Article



SARS-CoV-2 worldwide replication drives rapid rise and selection of mutations across the viral genome: a time-course study – potential challenge for vaccines and therapies

Stefanie Weber^{1,†}, Christina M Ramirez^{2,†}, Barbara Weiser³, Harold Burger³ & Walter Doerfler^{1,4,*}

Abstract

Scientists and the public were alarmed at the first large viral variant of SARS-CoV-2 reported in December 2020. We have followed the time course of emerging viral mutants and variants during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in ten countries on four continents. We examined > 383,500 complete SARS-CoV-2 nucleotide sequences in GISAID (Global Initiative of Sharing All Influenza Data) with sampling dates extending until April 05, 2021. These sequences originated from ten different countries: United Kingdom, South Africa, Brazil, United States, India, Russia, France, Spain, Germany, and China. Among the 77 to 100 novel mutations, some previously reported mutations waned and some of them increased in prevalence over time. VUI2012/01 (B.1.1.7) and 501Y.V2 (B.1.351), the socalled UK and South Africa variants, respectively, and two variants from Brazil, 484K.V2, now called P.1 and P.2, increased in prevalence. Despite lockdowns, worldwide active replication in genetically and socio-economically diverse populations facilitated selection of new mutations. The data on mutant and variant SARS-CoV-2 strains provided here comprise a global resource for easy access to the myriad mutations and variants detected to date globally. Rapidly evolving new variant and mutant strains might give rise to escape variants, capable of limiting the efficacy of vaccines, therapies, and diagnostic tests.

Keywords high incidence of C to T transitions; numerous new mutations; South African and Brazil variants; time course of SARS-CoV-2 mutant emergence; UK variant B.1.1.7

Subject Categories Chromatin, Transcription & Genomics; Microbiology, Virology & Host Pathogen Interaction

DOI 10.15252/emmm.202114062 | Received 2 February 2021 | Revised 18 April 2021 | Accepted 20 April 2021

EMBO Mol Med (2021) 13: e14062

Introduction

Between December 2019 and January 28, 2021, the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic has expanded worldwide to 219 countries and territories; about 101.9 million people have been infected, and about 2.2 million (2.16%) have lost their lives according to Johns Hopkins (Dong *et al*, 2020). Note added in proof: As of May 04, 2021, 154.4 million COVID-19 cases and 3.23 million fatalities (2.09%) have been reported worldwide (https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/).

In our laboratory, we have set out to follow the rapid rise of new mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 genome as COVID-19 cases soared worldwide. We identified mutation hotspots in different populations. Initially, we analyzed SARS-CoV-2 sequences that had been deposited in databases between January and May/June of 2020. At least 10 prevalent sites of sequence mutations were observed and up to 80% of nucleotides at the mutated site had been exchanged (Weber et al, 2020). Several of these mutations led to non-synonymous amino acid changes in different open reading frames across the viral genome. These alterations in functional viral proteins were selected during active worldwide replication of SARS-CoV-2. We have now extended the time frame of mutant analyses to January 20 and for that of variants further to March 31, 2021 and found increased prevalence of mutations along the genome worldwide. We specifically examined mutations from the United States, India, Brazil, Russia, the UK, France, Spain, Germany, South Africa, and China that were deposited in the GISAID (Global Initiative of Sharing All Influenza Data) database (Elbe & Buckland-Merritt, 2017).

As of January 28, 2021, infection rates worldwide were extremely high, surpassing the levels seen at the peak in April 2020 (Dong *et al*, 2020). The uncontrolled spread has led to a proliferation of mutants and variants, which we define as viruses with a specific set of mutations. The so-called UK variant, also known as B.1.1.7 or alternatively VOC202012/01, was first identified in England in

¹ Institute for Clinical and Molecular Virology, Friedrich-Alexander University (FAU), Erlangen, Germany

² Department of Biostatistics, UCLA School of Public Health, Los Angeles, CA, USA

³ Department of Medicine, University of California, Davis, Sacramento, CA, USA

⁴ Institute of Genetics, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel: +49 171 205 1587; E-mail: walter.doerfler@t-online.de [†]These authors contributed equally to this work

September 2020 and reported on December 8 as a rapidly spreading variant of concern that had 14 mutations in total and three deletions (for details, see Table 1) (https://virological.org/t/preliminary-ge nomic-characterisation-of-an-emergent-sars-cov-2-lineage-in-the-ukdefined-by-a-novel-set-of-spike-mutations/563). Some of the mutations involve the gene for the Spike protein, which mediates binding, fusion, and entry of the virus into the host cell. One of these deletions, H69/V70 del (Δ H69/ Δ V70), has been reported to emerge during convalescent plasma treatment (preprint: Kemp et al, 2021). Another Spike mutation, N501Y, is of concern, has been suggested to interact with ACE2, and could reduce the effectiveness of neutralizing antibodies (Yi et al, 2020). This variant has been associated with higher transmissibility (https://khub.net/documents/135939 561/338928724/SARS-CoV-2+variant+under+investigation%2C+me eting + minutes.pdf/962e866b-161f-2fd5-1030-32b6ab467896; Volz et al, 2021) and at least one confirmed case of reinfection (Harrington et al, 2021) leading to lockdowns and travel bans in efforts to contain its spread. On December 23, 2020, the time of the lockdown, the variant was already found in Australia, Denmark, and Italy. As of April 5, 2021, this variant has been reported in 108 countries according to GISAID (https://www.gisaid.org/hcov19-variants) (Table 2).

On December 18, 2020, another variant of concern, unrelated to the UK variant but also having the N501Y mutation, was announced in South Africa and was dubbed 501Y.V2 or B.1.351 (Tegally *et al*, 2021). This variant is characterized by eight mutations in the Spike including K417N, E484K, and N501Y (https://virological.org/t/a-pre liminary-selection-analysis-of-the-south-african-v501-v2-sars-cov-2-clade/573; Tegally *et al*, 2021) (Table 1). As of January 29, 2021, this variant has been reported in 68 countries and five continents.

Also rising independently are two Brazil variants that are now called P.1 and P.2. P.1 that have 17 unique amino acid changes, three deletions, four synonymous mutations, and one 4 nucleotide insertion (preprint: Faria *et al*, 2021) (Table 1). P.1 shares the N501Y and a deletion in ORF1ab with both the UK and the South Africa variant. It is interesting to note that the N501Y mutation was not widely spread in Brazil before this variant was described while the E484K is more prevalent, although Brazil is not sequencing large numbers of samples. The E484K and the N501Y mutations are of particular concern in that they have been suggested to reduce neutralization by antibodies and increase the affinity for ACE2. P.1 and B.1.351 share both mutations N501Y and E484K (Table 1). P.1 has been associated with a case of documented reinfection (https://

Table 1. Mutations associated with variants B.1.1.7 (UK), B.1.135 (South Africa), P.1 (Brazil), P.2 (Brazil), B.1.525 (New York), B.1.526 (New York), B.1.427 (California), and B.1.429 (California).

	B. 1. 1.7	B.1.135	P.1	P.2	B.1.525	B.1.526	B.1.427	B.1.429
Gene	Mutation	Mutation	Mutation	Mutation	Mutation	Mutation	Mutation	Mutation
ORF1ab	T1001I				P314F	P314L	L452R	S13I
	A1708D				T20070	Q1011H	D614G	W152C
	12230T					T265I		L452R
						L3201P		D614G
	SGF 3675-3677 del	SGF 3675-3677 del	SGF 3675-3677 del			3575-3677 del		
nsp5				L205V				
nsp6								
Spike	H69/V70 del	L18F			A67V	L5F*		
	Y144 del	D80A			H68/V70del	T95I		
	N501Y	D215G			Y144del	D253G		
	A570D	R246I				S477N*		
	P681H	K417N	K417N					
	T716I	E484K	E484K	E484K	E484K	E484K*		
	S982A	N501Y	N501Y		D614G			
	D118H	A701Y		V1176F	Q677H	*not in all sequences		
					F888L			
Orf8	Q27stop							
	R52I							
	Y73C							
Nucleocapsid	D3L			A119S	A12G			
	S235F			R203K	T205I			
				G204R				
				M234I				

Table 2.B.1.1.7, B.1.351, P.1, B.1.427 + B.1.429, B.1.525: Variants of
concern/interest of SARS-CoV-2 by country as of March 31, 2021.Currently, new variants are being detected and characterized in rapid
succession. This Table could be outdated by the time of publication.For updating of data, consult GISAID (Shu & McCauley, 2017).

Country	B.1.1.7	B.1.351	P.1	B.1.429 & B.1.427	B.1.525
Albania	28	0	0	0	0
Angola	6	7	0	0	1
Argentina	2	0	0	1	0
Aruba	120	2	1	31	0
Australia	242	38	4	17	8
Austria	414	167	0	2	3
Bangladesh	10	19	0	0	0
Barbados	3	0	0	0	0
Belarus	1	0	0	0	0
Belgium	5,302	655	223	1	24
Bonaire	91	0	0	0	0
Bosnia and Herzegovina	21	0	0	0	0
Botswana	0	54	0	0	0
Brazil	71	1	641	0	0
British Virgin Islands	0	0	0	1	0
Brunei	0	1	0	0	0
Bulgaria	659	0	0	0	0
Cambodia	7	0	0	2	0
Cameroon	0	1	0	0	1
Canada	2,395	38	150	13	13
Cayman Islands	2	0	0	0	0
Chile	30	0	42	10	0
China	14	1	0	0	0
Colombia	0	0	23	1	0
Comoros	0	6	0	0	0
Costa Rica	4	2	0	3	1
Cote d'Ivoire	7	0	0	0	4
Croatia	352	7	0	0	0
Curacao	107	0	0	0	0
Cyprus	10	0	0	0	0
Czech Republic	863	8	0	0	0
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2	1	0	0	0
Denmark	4,889	12	0	25	121
Dominican Republic	4	0	0	0	0
Ecuador	14	0	0	0	0
England	1	0	0	0	0
Estonia	273	3	0	0	0

Table 2 (continued)

Country	B.1.1.7	B.1.351	P.1	B.1.429 & B.1.427	B.1.525
Eswatini	0	20	0	0	0
Faroe Islands	0	0	1	0	0
Finland	400	9	0	1	4
France	6,290	537	38	4	30
French Guiana	4	0	8	0	0
Gambia	3	0	0	0	0
Georgia	2	0	0	0	0
Germany	21,038	652	63	6	123
Ghana	116	4	0	0	6
Gibraltar	131	0	0	0	0
Greece	70	0	0	0	0
Guadeloupe	9	1	0	3	2
Guam	0	0	0	7	0
Hungary	29	0	0	0	0
Iceland	20	0	0	0	0
India	151	15	0	0	17
Indonesia	10	34	0	0	0
Iran	1	65	0	0	0
Ireland	4,583	39	11	0	16
Israel	1,769	0	0	7	0
Italy	6,909	0	394	1	73
Jamaica	4	0	0	0	0
Japan	456	22	25	17	11
Jordan	50	2	3	0	2
Kenya	20	37	0	0	0
Kosovo	3	0	0	0	0
Kuwait	1	0	0	0	0
Latvia	150	0	0	0	0
Lebanon	2	0	0	0	0
Lesotho	0	14	0	0	0
Lithuania	413	5	0	0	0
Luxembourg	669	180	3	0	1
Malawi	1	152	0	0	0
Malaysia	3	9	0	0	2
Martinique	6	0	0	0	0
Mauritius	1	2	0	0	0
Mayotte	1	378	0	0	1
Mexico	33	0	5	146	0
Moldova	3	0	0	0	0
Monaco	1	1	0	0	0
Montenegro	7	0	0	0	0
Morocco	1	0	0	0	0
Mozambique	0	58	0	0	0
Namibia	0	9	0	0	0

Table 2 (continued)

Country	P117	R 1 251	D 1	B.1.429 &	R 1 525
Nethorlands	D.I.I. /	241	F.1	D.1.42/	20
Netherlands	6,854	341	59	5	36
New Zealand	98	23	4	4	0
Nigeria	128	0	0	0	0
North Macedonia	60	0	0	1	106
Northern Mariana Islands	0	0	0	1	0
Norway	1,630	190	1	2	22
Oman	1	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	7	0	0	0	0
Panama	0	1	0	0	0
Paraguay	0	0	5	0	0
Peru	3	0	23	0	0
Philippines	39	0	0	0	0
Poland	1,987	10	0	0	9
Portugal	1,701	48	20	0	3
Reunion	0	16	0	0	0
Romania	191	1	2	0	0
Russia	11	3	0	0	0
Rwanda	3	11	0	0	5
Saint Lucia	9	0	0	0	0
Senegal	3	0	0	0	0
Serbia	2	0	0	0	0
Singapore	88	71	0	4	3
Sint Maarten	27	0	1	13	30
Slovakia	609	7	0	0	0
Slovenia	839	25	1	0	0
South Africa	1	1,670	0	0	0
South Korea	103	5	1	47	1
Spain	4,352	31	20	2	18
Sri Lanka	19	1	0	0	1
Sweden	4,290	296	15	2	0
Switzerland	5,134	125	29	4	9
Taiwan	5	6	0	7	0
Thailand	12	0	0	0	1
Тодо	2	1	0	0	0
Trinidad and Tobago	1	0	0	0	0
Tunisia	1	0	0	0	0
Turkey	522	112	5	2	12
Ukraine	22	0	0	0	0
United Arab Emirates	21	5	0	0	0
United Kingdom	187,267	434	31	16	275
United States	15,117	290	252	23,328	182

Table 2 (continued)

Country	B.1.1.7	B.1.351	P.1	B.1.429 & B.1.427	B.1.525
Vietnam	11	0	0	0	0
Zambia	0	31	0	0	0
Zimbabwe	0	194	0	0	0

virological.org/t/sars-cov-2-reinfection-by-the-new-variant-of-conce rn-voc-p-1-in-amazonas-brazil/596), and 225 cases have been reported in the United States, and cases from 32 other countries have been deposited into GISAID. P.2, unrelated to P.1, is characterized by the E484K mutation and has been implicated in two cases of reinfection (Nonaka et al, 2021; https://virological.org/t/spikee484k-mutation-in-the-first-sars-cov-2-reinfection-case-confirmed-inbrazil-2020/584). Analysis of samples in Southern California led to the identification of the "California variant" (Zhang et al, 2021) also known as B.1.429 or B.1.427 (https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/ CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/COVID-Variants.aspx) depending on the pattern of mutations. Table 1 describes the pattern of mutations. The New York variant was described during the same time period (preprint: Annavajhala et al, 2021; preprint: West et al, 2021), although it is not deemed a variant of concern yet. The B.1.525 was also found in New York and is a variant of interest (https:// www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/variant-surve illance/variant-info.html).

These variants have caused concerns regarding efficacy of the vaccines. Recently, preprint: Wang et al (2021) described the efficacy of mRNA-1273 vaccine against many spike mutations tested both separately and in combination. They show that sera from both vaccinated non-human primates and vaccinated humans are effective against the UK variant and various other spike mutations. They also found neutralization, albeit at lower levels, against the full South Africa variant B.1.135. It has been shown that the Pfizer BNT162b2 vaccine is effective against the N501Y mutant alone (Xie et al, 2021) as well as the UK variant B.1.117 (Collier et al, 2021). There have also been preliminary data from two other vaccine manufacturers showing efficacy against the South African variant. To illustrate the rise of mutations and variants over time, we list the number of variants and mutations deposited in GISAID worldwide across time (Figure 1). Table 2 lists the number of variant sequences deposited in GISIAD by country.

The rapid appearance of the variants across the world illustrates the importance of sequencing viral pathogens and tracking mutations. There is emerging evidence that these variants may alter transmissibility and have the potential to reduce the efficacy of existing COVID-19 vaccines. Sequencing SARS-CoV-2 is both a scientific and clinical imperative (https://www.cogconsortium.uk/ wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Report-2_COG-UK_SARS-CoV-2-Muta tions.pdf). Because nucleic acid sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 samples is not part of routine clinical practice at this time, it is necessary to institute programs to monitor sequence variation as a matter of course in order to detect mutations in the viral genome.

A consequence of the lack of routine viral sequencing is that it may contribute to selection bias. Sequences deposited to GISAID may not be representative of viral prevalence as different countries contribute different numbers of sequences. It is also possible that selection bias may be inherent, as different countries deposit



Figure 1. Relative proportions of mutations and variants of concern deposited to GISAID as of March 31. Time course study.

sequences at different rates and often not at random. It may be the case that more interesting samples or those deemed more likely to be a variant are preferentially sequenced. This is a likely case for samples that are selected for sequencing due to SGTF (spike gene target failure). It has been found that the Spike Δ H69/ Δ V70 causes the so-called S dropout, rendering the nucleic acid test (NAT) negative for Spike (S) and positive for nucleocapsid (N). As this is one of the mutations in B.1.1.7, it has been used as a screening tool for this variant (preprint: Washington *et al*, 2021). While useful for screening, this deletion might create selection bias because patients who were positive for SARS-CoV-2 with an S dropout may have their samples preferentially sequenced as the prevalence for the new variant is being assessed.

Rapid increases in the number and types of new SARS-CoV-2 mutations in the world population within a time span of weeks to months are a remarkable biologic event. The uncontrolled rapid replication of SARS-CoV-2 in an immunologically naïve world population since early 2020 constituted a wake-up call of the need to sequence and track the evolution of novel pathogens as these mutations and variants have raised concerns regarding increased transmissibility, immune escape, and the efficacy of vaccines and the validity of diagnostic tests.

Results

Time course of emerging mutations in ten different countries

We examined mutations in 383,570 complete sequences with known sampling dates in GISAID up until January 20, 2021. Figure 1 shows the worldwide distribution of Spike mutations as well as other variants of interest over time from April 2020 to March 31, 2021, from complete sequences with a known collection date deposited in GISAID. Table 1 lists the signature mutations for the variants. Table 2 shows the total number of complete sequences each variant of interest (B.1.1.7 (the UK variant), 501Y.V2 (the South African variant) and 484K.V2 (B.1.1 lineage with S: E484K/D614G, V1176F N: A199S/R203K/G204R) deposited in GISAID by each country as of March 31, 2021.

