iScience



Article

SARS-CoV-2 infects an *in vitro* model of the human developing pancreas through endocytosis



104594 July 15, 2022 © 2022 The Authors. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.isci.2022.104594

Szlachcic et al., iScience 25,

Check for

iScience

Article

SARS-CoV-2 infects an *in vitro* model of the human developing pancreas through endocytosis

Wojciech J. Szlachcic,^{1,9} Agnieszka Dabrowska,^{2,3,9} Aleksandra Milewska,² Natalia Ziojla,¹ Katarzyna Blaszczyk,¹ Emilia Barreto-Duran,² Marek Sanak,⁴ Marcin Surmiak,⁴ Katarzyna Owczarek,² Dariusz Grzanka,⁵ Julia Durzynska,⁶ Krzysztof Pyrc,^{2,8,*} and Malgorzata Borowiak^{1,7,8,10,*}

SUMMARY

Recent studies showed that SARS-CoV-2 can infect adult human pancreas and trigger pancreatic damage. Here, using human fetal pancreas samples and 3D differentiation of human pluripotent cells into pancreatic endocrine cells, we determined that SARS-CoV-2 receptors ACE2, TMPRSS2, and NRP1 are expressed in precursors of insulin-producing pancreatic β -cells, rendering them permissive to SARS-CoV-2 infection. We also show that SARS-CoV-2 enters and undergoes efficient replication in human multipotent pancreatic and endocrine progenitors *in vitro*. Moreover, we investigated mechanisms by which SARS-CoV-2 enters pancreatic cells, and found that ACE2 mediates the entry, while NRP1 and TMPRSS2 do not. Surprisingly, we found that in pancreatic progenitors, SARS-CoV-2 enters cells via cathepsin-dependent endocytosis, which is a different route than in respiratory tract. Therefore, pancreatic spheroids might serve as a model to study candidate drugs for endocytosis-mediated viral entry inhibition and to investigate whether SARS-CoV-2 infection may affect pancreas development, possibly causing lifelong health consequences.

INTRODUCTION

COVID-19 pandemic is caused by SARS-CoV-2, a recently identified betacoronavirus closely related to the SARS-CoV that emerged in 2002 (Zhang and Holmes, 2020; Wu et al., 2020). The two human SARS-like coronaviruses share several characteristics, including receptor usage and cell tropism (Li et al., 2003; Milewska et al., 2020a; Wrapp et al., 2020), and the respiratory tract being the main gate of viral entry (Ye et al., 2020). Although life-threatening pneumonia is the most obvious complication of SARS-CoV-2 infection (Zhu et al., 2020), COVID-19 is a systemic disease leading to multi-organ damage and still has unknown health consequences for recovered patients (Synowiec et al., 2021). The systems most affected by SARS-CoV-2 include cardiovascular (Puelles et al., 2020; Tavazzi et al., 2020; Varga et al., 2020), gastrointestinal (Wang et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020), renal (Puelles et al., 2020; Su et al., 2020), neurological (Di Carlo et al., 2020; Helms et al., 2020), and blood clotting (Connors and Levy, 2020).

Diabetes is a key risk factor for developing severe COVID-19 and disease-related mortality (Barron et al., 2020; CDC COVID-19 Response Team, 2020; Cummings et al., 2020; Onder et al., 2020; Lim et al., 2021). Interestingly, multiple studies pointed to the reverse association, namely, COVID-19 may impair the pancreas function (Croft et al., 2020; Kumaran et al., 2020; Szatmary et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; Ebekozien et al., 2020; Akarsu et al., 2022) and trigger diabetes (Chee et al., 2020; Kamrath et al., 2020; Caruso et al., 2020; Rubino et al., 2020; Hollstein et al., 2020, in children - Unsworth et al., 2020). Many recovered patients suffer from post-COVID-19 syndrome (Nalbandian et al., 2021), which also includes a several fold increase in diabetes prevalence compared to the general population (Ayoub-khani et al., 2021). Interestingly, the closest relative to SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV was also found in pancreatic tissue and associated with a higher risk of diabetes development (Lang et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2010).

Diabetes develops when glucose homeostasis is disrupted, either due to loss or dysfunction of pancreatic β -cells that produce insulin, or due to diminished body sensitivity to insulin. There are multiple scenarios by which SARS-CoV-2 infection might induce diabetes (Accili, 2021), and the most straightforward is direct infection and, consequently, loss of β -cells. Indeed, multiple studies showed that SARS-CoV-2 infects

¹Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Faculty of Biology, Adam Mickiewicz University, Uniwersytetu Poznanskiego 6, 61-614 Poznan, Poland

CellPress

²Malopolska Centre of Biotechnology, Jagiellonian University, Gronostajowa 7A, 30-387 Krakow, Poland

³Microbiology Department, Faculty of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Biotechnology, Jagiellonian University, Gronostajowa 7, 30-387 Krakow, Poland

⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, 30-688 Kraków, Poland

⁵Department of Clinical Pathomorphology, Faculty of Medicine, Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, 85-094 Bydgoszcz, Poland

⁶Institute of Experimental Biology, Faculty of Biology, Adam Mickiewicz University, Uniwersytetu Poznanskiego 6, 61-614 Poznan, Poland

⁷Stem Cell and Regenerative Medicine Center, Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030, USA

⁸Senior author

⁹These authors contributed equally

¹⁰Lead contact

*Correspondence: k.a.pyrc@uj.edu.pl (K.P.), malbor3@amu.edu.pl (M.B.) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci. 2022.104594





multiple exocrine and endocrine pancreatic cells, including β -cells, in *postmortem* pancreas of patients with COVID-19 (Coate et al., 2020; Fignani et al., 2020; Kusmartseva et al., 2020; Samanta et al., 2020; Müller et al., 2021; Shaharuddin et al., 2021; Steenblock et al., 2021), human β -cells derived from pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) (Yang et al., 2020), and primary ex vivo islets, leading to a decreased β -cell function *in vitro* (Müller et al., 2021). However, these studies point to high variability regarding infection rate and cell type affected between patients, as well as to variable expression of SARS-CoV-2 entry receptors without straightforward correlation with infection susceptibility. Moreover, how the virus presence affects pancreatic cells is not clear. Cell death was not commonly reported in affected β -cells. In one study, β -cells infection was rare and apoptosis was not observed; however, the authors found that SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsids are present more frequently in NKX6-1+ but INS- cells (Müller et al., 2021). Since the only NKX6-1+ cells in the adult pancreas are β -cells, this would suggest dedifferentiation of β -cells to immature, fetal-like, and nonfunctional states upon SARS-CoV-2 infection. Another work has also found that some infected β -cells in patients lose their identity and transdifferentiate via eIF2 pathway (Tang et al., 2021). Importantly, β -cells dedifferentiation can lead to diabetes (Talchai et al., 2012). Therefore, biological short- and long-term consequences of the SARS-CoV-2 presence in human islets cells remain still not well understood.

In utero exposure to various environmental factors, including viral infection, might predispose to obesity, type 2 or type 1 diabetes in later life (Craig et al., 2019; Shulman et al., 2014). These environmental factors probably interfere with proper pancreatic development. Recent studies revealed SARS-CoV-2 receptors expression in pre-implantation embryos (Weatherbee et al., 2020), as well as in placental and fetal tissues later in development (Li et al., 2020b; Cao et al., 2020), rendering the possibility of fetal infection. Indeed, several reports have indicated *in utero* mother-to-fetus transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 (Fenizia et al., 2020; Hosier et al., 2020; Vivanti et al., 2020; Shende et al., 2021). High loads of the virus in placental tissue could have an immense impact on development of the fetal pancreas, its maturation, and future physiological capacity to produce insulin and glucagon. Therefore, it is critical to establish when during development cells are vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2 infection and whether fetal or developing pancreatic cells are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 is yet unknown.

Coronaviruses can use two routes to enter target cells: they either fuse their lipid envelope with the cell membrane on the cell surface or are internalized by endocytosis (Hoffmann et al., 2020a; Milewska et al., 2018; Owczarek et al., 2018). Both routes require angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in the initial steps, while the next steps depend on the availability of proteases, which are responsible for the activation of the S protein. While in the respiratory tract the SARS-CoV-2 seems to exclusively utilize the cell surface membrane fusion route, the question remains whether in other tissues the mechanism is similar. This is important as ACE2 plays a critical role in regulating several vital parameters, including blood pressure, and its targeting could come with serious risks. On the other hand, targeting host proteases particularly responsible for the entry of SARS-CoV-2 into a given tissue could have fewer side effects.

Here, we investigate the susceptibility of developing human pancreatic cells to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Furthermore, we identify mechanisms through which SARS-CoV-2 enters pancreatic progenitors. Our data provide insights into SARS-CoV-2 cell infectivity of pancreatic endocrine cell progenitors and define a potential target for pancreatic cell-type-specific antiviral intervention.

RESULTS

SARS-CoV-2 receptors are expressed in the developing human pancreas and its *in vitro* counterparts

ACE2 serves as the SARS-CoV-2 receptor and is necessary to render cells permissive to infection (Hoffmann et al., 2020a). To test whether SARS-CoV-2 can infect the developing human pancreas, we first analyzed ACE2 expression in the developing organ compared to the adult pancreas. Using previously validated anti-ACE2 antibodies (Fignani et al., 2020; Kusmartseva et al., 2020; Coate et al., 2020; Müller et al., 2021), we observed ACE2 expression in the cytoplasm of adult pancreatic islet cells, which is consistent with previous reports (Fignani et al., 2020; Müller et al., 2021). Immunostaining of the human pancreas at 10.6 and 13 weeks post conception (wpc) revealed broad expression of the ACE2 protein in PDX1+ and NKX6.1+ pancreatic progenitors (Figure 1A). Analysis of single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) datasets of the human fetal pancreas at week 16 and 17 (Cao et al., 2020) showed ACE2 mRNA presence in a subset of pancreatic cells, including endocrine cells (Figure S1). Together, these data show that ACE2 is present in developing human pancreas.





Figure 1. SARS-CoV-2 receptors are expressed in the human fetal pancreas and in pancreatic progenitors derived from hPSCs

(A) Left: A representative confocal image of the human pancreas of healthy adult donors stained with antibodies against ACE2 (green) and insulin (red). Middle: ACE2 (green) expression in the human fetal pancreas at 10.6 wpc. PDX1+ (red) and NKX6-1+ (gray) mark pancreatic progenitors. Right: ACE2 (green) expression in the human fetal pancreas at 13 wpc. PDX1+ (gray) marks pancreatic progenitors and endocrine cells, and C-PEP (red) marks β-cells. Scale bars = 50 µm (adult tissue), 25 µm (fetal tissue). DAPI (blue) was used as a counterstain.

(B) Top: Scheme of 3D differentiation of hPSCs toward pancreatic endocrine cells. Bottom: ACE2 (green or red) is expressed in hPSC-derived 3D spheroids at multiple differentiation stages, i.e. pancreatic progenitors (PP; marked by PDX1 in red), early endocrine progenitors (early EP; marked by NKX6-1 nad NKX2-2 in red), late EPs (marked by CHGA in red or ISL1-GFP in green), and endocrine cells (EC, marked by C-PEP in red and ISL1-GFP in gray). Scale bars = 50 μ m or 25 μ m (EC). DAPI (blue) marks the nuclei. N = 3 independent differentiation experiments.

