Adjuvant effect of *Chakshushya Rasayana* with beta-blocker eye drops in the management of progressive glaucomatous optic neuropathy: An open-label randomized controlled trial

K. S. Dhiman¹, Veeranagouda S. Adhoor², Riju Agarwal³, Amit J. Mehta⁴

^{1.2}Department of Shalakya, Institute of Post Graduate Teaching and Research In Ayurveda, Gujarat Ayurveda University, Jamnagar, Gujarat, ³Department of Shalakya, Chaudhary Brahm Prakash Ayurved Charak Sansthan, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, New Delhi, ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, Eye Care Hospital, Jamnagar, Gujarat, India

Abstract

Introduction: Primary open angle glaucoma is an insidious and chronic vision-threatening eye ailment due to neuro-retino-optic nerve degeneration, which may be due to the raised intraocular pressure (IOP) or due to independent factors. Management of glaucoma is mainly concentrated on lowering IOP that requires lifetime topical medication, different ocular medicaments for lowering of IOP, and surgical interventions, but it has its own limitations to control the progression of glaucomatous optic neuropathy (GON), and this is the reason behind the use of alternative neuroprotective adjuvants. **Aim:** To evaluate the neuroprotective effect of Ayurvedic line of management of progressive GON. **Materials and Methods:** Ingredients of trial drug Vara Fort powder (*Chakshushya Rasayana*) were procured from the Institute Pharmacy, except *Swarnamakshika Bhasma*, which was purchased from Dhootapapeshwar Pharmaceuticals. The patients fulfilling inclusion criteria, attending outpatient and inpatient departments, irrespective of their sex, race, religion, occupation, etc., were selected and divided into two groups with open-labeled randomization. In Group A, in addition to betaxolol (0.1%) or timolol (0.5%) (non-iobrim), *Chakshushya Rasayana* 6 g/day orally with *Triphala Ghrita* and honey along with *Koshtha-Shuddhi* (body-microchannel clearing treatment) protocol was tried. *Nasya* (oleation through nasal route) with *Jeevantyadi Taila* and *Tarpana* (eye satiation) with *Go-Ghrita* were also performed. In Group B (control), brimonidine (iobrim) 0.2% eye drop was used for 3 months. **Results:** Significant improvement was observed in subjective parameters in Group A such as blurred vision, frequent change of presbyopic glasses, and delayed dark adaptation. **Conclusion:** *Chakshushya Rasayana*, if administered in a systematic approach along with a modern topical betaxolol or timolol eye drops, has a definite role in improving the lost retinal sensitivity as much as up to 12 dB in 3 months duration.

Keywords: Chakshushya Rasayana, glaucoma, glaucomatous optic neuropathy, Jeevantyadi Taila, Triphala Ghrita, Ayurveda

Introduction

Primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) is a neurodegenerative condition, wherein search for an effective therapeutic modality to address the neuroretinal damage is going on throughout the world so as to treat and prevent this condition from causing irreversible blindness. POAG considered as a chronic, progressive, anterior optic neuropathy that is accompanied by the characteristic cupping and atrophy of the optic disc (OD), visual field loss, open angles, with no obvious causative ocular or systemic conditions, leading to death of retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) which contribute to optic neuropathy.^[1]

Glaucoma is the second leading cause of irreversible blindness worldwide and the third leading cause of it in

Ac	cess this article online
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.ayujournal.org
	DOI: 10.4103/ayu.AYU_30_16

India.^[2,3] Globally, POAG affects more than angle closure glaucoma (ACG) with ratio of 3:1. In India, 4.7% of people having country's total blindness are affected with glaucoma.^[4] Population-based studies reported a prevalence rate between 2% and 13%. Glaucoma can affect any age group, including newborn, infants, children, and elderly.^[5] Early detection is the key to preserve vision-related quality of life. The current strategy of modern management of glaucoma is mainly

Address for correspondence: Dr. Riju Agarwal, Department of Shalakya, Chaudhary Brahm Prakash Ayurved Charak Sansthan, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, New Delhi -110 078, India. E-mail: rijuagarwal@gmail.com

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Dhiman KS, Adhoor VS, Agarwal R, Mehta AJ. Adjuvant effect of *Chakshushya Rasayana* with beta-blocker eye drops in the management of progressive glaucomatous optic neuropathy: An open-label randomized controlled trial. Ayu 2016;37:125-34.

© 2017 AYU (An International Quarterly Journal of Research in Ayurveda) | Official publication of Institute for Post Graduate Teaching & Research in Ayurveda, Jamnagar | Published by Wolters Kluwer - Medknow 125

concentrated on lowering intraocular pressure (IOP) that requires life time topical medication to be instilled. Some patients do not respond to mono therapy, demanding for multiple drug therapy. Sometimes, surgical intervention is required, which includes filtration and nonfiltrating surgeries that have their own advantages and disadvantages. Meticulous efforts in treating the other important pathological events such as hypo-perfusion to optic nerve head (ONH) and management of glaucomatous optic neuropathy (GON) are non-yielding. It is established in management of glaucoma that along with control of IOP, perfusion of ONH, nerve regeneration and adaptability should also be addressed.^[6] Therefore, search for few alternative therapeutic approaches independent of IOP reduction is highly demanded.

Critical analysis of pathophysiology of OAG in Ayurvedic perspective has four important events; first of all, *Margavarana* leading to *Sroto-Avarodha* (increased resistance to aqueous humor outflow secondary to the degeneration/sclerosis of filtering apparatus which results in increased IOP) followed by *Sokshma-Sroto Sanga/Rasayani Avarodha* (ischemia/ hypoperfusion to ONH/nutrient channels obstruction) which may or may not be due to IOP. Third, *Dhatu Rupi Ojo Hrasa/ Vyadhi Kshamatva Hani* (failure of local immunity) and finally *Dhatu Kshaya* (remodeling/changes of ONH) secondary to the above three factors occurs.