Selection of novel mutations in humans was rapid and frequent in 2020. Among the novel mutations discovered in the current study, some were seen only in one country and others occurred in several different countries. We will present the identified mutations arising in the SARS-CoV-2 RNA country by country for the designated time periods (Tables 3–12). The data covering time course analyses of the appearance of mutations and their nature in most of the ten different countries are presented in Tables 3A–12A. The corresponding B

Table 3. United Kingdom.

			01/19/20 2021	20–01/20/
Position	Location	Mutation	Total Count	Percentage
66nt	5'UTR	$C \rightarrow T$	2,787	3.9
204nt		$G \rightarrow T$	20,770	29.07
241nt		$C \rightarrow T$	69,160	96.81
445nt	ORF1ab polyprotein → leader protein	$T \rightarrow C$	34,505	48.3
1,163nt	nsp2	$A \to T$	2,544	3.56
1,210nt		$G\toT$	1,440	2.02
1,513nt	-	$C \rightarrow T$	1,528	2.14
1,947nt	-	$T \rightarrow C$	1,576	2.21
1,987nt	-	$A \to G$	3,018	4.22
3,037nt	nsp3	$C \rightarrow T$	69,231	96.91
3,256nt	-	$T \rightarrow C$	2,523	3.53
4,002nt		$C \rightarrow T$	1,519	2.13
4,543nt		$C \rightarrow T$	1,516	2.12
6,286nt		$C \rightarrow T$	34,650	48.5
6,807nt		$C \rightarrow T$	2,220	3.11
7,528nt		$C \rightarrow T$	1,524	2.13
7,926nt	-	$C \rightarrow T$	2,818	3.94
8,683nt	nsp4	$C \rightarrow T$	2,189	3.06
9,745nt		$C \rightarrow T$	3,640	5.1
9,802nt		$G\toT$	1,449	2.03
10,097nt	3C-like proteinase	$G\toA$	2,954	4.13
10,870nt		$G \rightarrow T$	3,186	4.46
11,083nt	nsp6	$G\toT$	5,734	8.03
11,396nt		$C \rightarrow T$	2,286	3.2
11,533nt		$A \rightarrow G$	1,960	2.74
11,781nt		$A \rightarrow G$	2,368	3.31
12,067nt	nsp7	$G\toT$	1,709	2.39
13,536nt	RNA-dependent	$C\toT$	1,502	2.1
14,202nt	RNA polymerase	$G \rightarrow T$	2,522	3.53
14,408nt		$C \rightarrow T$	69,237	96.92
14,805nt		$C \rightarrow T$	1,860	2.6
15,406nt	-	$G \rightarrow T$	2,077	2.91
18,877nt	3'-to-5'	$C \rightarrow T$	3,827	5.36
19,542nt	exonuclease	$G\toT$	2,582	3.61
19,718nt	endoRNAse	$C \rightarrow T$	2,645	3.7
20,268nt		$A \rightarrow G$	1,999	2.8
21,255nt	2'-O-ribose methyltransferase	$G \rightarrow C$	34,494	48.28
21,575nt	Spike glycoprotein	$C \rightarrow T$	1,502	2.1
21,614nt		$C \rightarrow T$	17,561	24.58
21,637nt		$C \rightarrow T$	2,697	3.78

			01/19/20 2021	20–01/20/
Position	Location	Mutation	Total Count	Percentage
22,227nt		$C \rightarrow T$	34,855	48.79
22,346nt		$G\toT$	2,244	3.14
22,377nt		$C \rightarrow T$	1,518	2.12
22,388nt		$C \rightarrow T$	2,540	3.56
22,444nt		$C \rightarrow T$	2,085	2.92
22,992nt		$G\toA$	1,636	2.29
23,403nt		$A \to G$	69,262	96.95
23,731nt		$C \rightarrow T$	2,940	4.12
24,334nt		$C \rightarrow T$	10,442	14.62
25,563nt	ORF3a	$G\toT$	5,774	8.08
25,614nt		$C \rightarrow T$	2,737	3.83
26,060nt		$C \rightarrow T$	2,632	3.68
26,144nt		$G\toT$	1,748	2.45
26,424nt	Envelope protein	$T\rightarrowC$	1,957	2.74
26,735nt	Membrane	$C\toT$	3,760	5.26
26,801nt	glycoprotein	$C\rightarrowG$	34,459	48.24
27,769nt	ORF7b	$C\toT$	2,706	3.79
27,944nt	ORF8	$C \rightarrow T$	25,177	35.24
28,169nt		$A \to G$	2,693	3.77
28,854nt	Nucleocapsid	$C \rightarrow T$	3,683	5.16
28,881nt	phosphoprotein	$G\toA$	23,975	33.56
28,882nt		$G\toA$	23,947	33.52
28,883nt		$G\rightarrowC$	23,946	33.52
28,932nt		$C\toT$	34,536	48.34
29,227nt		$G\toT$	2,566	3.59
29,366nt		$C \rightarrow T$	1,743	2.44
29,466nt		$C \rightarrow T$	2,578	3.61
29,555nt	At upstream downstream region of ORF10 ORF9	$C \rightarrow T$	1,466	2.05
29,645nt	ORF10	$G\toT$	34,684	48.55
29,771nt	3′UTR	$A \rightarrow G$	2,475	3.46

Details of the mutant analyses of 7,144 SARS-CoV-2 isolates for deviations from the Wuhan reference sequence. These sequences were deposited in the GISAID initiative between 01/19/2020 and 01/20/2021. For design of Tables, see legend to Table 5.

Tables summarize the total number of mutations in individual sequence position at a cutoff of 2% preponderance for the time period 01/19/2020 to 01/20/2021, i.e., of the entire first COVID-19 year. Of course, it can be argued that a cutoff for the registration of mutants at 2% incidence is arbitrary. However, we cannot predict with certainty which mutations at low incidence of occurrence at present will become more predominant in the future during rapid worldwide viral replication in the current pandemic. A feasible

Table 4. South Africa.

(A)			09/01-12/07/2020	
Position	Location	Mutation	Count	Incidence
174nt	5'UTR	$GT \rightarrow TT$	12/95	DE,US
		noneffective	_	
241nt	-	$CG \rightarrow TG$	95/95	Prevalent
		noneffective	_	
1,059nt	nsp2	$CC \rightarrow TC$	10/95	Prevalent
		ACC (Threonine) \rightarrow ATC (Isoleucine)	_	
2,164nt	-	$GA \rightarrow CA$	11/95	IN
		$GAGAAG$ (Glutamic Acid Lysine) \rightarrow $GACAAG$ (Aspartic Acid Lysine)	_	
3,037nt	nsp3	$CT \rightarrow TT$	95/95	Prevalent
		noneffective	_	
5,230nt	-	$GT \rightarrow TT$	12/95	DE
		AA GT GG (Lysine Tryptophan) $\rightarrow AA$ TT GG (Asparagine Tryptophan)	_	
6,762nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	13/95	Unique
		ACT (Threonine) \rightarrow ATT (Isoleucine)	-	
10,323nt	3C-like proteinase	$AG \rightarrow GG$	11/95	Unique
		AAG (Lysine) \rightarrow AGG (Arginine)	-	
11,230nt	nsp6	$GC \rightarrow TC$	11/95	Unique
		AT GC CT (Methionine Proline) \rightarrow AT TC CT (Isoleucine Proline)	-	
12,503nt	nsp8	$TA \rightarrow CA$	26/95	Unique
		TA T (Tyrosine) \rightarrow CA T (Histidine)	_	
14,408nt	RNA-dependent RNA	$CT \rightarrow TT$	95/95	Prevalent
	polymerase	CCT (Proline) $\rightarrow CTT$ (Leucine)	_	
20,268nt	endoRNAse	$AG \rightarrow GG$	21/95	FR,ES,RU
		noneffective	-	
21,801nt	Spike glycoprotein	$AT \rightarrow CT$	10/95	Unique
		GAT (Aspartic Acid) $\rightarrow GCT$ (Alanine)	_	
22,675nt	-	$CG \rightarrow TG$	10/95	Unique
		noneffective	_	
22,813nt	-	$GA \rightarrow TA$	10/95	DE
		noneffective	_	
23,012nt		$GA \rightarrow AA$	12/95	IN
		GA A (Glutamic Acid) \rightarrow AA A (Lysine)	-	
23,403nt		$AT \rightarrow GT$	95/95	Prevalent
		GAT (Aspartic Acid) $\rightarrow GGT$ (Glycine)	_	
23,664nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	14/95	ES,IN
		GCA (Alanine) $\rightarrow GTA$ (Valine)	_	
25,563nt	ORF3a protein	$GA \rightarrow TA$	10/95	Prevalent
		$CAGAGC$ (Glutamine Serine) $\rightarrow CATAGC$ (Histidine Serine)		
25,770nt		$GC \rightarrow TC$	20/95	RU
		$AGGCTT$ (Arginine Leucine) $\rightarrow AGTCTT$ (Serine Leucine)		
25,904nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	10/95	BR,DE
		T CA (Serine) → T TA (Leucine)		

Table 4 (continued)

(A)					09/01–12/07/2020	
Position	Location		Mutation		Count	Incidence
26,456nt	Envelope pr	otein	$CT \rightarrow TT$		10/95	Unique
			CCT (Proline) $\rightarrow CTT$ (Leu	icine)		
28,253nt	ORF8 protei	n	$CA \rightarrow TA$		14/95	BR,DE,ES,FR,US
			noneffective			
28,854nt	Nucleocapsi	id phosphoprotein	$CA \rightarrow TA$		23/95	CN,DE,ES,FR,IN,RU
			T CA (Serine) \rightarrow T TA (Leuc	cine)		
28,881nt			$GGG\toAAC$		61/95	Prevalent
			A GGG GA (Arginine Glycin	e) \rightarrow A AAC GA (Lysine Arginine)		
28,887nt			$CT \rightarrow TT$		11/95	BR,CN,FR,IN,RU
			A CT (Threonine) \rightarrow A TT (Isoleucine)		
29,721nt	3′UTR		$CC \rightarrow TC$		26/95	Unique
			noneffective			
(B)					01/19/2020-01/20/20	21
Position		Location		Mutation	Total Count	Percentage
174nt		5′UTR		$G \rightarrow T$	181	10.17
241nt		-		$C \rightarrow T$	1,772	99.61
355nt		ORF1ab polyprote	in \rightarrow leader protein	$C \rightarrow T$	59	3.32
1,059nt		nsp2		$C \rightarrow T$	149	8.38
2,094nt				$C \rightarrow T$	38	2.14
2,164nt				$G \rightarrow C$	84	4.72
2,692nt				$A \rightarrow T$	41	2.3
3,037nt		nsp3		$C \rightarrow T$	1,746	98.15
4,002nt				$C \rightarrow T$	165	9.27
4,093nt		_		$C \rightarrow T$	48	2.7
5,230nt		-		$G \rightarrow T$	147	8.26
6,027nt				$C \rightarrow T$	46	2.59
6,762nt				$C \rightarrow T$	178	10.01
7,064nt				$A \to G$	124	6.97
8,660nt		nsp4		$C \rightarrow T$	69	3.88
8,964nt		_		$C \rightarrow T$	69	3.88
9,498nt				$T \rightarrow C$	36	2.02
10,097nt		3C-like proteinase		$G \rightarrow A$	163	9.16
10,323nt				$A \rightarrow G$	169	9.5
11,083nt		nsp6		$G \rightarrow T$	60	3.37
11,230nt		_		$G \rightarrow T$	75	4.22
11,447nt				$G \rightarrow A$	129	7.25
12,503nt		nsp8		$T \rightarrow C$	389	21.87
13,536nt		RNA-dependent R	NA polymerase	$C \rightarrow T$	170	9.56
14,408nt		_		$C \rightarrow T$	1,773	99.66
14,925nt				$C \rightarrow T$	71	3.99
16,376nt		Helicase		$C \rightarrow T$	54	3.04
16,490nt		_		$C \rightarrow T$	39	2.19
16,853nt		_		$G \rightarrow T$	47	2.64
16,946nt				$C \rightarrow T$	43	2.42

(B)			01/19/2020-01/20/2021	
Position	Location	Mutation	Total Count	Percentage
18,747nt	3'-to-5' exonuclease	$C \rightarrow T$	115	6.46
20,234nt	endoRNAse	$C \rightarrow T$	42	2.36
20,268nt	-	$A \to G$	209	11.75
21,801nt	Spike glycoprotein	$A\rightarrowC$	142	7.98
22,206nt		$A \rightarrow G$	71	3.99
22,287nt		$T \rightarrow A$	86	4.83
22,299nt		$G\toT$	69	3.88
22,675nt		$C\toT$	290	16.3
22,813nt		$G\toT$	139	7.81
23,012nt		$G\toA$	146	8.21
23,063nt		$A\rightarrowT$	140	7.87
23,403nt		$A\rightarrowG$	1,772	99.61
23,625nt		$C\toT$	53	2.98
23,664nt		$C\rightarrowT$	154	8.66
23,731nt		$C\rightarrowT$	161	9.05
25,455nt	ORF3a	$G\toT$	65	3.65
25,521nt		$C \rightarrow T$	66	3.71
25,563nt		$G\toT$	148	8.32
25,770nt		$G\toT$	285	16.02
25,904nt		$C \rightarrow T$	143	8.04
26,456nt	Envelope protein	$C \rightarrow T$	140	7.87
26,586nt	Membrane glycoprotein	$C \rightarrow T$	62	3.49
27,384nt	ORF6	$T \rightarrow C$	120	6.75
27,504nt	ORF7a	$T \rightarrow C$	50	2.81
28,077nt	ORF8	$G\toT$	74	4.16
28,253nt		$C \rightarrow T$	178	10.01
28,854nt	Nucleocapsid phosphoprotein	$C \rightarrow T$	173	9.72
28,881nt		$G\toA$	1,238	69.59
28,882nt		$G \rightarrow A$	1,238	69.59
28,883nt		$G \rightarrow C$	1,238	69.59
28,887nt		$C \rightarrow T$	152	8.54
29,425nt		$G \rightarrow T$	117	6.58
29,721nt	3'UTR	$C \rightarrow T$	388	21.81

Table 4 (continued)

The Table presents characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 mutants from South African isolates. For Table design, see legend to Table 5.

strategy will be to install mutant watch programs and remain on the alert for the rise of new mutations. This strategy can be implemented only by highly efficient SARS-CoV-2 RNA sequencing strategies that will have to be instituted as widely as possible and without delay.

Mutation analyses in ten different countries

The following paragraphs document the mutational repertoire of SARS-CoV-2 in different regions of the world. The results are somewhat biased in that countries differed considerably in the number of sequences that had become available for inspection in the GISAID database (www.gisaid.org) (Shu & McCauley, 2017). We have

emphasized the time course of appearance of novel mutations in SARS-CoV-2 isolates that had a history of vigorous replication in some of the most severely affected populations on the globe, such as UK, South Africa, the United States, India, Brazil, Russia, France, Spain, Germany, and China. The most recent update [January 30, 2021] of COVID-19 cases and fatalities in the ten countries, whose isolates were analyzed for mutations, is presented in Table 13.

United Kingdom

For mutations arising in the UK, we have not followed the time course of emerging mutations during earlier periods of the

(A)			02/29– 04/26/ 2020*	06/12– 07/07/ 2020*	07/09– 07/22/ 2020	08/01– 12/01/ 2020	
Position	Location	Mutation	Count	Count	Count	Count	Incidence
241nt	5'UTR	$CG \rightarrow TG$	76/111	74/96	99/99	116/117	Prevalent
		noneffective	_				
1,059nt	nsp2	$CC \rightarrow TC$	42/112	45/97	30/99	56/117	Prevalent
		A CC (Threonine) \rightarrow A TC (Isoleucine)					
1,917nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	0/112	11/97	0/99	0/117	CN
		A CT (Threonine) \rightarrow A TT (Isoleucine)	_				
2,416nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	9/112	4/97	1/99	3/117	CN,ES,FR,RU,
		noneffective					ZA
3,037nt	nsp3	$CT \rightarrow TT$	75/112	72/97	99/99	117/117	prevalent
		noneffective					
3,871nt		$GA \rightarrow TA$	0/112	0/97	29/99	4/117	FR,ZA
		$AAGATC$ (Lysine Isoleucine) $\rightarrow AATATC$ (Asparagine Isoleucine)					
3,931nt		$TG \rightarrow CG$	0/112	0/97	29/99	4/117	Unique
		noneffective					
4,226nt		$CC \rightarrow TC$	0/112	0/97	28/99	0/117	Unique
	_	CC A (Proline) \rightarrow TC A (Serine)					
5,672nt		$CC \rightarrow TC$	0/112	0/97	28/99	0/117	Unique
		CC T (Proline) \rightarrow TC T (Serine)					
7,837nt		$AG \rightarrow CG$	0/112	0/97	28/99	0/117	CN
	_	TT AG AC (Leucine Aspartic Acid) \rightarrow TT CG AC (Phenylalanine Aspartic Acid)					
8,083nt		$GG \rightarrow AG$	0/112	0/97	0/99	18/117	Unique
		$ATGGAA$ (Methionine Glutamic Acid) \rightarrow $ATGAA$ (Isoleucine Glutamic Acid)					
8,782nt	nsp4	$CC \rightarrow TC$	15/112	15/97	0/99	0/117	CN,DE,ES,IN
		noneffective					
10,139nt	3C-like proteinase	$CT \rightarrow TT$	0/112	0/97	0/99	29/117	Unique
		CT T (Leucine) \rightarrow TT T (Phenylalanine)					
12,025nt	nsp7	$CA \rightarrow TA$	0/112	0/97	11/99	2/117	Unique
		noneffective					
14,408nt	RNA-dependent	$CT \rightarrow TT$	78/112	71/97	99/99	117/117	Prevalent
	RIVA polymerase	CCT (Proline) $\rightarrow CTT$ (Leucine)					
17,747nt	Helicase	$CT \rightarrow TT$	8/112	12/97	0/99	0/117	FR
		CCT (Proline) $\rightarrow CTT$ (Leucine)					
17,858nt		$AT \rightarrow GT$	8/112	12/97	0/99	0/117	ZA
		TAT (Tyrosine) $\rightarrow TGT$ (Cysteine)					
18,060nt	3'- to - 5'	$CT \rightarrow TT$	9/112	11/97	0/99	0/117	ZA
	exonuclease	noneffective					
18,424nt		$AA \rightarrow GA$	0/112	0/97	0/99	26/117	Unique
		$\textbf{AA}\text{T}$ (Asparagine) \rightarrow $\textbf{GA}\text{T}$ (Aspartic Acid)					
18,486nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	0/112	0/97	13/99	2/117	Unique
		noneffective					