(C) TMPRSS2 (green) is expressed in the human fetal pancreas at 10.6 wpc (left) and 13 wpc (right). PDX1+ (gray) and SOX9 (gray) mark pancreatic progenitors. Scale bars = $25 \mu m$. DAPI (blue) marks the nuclei.

(D) TMPRSS2 (green or gray) is expressed in hPSC-derived PP (left) and late EP (right) stage spheroids. PP and EP cells are marked by PDX1 (red) or CHGA (red) and ISL-GFP (green), respectively. Scale bar = 50 μ m. DAPI (blue) marks the nuclei. N = 3 independent differentiation experiments.

(E) Lack of NRP1 (green) expression in the human fetal pancreatic epithelium at 13 wpc, marked by SOX9 (gray). NRP1 is strongly expressed in a subset of pancreatic niche cells. Scale bars = $25 \mu m$. DAPI (blue) marks the nuclei.

(F) NRP1 expression (green) in hPSC-derived PP stage spheroids, PDX1 (red) marks PPs. NRP1 is strongly expressed in a subset of pancreatic niche cells. Scale bars = 50 μ m. DAPI (blue) marks the nuclei. N = 3 independent differentiation experiments. See also Figures S1 and S2.





To test if SARS infects the developing pancreas, we utilized the in vitro model of human development, the pancreatic differentiation of hPSCs. We asked if hPSC-derived pancreatic cells also express ACE2, making them vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Several protocols to generate human β -cells from hPSCs have been developed (Nair et al., 2019; Nostro et al., 2015; Pagliuca et al., 2014; Rezania et al., 2014), in which hPSCs are progressively coaxed toward pancreatic endocrine cell fate through definitive endoderm, gut tube endoderm, pancreatic progenitor (PP), and endocrine progenitor (EP) stages to become β-cells (EC). We applied a 3D sphere differentiation protocol to drive hPSCs through consecutive stages of endocrine pancreas development (Figure 1B, scheme) (see STAR Methods). Immunofluorescent staining for stage-specific markers was used to confirm the presence of pancreatic progenitors and endocrine cells (Figure S2A). Endocrine cells at the final stage of differentiation have been shown to express ACE2 and can be infected by SARS-CoV-2 (Yang et al., 2020), which we confirmed herein for ISL+ (expressed in all endocrine cells) and C-PEP+ (a by-product of insulin processing) cells (Figure 1B, bottom right). However, ACE2 expression has not been evaluated at any progenitor stages. Analysis of the scRNA-seq datasets of hPSC differentiated toward β-cells using a different 3D protocol (Sharon et al., 2019; Weng et al., 2020) suggests low ACE2 mRNA expression, detectable in a small subset of progenitors (Figures S2B and S2C). To further address this gap, we performed immunostaining and observed the ACE2 protein in the cytoplasm and at the cell membrane of PDX1+ PP cells (Figure 1B, top left), early NKX6-1+ and NKX2-2+ EP cells (Figure 1B, top right), and late CHGA+ and ISL1-GFP+ EP cells (Figure 1B, bottom left). Therefore, the SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 is expressed in different human pancreatic progenitors during in vitro differentiation.

SARS-CoV-2 also uses proteins other than ACE2 to enter cells. Transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) activates the viral attachment spike protein (S) bound by ACE2 that allows viral entry via membrane fusion (Hoffmann et al., 2020a). Recently, another cell surface molecule, neuropilin-1 (NRP1) has been reported to enhance the virus entry into some host cells after the S protein is proteolytically cleaved by furin (Hoffmann et al., 2020b), revealing a short amino acid sequence of the S protein that can be recognized by NRP1 (Cantuti-Castelvetri et al., 2020; Daly et al., 2020; Synowiec et al., 2021). The scRNA-seq of 16–17 wpc human fetal pancreas shows enrichment of TMPRSS2 in the exocrine pancreas, while NRP1 in ductal, endothelial, and stromal cells (Figure S1). Using immunofluorescence, we assayed the expression of TMPRSS2 and NRP1 in the human fetal pancreas and hPSC-derived pancreatic progenitors. We detected TMPRSS2 protein in 10.6 and 13 wpc human fetal pancreas (Figure 1C), specifically in SOX9+ epithelial pancreatic progenitors. In contrast, NRP1 protein was very rarely detectable in the fetal pancreas and did not colocalize with SOX9 expression (Figure 1E). The scRNA-seq data for in vitro differentiating hPSCs (Figure S2B and S2C) suggest TMPRSS2 and NRP1 are expressed in different pancreatic progenitors at higher levels than ACE2. Indeed, we found strong immunofluorescent signals when detecting these proteins in PP and EP stage hPSC-derived spheroids (Figures 1D and 1F). In conclusion, SARS-CoV-2 receptors are expressed in the developing human pancreas, which might render it permissive to the viral infection. Furthermore, these receptors are also expressed in a 3D in vitro model of human pancreas development, suggesting that it is feasible for research on SARS-CoV-2 infection.

SARS-CoV-2 infects developing human pancreatic cells in vitro

As we found widespread expression of SARS-CoV-2 receptors in hPSC-derived pancreatic progenitors, we next tested for SARS-CoV-2 infection along the developmental trajectory (Figure 2A). First, we infected pancreatic spheroids at the end of EP with SARS-CoV-2 and cultured them for 144 h. At 0, 2, 48, 96, and 144 h post inoculation, we evaluated the viral yield by RT-qPCR amplification of the N gene. We detected an increase in viral RNA copy number at all time points tested in the culture supernatant and after 48, 96, and 144 h in infected pancreatic spheroids (Figure 2B). Furthermore, using RT-PCR, we verified the presence of SARS-CoV-2 subgenomic mRNA (sg mRNA) in infected pancreatic spheroids at these time points (Figure 2C). Next, we used an antibody specific to the viral Nc protein (Figure 2D). At 72 h post inoculation, immunofluorescent analyses confirmed the SARS-CoV-2 presence in CHGA+ endocrine cells (Figure 2E). We often found the infected cells adjacent to CHGA+ and ISL1+ cells (Figure S3B), suggesting these cells might be less advanced, developing EPs. Thus, we infected pancreatic spheroids at the early EP stage and found SARS-CoV-2 sg mRNAs by RT-PCR (Figure 2F) as well as nucleocapsids in NKX2-2+ cells by immunofluorescence (Figure 2G), confirming that EPs can be infected by SARS-CoV-2.

Next, we stepped back in the progression of hPSC pancreatic differentiation and inoculated spheroids at the PP stage to investigate the SARS-CoV-2 presence in these progenitor cells by RT-PCR, RT-qPCR, and





Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2 infects developing hPSC-derived pancreatic cells

(A) Experimental outline to test developing human pancreatic progenitors permissiveness for SARS-CoV-2 infection.

(B) RT-qPCR analysis of SARS-CoV-2 replication in late endocrine progenitor (EP) spheroids at 0–144 h post inoculation. Data are presented as the mean of viral RNA copies per milliliter \pm SEM. N = 3 independent experiments.

(C) SARS-CoV-2 sg mRNAs in late EP spheroids derived from hPSCs. The presence of the N sg mRNAs at different time points post inoculation in the pancreatic endocrine cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 or mock controls was evaluated using RT-PCR. NC, negative control; PC, positive control. Two bands correspond to the products of the 1st and 2nd round of the nested PCR.





Figure 2. Continued

(D) SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (Nc, in red) and ACE2 (green) in hPSC-derived late EP spheroids infected with SARS-CoV-2 or mock controls. DAPI, in blue, marks the nuclei. Scale bar = $50 \mu m$ (insets $25 \mu m$). N = 3 independent experiments.

(E) SARS-CoV-2 (green) infects CHGA+ (red) human endocrine progenitors. DAPI (blue) marks the nuclei. Scale bar = $10 \mu m$, Nc = nucleocapsid. N = 3 independent experiments.

(F) RT-PCR analysis of subgenomic mRNAs in hPSC-derived endocrine progenitors infected with SARS-CoV-2 at 24, 48, 72, and 96 h post inoculation or mock controls. NC, negative control; PC, positive control.

(G) NKX2.2+ (red) early endocrine progenitors are permissive to SARS-CoV-2 infection (green). DAPI (blue) marks the nuclei. Scale bar = 10 μ m, Nc = nucleocapsid. N = 3 independent experiments.

(H) RT-qPCR of SARS-CoV-2 replication in human pancreatic progenitors at 0, 24, and 48 h post inoculation. Data are presented as the mean of viral RNA copies per milliliter \pm SEM. N = 3 independent experiments.

(I) RT-PCR analysis of subgenomic mRNAs in pancreatic progenitors infected with SARS-CoV-2 or mock controls. NC, negative control; PC, positive control. (J) SARS-CoV-2 (green) effectively infects hPSC-derived PDX1+ (red) pancreatic progenitors. DAPI, in blue, marks the nuclei. Scale bar = 50 μ m, insets 10 μ m. N = 6 independent experiments.

(K) ACE2 (green) colocalizes with SARS-CoV-2 Nc (red) in infected pancreatic progenitor spheroids. Scale bar = 10 μ m. N = 3 independent experiments. (L) SARS-CoV-2 Nc (blue) can infect pancreatic progenitors without TMPRSS2 expression. Anti-TMPRSS2 antibody is shown in red, while anti-ACE2 antibody is shown in green. Scale bar = 25 μ m. N = 3 independent experiments. See also Figure S3.

immunofluorescence staining (Figures 2H–2J). RT-qPCR showed high virus replication in PPs at 24 to 96 h post inoculation (Figure 2H). Concomitantly, RT-PCR revealed the presence of sg mRNA in SARS-CoV-2-infected PPs, but not in mock-infected controls (Figure 2I). Immunofluorescent staining with antibodies against viral Nc protein detected widespread infection with SARS-CoV-2 in PDX1+ PPs (Figure 2J). Cells infected at the PP stage expressed ACE2 (Figure 2K) and frequently co-expressed TMPRSS2 (Figure 2I). Yet, we observed ACE2+ infected cells without detectable TMPRSS2 expression (Figure 2I, asterisk). This would suggest that in pancreatic progenitors SARS-CoV-2 might use a cell entry pathway other than TMPRSS2-mediated membrane fusion.

Together, we determined that the developing pancreatic and endocrine progenitors are infected *in vitro* by SARS-CoV-2.

Impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection on pancreatic progenitors

We then investigated consequences of SARS-CoV-2 infection in pancreatic progenitors. First, we confirmed that PDX1+ pancreatic progenitors are able to produce progeny virions by re-infecting Vero cells with titrated supernatant collected from spheroids 72 h after infection (Figure 3A). To assess innate antiviral response in PP spheroids, we profiled a cohort of cytokines and inflammatory factors that are known to be important for innate or adaptive immune responses in the culture medium 0, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h after SARS-CoV-2 infection. Correlating with the abundant SARS-CoV-2 presence in pancreatic spheroids, the levels of several cytokines, including IL2, CXCL1, or IFN- α were upregulated at 96 h after SARS-CoV-2 infection compared to mock controls (Figures 3B and 3C). Next, we assessed whether SARS-CoV-2 infection results in cell death of pancreatic progenitors. We have assessed apoptosis after 7 days post-infection by immunostaining for cleaved caspase 3 and we found a 2.4-fold increase in apoptosis in infected versus non-infected cells (Figures 3D and 3E). We have also assessed necroptosis, which was shown to be present in islets of patients with COVID-19 (Steenblock et al., 2021). For this, we stained spheroids 7 days post infection against phospho-MLKL. Yet, we very rarely observed positive staining of SARS-CoV-2+ cells. In our experiments, we also observed rare multinucleated clusters with strong continuous SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid signal, suggesting syncytia formation (Figure 3F). These results together suggest that only some infected pancreatic progenitors undergo cell death, via apoptosis.

SARS-CoV-2 utilizes ACE2 as a receptor and requires endocytic internalization to enter hPSCderived pancreatic progenitors

Given the efficient infection in PP spheroids, we investigated the route of SARS-CoV-2 entry into these cells. We first used antibodies against ACE2 and NRP1 to hinder the interaction between the virus and its potential receptor. Pancreatic spheroids were infected with the SARS-CoV-2 virus in the presence or absence of anti-ACE2, anti-NRP1, or IgG isotype antibodies. The virus replication was assessed using RT-qPCR and immunodetection of the viral Nc protein in infected cells. In SARS-CoV-2-infected spheroids, we observed a 10-fold reduction in virus replication in the presence of anti-ACE2 anti-MRP1, and almost complete depletion of infected cells, as measured by immunofluorescence staining (Figures 4B and 4C). Despite NRP1 expression in pancreatic cells, treatment of pancreatic spheroids with





Figure 3. Antiviral response in hPSC-derived pancreatic progenitors after SARS-CoV-2 infection

(A) SARS-CoV-2 virions are produced in pancreatic progenitors. (Left) Replication of virus was evaluated at 72 h post inoculation using RT-qPCR. Data are presented as the mean of viral RNA copies per milliliter \pm SEM. (Right) Calculation of TCID₅₀/mL in collected samples by Reed and Muench and Plaque Assay methods. Colors of the dots indicate independent experiments (N = 3) corresponding to the RT-qPCR graph. Titer is presented as mean TCID₅₀/mL \pm SEM. (Bottom) Table with TCID50 \pm SD values from each replicate.

(B) Heatmap of normalized cytokine and chemokine levels in SARS-CoV-2-infected hPSC-derived pancreatic spheroids compared to the mock control. Protein levels were measured 24–96 h post infection using Luminex assay. See also Table S1.

(C) CXCL1 and IL-2 protein levels in the cell culture medium of SARS-CoV-2-infected or mock control human pancreatic spheroids at 24–96 h post infection, measured by Luminex. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM. The p values were calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test. N = 4 independent experiments.

(D) Apoptotic cells within SARS-CoV-2-infected (red) pancreatic progenitors. Apoptosis was assessed by cleaved Caspase 3 staining (green) 7 days post infection. DAPI marks nuclei. Maximum intensity projection of image captured by the Zeiss Lightsheet 7 microscope is shown. SARS-CoV-2 Nc+, cleaved CASP3+ double-positive cells are marked by asterisks, and magnified on the right. Scale bar = 50μ M (left) and 10μ M (right panels). N = 3 independent experiments.

(E) Quantification of cleaved Caspase 3+ cells within SARS-CoV-2 Nc+ and non-infected pancreatic progenitors, performed on spheroid images captured by Zeiss Lightsheet 7. Data are shown as mean % cleaved Caspase 3+ cells \pm SEM, and unpaired two-tailed t-test was used to count the p-value. N = 3 independent experiments.

(F) Example of multinucleated clusters with strong continuous SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (red) signal, suggesting syncytia formation. Apoptotic cells were observed within these clusters (cleaved CASP3, green, marked by the asterisk). DAPI stains nuclei. Scale bar = $10 \ \mu$ M.

anti-NRP1 antibodies did not significantly affect virus entry (Figures 4B and 4C). We therefore concluded that NRP1 is not essential for SARS-CoV-2 entry to hPSC-derived pancreatic spheroids.

The second factor predetermining the susceptibility of the cell to infection is the presence of proteolytic enzymes, which cleave and activate the S protein and enable virus entry to the target cells (Figure 4D). To map the next steps of SARS-CoV-2 entry route into the pancreatic cells, we first determined whether









Figure 4. SARS-CoV-2 infects human pluripotent stem cell-derived pancreatic progenitors by endocytosis

(A) RT-qPCR analysis of SARS-CoV-2 infection blocking experiments with anti-receptor antibodies treatment in pancreatic progenitors at 24–72 h post infection. Treatment with anti-ACE2 antibody prior to SARS-CoV-2 exposure reduces infection by a log10 factor compared to virus-only or IgG isotype control. Whiskers denote 95% CI and mean value, p values were counted using Tukey multiple comparison test. N = 9 independent experiments.

(B) Blocking ACE2, but not NRP1, by respective antibodies decreases SARS-CoV-2 infection in pancreatic progenitors, as assessed by immunofluorescence. SARS-CoV-2 Nc positive spheroids with "low" infection rate (<1.25% of cells infected at the organoid surface) and "high" infection rate (\geq 1.25% infected cells, mean 7.05%, 95% CI: 3.83–10.26 95% CI) were quantified, as described in STAR Methods, and shown as mean percentages of total spheroids \pm SEM. p values were counted using the Tukey multiple comparison test. N = 4 independent experiments.

(C) Representative images for the quantifications of Figure 4B, with SARS-CoV-2 Nc stained in red and DAPI-stained the nuclei in blue. Scale bars = 200 μm. (D) Overview of SARS-CoV-2 entry strategies. SARS-CoV-2 can enter the cells using ACE2 or NRP1 cell surface receptors binding with the S spike protein. ACE2-bound virus can enter the cell via TMPRSS2-mediated cleavage, which induces cell membrane fusion, or alternatively by endocytosis, where release of the virus into cytoplasm is mediated by cathepsins. The small molecule inhibitors used in the study are denoted in red.

(E) RT-qPCR analysis of SARS-CoV-2 entry blocking experiments with inhibitor treatment in pancreatic progenitors 24-72 h post infection. Treatment with bafilomycin A1, E64, and cathepsin L reduces infection by a log10 factor compared to the virus-only control. Whiskers denote 95% CI and mean value, p values were counted using the Dunnett multiple comparison test. N = 9 independent experiments.

(F) Inhibition of endocytosis by the respective inhibitors (bafilomycin A1 and cathepsin L) decreases the infection rate of SARS-CoV-2 in pancreatic progenitors as assessed by immunofluorescence. SARS-CoV-2 Nc positive spheroids with "low" infection rate and "high" infection rate (as in Figure 4B) were quantified and shown as mean percentages of total spheroids \pm SEM. p-values were counted using the Dunnett multiple comparison test and significant values are shown. N = 4 independent experiments.

(G) Representative images for quantifications in Figure 4F, with SARS-CoV-2 Nc stained in red and DAPI-stained nuclei in blue. Scale bars = 200 µm.

the infection depends on TMPRSS2 or cathepsins. TMPRSS2 is a serine protease present on the cell surface and the main protease responsible for the activation of the S protein in the lungs, while cathepsins are proteases active only in the acidified endocytic compartment.

Both types of proteases were targeted with well-described inhibitors of TMPRSS2 (camostat mesylate) or cathepsins (E64, cathepsin L Inhibitor II, and CA-074 methyl ester) and viral replication was estimated with RT-qPCR. The results obtained clearly show that three endocytosis inhibitors affected SARS-CoV-2 replication in pancreatic spheroids by 10-fold for the broad cysteine protease inhibitor E64 and the cathepsin L inhibitor, and by 5-fold for the cathepsin B inhibitor (Figure 4E). In contrast, the TMPRSS2 inhibitor, camostat, did not affect viral infection. These data suggest that SARS-CoV-2 enters pancreatic cells using a slower endocytic gateway. To further ensure that the entry of SARS-CoV-2 requires endocytosis in the pancreatic tissue, two inhibitors of endosomal acidification were used. Treatment of cells with bafilomycin A (an inhibitor of the proton pump in endosomes) and ammonium chloride (buffering acidification of endosomes) inhibited virus replication by 10-fold (Figure 4E). Furthermore, we stained spheroids treated with protease inhibitors and SARS-CoV-2 infected at 72 h post infection, for SARS-CoV-2 Nc protein (Figures 4F and 4G). Coherently with RT-qPCR results, bafilomycin A1, and cathepsin L inhibitor almost completely abolished the number of SARS-CoV-2 Nc+ spheroids, while camostat had no effect. Thus, we further confirmed the endosomal pathway as the main route of infection in pancreatic progenitor spheroids.

DISCUSSION

The longitudinal health consequences of systemic SARS-CoV-2 infection in adults and children remain unclear. For example, SARS-CoV-2 infection can cause hyperglycemia in people without preexisting diabetes, and hyperglycemia was found to persist for 3 years after recovery from SARS-CoV-1 during the 2002 epidemics, suggesting long-term damage to pancreatic β -cells (Yang et al., 2010). Environmental stress and viral infection during the development and maturation of pancreas can predispose to diabetes (Allen et al., 2018; Perng et al., 2019). In this study, we demonstrate that SARS-CoV-2 receptors are expressed in human fetal tissues and that the virus effectively infects and replicates in hPSC-derived pancreatic progenitors. These progenitors are progeny for endocrine cells that were shown to be susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection in previous *in vitro* (Yang et al., 2020) or ex vivo studies (Müller et al., 2021). We did not observe a broad cell death in infected pancreatic progenitors, which might suggest that these cells are somehow protected from cell death due to their plasticity. Indeed, other studies suggest that upon SARS-CoV-2 infection β -cells might dedifferentiate into a pancreatic progenitor-like stage without induction of cell death (Steenblock et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2021) but likely affecting function of the organ.

