www.clinicalimagingscience.org

Spectrum of High Resolution Computed Tomography Findings in Occupational Lung Disease: Experience in a Tertiary Care Institute

Satija Bhawna, U. C. Ojha¹, Sanyal Kumar², Rajiv Gupta³, Dipti Gothi³, R. S. Pal³

Department of Radiodiagnosis, Delhi State Cancer Institute, ¹Institute of Occupational Health, Education and Research, Departments of ²Radiodiagnosis, and ³Pulmonary Medicine, Employee's State Insurance Hospital and Post Graduate Institute of Medical Science and Research, Basaidarapur, New Delhi, India

Address for correspondence:

Dr. U. C. Ojha, Director, Institute of Occupational Health, Education and Research, Employee's State Insurance Hospital and Post Graduate Institute of Medical Science and Research, Basaidarapur, New Delhi, India. E-mail: ucojha@rediffmail.com



Received : 11-07-2013 Accepted : 20-08-2013 Published : 31-12-2013

ABSTRACT

Objective: To study the spectrum of high resolution computed tomography (HRCT) findings in occupational lung disease in industrial workers and to assess the utility of International classification of HRCT for occupational and environmental respiratory diseases (ICHOERD). Materials and Methods: Retrospective analysis of radiological data (radiographs and computed tomography chest scans) gathered over a period of 3 years (January 2010- December 2012) of industrial workers in an organised sector who presented with respiratory complaints. The HRCT findings were evaluated using ICHOERD. **Results:** There were 5 females and 114 males in the study, with a mean age of 49 years. These workers were exposed to different harmful agents including silica, asbestos, cotton dust, metal dust, iron oxide, organic dust, rubber fumes, plastic fumes, acid fumes, and oil fumes. There were 10 smokers in the study. The radiograph of chest was normal in 53 patients. 46% of these normal patients (21.8% of total) demonstrated positive findings on HRCT. When the radiograph was abnormal, HRCT provided more accurate information and excluded the other diagnosis. The HRCT findings were appropriately described using the ICHOERD. Bronchiectasis was the most common finding (44.5%) with mild central cylindrical bronchiectasis as the most common pattern. Pleural thickening was seen in 41 patients (34.5%). Enlarged hilar or mediastinal lymphnodes were seen in 10 patients (8.4%) with egg-shell calcification in 1 patient exposed to silica. Bronchogenic carcinoma was seen in 1 patient exposed to asbestos. Conclusions: Occupational lung disease is a common work related condition in industrial workers even in the organized sector. Though chest radiograph is the primary diagnostic tool, HRCT is the undisputed Gold Standard for evaluation of these patients. Despite the disadvantage of radiation exposure, low dose CT may serve as an important tool for screening and surveillance. The

Access this article online					
Quick Response Code:	Wahaita				
	www.clinicalimagingscience.org				
	DOI: 10.4103/2156-7514.124097				

ICHOERD is a powerful and reliable tool not only for diagnosis, but also for quantitative and analytical measurement of disease, thereby contributing to assessing the medical epidemiology of lung disease. It should always be used while evaluating HRCT of a patient with occupational lung disease.

Key words: Classification, high resolution computed tomography, ICHOERD, industrial worker, occupational lung disease

Copyright: © 2013 Bhawna S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

This article may be cited as:

Bhawna S, Ojha UC, Kumar S, Gupta R, Gothi D, Pal RS. Spectrum of High Resolution Computed Tomography Findings in Occupational Lung Disease: Experience in a Tertiary Care Institute. J Clin Imaging Sci 2013;3:64. Available FREE in open access from: http://www.clinicalimagingscience.org/text.asp?2013/3/1/64/124097

INTRODUCTION

Despite federally mandated safety standards, the incidence of occupational or work environment related lung disease is on the rise. There is a widely used system for classification of chest radiographic abnormalities in pneumoconiosis introduced by the International Labour Office (ILO).⁽¹⁾ Though the introduction of high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) has revolutionized the diagnosis of occupational lung disease, a comprehensive system for the classification and quantification of these lung abnormalities on HRCT is still not in common use. The awareness about the radiological manifestations of occupational lung disease is limited with ambiguity associated with the terminology and description of radiological manifestations.

In the present study, imaging features on HRCT chest scans of industrial workers were evaluated using the International classification of HRCT for occupational and environmental respiratory diseases (ICHOERD).^[2] The HRCT findings were also compared with those seen on traditional chest radiographs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective analysis was done of the chest radiographs and computed tomography (CT) scans of 150 industrial workers from an organized sector, who attended an Institute of occupational and environmental health center in a tertiary care setup for medical check-up over a period of 3 years (January 2010-December 2012). Thirty-one patients were excluded based on clinical and/or radiological diagnosis that indicated lesions other than occupational lung disease. Exclusion criteria included signs/symptoms or imaging appearances on CT/X-ray suggestive of pulmonary disease secondary to infection, trauma, congenital diseases, smoking, connective tissue disorders, or any other disease known to produce similar manifestations. There were 119 patients with a diagnosis of occupational lung disease, who formed the subjects of this study. The demographic profile (age/sex), detailed occupational history, and history of smoking were recorded for these patients.

The radiological records, including radiograph of chest in posteroanterior view and high resolution computed tomography scan of thorax, were evaluated. The findings on HRCT were evaluated using ICHOERD classification.

