

Preface to the series on oral pre-cancer and cancer

Oral cancer and oral potentially malignant disorders (OPMDs) are one of the most well-explored topics related to oral pathology. A majority of research on oral cancer, especially oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) has revolved around its potential risk factors including tobacco products, alcohol, microbial agents, etc. Among these, tobacco products such as cigarette are well-established as an independent risk factor and thus has been heavily sanctioned by global health agencies in an attempt to curb their sale. Despite valiant efforts by the health agencies, the tobacco industry has found innovative methods to sustain its product flow through the introduction of novel tobacco products including E-cigarettes (1). Unlike conventional cigarettes, the potential health hazards of the E-cigarette is relatively unexplored. Thus, without adequate information on E-cigarette induced health effects, it is not possible to implement sanctions restricting their sale.

In addition to well-established risk factors, there are several potential risk factors such as microbial agents, environmental agents, wherein there is a lack of conclusive evidence for causal inference. Researchers have focussed on exploring the overall microbiome profile in oral cancer patients (2). In such cases, it is vital to determine if the microbial profile noted in the cancer patients were a risk factor for the initiation and progression of oral cancer or is the microbial profile secondary to oral cancer. Several microbial agents including HPV and EBV have been implicated in cancer of the oropharynx and nasopharynx but their association with oral cancer has been inconclusive (3,4). The lack of clarity in associating a microbial agent to oral cancer is due to the presence of several confounding factors such as tobacco, alcohol consumption, etc. Thus, in such cases, it is not possible to assess the specific microbial agent as an independent risk factor. Also, studies exploring the association between a microbial agent and cancer have largely used a wide variety of diagnostic tools to detect the microbe. The variations in the sensitivity and the specificity of these diagnostic tools have in turn led to conflicting results.

In addition to etiopathogenesis, recent studies have given much importance to assess the quality of life among oral cancer patients. Anti-cancer treatments often have a cytotoxic effect which can lead to both systemic and local manifestations. Thus, the patients must be given additional prophylactic care to reduce the overall morbidity associated with the therapeutic regimen (5). Also, such oral cancer patients have relatively greater difficulty in adapting to post-therapeutic oral rehabilitation including oral prosthesis (6). Thus, it is heartening to see a surge in the number of articles exploring the quality of life of oral cancer patients. It is vital to understand that in addition to preventing mortality from cancer, it is also in the duty of the clinician to improve the quality of life of oral cancer patients by limiting the associated morbidity.

The Tumour micro-environment is a major factor determining the overall tumor behavior. Thus, studies have constantly explored the various components of the tumor micro-environment including the inflammatory cells (7). Exploring the molecular profile of the stromal micro-environment of both oral cancer and OPMDs could aid in understanding the factors determining the tumor behavior including malignant potential.

The major reason for the increasing number of OSCC cases is due to the inability to prevent the malignant transformation of an OPMD. Thus, studies have tried a wide range of diagnostic markers to asses the malignant risk associated with OPMDs. Despite the identification of a large number of potential salivary and serum diagnostic markers (8), their prognostic ability has remained largely poor.

The WHO has provided clear definitions for the majority of OPMDs along with their etiopathogenesis and diagnostic criteria. Despite these clear delineations, there are few entities such as the oral lichenoid dysplasia (OLD), whose natural history remains to be elusive (9). By definition, OLD is a primary epithelial dysplasia with secondary lichenoid (stromal inflammatory) features. The controversy of OLD is due to its close resemblance to oral lichen planus (OLP), and oral lichenoid lesion (OLL). Both OLP and OLL have the lichenoid component (stromal inflammation) as the primary pathology. Most authors agree that the OLL could have a secondary epithelial dysplastic component. Unlike OLL, there is a lack of consensus as to the presence of epithelial dysplasia in OLP. Authors supporting the presence of epithelial dysplasia in OLP, do not believe OLP to have malignant potential. Thus, at present, there is a general lack of consensus as to the natural history of these enigmatic group of lesions.