To fully understand the infection and its potential consequences, it is crucial to delineate the entry pathways of SARS-CoV-2. To investigate a route of entry into pancreatic cells, ACE2 and NRP1 antibodies were used to hinder the interaction between the virus and its potential receptor. The ACE2 receptor was

O CellPress



Table	1. List of primary antib	odies				
No	Target	Vendor	Catalog	Dilution	Host	RRID
1	ACE2	Abcam	ab15348	1:200	Rabbit	AB_301861
2	ACE2	R&D	AF933	1:200	Goat	AB_355722
3	CHGA	Santa Cruz	sc-393941	1:200	Mouse	AB_2801371
4	C-peptide	DSHB	GN-ID4	1:40	Rat	AB_2255626
5	INS	Cell Signaling	3014	1:200	Rabbit	AB_2126503
6	NKX2-2	DSHB	74.5A5	1:40	Mouse	AB_531794
7	NKX6-1	DSHB	F55A10	1:40	Mouse	AB_532378
8	NRP1	Santa Cruz	sc-5307	1:50	Mouse	AB_2282634
9	PDX1	R&D	AF2419	1:200	Goat	AB_2845433
10	SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (Nc)	Homemade Pyrc Laboratory ^a		1:1,000	Rabbit	
11	SARS-CoV-2 Nc ^b	Thermo Fisher	MA5-29981	1:100	Mouse	AB_2785780
12	SOX9	Millipore	AB5535	1:250	Rabbit	AB_2239761
13	TMPRSS2	DSHB	P5H9-A3	1:10	Mouse	AB_2205599
14	cleaved Caspase 3 (Asp175)	Cell Signaling	9664	1:400	Rabbit	AB_2070042
15	phospho- MLKL (Ser538)	St. John's Laboratory	STJ97776	1:100	Mouse	

^aSee Figure S3A for validation data.

^bUsed only in experiments with anti-cleaved Caspase 3 antibody.

consistently shown to be present in pancreatic pericytes and ducts (Fignani et al., 2020; Coate et al., 2020; Kusmartseva et al., 2020), while its presence in mature β -cells seems to be more variable and controversial (Fignani et al., 2020; Coate et al., 2020; Kusmartseva et al., 2020; Müller et al., 2021), which we have also observed. Yet, we found that ACE2 is expressed in PPs and EPs and that it colocalizes with the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid in infected cells. NRP1, which serves as an alternative SARS-CoV-2 receptor (Cantuti-Castelvetri et al., 2020), was also expressed in pancreatic islets and outside islets in our study, consistently with a previous report (Hasan et al., 2010) and scRNA-seq data. However, we did not observe NRP1 expression in the epithelial compartment of the fetal pancreas, while it was present in surrounding cells. Consistent with the low expression of NRP1 in PPs, we showed that the SARS-CoV-2 virus utilizes the ACE2 receptor to enter pancreatic progenitors, while the importance of NRP1 is marginal during infection.

The SARS-CoV-2 entry route was further delineated using selective inhibitors of the virus entry pathway. Human coronaviruses enter cells by fusion on the cell surface or after internalization by endocytosis (Shirato et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2020). The entry pathway depends on the availability of proteases, which are responsible for proteolytic activation of the S protein. While cell surface serine proteases, like TMPRSS2, serve as the activators in the respiratory tract, in other models, endosomal cathepsins are required for SARS-CoV-2 entry (Kawase et al., 2012). Camostat, a serine protease inhibitor, which blocks serine protease activity (Hoffmann et al., 2021; Kawase et al., 2012; Milewska et al., 2018), was used to evaluate the TMPRSS2 importance during the SARS-CoV-2 entry to the pancreatic spheroids. We found broad expression of TMPRSS2 in the epithelium of the fetal pancreas and in in vitroderived pancreatic progenitors. However, our results showed that the virus is not using TMPRSS2 in this tissue, and bypasses this route by engaging endosomal entry, similarly to the human coronavirus OC43 (Owczarek et al., 2018). By employing inhibitors of endosomal acidification or cysteine proteases, we further verified that SARS-CoV-2 enters pancreatic cells by the endosomal route, while fusion on the cell surface is not used.

These observations are important, as the use of the endocytic route allows us to test some interventions that were previously abandoned. Inhibition of endocytic virus entry was initially the concept for drugs such as chloroquine. Although they are ineffective in general treatment, the question remains whether they may play a role in systemic infection.



Limitations of study

The results were obtained using PSC-derived spheroids, which may differ from the actual *in vivo* conditions. Careful data validation using primary cells or animal models should be carried out before the final conclusions are drawn.

Future studies should determine the molecular or developmental changes induced by SARS-CoV-2 in developing pancreatic cells, for which hPSC-derived 3D spheroids can be utilized. As PDX1+ pancreatic progenitors give rise to all types of pancreatic cells, the consequences of SARS-CoV-2 infection could be broader than just correct islet formation and functional maturation. Furthermore, our immunohistological data and the available scRNA-seq of the human fetal pancreas show that ACE2, TMPRSS2, and NRP1 are expressed in non-epithelial cells in the developing pancreas, such as the endothelium, which can further influence the development. As existing protocols for *in vitro* generation of endocrine pancreatic cells, including herein utilized 3D spheroids, generally lack cellular components of the pancreatic niche, such research *in vitro* would require models that more faithfully recapitulate fetal development. Longitudinal research on the clinical and epidemiologic implications of SARS-CoV-2 presence in the developing pancreas will be critical to understanding the effects of *in utero* infection.

STAR***METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
 - Lead contact
 - Materials availability
 - O Data and code availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODELS AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 - O Human tissue samples
 - Cell lines
 - ⊖ Virus
- METHOD DETAILS
 - O Human tissue processing and immunofluorescence staining
 - O Pancreatic differentiation
 - ⊖ Virus
 - Virus infection
 - O Isolation of nucleic acids, reverse transcription, and quantitative PCR
 - O Detection of SARS-CoV-2 N sg mRNA
 - Immunofluorescent analysis
 - O Imaging
 - Virus titration
 - O Cytokine analysis
 - Inhibition of virus entry
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.104594.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Christian Drosten (Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany) for sharing SARS-CoV-2, and Drs. Kenneth Chien and Lei Bu for sharing ISL1-GFP cell line. The authors are grateful to all members of the Borowiak and Pyrc laboratories for helpful discussions. We would like to thank Dr. Artur Jankowski, Dr. Anna Klimaszewska-Wisniewska, Paulina Antosik, Justyna Durslewicz, and Joanna Gerc for excellent technical support. This work was supported by the funds provided by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education for the research on SARS-CoV-2, the Polish National Science Centre UMO-2017/27/B/NZ6/02488 to KP, the EU-Horizon 2020 ITN OrganoVir grant 812673 to KP and the Foundation for Polish Science, POIR.04.04.00-00-20C5/16-00, Polish National Science Centre UMO-2020/39/B/NZ3/01408, and Adam Mickiewicz University Poznan COVID grant 5/2020 to MB.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CelPress

W. J. Sz, A. D., A. M. - experimental design, carrying out experiments, data analysis, figure preparation. N. Z., K.B., E. B.-D., K.O. - carrying out experiments, technical support. M. Sa., M. Su – Luminex assay. D. G. - shared tissue samples. J. D. - study and experimental design, manuscript editing. K. P. and M. B. - study and experimental design, data analysis, obtaining funding and manuscript writing.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

We declare no conflict of interest.

Received: September 22, 2021 Revised: March 21, 2022 Accepted: June 8, 2022 Published: July 15, 2022

REFERENCES

Accili, D. (2021). Can COVID-19 cause diabetes? Nat. Metab. 3, 123–125. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s42255-020-00339-7.

Akarsu, C., Karabulut, M., Aydin, H., Sahbaz, N.A., Dural, A.C., Yegul, D., Peker, K.D., Ferahman, S., Bulut, S., Dönmez, T., et al. (2022). Association between acute pancreatitis and COVID-19: could pancreatitis be the missing piece of the puzzle about increased mortality rates? J. Invest. Surg. 35, 119–125. https://doi.org/10.1080/08941939. 2020.1833263.

Allen, D.W., Kim, K.W., Rawlinson, W.D., and Craig, M.E. (2018). Maternal virus infections in pregnancy and type 1 diabetes in their offspring: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Rev. Med. Virol. 28, e1974. https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.1974.

Ayoubkhani, D., Khunti, K., Nafilyan, V., Maddox, T., Humberstone, B., Diamond, I., and Banerjee, A. (2021). Post-covid syndrome in individuals admitted to hospital with covid-19: retrospective cohort study. BMJ 372, n693. https://doi.org/10. 1136/bmj.n693.

Barron, E., Bakhai, C., Kar, P., Weaver, A., Bradley, D., Ismail, H., Knighton, P., Holman, N., Khunti, K., Sattar, N., et al. (2020). Associations of type 1 and type 2 diabetes with COVID-19-related mortality in England: a whole-population study. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 8, 813–822. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/s2213-8587(20)30272-2.

Cantuti-Castelvetri, L., Ojha, R., Pedro, L.D., Djannatian, M., Franz, J., Kuivanen, S., Van der Meer, F., Kallio, K., Kaya, T., Anastasina, M., et al. (2020). Neuropilin-1 facilitates SARS-CoV-2 cell entry and infectivity. Science 370, 856–860. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd2885.

Cao, J., O'Day, D.R., Pliner, H.A., Kingsley, P.D., Deng, M., Daza, R.M., Zager, M.A., Aldinger, K.A., Blecher-Gonen, R., Zhang, F., et al. (2020). A human cell atlas of fetal gene expression. Science 370, eaba7721. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. aba7721.

Caruso, P., Longo, M., Esposito, K., and Maiorino, M.I. (2020). Type 1 diabetes triggered by covid-19 pandemic: a potential outbreak? Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 164, 108219. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.diabres.2020.108219. CDC COVID-19 Response Team (2020). Preliminary estimates of the prevalence of selected underlying health conditions among patients with coronavirus disease 2019 — United States, February 12–March 28, 2020. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 69, 382–386.

Chee, Y.J., Ng, S.J.H., and Yeoh, E. (2020). Diabetic ketoacidosis precipitated by Covid-19 in a patient with newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 164, 108166. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108166.

Coate, K.C., Cha, J., Shrestha, S., Wang, W., Gonçalves, L.M., Almaça, J., Kapp, M.E., Fasolino, M., Morgan, A., Dai, C., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 cell entry factors ACE2 and TMPRSS2 are expressed in the microvasculature and ducts of human pancreas but are not enriched in β cells. Cell Metabol. 32, 1028–1040.e4. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cmet.2020.11.006.

Connors, J.M., and Levy, J.H. (2020). COVID-19 and its implications for thrombosis and anticoagulation. Blood 135, 2033–2040. https:// doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020006000.

Craig, M.E., Kim, K.W., Isaacs, S.R., Penno, M.A., Hamilton-Williams, E.E., Couper, J.J., and Rawlinson, W.D. (2019). Early-life factors contributing to type 1 diabetes. Diabetologia 62, 1823–1834. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-019-4942-x.

Croft, A., Bucca, A., Jansen, J.H., Motzkus, C., Herbert, A., Wang, A., and Hunter, B.R. (2020). First-time diabetic ketoacidosis in type 2 diabetics with covid-19 infection: a novel case series. J. Emerg. Med. *59*, 193–197. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2020.07.017.

Cummings, M.J., Baldwin, M.R., Abrams, D., Jacobson, S.D., Meyer, B.J., Balough, E.M., Aaron, J.G., Claassen, J., Rabbani, L.E., Hastie, J., et al. (2020). Epidemiology, clinical course, and outcomes of critically ill adults with COVID-19 in New York City: a prospective cohort study. Lancet 395, 1763–1770. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)31189-2.