Table 5 (continued)

(A)			02/29– 04/26/ 2020*	06/12– 07/07/ 2020*	07/09– 07/22/ 2020	08/01– 12/01/ 2020	
Position	Location	Mutation	Count	Count	Count	Count	Incidence
18,877nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	13/112	1/97	6/99	3/117	BR,DE,ES,FR,IN
		noneffective					
19,677nt	endoRNAse	$GG \rightarrow TG$	0/112	0/97	26/99	0/117	Unique
		CA GG GT (Glutamine Glycine) → CA TG GT (Histidine Glycine)					
19,839nt		$TA \rightarrow CA$	0/112	0/97	11/99	7/117	CN,DE,ES,FR,
	_	noneffective					RU
20,268nt		$AG \rightarrow GG$	2/112	5/97	15/99	29/117	FR,ES,RU,ZA
		noneffective					
21,304nt	2'-O-ribose	$CG \rightarrow TG$	0/112	0/97	0/99	25/117	ES
	methyltransferase	CG C (Arginine) \rightarrow TG C (Cysteine)					
22,162nt	Spike	$TT \rightarrow CT$	0/112	0/97	13/99	2/117	Unique
	glycoprotein	noneffective					
23,403nt		$AT \rightarrow GT$	77/112	72/97	99/99	117/117	Prevalent
		G AT (Aspartic Acid) $\rightarrow G$ GT (Glycine)					
23,707nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	0/112	0/97	11/99	3/117	Unique
		noneffective					
25,907nt	ORF3a protein	$GT \rightarrow TT$	0/112	0/97	0/99	26/117	Unique
		G GT (Glycine) \rightarrow G TT (Valine)					
25,563nt		$GA \rightarrow TA$	65/112	54/97	37/99	66/117	Prevalent
		$CAGAGC$ (Glutamine Serine) $\rightarrow CATAGC$ (Histidine Serine)					
27,964nt	ORF8 protein	$CA \rightarrow TA$	13/112	6/97	4/99	31/117	Unique
		T CA (Serine) \rightarrow T TA (Leucine)					
28,144nt		$TA \rightarrow CA$	15/112	15/97	0/99	0/117	CN,DE,ES,IN
		$T\mathbf{TA} \text{ (Leucine)} \to T\mathbf{CA} \text{ (Serine)}$					
28,472nt	Nucleocapsid	$CC \rightarrow TC$	0/112	0/97	0/99	22/117	Unique
	phosphoprotein	CC T (Proline) \rightarrow TC T (Serine)					
28,821nt		$CT \rightarrow AT$	0/112	0/97	9/99	5/117	Unique
		T CT (Serine) \rightarrow T AT (Tyrosine)					
28,854nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	3/112	0/97	13/99	28/117	CN,DE,ES,FR,
		T CA (Serine) \rightarrow T TA (Leucine)	_				IN,RU
28,869nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	0/112	0/97	0/99	25/117	DE
		CCA (Proline) $\rightarrow CTA$ (Leucine)					
28,881nt		$GGG \rightarrow AAC$	3/112	1/97	17/99	17/117	Prevalent
		A GGG GA (Arginine Glycine) → A AAC GA (Lysine Arginine)					
28,887nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	0/112	1/97	1/99	10/117	BR,CN,FR,IN,
		ACT (Threonine) \rightarrow ATT (Isoleucine)					RU
28,977nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	0/112	0/97	29/99	4/117	CN
		T CT (Serine) \rightarrow T TT (Phenylalanine)					

Table 5 (continued)

(B)			01/19/2020-01/20/20	21
Position	Location	Mutation	Total Count	Percentage
36nt	5'UTR	$C \rightarrow T$	1,188	2.24
241nt		$C \rightarrow T$	48,826	92.24
833nt	nsp2	$T \rightarrow C$	1,171	2.21
1,059nt		$C \rightarrow T$	28,844	54.49
3,037nt	nsp3	$C \rightarrow T$	49,077	92.71
8,083nt	-	$G \rightarrow A$	2,779	5.25
8,782nt	nsp4	$C \rightarrow T$	2,798	5.29
10,319nt	3C-like proteinase	$C \rightarrow T$	8,465	15.99
10,323nt		$A \rightarrow G$	1,176	2.22
10,741nt		$C \rightarrow T$	1,120	2.12
11,083nt	nsp6	$G\rightarrowT$	1,612	3.05
11,916nt	nsp7	$C \rightarrow T$	1,670	3.15
14,408nt	RNA-dependent RNA polymerase	$C \rightarrow T$	49,140	92.83
14,805nt		$C \rightarrow T$	3,176	6
16,260nt	Helicase	$C \rightarrow T$	1,797	3.39
17,747nt		$C \rightarrow T$	2,049	3.87
17,858nt		$A \to G$	2,084	3.94
18,060nt	3'-to-5' exonuclease	$C \rightarrow T$	2,135	4.03
18,424nt		$A\rightarrowG$	6,708	12.67
18,877nt		$C \rightarrow T$	1,517	2.87
19,839nt	endoRNAse	$T \rightarrow C$	1,955	3.69
20,268nt		$A \to G$	6,742	12.74
21,304nt	2'-O-ribose methyltransferase	$C \rightarrow T$	6,603	12.47
23,403nt	Spike glycoprotein	$A \rightarrow G$	49,154	92.86
23,604nt		$C \rightarrow A$	1,238	2.34
24,076nt		$T \rightarrow C$	2,148	4.06
25,563nt	ORF3a	$G \rightarrow T$	31,241	59.02
25,907nt		$G\toT$	6,369	12.03
27,964nt	ORF8	$C \rightarrow T$	12,002	22.67
28,144nt		$T \rightarrow C$	2,790	5.27
28,472nt	Nucleocapsid phosphoprotein	$C \rightarrow T$	6,473	12.23
28,821nt		$C \rightarrow A$	1,821	3.44
28,842nt		$G\rightarrowT$	1,152	2.18
28,854nt		$C \rightarrow T$	6,694	12.65
28,869nt		$C \rightarrow T$	6,640	12.54
28,881nt		$G \rightarrow A$	6,887	13.01
28,882nt		$G \rightarrow A$	6,848	12.94
28,883nt		$G \rightarrow C$	6,847	12.93
28,887nt		$C \rightarrow T$	1,090	2.06
29,402nt		$G \rightarrow T$	1,630	3.08

Table 5 (continued)

(B)			01/19/2020-01/20/2021	
Position	Location	Mutation	Total Count	Percentage
29,784nt	3'UTR	$C \rightarrow T$	1,062	2.01
29,870nt		$C \rightarrow A$	1,990	3.76

The general design of this Table is similar to Tables 3, 4 and 7–12, with minor modifications. Part A: From the overall analyses of the entire SARS-CoV-2 RNA sequence from 112 (US-I), 97 (US-II), 99 (US-III), and 117 (US-IV) randomly chosen isolates, the mutated nucleotides (nt)—as compared to the original Wuhan sequence—were tabulated. The actual time periods of mutant selections for the US-I to US-IV samples were indicated. Please note that in some of the Tables, as is the case in Table 5A, mutations were analyzed at different time intervals. From earlier to later, these time intervals were designated in the text as US-I, US-II, etc. The same nomenclature was followed in other Tables as well, in case more than one time interval was studied. Mutations previously designated as "signal hotspots" (Weber *et al*, 2020, i.e. 241–1,059–1,440–2,891–3,037–8,782–14,408–23,403–25,563–28,144–28,881) were now designated "prevalent." The * in the US-I and US-II columns designates previous publication in (Weber *et al*, 2020). The actual nucleotide changes were indicated in the third column, the most frequent being C \rightarrow T (here 61.5%), as reported previously (Simmonds, 2020; Weber *et al*, 2020). Locations of mutations on the viral genome and amino acid exchanges as consequences of individual mutations were tabulated in columns 2 and 3, respectively. In columns 4 to 7, the actual frequencies of mutations at the four time intervals (US-1 to US-IV) are listed. The following designations for individual countries were chosen: BR for Brazil, CN for China, DE for Germany, FR for France, IN for India, RU for Russia, ES for Spain, ZA for South Africa, UK for United Kingdom, and US for United States.

The GGG \rightarrow AAC is a non-point mutation in nucleotide position 28,881 that generated a highly basic amino acid sequence in the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid phosphoprotein. We have speculated that this mutation might have originated from a recombination event between different viral RNA molecules (Weber *et al*, 2020).

Part B: A total of 5,710 SARS-CoV-2 RNA sequences from the GISAID source were analyzed. Deviations from the Wuhan reference sequence of >2% incidence were found at 42 sites in the sequence. Further details were described in the text.

pandemic. In a total of > 71,000 viral isolates of SARS-CoV-2 genomes from around the world, that were deposited between 01/19/2020 and 01/20/2021, four of the prevalent mutations found worldwide, at positions 241, 3,037, 14,408, and 23,403, had reached almost 100% representation (Table 3). In a total of 70 sequence positions > 2% deviations in comparison to the Wuhan reference were noted, > 50% were C to U (T) transitions (see also Tables 3–12B). Twelve novel mutations reached prevalence values between 15% and 49%, seven of them around 49%. Several of these mutations were also found in other countries (Tables 4–12). High prevalence of new mutations correlated with active replication in countries of high COVID-19 incidence.

On December 8, 2020, Rambaut et al described a novel variant of SARS-CoV-2 that was circulating in England starting in October and increased in prevalence suggesting a possible increase in transmissibility (https://virological.org/t/preliminary-genomic-characterisation-of-anemergent-sars-cov-2-lineage-in-the-uk-defined-by-a-novel-set-of-spikemutations/563; https://khub.net/documents/135939561/338928724/ SARS-CoV-2 + variant + under + investigation %2C + meeting + minutes. pdf/962e866b-161f-2fd5-1030-32b6ab467896; https://www.cogconsor tium.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Report-2_COG-UK_SARS-CoV-2-Mutations.pdf; Volz et al, 2021). An analysis of its genome revealed 14 non-synonymous mutations and 3 deletions that comprised a few nucleotides. In the spike glycoprotein, six of these mutations and two deletions were located, one of them N501Y due to an A23063T replacement. This particular variant is now considered a variant of concern VOC202012/01 (https://www.cogconsortium.uk/wp-conte nt/uploads/2021/01/Report-2_COG-UK_SARS-CoV-2-Mutations.pdf). Current reports have described increased infectivity of this variant, whereas its pathogenicity is currently being assessed (Volz et al, 2021).

Recent reports suggest that the BioNTech/Pfizer BNT162b2 vaccine is effective against the UK variant as well as the N501Y mutant alone (Collier *et al*, 2021; Xie *et al*, 2021). Wu *et al* show preliminary effectiveness for the Moderna vaccine (mRNA-1273) (preprint: Wu *et al*, 2021) against the variant. Press reports from Novavax (https://ir.novavax.com/news-releases/news-release-deta

ils/novavax-covid-19-vaccine-demonstrates-893-efficacy-uk-phase-3) are also suggestive of the effectiveness of NVX-CoV2373 against the UK variant. Table 2 lists mutations found in the GISAID database up until March 31, 2021, and reports 187,267, 434, 31, 16, and 275 cases of variants B.1.1.7, B.1.351, P1, B.1.429 + B.1.427, and B.1.525, respectively.

South Africa

We analyzed 95 SARS-CoV-2 sequences from viral isolates in South Africa that were deposited in the GISAID databank (Table 4A); 28 mutations overall were found in those sequences. Four of the seven prevalent mutations, known from isolates all over the world, had reached 100% representation in the SARS-CoV-2 sequences, except those at positions 1,059 (~10%), 25,563 (~10%), and 28,881 (~ 63%). There were seven new mutations unique to the South African isolates, four of which caused non-synonymous amino acid exchanges. Twelve of the novel mutations were shared with other countries, eight of these mutations led to amino acid exchanges, many of them to non-synonymous replacements. Twenty-five percent of the mutations affected the spike glycoprotein, a finding that should alert us to the capacity of the virus to respond to potential vaccines directed against the viral spikes. There was one each mutation that involved the viral endoRNAse and the RNAdependent RNA polymerase.

For the entire year 2020 (January 19, 2020, to January 20, 2021), the four prevalent mutations at positions 241, 3,037, 14,408, and 23,403 were again (Table 4B) represented close to 100%, the mutation at 28,881/2/3 in the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein gene at about 70% (Table 4B). There were 8 new mutations at > 10% prevalence. In a total of 63 positions in the viral genome, deviations from the Wuhan reference sequence were noted above the 2% cutoff.

Recently, the N501Y variant was detected in South Africa which also had two additional point mutations, K417 and E484K. Data about its possible increased infectivity and transmissibility were preliminary (preprint: Cheng *et al*, 2021). Also in December 2020,

Table 6. India.

.

(A)			01/27–05/27/ 2020*	06/03– 07.04.2020	
Position	Location	Mutation	 Count	Count	Incidence
241nt	5'UTR	$CG \rightarrow TG$	82/99	95/98	Prevalent
		noneffective			
2,292nt	nsp2	$AG \rightarrow CG$	0/99	22/98	Unique
		CAG (Glutamine) $\rightarrow CCG$ (Proline)			
2,836nt	2,836nt nsp3	$CT \rightarrow TT$	23/99	44/98	Unique
		noneffective			
3,037nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	81/99	96/98	Prevalent
		noneffective			
3,634nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	8/99	17/98	ZA
		noneffective			
4,084nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	12/99	1/98	ZA
		noneffective			
4,300nt		$GC \rightarrow TC$	0/99	16/98	Unique
		noneffective			
6,312nt		$CA \rightarrow AA$	10/99	0/98	US
		A CA (Threonine) → A AA (Lysine)			
11,083nt	3nt nsp6	$GT\toTT$	13/99	0/98	BR,CN, DE,
		TT GT AT (Leucine Tyrosine) $\rightarrow T$ TT (Phenylalanine)			ES,FR,US,
					ZA
14,408nt	RNA-dependent RNA	$CT \rightarrow TT$	80/99	91/98	Prevalent
	polymerase	CCT (Proline) $\rightarrow CTT$ (Leucine)			
15,324nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	7/99	18/98	B,C,G,F
		noneffective			
16,512nt	Helicase	$AT \rightarrow GT$	0/99	11/98	Unique
		noneffective			
18,568nt	3'- to - 5'exonuclease	$CT \rightarrow TT$	0/99	22/98	Unique
		CT C (Leucine) \rightarrow TT C (Phenylalanine)			
18,877nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	45/99	51/98	BR,DE,ES,
		noneffective			FR,US
19,154nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	0/99	12/98	Unique
		ACA (Threonine) \rightarrow ATA (Isoleucine)			
21,724nt	Spike glycoprotein	$GT \rightarrow TT$	6/99	23/98	RU
		TT GT TC (Leucine Phenylalanine) → TT TT TC (Phenylalanine Phenylalanine)			
22,444nt		$CC \rightarrow TC$	26/99	48/98	US
		noneffective			
23,403nt		$AT \rightarrow GT$	80/99	96/98	Prevalent
		GAT (Aspartic Acid) \rightarrow G GT (Glycine)			
23,929nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	10/99	0/98	FR,RU,US
		noneffective			
25,563nt	ORF3a protein	$GA \rightarrow TA$	43/99	51/98	Prevalent
		$CACAGC$ (Glutamine Serine) $\rightarrow CATAGC$ (Histidine Serine)			

Table 6 (continued)

(A)			01/27–05/27/ 2020*	06/03– 07.04.2020	
Position	Location	Mutation	Count	Count	Incidence
26,735nt	Membrane glycoprotein	$CA \rightarrow TA$	39/99	49/98	DE,ES,FR,
		noneffective			US
28,311nt	Nucleocapsid	$CC \rightarrow TC$	10/99	0/98	Unique
	phosphoprotein	$CCC (Proline) \rightarrow CTC (Leucine)$			
28,854nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	29/99	41/98	CN,DE,ES,
		TCA (Serine) $\rightarrow TTA$ (Leucine)			FR,RU,US,
					ZA

