# Self-reported and clinically diagnosed dental needs among institutionalized adults in Vijayawada: A cross-sectional study

# Guntipalli Naidu M, Vishnuvardhan Reddy B<sup>1</sup>, Chaitanya Ram Kandregula<sup>2</sup>, Narayana Reddy Satti<sup>3</sup>, Swapna Allareddy<sup>4</sup>, Ravisekhar Babu P<sup>5</sup>

**Departments** of Public Health Dentistry, and <sup>2</sup>Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, Drs Sudha and Nageswara Rao Siddhartha Institute of Dental Sciences, Vijayawada, <sup>1</sup>Department of Orthodontics, G Pullareddy Dental College and Hospital, Kurnool, <sup>3</sup>Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Lenora Institute of Dental Sciences, Rajamundry, <sup>5</sup>Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Chadalawada Krishna Murthy Charities Teja Institute of Dental Sciences, Tirupati, <sup>4</sup>Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Narayana Dental College, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh, India

Corresponding author: email: <naiduguntipalli@gmail.com>)

Dr. Guntipalli M. Naidu, Department of Public Health Dentistry, Drs Sudha and Nageswara Rao Siddhartha Institute of Dental Sciences, Chinaoutpally, Gannavaram - 521 286, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India.

# Abstract

Background: Frail and functionally dependent elderly people, living in institutions, have difficulties in accessing dental care. Hence, the present study aims to determine the relationship between subjective need and the equivalent clinical findings in an attempt to understand the factors that contribute to individual's perception of the need for dental care among institutionalized adults in Vijayawada. Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional study is conducted in all old age homes in Vijayawada. A total of 182 subjects were interviewed with a questionnaire consisting of demographic details, dental behavior (last visit, reason for the last visit), and self-rating of oral health and impact of oral problems, followed by the clinical examination for oral mucosal condition, dentition status and treatment needs, prosthetic status and treatment needs, and periodontal status by using World Health Organization proforma. **Results:** Results showed that normative needs of subjects are significantly more than the self-perceived needs. Response of subjects regarding their present condition of mouth and teeth is 65.4% of subjects reported good and 0.5% reported poor, with respective to perceived oral symptoms 61.9% of subjects' complaints of hypersensitivity and 23.1% of subjects were having toothache and 80.5% subjects are having difficulty in eating. The overall prevalence of complete Edentulous and require complete denture was 12.1%, and 60.4% required partial denture. Conclusion: About half of the participants confirmed need for problem-oriented dental care. The main reason for the problems faced by the institutionalized people is due to lack of teeth which could be prevented by appropriate care.

Key words: Elderly, institutionalized, normative need, oral health, perceived need for dental care

Access this article online			
Quick Response Code:	Website:		
	www.jispcd.org		
	<b>DOI:</b> 10.4103/2231-0762.127213		

# **INTRODUCTION**

Epidemiological research indicates oral diseases are widespread throughout the world and evidence exists to show that their extent and severity increases with age.<sup>[1]</sup> Perceptions of need for dental care play a key role as to whether people in general will seek dental care and that lack of need perceptions constitutes an important barrier for utilization of heath care services. Reportedly, the main benefits of dental treatment relate to improved psychological and social well-being. Thus, oral symptoms and functional and psychological impacts from oral conditions seem to be of great significance in the assessments of individuals' perceived need for dental care.<sup>[2]</sup> Frail and functionally dependent elderly people, living in long-term care facilities or at home, have special difficulties in accessing dental care because the problems of attending a dental clinic often appear insurmountable. The accessibility of the dental office is important too, especially for functionally disabled older patients. To help overcome these barriers, onsite dental equipment may facilitate access in larger nursing homes, while mobile or portable dental equipment may be the appropriate method of choice for smaller care facilities and for older people living at home. One important component in the use of services is self-perceived need for treatment. In fact, several studies have shown that self-perception is influenced by service use, such that it is greater among those who use dental services.<sup>[3,4]</sup> The present aim of the study was to determine the relationship between subjective need for dental care and the equivalent clinical findings in an attempt to understand the factors that contribute to individuals perception of the need for dental care.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional study was conducted in three old age homes in Vijayawada city. All the subjects (n = 182)present in the three old age homes were interviewed with pretested questionnaire, followed by clinical examination. All subjects who were residing in homes and who were willing to participate were included in the study. Medically comprised patients and those who were not willing to participate were excluded from the study. Ethical permission was obtained from the ethical committee, Dr. Sudha and Nageswararao Sidhhartha institute of dental sciences and prior permission was obtained from the old age homes authorities.