RESULTS

There were 5 females and 114 males in the study. Their ages ranged from 31 years to 74 years, mean age being 49 years. These workers had been exposed to different types of hazardous agents as detailed in Table 1. There were 10 smokers in the study. The radiograph of chest was normal in 53 patients. Out of these, 26 patients demonstrated positive findings on HRCT [Figures 1 and 2]. The chest radiograph findings in 66 patients with positive X-ray are tabulated in Table 2. The various HRCT findings observed are listed in Table 3. Bronchiectasis was the most common finding with mild central cylindrical bronchiectasis as the most common pattern [Figure 3]. This was associated with bronchial wall thickening and mosaic attenuation. Pleural thickening was seen in 41 patients (34.5%). Hilar or mediastinal lymphnodes were enlarged in 10 patients (8.4%) with egg-shell calcification seen in 1 patient exposed to silica. Bronchogenic carcinoma developed in one patient exposed to asbestos.

In patients with history of exposure to silica (N = 13), presence of rounded opacities (RO) [Figure 4] was the most common manifestation (9/13). The type of RO predominantly seen was Q, and showed upper lobe predominance. Progressive massive fibrosis [Figure 5] was seen in 2/13 patients and HRCT was normal in 2/13 patients. There was lymphadenopathy in 3/13 patients with egg-shell (ES) calcification seen in 1 of them [Figure 6]. Two patients demonstrated the presence of irregular lines (IR) (intralobular/interlobular septal thickening) with associated ground glass opacity (GGO). Minimal central cylindrical bronchiectasis was seen in 5/13 patients.

In six patients with history of exposure to asbestos, one demonstrated normal HRCT. 3/6 patients demonstrated the presence of IR (intralobular septal thickening) with GGO, with peripheral subpleural distribution [Figure 7]. Honeycombing was observed in two patients, pleural



Figure 1: 54-year-old man working as stone cutter for 25 years with simple silicosis on HRCT and normal chest radiograph. (a) Radiograph of chest in posteroanterior view shows no abnormality. (b) HRCT thorax in axial plane: Multiple small well defined nodules, round opacities (RO type P) (arrows) seen in bilateral upper lobes.



Figure 2: 57-year-old construction worker with early asbestosis on HRCT and normal chest radiograph. (a) Chest X-ray is normal. (b) Axial HRCT prone image in lung window demonstrates subtle intralobular septal thickening and ground glass opacity in lower lobes in subpleural distribution.



Figure 4: 47-year-old woman working as stone grinder diagnosed with simple silicosis. (a) Axial HRCT image in lung window show small round opacities (RO type P and Q) in bilateral upper lobes.

Table 1: Occupation related exposure to different agents						
Type of occupational exposure	No of patients (n)					
Metal dust	30					
Silica	13					
Oil fumes	03					
Acid fumes	07					
Cotton dust	08					
Plastic fumes	10					
Organic dust	10					
Asbestos	06					
Rubber fumes	09					
Iron	10					
Others	13					

plaques in three patients with pleural calcification in one patient. Round atelectasis was seen in one patient. One patient demonstrated the presence of right upper lobe malignant mass with malignant mediastinal lymphadenopathy and right pleural effusion [Figure 8].

In patients exposed to cotton dust (N = 8), two demonstrated normal HRCT. Minimal central cylindrical bronchiectasis (BE) with bronchial wall thickening (BR) and associated mosaic attenuation was the most frequently observed manifestation, seen in 3/8 patients.One patient demonstrated emphysema whereas parenchymal bands were the only manifestation in one of them. RO with predominant pattern P with confluent opacities at places



Figure 3: 44-year-old woman exposed to rubber fumes for 15 years. Axial HRCT image in lung window shows minimal central cylindrical bronchiectasis (BE) with bronchial wall thickening (BR) (arrows). This was the most common HRCT finding seen in present study, consistent with airway disease.



Figure 5: 61-year-old man construction worker with silicosis and progressive massive fibrosis. (a) Computed tomography topogram shows large bilateral opacities in the upper zones of the lung with large emphysematous bullae in lower lobes. (b) Coronal CT scan obtained with mediastinal window settings shows bilateral conglomerate masses with calcifications (arrows). (c and d) Axial HRCT images in lung window, show presence of round opacities (RO) with paraseptal emphysema (EM) in addition.

and GGO were seen in one patient. This was associated with emphysema, bullae, and pleural thickening.

3/6 patients exposed to rubber fumes showed normal HRCT. Minimal central cylindrical BE with BR and associated MP was seen in other three patients. IR (interlobular septal thickening) with GGO was seen in 2/6 patients.

Exposure to plastic fume also resulted in 6/10 patients demonstrating a predominant pattern of minimal central cylindrical BE, BR, and MP [Figure 9]. There were associated fine centrilobular nodules (RO) in five patients. HRCT was normal in 3/10 patients and RO was the only manifestation in one patient.

