copy number in Cy5 sample	Expected Cy5/Cy3 ratio	
E1A_r60_a22	10	100	0.1	
E1A_r60_3	3	9	0.3	
E1A_r60_a104	10	30	0.3	
E1A_r60_a97	0.5	1.5	0.3	
E1A_r60_1	10	10	1	
E1A_r60_a20	100	100	1	
E1A_r60_n11	1.5	0.5	3	
E1A_r60_a107	30	10	3	
E1A_r60_a135	9	3	3	
E1A_r60_n9	100	10	10	




Fig. 2. Performance of barley leaf senescence microarray data normalization process. Microarrays were subjected to within-array "loess" normalization and between-array "Aquantile" normalization. (A) MA boxplots and (B) density plots for all microarrays in the data set.

excluded that a single gene can be in fact represented by multiple probes on Barley Gene Expression Microarray.

As many as 70% differentially regulated genes revealed at least two-fold change in expression at all analyzed time points (Day 3, Day 7 and Day 10). In all but 2 of the above cases, the direction of changes was the same for the whole period of observations (637 genes were consistently up-regulated while 1470 genes were consistently down-regulated (Fig. 4). For a subset of such genes (19% up-regulated and 16% down-regulated, respectively), the response was also intensified in time: the induction or repression was ≥ 2 times stronger at Day 10 in comparison with Day 3. This pattern suggested that numerous processes related to se-

nescence might have begun quite early and progressed as the incubation of leaves in darkness continued (Sobieszczuk-Nowicka and Zmienko, unpublished).

Senescence-stable genes

The differentially expressed genes typically comprise the potential targets for additional experimental evaluation. We therefore also searched for genes stably expressed during the senescence process to identify good reference genes for qPCR and ddPCR gene expression analysis. We selected 181 candidates that showed less than 20% expression




Fig. 3. Performance of Spike-in controls added to total RNA before labeling. (A) Expected and observed Log2 ratios of individual controls; (B) expected and observed abundance of each Spike-in control. The theoretical (expected) values are presented in Table 1. Observed values were calculated as means of each control spot intensities at Cy5 channel on all microarrays.




Fig. 4. Comparison of gene expression changes during Day 3, Day 7 and Day 10 of dark-induced senescence of barley leaves. Venn diagrams present genes differentially expressed (*F*-statistic *p* value < 0.0005 (after applying Benjamini and Hochberg's method to control the false discovery rate) and displaying at least 2-fold expression change at given time point.

change at all analyzed time points and displayed very low signal intensity variation across individual hybridizations ($CV_A < 0.01$). The performance of 5 of them has been successfully verified both in qPCR and ddPCR experiments [2].

Discussion

Here we describe the microarray data set that provides the time course profiles of transcripts present in senescing barley leaves. The high quality of the experimental data allowed to select a large number of genes with significantly changed expression during the senescence process. Likewise, the subsets of genes with early (at Day 3) or late response (at Day 10) could be distinguished. These data illustrate the transcriptome dynamics in the senescing leaves and constitute a valuable resource for integrative studies of this process. Additionally, these data were used for successful identification of reference genes suitable for qPCR and ddPCR studies [2]. This may in turn support subsequent analyses of individual genes and their biological role during plant senescence.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education grant N N303 418236 (to ESN).

References

- [1] E. Sobieszczuk-Nowicka, A. Zmienko, A. Samelak-Czajka, M. Łuczak, M. Pietrowska-Borek, R. Iorio, S. Del Duca, M. Figlerowicz, J. Legocka, Dark-induced senescence of barley leaves involves activation of plastid transglutaminases. Amino Acids (2015) http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00726-014-1912-y (e-published 13 Jan 2015).
- [2] A. Zmienko, A. Samelak-Czajka, M. Goralski, E. Sobieszczuk-Nowicka, P. Kozlowski, M. Figlerowicz, Selection of reference genes for qPCR- and ddPCR-based analyses of gene expression in senescing barley leaves. PLoS One 10 (2) (2015) e0118226, http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118226.
- [3] Two-Color Microarray-Based GeneExpression Analysis (Quick Amp Labeling) with Tecan HS Pro Hybridization. Agilent Technologies, Inc., May 2008. (2007–2008, Version 5.7).
- [4] G.K. Smyth, Limma: linear models for microarray data. in: R. Gentleman, V. Carey, S. Dudoit, R. Irizarry, W. Huber (Eds.), Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Solutions Using R and Bioconductor, Springer, New York 2005, pp. 397–420.
- [5] T. Barrett, D.B. Troup, S.E. Wilhite, P. Ledoux, C. Evangelista, et al., NCBI GEO: archive for functional genomics data sets—10 years on. Nucleic Acids Res. 39 (2011) D1005–D1010, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq1184.