Daly, J.L., Simonetti, B., Klein, K., Chen, K.-E., Williamson, M.K., Antón-Plágaro, C., Shoemark, D.K., Simón-Gracia, L., Bauer, M., Hollandi, R., et al. (2020). Neuropilin-1 is a host factor for SARS-CoV-2 infection. Science 370, 861–865. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.abd3072. Di Carlo, D.T., Montemurro, N., Petrella, G., Siciliano, G., Ceravolo, R., and Perrini, P. (2020). Exploring the clinical association between neurological symptoms and COVID-19 pandemic outbreak: a systematic review of current literature. J. Neurol. 268, 1561–1569. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00415-020-09978-y.

Ebekozien, O.A., Noor, N., Gallagher, M.P., and Alonso, G.T. (2020), Type 1 diabetes and COVID-19: preliminary findings from a multicenter surveillance study in the U.S. Diabetes Care 43, e83–e85. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc20-1088.

Fenizia, C., Biasin, M., Cetin, I., Vergani, P., Mileto, D., Spinillo, A., Gismondo, M.R., Perotti, F., Callegari, C., Mancon, A., et al. (2020). Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 vertical transmission during pregnancy. Nat. Commun. 11, 5128. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41467-020-18933-4.

Fignani, D., Licata, G., Brusco, N., Nigi, L., Grieco, G.E., Marselli, L., Overbergh, L., Gysemans, C., Colli, M.L., Marchetti, P., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 receptor angiotensin 1-converting enzyme type 2 (ACE2) is expressed in human pancreatic β -cells and in the human pancreas microvasculature. Front. Endocrinol. 11, 596898. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fendo.2020.596898.

Hasan, N.M., Kendrick, M.A., Druckenbrod, N.R., Huelsmeyer, M.K., Warner, T.F., and MacDonald, M.J. (2010). Genetic association of the neuropilin-1 gene with type 1 diabetes in children: neuropilin-1 expression in pancreatic islets. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 87, 29–32. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.diabres.2009.12.016.

Helms, J., Kremer, S., Merdji, H., Clere-Jehl, R., Schenck, M., Kummerlen, C., Collange, O., Boulay, C., Fafi-Kremer, S., Ohana, M., et al. (2020). Neurologic features in severe SARS-CoV-2 infection. N. Engl. J. Med. 382, 2268–2270. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmc2008597.

Hoffmann, M., Kleine-Weber, H., Schroeder, S., Krüger, N., Herrler, T., Erichsen, S., Schiergens, T.S., Herrler, G., Wu, N.-H., Nitsche, A., et al. (2020a). SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. Cell 181, 271–280.e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.052.

Hoffmann, M., Kleine-Weber, H., and Pöhlmann, S. (2020b). A multibasic cleavage site in the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 is essential for infection of



human lung cells. Mol. Cell 78, 779–784.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2020.04.022.

Hoffmann, M., Hofmann-Winkler, H., Smith, J.C., Krüger, N., Arora, P., Sørensen, L.K., Søgaard, O.S., Hasselstrøm, J.B., Hempel, T., Raich, L., et al. (2021). Camostat mesylate inhibits SARS-CoV-2 activation by TMPRSS2-related proteases and its metabolite GBPA exerts antiviral activity. EBioMedicine 65, 103255. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ebiom.2021.103255.

Hollstein, T., Schulte, D.M., Schulz, J., Glück, A., Ziegler, A.G., Bonifacio, E., Wendorff, M., Franke, A., Schreiber, S., Bornstein, S.R., and Laudes, M. (2020). Autoantibody-negative insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus after SARS-CoV-2 infection: a case report. Nat. Metab. 2, 1021–1024. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42255-020-00281-8.

Hosier, H., Farhadian, S.F., Morotti, R.A., Deshmukh, U., Lu-Culligan, A., Campbell, K.H., Yasumoto, Y., Vogels, C.B., Casanovas-Massana, A., Vijayakumar, P., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 infection of the placenta. J. Clin. Investig. 130, 4947–4953. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci139569.

Kamrath, C., Mönkemöller, K., Biester, T., Rohrer, T.R., Warncke, K., Hammersen, J., and Holl, R.W. (2020). Ketoacidosis in children and adolescents with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes during the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany. JAMA 324, 801. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.13445.

Kawase, M., Shirato, K., van der Hoek, L., Taguchi, F., and Matsuyama, S. (2012). Simultaneous treatment of human bronchial epithelial cells with serine and cysteine protease inhibitors prevents severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus entry. J. Virol. *86*, 6537–6545. https://doi.org/10. 1128/jvi.00094-12.

Kumaran, N.K., Karmakar, B.K., and Taylor, O.M. (2020). Coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19) associated with acute necrotising pancreatitis (ANP). BMJ Case Rep. 13, e237903. https://doi.org/10. 1136/bcr-2020-237903.

Kusmartseva, I., Wu, W., Syed, F., Van Der Heide, V., Jorgensen, M., Joseph, P., Tang, X., Candelario-Jalil, E., Yang, C., Nick, H., et al. (2020). Expression of SARS-CoV-2 entry factors in the pancreas of normal organ donors and individuals with COVID-19. Cell Metabol. 32, 1041–1051.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet. 2020.11.005.

Lang, Z., Zhang, L., Zhang, S., Meng, X., Li, J., Song, C., Sun, L., and Zhou, Y. (2003). Pathological study on severe acute respiratory syndrome. Chinese Med J. 116, 976–980.

Li, J., Wang, X., Chen, J., Zuo, X., Zhang, H., and Deng, A. (2020a). COVID-19 infection may cause ketosis and ketoacidosis. Diabetes Obes. Metabol. 22, 1935–1941. https://doi.org/10.1111/ dom.14057.

Li, M., Chen, L., Zhang, J., Xiong, C., and Li, X. (2020b). The SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 expression of maternal-fetal interface and fetal organs by single-cell transcriptome study. PLoS One 15, e0230295. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0230295.

Li, W., Moore, M.J., Vasilieva, N., Sui, J., Wong, S.K., Berne, M.A., Somasundaran, M., Sullivan, J.L., Luzuriaga, K., Greenough, T.C., et al. (2003). Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. Nature 426, 450–454. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02145.

Lim, S., Bae, J.H., Kwon, H.-S., and Nauck, M.A. (2021). COVID-19 and diabetes mellitus: from pathophysiology to clinical management. Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 17, 11–30. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41574-020-00435-4.

Lui, K.O., Zangi, L., Silva, E.A., Bu, L., Sahara, M., Li, R.A., Mooney, D.J., and Chien, K.R. (2018). Driving vascular endothelial cell fate of human multipotent Isl1+ heart progenitors with VEGF modified mRNA. Cell Res. 23, 1172–1186. https:// doi.org/10.1038/cr.2013.112.

Milewska, A., Nowak, P., Owczarek, K., Szczepanski, A., Zarebski, M., Hoang, A., Berniak, K., Wojarski, J., Zeglen, S., Baster, Z., et al. (2018). Entry of human coronavirus NL63 into the cell. J. Virol. 92, e01933-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/ jvi.01933-17.

Milewska, A., Kula-Pacurar, A., Wadas, J., Suder, A., Szczepanski, A., Dabrowska, A., Owczarek, K., Marcello, A., Ochman, M., Stacel, T., et al. (2020a). Replication of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in human respiratory epithelium. J. Virol. 94. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.00957-20.

Müller, J.A., Groß, R., Conzelmann, C., Krüger, J., Merle, U., Steinhart, J., Weil, T., Koepke, L., Bozzo, C.P., Read, C., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 infects and replicates in cells of the human endocrine and exocrine pancreas. Nat. Metab. 3, 149–165. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42255-021-00347-1.

Nair, G.G., Liu, J.S., Russ, H.A., Tran, S., Saxton, M.S., Chen, R., Juang, C., Li, M.I., Nguyen, V.Q., Giacometti, S., et al. (2019). Recapitulating endocrine cell clustering in culture promotes maturation of human stem-cell-derived β cells. Nat. Cell Biol. 21, 263–274. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41556-018-0271-4.

Nalbandian, A., Sehgal, K., Gupta, A., Madhavan, M.V., McGroder, C., Stevens, J.S., Cook, J.R., Nordvig, A.S., Shalev, D., Sehrawat, T.S., et al. (2021). Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Nat. Med. 27, 601–615. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41591-021-01283-z.

Nostro, M.C., Sarangi, F., Yang, C., Holland, A., Elefanty, A.G., Stanley, E.G., Greiner, D.L., and Keller, G. (2015). Efficient generation of NKX6-1+ pancreatic progenitors from multiple human pluripotent stem cell lines. Stem Cell Rep. 4, 591–604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2015. 02.017.

Onder, G., Rezza, G., and Brusaferro, S. (2020). Case-fatality rate and characteristics of patients dying in relation to COVID-19 in Italy. JAMA 323, 1775–1776. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020. 4683.

Owczarek, K., Szczepanski, A., Milewska, A., Baster, Z., Rajfur, Z., Sarna, M., and Pyrc, K. (2018). Early events during human coronavirus OC43 entry to the cell. Sci. Rep. *8*, 7124. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-018-25640-0.

Pagliuca, F.W., Millman, J.R., Gürtler, M., Gürtler, M., Segel, M., Van Dervort, A., Ryu, J.H., Peterson, Q.P., Greiner, D., and Melton, D.A. (2014). Generation of functional human pancreatic β cells

in vitro. Cell 159, 428–439. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cell.2014.09.040.

Perng, W., Oken, E., and Dabelea, D. (2019). Developmental overnutrition and obesity and type 2 diabetes in offspring. Diabetologia 62, 1779–1788. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-019-4914-1.

Puelles, V.G., Lütgehetmann, M., Lindenmeyer, M.T., Sperhake, J.P., Wong, M.N., Allweiss, L., Chilla, S., Heinemann, A., Wanner, N., Liu, S., et al. (2020). Multiorgan and renal tropism of SARS-CoV-2. N. Engl. J. Med. 383, 590–592. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmc2011400.

Rezania, A., Bruin, J.E., Arora, P., Rubin, A., Batushansky, I., Asadi, A., O'Dwyer, S., Quiskamp, N., Mojibian, M., Albrecht, T., et al. (2014). Reversal of diabetes with insulin-producing cells derived in vitro from human pluripotent stem cells. Nat. Biotechnol. *32*, 1121–1133. https://doi. org/10.1038/nbt.3033.

Rubino, F., Amiel, S.A., Zimmet, P., Alberti, G., Bornstein, S., Eckel, R.H., Mingrone, G., Boehm, B., Cooper, M.E., Chai, Z., et al. (2020). New-onset diabetes in covid-19. N. Engl. J. Med. 383, 789–790. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmc2018688.

Samanta, J., Gupta, R., Singh, M.P., Patnaik, I., Kumar, A., and Kochhar, R. (2020). Coronavirus disease 2019 and the pancreas. Pancreatology 20, 1567–1575. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pan. 2020.10.035.

Shaharuddin, S.H., Wang, V., Santos, R.S., Gross, A., Wang, Y., Jawanda, H., Zhang, Y., Hasan, W., Garcia, G., Arumugaswami, V., and Sareen, D. (2021). Deleterious effects of SARS-CoV-2 infection on human pancreatic cells. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 11, 678482. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fcimb.2021.678482.