Table 2 : Chest radiograph findings in 66 patients with positive X-ray										
Parenchymal abnormalities						Pleural a	abnormalities			Symbols
Profusion	Shape	Zo	ne	Large	Chest	Chest wall Costonbrenic Dianbragm Calcification				
	and size	R	1	opacities	Plaques (localized	Diffuse pleural	angle			
		n	-		pleural thickening)	thickening	obliteration			
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	No	No	No	No	at, bu, cg
1/2	a/a	UML	UML	0	No	No	No	No	No	bu, em
2/1	a/a	U	U	0	Yes, left	No	No	No	Yes, left	-
2/1	a/a	U	-	0	No	No	No	No	No	em, bu, di
0/0	-	_	-	0	Yes, both	No	No	No	No	Hi
2/1	a/p	UML	UML	A	Yes, both	No	No	No	No	ax, di, pb, ra, hi, di, at, cp
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	No	No	No	No	em, bu
2/2	n/n	UMI	UMI	Õ	No	No	No	No	No	Cn
2/1	a/p	UMI	UMI	Ă	No	No	No	No	No	ax, es
1/1	n/p	UMI	UMI	0	No	No	No	No	No	-
0/0	-	-	-	Õ	No	No	No	No	No	od (Cystic bronchiectasis)
2/1	a/p	МІ	МІ	õ	Yes, left	No	No	No	No	em, bu
2/2	n/n	UMI	UMI	Δ	Yes both	No	Yes	No	No	ax bu pb
1/1	p/p n/n	LIM	LIM	0	No	No	Yes	No	No	od (sental thickening)
2/1	p/p	I	1	0	No	Ves	Yes	No	No	Em
2/1	a/n			0	No	No	No	No	No	cy th
0/0	q/p	OIVIL	OIVIL	0	No	No	Voc	No	No	om di aa
0/0				0	No	No	No	No	No	eni, ui, aa
0/0	-	-	-	U	NO Var hath	INO No	NO	NO Xaa	NO NE	
3/2	q/r	UIVI	UIVI	В	Yes, both	INO	Yes	Yes	NO	em, al, er
0/0	-	-	-	0	Yes, both	NO	NO	NO	Yes	di, cp
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	No	No	No	No	HI
1/1	p/p	UM	UM	0	No	No	No	No	No	Em
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	No	No	No	No	od (Cystic bronchiectasis)
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	Yes	No	No	No	fr, hi
1/2	p/q	UM	UM	0	No	No	No	No	No	hi
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	No	No	No	No	em
1/1	p/p	UML	UML	0	No	No	No	No	No	-
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	No	No	No	No	hi
2/3	q/p	UM	UM	0	No	Yes	No	No	No	hi
1/0	p/p	U	U	0	No	No	No	No	No	cn
0/0	-	-	-	0	Yes, both	No	Yes	No	No	co, ih
1/0	p/q	L	L	0	No	No	No	No	No	em
1/1	p/p	L	L	0	No	No	No	No	No	аа
1/0	α/α	ML	ML	0	No	No	No	No	No	em
2/3	a/s	_	L	0	No	No	No	No	No	-
3/2	r/a	UM	UM	0	No	No	No	No	No	_
2/1	n/t	UM	UM	Δ	No	No	Yes	No	No	ax hi od (sental thickening)
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	No	No	No	No	em
1/1	n/n	1	1	Õ	No	No	No	No	No	ef nh
0/0	-	-	-	Õ	No	No	Yes	No	No	id ib od (sental thickening)
2/1	t/c	1111/1	1111/1	0	No	No	No	No	No	ia, in, oa (septal thekening)
1/2	1/5 a/p			^	No. loft	No	No	No	No	
0/0	q/p	0	0	A 0	Vee both	No	No	No	No	-
0/0	-	-	-	0	Ne	No	Yee	Tes No	Ne	ui nh
0/0	-	-	-	0	NO No	res	res	NO No	NO NE	dd
1/1	q/q	UIVI	UIVI	0	NO No	INO No	INO No	NO	INO No	-
0/0	-	-	-	0	NO	INO	NO	NO	NO	al, co
1/0	p/q	U	0	0	NO	NO	No	NO	NO	-
1/1	p/p	UM	UM	0	Yes, both	No	No	No	No	em
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	No	No	No	No	od (cystic bronchiectasis)
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	No	Yes	No	No	hc, od (septal thickening)
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	Yes	No	No	No	em
2/3	r/s	-	L	0	No	No	No	No	No	-
2/1	q/p	UML	UML	0	No	No	No	No	No	-
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	No	No	No	No	em, bu
1/2	q/r	UM	UM	0	No	No	No	No	No	-
2/1	q/p	-	U	0	No	No	No	No	No	em
2/2	q/q	UM	UM	0	No	No	No	No	No	em
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	No	No	No	No	em, bu
0/0	-	-	-	0	No	No	No	No	No	hc, od (septal thickening)
2/2	a/p	UML	UML	0	No	Yes	No	No	No	hi, ax, cn
1/1	p/p	UM	UM	0	Yes, both	No	No	No	No	-
3/3	r/r	UML	UML	0	No	No	Yes	No	No	ax, hi

Contd...

Table 2: Contd										
Parenchymal abnormalities					Pleural abnormalities					Symbols
Profusion	Shape	Zo	ne	Large	Chest	wall	Costophrenic	Diaphragm	Calcification	
	and size	R	L	opacities	Plaques (localized	Diffuse pleural	angle			
					pleural thickening)	thickening	obliteration			
1/1	s/t	UML	ML	0	No	No	No	No	No	-
1/1	t/t	ML	ML	0	Yes, left	No	Yes	No	Yes	-
2/2	s/s	ML	ML	0	No	No	No	No	No	em, pb
2/1	q/t	UML	UML	С	No	No	No	No	No	ax, bu, em, es, h

aa: Atherosclerotic aorta, at: Significant apical pleural thickening, ax: Coalescence of small opacities, bu: Bulla (e), ca: Cancer: Thoracic malignancies excluding mesothelioma, cg: Calcified non-pneumoconiotic nodules, cn: Calcification in small pneumoconiotic opacities, co: Abnormality of cardiac size or shape, cp: Cor pulmonale, cv: Cavity, di: Marked distortion of an intrathoracic structure, ef: Pleural effusion, em: Emphysema, es: Eggshell calcification of hilar or mediastinal lymph nodes, fr: Fractured rib (s), hi: Enlargement of non-calcified hilar or mediastinal lymph nodes, ho: Honeycomb lung, id: III-defined diaphragm border, ih: III-defined heart border, kl: Septal (Kerley) lines, me: Mesothelioma, pa: Plate atelectasis, pb: Parenchymal bands, pi: Pleural thickening of an interlobar fissure, px: Pneumothorax, ra: Rounded atelectasis, rp: Rheumatoid pneumoconiosis, tb: Tuberculosis, od: Other disease or significant abnormality