(B)			01/19/2020-01/20/20	021
Position	Location	Mutation	Total Count	Percentage
241nt	5′UTR	$C \rightarrow T$	2,816	85.93
313nt	ORF1ab polyprotein \rightarrow leader protein	$C \rightarrow T$	944	28.81
1,947nt	nsp2	$T\rightarrowC$	100	3.05
2,292nt		$A \rightarrow C$	73	2.23
2,836nt	nsp3	$C \rightarrow T$	281	8.57
3,037nt		$C \rightarrow T$	2,824	86.18
3,634nt		$C \rightarrow T$	283	8.64
4,300nt		$G\rightarrowT$	70	2.14
4,354nt		$G \rightarrow A$	227	6.93
4,372nt		$A\rightarrowG$	72	2.2
5,700nt		$C \rightarrow A$	949	28.96
6,312nt		$C \rightarrow A$	302	9.22
6,573nt		$C \rightarrow T$	228	6.96
8,782nt	nsp4	$C \rightarrow T$	74	2.26
8,917nt		$C \rightarrow T$	122	3.72
9,693nt		$C \rightarrow T$	156	4.76
11,083nt	nsp6	$G\rightarrowT$	369	11.26
13,730nt	RNA-dependent RNA polymerase	$C \rightarrow T$	332	10.13
14,408nt		$C \rightarrow T$	2,768	84.47
15,324nt		$C \rightarrow T$	285	8.7
16,626nt	Helicase	$C \rightarrow T$	143	4.36
18,568nt	3'-to-5' exonuclease	$C \rightarrow T$	71	2.17
18,877nt		$C \rightarrow T$	654	19.96
19,524nt		$C \rightarrow T$	69	2.11
21,550nt	2'-O-ribose methyltransferase	$A \to C$	115	3.51
21,551nt		$A \rightarrow T$	112	3.42
21,724nt	Spike glycoprotein	$G\rightarrowT$	109	3.33
22,444nt		$C \rightarrow T$	507	15.47
22,468nt	_	$G \rightarrow T$	76	2.32
23,403nt		$A \rightarrow G$	2,832	86.42
23,929nt		$C \rightarrow T$	298	9.09
25,528nt	ORF3a	$C \rightarrow T$	222	6.77
25,563nt	_	$G \rightarrow T$	652	19.9
26,735nt	Membrane glycoprotein	$C \rightarrow T$	654	19.96

(B)			01/19/2020-01/20/2021	
Position	Location	Mutation	Total Count	Percentage
27,384nt	ORF6	$T \rightarrow C$	77	2.35
28,144nt	ORF8	$T \rightarrow C$	73	2.23
28,311nt	Nucleocapsid phosphoprotein	$C \rightarrow T$	299	9.12
28,854nt		$C \rightarrow T$	541	16.51
28,878nt		$G\toA$	70	2.14
28,881nt		$G\toA$	1,434	43.76
28,882nt		$G\toA$	1,430	43.64
28,883nt		$G\rightarrowC$	1,430	43.64
29,474nt		$G\rightarrowT$	72	2.2
29,750nt	3'UTR	$C \rightarrow T$	74	2.26
29,868nt	at downstream region of ORF10	$G \rightarrow A$	351	10.71
29,870nt		$C \rightarrow A$	154	4.7

Table 6 (continued)

The Table presents characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 mutants from isolates collected in the Indian population. For Table design, see legend to Table 5.

another variant called 501Y.V2, B.1.351 also known South African variant is characterized by eight lineage defining mutation with three in the receptor-binding domains: K417N, E484K, and N501Y. This variant also appeared to spread quickly in South Africa giving rise to travel bans from South Africa. It has been suggested that this variant is able to escape neutralization by donor plasma (Wibmer et al, 2021). Increased transmissibility has also been suggested (preprint: Cheng et al, 2021). Furthermore, there is early evidence that the efficacy of multiple existing vaccines against the B.1.351 variant may be diminished (https://www.janssen.com/johnsonjohnson-announces-single-shot-janssen-covid-19-vaccine-candidatemet-primary-endpoints; https://ir.novavax.com/news-releases/ne ws-release-details/novavax-covid-19-vaccine-demonstrates-893-effi cacy-uk-phase-3; preprint: Wang et al, 2021). It will be important to continue to perform sequence analyses of viral strains and to correlate the evolution of mutants and variants with viral transmission and vaccine efficacy. As of March 2021, 1,670 cases of variant B.1.351 were reported in South Africa (Table 2).

United States

Table 5A lists mutations from a random subset of sequences selected in the United States at 4 different time points. Some of the long-term prevalent mutations presented in the table under US-I and US-II were already included in a previous analysis as indicated by an asterisk (Weber et al, 2020). They were listed here again to facilitate comparisons to the wider spectrum of new mutations that arose in the United States (US-III, US-IV) and in different countries in the course of a few weeks. In addition to the worldwide occurring prevalent mutations, at nucleotide (nt) numbers 241, 1,059, 3,037, 8,782, 14,408, 23,403, 25,563, 28,144, and 28,881, there were a total of 13 unique, i.e., not previously described mutations in our analyses of which nine were found exclusively in the US-III sample cohort at frequencies between 4 and 29.3% (Table 5A, unique). Except for three of these mutations, many attained their highest frequency of occurrence at the time point US-III. Two of the novel unique mutations in sequence positions 17,858 and

18,060 had disappeared in the US-III samples. Seventeen of the novel mutations were shared by other regions in the world, seven appeared in most or all ten countries investigated. We listed 13 mutations that had disappeared in the July samples of US-III, possibly they had proved not to be penetrating enough or were not sampled due to selection bias. As apparent in the table, five of the 15 new mutations among the US-II sequences deposited between June 12 and July 07 occurred at low frequencies (< 10%) exclusively in this collection of sequences, others, also at low frequencies, were also present in isolates from other countries as indicated. There were a number of novel shared mutations which were also represented in other countries-BR Brazil, CN China, FR France, DE Germany, IN India, RU Russia, ES Spain, and ZA South Africa. The more recently selected SARS-CoV-2 mutations under US-III stemmed from the time period between July 09 and July 22, 2020. The comparison of June and July US-III sequences and their mutations to their counterparts from a month earlier (US-II) revealed the complex vitality of new mutants arising in a SARS-CoV-2 population that had been replicating during a most critical phase of the US pandemic during the summer of 2020. During the four months' period 08/01 to 12/01 (US-IV), another 117 SARS-CoV-2 sequences were added to Table 5A. Several of the predominant mutations reached 100% representation. Eight novel mutations, some unique, others shared, were listed at nucleotide positions 8,083, 10,139, 18,424, 21,304, 25,907, 28,472, 28,869, and 28,887; most of them reached > 20% representation. At many nucleotide positions in the viral genome, the frequencies of the long-term predominant mutations increased over the entire time period between the last days of February to the end of July. This study has thus allowed us to witness the spread of mutations in the US population and at the same time the constant emergence of novel mutations and their increase in frequency with time.

Impact on coding capacities

There is the idea that many mutations exist at low level, but are detected when they are selected and proliferate. Of the 39 SARS-

Table 7. Brazil.

(A)						02/25-08/15/2020	
Position	Location		Mutation			Count	Incidence
241nt	5′UTR		$CG\toTG$			95/101	Prevalent
			noneffective	noneffective		-	
3,037nt	nsp3		$CT\toTT$			97/102	Prevalent
			noneffective				
12,053nt	nsp7		$CT\toTT$			16/102	Unique
			CT T (Leucine) \rightarrow TT T (Ph	nenylalanine)			
14,408nt	RNA-depen	dent RNA polymerase	$CT \rightarrow TT$			96/102	Prevalent
			C CT (Proline) $\rightarrow C$ TT (Le	ucine)		-	
23,403nt	Spike glycop	protein	$AT \rightarrow GT$			97/102	Prevalent
			GAT (Aspartic Acid) \rightarrow G	GT (Glycine)			
25,088nt			$GT\toTT$			25/102	Unique
			GT T (Valine) \rightarrow TT T (Phe	enylalanine)			
27,299nt	ORF6 protei	in	$TA\toCA$			41/102	FR
			ATA (Isoleucine) \rightarrow ACA	(Threonine)			
28,881nt	Nucleocaps	id phosphoprotein	$GGG\toAAC$			73/102	Prevalent
	_		A GGG GA (Arginine Glycir	ne) → A AAC GA (Lysi	ne Arginine)		
29,148nt			$TC\toCC$			41/100	FR,RU
			ATC (Isoleucine) \rightarrow ACC	(Threonine)			
(B)					01/1	9/2020–01/20/2021	
Position		Location		Mutation	Tota	l Count	Percentage
25nt		5'UTR		$T \rightarrow A$	43		3.89
25nt		-		$T \rightarrow G$	23	1	2.08
100nt		_		$C \rightarrow T$	97	,	8.77
241nt				$C \rightarrow T$	1,087	,	98.28
3,037nt		nsp3		$C \rightarrow T$	1,093	1	98.82
3,766nt		_		$T \rightarrow C$	49)	4.43
6,319nt				$A\rightarrowG$	32		2.89
10,667nt		3C-like proteinase		$T \rightarrow G$	98		8.86
11,083nt		nsp6		$G\toT$	29	1	2.62
11,824nt				$C \rightarrow T$	98		8.86
12,053nt		nsp7		$C \rightarrow T$	318		28.75
12,964nt		nsp9		$A\rightarrowG$	89	1	8.05
14,408nt		RNA-dependent RNA p	polymerase	$C \rightarrow T$	1,091		98.64
23,012nt		Spike glycoprotein		$G\toA$	98		8.86
23,403nt		-		$A\rightarrowG$	1,093	1	98.82
25,088nt				$G\toT$	463		41.86
26,149nt		ORF3a		$T \rightarrow C$	31		2.8
27,299nt		ORF6		$T \rightarrow C$	459	1	41.5
28,253nt		ORF8		$C \rightarrow T$	110)	9.95
28,628nt		Nucleocapsid phospho	protein	$G \rightarrow T$	99)	8.95
28,881nt		-		$G \rightarrow A$	1,031		93.22
28,882nt		_		$G\toA$	1,031		93.22
28,883nt				$G\rightarrowC$	1,031		93.22

Table 7 (continued)

(8)			01/19/2020-01/20/2021	
Position	Location	Mutation	Total Count	Percentage
28,975nt		$G\toT$	101	9.13
29,148nt		$T \rightarrow C$	466	42.13
29,754nt	3'UTR	$C\toT$	95	8.59
29,861nt	_	$G \rightarrow T$	33	2.98

The general design of these Tables follows the outline described in detail in the legend to Table 5 (United States). The number of sequences investigated for SARS-CoV-2 mutations is detailed in Tables for individual countries.

CoV-2 RNA sites mutated, 13 mutations, i.e., 42%, remained without effect on the encoded protein. In contrast, 18, i.e., 58%, exhibited changes in the genomes coding capacity [noted in bolded font in Table 5A] which affected most of the virus-encoded proteins. Most amino acid exchanges were non-synonymous and were likely responsible for functionally important alterations as judged from the type of amino acid replacements, e.g., pro to ser (nucleotide position 4,226) in nsp3; leu to phe (7,837), also in nsp3; tyr to cys (17,858) in the viral helicase; asp to gly (23,403) in the spike glycoprotein; arg-gly to lys-arg (28,881) in the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein and others. Among the additional eight mutations in the US-IV period, four led to non-synonymous amino acid exchanges in functionally important proteins as the 2'-O ribose-methyltransferase, the 5'-3' exonuclease, and the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein.

The asp to gly exchange due to the mutation in position 23,403 that affected the viral spike glycoprotein was described earlier (Korber *et al*, 2020). The mutant grows to higher titers in cell cultures and reaches higher viral loads in the upper respiratory tract but does not lead to increased disease severity (Korber *et al*, 2020). The mutation has been reported to increase susceptibility to neutralization. At this point, the functional consequences of most of the identified mutations for viral replication and/or pathogenicity need to be assessed. The SARS-Co-V-2 variant discovered in the UK in December 2020 will be discussed in part *(iii)* of the Discussion section.

Analysis of mutation frequencies during short periods of time as compared to those observed over the entire year 2020

In addition, a total of 52,934 SARS-CoV-2 sequences from the United States in GISAID was analyzed for the presence of mutations as compared to the original Wuhan sequence (Table 5B) over the entire year 2020. A total of 42 sequence positions showed > 2% deviations from the reference sequence; 21 (50%) were C to U (T) transitions. Data from Table 5A indicate a C to U frequency of 61.5%. Similarly, high C to U preferences in sequence exchanges were observed in isolates from some of the other nine countries that were analyzed. In the Discussion section of this article, a presumptive editing function (APOBEC) is discussed to account for the prevalence of C to U transitions in all these viral genomes. SARS-CoV-2 represents itself as a highly adaptable virus that optimally utilizes its and the host cell's capacities to generated mutations and has them efficiently selected under a wide range of conditions in human populations.

As of March 31, 2021, the numbers of cases of variants B.1.1.7, B.1.351, P1, B.1.429 + B.1.427, and B.1.525 were reported to reach

15,117, 290, 252, 23,328, and 182, respectively (Table 2). Worldwide, the occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 mutations and variants is changing daily as expected at the height of this pandemic.

India

During the periods of sequence analyses between January 27, 2020, to May 27, 2020 (IN-I), and June 03, 2020, to July 04, 2020 (IN-II), the prevalent hotspot mutations at sequence positions 241, 3,037, 14,408, 23,403, and 25,563 had reached values of representation approaching 100%, except at position 25,563 which amounted to 52% of sequences (Table 6A). New mutations emerged during these time periods. A set of nine novel mutations, unique to the Indian population, were observed, i.e., 39.1% out of a total of 23 mutations in all sub-samples from India.

These unique mutations were located in genome positions which were completely different from the newly arising SARS-CoV-2 mutations in the United States or in any other population investigated in our study (Table 6A). A total of seven of these novel mutations originated or increased in frequency in the late IN-II time period, whereas two of the mutations could no longer be detected during that same period. An additional nine newly arising mutations were shared with those in countries as indicated, some of which reached a frequency of up to 50%. Among all mutations from the Indian samples, $C \rightarrow U$ (T) transitions held the majority of 15/23, i.e., 65.2% (Table 13). We note that 18 out of 23 (78.3%) mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 isolates from our subsamples from India were novel. About 7/9 of the India-unique mutations appeared de novo or increased in frequency within a time period of a few weeks of very active replication of the virus in the Indian population. New mutations are not only perpetually arising during the present stage of a nearly uncontrolled COVID-19 pandemic, but are also capable of becoming selected in the Indian population.

Table 6B lists 46 individual mutations for >3270 complete sequences with known sampling dates deposited to GISAID by January 20, 2021. The prevalent mutations at positions 241, 3,037, 14,408, and 23,403 (Tables 3–12) were represented at about 86%, at position 28,881 at 44%. In total, 46 positions showed mutations at frequency levels > 2%, 10 of them > 10%. The frequency of C to U transitions among all mutations in the samples from India was 50% (calculated from data in Table 6B).

As of March 31, 2021, the frequencies of variants of concern, B.1.1.7, B.1.351, P1, B.1.429 + B.1.427, and B.1.525 were reportedly 151, 15, 0, 0, and 17, respectively.

Table 8. Russia.