Considering the limitations of the contemporary system in managing the condition and after analyzing the *Samprapti* (pathophysiology) of the OAG in Ayurveda; it was planned to complement Ayurveda management with few modifications in addition to modern topical anti-glaucomatous drugs. This method was followed in one group, while the patients of control group were kept only on modern topical anti-glaucomatous drugs in another group.

Aims and objectives

To evaluate the neuroprotective effect of Ayurvedic line of management of progressive GON.

Materials and Methods

Drugs

Ingredients of trial drug Varafort powder (VFP) were procured from the Institute Pharmacy, except *Swarnamakshika Bhasma* (which was purchased from Dhootapapeshwar Pharmaceuticals, Mumbai, with Quality Analysis Certificate). The rest was prepared in Institute Pharmacy, packed in 1 kg per packets, and stored in a cool and dry atmosphere.^[7]

Ingredients of *Jeevantyadi Taila* (JT) were procured from local market. JT was prepared in the Department of Rasashastra and Bhaishajya Kalpana, packed in 10 ml dropper bottles, and stored in cool dry dark place.^[8] Authentication of each drug of the formulation before pharmaceutical process was done in the Pharmacognosy Laboratory.

The study is open-labeled, randomized, controlled study, started after obtaining Ethical Clearance from Institutional

Ethics Committee (PGT/7-A/Ethics 2011-2012/2796 dated 09/12/2011). The study was registered at CTRI prospectively (CTRI/2013/06/003725).

The patients fulfilling inclusion criteria attending outpatient department (OPD) and inpatient department (IPD), irrespective of their chronicity of POAG, sex, race, religion, and occupation, were selected. All the patients were subjected to a thorough ophthalmic examination including automated static perimetry and optical coherence tomography.

Sampling method

A total of 91 patients (174 eyes) of POAG were included in the study. The type of study was randomized controlled clinical study with black box design. The patients were randomly divided between the two groups. The number of patients in Group A was 45 (86 eyes) and in Group B was 46 (88 eyes). Group A (trial group): The patients who were on topical antiglaucoma treatment (betaxolol [0.1%] or timolol [0.5%]) (non-iobrim) were subjected for Ayurvedic treatment protocol. The herbomineral compound VFP (Chakshushya Rasayana) orally was given with Triphala Ghrita and Madhu as vehicle along with JT Nasva (oleation through nasal route) and Tarpana (ocular therapeutic procedure eye satiation) with Go-Ghrita. Group B (control group): The patients of this group were put on for brimonidine (iobrim) 0.2% eye drops, 1 drop once daily or twice daily depending on the condition.

Inclusion criteria

- 1. Patients aged between 40 and 70 years
- 2. Patients of POAG having IOP <21 mmHg with GON, normotensive glaucoma, and IOP >21 mmHg with GON
- 3. GON of early to severe stage
- 4. Patients with IOP >26, having parapapillary changes, having elaborate retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) defects, and having a vertical elaborate CDR 0.4 or more who are glaucoma suspects (ocular hypertension with high risk of POAG).

Exclusion criteria

- 1. All types of ACG
- 2. End-stage GON having only central vision (tunnel vision)
- 3. Ocular hypertension having very low/moderate risk of POAG
- 4. Secondary and developmental glaucoma including exfoliative glaucoma, pigmentary glaucoma, trauma induced and inflammatory glaucoma
- 5. POAG with advanced stage of optic atrophy with blindness
- 6. POAG associated with any other chorioretinal lesions such as severe myopic degeneration, atypical RP (BRVO elaborate) and chorio retinitis
- 7. OAG associated with OD lesions such as drusen, coloboma, papillitis, papilledema and any compressive optic nerve lesions
- 8. Patients of POAG who have media opacities due to

well-developed cataract or any other causes which is sufficient to interfere with detailed ONH evaluation.

Investigations

Hemoglobin %, random blood sugar and lipid profile were carried out before treatment (if history of anemia, diabetes, and obese patient).

Grouping and posology *Group A*

Forty-five patients completed the study in this group. The patients were subjected for preparatory phase as follows:

- Deepana-Pachana (drugs which improve digestion and metabolism) – given for the duration of 2–3 days using *Amapachana Vati/Chitrakadi Vati* in the dose as per the requirement of the patient^[9]
- Sadhyo Virechana (purgation) with Dashamoola Kwatha - 50 ml, Dugdha (milk) - 100 ml, Eranda Taila (castor oil) - 20 ml at bed time for 1 to 2 days after step 1 as per the requirement.

After preparatory phase, the following main line of management was adopted in addition to betaxolol (0.1%) or timolol (0.5%) topical antiglaucoma (IOP lowering) treatment.

- 1. *Nasya Karma* (oleation through nasal route) 8 drops/nostril of JT transnasally for seven days/month for 3 sittings in 3 months was given
- 2. *Akshi Tarpana* (eye satiation) *Akshi Tarpana* was performed with plain *Go-Ghrita* for 7 days/month, average quantity 40 ml/sitting for 15 min/day for 3 sittings in 3 months.

Nasya and *Tarpana* procedures were carried out simultaneously on the same day. Second and third month sitting of *Nasya Karma* and *Tarpana* preceded by 7 days gap.

- Schedule of *Nasya* and *Tarpana*: First month: From 1st to 7th day of the month, *Nasya Karma* and *Akshi Tarpana* were performed
- 2. Second and third month: From 1st to 7th day of the month, *Nasya Karma* was performed, followed by *Akshi Tarpana* from day 15th to 21th of the month.

Oral medicine – VFP 6 g/day for 3 months added with *Triphla Ghrita* and honey at night followed by 100 ml milk (3 h after dinner) once a day.