HRCT feature Number of patients (%) Round opacities 50 (42.0) Linear opacities 12 (10.1) Honeycombing 06 (5.0) Ground glass opacity 26 (21.8) Emphysema 27 (22.7) Large opacities 09 (7.6) Pleural thickening 41 (34.5) Pleural calcification 06 (5.0) Confluence of pneumoconiotic opacities 08 (6.7) Bronchiad wall thickening 44 (37.0) Bulla 14 (11.7) Carcinoma 01 (0.8) Calcified granuloma 04 (3.4) Cavity 02 (1.7) Dependent opacity 08 (6.7) Effusion 03 (2.5) Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or 01 (0.8) mediastinal lymph nodes T Fractured rib 03 (2.5) Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal 10 (8.4) lymph nodes Malignant mesothelioma Malignant mesothelioma 00 (00) Mosaic Perfusion 40 (33.6) Parenchymal band 20 (16.8) <th>Table 3: Various HRCT features evaluated</th> <th>d</th>	Table 3: Various HRCT features evaluated	d
Round opacities 50 (42.0) Linear opacities 12 (10.1) Honeycombing 06 (5.0) Ground glass opacity 26 (21.8) Emphysema 27 (22.7) Large opacities 09 (7.6) Pleural thickening 41 (34.5) Pleural calcification 06 (5.0) Confluence of pneumoconiotic opacities 08 (6.7) Bronchiectasis 53 (44.5) Bronchiectasis 53 (44.5) Bronchial wall thickening 44 (37.0) Bulla 14 (11.7) Carcinoma 01 (0.8) Calcified granuloma 04 (3.4) Cavity 02 (1.7) Dependent opacity 08 (6.7) Effusion 03 (2.5) Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or 01 (0.8) mediastinal lymph nodes 10 (8.4) Iymph nodes 10 (8.4) Malignant mesothelioma 00 (00) Mosaic Perfusion 40 (33.6) Parenchymal band 20 (16.8) Rounded atelectasis 02 (1.7) Subpleural c	HRCT feature	Number of patients (%)
Linear opacities 12 (10.1) Honeycombing 06 (5.0) Ground glass opacity 26 (21.8) Emphysema 27 (22.7) Large opacities 09 (7.6) Pleural thickening 41 (34.5) Pleural calcification 06 (5.0) Confluence of pneumoconiotic opacities 08 (6.7) Bronchiectasis 53 (44.5) Bronchial wall thickening 44 (37.0) Bulla 14 (11.7) Carcinoma 01 (0.8) Calcified granuloma 04 (3.4) Cavity 02 (1.7) Dependent opacity 08 (6.7) Effusion 03 (2.5) Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or 01 (0.8) mediastinal lymph nodes Fractured rib Fractured rib 03 (2.5) Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal 10 (8.4) lymph nodes Malignant mesothelioma Malignant mesothelioma 00 (00) Mosaic Perfusion 40 (33.6) Parenchymal band 20 (16.8) Rounded atelectasis 02 (1.7)	Round opacities	50 (42.0)
Honeycombing 06 (5.0) Ground glass opacity 26 (21.8) Emphysema 27 (22.7) Large opacities 09 (7.6) Pleural thickening 41 (34.5) Pleural calcification 06 (5.0) Confluence of pneumoconiotic opacities 08 (6.7) Bronchiectasis 53 (44.5) Bronchial wall thickening 44 (37.0) Bulla 14 (11.7) Carcinoma 01 (0.8) Calcified granuloma 04 (3.4) Cavity 02 (1.7) Dependent opacity 08 (6.7) Effusion 03 (2.5) Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or 01 (0.8) mediastinal lymph nodes 10 (8.4) Iymph nodes 10 (8.4) Malignant mesothelioma 00 (00) Mosaic Perfusion 40 (33.6) Parenchymal band 20 (16.8) Rounded atelectasis 02 (1.7) Subpleural curvilinear lines 18 (15.1) Tuberculosis 01 (0.8)	Linear opacities	12 (10.1)
Ground glass opacity 26 (21.8) Emphysema 27 (22.7) Large opacities 09 (7.6) Pleural thickening 41 (34.5) Pleural thickening 41 (34.5) Pleural calcification 06 (5.0) Confluence of pneumoconiotic opacities 08 (6.7) Bronchiectasis 53 (44.5) Bronchial wall thickening 44 (37.0) Bulla 14 (11.7) Carcinoma 01 (0.8) Calcified granuloma 04 (3.4) Cavity 02 (1.7) Dependent opacity 08 (6.7) Effusion 03 (2.5) Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or 01 (0.8) mediastinal lymph nodes Tractured rib Fractured rib 03 (2.5) Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal 10 (8.4) lymph nodes Malignant mesothelioma 00 (00) Mosaic Perfusion 40 (33.6) Parenchymal band 20 (16.8) Rounded atelectasis 02 (1.7) Subpleural curvilinear lines 18 (15.1) Tuberculosis 01 (0.8)	Honeycombing	06 (5.0)
Emphysema27 (22.7)Large opacities09 (7.6)Pleural thickening41 (34.5)Pleural calcification06 (5.0)Confluence of pneumoconiotic opacities08 (6.7)Bronchiectasis53 (44.5)Bronchial wall thickening44 (37.0)Bulla14 (11.7)Carcinoma01 (0.8)Calcified granuloma04 (3.4)Cavity02 (1.7)Dependent opacity08 (6.7)Effusion03 (2.5)Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or01 (0.8)mediastinal lymph nodes10 (8.4)Fractured rib03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes10 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Ground glass opacity	26 (21.8)
Large opacities09 (7.6)Pleural thickening41 (34.5)Pleural calcification06 (5.0)Confluence of pneumoconiotic opacities08 (6.7)Bronchiectasis53 (44.5)Bronchial wall thickening44 (37.0)Bulla14 (11.7)Carcinoma01 (0.8)Calcified granuloma04 (3.4)Cavity02 (1.7)Dependent opacity08 (6.7)Effusion03 (2.5)Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or01 (0.8)mediastinal lymph nodes03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Emphysema	27 (22.7)
Pleural thickening41 (34.5)Pleural calcification06 (5.0)Confluence of pneumoconiotic opacities08 (6.7)Bronchiectasis53 (44.5)Bronchial wall thickening44 (37.0)Bulla14 (11.7)Carcinoma01 (0.8)Calcified granuloma04 (3.4)Cavity02 (1.7)Dependent opacity08 (6.7)Effusion03 (2.5)Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or01 (0.8)mediastinal lymph nodes03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Large opacities	09 (7.6)