(A)					03/24–06/07/2020	
Position	Location		Mutation		Count	Incidence
241nt	5′UTR	$CG \rightarrow TG$			215/226	prevalent
			noneffective			
3,037nt	nsp3		$CT \rightarrow TT$		224/226	prevalent
			noneffective			
3,140nt			$CC \rightarrow TC$		13/226	unique
			CC T (Proline) \rightarrow AA TC TT (A	sparagine Leucine)		
14,408nt	RNA-depende	ent RNA polymerase	$CT \rightarrow TT$		225/226	prevalent
			C CT (Proline) $\rightarrow C$ TT (Leuci	ne)		
20,268nt	endoRNAse		$AG \rightarrow GG$		32/226	ES,FR,US,
			noneffective			ZA
23,403nt	Spike glycopr	otein	$AT \rightarrow GT$		226/226	prevalent
			GAT (Aspartic Acid) $\rightarrow GGT$	(Glycine)		
25,563nt	ORF3a protei	n	$GA\toTA$		10/226	prevalent
			CA GA GC (Glutamine Serine)) \rightarrow CA TA GC (Histidine Serine)		
26,750nt	Membrane g	lycoprotein	$CA \to TA$		45/226	unique
			noneffective			
27,415nt	ORF6 protein		$GC \rightarrow TC$		10/226	unique
			GC A (Alanine) \rightarrow TC A (Serin	ne)		
28,881nt	Nucleocapsid	l phosphoprotein	$GGG \rightarrow AAC$		172/226	prevalent
			A GGG GA (Arginine Glycine)	→ A AAC GA (Lysine Arginine)		
(B)					01/19/2020-01/20/2021	
Position		Location		Mutation	Total Count	Percentage
Position 30nt		Location 3'UTR		$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Mutation} \\ \textbf{A} \rightarrow \textbf{G} \end{array}$	Total Count 57	Percentage 4.75
Position 30nt 241nt		Location 3'UTR		$\begin{tabular}{c} Mutation \\ \hline A \rightarrow G \\ \hline C \rightarrow T \end{tabular}$	Total Count 57 1,167	Percentage 4.75 97.33
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2		Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$	Total Count 57 1,167 31	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3		Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3		Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3		Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow A$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3		Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow A$ $T \rightarrow G$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,883nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3		Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow A$ $T \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,883nt 8,887nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3 nsp4		Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow A$ $T \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38 108	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17 9.01
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,883nt 8,887nt 11,029nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3 nsp4 nsp6		Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow A$ $T \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $G \rightarrow A$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38 108 41	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17 9.01 3.42
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,883nt 8,887nt 11,029nt 11,083nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3 nsp4 nsp6		Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow A$ $T \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $G \rightarrow A$ $G \rightarrow T$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38 108 41 32	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17 9.01 3.42 2.67
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,883nt 8,887nt 11,029nt 11,083nt 12,316nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3 nsp4 nsp6 nsp8		Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow A$ $T \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $G \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38 108 41 32 28	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17 9.01 3.42 2.67 2.34
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,883nt 8,887nt 11,029nt 11,083nt 12,316nt 12,886nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3 nsp4 nsp6 nsp8 nsp9		Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow A$ $T \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $G \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $A \rightarrow G$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38 108 41 32 28 39	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17 9.01 3.42 2.67 2.34 3.25
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,883nt 8,887nt 11,029nt 11,083nt 12,316nt 13,599nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3 nsp4 nsp6 nsp8 nsp9 RNA-dependent RNA	A polymerase	Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow A$ $T \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $G \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $A \rightarrow G$ $A \rightarrow G$ $T \rightarrow C$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38 108 41 32 28 39 63	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17 9.01 3.42 2.67 2.34 3.25 5.25
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,883nt 8,887nt 11,029nt 12,316nt 12,886nt 13,599nt 14,408nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3 nsp4 nsp6 nsp8 nsp9 RNA-dependent RNA	A polymerase	Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow A$ $T \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $G \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $A \rightarrow G$ $T \rightarrow C$ $C \rightarrow T$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38 108 41 32 28 39 63 1,180	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17 9.01 3.42 2.67 2.34 3.25 5.25 98.42
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,883nt 8,887nt 11,029nt 12,316nt 12,886nt 13,599nt 14,408nt 15,540nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3 nsp4 nsp6 nsp8 nsp9 RNA-dependent RNA	A polymerase	Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow A$ $T \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $G \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $T \rightarrow C$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38 108 41 32 28 39 63 1,180 29	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17 9.01 3.42 2.67 2.34 3.25 5.25 98.42 2.42
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,883nt 8,887nt 11,029nt 11,033nt 12,316nt 13,599nt 14,408nt 15,540nt 19,839nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3 nsp4 nsp6 nsp8 nsp9 RNA-dependent RNA endoRNAse	A polymerase	Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow A$ $T \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $G \rightarrow A$ $G \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $A \rightarrow G$ $T \rightarrow C$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$ $T \rightarrow C$ $C \rightarrow T$ $T \rightarrow C$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38 108 41 32 28 39 63 1,180 29 105	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17 9.01 3.42 2.67 2.34 3.25 5.25 98.42 2.42 8.76
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,883nt 8,887nt 11,029nt 12,316nt 12,886nt 13,599nt 14,408nt 15,540nt 19,839nt 20,268nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3 nsp4 nsp6 nsp8 nsp9 RNA-dependent RNA endoRNAse	A polymerase	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Mutation & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline C \rightarrow A & \\ \hline T \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline G \rightarrow T & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline \end{array}$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38 108 41 32 28 39 63 1,180 29 105	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17 9.01 3.42 2.67 2.34 3.25 5.25 98.42 2.42 8.76 3.92
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,883nt 8,887nt 11,029nt 11,083nt 12,316nt 12,886nt 13,599nt 14,408nt 15,540nt 19,839nt 20,268nt 21,724nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3 nsp4 nsp6 nsp8 nsp9 RNA-dependent RNA endoRNAse Spike glycoprotein	A polymerase	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Mutation & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline C \rightarrow A & \\ \hline T \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow A & \\ \hline T \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline G \rightarrow T & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline G \rightarrow T & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow A & \\ \hline \end{array}$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38 108 41 32 28 39 63 1,180 29 105 47 38	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17 9.01 3.42 2.67 2.34 3.25 5.25 98.42 2.42 8.76 3.92 3.17
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,883nt 8,887nt 11,029nt 11,033nt 12,316nt 13,599nt 14,408nt 15,540nt 19,839nt 20,268nt 21,724nt 21,772nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3 nsp4 nsp6 nsp8 nsp9 RNA-dependent RNA endoRNAse Spike glycoprotein	A polymerase	Mutation $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow A$ $T \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $G \rightarrow A$ $G \rightarrow T$ $A \rightarrow G$ $A \rightarrow G$ $T \rightarrow C$ $C \rightarrow T$ $C \rightarrow T$ $T \rightarrow C$ $C \rightarrow T$ $T \rightarrow C$ $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$ $T \rightarrow C$ $A \rightarrow G$ $C \rightarrow T$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38 108 41 32 28 39 63 1,180 29 105 47 38 41	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17 9.01 3.42 2.67 2.34 3.25 5.25 98.42 2.42 8.76 3.92 3.17 3.42
Position 30nt 241nt 1,059nt 3,037nt 3,177nt 3,373nt 6,874nt 6,874nt 6,883nt 8,887nt 11,029nt 12,316nt 12,886nt 13,599nt 14,408nt 15,540nt 19,839nt 20,268nt 21,724nt 21,72nt 22,020nt		Location 3'UTR nsp2 nsp3 nsp4 nsp6 nsp8 nsp9 RNA-dependent RNA endoRNAse Spike glycoprotein	A polymerase	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Mutation & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline C \rightarrow A & \\ \hline T \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline G \rightarrow T & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline G \rightarrow T & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline A \rightarrow G & \\ \hline C \rightarrow T & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline T \rightarrow C & \\ \hline \end{array}$	Total Count 57 1,167 31 1,188 28 43 72 38 108 41 32 28 39 63 1,180 29 105 47 38 41 37	Percentage 4.75 97.33 2.59 99.08 2.34 3.59 6.01 3.17 9.01 3.42 2.67 2.34 3.25 5.25 98.42 2.42 8.76 3.92 3.17 3.42

(B) 01/19/2020-01/20/2021 Position Location Mutation **Total Count** Percentage 25,563nt ORF3a $\mathsf{G} \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{T}$ 43 3.59 26,750nt Membrane glycoprotein $C \rightarrow T$ 53 4.42 27,415nt ORF7a $G \to T$ 34 2.84 28.253nt ORF8 $C \rightarrow T$ 32 2 67 28,881nt Nucleocapsid phosphoprotein $G \rightarrow A$ 1,079 89.99 28,882nt $\mathsf{G} \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{A}$ 1,079 89 99 28.883nt $G \rightarrow C$ 1,075 89.66 28,905nt $C \rightarrow T$ 62 5.17 28,975nt $\mathsf{G} \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{T}$ 24 2 29,518nt ORF10 $C \rightarrow T$ 49 4.09

Table 8 (continued)

The general design of these Tables follows the outline described in detail in the legend to Table 5 (United States). The number of sequences investigated for SARS-CoV-2 mutations is detailed in Tables for individual countries.

Impact on coding capacity

The change in coding capacity of the long-term prevalent mutations in positions 241, 3,037, 14,408, and 23,403 was described for the US samples. Among the nine India-unique mutations, the following four led to functionally significant amino acid exchanges: position 2,292 (nsp2) gln–pro; 18,568 (3'–5'-exonuclease) leu–phe; 19,154 (3'–5'-exonuclease) thr–ile; and 28,311 (nucleocapsid phosphoprotein) ser–leu. Among the nine additional mutations, which were shared by one or several countries, only the following four led to amino acid exchanges: 6,312 (nsp3) thr–lys; 11,083 (nsp6) leu/tyr– phe; 21,724 (spike protein) leu-phe–phe; 28,854 (nucleocapsid phosphoprotein) ser–leu (Table 6A). Again, many of the new SARS-CoV-2 mutations were responsible for functionally important nonsynonymous amino acid exchanges in the corresponding protein.

Brazil

In the nine SARS-CoV-2 mutations identified in a subset of about 100 published sequences available from Brazil in one time frame between 02/25 and 08/15, 2020 (Table 7A), five belonged to the worldwide prevalent hotspots at nucleotide numbers 241, 3,037, 14,408, 23,403, and 28,881. Two mutations at positions 12,053 and 25,088 were unique to the sequences from Brazil and were noted in between 15.7 and 34.4% of the analyzed sequences, respectively. Two of the novel shared mutations were also identified in sequences from France and Russia (27,299 and 29,148) at frequencies of about 40%. The mutation at nucleotide position 28,881 was found in 71.6% of the viral sequences from all countries investigated, except in those from China.

Of note, among the nine different new mutations observed in the SARS-CoV-2 isolates from Brazil, two were not observed in isolates from any of the eight other countries investigated. Possibly, they had recently emerged in the Brazilian population in which the virus had been replicating very actively, and the mutations had been selected under conditions of pandemic viral abundance. The frequent $C \rightarrow T$ mutations amounted to 44.4% frequency in this selection. The cutoff for temporal analysis was chosen before the

variant strains P.1 and P.2 were identified. Table 7B presents the number and nature of individual mutations for all complete sequences with known sampling dates deposited to GISAID by January 20, 2021.

Impact on coding capacity

The two Brazil-unique mutations at positions 12,053 (viral replicase) and 25,088 (viral spike protein) led to leu to phe and val to phe synonymous replacements, respectively. The two novel shared mutations at positions 27,299 (ORF6 protein) and 29,148 (nucleocapsid phosphoprotein) both caused ile to thr replacements of a non-synonymous nature.

Table 7B shows 27 individual mutations for the > 1,100 complete sequences with known sampling dates deposited to GISAID by January 20, 2021. The predominant mutations at positions 241, 3,037, 14,408, 23,403 showed frequencies at 99%. The mutation in the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein at position 28,881/2/3 presented with 93%, the highest frequency for this mutation among all 10 countries studied. As shown in Table 7A, in the time course study the nucleocapsid mutation reached a value of 71.6%. As of March 31, 71 cases of the B.1.1.7 variant from the UK and 641 cases of the P.1 variant (Table 2) were reported.

Russia

Among the RU-I subsample of 226 SARS-CoV-2 RNA sequences analyzed between 03/24 and 06/07/2020 in the isolates from Russia, there were ten mutations of which six belonged to the previously described long-term prevalent mutations at positions 241, 3,037, 14,408, 23,403, 25,563, and 28,881 (Table 8A). The latter mutation in position 28,881 at a frequency of representation of 76.1% stood out in that it was not a point mutation but involved a three-nucleotide exchange creating a highly basic domain in the 3' terminal region of the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid phosphoprotein as reported earlier (Weber *et al*, 2020). The four new mutations were located at sequence positions 3,140 (CC \rightarrow TC, with a pro to asn-leu exchange in the amino acid composition in the endo RNase), 26,750 (CA \rightarrow

Table 9. France.

(A)			04 – 09/12/2020	
Position	Location	Mutation	Count	Incidence
241nt	5'UTR	$CG \rightarrow TG$	116/116	prevalent
		noneffective		
1,059nt	nsp2	$CC \rightarrow TC$	16/116	prevalent
		ACC (Threonine) \rightarrow ATC (Isoleucine)		
2,416nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	25/116	CN,ES,RU,US, ZA
		noneffective		
3,037nt	nsp3	$CT \rightarrow TT$	115/116	prevalent
		noneffective		
4,543nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	15/116	DE,ES
		CA C (Histidine) \rightarrow TA C (Tyrosine)		
5,629nt		$GT \rightarrow TT$	15/116	DE,ES
		noneffective		
8,371nt		$GG \rightarrow TG$	23/116	ES,RU
		$CAGGTA$ (Glutamine Valine) $\rightarrow CATGTA$ (Histidine Valine)		
9,526nt	nsp4	$GT \rightarrow TT$	15/116	DE,ES
		AT GT CA (Methionine Serine) \rightarrow AT TT CA (Isoleucine Serine)		
11,497nt	nsp6	$CT \rightarrow TT$	15/116	DE,ES
		noneffective		
13,993nt	RNA-dependent RNA polymerase	$GC \rightarrow TC$	15/116	DE,ES
		GC T (Alanine) \rightarrow TC T (Serine)		
14,408nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	114/116	prevalent
		CCT (Proline) $\rightarrow CTT$ (Leucine)		
15,324nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	22/116	BR,CN,IN
		noneffective		
15,766nt		$GT \rightarrow TT$	15/116	DE,ES
		GT G (Valine) \rightarrow TT G (Leucine)		
16,889nt	Helicase	$AA \rightarrow GA$	15/116	DE,ES
		AAA (Lysine) $\rightarrow ACA$ (Arginine)		
17,019nt		$GT \rightarrow TT$	15/116	DE,ES
		$GAGTTT$ (Glutamic Acid Phenylalanine) $\rightarrow GATTTT$		
		(Aspartic Acid Phenylalanine)		
20,268nt	endoRNAse	$AG \rightarrow GG$	13/116	ES,RU,US,
		noneffective		ZA
22,992nt	Spike glycoprotein	$GC \rightarrow AC$	15/116	DE,US
		AGC (Serine) \rightarrow AAC (Asparagine)		
23,403nt		$AT \rightarrow GT$	116/116	prevalent
		GAT (Aspartic Acid) $\rightarrow GCT$ (Glycine)		
25,563nt	ORF3a protein	$GA \rightarrow TA$	57/116	prevalent
		$CAGAGC$ (Glutamine Serine) $\rightarrow CATAGC$ (Histidine Serine)		
25,710nt	-	$CT \rightarrow TT$	16/116	DE,ES
		noneffective		
26,735nt	Membrane glycoprotein	$CA \rightarrow TA$	15/116	DE,ES,IN,
		noneffective		US

Table 9 (continued)

(A)						04 – 09/12/2020	
Position	Location		Mutation			Count	Incidence
26,876nt	$TC \rightarrow CC$				15/116	DE,ES	
			noneffective				
28,833nt	Nucleocap	sid phosphoprotein	$CA\toTA$			12/116	ES
			T CA (Serine) \rightarrow T TA	(Leucine)			
28,851nt			$GT\toTT$			10/116	IN
			AGT (Serine) \rightarrow ATT	(Isoleucine)			
28,881nt			$GGG\toAAC$			17/116	prevalent
			A GGG GA (Arginine C	Glycine) \rightarrow A AAC GA (Lysine	Arginine)		
28,975nt			$GT\toCT$			15/116	DE,ES,IN
			AT GT CT (Methioning	e Serine) \rightarrow AT CT CT (Isoleu	cine Serine)		
29,399nt			$GC\toAC$			15/116	DE,ES
			GC T (Alanine) \rightarrow AC	T (Threonine)			
(B)					01/19/20	020-01/20/2021	
Position		Location		Mutation	Total Co	unt	Percentage
222nt		5'UTR		$C \rightarrow T$	100		3.77
241nt				$C \rightarrow T$	2,600		98
313nt		ORF1ab polyprotein \rightarrow	leader protein	$C \rightarrow T$	55		2.07
445nt				$T \rightarrow C$	163		6.14
1,059nt		nsp2		$C \rightarrow T$	385		14.51
2,416nt				$C \rightarrow T$	320		12.06
3,037nt		nsp3		$C \rightarrow T$	2,606		98.23
3,099nt				$C \rightarrow T$	69		2.6
4,543nt				$C \rightarrow T$	666		25.1
4,960nt				$G \rightarrow T$	69		2.6
4,965nt				$C \rightarrow T$	69		2.6
5,170nt				$C \rightarrow T$	53		2
5,629nt				$G\rightarrowT$	666		25.1
6,070nt				$C \rightarrow T$	70		2.64
6,286nt				$C \rightarrow T$	168		6.33
7,303nt				$C \rightarrow T$	70		2.64
7,564nt				$C \rightarrow T$	71		2.68
8,371nt				$G\rightarrowT$	233		8.78
9,246nt		nsp4		$C \rightarrow T$	69		2.6
9,526nt				$G\rightarrowT$	667		25.14
10,279nt		3C-like proteinase		$C \rightarrow T$	70		2.64
10,301nt				$C \rightarrow A$	69		2.6
10,525nt				$C \rightarrow T$	70		2.64
10,582nt				$C \rightarrow T$	113		4.26
10,688nt				$G\rightarrowT$	69		2.6
11,083nt		nsp6		$G \rightarrow T$	99		3.73
11,132nt				$G \rightarrow T$	54		2.04
11,497nt				$C \rightarrow T$	666		25.1
11,851nt		nsp7		$G \rightarrow T$	96		3.62

Table 9 (continued)

(B)			01/19/2020-01/20/2021	
Position	Location	Mutation	Total Count	Percentage
13,993nt	RNA-dependent RNA polymerase	$G \rightarrow T$	664	25.03
14,230nt		$C \rightarrow A$	68	2.56
14,408nt		$C \rightarrow T$	2,606	98.23
15,324nt		$C \rightarrow T$	467	17.6
15,738nt		$C \rightarrow T$	63	2.37
15,766nt		$G\rightarrowT$	667	25.14
16,889nt	Helicase	$A \to G$	665	25.07
17,019nt		$G\rightarrowT$	665	25.07
18,877nt	3'-to-5' exonuclease	$C \rightarrow T$	675	25.44
20,268nt	endoRNAse	$A \rightarrow G$	111	4.18
21,255nt	2'-O-ribose methyltransferase	$G \rightarrow C$	167	6.29
21,800nt	Spike glycoprotein	$G \rightarrow T$	72	2.71
22,227nt		$C \rightarrow T$	172	6.48
22,992nt		$G\toA$	666	25.1
23,403nt		$A \rightarrow G$	2,607	98.27
25,563nt	ORF3a	$G\rightarrowT$	1,474	55.56
25,688nt		$C \rightarrow T$	56	2.11
25,710nt		$C \rightarrow T$	677	25.52
26,735nt	Membrane glycoprotein	$C \rightarrow T$	670	25.25
26,801nt		$C \rightarrow G$	167	6.29
26,876nt		$T \rightarrow C$	667	25.14
27,632nt	ORF7a	$G\rightarrowT$	68	2.56
27,804nt	ORF7b	$C \rightarrow T$	85	3.2
28,830nt	Nucleocapsid phosphoprotein	$C \rightarrow A$	85	3.2
28,833nt		$C \rightarrow T$	62	2.34
28,881nt		$G \rightarrow A$	280	10.55
28,882nt		$G \rightarrow A$	277	10.44
28,883nt		$G\rightarrowC$	276	10.4
28,932nt		$C \rightarrow T$	167	6.29
28,975nt		$G \rightarrow C$	664	25.03
29,399nt		$G \rightarrow A$	662	24.95
29,402nt		$G \rightarrow T$	73	2.75
29,645nt	ORF10	$G \rightarrow T$	169	6.37
29,779nt	3′UTR	$G \rightarrow T$	67	2.53

The general design of these Tables follows the outline described in detail in the legend to Table 5 (United States). The number of sequences investigated for SARS-CoV-2 mutations is detailed in Tables for individual countries.

TA, without effect on the membrane glycoprotein), and at 27,415 (GC \rightarrow TC, and an ala to ser change in the ORF6 protein).

France

Table 8B presents similar results of analyses on about 1,200 sequences collected during one year between 01/19/2020 and 01/20/2021. Again the prevalent mutations had reached close to 100% frequency, the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein about 90%. New mutations were not apparent. C to U transitions stood at 38% (Table 8B).

As of March 31, 2021, the detection of low numbers of variants B.1.1.7 and B.1.351 was reported from Russia.