Group B (control group)

Forty-six patients completed this study in this group. The patients of this group were put on for brimonidine (iobrim) 0.2% eye drops, 1 drop once daily or twice daily depending on the condition.

Total duration of treatment was 3 months.

Follow-up

Follow-up was done for 60 days after treatment. During this period, the patients were asked to visit once in a month for assessment.

Enrolled patients in both the groups were advised to continue modern antiglaucoma treatment and stay away from the identified and known etiological factors. They were also advised to have a control over DM and HTN illnesses if any.

Assessment

Effect of treatment was assessed on the basis of subjective and objective parameters. The parameters included are as follows:

- 1. Subjective parameters
 - a. Blurred vision (BV)
 - b. Frequent changes of presbyopic glasses (FCPG)
 - c. Delayed dark adaptation (DDA)
 - d. Visual field defects (VFDs)
- 2. Objective parameters
 - a. Best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA)
 - b. Goldmann applanation tonometry (GAT)
 - c. ONH changes examined by direct/indirect ophthalmoscopy (DO/IDO) examination and slit lamp examination (SLE) with 90 D lens^[10]
 - i. Vertical cup-disc ratio
 - ii. Polar notching
 - iii. Diffuse thinning
 - iv. Disc hemorrhages (splinter hemorrhage)
 - v. Retinal nerve fiber layer defect
 - vi. Peripapillary atrophy
 - vii. Nasalization of blood vessels
 - viii. Bayonetting of blood vessels
 - ix. Baring of blood vessels
 - x. Over passing/bridging of blood vessels
 - xi. Disc damage likelihood scale
 - d. Automated static perimetry (Opto/Medmont) with central 22°/glaucoma screening/glaucoma threshold patterns
 - i. Mean deviation (MD)
 - ii. Number of points (NOP) depressed at P < 0.5% level
 - iii. NOP depressed at P < 0.1% level
 - iv. NOP present in central 5° at <15 dB
 - v. NOP present in central 5° at <0 dB
 - vi. Hemifield pairs in central 5° with <15 dB
 - e. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) by Zeiss Stratus OCT to assess^[11]
 - i. Average RNFL thickness
 - ii. Superior average RNFL thickness
 - iii. Inferior average RNFL thickness
 - f. Hodapp glaucoma staging.^[12]

All the investigations were carried out in the Institute Hospital, except the OCT that was performed in the eye care hospital at Jamnagar.

Analysis of the data and presentation of the results

The data obtained were assessed using paired and unpaired *t*-test.

Observations

Total patients enrolled in this study were 102 (52 in Group A, 50 in Group B), of which 91 have completed the study

(45 in Group A, 46 in Group B). Six patients could not visit the OPD at regular intervals because of their busy working schedules. Two patients shifted from the study center to other places, while three did not turn up after baseline examination due to unknown causes.

Positive family history of glaucoma was observed in 5.88% patients, 80.39% patients were under medical management, and 59.80% were taking allopathic treatment for GON. Highest number of patients (39.21%) had a chronicity of 1–3 years. Diabetes mellitus was associated with POAG, i.e., 15.55% in Group A and 12.75% in Group B.

Table 1 shows the incidence of ONH signs observed on DO/IDO/90 D SLE.

 Table 1: Incidence of optic nerve head signs observed on

 DO/IDO/90 D slit lamp examination

Other	Grou	p A	Grou	р В	Gr	and total
parameters	Right	Left	Right	Left	Total	Percentage
Polar notching	9	15	12	19	55	31.60
Diffuse NRR thinning	6	9	8	9	32	18.39
Splinter hemorrhage	1	5	3	4	13	7.47
RNFL defect	6	13	7	10	36	20.68
PPA	23	29	23	24	99	56.89
Nasalization of blood vessels	39	43	42	44	168	96.55
Bayoneting of BV	24	28	27	28	107	61.49
Baring of BV	6	10	9	10	35	20.11
Bridging of BV	5	7	10	12	34	19.54

RNFL: Retinal nerve fiber layer, BV: Blurred vision, PPA: Peripapillary atrophy, NRR: Neuro retinal rim

Results

Statistically highly significant (P < 0.001) improvement was observed in BV, FCPG, and DDA as depicted in Table 2 in Group A; analysis of the VFD shows that the result was statistically significant (P < 0.1). Statistically highly significant (P < 0.001) improvement was observed in BV, FCPG, and DDA in Group B [Table 3]; analysis of the VFD shows that the result was statistically insignificant (P < 1.0).

Statistically highly significant (P < 0.001) improvement was observed in BCVA and GAT in Group A [Table 4]; analysis of the GON staging showed that the result was statistically insignificant (P < 0.5). Statistically highly significant (P < 0.001) improvement was observed in NOP depressed at P < 5% and NOP depressed at P < 1% level. Analysis of the MD showed that the result was statistically significant (P < 0.02) and analysis of the NOP depressed < 15 dBlevel in central 5°, NOP depressed < 0 dB level in central 5°, and hemifield pairs in central $5^{\circ} < 15$ dB showed that the result was statistically insignificant (P < 0.5) in Group A [Table 5]. Statistically highly significant (P < 0.001) improvement was observed in RNFL superior thickness; analysis of the total average thickness and inferior average thickness showed that the result was statistically in significant (P < 0.5) in Group A [Table 6].