Sharon, N., Vanderhooft, J., Straubhaar, J., Mueller, J., Chawla, R., Zhou, Q., Engquist, E.N., Trapnell, C., Gifford, D.K., and Melton, D.A. (2019). Wnt signaling separates the progenitor and endocrine compartments during pancreas development. Cell Rep. 27, 2281–2291.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.04.083.

Shende, P., Gaikwad, P., Gandhewar, M., Ukey, P., Bhide, A., Patel, V., Bhagat, S., Bhor, V., Mahale, S., Gajbhiye, R., and Modi, D. (2021). Persistence of SARS-CoV-2 in the first trimester placenta leading to transplacental transmission and fetal demise from an asymptomatic mother. Hum. Reprod. *36*, 899–906. https://doi.org/10. 1093/humrep/deaa367.

Shirato, K., Kawase, M., and Matsuyama, S. (2018). Wild-type human coronaviruses prefer cellsurface TMPRSS2 to endosomal cathepsins for cell entry. Virology 517, 9–15. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.virol.2017.11.012.

Shulman, L.M., Hampe, C.S., Ben-Haroush, A., Perepliotchikov, Y., Vaziri-Sani, F., Israel, S., Miller, K., Bin, H., Kaplan, B., and Laron, Z. (2014). Antibodies to islet cell autoantigens, rotaviruses and/or enteroviruses in cord blood and healthy mothers in relation to the 2010–2011 winter viral seasons in Israel: a pilot study. Diabet. Med. 31, 681–685. https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.12404.

Su, H., Yang, M., Wan, C., Yi, L.X., Tang, F., Zhu, H.Y., Yi, F., Yang, H.C., Fogo, A.B., Nie, X., and Zhang, C. (2020). Renal histopathological analysis





of 26 postmortem findings of patients with COVID-19 in China. Kidney Int. 98, 219–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2020.04.003.

Synowiec, A., Szczepański, A., Barreto-Duran, E., Lie, L.K., and Pyrc, K. (2021). Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2): a systemic infection. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 34. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00133-20.

Steenblock, C., Richter, S., Berger, I., Barovic, M., Schmid, J., Schubert, U., Jarzebska, N., von Mässenhausen, A., Linkermann, A., Schürmann, A., et al. (2021). Viral infiltration of pancreatic islets in patients with COVID-19. Nat. Commun. 12, 3534. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23886-3.

Szatmary, P., Arora, A., Thomas Raraty, M.G., Joseph Dunne, D.F., Baron, R.D., and Halloran, C.M. (2020). Emerging phenotype of severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2-associated pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 159, 1551–1554. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.05.069.

Talchai, C., Xuan, S., Lin, H.V., Sussel, L., and Accili, D. (2012). Pancreatic β cell dedifferentiation as a mechanism of diabetic β cell failure. Cell 150, 1223–1234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.07.029.

Tang, T., Bidon, M., Jaimes, J.A., Whittaker, G.R., and Daniel, S. (2020). Coronavirus membrane fusion mechanism offers a potential target for antiviral development. Antivir. Res. 178, 104792. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2020.104792.

Tang, X., Uhl, S., Zhang, T., Xue, D., Li, B., Vandana, J.J., Acklin, J.A., Bonnycastle, L.L., Narisu, N., Erdos, M.R., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 infection induces beta cell transdifferentiation. Cell Metabol. 33, 1577–1591.e7. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cmet.2021.05.015.

Tavazzi, G., Pellegrini, C., Maurelli, M., Belliato, M., Sciutti, F., Bottazzi, A., Sepe, P.A., Resasco, T., Camporotondo, R., Bruno, R., et al. (2020). Myocardial localization of coronavirus in COVID- 19 cardiogenic shock. Eur. J. Heart Fail. 22, 911–915. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.1828.

Unsworth, R., Wallace, S., Oliver, N.S., Yeung, S., Kshirsagar, A., Naidu, H., Kwong, R.M.W., Kumar, P., and Logan, K.M. (2020). New-onset type 1 diabetes in children during COVID-19: multicenter regional findings in the U.K. Diabetes Care 43, 170–171. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc20-1551.

Varga, Z., Flammer, A.J., Steiger, P., Haberecker, M., Andermatt, R., Zinkernagel, A.S., Mehra, M.R., Schuepbach, R.A., Ruschitzka, F., and Moch, H. (2020). Endothelial cell infection and endotheliitis in COVID-19. Lancet 395, 1417–1418. https://doi. org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30937-5.

Vivanti, A.J., Vauloup-Fellous, C., Prevot, S., Zupan, V., Suffee, C., Do Cao, J., Benachi, A., and De Luca, D. (2020). Transplacental transmission of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat. Commun. *11*, 3572. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17436-6.

Wang, F., Wang, H., Fan, J., Zhang, Y., Wang, H., and Zhao, Q. (2020). Pancreatic injury patterns in patients with coronavirus disease 19 pneumonia. Gastroenterology 159, 367–370. https://doi.org/ 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.03.055.

Weatherbee, B.A.T., Glover, D.M., and Zernicka-Goetz, M. (2020). Expression of SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 and the protease TMPRSS2 suggests susceptibility of the human embryo in the first trimester. Open Biology 10, 200162. https:// doi.org/10.1098/rsob.200162.

Weng, C., Xi, J., Li, H., Cui, J., Gu, A., Lai, S., Leskov, K., Ke, L., Jin, F., and Li, Y. (2020). Singlecell lineage analysis reveals extensive multimodal transcriptional control during directed beta-cell differentiation. Nat. Metab. 2, 1443–1458. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s42255-020-00314-2.

Wrapp, D., Wang, N., Corbett, K.S., Goldsmith, J.A., Hsieh, C.L., Abiona, O., Graham, B.S., and McLellan, J.S. (2020). Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. Science 367, 1260–1263. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.abb2507. Wu, F., Zhao, S., Yu, B., Chen, Y.M., Wang, W., Song, Z.G., Hu, Y., Tao, Z.W., Tian, J.H., Pei, Y.Y., et al. (2020). A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. Nature 579, 265–269. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3.

Xiao, F., Tang, M., Zheng, X., Liu, Y., Li, X., and Shan, H. (2020). Evidence for gastrointestinal infection of SARS-CoV-2. Gastroenterology *158*, 1831–1833.e3. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro. 2020.02.055.

Yang, J.K., Lin, S.S., Ji, X.J., and Guo, L.M. (2010). Binding of SARS coronavirus to its receptor damages islets and causes acute diabetes. Acta Diabetol. 47, 193–199. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00592-009-0109-4.

Yang, L., Han, Y., Nilsson-Payant, B.E., Gupta, V., Wang, P., Duan, X., Tang, X., Zhu, J., Zhao, Z., Jaffré, F., et al. (2020). A human pluripotent stem cell-based platform to study SARS-CoV-2 tropism and model virus infection in human cells and organoids. Cell Stem Cell 27, 125–136.e7. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2020.06.015.

Ye, Z.W., Yuan, S., Yuen, K.S., Fung, S.Y., Chan, C.P., and Jin, D.Y. (2020). Zoonotic origins of human coronaviruses. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 16, 1686– 1697. https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.45472.

Zhang, Y.Z., and Holmes, E.C. (2020). A genomic perspective on the origin and emergence of SARS-CoV-2. Cell 181, 223–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.035.

Zhang, C., Shi, L., and Wang, F.S. (2020). Liver injury in COVID-19: management and challenges. The Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol. *5*, 428–430. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-1253(20)30057-1.

Zhu, N., Zhang, D., Wang, W., Li, X., Yang, B., Song, J., Zhao, X., Huang, B., Shi, W., Lu, R., et al. (2020). A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N. Engl. J. Med. *382*, 727–733. https://doi.org/10.1056/ nejmoa2001017.



STAR*METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Rabbit anit-ACE2	Abcam	Cat# ab15348; RRID: AB_301861
Goat anti-ACE2	R&D	Cat# AF933; RRID: AB_355722
Mouse anti-CHGA	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-393941; RRID: AB_2801371
Rabbit anti-INS	Cell Signaling	Cat# 3014; RRID: AB_2126503
Rat anti-C-peptide	DSHB	Cat# GN-ID4; RRID: AB_2255626
Mouse anti-NKX2-2	DSHB	Cat# 74.5A5; RRID: AB_531794
Mouse anti-NKX6-1	DSHB	Cat# F55A10; RRID: AB_532378
Mouse anti-NRP1	Santa Cruz	Cat# sc-5307; RRID: AB_2282634
Goat anti-PDX1	R&D	Cat# AF2419; RRID: AB_355257
Rabbit anti-SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (Nc)	Homemade: Pyrc Lab	N/A
Mouse anti-SARS-CoV-2 Nc	Thermo Fisher	Cat# MA5-29981; RRID: AB_2785780
Rabbit anti-SOX9	Millipore	Cat# AB5535; RRID: AB_2239761
Mouse anti-TMPRSS2	DSHB	Cat# P5H9-A3; RRID: AB_2205599
Rabbit anti-cleaved Caspase 3 (Asp175)	Cell Signaling	Cat# 9664; RRID: AB_2070042
Mouse anti-phospho- MLKL (Ser538)	St. John's Laboratory	Cat# STJ97776
Goat isotype control	GeneTex	Cat# GTX35039; RRID: AB_10623176
Sheep isotype control	R&D	Cat# 5-001-A; RRID: AB_10141430
Alexa Fluor 350 Donkey Anti-Rabbit	Thermo Fisher	Cat# A10039; RRID: AB_2534015
Alexa Fluor 488 Donkey Anti-Goat	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat# 705-545-147; RRID: AB_2336933
Alexa Fluor 488 Donkey Anti-Mouse	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat# 715-545-150; RRID: AB_2340846
Alexa Fluor 488 Donkey Anti-Rabbit	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat# 711-545-152; RRID: AB_2313584
TRITC Donkey Anti-Rabbit	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat# 711-025-152; RRID: AB_2340588
TRITC Donkey Anti-Goat	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat# 705-025-147; RRID: AB_2340389
TRITC Donkey Anti-Mouse	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat# 715-025-150; RRID: AB_2340766
IRITC Donkey Anti-Rat	Jackson Immuno Research	Cat# 712-025-153; RRID: AB_2340636
Alexa Fluor 647 Donkey Anti-Mouse	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat# 715-605-151; RRID: AB_2340863
Alexa Fluor 647 Donkey Anti-Goat	Jackson Immuno Research	Cat# 705-605-147; RRID: AB_2340437
Bacterial and virus strains		
SARS-CoV-2 strain: BavPat1/2020	EVAg	Ref-SKU: 026V-03883
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
StemFlex Medium	Thermo Fisher	Cat# A3349401
rhVTN	Thermo Fisher	Cat# A31804
RPMI 1640	Corning	Cat# 15-041-CVR
DMEM	Corning	Cat# 15-013-CV
CMRL 1066	Corning	Cat# 15-110-CV
GlutaMAX Supplement	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 35050038
HyClone Characterized FBS	GE Healthcare	Cat# SH30071.02
Insulin-Transferrin-Selenium (ITS -G)	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 41400045
MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids Solution (NEAA)	Thermo Fisher	Cat # 11140035