Examinations were conducted under natural day light using plain mouth mirror and community periodontal index (CPI) probe for recording oral mucosal condition, dentition status, prosthetic status, and periodontal status using modified World Health Organization (WHO) proforma. The questionnaire consists of dental behavior (last visit, reason for the last visit) and self-rating of oral health their teeth and mouth. The answers to the questions were structured on a five-point Likert-scale (excellent, very good, good, poor, and very poor). Functional impacts included questions regarding the impact of oral problems such as difficulty in speaking, eating, smiling, sleeping, and relaxing.<sup>[5-7]</sup> During the clinical examination by using WHO proforma, the oral mucosal condition, prosthetic status were also noted.

All examinations were conducted by single examiner. Examiner calibration was performed in the department of public health dentistry under the guidance of head of department. Around 15 patients were examined per day. The entire study was conducted over a period of 15 days.

## **RESULTS**

Table 1 illustrates the distribution of study subjects based on sociodemographic variables (age, gender, occupation, source of income, and type of institution). The mean age of study subjects is 68.7. A total of 93.4% of subjects are unemployed and 95.5% of the study population is dependent on their children for daily expenses, 45.05% are staying in free institutions and 54.95% are staying in a paid institution. Table 2 shows distribution of study subjects based on previous dental visit and self-perceived needs. In regard to their present condition of mouth and teeth, 65.4% of subjects perceived that it is in a good state, while only 0.5% perceived it as poor. (P value 0.03) In respect to the perceived oral symptoms, 61.9% of subjects complained of hypersensitivity, 23.1% of subjects were having toothache, and 80.5% subjects were having difficulty in eating. The decayed missing

Table 1: Distribution of study subjects based onsociodemographic variables					
Factor	Male	Female	Total	Percentage	
Age groups (years)					
60-64	7	9	16	8.8	
65-69	25	27	52	28.5	
70-74	28	29	57	31.3	
75-79	26	17	43	23.6	
80-84	2	3	5	2.7	
85-89	1	5	6	3.4	
90-94	3	0	3	1.6	
Total	92	90	182	100	
Occupation					
Unemployed	88	82	170	93.4	
Unskilled	4	8	12	6.59	
Total	92	90	182	100	
Source of income					
Dependent	90	83	173	95.05	
Independent	2	7	9	4.95	
Total	92	90	182	100	
Type of institution					
Free	42	40	82	45.05	
Paid	50	50	100	54.95	
Total	92	90	182	100	

filled teeth (DMFT) scores showed the mean number of sound teeth was 9.22, decayed teeth was 1.89, missing teeth due to caries was 6.48, and missing due to other reasons was 7.13. The overall mean of decayed, missing, and filled is 8.37 [Table 3]. Among study subjects, 86.2% are not having any