Statistically highly significant (P < 0.001) improvement was observed in BCVA and GAT and analysis of the GON staging showed that the result was statistically insignificant (P < 1.0) in Group B [Table 7]. Analysis of the NOP depressed at P < 5% probability showed that the result was statistically significant (P < 0.1) and other perimetry findings such as MD, NOP depressed at P < 1% level, NOP depressed <15 dB level in central 5°, NOP depressed < 0 dB level central 5°,

Table 2: Effect	Table 2: Effect of therapy on subjective parameters in Group A ($n=86$)													
Parameter	Mean		Difference	Percentage of relief	SD	SE	t	Р	Remarks					
	BT	AT												
BV	2.070	0.860	1.209	58.40	1.030	0.111	10.885	< 0.001	HS					
FCPG	1.291	0.271	1.035	80.17	0.969	0.105	9.849	< 0.001	HS					
DDA	0.535	0.247	0.294	54.95	0.553	0.0599	4.907	< 0.001	HS					
VFD (Scotoma)	0.151	0.129	0.0235	15.56	0.152	0.0165	1.423	< 0.1	S					

BV: Blurred vision, DDA: Delayed dark adaptation, FCPG: Frequent changes of presbyopic glasses, BT: Before treatment, AT: After treatment, SD: Standard deviation, SE: Standard error, VFD: Visual field defect, HS: Highly significant, S: Significant

Table 3: Effect	Table 3: Effect of therapy on subjective parameters in Group B ($n=88$)													
Parameter	Mean		Difference	Percentage of relief	SD	SE	t	Р	Remarks					
	BT	AT												
BV	1.943	1.307	0.636	32.73	0.591	0.0630	10.105	< 0.001	HS					
FCPG	1.261	0.875	0.386	30.61	0.702	0.0748	5.164	< 0.001	HS					
DDA	0.886	0.602	0.284	32.05	0.524	0.0559	5.085	< 0.001	HS					
VFD (Scotoma)	0.148	0.148	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	<1.00	NS					

BV: Blurred vision, DDA: Delayed dark adaptation, FCPG: Frequent changes of presbyopic glasses, BT: Before treatment, AT: After treatment, SD: Standard deviation, SE: Standard error, VFD: Visual field defect, HS: Highly significant, NS: Non significant

Table 4: Effect of therapy on objective parameters (best-corrected visual acuity/Goldmann applanation tonometry/ glaucomatous optic neuropathy) in Group A (n=86)

Parameter	Mean		Difference	Percentage of relief	SD	SE	t	Р	Remarks
	BT	AT							
BCVA	1.337	0.988	0.349	26.10	0.503	0.0543	6.427	< 0.001	HS
GAT	3.791	2.302	1.488	39.25	1.060	0.114	13.023	< 0.001	HS
GON stage	1.721	1.709	0.0116	0.67	0.108	0.0116	1.000	>0.321	NS

BCVA: Best-corrected visual acuity, GAT: Goldmann applanation tonometry, GON: Glaucomatous optic neuropathy, BT: Before treatment, AT: After treatment, SD: Standard deviation, SE: Standard error, HS: Highly significant, NS: Non significant

Table 5: Effect of therapy on perimetry examination findings in Group A (n=86)Р Parameter Mean Difference Percentage of relief SD SE t Remarks BT AT Mean deviation 2.779 2.721 0.0581 2.09 0.235 0.0254 2.291 < 0.024 S NOP depressed at P <5 8.744 24.73 3.521 0.380 6.581 2.163 5.697 < 0.001HS NOP depressed at P < 17.628 17.06 2.286 0.246 5.283 < 0.001 HS 6.326 1.302 NS NOP depressed <15 dB in central 5° 2.849 2.489 0.244 8.56 1.283 0.138 1.765 0.081 0.849 NOP depressed <0 dB central 5° 0.779 0.0698 8.22 0.527 0.0568 1.228 0.223 NS Hemi field pairs in central 5° <15 dB 0.558 0.547 2.07 0.108 0.0116 1.000 0.320 NS 0.0116

BT: Before treatment, AT: After treatment, NOP: Number of points, SD: Standard deviation, SE: Standard error, HS: Highly significant NS: Non significant S: Significant

Table 6: Effect o	Table 6: Effect of therapy on retinal nerve fiber thickness in Group A ($n=86$)													
Parameter	Mean		Difference	Percentage of relief	SD	SE	t	Р	Remarks					
	BT	AT												
Average thickness	80.414	77.619	2.486	3.09	17.275	1.863	1.500	0.137	NS					
Superior thickness	95.488	88.256	7.233	7.57	27.800	2.998	2.413	0.018	S					
Inferior thickness	95.628	92.465	3.30	2.89	31.043	3.347	0.945	0.347	NS					

BT: Before treatment, AT: After treatment, SD: Standard deviation, SE: Standard error, NS: Non significant, S: Significant

Table 7: Effect of therapy on objective parameters (best-corrected visual acuity/Goldmann applanation tonometry/ glaucomatous optic neuropathy) in Group B (n=88)

•	•	,	· · ·	,					
Parameter	Mean		Difference	Percentage of relief	SD	SE	t	Р	Remarks
	BT	AT							
BCVA	1.239	0.909	0.330	26.63	0.519	0.0553	5.955	< 0.001	HS
GAT	4.102	2.602	1.500	36.56	1.269	0.135	11.092	< 0.001	HS
GON	1.659	1.659	0.000	0.00	-	-	-	1.00	NS
CD C: 1 1	1		DOLL D		0.11	1	(CON	<u>C1</u>	

SD: Standard deviation, SE: Standard error, BCVA: Best-corrected visual acuity, GAT: Goldmann applanation tonometry, GON: Glaucomatous optic neuropathy, BT: Before treatment, AT: After treatment, NS: Non significant, HS: Highly significant

and hemifield pairs in central $5^{\circ} < 15$ dB, all were found to be statistically insignificant in Group B [Table 8]. Statistically significant (P < 0.1) improvement was observed in RNFL superior thickness and analysis of total average thickness and inferior average thickness showed that the result was statistically insignificant (P > 0.5) in Group B [Table 9].

Comparative effect of treatment [Table 10] between the groups showed difference in BV and FCPG which was statistically highly significant. Group A treatment showed better percentage of improvement than Group B. The difference between the two methods of treatment, in DDA and VFD, was statistically significant. Group A clinically (subjectively) provided better percentage of improvement than Group B. However the difference between the two methods of treatment in BCVA, GAT and GON staging was statistically insignificant.