(Continued on next page)

CellPress OPEN ACCESS



Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
B-27 Supplement	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 17504044
Recombinant Human/Murine/	Peprotech	Cat# 120-14P
Rat Activin A		
Recombinant Human KGF	Peprotech	Cat# AF-100-19
Recombinant Human EGF	Peprotech	Cat# AF-100-15
CHIR 99021	Peprotech	Cat# 2520691
TTNPB	Tocris	Cat# 0761
Alk5i II	Adooq	Cat# A11762
LDN193189	Peprotech	Cat# 1066208
LY-411575	Adooq	Cat# A11176
T3 (3,3′,5-Triiodo-L-thyronine sodium salt)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# T6397
vitamin C (L-ascorbic acid)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# A4544
N-acetyl-L-cysteine	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# A7250
zinc sulfate	Merck Millipore	Cat# 1.08883
Heparin sodium salt	Sigma Aldrich	Cat# H3149
Camostat mesylate	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# SML0057
E64	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# E3132
Bafilomycin A1	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# B1793-2UG
Cathepsin B inhibitor CA-074 methyl ester	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# C5857
Cathepsin L inhibitor II	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# 21942
NH ₄ Cl	Bioshop	Cat# AMC303
Critical commercial assays		
Viral DNA/RNA kit	A&A Biotechnology	Cat# 034-100
High-Capacity cDNA Reverse	Thermo Fisher	Cat# 4368814
Transcription Kit		
Transcription Kit GoTaq Probe 1-Step RT-qPCR System	Promega	Cat# A6121
	Promega R&D	Cat# A6121 Cat# LKTM014
GoTaq Probe 1-Step RT-qPCR System Human XL Cytokine Luminex Performance		
GoTaq Probe 1-Step RT-qPCR System Human XL Cytokine Luminex Performance Assay Fixed Panel	R&D	Cat# LKTM014
GoTaq Probe 1-Step RT-qPCR System Human XL Cytokine Luminex Performance Assay Fixed Panel DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (2X)	R&D	Cat# LKTM014
GoTaq Probe 1-Step RT-qPCR System Human XL Cytokine Luminex Performance Assay Fixed Panel DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (2X) Experimental models: Cell lines	R&D Thermo Fisher	Cat# LKTM014 Cat# K1081
GoTaq Probe 1-Step RT-qPCR System Human XL Cytokine Luminex Performance Assay Fixed Panel DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (2X) Experimental models: Cell lines Vero E6	R&D Thermo Fisher ATCC A gift from Drs. Lei Bu,	Cat# LKTM014 Cat# K1081 CRL-1586
GoTaq Probe 1-Step RT-qPCR System Human XL Cytokine Luminex Performance Assay Fixed Panel DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (2X) Experimental models: Cell lines Vero E6 ISL1-GFP hPSCs	R&D Thermo Fisher ATCC A gift from Drs. Lei Bu,	Cat# LKTM014 Cat# K1081 CRL-1586
GoTaq Probe 1-Step RT-qPCR System Human XL Cytokine Luminex Performance Assay Fixed Panel DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (2X) Experimental models: Cell lines Vero E6 ISL1-GFP hPSCs Oligonucleotides Forward primer for RT-qPCR CAC ATT	R&D Thermo Fisher ATCC A gift from Drs. Lei Bu, Kenneth Chien (Lui et al., 2018)	Cat# LKTM014 Cat# K1081 CRL-1586 N/A
GoTaq Probe 1-Step RT-qPCR System Human XL Cytokine Luminex Performance Assay Fixed Panel DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (2X) Experimental models: Cell lines Vero E6 ISL1-GFP hPSCs Oligonucleotides Forward primer for RT-qPCR CAC ATT GGC ACC CGC AAT C Reverse primer for RT-qPCR GAG GAA	R&D Thermo Fisher ATCC A gift from Drs. Lei Bu, Kenneth Chien (Lui et al., 2018) This paper	Cat# LKTM014 Cat# K1081 CRL-1586 N/A
GoTaq Probe 1-Step RT-qPCR System Human XL Cytokine Luminex Performance Assay Fixed Panel DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (2X) Experimental models: Cell lines Vero E6 ISL1-GFP hPSCs Oligonucleotides Forward primer for RT-qPCR CAC ATT GGC ACC CGC AAT C Reverse primer for RT-qPCR GAG GAA CGA GAA GAG GCT TG Probe for RT-qPCR ACT TCC TCA AGG	R&D Thermo Fisher ATCC A gift from Drs. Lei Bu, Kenneth Chien (Lui et al., 2018) This paper This paper	Cat# LKTM014 Cat# K1081 CRL-1586 N/A N/A N/A
GoTaq Probe 1-Step RT-qPCR System Human XL Cytokine Luminex Performance Assay Fixed Panel DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (2X) Experimental models: Cell lines Vero E6 ISL1-GFP hPSCs Oligonucleotides Forward primer for RT-qPCR CAC ATT GGC ACC CGC AAT C Reverse primer for RT-qPCR GAG GAA CGA GAA GAG GCT TG Probe for RT-qPCR ACT TCC TCA AGG AAC AAC ATT GCC A (FAM/BHQ1) Forward primer for sg mRNA TAT ACC	R&D Thermo Fisher ATCC A gift from Drs. Lei Bu, Kenneth Chien (Lui et al., 2018) This paper This paper This paper	Cat# LKTM014 Cat# K1081 CRL-1586 N/A N/A N/A N/A



Continued					
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER			
Reverse primer for sg mRNA, second PCR reaction TCT TCC TTG CCA TGT TGA GTG A	This paper	N/A			
Software and algorithms					
Graph Pad Prism 8 software	Graph Pad Prism	https://www.graphpad.com/ scientific-software/prism/			
Nikon NIS Elements AR 5.21.01 64 bi	Nikon	https://www.microscope.healthcare. nikon.com/en_EU/products/software/ nis-elements/nis-elements-advanced-research			

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Malgorzata Borowiak (malbor3@amu.edu.pl).

Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request.

This paper does not report original code.

Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODELS AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Human tissue samples

The formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) pancreatic tissues specimens were retrieved from the archival collections of the Department of Clinical Pathology, Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun (Poland) under protocols approved by the Bioethical Commission. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Clinical characteristics of patients were extracted from the medical records and all the data were analyzed anonymously. Only material with confirmed normal histology was included in the present study. We obtained human fetal pancreas 10.6 and 13 weeks after conception, in accordance with Institutional Review Board (IRB3065 to MB) guidelines.

Cell lines

Vero E6 (Cercopithecus aethiops; kidney epithelial; ATCC: CRL-1586) cells were maintained in DMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 3% FBS (heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and streptomycin (100 µg/mL), penicillin (100 U/mL) and ciprofloxacin (5 µg/mL).

ISL1-GFP hPSCs, 46XY (a gift from Drs Kenneth Chien and Lei Bu) (Lui et al., 2018) were cultured on vitronectin (rhVTN; Thermo Fisher Scientific)-coated plates in StemFlex (Thermo Fisher) medium. Cells were routinely passaged every 3–4 days using PBS-EDTA.

Cells were cultured at 37° C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. All cell lines were tested monthly for mycoplasma with a PCR assay.

Virus

SARS-CoV-2 (isolate 026V-03883) was kindly granted by Dr. Christian Drosten, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany and provided by the European Virus Archive - Global (EVAg); https://www.europeanvirus-archive.com/).





METHOD DETAILS

Human tissue processing and immunofluorescence staining

FFPE adult pancreas tissue samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 24 h (Alpinus Chemia), and further processed using the automated histoprocessor (Excelsior™ AS; Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to routine protocol used in pathology laboratories. The 4-µm FFPE sections adhered to Superfrost Plus coated glass slides were deparaffinized and subjected to the standard citrate buffer antigen retrieval protocol before proceeding to immunofluorescence staining (IF). Human fetal pancreatic tissue was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS for 4 h, washed with PBS, soaked with 30% sucrose and embedded in TissueTek. The 12-µm frozen sections were adhered to Superfrost Plus coated glass slides and stored at - 80°C.

Pancreatic differentiation

For 3D differentiation, we used a protocol based on Nair et al. (2019). Briefly, ready-to-passage cells were detached using PBS-EDTA, washed and resuspended at the 1:2.5 split ratio in 5 mL of StemFlex per 6-well plate well. Differentiation was carried out in non-treated 6-well plates (Eppendorf) in a 5% CO₂, 37°C incubator with constant mixing. After 24 h, when 100–200 μ M spheres formed, they were washed with PBS and the medium was exchanged to day 1 medium to initiate differentiation. The media were as follows - day 1: RPMI 1640 (Corning) + 1x Glutamax (Thermo Fisher Scientific) + 1x pen/strep (Thermo Fisher)+ 0.2% FBS (HyClone characterized, GE Healthcare) + 1:5,000 ITS-G (Thermo Fisher) + 3 µM CHIR99021 (Peprotech) + 100 ng/mL Activin A (Peprotech); day 2: RPMI + 1x Glutamax + pen/strep +0. 2% FBS +1: 2,000 ITS-G + 100 ng/mL Activin A; day 3: RPMI + 1x Glutamax + 1x pen/strep +0.2% FBS +1:1,000 ITS-G + 100 ng/mL Activin A; day 4–5: RPMI + 1x Glutamax + 1x pen/strep +0.4% FBS +1:1,000 ITS-G + 25 ng/mL KGF (Peprotech); day 6–7: DMEM (Corning) + 1x Glutamax + 1x pen/strep +1% B27 (Thermo Fisher) + 3 nM TTNPB (Tocris); day 8: DMEM + Glutamax + pen/strep +1% B27 + 3 nM TTNPB +50 ng/mL EGF (Peprotech); day 9-11: DMEM + Glutamax + pen/strep +1% B27 + 50 ng/mL EGF +50 ng/mL KGF; day 12-20: DMEM + Glutamax + pen/strep +1% B27 + 1x NEAA (Thermo Fisher Scientific) + 10 μM Alk5i II (Adoog) + 500 nM LDN193189 (Peprotech) + 1 μM LY-411575 (Adooq) + 1 μM T3 (Sigma Aldrich)+ 0.5 mM vitamin C (Sigma Aldrich) + 1 mM N-acetyl cysteine (Sigma Aldrich) + 10 μ M zinc sulfate heptahydrate (Merck) + 10 μg/mL heparin (Sigma Aldrich); day 20 +: CMRL 1066 (Corning) + Glutamax + pen/strep +1% B27 + 1x NEAA (Thermo Fisher) + 10 μ M Alk5i II + 1 μ M T3 + 0.5 mM vitamin C + 1 mM N-acetyl cysteine +10 μ M zinc sulfate heptahydrate $+10 \,\mu$ g/mL heparin. The medium was changed daily on days 1–19 and every other day from day 20+.