Table 2: Distribution of study subjects based onprevious dental visit and self-perceived needs					
Self-perceived	Previous dental		Total (%)	Pearson's	
needs	vi	visit		chi-	
	Yes (%)	No (%)	-	square	
Present condition					
of mouth and teeth					
Very good	19(20.2)	7(8.0)	26 (14.3)	P=0.03*	
Good	61 (64.9)	58(65.9)	119 (65.4)		
Poor	14(14.9)	22(25.0)	36 (19.8)		
Very poor	0 (0)	1 (1.1)	1 (.5)		
Total	94 (100)	88 (100)	182 (100)		
Perceived oral	· · ·	· · · ·	· · · ·		
symptoms					
Tooth ache	17(20.7)	20(25.6)	37(23.1)	P=0.02*	
Hypersensitivity	55 (67.1)	44(56.4)	99(61.9)		
Loss of taste	10 (12.2)	14(17.9)	24 (15.0)		
Total	82(100.0)	78 (100.0)	160 (100.0)		
Difficulty in	, ,	, ,	. ,		
performing daily					
activities					
Eating	50(72.5)	57 (89.1)	107(80.5)	P=0.001	
Speaking	6(8.7)	1(1.6)	7(5.3)		
Sleeping and	12 (17.4)	6(9.4)	18(13.5)		
relaxing					
Total	69(100.0)	64 (100.0)	133 (100.0)		

≤ 0.05 means significant

### Table 3: Distribution of study subjects based on previous dental visit and dentition status

Dentition status	Last dental	N	Mean	SD	T test
	visit				
No. of teeth present	Yes	94	11.05	5.658	
	No	88	10.49	5.954	
Sound teeth	Yes	94	9.22	4.748	
	No	88	8.60	4.965	
Decayed teeth	Yes	94	1.83	2.193	
	No	88	1.89	2.341	
Missing due to caries	Yes	94	6.48	3.033	$P \!<\! 0.05$
	No	88	6.50	3.363	
Missing due to other reasons	Yes	94	7.13	4.268	
	No	88	6.40	4.050	
Filled teeth	Yes	94	0.00	0.000(a)	
	No	88	0.00	0.000(a)	
Root caries	Yes	94	1.83	2.193	
	No	88	1.89	2.341	

 $*P \le 0.05$  means significant . SD=Standard deviation

prosthesis, 9.9% had a partial denture, and 3.8% had a full denture (P value 0.02). A total of 12.1% of subjects required full prosthesis, 60.4% required multiple denture, and 9.9% required a combination of prosthesis [Table 4].

## **DISCUSSION**

The results from the present study indicate that 54.4% of the elderly people perceived a need for dental treatment. Present study shows a greater percentage of need when compared with studies conducted by Ekanayake and Perera (46%) and Slauther and

Table 4: Distribution of study subjects based on							
previous dental visit and prosthetic status							
a	and prosthetic needs						
Clinically	Previous dental		Total (%)	Pearson			
diagnosed	visit			chi-			
prosthetic status	Yes (%) No (%)			square			
and prosthetic	. ,	~ /					
needs							
Prosthetic status in							
upper arch							
No prosthesis	78 (83.0)	79 (89.8)	157 (86.3)	P=0.03*			
Partial denture	12(12.8)	6(6.8)	18(9.9)				
Full removable	4(4.3)	3(3.4)	7(3.8)				
denture							
Total	94 (100.0)	88(100.0)	182 (100.0)				
Prosthetic status in							
lower arch							
No prosthesis	78 (83.0)	79 (89.8)	157 (86.3)	P=0.02*			
Partial denture	12(12.8)	6(6.8)	18(9.9)				
Full removable	4(4.3)	3(3.4)	7(3.8)				
denture							
Total	94(100.0)	88(100.0)	182(100.0)				
Prosthetic needs in							
upper arch							
No prosthesis need	16(17.0)	9(10.2)	25(13.7)	P=0.001			
Need for 1 unit	5(5.3)	2(2.3)	7(3.8)				
Need for multi unit	54(57.4)	56(63.6)	110(60.4)				
Need for a	10(10.6)	8(9.1)	18(9.9)				
combination							
Need for full	9(9.6)	13(14.8)	22(12.1)				
prosthesis							
Total	94(100.0)	88(100.0)	182(100.0)				
Prosthetic needs in							
lower arch	<i>,</i> ,	<i>.</i>		_			
No prosthesis need	16(17.0)	9(10.2)	25(13.7)	P=0.001			
Need for 1 unit	65(69.1)	62(70.5)	127(69.8)				
Need for a	4(4.3)	4(4.5)	8(4.4)				
combination							
Need for full	9(9.6)	13 (14.8)	22(12.1)				
prosthesis	0.4/4.5.5.5	00/1000	100 (1000)				
Iotal	94(100.0)	88(100.0)	182 (100.0)				

