Exoskeletons in Nursing and Healthcare: A Bionic Future

Siobhan O'Connor, Lecturer, BSc, CIMA CBA, BSc, RGN, PhD¹10

Abstract

This editorial summarizes the emergence of bionic technology in the form of exoskeletons and how these wearable robotics are and could be applied in the nursing profession to improve the occupational health of nurses and deliver patient care. The benefits, risks, and limitations of these novel technologies are also briefly discussed.

Keywords

exoskeleton, exosuit, wearable robot, nursing, healthcare

Exoskeletons were once the domain of science fiction, appearing in books, comic books, film, and television, or could be found among insects and crustaceans in the natural world. As modern-day computing technology developed throughout the 20th century, these wearable robotic suits and prosthetics began to appear. Initially, they were pioneered by General Electric in the 1960s who received funding from the United States Department of Defence to build a prototype to enable a human to lift heavy objects (Bogue, 2009). The concept was adopted by others who started to develop locomotor exoskeletons that supported the human musculoskeletal system for rehabilitation and enabled an injured or paraplegic person to stand and walk (Vukobratovic, 2007). By the 1980s, scientists had also begun to explore military applications of exoskeletons to give soldiers additional protection and abilities during warfare, which has continued to the present day (Reed, 2014). More recently, this humanoid robotic technology is being developed and tested to support workers with a range of repetitive manual tasks in the agricultural, construction, manufacturing, and healthcare sectors to reduce fatigue, along with back, neck, and shoulder pain (Bogue, 2018). Exoskeletons are generally categorized into two groups of wearable machines: (1) passive and (2) active (Figure 1). Passive exoskeletons use combinations of springs and dampers that can store energy from human motion and reuse it when required to enhance a posture or motion, while active ones have devices called actuators which convert energy, that is, electrical, air, or hydraulic, into mechanical force to support and strengthen human movement (de Looze et al., 2016).

Exoskeletons can come in many forms including upper body, lower body, or full-bodied exoskeletons, with single joint versions also being developed, and they can be applied across multiple areas (Toxiri et al., 2019). One such area, back support, is relevant to nursing to reduce work-related musculoskeletal disorders in those who undertake repetitive physical tasks on a regular basis. A systematic review from 2016 found 26 different exoskeletons for back support that had a range of industrial and ergonomic uses, ranging from prototypes to fully developed and commercially available wearable robots. Nineteen were active and 17 were passive exoskeletons, with some helping to reduce back muscle activity between 10% and 40% during lifting and holding (de Looze et al., 2016). Of these, only two studies were specific to healthcare. Hasegawa & Muramatsu (2013) examined a lower limb device to support caregivers when transferring a person between a wheelchair and a bed or a wheelchair and a toilet. Similarly, Tsuzura et al. (2013) proposed a motordriven power suit to help caregivers lift and move heavy objects. A more recent review of the scientific evidence on back support exoskeletons found 13 additional studies and reported decreases in back muscle activity and spinal compression forces but performance tended to decline where tasks required more agile movements (Kermavnar et al., 2021). However, the majority of these studies were tested in a laboratory with healthy subjects and none were demonstrated in healthcare.

¹National University of Ireland Galway, Ireland

Corresponding Author:

Clinical Nursing Research 2021, Vol. 30(8) 1123–1126 © The Author(s) 2021

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/10547738211038365 journals.sagepub.com/home/cnr

Siobhan O'Connor, School of Nursing and Midwifery, National University of Ireland Galway, Moyola Building, Upper Newcastle, Galway, Ireland. Email: s.oconnor@nuigalway.ie



Figure 1. Lower body exoskeleton. Note. Creative Commons Attribution 2.0 Generic license requiring appropriate credit.

Author: Yuichiro C. Katsumoto, Date: 25th February 2010, Link: https:// commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Hybrid_Assistive_Limb,_CYBERDYNE. jpg.