Virus

SARS-CoV-2virus stock was prepared by infecting fully confluent Vero E6 cells at a TCID50 of 400 per mL. Three days after inoculation, the cultures' supernatant was aliquoted and stored at -80 °C. The control Vero E6 cell supernatant from mock-infected cells was prepared in the same manner. The virus yield was evaluated by titration on fully confluent Vero E6 cells in 96-well plates, according to the method of Reed and Muench. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 2 days and the cytopathic effect (CPE) was scored by observation under an inverted microscope.

Virus infection

Spheroids were inoculated at a specific differentiation stage with SARS-CoV-2 at a TCID50 of 5000 per mL (as determined in Vero E6 cells). After 2 h of incubation at 37 °C, the unbound virions were removed by washing with 1 × PBS, and the cultures were supplemented with fresh medium, depleted of differentiation inducers. To analyze the kinetics of virus replication, 100 μ L of medium or 400 μ L of cell lysate were collected at given time points. All samples were stored at -80°C and analyzed using RT-qPCR.

Isolation of nucleic acids, reverse transcription, and quantitative PCR

A viral DNA/RNA kit (A&A Biotechnology, Poland) was used for nucleic acid isolation from cell culture supernatants. RNA was isolated according to the manufacturer's instructions. Viral RNA was quantified using quantitative PCR coupled with reverse transcription (RT-qPCR) (GoTaq Probe 1-Step RT-qPCR System, Promega, Poland) using CFX96 Touch real time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Poland). The reaction was carried out in the presence of the probe (ACT TCC TCA AGG AAC AAC ATT GCC A (FAM/BHQ1) and primers (forward CAC ATT GGC ACC CGC AAT C and reverse GAG GAA CGA GAA GAG GCT TG). The heating scheme was as follows: 15 min at 45°C and 2 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s





at 95°C and 1 min at 58°C or 60°C. To assess the copy number for the N gene, standards were prepared and serially diluted.

Detection of SARS-CoV-2 N sg mRNA

Total nucleic acids were isolated from virus or mock-infected cells with Viral DNA/RNA Kit (A&A Biotechnology), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription was performed using a high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Viral cDNA was amplified in a 20 μ L reaction mixture containing 1 × DreamTaq Green PCR master mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and primers (500 nM each). The following primers were used to amplify the subgenomic mRNA of SARS-CoV-2 (sg mRNA): common sense primer (leader sequence), 5 – TATACCTTCCCAGGTAACAAACCA-3'; nucleocapsid antisense, 5'-GTAGCTCTTCGGTAGTAGCAAT-3' (first PCR reaction), and 5'-TCTTCCTTGCCATGTT-GAGTGA-3' (second PCR reaction). The conditions were as follows: 3 min at 95 °C, 35 cycles (30 cycles for second PCR) of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 55°C, and 20 s at 72°C, followed by 5 min at 72°C and 10 min at 4°C. PCR products were run on 1% agarose gels (1x Tris-acetate EDTA [TAE] buffer) and analyzed using molecular imaging software (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Immunofluorescent analysis

Tissue samples were blocked and permeabilized with antibody dilution solution (ADS), i.e. 3% normal donkey serum (Jackson Immunoresearch), 0.1% Triton X-100 (BioShop) in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. The samples were then incubated with primary antibodies diluted in ADS overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies used in the study are listed in Table 1. After two 10-min washes with PBS-Tween20 (0.1% v/v) (BioShop), cells were incubated with secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor 350 (Thermo Fisher), Alexa Fluor 488, TRITC or Alexa Fluor 647 (all Jackson Immunoresearch) diluted 1:800 in ADS for 2 h at room temperature. Secondary antibodies were then washed out twice for 5 min with PBS-Tween and incubated with DAPI (Sigma Aldrich) as a counterstain. Samples were mounted with Pro-Long Dia-mond Antifade (Thermo Fisher Scientific) prior to imaging.

The 3D spheroids were removed from the medium, washed with ice-cold 1% bovine serum albumin (BioShop), fixed in 4% PFA for 45 min at 4°C, and washed with ice-cold PBS-Tween. Spheroids were permeabilized and blocked in ADS with addition of 0.2% SDS for 45 min at 4°C. Spheroids were incubated with primary antibodies diluted in ADS/0.2% SDS and subsequently with secondary antibodies, overnight at 4°C. The spheroids were washed after antibodies incubation in ADS/SDS for three times, 1 h each. DAPI counterstain was added to the secondary antibody mix. The confocal imaging was performed in a high content imaging 96 Well plate (Corning) in water. For lightsheet imaging spheroids were embedded in 1% agarose dissolved in water in capillaries with 1 mm diameter.

Imaging

Epifluorescence microscopy was performed using Leica DM IL-Led (Leica, Germany), with lenses: N Plan Fluor 4x/0.12, N Plan Fluor 10x/0.30, N Plan Fluor 20x/0.40, N Plan Fluor 40x/0.60 and JENOPTIK Progres Gryphax camera (JENOPTIK, Germany). Confocal microscopy was performed with a Nikon A1Rsi (Nikon, Germany), with lenses: Plan Fluor 4x/0.13, Plan Apo 10x/0.45 DIC N1, Plan Apo VC 20x/0.75 DIC N2, Apo 40x/1.25 WI λ S DIC N2, Plan Apo VC 60x/1.4 Oil DIC N2; Software: Nikon NIS Elements AR 5.21.01 64 bit (Nikon, Germany). For quantification of apoptotic cells spheroids were imaged using Zeiss Lightsheet 7 microscope with 20x lens and 0.83x zoom in water, and Zeiss Zen Black software. Quantification was performed using Zen Blue software.

Virus titration

Pancreas organoids prepared using two different differentiation protocols were infected with SARS-CoV-2 virus at 5000 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID50)/mL. Infection was performed in two technical replicates. After 2 h of incubation at 37°C, cells were rinsed twice with PBS, and a fresh medium was added. Culture supernatants were collected 72 h post-infection for subsequent analyses. Virus yields were assessed by two methods: Reed and Muench titration and plaque assay. First, collected samples were titrated with serial dilutions of samples on fully confluent Vero cells in 96-well plates. Infected cells were incubated at 37°C, and after 72 h the cytopathic effect (CPE) was scored using an inverted microscope. The titer was calculated as TCID50.



For a plaque assay, Vero E6 cells were seeded in 24 well plates 24 h prior to the inoculation. At the day of infection, 80–90% confluent cells were overlaid with serial dilutions of samples. Following 1 h incubation at 37°C, cells were overlaid with 1 mL of DMEM media supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, penicilin/streptomycin and 1% methylcellulose (Sigma-Aldrich, Poland). 72 h p.i. cells were fixed overnight with 4% PFA. Next, the buffer was discarded, and cells were stained using 0.1% crystal violet solution in 50:50 water/methanol solution for 10 min at room temperature. Finally, cells were washed with water and plaques were counted.

The titer of samples from a plaque assay was calculated by following formulas:

titer
$$\left(\frac{pfu}{ml}\right)$$
 = number of plaques × dilution factor × $\left(\frac{1}{\frac{ml \text{ of inovulum}}{well}}\right)$
TCID₅₀ = titer $\left(\frac{pfu}{ml}\right) / 0.69$

Plaque assay was performed in duplicate for each sample.

Cytokine analysis

The experiments were carried out in a 24-well plate and cells were infected with the virus and mock control at TCID₅₀ of 5,000 per milliliter. After 2 h, the unbound virions were washed off by rinsing the cells thrice with PBS and fresh medium was added. After 5 min, the supernatants were collected from each well and fresh medium was added. The experiment was carried out for 96 h and every 24 h the supernatants were collected. The collected samples were heat inactivated for 10 min at 60°C. Samples were stored at -80° C for further analysis. Evaluation of the levels of selected proteins in cell culture supernatants was performed using xMAP technology, Luminex assay (Human XL Cytokine Luminex Performance Assay; Bio-Techne, Minneapolis, MN, USA), and the MAGPIX fluorescent-based detection system (Luminex, Austin, TX, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. A cohort of chemokines and cytokines including CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CCL19, CCL20, CD40L, CX3CL1, CXCL1, CXCL10, CXCL2, FGFb, FLT3L, GCSF, GMCSF, GZMB, IFN- β , IFN- γ , IL-10, IL-12p70, IL-13, IL-15, IL-17E, IL-2, IL-3, IL-33 IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, IFN- α , PDGF-AA, PDGF-AB, TGF- α , TNF- α , TRAIL, and VEGF were tested 24, 48, 72 and 96 h after SARS-CoV-2 infection. All results were interpreted from the calibration curves in pg/mL or ng/mL. The heatmap was prepared with GraphPad Prism 8 with mean cytokine levels for each time point and normalized by subtracting the minimum value and dividing by the maximum value.

Inhibition of virus entry

To delineate the entry routes of SARS-CoV-2 in the pancreas, spheroids were cultured in round bottom 96-well or 48-well plates and were incubated for 2 h at 37° C in the presence (20 μ g/mL) of anti-ACE2 (goat anti-ACE2, R&D; cat. no.: AF933), anti-neuropilin-1 (sheep anti-neuropilin-1, R&D; cat. no.: AF3870), or isotype antibodies (goat isotype control, GeneTex, cat. no.: GTX35039; sheep isotype control, R&D; cat. no.: 5-001-A). Alternatively, the spheroids were incubated with one of the following compounds: 50 µM camostat mesylate (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no.: SML0057), 50 µM E64 (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no.: E3132), 50 nM bafilomycin A1 (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no.: B1793-2UG), 30 μM cathepsin B inhibitor CA-074 methyl ester (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no.: C5857), 25 μM cathepsin L inhibitor II (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no.: 21942), or 10 mM NH₄Cl (Bioshop; cat. no.: AMC303). All compounds were diluted in the appropriate culture medium. Following incubation, cells were overlaid with viral stocks at 5000 TCID₅₀/mL and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. The cells were then washed thrice with PBS and the spheroids were further cultured in the presence of compounds. The infection was carried out for 3 days and every 24 h the supernatants were collected, and subsequently fresh medium was added to each well. The effects of antibodies and compounds were analyzed using RT-qPCR and counting of IF-labeled organoids using epifluorescence. For IF quantification, for each spheroid we quantified the number of infected cells on the visible surface (N = 4 independent experiments with at least 30 organoids per experiment, mean = 48.8) and the organoid diameter, from which we estimated % of infected cells per hemisphere surface. We arbitrarily created a threshold of 1.25% infected cells per spheroid to separate "low" infection rate (<1.25% cells, at least one cell per organoid) from "high" infection rate (mean 7.05% infected cells; 3.83-10.26 95% CI) spheroids.





QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Each IF image is representative for at least 3 independent differentiation and virus infection experiments or biological specimens (tissue samples). Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8. Statistical analysis of Luminex data was performed with unpaired two-tailed Student's t test. Statistical analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 receptor and entry inhibition by RT-qPCR and IF was performed using Tukey (receptor blocking) or Dunnett (entry inhibition) multiple comparison test. The details of replicate number, statistical test used, dispersion and precision measures for each experiment are given in the corresponding Figure legend.