Analysis of the comparative effect of treatment on perimetry findings between the Group A and B showed that the difference between the two methods of treatment in NOP depressed at P < 5% and NOP depressed at P < 1% level was statistically highly significant. Group A treatment plan showed better percentage of improvement than Group B. The difference between two groups in treating MD was statistically

Parameter	Mean		Difference	Percentage of relief	SD	SE	t	Р	Remarks
	BT	AT							
Mean deviation	2.841	2.830	0.0114	0.40	0.185	0.0198	0.575	0.567	NS
NOP depressed at $P < 5\%$	8.261	7.909	0.352	4.26	2.000	0.213	1.652	0.102	S
NOP depressed at $P < 1\%$	6.625	6.523	0.102	1.53	2.738	0.292	0.350	0.727	NS
NOP depressed <15 dB in central 5°	2.138	2.057	0.0805	3.76	0.852	0.0914	0.881	0.381	NS
NOP depressed <0 dB central 5°	0.701	0.678	0.0230	3.28	0.263	0.0282	0.815	0.417	NS
Hemifield pairs in central 5°<15 dB	0.625	0.625	0.000	0.00	-	-	-	-	

BT: Before treatment, AT: After treatment, NOP: Number of points, SD: Standard deviation, SE: Standard error, NS: Non significant, S: Significant

Table 9: Effect of therapy on retinal nerve fiber thickness in Group B ($n=88$)												
Mean		Difference	Percentage of relief	SD	SE	t	Р	Remarks				
BT	AT											
76.498	76.997	0.498	0.65	18.419	1.963	0.254	0.800	NS				
88.202	93.843	5.640	6.39	40.373	4.280	1.318	0.191	S				
91.364	91.477	0.114	0.12	37.036	3.948	0.0288	0.977	NS				
	BT 76.498 88.202	Mean BT AT 76.498 76.997 88.202 93.843	Mean Difference BT AT 76.498 76.997 0.498 88.202 93.843 5.640	Mean Difference Percentage of relief BT AT	Mean Difference Percentage of relief SD BT AT	Mean Difference Percentage of relief SD SE BT AT 1.963 1.963 1.963 76.498 76.997 0.498 0.65 18.419 1.963 88.202 93.843 5.640 6.39 40.373 4.280	Mean Difference Percentage of relief SD SE t BT AT	Mean Difference Percentage of relief SD SE t P BT AT				

treatment, SD: Standard deviation, SE: Standard error, NS: Non significant, S: Significant

Parameter	Group	Percentage of relief	Mean difference	SD	SE	t	Р	Remarks
BV	Group A	58.40	0.573	1.030	0.111	4.513	< 0.001	HS
	Group B	32.73		0.591	0.0630			
FCPG	Group A	80.17	0.637	0.970	0.105	4.971	< 0.001	HS
	Group B	30.61		0.702	0.0748			
DDA	Group A	54.95	0.126	0.524	0.0559	1.622	0.107	S
	Group B	32.05		0.463	0.0532			
VFD (Scotoma)	Group A	17.06	0.0233	0.152	0.0163	1.439	0.152	S
	Group B	0.000		0.000	0.000			
BCVA	Group A	26.10	0.0193	0.503	0.0543	0.249	0.804	NS
	Group B	26.63		0.519	0.0553			
GAT	Group A	39.25	0.0658	1.269	0.135	0.357	0.722	NS
	Group B	36.56		1.060	0.114			
GON	Group A	0.67	0.0116	0.108	0.0116	1.012	0.313	NS
	Group B	0.00		0.000	0.000			

BV: Blurred vision, BCVA: Best-corrected visual acuity, GAT: Goldmann applanation tonometry, GON: Glaucomatous optic neuropathy, VFD: Visual field defect, DDA: Delayed dark adaptation, FCPG: Frequent changes of presbyopic glasses, SD: Standard deviation, SE: Standard error, NS: Not significant, HS: Highly significant, S: Significant,

significant (P < 0.1). However, the difference between the two methods of treatment in NOP depressed <15 dB level in central 5°, NOP depressed <0 dB level in central 5°, and hemifield pairs in central 5° <15 dB is statistically insignificant. Group A clinically provided better percentage of improvement than Group B [Table 11].

A careful analysis of the comparative effect of treatment between the Groups A and B on RNFL thickness showed that the difference between the two methods of treatment was statistically insignificant (P < 0.5). However, Group A strategy provided better percentage of relief than Group B clinically [Table 12].

The overall assessment of the treatment showed that in Group A, progression was observed, and in 98.83% of the eyes, there was no progression, whereas in Group B, 3.40% of the eyes showed signs of progression and the rest 96.59% of the eyes showed no progression in the disease [Table 13].

After a follow-up of 3 months analysis of recurrence BCVA rate showed that there was no change in the condition during the follow-up period in both the groups. Analysis of GAT rate of recurrence showed that even in the follow-up period, GAT value was well maintained in Group A than in Group B [Table 14].