A number of current studies exist examining a variety of exoskeletons in providing back support to health professionals. Cha et al. (2020) explored the views of healthcare professionals that is, surgical nurses, surgical residents, and attending surgeons in the United States, in relation to using exoskeletons to decrease work related injuries in theatre. Similarly, Liu et al. (2018) inspected an upper-body exosuit to support laparoscopic surgery and found it appeared to decrease arm pain and fatigue among surgeons who wore it without interfering with operating procedure. In addition, Miura et al. (2020) tested a hybrid assistive limb aimed at reducing lumbar load when transferring a 60-kg patient mannequin from a seated to a standing position, although the participants were healthy volunteers and not practising nurses. Hwang et al. (2021) also investigated three different passive back-support exoskeletons for transferring a simulated patient from a bed to a wheelchair,

and vice versa, using a variety of transfer methods and in this instance professional caregivers were involved. At present, the scientific literature published in academic nursing journals on the use of exoskeletons with practising nurses is sparse. Those from other disciplines such as engineering are limited, as the application of this bionic technology tends to focus on workers undertaking manual tasks in industries such as construction and agriculture which can have a largely male workforce (Figure 2). Given nursing is a predominantly female profession whose physique and fitness can vary throughout their careers, this technology will need to be adapted and refined to suit their specific needs.

Furthermore, nurses are rarely involved in the design of the hardware and software that comprises exoskeletons which could benefit the development and use of this technology in healthcare, as nurses have in-depth knowledge of the physical, mental, and emotional demands of clinical practice and how wearable robots could assist with these. For instance, an upper body exoskeleton could support nurses with manual handling in hospitals and community settings, so they could move patients safely while also protecting their spine and back, neck, and shoulder muscles. This might also help reduce stress and burnout among nurses, particularly those working in critical care, surgery, care of the older persons, or other clinical area where supporting patient mobility is required a number of times each day and sometimes at night. Given nurses can suffer from a range of musculoskeletal disorders due to the occupational demands of the health service (Van Hoof et al., 2018), especially with rising levels of obesity among patients and older adults who can be frail and immobile, more research is needed focusing on codesigning and rigorously testing the efficacy of wearable robotics on nurse's health.

Exoskeletons are also being applied across a range of clinical areas including neurology, orthopedics, and gerontology to directly support patients. For instance, wearable robotics have been tried in patients with spinal cord injury (Chisholm et al., 2016; Gagnon et al., 2019), multiple sclerosis (Drużbicki et al., 2021), stroke (Singh et al., 2021), osteoarthritis (McGibbon et al., 2021; Papi et al., 2016), and robotic assistive devices are being developed and deployed with older adults (Verrusio et al., 2018) among others. A recent Delphi survey about emerging technologies for older adult care also identified informal carers as a population that could benefit from the use of exoskeletons, given the hands on role they play in providing care for loved ones who are chronically unwell or terminally ill (Abdi et al., 2021). Hence, nurses could work with carers when discharging patients from hospital or assessing their home care needs in the community, to determine if a wearable robot could support family members in their day-to-day caring roles.

Yet, like any technology exoskeletons have several risks and limitations. The costs associated with designing and developing the hardware and software needed for a wearable



Figure 2. Upper body exoskeleton.

Note. Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International license requiring author attribution.

Author: Игорь Ложкин, Date: 14th March 2020, Link: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:%D0%9F%D1%80%D0%BE%D0%BC%D1%8B%D0%88%D0%BB%D0%B5%D0%BD%D0%BD%D1%8B%D0%B5%D1%8D%D0%BA%D0%B7%D0%BE%D1%81%D0%BA%D0%B5%D0%B5%D0%B5%D1%82_X-RISE.jpg.

robot can be significant and this process can take a long time. Furthermore, the rapid pace of technological change means devices can quickly become out of date and be superseded with newer tools. This can make the field challenging to research as the evidence base is littered with an array of exoskeletons in various stages of development (Pesenti et al., 2021), with few robust clinical trials conducted to determine their efficacy. Gaining a thorough understanding of the perceptions of practising nurses, nurse managers, patients, and carers toward this technology, along with the organizational, cultural, or socio-economic factors that may affect its adoption and uptake would also be useful to explore. This type of research could help ensure this novel digital intervention is implemented in both public and private health services, where appropriate, to help nurses and informal carers deliver patient care in a safer and more effective manner.