Surgery, chemo, and radiotherapy have remained to be the major therapeutic modalities for cancer. The recent innovations including targeted therapy have aimed to reduce the morbidity associated with the conventional therapeutic regimens. Among

the recent cancer therapeutic innovations, much importance is being given to gene-editing tools such as the CRISPR-Cas gene (10). Although at a relatively incipient stage, there is an increasing number of studies exploring the potential application of CRISPR-Cas gene tools in cancer, including oral cancer. Such novel cancer therapeutic tools although, could be of immense translational value, require a cautious approach.

The series on oral pre-cancer and cancer included articles analyzing the etiopathogenesis, the tumor micro-environment, diagnostic markers, and therapeutic innovations for oral cancer (1-4,7,8,10). The natural history of enigmatic entities such as oral lichenoid dysplasia has been explored in-depth (9). Also, the series includes articles assessing the quality of life of oral cancer patients both during anti-cancer treatment and during prosthetic rehabilitation (5,6).

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, Translational Cancer Research for the series "Oral Pre-cancer and Cancer". The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2020.04.29). The series "Oral Pre-cancer and Cancer" was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. SP served as an unpaid Guest Editor of the series and serves as an unpaid Editorial Board Member of *Translational Cancer Research* from Jul 2018 to Jun 2020. The author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- 1. Raj AT, Sujatha G, Muruganandhan J, et al. Reviewing the oral carcinogenic potential of E-cigarettes using the Bradford Hill criteria of causation. Transl Cancer Res 2020;9:3142-52.
- 2. Mallika L, Augustine D, Rao RS, et al. Does microbiome shift play a role in carcinogenesis? A systematic review. Transl Cancer Res 2020;9:3153-66.
- 3. More P, Kheur S, Patekar D, et al. Assessing the nature of the association of human papillomavirus in oral cancer with and without known risk factors. Transl Cancer Res 2020;9:3119-25.
- 4. Sivakumar S, Gupta AA, Nik Mohd Rosdy NM, et al. Assessing the potential association between Epstein-Barr virus and oral squamous cell carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Transl Cancer Res 2020;9:3092-100.
- 5. Al-Rudayni AHM, Gopinath D, Mahrajan M, et al. Impact of oral mucositis on quality of life in patients undergoing oncological treatment: a systematic review. Transl Cancer Res 2020;9:3126-34.
- 6. Quadri MF, Alamir AW, John T, et al. Effect of prosthetic rehabilitation on oral health-related quality of life of patients with head and neck cancer: a systematic review. Transl Cancer Res 2020;9:3107-18.
- 7. da Silva GDG, Pinheiro TN. Histomorphometric comparative analysis between the oral mucosa of fibrous inflammatory hyperplasia and oral leukoplakia. Transl Cancer Res 2020;9:3101-6.

Translational Cancer Research, Vol 9, No 4 April 2020

- 8. Yadav KD, Patil BA, Raheel SA, et al. Serum uric acid levels in patients with oral cancer, leukoplakia and submucous fibrosis: a cross-sectional study. Transl Cancer Res 2020;9:3084-91.
- 9. Raj AT, Behura SS, Sarode SC, et al. The natural history of oral mucosal lesions with both lichenoid and epithelial dysplastic features: a systematic review. Transl Cancer Res 2020;9:3076-83.
- 10. Bhatkar D, Sarode SC, Sarode GS, et al. CRISPR-Cas genome editing tool: a narrow lane of cancer therapeutics with potential blockades. Transl Cancer Res 2020;9:3135-41.



Shankargouda Patil

Dr. Shankargouda Patil, BDS, MDS, PhD, MFDS, RCPS, FICD, FPFA, Associate Professor Department of Maxillofacial Surgery & Diagnostic Sciences, Division of Oral Pathology, College of Dentistry, Jazan University Jazan, Saudi Arabia. (Email: dr:ravipatil@gmail.com) Submitted Apr 10, 2020. Accepted for publication Apr 29, 2020. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2020.04.29 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2020.04.29

Cite this article as: Patil S. Preface to the series on oral precancer and cancer. Transl Cancer Res 2020;9(4):3073-3075. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2020.04.29