Discussion

Rasayana refers to the nutrition and its transportation in the body. Rasayana is actually that which increases the essence of each Dhatu, starting from Rasa. Such a state of improved nutrition Dhiman, et al.: Neuroprotective effect of Chakshushya Rasayana in the managment of progressive glaucomatous optic neuropathy: A clinical study

Parameter	Group	Percentage of relief	Mean difference	SD	SE	t	Р	Remarks
Mean deviation	Group A	2.09	0.0468	0.235	0.0254	0.0468	0.147	S
	Group B	0.40		0.185	0.0198			
NOP depressed at $P < 5\%$	Group A	24.73	1.811	3.521	0.380	4.183	< 0.001	HS
	Group B	4.26		2.000	0.213			
NOP depressed at $P < 1\%$	Group A	17.06	1.200	2.286	0.246	3.135	0.002	HS
	Group B	1.53		2.738	0.292			
NOP depressed <15 dB in central 5°	Group A	8.56	0.165	1.283	0.138	1.001	0.318	NS
	Group B	3.76		0.847	0.0903			
NOP depressed <0 dB central 5°	Group A	8.22	0.0470	0.527	0.0568	0.749	0.455	NS
	Group B	3.28		0.262	0.0279			
Hemi field pairs in central 5°<15 dB	Group A	2.07	0.0116	0.108	0.0116	0.582	0.561	NS
	Group B	0.00		0.152	0.0162			

Table 11: Combined effect of therapy on perimetry findings in both Group A and Group B (n=174)

SD: Standard deviation, SE: Standard error, NOP: Number of points, S: Significant, NS: Non significant

Table 12: Combined effect of therapy on retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in both Group A and Group B ($n=174$)									
Parameter	Group	Percentage of relief	Mean difference	SD	SE	t	Р	Remarks	
Average thickness	Group A	3.09	2.297	17.275	1.863	0.848	0.398	NS	
	Group B	0.65		18.419	1.963				
Superior thickness	Group A	7.57	1.528	27.800	2.998	0.289	0.773	NS	
	Group B	6.39		40.600	4.328				
Inferior thickness	Group A	2.89	3.049	31.043	3.347	0.588	0.557	NS	
	Group B	0.12		37.036	3.948				

SD: Standard deviation, SE: Standard erro, NS: Non significant

Table 13: Progression of glaucoma after treatment										
Disease status after treatment	Group A		Group	В	Total					
	Number of eyes	Percentage	Number of eyes	Percentage	Number of eyes	Percentage				
Progression present	1	0.02	3	3.40	4	2.29				
No progression	85	98.83	85	96.59	170	97.70				

Table 14: Rate of recurrence in best-corrected visual acuity and Goldmann applanation tonometry after follow-up period in Group A and Group B (N=86 and 88)

Parameter	Group	Mean		Difference	Percentage of relief	SD	SE	t	Р	Remarks
		AT	AF							
BCVA	Group A	0.908	0.908	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Group B	1.307	1.307	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAT	Group A	15.658	15.566	0.0921	0.59	1.267	0.145	0.634	>0.5	NS
	Group B	16.545	16.795	-0.250	-1.51	1.635	0.174	-1.435	>0.1	NS

BCVA: Best-corrected visual acuity, GAT: Goldmann applanation tonometry, SD: Standard deviation, SE: Standard error, AT: After treatemnt, AF: After followup, NS: Non significant

is claimed to lead to a series of secondary attributes such as longevity, immunity against diseases, enhancing the function of sense organs, increasing the mental competence and delaying of aging. Immunomodulators are considered now as one of the most potent tools in the preservation of health and management of disease by modern medicine. VFP is a unique rejuvenating poly-herbomineral compound used in the treatment of *Vataja Timira*. The drug taken in a powder form offers some unique advantages such as it can be administered easily with *Anupana* to all people who are averse to swallow tablets/capsules and powders will have a rapid onset of action since disintegration is not required; hence, the drug was selected in powder form.

Chakshushya Rasayana group of drugs are defined as the one which apart from having *Rasayana* property possess the special affinity to visual apparatus resulting in vision promotion, helps in bringing homeostasis in the eye, rejuvenates the eye structurally and functionally and thus protecting the eye from easily getting afflicted by any disease. The drugs and treatment

protocol selected are having antioxidants, neuroprotective, healing, adaptability, and immunity enhancing properties. The previously diagnosed patients who were on timolol/betaxolol eye drops were asked to continue their antiglaucoma topical medicines and taken under Group A. Newly diagnosed patients were advised with brimonidine eye drops after consultation with modern ophthalmologist (Group B). Brimonidine has got some neuroprotective effect with its IOP lowering effect that is why to avoid summative effect of neuroprotection of brimonidine, Group A was designed with beta-blocker eye drops.^[13] This was also helpful to compare the neuroprotective effect of *Chakshushya Rasayana* and brimonidine. With this background in mind, the present clinical study was planned.

Of 102 registered patients of glaucoma, maximum patients had Swapna Viparyaya (im proper sleep pattern) and in this, about 40% patients had Alpa Nidra (insomnia) due to various causes. In the Aharaja Nidana (dietetic factor), maximum numbers of patients were having regular habit of taking Masha-Kulatha-Amla-Suktha Aharas. In the Viharaja Nidana (daily activities) a maximum number of patients had Atapa-Anala Sevana of about 23.52 % was repoted. Swapna Viparyaya leads to Agni Mandya and Vata Prakopa. Vidahi-Katu-Amla Rasa leads to Pitta-Vata Prakopa and Sama Rasa-Rakta Dhatu. The researches have shown excessive exposure to intense light (including UV radiation) may damage or cause the death of RGCs leading to formation of glaucoma. Excessive near-work requires excessive convergence, i.e., strain in the eyes which may bring the degenerative changes in the eye including trabecular meshwork resulting in glaucoma. This also causes Vata Prakopa in the Netra which brings loss of equilibrium of other two Doshas resulting in Netra Roga.

Effects of therapies were assessed in a total of 91 patients of Group A and Group B. Both the groups showed statistically highly significant results in many of the subjective as well as objective parameters. According to the percentage relief-wise observation in clinical features, few parameters showed some variations in the efficacy between the groups. On analysis of the effect of therapy, it was observed that BV, FCPG, and DDA were statistically highly significant (P < 0.001) improved in both Groups A and B. However, the percentage of improvement in Group A was greater than Group B. The literature also says that the cause for these symptoms is raised IOP. The Chakshushya drugs such as Jeevanti, Shatavari, Triphala, Rasayana Choorna, and Swarna Makshika Bhasma by virtue of their Guru-Snigdha Guna, Madhura-Kashava-Tikta Rasa, Sheeta Veerya, Madhura Vipaka, and Jivaniya/Brimhaniya (anabolic) property might have shown this improvement. On analysis of the effect of therapy, it was observed that there was no change in the morphologic changes seen in ONH, before and after treatment in both the groups. This indicates that the visible structural damages once occurred are variable. A long period treatment protocol is required for its treatment.