Pleural calcification06 (5.0)Confluence of pneumoconiotic opacities08 (6.7)Bronchiectasis53 (44.5)Bronchial wall thickening44 (37.0)Bulla14 (11.7)Carcinoma01 (0.8)Calcified granuloma04 (3.4)Cavity02 (1.7)Dependent opacity08 (6.7)Effusion03 (2.5)Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or01 (0.8)mediastinal lymph nodes03 (2.5)Fractured rib03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Pleural thickening	41 (34.5)
Confluence of pneumoconiotic opacities08 (6.7)Bronchiectasis53 (44.5)Bronchial wall thickening44 (37.0)Bulla14 (11.7)Carcinoma01 (0.8)Calcified granuloma04 (3.4)Cavity02 (1.7)Dependent opacity08 (6.7)Effusion03 (2.5)Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or01 (0.8)mediastinal lymph nodes03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Pleural calcification	06 (5.0)
Bronchiectasis53 (44.5)Bronchial wall thickening44 (37.0)Bulla14 (11.7)Carcinoma01 (0.8)Calcified granuloma04 (3.4)Cavity02 (1.7)Dependent opacity08 (6.7)Effusion03 (2.5)Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or01 (0.8)mediastinal lymph nodes7Fractured rib03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes7Malignant mesothelioma00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Confluence of pneumoconiotic opacities	08 (6.7)
Bronchial wall thickening44 (37.0)Bulla14 (11.7)Carcinoma01 (0.8)Calcified granuloma04 (3.4)Cavity02 (1.7)Dependent opacity08 (6.7)Effusion03 (2.5)Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or01 (0.8)mediastinal lymph nodes03 (2.5)Fractured rib03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Bronchiectasis	53 (44.5)
Bulla 14 (11.7) Carcinoma 01 (0.8) Calcified granuloma 04 (3.4) Cavity 02 (1.7) Dependent opacity 08 (6.7) Effusion 03 (2.5) Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or 01 (0.8) mediastinal lymph nodes 03 (2.5) Fractured rib 03 (2.5) Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal 10 (8.4) lymph nodes 00 (00) Mosaic Perfusion 40 (33.6) Parenchymal band 20 (16.8) Rounded atelectasis 02 (1.7) Subpleural curvilinear lines 18 (15.1) Tuberculosis 01 (0.8)	Bronchial wall thickening	44 (37.0)
Carcinoma01 (0.8)Calcified granuloma04 (3.4)Cavity02 (1.7)Dependent opacity08 (6.7)Effusion03 (2.5)Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or01 (0.8)mediastinal lymph nodes03 (2.5)Fractured rib03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Bulla	14 (11.7)
Calcified granuloma04 (3.4)Cavity02 (1.7)Dependent opacity08 (6.7)Effusion03 (2.5)Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or01 (0.8)mediastinal lymph nodes03 (2.5)Fractured rib03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Carcinoma	01 (0.8)
Cavity02 (1.7)Dependent opacity08 (6.7)Effusion03 (2.5)Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or01 (0.8)mediastinal lymph nodes03 (2.5)Fractured rib03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Calcified granuloma	04 (3.4)
Dependent opacity08 (6.7)Effusion03 (2.5)Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or01 (0.8)mediastinal lymph nodes03 (2.5)Fractured rib03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Cavity	02 (1.7)
Effusion03 (2.5)Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or01 (0.8)mediastinal lymph nodes03 (2.5)Fractured rib03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Dependent opacity	08 (6.7)
Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or01 (0.8)mediastinal lymph nodes03 (2.5)Fractured rib03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Effusion	03 (2.5)
mediastinal lymph nodesFractured rib03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal10 (8.4)lymph nodes00 (00)Malignant mesothelioma00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Eggshell calcification of hilar and/or	01 (0.8)
Fractured rib03 (2.5)Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal lymph nodes10 (8.4)Malignant mesothelioma00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	mediastinal lymph nodes	
Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal lymph nodes10 (8.4)Malignant mesothelioma00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Fractured rib	03 (2.5)
lymph nodesMalignant mesothelioma00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Enlargement of hilar and/or mediastinal	10 (8.4)
Malignant mesothelioma00 (00)Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	lymph nodes	
Mosaic Perfusion40 (33.6)Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Malignant mesothelioma	00 (00)
Parenchymal band20 (16.8)Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Mosaic Perfusion	40 (33.6)
Rounded atelectasis02 (1.7)Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Parenchymal band	20 (16.8)
Subpleural curvilinear lines18 (15.1)Tuberculosis01 (0.8)Tree in bud02 (1.7)	Rounded atelectasis	02 (1.7)
Tuberculosis 01 (0.8) Tree in bud 02 (1.7)	Subpleural curvilinear lines	18 (15.1)
Tree in bud 02 (1.7)	Tuberculosis	01 (0.8)
	Tree in bud	02 (1.7)