No doubt the technology will continue to develop and improve over time and become integrated with other electronic systems and devices in healthcare. This will give nurses more opportunities to get involved in developing and deploying exoskeletons across a range of healthcare settings. Hence, nurses should work more closely with robotics and software engineers to ensure this emerging bionic technology is designed and applied appropriately (Booth et al., 2021), and rigorously tested, before being implemented and used with patients, carers, and practicing professionals. Nurse educators should also begin to incorporate fundamental product design and engineering concepts and their applications into baccalaureate and graduate nursing programs (O'Connor & LaRue, 2021), to ensure nurses of the future have the informatics competencies required to engage with other professional groups in introducing new technologies such as exoskeletons in nursing and healthcare.

Author Contributions

The sole author drafted and wrote the manuscript.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Siobhan O'Connor D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8579-1718

References

- Abdi, S., Witte, L., & Hawley, M. (2021). Exploring the potential of emerging technologies to meet the care and support needs of older people: A Delphi survey. *Geriatrics (Basel)*, *6*(1), 19. https://doi.org/10.3390/geriatrics6010019
- Bogue, R. (2009). Exoskeletons and robotic prosthetics: A review of recent developments. *Industrial Robot An International Journal*, 36(5), 421–427. https://doi.org/10. 1108/01439910910980141
- Bogue, R. (2018). Exoskeletons a review of industrial applications. *Industrial Robot An International Journal*, 45(5), 585– 590. https://doi.org/10.1108/ir-05-2018-0109

- Booth, R. G., Strudwick, G., McBride, S., O'Connor, S., & Solano López, A. L. (2021). How the nursing profession should adapt for a digital future. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*, 373, n1190. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1190
- Cha, J. S., Monfared, S., Stefanidis, D., Nussbaum, M. A., & Yu, D. (2020). Supporting surgical teams: Identifying needs and barriers for exoskeleton implementation in the operating room. *Human Factors*, 62(3), 377–390. https://doi. org/10.1177/0018720819879271
- Chisholm, A. E., Domingo, A., Jeyasurya, J., & Lam, T. (2016). Quantification of lower extremity kinesthesia deficits using a robotic exoskeleton in people with a spinal Cord injury. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*, 30(3), 199–208. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968315591703
- de Looze, M. P., Bosch, T., Krause, F., Stadler, K. S., & O'Sullivan, L. W. (2016). Exoskeletons for industrial application and their potential effects on physical work load. *Ergonomics*, 59(5), 671–681.
- Drużbicki, M., Guzik, A., Przysada, G., Phd, L. P., Brzozowska-Magoń, A., Cygoń, K., Boczula, G., & Bartosik-Psujek, H. (2021). Effects of robotic exoskeleton-aided gait training in the strength, body balance, and walking speed in individuals with multiple sclerosis: A single-group preliminary study. *Archives* of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 102(2), 175–184.
- Gagnon, D. H., Vermette, M., Duclos, C., Aubertin-Leheudre, M., Ahmed, S., & Kairy, D. (2019). Satisfaction and perceptions of long-term manual wheelchair users with a spinal cord injury upon completion of a locomotor training program with an overground robotic exoskeleton. *Disability and Rehabilitation Assistive Technology*, 14(2), 138–145. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 17483107.2017.1413145
- Hasegawa, Y., & Muramatsu, M. (2013). Wearable lower-limb assistive device for physical load reduction of caregiver on transferring support. 2013 IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics, Wollongong, NSW, Australia, pp. 1027–1032. IEEE.
- Hwang, J., Kumar Yerriboina, V. N., Ari, H., & Kim, J. H. (2021). Effects of passive back-support exoskeletons on physical demands and usability during patient transfer tasks. *Applied Ergonomics*, 93, 103373–103373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apergo.2021.103373
- Kermavnar, T., de Vries, A. W., de Looze, M. P., & O'Sullivan, L. W. (2021). Effects of industrial back-support exoskeletons on body loading and user experience: An updated systematic review. *Ergonomics*, 64(6), 685–711. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00140139.2020.1870162
- Liu, S., Hemming, D., Luo, R. B., Reynolds, J., Delong, J. C., Sandler, B. J., Jacobsen, G. R., & Horgan, S. (2018). Solving the surgeon ergonomic crisis with surgical exosuit. *Surgical Endoscopy*, 32(1), 236–244. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-017-5667-x
- McGibbon, C., Sexton, A., Jayaraman, A., Deems-Dluhy, S., Fabara, E., Adans-Dester, C., Bonato, P., Marquis, F., Turmel, S., & Belzile, E. (2021). Evaluation of a lower-extremity robotic exoskeleton for people with knee osteoarthritis. *Assistive Technology*. 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/10400435 .2021.1887400
- Miura, K., Kadone, H., Abe, T., Koda, M., Funayama, T., Noguchi, H., Kumagai, H., Nagashima, K., Mataki, K., Shibao, Y.,