The effect of therapy on BCVA in both the groups was statistically highly significant (P < 0.001) with equal

percentage of relief (26%). BCVA is not directly related with the severity of glaucoma unless the refractive media including cornea, quality of aqueous humor and macular regions are not involved. The incidence of higher visual disturbance was greater in Group A than Group B. This may be the cause for not getting higher percentage of relief in Group A. In Group A, better control of IOP with Chakshushya Rasayana therapy and its neuroenhancer effect might have brought this much of improvement in BCVA in spite of having higher visual disturbance in the patients compared to Group B which may have accidentally occurred on its own without any bias. The effect of therapy on GAT in both the groups was statistically highly significant (P < 0.001). The literature says that raised IOP is one of the major risk factors for glaucoma progression and optic nerve damage. The Guru-Snigdha Guna, Madhura-Tikta-Kashava Rasa and Sheeta Veerva checks the degeneration and enhance repairing of the tissue, there by re-establishing channels. By this way, the IOP might have better controlled in trial group than the control group where IOP was reduced by inhibiting the production of aqueous. Hence, the combined approach of modern antiglaucoma treatment along with the Chakshushya Rasayana regimen will definitely better manage the condition. Analysis showed that the effect of therapy in perimetry on NOP depressed at P < 5% probability, P < 1% probability was statistically highly significant (P < 0.001) in trial group, whereas in control group for NOP depressed at <5%, probability was significant, and <1%, probability was insignificant (P > 0.5). This implies that the loss of retinal sensitivity within <12 dB (3-6 dB at 5% probability and 6–12 dB at 1% probability) was improved after treatment in Group A.

The RGCs which are the cause for the retinal sensitivity may be improving their functional level which was severely damaged earlier. This might have been occurred in many ways by the drug therapy interrupting the pathogenesis at many levels. The drugs with their Sukshma Srotogami and Marga Vishodhana property cleared the channels (removing Sanga/Margavarodha). The drugs by their Guru-Snigdha Guna, Madhura Rasa, Sheeta Veerya, and Vata Shamana properties cause Vatanulomana and Dhatu Poshana (anabolic function). The Kashaya-Tikta-Madhura Rasa might have helped in healing the degenerated Dhatu. The drugs by of their Balya-Jeevaniya (anabolic function) action strengthen the microvessels, and thus, the overall effect was improved by nourishment, healing, and regeneration of the lost tissue. This overall drug effect at multilevel improved the RGC functions, thereby improving the retinal sensitivity. This proves that the Ayurvedic Chakshushya Rasayana therapy has a significant level of effect in bringing back the lost RGCs function, especially when administered as adjuvant along with the modern antiglaucoma therapy.

Analysis of the effect of therapy on RNFL thickness showed that the superior thickness of RNFL improved statistically which was highly significan in Group A, when compared to statistically significant improvement in Group B. This is one of the important indicators to assess the structural damage for GON. RNFL thickness which was decreased before the treatment was improved after treatment significantly. This indicates improved retinal sensitivity after the treatment which also justifies the improvement of retinal sensitivity seen in the perimetry findings at P < 5% and P < 1% probability. The mechanism of function may be similar to that as explained to effect of therapy in perimetry findings. Overall assessment of the treatment showed that in Group A, showed 1 (1.3%) eyes progression was observed, and in 85 (98.83%) eyes, there was no progression, whereas in Group B, 3 (3.40%) eyes had shown signs of progression and the rest 85 (96.59%) eyes had no progression in the disease. This proves that better prevention of rate of progression in Group A as compared to Group B, may be due to the effect of overall Chakshushya Rasayana therapy. Better maintenance of IOP during follow-up period in group A compared to Group B patients can be attributed to the effect of overall Chakshushya *Rasayana* therapy, effect of which was still persisting during the follow-up period of 2 months.

Conclusion

Deepana/Pachana and Koshtha-Shuddhi (digestion and metabolism) protocol as the preparatory phase was adopted in this clinical trial for relieving the Srotorodha (microchannel obstruction) thus preparing the body for *Rasayana* therapy. Nasya Karma (Trans nasal medication) as the main treatment protocol further helped in the microchannel clearance and revitalization of the supraclavicular structures.[14] Chakshushya Rasavana the rejuvenating/revitalizing drugs with special affinity to visual apparatus structurally and physiologically enhanced adaptability and immunity of eye thus helped in maintaining the homeostasis. Significant improvement was observed in subjective parameter in Group A such as BV, FCPG and DDA. Improvement in the MD i.e., the average retinal sensitivity in Group A (trial group) showed just significant effect on statistical analysis, whereas in Group B (control group), the response was statistically insignificant. The inference is that RGCs normal physiology may have started to reverse with Chakshushya Rasayana treatment protocol within 3 months of duration. The antioxidants, neuroprotection, healing, immunomodulatory action of flavonoids, alkaloids, glycosides, carbohydrates, and tannins on phytochemical analysis of compound formulation seems to be responsible for altering the status of GON.^[15]

Thus, it can be concluded that *Chakshushya Rasayana* protocol if administered in a systematic approach along with a modern topical antiglaucoma drops has a definite role in improving the lost retinal sensitivity as much as up to 12 dB in 3 months duration. Early intervention with this treatment protocol is expected to fourfold the outcome.