\*  $P \le 0.05$  means significant

Taylor (43%).<sup>[8,9]</sup> The reason in this difference could be some factors such as demographics, predisposition, and oral health condition. Chisick et al., conducted a study to know the factors influencing perceived need of dental care active in U.S. military personnel. The study showed that self-perception was greater among those who had not used dental services. In another study done by Gilbert et al.,[10,11] to understand the perceived need for dental care in dentate older adults, he concluded that perception for dental needs was greater among those who had used dental services. Individuals usually give greater importance to the symptoms, functional and psychological impact of oral diseases than to the visible signs of the disease.<sup>[12]</sup> This was also evident in the present study, since perceived need for dental treatment was associated with worse perception of oral health condition and oral appearance, and to worse perception of chewing capabilities. Self-perception was also greater among individuals who had felt pain. A positive association between pain and self-perceived need for treatment is found in the present study and the results of this study is in accordance with the study conducted by Ekanayake and Perera in Srilanka.<sup>[8]</sup>

Medically compromised patients were excluded from the study due to the sole reason that the existing debilitating disease may influence the sensory and the motor perception and function of the particular individual which may have an impact on the outcome of the study.

Out of whole sample, 12.1% of subjects require full prosthesis, 60.4% required partial denture, 9.9% require a combination of prosthesis, and the edentate elderly people presented lower frequency of self-perceived need for dental treatment, possibly reflecting a certain accommodation with their edentate situation. Edentulism is prevalent among older people all over the world and is highly associated with socioeconomic status. Epidemiological studies show that persons of low social class or income and individuals with little or no education are more likely to be edentulous than persons of high social class and high levels of income and education.[13-16] Results from the present study showed that there is a big discrepancy between self-ratings of satisfaction with oral health and clinically diagnosed treatment needs. These results are in accordance with the previous studies conducted by Gilbert et al., Jokovic and Locker, Heft et al., Kaplan and Baron-Epel, Ekanayke and Perera, and underlines the limits of only clinical estimation of need. This is mainly due to the fact that clinical measures determine the extent of the disease and not its severity and, therefore, are not always associated with symptoms

that the patient may or may not report. Subjective evaluation of oral health and oral disadvantage has a greater association with the reports of dental need compared with the presence of active disease. This underlines the perception that disease is something that individuals feel and experience and not just a pathological procedure.<sup>[1,15,16]</sup>

Higher prevalence of both untreated decay and unmet treatment needs was associated with lower utilization of dental care for dentate subjects. Paying for dental care, transportation difficulties, and poor health were the most frequently identified barriers that limited access to oral health care.<sup>[2,13]</sup>