Sato, K., Kawamoto, H., Sankai, Y., & Yamazaki, M. (2020). Successful use of the hybrid assistive limb for care support to reduce lumbar load in a simulated patient transfer. *Asian Spine Journal*, *15*(1), 40–45. https://doi.org/10.31616/asj.2019.0111

- O'Connor, S., & LaRue, E. (2021). Integrating informatics into undergraduate nursing education: A case study using a spiral learning approach. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 50, 102934– 102934. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2020.102934
- Papi, E., Murtagh, G. M., & McGregor, A. H. (2016). Wearable technologies in osteoarthritis: A qualitative study of clinicians' preferences. *BMJ Open*, 6(1), e009544–e009544. https://doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-009544
- Pesenti, M., Antonietti, A., Gandolla, M., & Pedrocchi, A. (2021). Towards a functional performance validation standard for industrial low-back exoskeletons: State of the art review. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 21(3), 808. https://doi. org/10.3390/s21030808
- Reed, M. K. (2014). LIFESUIT exoskeleton gives the gift of walking so they shall walk, IEEE Global Humanitarian Technology Conference (GHTC 2014), San Jose, CA, USA, pp. 382–385. IEEE.
- Singh, N., Saini, M., Kumar, N., Srivastava, M. V. P., & Mehndiratta, A. (2021). Evidence of neuroplasticity with robotic hand exoskeleton for post-stroke rehabilitation: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Neuroengineering* and Rehabilitation, 18(1), 76–76. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12984-021-00867-7
- Toxiri, S., Näf, M. B., Lazzaroni, M., Fernández, J., Sposito, M., Poliero, T., Monica, L., Anastasi, S., Caldwell, D. G., & Ortiz, J. (2019). Back-support exoskeletons for occupational use: An overview of technological advances and trends. *IISE Transactions on Occupational Ergonomics and Human Factors*, 7(3-4), 237–249. https://doi.org/10.1080/24725838.2 019.1626303
- Tsuzura, M., Nakakuki, T., & Misaki, D. (2013). A mechanism design of waist power assist suit for a caregiver by using torsion springs. 13th International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems (ICCAS), Gwangju, South Korea.
- Van Hoof, W., O'Sullivan, K., O'Keeffe, M., Verschueren, S., O'Sullivan, P., & Dankaerts, W. (2018). The efficacy of interventions for low back pain in nurses: A systematic review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 77, 222–231.
- Verrusio, W., Renzi, A., Ripani, M., & Cacciafesta, M. (2018). An exoskeleton in the rehabilitation of institutionalized elderly patients at high risk of falls: A pilot study. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 19(9), 807–809.e1.
- Vukobratovic, M. K. (2007). When were active exoskeletons actually born? *International Journal of Humanoid Robotics*, 4(03), 459–486. https://doi.org/10.1142/s0219843607001163

Author Biography

Siobhan O'Connor, BSc, CIMA CBA, BSc, RGN, PhD, is a Lecturer at the School of Nursing and Midwifery, National University of Ireland Galway, Ireland. She has a multidisciplinary background in both nursing and information systems. Hence, her research focuses on the design, implementation, and use of a range of technologies in healthcare.