Financial support and sponsorship IPGT and RA, GAU.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Shields MB. Shields Textbook of Glaucoma. 6th ed. Baltimore, Maryland.: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins Publishers; 2005. p. 129, 176.
- Sihota R, Tandon R. Parsons Diseases of the Eye. 22nd ed. Gurgaon: Elsevier Publication; 2015. p. 563.
- Sihota R, Tandon R. Parsons Diseases of the Eye. 22nd ed. Gurgaon: Elsevier Publication; 2015. p. 296.
- National Survey on Blindness. Report 2001-2002. Govt. of India, NBCP-India newsletter, Jan.-March 2013.p. 4.
- Khurana AK. Comprehensive Ophthalmology. 6th ed. New Delhi: Jaypee The Health Science Publisher; 2015. p. 478.
- Khurana AK. Comprehensive Ophthalmology. 6th ed. New Delhi Jaypee The Health Science Publisher; 2015. p. 224.
- Arundutta H, editor. Ashtanga Hridayam of Vagbhatta, Uttaratantra. 9th ed. Ch. 13. Ver. 16. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Orientalia; 2005. p. 949.
- Arundutta H, editor. Ashtanga Hridayam of Vagbhatta, Uttaratantra. 9th ed. Ch. 13, Ver. 51. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Orientalia; 2005. p. 956.
- Acharya YT, editor. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha, Chikitsa Sthana. 2nd ed. Ch. 15. Ver. 97. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan; 1990. p. 567.
- Weinreb RN, Krieglstein K. Glaucoma in the 21st Century. 1st ed. California: Harcourt Health Communications; 2000. p. 9.
- Weinreb RN, Krieglstein K. Glaucoma in the 21st Century. 1st ed. California: Harcourt Health Communications; 2000. p. 15.
- Hodappe E, Parish RK, Anderson DR. Clinical Decision in Glaucoma. St. Louis, Missouri: C.V. Mosby Co.; 1993. p. 52-61.
- Galanopoulos A, Goldberg I. Clinical efficacy and neuroprotective effects of brimonidine in the management of glaucoma and ocular hypertension. Clin Ophthalmol 2009; 3:117-22.
- Shastri AD, editor. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta, Chikisa Sthana. Reprint ed. Ch. 40. Ver. 23. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan; 2012. p. 225.
- Adoor V, Dhiman KS. Pharmacognostical & physico-chemical evaluation of vara fort powder – A poly herbo-mineral compound in the management of GON. Int J Recent Adv Pharm Res 2013;3:38-46.

हिन्दी सारांश

प्रगतिशील ग्लूकोमेट्स ऑप्टिक न्यूरोपैथी के प्रबंधन में बीटा ब्लोकर आई ड्रॉप्स के साथ चक्षुष्य रसायन का सहायक प्रभाव - यादृच्छिक चिकित्सकीय परीक्षण

के. एस. धीमान, वीरानागौड़ा एस. अधूर, रीजु अग्रवाल, अमित जे मेहता

न्यूरो रेटिनो ऑप्टिक नर्व क्षयजन्य, प्राथमिक ओपेन एंगल ग्लूकोमा एक घातक चिरकालिक दृष्टि संबंधी व्याधि है । जिसका मुख्य कारण बढ़ा हुआ इंट्राऑक्यूलर दबाब है या कुछ अन्य स्वतंत्र कारण है। इसकी मुख्य चिकित्सा बढ़े हुये इंट्राऑक्यूलर दबाब (आई ओ पी) को कम करना है। जिसके लिये जीवन पर्यत स्थानिक विभिन्न औषधियाँ जो कि आई. ओ. पी. को कम करती हैं या शल्य प्रक्रिया आदि उपाय हैं । परंतु इन सभी की इस अवस्था को सीमित करने की एक परिसीमा है तथा यही कारण है कि इसकी चिकित्सा के लिए सहायक न्यूरोप्रोटेक्टिव द्रव्यों का प्रयोग होता है । प्रस्तुत शोध कार्य का मुख्य हेतु चक्षुष्य रसायन का ग्लूकोमेट्स ऑप्टिक न्युरोपैथी के प्रबंधन में न्युरोप्रोटेक्टिव प्रभाव का अध्ययन करना है। शोध द्रव्य वाराफोर्ट के सभी घटक द्रव्य संस्थान के औषध शाला से प्राप्त किये। सिर्फ स्वर्ण माक्षिक भस्म धूतपापेश्वर औषधालय से प्राप्त की गयी। समावेश कसौटी में उपयुक्त रोगियों को लिंग, जाति, व्यवसाय आदि का भेद किए बिना, ओ. पी. डी. या आई. पी. डी. से चयन किया गया तथा ओपन लेवल रेंडेमाईजेशन पद्धति के आधार पर दो वर्गों में विभाजित किया गया। वर्ग अ में कोष्ठ शुद्धि के बाद चक्षुष्य रसायन, त्रिफला घृत के साथ (6 ग्राम / प्रतिदिन) दिया गया। इसके अलावा रोगियों को जीवन्त्यादि तैल से नस्य तथा गोघृत से चक्षु तर्पण भी किया गया । वर्ग ब में ब्रीमोनिडीन 0.2 % आई डॉप तीन मास तक दिया गया । वर्ग अ के रोगियों में धुंधलापन, बार बार जराक्षि दोषात्मक चश्मा बदलवाने की जरूरत तथा डीलेड डार्क एडप्टेशन में सार्थक परिणाम प्राप्त हुये। इस शोध से यह निष्कर्ष निकाला गया कि यदि चक्षुष्य रसायन सिस्टेमेटिक दृष्टिकोण तथा आधुनिक स्थानिक बीटाज़ोलोल या टीमोलोल आई ड्रॉप के साथ उपयोग किया जाता है तब इसका क्षय हुई रेटिनल सेंस्टेविटी (संवेदन शीलता) को 12 डी बी तक बढाने की क्षमता तीन मास में बढ जाती है।