HRCT: High resolution computed tomography

Three out of ten patients exposed to iron oxide fumes (siderosis) showed normal HRCT. The predominant appearance of RO in the upper lobe was seen in 4/10 patients [Figure 10]. One patient demonstrated IR (interlobular septal thickening), with GGO and HC. Emphysema was seen in two patients with BE and BR in 5/10 patients.

Two patients exposed to organic dust demonstrated normal HRCT. BE with BR and MP was seen in 4/10 patients. IR with GGO was seen in three patients with honeycombing in one of them. Emphysema, predominantly paraseptal, and bullae formation was seen in three patients.

Patients exposed to metal dust (n = 30) showed RO as the most common radiological manifestation (16/30). Five patients had normal HRCT. The next most common appearance was bronchiectasis with bronchial wall thickening, seen in 11 patients. Uncommon appearance included GGO (5/30), parenchymal bands (6/30), subpleural curvilinear opacities (3/30) and emphysema (3/30). IR comprising predominantly interlobular septal thickening were evident in two patients with the presence of honeycombing in one of them [Figure 11]. Lymphnodes were enlarged in three patients [Figure 12].

In patients with chronic obstructive airway disease (COAD), i.e., with the clinical diagnosis of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and finding of bronchiectasis, bronchial wall thickening and mosaic pattern decreased after the patient was no longer exposed to the hazardous agents in the work environment and their overall response to bronchodilator was good. Their response to treatment was similar to those with COAD due to other causes. Overall, patients with asthma responded better to the treatment than the chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients. Those with interstitial lung disease (ILD), especially idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) had progressive worsening of respiratory disease. The worsening was however slower than that seen in patients with IPF not caused by exposure to metal dust. Patients with progressive massive fibrosis, carcinoma of lung, and asbestosis had gradual worsening of symptoms even leading to death.

Limitations

This study had certain limitations. The study was a retrospective study. The number of subjects in each subgroup was very small. Also, the pulmonary manifestation may result from exposure to a combination of agents at the work place and not one particular agent. In the present study, effort was made to select patients with one predominant exposure, leading to selection bias. Also, histopathological confirmation was not available in every case.

DISCUSSION

Occupational lung diseases are a group of illnesses that are caused by either repeated, extended exposure or a



Figure 6: 52- year-old foundry worker with silicosis and progressive massive fibrosis. Axial contrast enhanced computed tomography image in mediastinal window shows egg-shell calcification of mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes (arrows).



Figure 8: 71-year-old man with asbestos related lung disease and bronchogenic carcinoma. (a) CT topogram shows presence of reticular opacities in bilateral lung parenchyma with an ill-defined mass in right upper zone. (b) Axial contrast enhanced CT demonstrates presence of a malignant mass (M) with mediastinal lymphadenopathy (LN) and right pleural effusion (arrow). (c and d) Axial HRCT images in lung window show evidence of intralobular septal thickening with honeycombing (arrows), findings consistent with advanced asbestosis.



Figure 10: 53-year-old man working as electric arc welder for 28 years diagnosed with siderosis. (a) Radiograph of chest in posteroanterior projection is unremarkable. (b) Axial HRCT image in lung window shows presence of small, round opacities (RO type P) in bilateral upper lobes. There are associated patchy areas of ground glass attenuation (GGO).

single, severe exposure to irritating or toxic substances that leads to acute or chronic respiratory ailments.^[3] It is



Figure 7: 69-year-old man working in brake shoe lining manufacturing industry with lung disease. (a) Computed tomography in axial lung window (a) shows irregular lines (IR), predominantly intralobular septal thickening with ground glass opacity seen in bilateral lungs in peripheral subpleural distribution. (b) Contrast enhanced computed tomography, axial image in mediastinal window shows pleural calcification (arrows). These findings are suggestive of asbestos related lung disease.



Figure 9: 38-year-old woman with plastic fumes exposure. Axial HRCT image in lung window shows presence of minimal central cylindrical bronchiectasis (BE) with bronchial wall thickening (BR) (arrows). Patient demonstrated obstructive pattern of abnormality on spirometry.



Figure 11: 50-year-old man with exposure to aluminum dust for 30 years. Axial HRCT image in lung window shows interlobular and intralobular septal thickening and honeycombing in upper lobes.

the most common work-related condition after injuries and includes pneumoconiosis, asbestos-related pleural and parenchymal disease, chemical pneumonitis, infection, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, and organic dust toxic syndrome. Pneumoconiosis may be clinico-pathologically classified as fibrotic or non-fibrotic, depending upon the absence or presence of fibrosis.