Mutation frequencies were determined between 04 and 09/12, 2020 in 116 SARS-CoV-2 sequences, and a total of 27 mutations were documented. Among them, seven of the previously described long-term prevalent mutations were identified at frequencies as follows: nucleotide position 241 (100%), 1,059 (13.8%), 3,037 (99.1%), 14,408 (98.3%), 23,403 (100%), 25,563 (49.1%), 28,881 (14.7%). There were 20 new mutations at frequencies between 10 and 20%

Table 10. Spain.

(A)			06/01–09/20/ 2020	
Position	Location	Mutation	Count	Incidence
241nt	5'UTR	$CG \rightarrow TG$	133/135	prevalent
		noneffective		
445nt	ORF1ab polyprotein \rightarrow leader	$TT \rightarrow CT$	88/135	CN,DE,FR
	protein	noneffective		
3,037nt	nsp3	$CT \rightarrow TT$	131/135	prevalent
		noneffective		
5,572nt		$GT \rightarrow TT$	11/135	unique
		AT GT AC (Methionine Tyrosine) $\rightarrow AT$ TT AC (Isoleucine Tyrosine)		
5,784nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	13/135	unique
		ACT (Threonine) \rightarrow ATT (Isoleucine)		
6,286nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	89/135	DE,FR,ZA
		noneffective		
14,408nt	RNA-dependent RNA polymerase	$CT \rightarrow TT$	132/135	prevalent
	C CT (Proline) $\rightarrow C$ TT (Leucine)			
20,268nt	endoRNAse	$AG \rightarrow GG$	26/135	FR,RU,US,ZA
		noneffective		
21,255nt	2'-O-ribose methyltransferase	$GT \rightarrow CT$	84/135	DE,FR
		noneffective		
22,227nt	Spike glycoprotein	$CT \rightarrow TT$	89/135	DE,FR,ZA
		noneffective		
22,297nt		$TA \rightarrow CA$	11/135	RU
		noneffective		
25,049nt		$GA \rightarrow TA$	18/135	DE
		GA T (Aspartic Acid) \rightarrow TA T (Tyrosine)		
25,062nt		$GT \rightarrow TT$	18/135	unique
		G GT (Glycine) \rightarrow G TT (Valine)		
26,801nt	Membrane glycoprotein	$CA \rightarrow GA$	89/135	DE,FR,ZA
		noneffective		
27,944nt	ORF8 protein	$CC \rightarrow TC$	56/135	FR
		noneffective		
27,982nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	13/135	unique
		CCA (Proline) $\rightarrow CTA$ (Leucine)		
28,657nt	Nucleocapsid phosphoprotein	$CG \rightarrow TG$	19/135	unique
		noneffective		
28,881nt		$GGG \rightarrow AAC$	14/135	prevalent
		A GGG GA (Arginine Glycine) $\rightarrow A$ AAC GA (Lysine Arginine)		
28,932nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	89/135	unique
		G CT (Alanine) \rightarrow G TT (Valine)		
29,645nt	ORF10 protein	$GT \rightarrow TT$	89/135	DE,FR
		noneffective		

Table 10 (continued)

(B)			01/19/2020-01/20/2021			
Position	Location	Mutation	Total Count	Percentage		
241nt	5'UTR	$C \rightarrow T$	2,690	78.47		
313nt	ORF1ab polyprotein \rightarrow leader protein	$C \rightarrow T$	117	3.41		
445nt		$T \rightarrow C$	858	25.03		
1,059nt	nsp2	$C \rightarrow T$	122	3.56		
1,987nt		$A \rightarrow G$	75	2.19		
3,037nt	nsp3	$C \rightarrow T$	2,717	79.26		
5,170nt	_	$C \rightarrow T$	141	4.11		
6,286nt	_	$C \rightarrow T$	861	25.12		
6,294nt	_	$T \rightarrow C$	82	2.39		
8,782nt	nsp4	$C \rightarrow T$	601	17.53		
9,477nt		$T \rightarrow A$	379	11.06		
11,083nt	nsp6	$G\toT$	166	4.84		
11,132nt	_	$G \rightarrow T$	137	4		
13,006nt	nsp9	$T \rightarrow C$	77	2.25		
14,408nt	RNA-dependent RNA polymerase	$C \rightarrow T$	2,708	79		
14,805nt	_	$C \rightarrow T$	408	11.9		
20,268nt	endoRNAse	$A \to G$	1,223	35.68		
21,255nt	2'-O-ribose methyltransferase	$G \rightarrow C$	780	22.75		
22,227nt	Spike glycoprotein	$C \rightarrow T$	843	24.59		
23,403nt		$A\rightarrowG$	2,731	79.67		
25,049nt		$G\rightarrowT$	71	2.07		
25,563nt	ORF3a	$G\rightarrowT$	147	4.29		
25,688nt		$C \rightarrow T$	78	2.28		
25,979nt		$G\rightarrowT$	371	10.82		
26,088nt		$C \rightarrow T$	215	6.27		
26,144nt		$G \rightarrow T$	100	2.92		
26,801nt	Membrane glycoprotein	$C\rightarrowG$	855	24.94		
27,944nt	ORF8	$C \rightarrow T$	456	13.3		
28,144nt		$T\rightarrowC$	599	17.47		
28,657nt	Nucleocapsid phosphoprotein	$C \rightarrow T$	441	12.86		
28,863nt		$C \rightarrow T$	378	11.03		
28,881nt		$G\toA$	398	11.61		
28,882nt		$G\toA$	396	11.55		
28,883nt		$G\rightarrowC$	395	11.52		
28,932nt		$C \rightarrow T$	850	24.8		
29,645nt	ORF10	$G \rightarrow T$	840	24.5		
29,734nt	3′UTR	$G \rightarrow C$	302	8.81		
29,870nt		$C \rightarrow A$	107	3.12		

The general design of these Tables follows the outline described in detail in the legend to Table 5 (United States). The number of sequences investigated for SARS-CoV-2 mutations is detailed in Tables for individual countries.

that were not described previously (Weber *et al*, 2020). C-U transitions reached 40.7% (Tables 9A and 13). Of interest, none of the new mutations was unique to France in the 116 sequences displayed in Table 9A. Instead, a large percentage of the mutations were shared with Germany and Spain, both neighboring countries. Most novel mutations occurred at frequencies between 10 and 20% (Table 9A). Among the novel mutations, 20 occurred at > 10, many of them > 20% frequencies.

Table 11. Germany.

(A)			02–03/23 2020*	02–06/ 17/2020	06/24–08/ 28/2020	09/10–10/ 13/2020	
Position	Location	Mutation	Count	Count	Count	Count	Incidence
241nt	5'UTR	$CG \rightarrow TG$	4/62	112/138	17/17	70/70	Prevalent
		noneffective					
445nt	nspl	$TT \rightarrow CT$	0/62	0/138	1/17	17/70	CN,FR
		TT G (Leucine) → GT CT TG (Valine Leucine)					
1,059nt	nsp2	$CC \rightarrow TC$	21/62	27/138	0/17	2/70	Prevalent
	-	A CC (Threonine) \rightarrow A TC (Isoleucine)					
1,440nt		$GC \rightarrow AC$	15/62	18/138	0/17	0/70	US
		G GC (Glycine) \rightarrow G AC (Aspartic Acid)					
1,513nt		$CC \rightarrow TC$	0/62	0/138	0/17	13/70	Unique
		noneffective					
2,891nt		$GC \rightarrow AC$	15/62	18/138	0/17	0/70	US
		GC A (Alanine) \rightarrow AC A (Threonine)					
3,037nt	nsp3	$CT \rightarrow TT$	41/62	114/138	17/17	70/70	Prevalent
		noneffective					
3,602nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	0/62	0/138	5/17	6/70	Unique
		CA C (Histidine) \rightarrow TA C (Tyrosine)					
4,543nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	0/62	0/138	5/17	2/70	ES,FR,US
		noneffective					
6,286nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	0/62	0/138	1/17	17/70	ES,FR,ZA
		noneffective					
6,941nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	0/62	0/138	5/17	6/70	Unique
		noneffective					
14,408nt	RNA-dependent RNA	$CT \rightarrow TT$	39/62	114/138	17/17	70/70	Prevalent
	polymerase	CCT (Proline) $\rightarrow CTT$ (Leucine)					
15,324nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	1/62	1/138	5/17	6/70	BR,CN,FR,
		noneffective					IN
16,075nt		$GA\toTA$	0/62	0/138	0/17	11/70	FR
		GAT (Aspartic Acid) $\rightarrow TAT$ (Tyrosine)	-				
19,839nt	endoRNAse	$TA \rightarrow CA$	0/62	0/138	2/17	11/70	CN,ES,FR,
		noneffective	-				IN,US
21,255nt	2'-O-ribose	$GT \rightarrow CT$	0/62	0/138	1/17	17/70	ES,FR
	methyltransferase	noneffective	-				
21,855nt	Spike glycoprotein	$CT \rightarrow TT$	0/62	0/138	5/17	6/70	ZA
		TCT (Serine) \rightarrow TTT (Phenylalanine)	-				
22,227nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	0/62	0/138	1/17	18/70	ES,FR,ZA
		noneffective	-				
22,346nt	-	$GC \rightarrow TC$	0/62	0/138	0/17	13/70	Unique
		GC T (Alanine) \rightarrow TC T (Serine)	-				
22,377nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	0/62	0/138	0/17	13/70	Unique
		CCT (Proline) $\rightarrow CTT$ (Leucine)	-				
23,403nt		$AT \rightarrow GT$	1/62	112/138	17/17	70/70	Prevalent
		GAT (Aspartic Acid) $\rightarrow GGT$ (Glycine)					

(A)			02–03/2 2020*	3 02–06/ 17/2020	06/24–08/ 28/2020	09/10–10/ 13/2020	
Position	Location	Mutation	Count	Count	Count	Count	Incidence
25,505nt	ORF3a protein	$AA \rightarrow GA$	0/62	0/138	5/17	6/70	Unique
		CAA (Glutamine) $\rightarrow CGA$ (Argin	nine)				
25,563nt		$GA \rightarrow TA$	21/62	27/138	2/17	5/70	Prevalent
		CA GA GC (Glutamine Serine) → CA TA GC (Histidine Serine)					
25,906nt		$GG \rightarrow CG$	0/62	0/138	5/17	6/70	Unique
		GG T (Glycine) \rightarrow CG T (Arginine	2)				
26,801nt	Membrane	$CA \rightarrow GA$	1/62	0/138	1/17	17/70	ES,FR,ZA
	glycoprotein	noneffective					
27,046nt		$CG \rightarrow TG$	1/62	16/138	3/17	0/70	BR,RU
		A CG (Threonine) $\rightarrow A$ TG (Methionine)					
28,651nt	Nucleocapsid	$CA \rightarrow TA$	0/62	0/138	5/17	6/70	FR,RU
	phosphoprote	in noneffective					
28,706nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$	0/62	0/138	0/17	11/70	Unique
		CA C (Histidine) \rightarrow TA C (Tyrosin	ne)				
28,869nt		$CA \rightarrow TA$		0/138	5/17	6/70	Unique
		CCA (Proline) $\rightarrow CTA$ (Leucine)					
28,881nt		$GGG \rightarrow AAC$	9/62	35/138	9/17	38/70	Prevalent
		A GGG GA (Arginine Glycine) → A AAC GA (Lysine Arginine)					
28,932nt		$CT \rightarrow TT$	0/62	0/138	1/17	17/70	FR
		GCT (Alanine) $\rightarrow GTT$ (Valine)					
29,645nt	ORF10 protein	$GT \rightarrow TT$	0/62	0/138	1/17	17/70	ES,FR
		noneffective					
29,751nt	3'UTR	$GA \rightarrow CA$	0/62	0/138	0/17	11/70	Unique
		noneffective					
(B)					01/19/202	20–01/20/2021	
Position		Location	Muta	ation	Total cou	nt	Percentage
187 nt		5'UTR	A→G		45		2.18
204 nt			G→T		48		2.32
241 nt			C→T		1,790		86.64
313 nt		ORF1ab polyprotein \rightarrow leader protein	C→T		53		2.57

Table 11 (continued)

(B)			01/19/2020-01/20/2021		
Position	Location	Mutation	Total count	Percentage	
187 nt	5'UTR	A→G	45	2.18	
204 nt		$G \! ightarrow \! T$	48	2.32	
241 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	1,790	86.64	
313 nt	ORF1ab polyprotein \rightarrow leader protein	$C \rightarrow T$	53	2.57	
445 nt		T→C	159	7.7	
1,059 nt	nsp2	$C \rightarrow T$	399	19.31	
1,440 nt		$G \rightarrow A$	76	3.68	
2,891 nt	nsp3	$G \rightarrow A$	76	3.68	
3,037 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	1,796	86.93	
3,373 nt		C→A	53	2.57	
3,602 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	77	3.73	
4,543 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	42	2.03	
6,286 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	155	7.5	
6,406 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	57	2.76	
6,941 nt	-	C→T	79	3.82	

Table 11 (continued)

(B)			01/19/2020-01/20/2021			
Position	Location	Mutation	Total count	Percentage		
8,782 nt	nsp4	C→T	128	6.2		
11,083 nt	nsp6	G→T	91	4.4		
14,408 nt	RNA-dependent RNA polymerase	$C \rightarrow T$	1,782	86.25		
14,805 nt	-	C→T	49	2.37		
15,324 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	138	6.68		
18,877 nt	3'-to-5' exonuclease	C→T	55	2.66		
18,972 nt		$G \rightarrow A$	58	2.81		
19,839 nt	endoRNAse	T→C	52	2.52		
20,268 nt		A→G	78	3.78		
21,255 nt	2'-O-ribose methyltransferase	$G \rightarrow C$	162	7.84		
21,614 nt	Spike glycoprotein	$C \rightarrow T$	45	2.18		
21,855 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	76	3.68		
22,227 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	166	8.03		
22,468 nt		$G \rightarrow T$	116	5.61		
23,403 nt		A→G	1,800	87.12		
25,505 nt	ORF3a	A→G	74	3.58		
25,550 nt		T→A	53	2.57		
25,563 nt		$G \rightarrow T$	492	23.81		
25,906 nt		$G \rightarrow C$	74	3.58		
25,922 nt		$G \rightarrow T$	50	2.42		
25,996 nt		$G \rightarrow T$	75	3.63		
26,144 nt		$G \rightarrow T$	44	2.13		
26,530 nt	Membrane glycoprotein	A→G	55	2.66		
26,735 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	43	2.08		
26,801 nt		$C \rightarrow G$	145	7.02		
27,046 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	68	3.29		
27,944 nt	ORF8	$C \rightarrow T$	89	4.31		
28,144 nt		T→C	131	6.34		
28,651 nt	Nucleocapsid phosphoprotein	C→T	74	3.58		
28,854 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	59	2.86		
28,869 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	75	3.63		
28,878 nt		$G \rightarrow A$	124	6		
28,881 nt		$G \rightarrow A$	589	28.51		
28,882 nt		G→A	585	28.32		
28,883 nt		$G \rightarrow C$	585	28.32		
28,932 nt		$C \rightarrow T$	162	7.84		
29,645 nt	ORF10	$G \rightarrow T$	161	7.79		

The general design of these Tables follows the outline described in detail in the legend to Table 5 (United States). The number of sequences investigated for SARS-CoV-2 mutations is detailed in Tables for individual countries.

Table 9B lists mutational frequency in sequences deposited up until January 20, 2021. As of March 31, 2021, the frequencies of variants of concern, B.1.1.7, B.1.351, P1, B.1.429 + B.1.427, and B.1.525 were 6,290, 537, 38, 4, and 30, respectively (Table 2). As complete sequence analyses on COVID-19 isolates are progressing rapidly, new data on the emergence of new variants can be expected.

Impact on coding capacity

Among these 20 not-previously described novel mutations, eight did not affect the coding capacity of the relevant viral proteins. Most of the 12 coding-relevant mutations led to amino acid exchanges that were non-synonymous: nsp2, 3, 4, RNA-dependent RNA

Table 12. China.

