

EDITORIAL COMMENT

Atrial Fibrillation in Patients With Cancer

A Persistent and Increasing Challenge*



Giselle Alexandra Suero-Abreu, MD, PhD,^a Patrick T. Ellinor, MD, PhD^{a,b}

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a highly prevalent disease and is particularly common among patients with cancer.¹ The incidence of AF varies on the basis of the population studied and the screening method implemented but conservatively affects more than 30 million patients worldwide.²⁻⁴ Although the annualized incidence of AF in patients with cancer is higher than in the general population, there is also known variability in the incidence depending on the patient, cancer type, and anticancer drug studied.^{1,5,6} Notably, studies have also demonstrated a bidirectional link between AF and cancer whereby there is a higher incidence of AF in patients with cancer, and patients with AF have an increased incidence of cancer.⁷⁻⁹ Recent research has investigated the association between AF and cancer in relation to specific anticancer treatments such as traditional cytotoxic chemotherapies, radiation therapy, targeted therapies, and immunotherapies.⁶ Despite increased recognition, data on the true incidence and pathophysiology of AF due to anticancer drugs with known proarrhythmic effects are still limited.^{1,5}

In this issue of *JACC: CardioOncology*, the study by Alexandre et al¹⁰ helps address this issue and provides insights into the incidence of AF associated with exposure to 15 anticancer drugs used as monotherapy in phase II and III clinical trials across several cancer types. Using publicly available data from the

ClinicalTrials.gov database, the investigators conducted a comprehensive random-effects safety meta-analysis of 191 oncological clinical studies among 26,604 patients to determine the annualized AF incidence rate related to exposure to specific anticancer drugs. The study revealed that the incidence of AF associated with the anticancer drugs studied ranged from 0.26 to 4.92 per 100 person-years, compared with a lower annualized incidence of 0.25 per 100 person-years in placebo-treated patients. The investigators found 485 cases with new-onset AF, and the incidence of AF risk with ibrutinib, clofarabine, and ponatinib was 4.92, 2.38, and 2.35 per 100 person-years, respectively. In addition, subgroup analyses showed higher annualized incidence rates with anticancer drugs used in hematologic malignancies, particularly in acute leukemias.

The investigators should be commended for their thoughtfully conducted study, which advances our knowledge of the proarrhythmic risk of oncotherapeutic agents. The study has significant strengths, including a large sample size, the use of a publicly available database for clinical trials with requirements for standardized reporting, and the inclusion of commonly used cancer drugs. Furthermore, these results are broadly consistent with those of prior population-based studies and add to the growing body of evidence of AF reporting in patients with cancer.^{6,8,11,12}

A significant focus of this study was the analysis of clinical studies of anticancer drugs used only as monotherapy. Because of this, 2,069 of the initial studies (81%) in which patients were treated with combination regimens were excluded, potentially resulting in a selection bias and reduced applicability of the results. As the investigators note, this approach precluded estimation of the annualized incidence rates of AF due to drugs known to be associated with AF, such as anthracyclines, cisplatin, and obinutuzumab. The investigators used the Pharmacoepidemiological

*Editorials published in *JACC: CardioOncology* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC: CardioOncology* or the American College of Cardiology.

From the ^aCardiology Division, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; and the ^bCardiovascular Research Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the [Author Center](#).

Research on Outcomes of Therapeutics by a European Consortium checklist, a tool designed to assess the risk for bias in observational pharmacovigilance studies. This methodological approach helped account for the potential variability among analyzed studies. However, using this single tool may not be suitable for evaluating the risk for bias in all research studies, particularly randomized controlled trials, which constituted 47% of the clinical studies analyzed.

It is worth noting certain limitations, such as the retrospective design of the study and the reliance on reported adverse events as part of clinical trials to ascertain diagnoses of AF. It is known that the threshold for reporting AF as a severe adverse event in clinical trials may vary, leading to inconsistencies when comparing results across different studies. Specifically, severe cases requiring medical attention may be identified, while asymptomatic cases may be under-reported. Because of the underdiagnosis of AF in the literature and oncological clinical trials, the investigators acknowledge that the true incidence of AF in this study may have also been underestimated compared with the real-life AF incidence in patients with cancer. It is also notable that the calculated AF risk was unadjusted by AF risk factors because of a lack of access to baseline data on patient comorbidities in the study, and this could have influenced some of the results, as adults with combinations of known risk factors are at increased risk for incident AF.¹³

Despite these limitations, many of which are inherent in the design, this study is the first large-scale analysis documenting annualized AF incidence linked to specific drugs compared with placebo-treated patients. The investigators highlight the systemic underidentification of AF among cancer trial patients and raise the critical issue of the heightened risk for thromboembolism and fatal complications due to undiagnosed AF in this population.