# **CONCLUSION**

This study provided further understanding of the discrepancy between perceived need for dental care and clinically diagnosed dental problems. Self-perceived need for dental treatment suggests that health education should be stimulated. In this way, it would be possible to improve individuals' capacity to carry out oral self-examination and to identify nonpainful signs and symptoms of oral diseases at an earlier stage, and to correlate these with the need for dental treatment. The results give insight into the role of normative need and significance of certain signs and symptoms on the patient's perception of dental need. These people require education, motivation regarding prevailing dental problems, and program for treating the problems faced by them.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Locker D, Gibson B. Discrepancies between self-ratings of and satisfaction with oral health in two older adult populations. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2005;33:280-8.
- Martins AM, Barreto SM, Pordeus IA. Factors associated to self perceived need of dental care among Brazilian elderly. Rev Saude Publica 2008;42:487-96.
- Nagarajan SP, Pushpanjali K. Self assessed and clinically diagnosed periodaontal health status among patients visiting the outpatient department of dental school in Bangalore, India. Indian J Dent Res 2008;19:243-8.
- 4. Seremidi K, Koletsi-Kounari H, Kandilorou H. Self reported and clinically diagnosed dental needs: Determining the factors that affect subjective assessment. Oral Health Prev Dent 2009;7:183-90.
- Astrom AN, Kida IA. Perceived dental treatment need among older Tanzanian adults-a cross-sectional study. BMC Oral Health 2007;7:9.
- Holm-Pedersen P, Vigild M, Nitschke I, Berkey DB. Dental care for aging populations in Denmark, Sweden, Norway, United Kingdom, and Germany. J Dent Educ 2005;69:987-97.
- 7. Mosha HJ, Scheutz F. Perceived need and use of oral health services among adolescents and adults in Tanzania.

Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1993;21:129-32.

- Ekanayake L, Perera I. Perceived need for dental care among dentate older individuals in Sri Lanka. Spec Care Dentist 2005;25:199-205.
- Slauther A, Taylor L. Perceptions of dental care need among African-American elders: Implications for health promotion. Spec Care Dentist 2005;25:158-63.
- Chisick MC, Poindexter FR, York AK. Factors influencing perceived need of dental care by active duty U. S. military personnel. Mil Med 1997;162:586-9.
- Gilbert GH, Helft MN, Duncan RP, Ringelberg ML. Perceived need for dental care in dentate older adults. Int Dent J 1994;44:145-52.
- Cushing AM, Sheihan A, Maizels J. Developing socio-dental indicators-the social impact of dental disease. Community Dent Health 1986;3:3-17.
- 13. Gjermo P. Factors influencing assessment of treatment needs. J Clin Periodontol 1991;18:358-61.
- 14. Heft MW, Gilbert GH, Shelton BJ, Duncan RP.

Relationship of dental status, sociodemographic status, and oral symptoms to perceived need for dental care. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2003;31:351-60.

- 15. Shimazaki Y, Soh I, Koga T, Miyazaki H, Takehara T. Risk factors for tooth loss in the institutionalized elderly; a six-year cohort study. Community Dent Health 2003;20:123-7.
- Hugo FN, Hilgert JB, de Souza Mda L, da Silva DD, Pucca GA Jr. Correlates of partial tooth loss and edentulism in the Brazilian elderly. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2007;35:224-32.

How to cite this article: Naidu MG, Reddy BV, Kandregula CR, Satti NR, Allareddy S, Babu PR. Self-reported and clinically diagnosed dental needs among institutionalized adults in Vijayawada: A cross-sectional study. J Int Soc Prevent Communit Dent 2014;4:35-9.
Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.

### New features on the journal's website

#### Optimized content for mobile and hand-held devices

HTML pages have been optimized for mobile and other hand-held devices (such as iPad, Kindle, iPod) for faster browsing speed. Click on [Mobile Full text] from Table of Contents page.

This is simple HTML version for faster download on mobiles (if viewed on desktop, it will be automatically redirected to full HTML version)

#### E-Pub for hand-held devices

EPUB is an open e-book standard recommended by The International Digital Publishing Forum which is designed for reflowable content i.e. the text display can be optimized for a particular display device.

Click on [EPub] from Table of Contents page.

There are various e-Pub readers such as for Windows: Digital Editions, OS X: Calibre/Bookworm, iPhone/iPod Touch/iPad: Stanza, and Linux: Calibre/Bookworm.

#### E-Book for desktop

One can also see the entire issue as printed here in a 'flip book' version on desktops. Links are available from Current Issue as well as Archives pages. Click on <sup>1</sup> View as eBook