(A)					12/23/2019– 03/18/2020*	03/20–07/2 2/2020		
Position	Location		Mutation		Count	Count	Incidence	
241nt	5′UTR		$CG \rightarrow TG$		0/98	23/33	Prevalent	
			noneffective		_			
3,037nt nsp3			$CT \rightarrow TT$		2/99	23/33	Prevalent	
			noneffective		_			
8,782nt	nsp4		$CC \rightarrow TC$		29/99	0/33	DE,ES,IN,US	
			noneffective		_			
14,408nt	RNA-deper	ident RNA	$CT \rightarrow TT$		2/99	19/33	Prevalent	
	polymerase	2	C CT (Proline) $\rightarrow C$ TT (Leucine)		—			
23,403nt	Spike glyco	protein	$AT \rightarrow GT$		2/99	22/33	Prevalent	
			GAT (Aspartic Acid) $\rightarrow GGT$ (G	Glycine)	_			
28,144nt	ORF8 prote	ein	$TA\toCA$		29/99	0/33	DE,ES,IN,US	
			T TA (Leucine) \rightarrow T CA (Serine))	_			
28,881nt	Nucleocap	sid	$GGG \rightarrow AAC$		2/99	11/33	Prevalent	
	phosphopr	otein	A GGG GA (Arginine Glycine) $\rightarrow A$ AAC GA (Lysine Arginine)					
(B)					01/19/2020-0	01/20/2021		
Position		Location		Mutation	Total Count	Р	ercentage	
4nt		5′UTR		$A \rightarrow G$	15		2.49	
241nt		<u>-</u>		$C \rightarrow T$	68	1	1.28	
1,397nt		nsp2		$G \rightarrow A$	18		2.99	
2,392nt		-		$T \rightarrow C$	13		2.16	
3,037nt		nsp3		$C \rightarrow T$	65	1	0.78	
6,354nt				$C \rightarrow T$	14		2.32	
7,075nt		-		$T \rightarrow C$	14		2.32	
8,022nt		-	$T \rightarrow G$		15		2.49	
8,782nt		nsp4		$C \rightarrow T$	191	3	1.67	
10,747nt		3C-like proteinase		$C \rightarrow T$	14		2.32	
11,083nt		nsp6		$G \to T$	40		6.63	
11,794nt				$A \rightarrow G$	14		2.32	
14,408nt		RNA-dependent RNA polymerase		$C \rightarrow T$	55		9.12	
15,324nt				$C \rightarrow T$	13		2.16	
15,342nt				$C \rightarrow T$	14		2.32	
15,360nt		_		$C \rightarrow T$	14		2.32	
15,666nt				$G \rightarrow A$	14		2.32	
16,733nt		Helicase		$C \rightarrow T$	14		2.32	
17,373nt				$C \rightarrow T$	26		4.31	
18,060nt		3'-to-5' exor	nuclease	$C \rightarrow T$	16		2.65	
21,707nt		spike glycop	rotein	$C \rightarrow T$	24		3.98	
21,727nt		_		$C \rightarrow T$	14		2.32	
22,020nt		_		$T \rightarrow C$	16		2.65	
23,403nt				$A \to G$	67	1	1.11	
25,416nt		ORF3a		$C \rightarrow T$	14		2.32	
26,144nt				$G \rightarrow T$	39		6.47	
27,213nt		ORF6		$C \rightarrow T$	15		2.49	

Table 12 (continued)

(B)			01/19/2020-01/20/2021	
Position	Location	Mutation	Total Count	Percentage
28,144nt	ORF8	$T \rightarrow C$	212	35.16
28,688nt	Nucleocapsid phosphoprotein	$T \rightarrow C$	13	2.16
28,854nt		$C \rightarrow T$	14	2.32
28,881nt		$G\toA$	33	5.47
28,882nt		$G\toA$	31	5.14
28,883nt		$G\rightarrowC$	31	5.14
29,095nt		$C\toT$	31	5.14
29,742nt	3′UTR	$G\toT$	19	3.15
29,835nt	-	$C \rightarrow T$	14	2.32

The general design of these Tables follows the outline described in detail in the legend to Table 5 (United States). The number of sequences investigated for SARS-CoV-2 mutations is detailed in Tables for individual countries.

polymerase, the helicase, the endoRNAse, the spike glycoprotein, and the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein (Table 9A and B).

Spain

During the period between 06/01 and 09/20/2020, we analyzed 135 sequences and observed 20 mutations in the Spanish isolates (Table 10A). Of these, four, the long-term prevalent ones, had been described earlier in positions 241, 3,037, 14,408, and 28,881. Except for the latter one at 10.4% frequency, the three former came close to 100% occurrence. Of the 16 new mutations, six occurred in Spanish isolates exclusively (termed unique), namely in positions 5,572

(GT → TT, frequency 8.1%, changing the amino acid sequence met to ile in nsp3), 5,784 (CT → TT, frequency 9.6%, thr to ile in nsp3), 25,062 (GT → TT, frequency 13.3%, amino acid change gly to val in the spike glycoprotein), 27,982 (CA → TA, frequency 9.6%, changing the sequence from pro to leu in the ORF8 protein), 28,657 (CG → TG, at frequency of 14.1%, without affecting the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein), and 28,932 (CT → TT at frequency of 65.9% and altering the amino acid composition in this position in the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein from ala to val). The remaining 10 novel shared mutants were also found in isolates from other countries and were located in positions as shown in previous tables. With the exception of a point mutation at position 25,049 in the spike

Table 13. Survey.

Country	Total number mutations	Novel Unique mutations	Novel Shared mutations	Sum novel mutations	Prevalent mutations	C to T transitions [in % of mutants]	RNA replication	Spike glycoprotein	Nucleocapsid phosphoprotein	COVID-19 cases	COVID-19 deaths
United Kingdom	43	20	18	38 (88.4%)	5	53.6	8	6	4	3,617,459	97,329 (2.69%)
South Africa	28	9	12	21 (75%)	7	48.1	4	7	3	1,404,839	40,574 (2.89%)
United States	39	17	13	30 (76.9%)	7	61.5	13	3	7	25,546,140	427,294 (1.67%)
India	23	9	9	18 (78.3%)	5	65.2	6	4	2	10,655,435	153,376 (1.44%)
Brazil	9	2	2	4 (44.4%)	5	44.4	1	2	2	8,816,254	216,445 (2.46%)
Russia	10	3	1	4 (40%)	6	50	2	1	1	3,698,273	68,971 (1.86%)
France	27	0	20	20 (74.1%)	7	40.7	7	2	5	3,035,181	72,877 (2.40%)
Spain	20	6	10	16 (80%)	4	50	3	4	3	2,603,472	55,441 (2.13%)
Germany	33	11	15	26 (78.8%)	7	51.5	5	5	5	2,137,689	52,536 (2.46%)
People's Republic of China	7	0	2	2 (28.6%)	5	57.1	1	1	1	88,911	4,635 (5.21%)

The rise of new SARS-CoV-2 mutations in many countries was juxtaposed to the high COVID-19 incidence values around the world. The mutants and their frequencies compiled and calculated in this Table were based on the data presented in Tables 3 and 4A to 12A. World incidence of COVID-19, as of January 30, 2021, in 219 countries was COVID-19 cases—102,87 million, fatalities—2.22 million (columns 10 and 11). Column 5 lists the total of novel mutations for each country, percentage values related this sum to the total number of mutations. Source for worldwide spread of COVID-19—https://www.worldometers.info/corona virus/.

The UK data in this Table do not contain results from the analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.1.7 which are shown in Table 1, as of April 01, 2021.

glycoprotein and an ensuing amino acid exchange from asp to tyr, none of the other nine mutations in the shared category led to an amino acid exchange. We also note that in the Spanish collection of SARS-CoV-2 mutations, there were four in the spike glycoprotein that were all different from the well-known position 23,403. Two of these new spike mutations led to non-synonymous amino acid exchanges in the spike glycoprotein: in position 25,049 asp to tyr and in 25,062 gly to val (Table 10A).

Non-synonymous mutations might become relevant when evaluating the efficacy of a solely spike-directed SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. As a note of caution, one should not rule out functional consequences of nominally silent mutations for SARS-CoV-2 competence, since they might affect the secondary structure of the viral RNA with sequelae in replication and relevant interactions of the viral genome with viral and/or cellular proteins. Moreover, more far reaching consequences of SARS-CoV-2 mutations like their effects on translation efficiency or codon choice might become important when trying to understand differences in viral transmissibility and pathogenesis.

It is interesting to note that, although the latest Spanish collection of SARS-CoV-2 mutations contains four mutations in the spike glycoprotein, at earlier time points the D614G mutation in position 23,403 was not present (Table 10A). In Table 10B, describing mutant frequencies between 01/19/2020 and 01/20/2021, the 23,403 mutant was present at about 80%, whereas in France and England prevalence was > 96%. Moreover, for the 01/2020 to 01/2021 period, mutations in 38 sequences lay above the 2% cutoff. The predominant mutations reached values around 80% representation. C to U (T) transitions were at 50%. Among the novel mutations, 17 showed prevalence of > 10%, eight of them of > 20%.

As of March 31, 2021, the frequencies of variants of concern, B.1.1.7, B.1.351, P1, B.1.429 + B.1.427, and B.1.525 were 4,352, 31, 20, 2, and 18, respectively (Table 2).

Germany

During the course of the pandemic, we tabulated the occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 mutants which arose between February to March 23 (DE-I) (Weber et al, 2020), February to June 17 (DE-II), June 24 to August 28 (DE-III), the latter isolates with only 17 sequences available for analyses, and September 10 to October 13 (DE-IV) with 70 sequences. Apart from the prevalent mutations, there were relatively few mutations exceeding 10% representation in the time frame of DE-II. Among the total of 33 mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 RNA sequence (Table 11A), seven belonged to the previously described collection of long-term prevalent sequences-at positions 241, 1,059, 3,037, 14,408, 23,403, 25,563, 28,881 with coding frame alterations as outlined in previous Tables. In the DE-III sample, four of these long-term prevalent mutations had reached 100% representation, two had disappeared, and the mutation at 28,881 had remained at about 53%. Six mutations could be detected exclusively in the DE-III samples from Germany, in positions 3,602 (CA \rightarrow TA), 6,941 (CT \rightarrow TT), 21,855 (CT \rightarrow TT), 25,505 (AA \rightarrow GA), 25,906 (GG \rightarrow CG), 28,869 (CA \rightarrow TA), all of them at 29% of representation. There were mutations in six positions which had been observed also in isolates from other countries, as indicated, and all of them showed modest frequencies. It is interesting to note that 52% of the mutations detected in sequences from France were shared with Germany, but only 16% of the mutations identified in Germany were shared with those from France (Table 9A). During the time interval of about a month, September 10 to October 13 (DE-IV), that immediately preceded a marked rise in COVID-19 cases in Germany, 23 new mutations were identified six of which reached a prevalence of > 20% and seven of > 10% in the SARS-CoV-2 sequences studied. During the same period, 4 of the prevalent mutations were represented in 100% of sequences, one, at 28,881 in 54%.

Table 11B lists the total number of mutations and variants up until January 20, 2021, from GISAID complete sequences with 52 entries at > 2% incidence. The prevalent mutations reach about 86% occurrence. Only at three sites, mutations were found at > 10%. C to U transitions were recorded in 46% of the studied sites (Table 11B).

Impact on coding capacity

With the exception of the point mutation at 6,941 which was synonymous, the five other mutations were non-synonymous: 3,602 his to tyr (nsp3); 21,855 ser to phe (nsp3); 25,505 glu to arg (ORF3a protein); 25,906 gly to arg (ORF3a protein); and 28,869 pro to leu (nucleocapsid phosphoprotein).

As of March 31, 2021, the frequencies of variants of concern, B.1.1.7, B.1.351, P1, B.1.429 + B.1.427, and B.1.525 were 21,038, 652, 63, 6, and 123, respectively (Table 2).

China

In December of 2020, the first cases of COVID-19 emerged in Wuhan, Hubei Province in China, reportedly among workers and customers of the Huanan Seafood Market. The Chinese authorities eventually reacted with a very strict shutdown in Hubei Province, the epicenter of COVID-19, to limit the spread of the new disease. At present, most new cases of COVID-19 are reportedly being registered in Shanghai and a few additional places. The analyses of SARS-CoV-2 mutants up to March 18, 2020 (CN-I), revealed point mutations in only two genome positions, 8,782 (CC \rightarrow TC, without amino acid exchanges) and 28,144 (TA \rightarrow CA causing a leu to ser exchange in ORF8 protein), both at frequencies of 29.3% (Table 12A). An extension of our mutant research among a relatively limited number of published sequences to the period from March 20 to June 22, 2020 (CN-II), revealed mutations in five of the long-term prevalently affected sequence positions: 241 (CG \rightarrow TG at a frequency of 69.7% without coding changes), 3,037 (CT \rightarrow TT, at a frequency of 69.7%, without coding changes), 14,408 (CT \rightarrow TT at a frequency of 57.6% and a codon change pro to leu in the gene for the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase), 23,403 (AT \rightarrow GT at a frequency of 66.7% and an asp to gly exchange in the spike glycoprotein), and at 28,881 (GGG \rightarrow AAC at a frequency of 33.3% and the codon exchange arg-gly to lys-arg, reported previously). Remarkably, the novel shared point mutations in positions 8,782 and 28,144 had disappeared at the later time point (Table 12). These latter mutations may have been introduced to China by visitors or business travelers and then died out because they did not confer a strong evolutionary advantage or due to not enough sequencing. The total counts of mutations up until January 20 are presented in Table 12B. There are only very scant data on the occurrence of variants from China (Table 2).

Discussion

SARS-CoV-2 genetics will require in-depth analyses

It has been the intent of this project to follow the genetic evolution of SARS-CoV-2 after the virus transgressed a host barrier and during the ensuing major pandemic in the human population. The virus has shown great replicative and mutagenic potential and penetrated into the large human population of 7.8 billion that lacked previous encounters with SARS-CoV-2. In this context, the primary question was not to understand viral mutagenesis in general in its biochemical or genetic details, but to identify mutants that showed the potential to become prevalent with possible fitness advantages. Which mutants and variants would have the capability to persist and multiply in the course of rapid spread of SARS-CoV-2 within the human population? It will be a continuing long-term challenge to pursue the outcome and time course of a competition in that 29,903 nucleotides in the viral genome were pitted against about 3 billion in the human genome. The SARS-CoV-2 has a repertoire of mutable sites in a stretch of 29,903 nucleotides that cannot only be varied by introducing point mutations but be extended by an almost inexhaustible combination of multiple mutations in the same genome, by deletions and insertions. Before the viral dominance in the human population began, SARS-CoV-2 had already made a major leap, its transition from an animal to the novel human host, an undocumented step in its own right in which mutagenesis and selection must have played a major role. Thus, the impact of ethnic and socio-economic differences in the human population will have to be considered as important factors. In a summary of all mutation analyses, we have compared the number and types of mutations to the extent of the COVID-19 pandemic in ten different countries that currently report high numbers of cases and fatalities (Table 13).

Of course, this summary offers only a broad temporal correlation of mutant data and extent of the pandemic in individual countries. High current incidence of COVID-19 is paralleled by high numbers of new mutations and variants, although this relationship was not observed in Brazil or Russia, possibly because the relevant data from these countries have not been available. In anticipation, it will be a further challenge to evaluate the real-world success of the numerous COVID-19 vaccination programs.

More than 650 publications on the "evolution of SARS-CoV-2 genomes" have been listed under PubMed which is evidence for the sustained interest in this research topic. Here, we cannot meaning-fully summarize this extensive literature. A recent publication (MacLean *et al*, 2021) investigated how natural selection in the likely original host of SARS-CoV-2, *Sarbecoviruses* in horseshoe bats, might have facilitated the rise of a "generalist virus" that presumably, without major further mutagenesis, had become fully equipped to function as an efficient human to human pathogen. The authors conceded the possible existence of an intermediate host between bats and humans. In fact, the origin of SARS-CoV-2 remains unclear and will remain an area of continuing investigations and debate [WHO-2019-nCoV-FAQ-Virus_origin-2020.1-eng.pdf (122.6K)].

Replication and selection

Rapid worldwide replication of SARS-CoV-2 in heterogeneous populations has been paralleled by the rise of novel mutations. In this

report, we have studied mutations in SARS-CoV-2 RNA sequences isolated in the UK, South Africa, Brazil, the United States, India, Russia, France, Spain, Germany, and China that have become available in the GISAID database during a one-year period between January 19, 2020, and January 20, 2021, and beyond to March 31, 2021 (revised Tables 1 and 2). We have examined the rise of novel mutations both using sequence subsets segregated by date and also overall in a large cross-section. It seems that throughout 2020 and into the first quarter of 2021, more mutations in combination were found and propagated rapidly despite lockdowns and other efforts to contain the spread, perhaps owing to potential increased transmissibility and pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2. The current data are compatible with the interpretation that rapid regional expansion and efficient viral replication in human populations of very different genetic and socio-economic backgrounds enhance the selection of new mutations in the viral RNA genome. Differences in defense mechanisms operative in various populations infected by SARS-CoV-2 and/or the various therapeutic measures employed in fighting the infection might also have influenced the selection of new mutants. It is uncertain whether there was region-specific selection of specific mutations or whether other factors might have furthered differences in unique versus shared novel mutations.

Figure 1 and Tables 1 and 2 document the number of novel variants in each country as of March 31, 2021. The speed by which the virus travelled even during lockdowns emphasizes the difficulty in suppressing transmission of highly contagious respiratory viruses. By now, it has become apparent that the new variants can be associated with increased pathogenesis although more research needs to be done. The preliminary finding of increased transmissibility of the B.1.1.7 and B.135 variant hinders efforts to contain the virus (https://khub.net/documents/135939561/338928724/SARS-CoV-2+ variant + under + investigation % 2C + meeting + minutes.pdf/962e866b-161f-2fd5-1030-32b6ab467896; https://virological.org/t/prelimina ry-genomic-characterisation-of-an-emergent-sars-cov-2-lineage-inthe-uk-defined-by-a-novel-set-of-spike-mutations/563; Rambaut et al, 2020; preprint: Cheng et al, 2021; https://www.cogconsortium.uk/ wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Report-2_COG-UK_SARS-CoV-2-Muta tions.pdf; Tegally et al, 2021; Volz et al, 2021; Wibmer et al, 2021). The vaccines are expected to work against the novel variants, although with some at reduced efficacy (https://www.janssen.com/ johnson-johnson-announces-single-shot-janssen-covid-19-vaccine-ca ndidate-metprimary-endpoints); https://ir.novavax.com/news-relea ses/news-release-details/novavax-covid-19-vaccine-demonstrates-893-efficacy-uk-phase-3; preprint: Wang et al, 2021; Xie et al, 2021), but caution is urged to watch more aggressive viral evolution as a consequence of vaccination programs.

Rise of novel mutations and variants with new properties—A hypothesis

After initially demonstrating the prevalence of about 10 mutants in at least 10 different countries, SARS-CoV-2 evolved to display new point mutations worldwide that were selected among affected populations in a period of weeks (Tables 3, 4A, B to 12A, B). As shown in Table 13, column 5, the number of novel point mutations in some of the countries analyzed ranged between 16 and 38. As a consequence of highly efficient sequencing programs in the UK (UK Consort), previously not recognized variants have started to appear in late 2020 and are currently spreading worldwide (Figure 1, Table 2). The impact of these and future variants on potential increases in viral pathogenicity cannot be predicted at present. There is recent evidence that the B.1.1.7 variant has shown increased infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 as well as more severe forms and higher mortality of COVID-19 (Davies *et al*, 2021; https://khub. net/documents/135939561/338928724/SARS-CoV-2 + variant + under + investigation % 2C + meeting + minutes.pdf/962e866b-161f-2fd5-1030-32b6ab467896).