Given the rapidly increasing number of oncotherapeutic agents under development and their potential combinations in hundreds of clinical trials,

accurate identification of signals for proarrhythmia due to specific drugs has become increasingly challenging. Data from studies such as that of Alexandre et al¹⁰ demonstrate the need for better approaches to the safety assessment of novel oncotherapeutic agents through collaborative efforts among researchers, industry, global regulators, and clinicians. In the future, implementing a standardized approach to cardiac monitoring in oncology trials will be essential to determining the true incidence of AF. Leveraging mobile and wearable technology for longitudinal monitoring may be a promising strategy that warrants further investigation.

This is an exciting time for the cardiovascular care of oncological patients. AF is a significant issue in cardio-oncology, and there is a rapid evolution in our understanding of the pathophysiological basis of AF in patients with cancer and how the mechanisms of action of emerging therapies influence the natural history of AF. This study provides important new information on heightened AF risk due to specific anticancer drugs. It also highlights the opportunity for future basic and translational research to study the role of biology and genetics in the personalized management of arrhythmogenesis in the context of these therapies.

FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

Dr Ellinor is supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (1R01HL092577, 1R01HL157635, and 5R01HL139731), the American Heart Association Strategically Focused Research Networks (18SFRN34110082), and the European Union (MAESTRIA 965286); has received sponsored research support from Bayer, IBM Research, Bristol Myers Squibb, and Pfizer; and has served on advisory boards or consulted for Bayer, MyoKardia, and Novartis. Dr Suero-Abreu has reported that she has no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr Patrick T. Ellinor, Cardiovascular Research Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, 55 Fruit Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02114, USA. E-mail: ellinor@mgh.harvard.edu. Twitter: [@patrick_ellinor](https://twitter.com/patrick_ellinor).

REFERENCES

1. Fradley MG, Beckie TM, Brown SA, et al. Recognition, prevention, and management of arrhythmias and autonomic disorders in cardio-oncology: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2021;144(3):e41-e55. <https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000000986>
2. Khurshid S, Ashburner JM, Ellinor PT, et al. Prevalence and incidence of atrial fibrillation among older primary care patients. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2023;6(2):2023;6(2):e2255838-e. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.55838>
3. Lubitz SA, Atlas SJ, Ashburner JM, et al. Screening for atrial fibrillation in older adults at primary care visits: VITAL-AF randomized controlled trial. *Circulation*. 2022;145(13):946-954. <https://doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.121.057014>
4. Schnabel RB, Yin X, Gona P, et al. 50 year trends in atrial fibrillation prevalence, incidence, risk factors, and mortality in the Framingham Heart Study: a cohort study. *Lancet*. 2015;386(9989):154-162. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(14\)61774-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(14)61774-8)
5. Herrmann J. Adverse cardiac effects of cancer therapies: cardiotoxicity and arrhythmia. *Nat Rev*

- Cardiol.* 2020;17(8):474-502. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-020-0348-1>
6. Yun JP, Choi EK, Han KD, et al. Risk of atrial fibrillation according to cancer type: a nationwide population-based study. *J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc.* 2021;3(2):221-232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2021.03.006>
7. Madnick DL, Fradley MG. Atrial fibrillation and cancer patients: mechanisms and management. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* 2022;24(10):1517-1527. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11886-022-01769-3>
8. O'Neal WT, Lakoski SG, Qureshi W, et al. Relation between cancer and atrial fibrillation (from the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke Study). *Am J Cardiol.* 2015;115(8):1090-1094. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.01.540>
9. Zhang M, Li LL, Zhao QQ, et al. The association of new-onset atrial fibrillation and risk of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cardiol Res Pract.* 2020;2020:2372067. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2372067>
10. Alexandre J, Boismoreau L, Morice P-M, et al. Atrial fibrillation incidence associated with exposure to anticancer drugs used as monotherapy in clinical trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc.* 2023;5:216-226.
11. Jakobsen CB, Lamberts M, Carlson N, et al. Incidence of atrial fibrillation in different major cancer subtypes: a nationwide population-based 12 year follow up study. *BMC Cancer.* 2019;19(1):1105. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-019-6314-9>
12. Vinter N, Christesen AMS, Fenger-Grøn M, Tjønneland A, Frost L. Atrial fibrillation and risk of cancer: a Danish population-based cohort study. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2018;7(17):e009543. <https://doi.org/10.1161/jaha.118.009543>
13. Chyou JY, Hunter TD, Mollenkopf SA, Turakhia MP, Reynolds MR. Individual and combined risk factors for incident atrial fibrillation and incident stroke: an analysis of 3 million at-risk US patients. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2015;4(7):e001723. <https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.114.001723>

KEY WORDS arrhythmia, atrial fibrillation, cardio-oncology, cardiotoxicity, onco-cardiology, oncotherapeutics, pharmacovigilance, risk models