Imaging plays an indispensable role in the evaluation of patients with suspected occupational lung disease. The radiograph of chest is the first and most important diagnostic tool for evaluation. It is easily available and inexpensive. It can be highly suggestive of an occupational disorder and may be sufficient to establish a diagnosis in certain cases [Figure 13]. Considering this fact, the classification system of chest radiographic abnormalities in pneumoconiosis introduced by the ILO has been widely used. However, despite the well-established role of chest radiography in accurately and inexpensively displaying a wide range of pulmonary pathology, equally well-established limitations have been documented. The findings can be non-specific and the sensitivity low, missing as many as 10 to 15 percent of cases with pathologically documented disease. In the present study also, 26 out of 53 patients (46.4%) with a normal radiograph demonstrated abnormal findings on HRCT [Table 4]. In a study by Begin et al.,^[4] 41% patients interpreted as normal on chest radiograph demonstrated the evidence of silicosis on HRCT. In patients with significant asbestos exposure but no evidence of asbestosis on chest radiograph, 20-30% demonstrate abnormal HRCT findings consistent with the disease.^[5]

It has been proved undisputedly that CT, particularly high-resolution CT (HRCT), is superior to chest radiography in the detection of parenchymal abnormalities, more accurate in providing the differential diagnosis, and free from considerable inter-observer variation in its interpretation. The application of CT to the occupational lung diseases attempts to relate morphological feature of respiratory manifestation more closely to existing pathology. It is even indicated as a tool for further investigation of positive cases screened by chest radiograph.

The radiological findings on HRCT were evaluated using ICHOERD in the present study. The most common finding was bronchiectasis with bronchial wall thickening. This finding correlates with occupational asthma and chronic

Table 4: Findings on HRCT in patients radiograph	s with normal chest
HRCT finding	No. of patients (n)
Round opacities	09
Irregular lines	01
Ground glass opacities	01
Bronchiectasis	15
Bronchial wall thickening	12
Emphysema	02
Mosaic Perfusion	05
Subpleural curvilinear lines	02
Parenchymal bands	01
HRCT: High resolution computed tomography	



Figure 12: 45-year-old woman working in dental prostheses manufacturing unit with chronic berylliosis. Axial CT image in mediastinal window demonstrate mediastinal lymphadenopathy (arrow).



Figure 13: 45-year-old man working in glass industry diagnosed with silicosis. (a) Radiograph of chest in posteroanterior projection shows multiple nodules in bilateral lung parenchyma with calcified mediastinal and hilar lymphnodes (arrows). These findings in association with appropriate history of exposure are diagnostic of silicosis.



Figure 13 B: HRCT thorax of the same patient. (a and b) Axial and (c) coronal images in lung window: Multiple round opacities (RO type P) seen in bilateral lung parenchyma with upper zone predominance. There is evidence of confluence (AX) (arrow in b) and a large opacity (arrow in a) seen in posterior segment of right upper lobe. (d) Axial image in mediastinal window show calcified hilar and mediastinal lymphnodes with egg-shell calcification (arrows).

obstructive airway disease (COAD). Occupational asthma represents the most common form of occupational lung disease.^[3]

Simple silicosis is characterized by the presence of multiple small nodules, 2–5 mm in diameter, accompanied by calcifications.^[6] The distribution may be diffuse, though upper lobe with posterior zone predominance is characteristic. In the present study also, type Q round opacities was the most common finding (69.2%) in patients. Egg-shell pattern of calcification of lymph nodes though characteristic is seen in 5% cases,^[7] as in the present study (1/13, 7.7%). Silicosis predisposes the affected individual to pulmonary tuberculosis and carcinoma with increased risk of chronic interstitial pneumonia and subsequent fibrosis, most commonly usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP).^[8] Irregular lines were seen in two patients with exposure to silica.

Asbestos-related conditions in the chest include pleural effusion, pleural plaques, diffuse pleural thickening, rounded atelectasis, asbestosis, mesothelioma, and lung carcinoma.^[9] Pleural plaques are the most common manifestation of asbestos-related pleural disease. Mesothelioma and bronchogenic carcinomas can arise in the setting of asbestos exposure. In the present study, pleural pathology comprising of pleural plaques, pleural calcification, and round atelectasis were observed in 50% of the patients exposed to asbestos. IR was also seen in half of the patients with exposure to asbestos. One patient developed bronchogenic carcinoma.

HRCT appearance in siderosis includes widespread ill-defined small centrilobular nodules and less often patchy areas of ground-glass attenuation without zonal predominance.^[10] Emphysema is commonly seen. Though siderosis is not usually associated with fibrosis or functional impairment and traditionally considered a benign pneumoconiosis, symptomatic disease with interstitial fibrosis has been described in arc welders.^[11] In the present study, the most common appearance secondary to iron exposure was airway abnormality (BE and BR), followed by round opacities (40%). Evidence of fibrosis was seen in one patient.

Cotton-induced airways disease is common; however, pulmonary fibrosis and pneumoconiosis due to cotton dust have also been reported in a few cases.^[12] In the present study also, BE with BR and associated MP was the most common HRCT finding in patients exposed to cotton dust, indicating the presence of airway disease.