The incidence of C to U transitions in the SARS-CoV-2 mutants ranges from 40.7 to 65.2% (see Tables 4A-12A and Table 13) and suggests links to an mRNA-editing mechanism (Di Giorgio et al, 2020; Simmonds, 2020; Weber et al, 2020). It is unknown, how and when in the infection cycle cellular cytosine deaminases will interact with SARS-CoV-2 RNA to drive this mutagenic mechanism. Cellular apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing enzyme, catalytic polypeptide-like (APOBEC) would be a likely candidate to supply these enzymatic activities. The APOBEC class of mRNA-editing cytidine deaminases causes deamination of cytosine to uracil (Anant & Davidson, 2001). Moreover, the high incidence of C to U (T) transitions renders research on the occurrence of methyl-cytosine bases in SARS-CoV-2 RNA a project of considerable interest. Furthermore, the introduction of 14 point mutations and 3 small deletions in the genome of the B.1.1.7 also argues for mRNA editing as a plausible model. Interestingly, the APOBEC editing function has been interpreted as a cellular defense against intruding viral genomes. Hence, SARS-CoV-2 seems to exploit exactly this mechanism to promote its mutagenic potential.

This highly efficient cellular deamination mechanism raises the question of how the viral genome can be salvaged with time from a severe depletion of C/G bases. A screen for the occurrence of A or T to C or G exchanges among the mutations described here (Tables 3–12) reveals values of 9–23% that were identified in mutations from the UK, South Africa, the United States, India, and Germany. Obviously, there remain many unresolved questions about the mechanisms of viral mutagenesis.

Will the constant selection of new mutants impinge upon the success of therapeutic or vaccination strategies?

There are multiple sources of vaccines against COVID-19 available now or at various stages of development, including those from Pfizer/BioNTec; AstraZeneca/Oxford University; Moderna/US National Institutes of Health; Johnson and Johnson Novavax; Curevac/Bayer and firms in Russia (Sputnik V), China, India, and many more. It is impossible to assess the vaccines' overall long-term efficacy against SARS-CoV-2 infections at this time. Vaccines available now have demonstrated a high level of clinical efficacy. There are also, however, preliminary data suggesting that evolution of viral variants may have diminished the efficacy of several vaccines against one of the new SARS-CoV-2 variants (https://www.janssen. com/johnson-johnson-announces-single-shot-janssen-covid-19-vacci ne-candidate-met-primary-endpoints; https://ir.novavax.com/newsreleases/news-release-details/novavax-covid-19-vaccine-demonstra tes-893-efficacy-uk-phase-3; preprint: Wang et al, 2021; Xie et al, 2021). The emergence of novel variants and mutants of SARS-CoV-2 in short temporal succession (see Tables 1 and 2) and their difficultto-assess impact on pathogenicity in vivo further complicate predictions about future vaccine efficacy at this time. For example, some of the early laboratory assessments of efficacy versus the new variants have focused on neutralization by sera from immunized individuals; the clinical efficacy of vaccines, however, is likely to benefit from cell-mediated immunity as well (Burioni & Topol, 2021; Rubin & Baden, 2021). Although in vitro assessments of vaccine efficacy are important, the ultimate assessment of potency of a vaccine is clinical response (Burioni & Topol, 2021; Rubin & Baden, 2021). A recent medRxiv pre-print from Clalit Health Services, Israel's largest healthcare provider, offers very preliminary evidence that the South African variant has a higher rate of vaccine breakthrough than would be expected by its prevalence. Although not peer-reviewed and the numbers are small and the power limited, it stresses the need for vigilance and continued sequencing efforts. Moreover, sophisticated and specific plans are already in place to alter the COVID-19 vaccines to compensate for possible escape mutants. SARS-CoV-2 is a new and evolving pathogen. Effective vaccines have been developed within one year of the identification of the pathogen, a remarkably short time. Ingenuity and basic research are likely to offer solutions to help control the spread of SARS-CoV-2 and future emerging viruses.

Limitations of this study

With mechanisms that complex and the speed at which new SARS-CoV-2 mutations keep arising, limitations on their study are inherent in this approach. For an ordered presentation of data, there had to be a cutoff in time. We chose January 20 and for data in Tables 1 and 2 March 2021 for the inclusion of new mutations. In addition, editorial work on the manuscript had to be considered. Of course, it was the principle of mutant development we were interested in and therefore had to compromise on the date and number of inclusions. We chose to address mutations isolated and sequenced from 10 different countries and were aware that in this way we missed interesting mutations elsewhere that had probably been selected under special environmental conditions. Moreover, there will undoubtedly be an unintended selection bias in that GISAID, our major source of documented sequences, may have concentrated on viral isolates causing the most severe forms of COVID-19. In fact, it will be a most rewarding topic for future research to correlate specific symptoms and/or severity of COVID-19 with the causative types of SARS-CoV-2 mutations. Most probably, it will become a demanding challenge to analyze mutants that will arise in response to the extensive worldwide programs of vaccinations against SARS-CoV-2.

Materials and Methods

We analyzed complete SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences with known dates of sampling that were downloaded from GISAID, (i) complete sequences only were included. (ii) For a chosen time period, all complete sequences with a sampling date from each country were included. Sequences were binned according to sampling date. iii) Sequences by country were filtered by country using the GISAID interface (Shu & McCauley, 2017). Nucleotide sequences from the UK, South Africa, Brazil, the United States, India, Russia, France, Spain, Germany, and China were compared to the reference genome of the SARS-CoV-2 isolate from Wuhan-Hu-1, NCBI Reference

The paper explained

Problem

Upon extensive worldwide replication, SARS-CoV-2 mutants with increasing pathogenetic potential were rapidly selected. Details of viral mutagenesis and selection regimes are not understood. Vigorous vaccination programs against SARS-CoV-2 might be met in time by even more dangerous SARS-CoV-2 mutations.

Results

In several time intervals between January 2020 and March 2021, we inspected >383,500 complete SARS-CoV-2 RNA sequences from 10 different countries for the occurrence of mutations. In >1,700 sequences, the amino acid exchanges were also assigned. While up to April 2020, about 10 mutations were prevalent, the 77 to 100 new mutations expanded gradually in time intervals up to January 2021 when the complex variants of concern evolved in England, South Africa, and Brazil. Mutations were not confined to the spike protein but spanned the viral genome, and replacements rose up to 90% of RNA molecules. The disproportionate incidence of cytidine to uracil transitions might be due to cellular cytidine deaminases, possibly of the APOBEC type.

Impact

Our data document speed and efficiency of SARS-CoV-2 mutant selection that might gradually cause problems for therapeutic and vaccination programs. Viral mutant watch must go beyond the spike glycoprotein and include replication functions, the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein, and the poorly charted open reading frames of the viral genome.

Sequence: NC_045512.2. The programs Vector NTI AdvanceTM 11 (Invitrogen[™]), Tool Align X, or SnapGene (GSL Biotech), by using the algorithm MUSCLE (Multiple Sequence Comparison by Log-Expectation), for the alignment of sequences. Amino acid sequences were also analyzed with the program SnapGene. DNA sequence analyses of reverse transcripts of an RNA genome will have to be considered with the possibility that errors may have been introduced at several steps, e.g., by preferred reading mistakes of the reverse transcriptase due to specific sequence or structural properties of SARS-CoV-2 RNA. We have tried to overcome this obvious complication by analyzing a large number of genomes. Percentages were calculated by dividing the number of sequences with the mutation that were sampled at that time and available in the database by the total number of complete sequences with a known sampling date. In addition to the determination of mutants for defined time spans in ten countries, the total number of individual mutations was also determined in all sequences deposited to GISAID up until January 20, 2021, by using GESS (Global Evaluation of SARS-COV-2/hCOV-19 Sequences (Collier et al, 2021) as well as CoV-Glue (preprint: Singer et al, 2020) and PANGOLIN (Phylogenetic Assignment of Named Global Outbreak LINeages) https://github.com/ hCoV-2019/pangolin) (Rambaut et al, 2020).

In the present study, somewhat arbitrarily, we set a 2% mark of mutations at a given nucleotide in the viral sequence as the cutoff for hotspot status and mutations recording in Tables 3–12. The SARS-CoV-2 RNA sequences investigated for mutant status had been deposited at time intervals of 2020 as follows:

Brazil: 02/25 to 08/15/2020; China-I: 12/23/2019 to 03/18/2020; China-II: 03/20 to 07/22/2020; France: April to 09/12/2020; Germany-I: February to 03/23/2020; Germany-II: February to 06/ 17/2020; Germany-III: 06/24 to 08/28/2020; Germany-IV 09/10 to 10/13; India: 01/27 to 05/27/2020 and 06/03 to 07/04/2020; Russia: 03/24 to 06/07/2020; South Africa: 09/01 to 12/07/2020; Spain: 06/01 to 09/20/2020; UK: 01/29–12/04/2020; US-I: 02/29 to 04/26/2020; US-II: 06/12 to 07/07/2020; US-III: 07/09 to 07/22/2020; and US-IV 08/01 to 12/01. Some of the data had been reported previously in Table 1 of Weber *et al*, 2020 (Weber *et al*, 2020), but were included here again for comparison. These data were designated with an asterisk.

Data availability

The datasets produced in this study are available in the following databases: SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences: Global Initiative on Sharing Avian Influenza Data (https://www.gisaid.org/), SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences alignments: Google Drive (https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1gWq1_jf2Seatl36KtalH7_8GHudOg5u?u sp = sharing).

Expanded View for this article is available online.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge and are very grateful to the GISAID Initiative and for the hard work and open-science of the individual research laboratories and public health agencies that have made their genome data accessible on GISAID, on which this research is based. This research was supported by the Dr. Robert Pfleger Stiftung in Bamberg, Germany [5.12.2018]. W.D. is indebted to the Institute for Clinical and Molecular Virology of FAU in Erlangen, Germany, for their continued hospitality extended to the Epigenetics Group. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Author contributions

SW carried out all work involving sequence selection and formal analyses and was involved in the conceptualization of the project and in the analysis and interpretation of data. CMR performed the analysis on the large sequence database and variants of interest/concern using GISAID, GESS, CoV-Glue, and other computational tools, statistical analyses, interpretation of the data, and writing of the manuscript. BW and HB contributed to the analysis and interpretation of the data. BW contributed to writing the manuscript. WD initiated the project, was involved in the conceptualization of the project, and in the analysis and interpretation of data and wrote the manuscript with CMR's and BW's contributions.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Anant S, Davidson NO (2001) Molecular mechanisms of apolipoprotein B mRNA editing. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 12: 159–165
- Annavajhala MK, Mohri H, Zucker JE, Sheng Z, Wang P, Gomez-Simmonds A, Ho DD, Uhlemann A-C (2021) A novel SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern, B.1.526, identified in New York. *medRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.23. 21252259 [PREPRINT]
- Burioni R, Topol E (2021) Assessing the human immune response to SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Nat Med* 27: 571–572

- Cheng MH, Porritt RA, Rivas MN, Krieger JM, Ozdemir AB, Garcia G Jr, Arumugaswami V, Fries BC, Arditi M, Bahar I (2021) A monoclonal antibody against staphylococcal enterotoxin B superantigen inhibits SARS-CoV-2 entry in vitro. *Structure* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2021.04.005
- Collier DA, De Marco A, Ferreira IATM, Meng B, Datir RP, Walls AC, Kemp SA, Bassi J, Pinto D, Silacci-Fregni C *et al* (2021) Sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 to mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies. *Nature* https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-021-03412-7
- Davies NG, Jarvis CI, CMMID COVID-19 Working Group, Edmunds WJ, Jewell NP, Diaz-Ordaz K, Keogh RH (2021) Increased mortality in communitytested cases of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7. *Nature* https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-021-03426-1
- DiGiorgio S, Martignano F, Torcia MG, Mattiuz G, Conticello SG (2020) Evidence for host-dependent RNA editing in the transcriptome of SARS-CoV-2. *Sci Adv* 6: eabb5813
- Dong E, Du H, Garner L (2020) An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. *Lancet Inf Dis* 20: 533–534
- Elbe S, Buckland-Merritt G (2017) Data, disease and diplomacy: GISAID's innovative contribution to global health. *Glob Challenges* 1: 33–46
- Faria NR, Mellan TA, Whittaker C, Claro IM, Candido DDS, Mishra S, Crispim MAE, Sales FC, Hawryluk I, McCrone JT *et al* (2021) Genomics and epidemiology of a novel SARS-CoV-2 lineage in Manaus, Brazil. *medRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.26.21252554 [PREPRINT]
- Harrington D, Kele B, Pereira S, Couto-Parada X, Riddell A, Forbes S, Dobbie H, Cutino-Moguel T (2021) Confirmed reinfection with SARS-CoV-2 variant VOC-202012/01. *Clin Infect Dis* https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab014
- Kemp SA, Collier DA, Datir R, Ferreira I, Gayed S, Jahun A, Hosmillo M, Rees-Spear C, Mlcochova P, Lumb IU *et al* (2021) Neutralising antibodies in Spike mediated SARS-CoV-2 adaptation. *medRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/ 2020.12.05.20241927 [PREPRINT]
- Korber B, Fischer WM, Gnanakaran S, Yoon H, Theiler J, Abfalterer W, Hengartner N, Giorgi EE, Bhattacharya T, Foley B *et al* (2020) Tracking changes in SARS-CoV-2 spike: Evidence that D614G increases infectivity of the COVID-19 virus. *Cell* 182: 812–827
- MacLean OA, Lytras S, Weaver S, Singer JB, Boni MF, Lemey P, Kosakovsky Pond SL, Robertson DL (2021) Natural selection in the evolution of SARS-CoV-2 in bats created a generalist virus and highly capable human pathogen. *PLoS Biol* 19: e3001115
- Nonaka CKV, Franco MM, Gräf T, de Lorenzo Barcia CA, de Ávila Mendonça RN, de Sousa KAF, Neiva LMC, Fosenca V, Mendes AVA, de Aguiar RS *et al* (2021) Genomic evidence of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection involving E484K spike mutation, Brazil. *Emerg Infect Dis* 27: 1522–1524
- Rambaut A, Holmes EC, O'Toole Á, Hill V, McCrone JT, Ruis C, du Plessis L, Pybus OG (2020) A dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to assist genomic epidemiology. *Nat Microbiol* 5: 1403–1407
- Rubin EJ, Baden LR, Morrissey S (2021) Audio interview: antibody responses to natural infection and vaccination. *N Engl J Med* 384: e65
- Shu Y, McCauley J (2017) GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data from vision to reality. *Euro Surveill* 22: 30494
- Simmonds P (2020) Rampant C→U hypermutation in the genomes of SARS-CoV-2 and other coronaviruses: causes and consequences for their short- and long-term evolutionary trajectories. *mSphere* 5: e00408-20

- Singer J, Gifford R, Cotten M, Robertson D (2020) CoV-GLUE: a web application for tracking SARS-CoV-2 genomic variation. *Preprint* https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202006.0225.v1 [PREPRINT]
- Tegally H, Wilkinson E, Giovanetti M, Iranzadeh A, Fonseca V, Giandhari J, Doolabh D, Pillay S, San EJ, Msomi N *et al* (2021) Detection of a SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern in South Africa. *Nature* 592: 438–443
- Volz E, Mishra S, Chand M, Barrett JC, Johnson R, Geidelberg L, Hinsley WR, Laydon DJ, Dabrera G, O'Toole Á *et al* (2021) Assessing transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in England. *Nature* https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-021-03470-x
- Wang Z, Schmidt F, Weisblum Y, Muecksch F, Barnes CO, Finkin S, Schaefer-Babajew D, Cipolla M, Gaebler C, Lieberman JA et al (2021) mRNA vaccineelicited antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and circulating variants. *bioRxiv* https:// doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.15.426911 [PREPRINT]
- Washington NL, Gangavarapu K, Zeller M, Bolze A, Cirulli ET, Schiabor Barrett KM, Larsen BB, Anderson C, White S, Cassens T *et al* (2021) Genomic epidemiology identifies emergence and rapid transmission of SARS-CoV-2
 B.1.1.7 in the United StatesGenomic epidemiology identifies emergence and rapid transmission of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 in the United States. *medRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.06.21251159 [PREPRINT]
- Weber S, Ramirez C, Doerfler W (2020) Signal hotspot mutations in SARS-CoV-2 genomes evolve as the virus spreads and actively replicates in different parts of the world. *Virus Res* 289: 198170
- West AP, Barnes CO, Yang Z, Bjorkman PJ (2021) SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.526 emerging in the New York region detected by software utility created to query the spike mutational landscape. *bioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/2021. 2002.2014.431043 [PREPRINT]
- Wibmer CK, Ayres F, Hermanus T, Madzivhandila M, Kgagudi P, Oosthuysen B, Lambson BE, de Oliveira T, Vermeulen M, van der Berg K *et al* (2021) SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 escapes neutralization by South African COVID-19 donor plasma. *Nat Med* 27: 622–625
- Wu K, Werner AP, Moliva JI, Koch M, Choi A, Stewart-Jones GBE, Bennett H, Boyoglu-Barnum S, Shi W, Graham BS *et al* (2021) mRNA-1273 vaccine induces neutralizing antibodies against spike mutants from global SARS-CoV-2 variants. *bioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.25.427948 [PREPRINT]
- Xie X, Liu Y, Liu J, Zhang X, Zou J, Fontes-Garfias CR, Xia H, Swanson KA, Cutler M, Cooper D *et al* (2021) Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 spike 69/70 deletion, E484K and N501Y variants by BNT162b2 vaccine-elicited sera. *Nat Med* 27: 620–621
- Yi C, Sun X, Ye J, Ding L, Liu M, Yang Z, Lu X, Zhang Y, Ma L, Gu W *et al* (2020) Key residues of the receptor binding motif in the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 that interact with ACE2 and neutralizing antibodies. *Cell Mol Immunol* 17: 621–630
- Zhang W, Davis BD, Chen SS, Sincuir Martinez JM, Plummer JT, Vail E (2021) Emergence of a novel SARS-CoV-2 variant in Southern California. *JAMA* 325: 1324–1326



License: This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.