The most common radiological manifestations in plastic fumes and rubber fume exposure was also BE and BR, related

to the presence of a disease of the air passages. RO was the most common manifestation of metal dust exposure. The best characterized occupational ILD secondary to metal dust and fume exposure is chronic berrylium disease (CBD). HRCT findings of chronic berylliosis are similar to sarcoidosis and include small nodules with peribronchovascular distribution, smooth or nodular interlobular septal thickening, ground-glass opacity, and bronchial wall thickening.^[13] Mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy is seen in about 25% of patients (with CBD). HRCT findings of hard metal pneumoconiosis consist of bilateral ground-glass opacities, tiny nodules, reticular opacities, traction bronchiectasis, and consolidation. In aluminium dust pneumoconiosis, HRCT findings include subpleural or diffuse honeycombing resembling idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), centrilobular nodules resembling silicosis, or irregular reticulation with upper lobe predominance.[14]

Since HRCT is fundamental for the quantification of disease severity and the prognosis and identification of coexisting or alternative diseases, a standardized quantification scheme should be available for occupational lung diseases using CT. There should be a comprehensive system for the classification and quantification of the lung abnormalities typically seen in patients with pneumoconiosis. A classification system, similar to the ILO system, should be implemented to accurately diagnose and effectively prevent these diseases.

Current information available for recognising imaging findings on HRCT that is suggestive of occupational lung disease lacks sensitivity. The descriptive system used is ambiguous and the inter-observer variation in reporting high. To make the use of HRCT efficient and effective the International Classification of HRCT for Occupational and Environmental Respiratory Diseases (ICHOERD) should be brought into use. This classification provides a detailed and comprehensive description of significant HRCT findings and methods for appropriately recording them. All aspects of the HRCT diagnosis of pneumoconiosis, including the technical aspects of CT, radiation exposure, use of appropriate terminology in description of abnormalities, coding systems (including a review of the ILO system), and pathologic correlations have been considered. It assists in diagnostic evaluations of dust-exposed individuals and provides a tool for quantitative and analytical measurement of disease. It makes the use of a standard scale, thus eliminating non-specific terminology such as mild, moderate, and severe. The observations are noted in a more quantitative and comparative way. Accumulation of clinical data in a standardized format using the HRCT Classification system helps to gather clinical epidemiological data. If the

Classification system is introduced into many hospitals and clinics, data on dust-exposed individuals screened by HRCT can be collected and distributed to workplaces so as to establish an effective surveillance system. Standardization of the reporting system would enable global sharing of experiences and data.

CONCLUSION

Occupational lung disease is a diverse group of preventable pulmonary diseases that accounts for a significant portion of diffuse lung disease in industrial workers. Imaging plays an important role in evaluation of such patients. Though chest radiograph is the first most important diagnostic tool, HRCT has become the Gold Standard in evaluation of patients with suspected occupational lung disease. Despite the primary disadvantage of radiation exposure involved in chest CT, surveillance and screening with CT may be a preferable tool when compared with conventional radiography, especially if a standardized approach to classification is widely accepted and applied. The International Classification of High-Resolution Computed Tomography for Occupational and Environmental Respiratory Diseases serves this purpose. It not only assists in diagnostic evaluations of occupational lung disease, but also provides a tool for quantitative and analytical measurement of disease, and contributes to study of the epidemiology of the disease.

REFERENCES

1. Guidelines for the use of ILO international classification of radiographs of pneumoconioses, Revised Edition 1980, Occupational Safety and

Health series 22 (rev. 80).

- Kusaka Y, Hering KG, Parker JE, editors. International Classification of HRCT for Occupational and Environmental Respiratory Diseases. Tokyo: Springer-Verlag; 2005.
- Beckett WS. Occupational respiratory diseases. N Engl J Med 2000;342:406-13.
- 4. Begin R, Ostiguy G, Fillion R, Colman N. Computed tomography scan in the early detection of silicosis. Am Rev Respir Dis 1991;3:1.
- Kipen HM, Lilis R, Suzuki Y, Valciukas JA, Selikoff IJ. Pulmonary fibrosis in asbestos insulation workers with lung cancer: A radiological and histopathological evaluation. Br J Ind med 1987;44:96-100.
- Oikonomou A, Muller NL. Imaging of pneumoconiosis. Imaging 2003;15:11–22.
- Grenier P, Chevret S. Beigelman C, Brauner MW, Chastang C, Valeyre D. Chronic diffuse infilterative lung disease; determination of the diagnostic value of clinical data, chest radiography, and CT with Bayesian analysis. Radiology1994;194:383-90.
- Arakawa H, Johkoh T, Honma K, Saito Y, Fukushima Y, Shida H, et al. Chronic interstitial pneumonia in silicosis and mix-dust pneumoconiosis: Its prevalence and comparison of CT findings with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Chest 2007;131:1870-6.
- Roach HD, Davies GJ, Attanoos R, Crane M, Adams H, Phillips S. Asbestos: When the dust settles an imaging review of asbestos-related disease. RadioGraphics 2002;22 spec No: S167-84.
- Kim KI, Kim CW, Lee MK, Lee KS, Park CK, Choi SJ, et al. Imaging of Occupational lung disease. RadioGraphics 2001;21:1371-91.
- 11. McCormick LM, Goddard M, MahadevaR. Pulmonary fibrosis secondary to siderosis causing symptomatic respiratory disease: A case report. J Med Case Rep 2008;2:257.
- 12. Khan AJ, Nanchal R. Cotton dust lung diseases. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2007;13:137-41.
- 13. Newman LS, Buschman DL, Newell JD Jr, Lynch DA. Beryllium disease: Assessment with CT. Radiology 1994;190:835-40.
- 14. Akira M. Uncommon pneumoconioses: CT and pathologic findings. Radiology 1995;197:403-9.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.